

# Telomerase RNA recruits RNA polymerase II to target

gene pro[mo](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7520-6746)ters to enha[nc](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1802-4943)e myelopoies is alternative management of the state management.<br>Jesús García-Castillo<sup>a,b</sup> (e), Francisca Alcaraz-Pérez<sup>a,b,c,1</sup>, Elena Martínez-Balsalobre<sup>a,b,c,d,1</sup>, (e), Diana García-Moreno<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Marlies P. Rossmann<sup>e,f</sup> (D, Miriam Fernández-Lajarín<sup>a,b,c,d</sup>, Manuel Bernabé-García<sup>a,b</sup>(D, Ana B. Pérez-Olivab,c,d, Virginia C. Rodríguez-Cortez<sup>g,h,i,j</sup>, Clara Bueno<sup>g,h,i,j</sup>, Isaac Adatto<sup>e,f</sup>, Suneet Agarwal<sup>f,k</sup>, Pablo Menéndez<sup>g,h,i,j</sup>, Leonard I. Zon<sup>e,f,i</sup> (victoriano Mulero<sup>b,c,d</sup> ), and María L. Cayuela<sup>a,b,c,2</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Grupo de Telomerasa, Cáncer y Envejecimiento, Hospital Clínico Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca, 30120 Murcia, Spain; <sup>b</sup>Instituto Murciano de Investigación Biosanitaria-Arrixaca, 30120 Murcia, Spain; <sup>c</sup>Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Enfermedades Raras, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 30100 Murcia, Spain; <sup>d</sup>Departamento de Biología Celular e Histología, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Murcia, 30100 Murcia, Spain; <sup>e</sup>Department of<br>Stem Cell and Regenerative Biology, Harvard University, Cambridge, M Children's Hospital and Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115; <sup>g</sup>Josep Carreras Leukemia Research Institute, University of Barcelona, 08036 Barcelona, Spain; <sup>h</sup>Department of Biomedicine, School of Medicine, University of Barcelona, 08036 Barcelona, Spain; <sup>i</sup>Centro de Investigación Biomédica en Red de Cáncer, Instituto de Salud Carlos III, 08036 Barcelona, Spain; <sup>j</sup>Instituciò Catalana de Recerca i Estudis Avançats, 08036 Barcelona, Spain; <sup>k</sup>Pediatric Oncology, Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA 02115; and <sup>I</sup>Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA 02115

Edited by Nancy A. Speck, Raymond and Ruth Perelman School of Medicine at the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, and approved May 27, 2021 (received for review July 23, 2020)

Dyskeratosis congenita (DC) is a rare inherited bone marrow failure and cancer predisposition syndrome caused by mutations in telomerase or telomeric proteins. Here, we report that zebrafish telomerase RNA (terc) binds to specific DNA sequences of master myeloid genes and controls their expression by recruiting RNA Polymerase II (Pol II). Zebrafish terc harboring the CR4-CR5 domain mutation found in DC patients hardly interacted with Pol II and failed to regulate myeloid gene expression in vivo and to increase their transcription rates in vitro. Similarly, TERC regulated myeloid gene expression and Pol II promoter occupancy in human myeloid progenitor cells. Strikingly, induced pluripotent stem cells derived from DC patients with a TERC mutation in the CR4-CR5 domain showed impaired myelopoiesis, while those with mutated telomerase catalytic subunit differentiated normally. Our findings show that TERC acts as a transcription factor, revealing a target for therapeutic intervention in DC patients.

dyskeratosis congenita | myelopoiesis | telomerase RNA component | zebrafish

Telomerase is an RNA-dependent DNA polymerase that syn-<br>thesizes telomeric repeats at the end of eukaryotic chromosomes (1). This enzyme complex consists of a catalytic protein with a telomere-specific reverse transcriptase activity, telomerase catalytic subunit (TERT), a long noncoding RNA (lncRNA), telomerase RNA component (TERC), that functions as a template for the synthesis of telomeric repeats, and several associated proteins (2). Telomerase is essential for maintaining pools of proliferating cells in adulthood, including hematopoietic stem cells (HSCs) (3). Telomerase complex mutations have been associated with several human diseases, such as cancer and aging, as well as to some rare disorders, such as dyskeratosis congenita (DC) (4, 5) and idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis (6).

DC is a rare inherited bone marrow (BM) failure and cancer predisposition syndrome (7). DC patients have defects in telomere biology, mainly affecting the ability of HSCs to self-renew (8, 9). All mutations identified to date in DC patients are found in the telomerase complex itself or in telomere-stabilizing proteins (10). The BM abnormalities in DC patients also predispose them to aplastic anemia, and these patients have an increased risk of developing myelodysplastic syndromes (11). The incidence of these hematopoietic phenotypes is higher in patients harboring mutations that affect TERC compared to patients with other mutations, and this observation cannot be explained only by telomere shortening (7).

In addition to its function in telomere biology, there is an increasing body of evidence showing that the telomerase complex has noncanonical roles independent of telomere lengthening in both mammals and zebrafish. TERT has been reported to modulate gene transcription and cell proliferation in mammals (12–16), while TERC regulates cellular senescence through a short form (TERC-53) processed in the mitochondria (17) and apoptosis via a small peptide translated from an open reading frame contained in TERC (18). Furthermore, we have previously reported a telomere-independent function of zebrafish terc in myelopoiesis by regulating the expression of the gene encoding the cytokine colony stimulation factor 3 (granulocyte)  $(csf3a)$  and by maintaining an appropriate balance between the myeloid transcription factor Spi-1 proto-oncogene b  $(spi1b, \text{ also known as } pu.1)$  and the erythroid transcription factor GATA binding protein 1a (gata1a) (19). Notably, this function of terc is dispensable for hematopoietic stem and progenitor cells (HSPC) emergence, erythropoiesis, and lymphopoiesis (19). Although the

# **Significance**

Dyskeratosis congenita (DC) is a rare inherited bone marrow failure and cancer predisposition syndrome caused by mutations in telomerase or telomeric proteins. Although all patients show telomere shortening, the symptoms are highly variable and, therefore, other mechanisms have to be involved. Here, we report that telomerase RNA component (TERC) regulates the expression of master myeloid genes in zebrafish and humans by recruiting the transcription machinery. Induced pluripotent stem cells derived from DC patients with a TERC mutation in a specific domain, the CR4-CR5, shows impaired myelopoiesis, while those from DC patients with mutation in telomerase catalytic subunit differentiated normally. Our findings reveal a target for personalized and precision medicine approaches for DC patients.

Competing interest statement: L.I.Z. is a founder and stockholder of Fate Therapeutics, Inc., Scholar Rock, and Camp4 Therapeutics.

This article is a PNAS Direct Submission.

Published under the PNAS licens

This article contains supporting information online at [https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental) [doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental.](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)

Published August 5, 2021.

Author contributions: J.G.-C., F.A.-P., E.M.-B., D.G.-M., C.B., P.M., V.M., and M.L.C. designed research; J.G.-C., F.A.-P., E.M.-B., D.G.-M., M.P.R., M.F.-L., M.B.-G., A.B.P.-O., V.C.R.-C., and I.A. performed research; S.A. and L.I.Z. contributed new reagents/analytic tools; J.G.-C., F.A.-P., E.M.-B., D.G.-M., M.P.R., M.F.-L., M.B.-G., A.B.P.-O., V.C.R.-C., C.B., S.A., P.M., L.I.Z., V.M., and M.L.C. analyzed data; J.G.-C., V.M., and M.L.C. wrote the paper; and M.L.C. conceived the study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>F.A.-P. and E.M.-B. contributed equally to this work.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>To whom correspondence may be addressed. Email: [marial.cayuela@carm.es.](mailto:marial.cayuela@carm.es)

mechanism of the noncanonical role of TERC is unknown, a genome-wide study identified a large amount of TERC binding sites in the genome of human cells using Chromatin Isolation by RNA Purification (ChIRP) (20), suggesting that this DNA binding ability could be underpinning some of the noncanonical functions of TERC.

In this work, we set out to investigate the molecular mechanism by which TERC regulates myelopoiesis using zebrafish and human cells. terc levels controlled both myeloid gene expression and neutrophil numbers in zebrafish larvae. Mechanistically, terc bound in vivo to terc binding sites present in the regulatory regions of myeloid genes as well as to RNA polymerase II (Pol II). Importantly, terc harboring mutations in the CR4-CR5 domain that are found in DC patients (21), although able to bind DNA, poorly interacted with Pol II and thus failed to increase the transcription rates of myeloid genes in vitro and to regulate myeloid gene expression and myelopoiesis in vivo. This mechanism is evolutionarily conserved since human neutrophil and monocyte precursor cells with decreased TERC levels showed reduced Pol II occupancy at myeloid gene promoters and decreased myeloid gene expression. Finally, induced pluripotent stem (iPS) cells derived from DC patients with a mutation affecting the CR4-CR5 domain of TERC showed impaired myelopoiesis while those with TERT mutations differentiated normally. Altogether, our results describe TERC as a type of lncRNA that with transcription factor properties controls myeloid gene expression, paving the way for designing new therapies for DC patients.

## Results

terc Controls Myeloid Gene Expression and Myelopoiesis in Zebrafish. We have previously shown that terc regulates csf3a and spi1b messenger RNA (mRNA) expression in zebrafish, in a TERT and telomere length-independent manner (19). We confirmed these results and observed a similar regulation of the transcript levels of csf3b and spi1a, paralogous genes of csf3a and spi1a, respectively, shown to have similar functions in zebrafish myelopoiesis ([SI Ap-](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)pendix[, Fig. S1](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)  $A$ -[E](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)) (22, 23). terc also regulated the spilb/gata1a and spi1a/gata1a expression ratios ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S1 F and G). To test whether terc causally regulates myelopoiesis, we created a genetic terc knockout (terc KO) zebrafish model using Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nuclease (TALEN) technology (Fig. 1 A and C). Importantly, terc KO zebrafish larvae showed both reduced myeloid gene expression and reduced number of neutrophils in the caudal hematopoietic tissue (CHT), the main hematopoietic tissue at this developmental stage (Fig.  $1 D-G$ ). Whole-mount in situ hybridization (WISH) revealed expression of terc at the CHT and confirmed the altered expression of *gatala* and *spilb* in terc KO embryos ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S1 H and J). In addition, lymphopoiesis (rag1 expression in the thymus at 5 day post fecundation [dpf]), thrombopoiesis (Cd41<sup>Hi</sup> cells), and HSPCs (Cd41<sup>Lo</sup>) emergence were unaffected in terc KO, confirming previous results in terc morphants (*[SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)*, Fig. S1 I and K).

Conversely, expression of terc RNA in blood cells using the *draculin* regulatory region (Fig. 1 B and C) (24) led to enhanced myelopoiesis as evidenced by increased myeloid gene transcript levels and number of neutrophils in the CHT (Fig. 1 D–G). These results further confirm that *terc* regulates myelopoiesis through increasing myeloid gene expression.

terc Controls the Activity of Myeloid Gene Promoters in a terc Binding **Site–Dependent Manner.** It has been shown that *TERC* binds to numerous regions in the human genome through a sequencespecific consensus binding site (CC[A/C]CC[A/C]CCCC] (20). Therefore, we searched for terc binding sites in the upstream regulatory regions (arbitrary length of 5 kb upstream of the transcription start site [TSS]) of zebrafish  $csf3a$  and  $csf3b$ . We found two potential *terc* binding sites in the *csf3b* promoter region that we named tercbs1 (-1.5 kb) and tercbs2 (-1.4 kb) ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. [S2](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)A) but none in the *csf3a* promoter. Morpholino (Mo)-mediated

knockdown of terc decreased the activity of both csf3a and csf3b promoters (2 kb upstream of the TSS) in luciferase reporter assays in zebrafish larvae (Fig. 2A and *[SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)*, Fig. S2B), while terc RNA overexpression increased the activity of the promoters (Fig. 2B and [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S2C). Deletion of tercbs1, but not tercbs2, reduced the activity of the  $csf3b$  promoter (Fig. 2C). Intriguingly, terc overexpression was still able to induce csf3b promoter activity in the absence of *tercbs1* (*[SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)*, Fig. S2D). We speculated that this effect could be due to the higher amounts of Spi1a and/or Spi1b transcription factors observed when *terc* is overexpressed. Deletion of a putative Spi1 binding site in the csf3b promoter fully abrogated the terc-mediated induction of the pro-moter activity ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S2E). This result suggests that terc could also regulate spi1a and/or spi1b expression. We searched for terc binding sites in the regulatory regions of spi1 genes and found one site in the 3′ region of spi1a (around 500 base pair [bp] downstream of the end of the gene) ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S2F) but none in *spi1b*. Deletion of this *terc*bs in the *spi1a* 3' region resulted in decreased activity of the spi1a promoter (2 kb upstream of the TSS), and terc overexpression was unable to increase spila promoter activity when tercbs was not present (Fig. 2D). These results support the hypothesis that terc directly controls the expression of myeloid genes.

terc Binding Sites in Regulatory Regions of Myeloid Genes Are Occupied by terc and Are Essential for Myelopoiesis In Vivo. To evaluate whether terc was able to bind to these putative terc binding sites in vivo, we performed ChIRP experiments (20) in whole kidney marrow (WKM), the main hematopoietic organ in adult zebrafish. ChIRP for WKM cells of wild-type zebrafish showed that terc is bound to the tercbs1 present in the csf3b promoter in vivo and, to a lesser extent, to the tercbs in the 3′ region of spi1a (Fig. 2E). To confirm that terc directly binds to DNA, we performed terc–DNA binding assays. Wild-type terc was able to directly bind to a csf3b promoter double-strand DNA (dsDNA) fragment in vitro, in a tercbs1-dependent manner (Fig. 2F). Importantly, deletion of the csf3b-promoter tercbs1 in vivo using TALEN technology in mpx::eGFP transgenic larvae carrying GFPlabeled neutrophils  $(25)$  resulted in neutropenia (Fig. 2G and [SI](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental) [Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S2G). We conclude that *terc* binds to regions that regulate myeloid gene expression in vivo and that at least one of those regulatory regions is essential for myelopoiesis.

terc Increases the Efficiency of Zebrafish csf3b Promoter to Drive In Vitro Transcription. Our results show that the RNA component of telomerase, terc, might act as a transcription factor. To further confirm this hypothesis, we performed in vitro transcription assays using either nuclear extracts from HL60 cells or recombinant proteins as a source of the transcriptional machinery. While terc was able to increase the transcription rates of a luciferase reporter driven by wild-type csf3b promoter (Fig. 3 A–D), a CR4-CR5 terc mutant harboring a point mutation found in DC patients (CR4-  $CR5^M$ ) ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S3A) failed to do so (Fig. 3 C and D).

terc Interacts with RNA Pol II through Its CR4-CR5 Domain. The fact that terc binds to consensus binding sites present in regulatory regions of myeloid genes led us to hypothesize that terc might recruit proteins to regulate expression of these genes. Luciferase reporter experiments in zebrafish larvae showed that deletion of tercbs1 did not alter the regulation of csf3b promoter activity by the transcription factors Spi1b and Gata1a ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S4), known to regulate myeloid versus erythroid fate in zebrafish (26). Next, we tested whether terc was able to recruit the transcriptional machinery itself to the DNA. Strikingly, RNA pull-down (using in vitro transcribed and biotinylated terc) and in vivo RNA Immunoprecipitation (RIP) experiments demonstrated that terc interacted with both total and the active form—phosphorylated at



Fig. 1. Zebrafish terc controls the expression of promyelopoietic genes in vivo. (A) Workflow of the generation of the terc<sup>-/-</sup> (terc KO) zebrafish line. (B) Workflow of the generation of the Tg(drl::terc) zebrafish line. (C-F) Expression of the indicated genes in the CHT of wild-type (wt), Tg(drl::terc), and terc KO fish larvae at 2 dpf. Data are representative results of three independent experiments;  $n =$  at least 20 larvae per group. (G) Neutrophil counts in the CHT of  $mpx::GFP$  larvae (neutrophils labeled by GFP) at 3 dpf. Data are the average of two independent experiments;  $n = 21$  for wt and Tg(drl::terc), and  $n = 19$  for terc KO. Data values shown are fold change over the value of wt sample in C, D, and E. All data are mean + SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, and \*\*\*P < 0.001 for one-way ANOVA plus Bonferroni posttest.



Fig. 2. Telomerase RNA binds to target sequences in regulatory regions of zebrafish promyelopoietic genes and controls the activity of their promoters. (A and B) Activity of csf3a and csf3b promoters (~2 kb upstream) in the CHT of larvae at 2 dpf after injection of the luciferase reporter constructs combined with tercMo2 or 200 pg/embryo of terc RNA. Data are representative results of three independent experiments.  $n =$  at least 30 larvae per group (see Materials and Methods); luc, luciferase. (C) Activity of tercbs-deleted csf3b promoter in the CHT of larvae at 2 dpf after injection of the luciferase reporter constructs. Data are representative results of three independent experiments.  $n =$  at least 30 larvae per group (see Materials and Methods). (D) Activity of the spi1a promoter (∼2 kb upstream) with wild-type (wt) or tercbs-deleted 3′ region (∼2 kb downstream) in CHT of larvae at 2 dpf after injection of the luciferase reporter constructs in combination with control or terc RNA. Data are representative results of three independent experiments.  $n =$  at least 30 larvae per group (see Materials and Methods). (E) qRT-PCR of ChIRP eluates of tercbs-containing DNA fragments encompassing the csf3b promoter and spi1a 3' region. Data are representative results of two independent experiments;  $n = 20$  WKM of adult fish. (F) qRT-PCR of in vitro DNA binding assay eluates. For each probe, data are the average of two independent experiments. luc, luciferase RNA. (G) Neutrophil counts in the CHT of mpx::GFP larvae at 3 dpf after injection of TALEN mRNAs to destroy the csf3b promoter tercbs1 in vivo (see Materials and Methods). Data are the average of two independent experiments;  $n = 17$  and 24 larvae in control and tercbs-deleted groups, respectively. Data values shown are fold change over the value of the stdMo (standard morpholino) sample in A, the control sample in B, the csf3b wt promoter sample in C, the spi1a wt promoter + control sample in D, and the luc + wt probe sample in F. All data are mean + SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, and \*\*\*P < 0.001 for Student's t test in A, B, E, and G and for one-way ANOVA plus Bonferroni posttest in C, D, and F. ns, not significant.

Serine 5—of Pol II, the core component of the transcriptional machinery (Fig.  $4A$  and B and [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S3B).

We next aimed to map the region of terc responsible for the interaction with Pol II. The terc–Pol II interaction in vitro was blocked by adding a Mo targeting the small Cajal body-specific RNAs (ScaRNAs) domain (tercMo2) and one targeting the CR4- CR5 domain (tercMo3) but not the one targeting Pseudoknot/ Template domain (tercMo1) (Fig. 4C and [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S3 A [and](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)  $C$ ). In those conditions, the amount of biotinylated terc bound to the streptavidin beads was not decreased ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. [S3](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)D). Strikingly, overexpression of terc RNA harboring a point mutation found in the CR4-CR5 domain  $(CR4-CR5<sup>M</sup>)$  of patients affected by DC ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S3 A and E) (21) was unable to increase the activity of the *csf3b* promoter in luciferase reporter experiments (Fig.  $4D$ ). In addition, CR4-CR5<sup>M</sup> terc also failed to increase the number of neutrophils in *mpx*::eGFP transgenic larvae, whereas wild-type terc did (Fig. 4E). In contrast, terc with mutations found in DC patients affecting the template domain



Fig. 3. Telomerase RNA increases the efficiency of in vitro transcription. (A) In vitro transcription efficiency of csf3b promoter-driven luciferase reporter in the presence of 25 nM GFP, terc RNA, or no RNA as control, using nuclear extracts from HL60 cells as the source of the transcriptional machinery. Data are the average of at least three independent experiments. luc, luciferase. (B) Same as in A, using recombinant proteins as source of the transcriptional machinery. (C) In vitro transcription efficiency of csf3b promoter-driven luciferase reporter in the presence of 10 nM GFP, terc, CR4-CR5<sup>M</sup> mutant terc RNA, or no RNA as control, using nuclear extracts from HL60 cells as the source of the transcriptional machinery. Data are the average of at least three independent experiments. (D) Same as in C, using recombinant proteins as source of the transcriptional machinery. Data values shown for all panels are fold change over the no RNA control sample. All data are mean + SEM.  $*P < 0.01$  and  $**P < 0.001$  for one-way ANOVA plus Bonferroni posttest in A, B, C, and D. ns, not significant.

and the ScaRNA domain ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S3 A and E) (21) increased csf3b promoter activity and the number of neutrophils at levels similar to wild-type *terc* (Fig.  $4 D$  and  $E$ ). Interestingly, CR4- $CR5^M$  mutant terc hardly interacted with active Pol II in RNA pull-down experiments (Fig. 4F), whereas CR4-CR5<sup>M</sup> mutant terc was still able to interact with the *gcsfb* promoter dsDNA probe (Fig. 4G). Collectively, these data show that terc interacts with Pol II and that the interaction is essential for robust myelopoiesis in zebrafish. In addition, this interaction is mainly mediated by the CR4-CR5 domain of the terc molecule.

**TERC Controls Myelopoiesis in Humans.** Next, we examined whether the mechanism of terc regulation of myeloid gene expression we discovered in zebrafish is evolutionarily conserved. We used short hairpin RNA (shRNA) constructs and lentiviral transduction to reduce TERC levels in the human neutrophil precursor cell line HL60 (HL60shTERC) and in the human monocyte precursor cell line U937 (U937shTERC). HL60shTERC cells barely showed altered transcript levels of TERT or altered telomerase activity, while U937shTERC cells had augmented TERT expression and telomerase activity compared to the scrambled shRNA controls

([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S5  $A$  and  $B$ ). However, telomere length was unaffected ([SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S5C).

We analyzed the expression of myeloid genes in these conditions, that is, in the presence of unaltered telomere length but reduced TERC expression. Down-regulation of TERC expression by two- or fourfold decreased the expression of CSF2—encoding granulocytemacrophage–colony stimulating factor—in both HL60shTERC and U937shTERC cells (Fig.  $5A$  and B). We also found lower transcript levels of CSF3, which encodes granulocyte–colony stimulating factor (G-CSF), and SPI1 in HL60shTERC cells, whereas U937shTERC cells showed a weak reduction of the mRNA levels of CSF1, which encodes macrophage–colony stimulating factor (Fig. 5 A and B and [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S5D). CSF1 was not detected in HL60 cells, while CSF3 was not detected in U937.

As we had demonstrated for zebrafish, we found TERC to bind to total and active Pol II in both HL60 and U937 cells by RIP. As expected, known TERC binding proteins, such as TERT and dyskerin (DKC), also interacted with TERC in these cells, while none of them interacted with control RNAs such as U6 small nuclear RNA ( $\text{snRNA}$ ) and GAPDH mRNA (Fig. 5 C and D and [SI](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental) [Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), S6  $A$  and  $B$ ). To further show such an interaction, we performed Proximity Ligation Assays (PLA) in HL60 cells. PLA shows up fluorescence only when the tested molecules are in close proximity (nominally 40 nm) (27).We used TERC probes and phospho Serine 5 Pol II antibodies to visualize TERC–Pol II interaction in the cell nucleus (Fig. 5E, Bottom), and this interaction was not visualized when no antibodies or TERC sense probe were used (Fig. 5E, first and third panels). We also detected TERC– TERT interaction as positive control (Fig. 5E, second panel). To test whether TERC recruits Pol II to enhance myeloid gene expression, we performed Chromatin Immunoprecipitaton (ChIP) experiments. Notably, the TSS of CSF2 and SPI1 in HL60shTERC cells showed reduced occupancy of both total and active Pol II, and also U937shTERC cells had less Pol II bound around the TSS of CSF2 (Fig. 5  $F-H$  and [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), S6 C–[E](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)). These data indicate that TERC controls the expression of myeloid master transcription factor and cytokine genes in human myeloid precursor cells by interacting with Pol II and recruiting it to the promoters of target genes to initiate transcription.

CR4-CR5 TERC Mutant iPS Cells Show Impaired Myelopoiesis. The incidence of aplastic anemia and cancer is higher in DC patients with *TERC* mutations compared to those affected by mutations in other factors (7), suggesting that TERC also plays a noncanonical role in DC. To investigate whether the regulation of myelopoiesis is altered in DC patients with mutations in TERC, two iPS cells derived from DC patients and one derived from a healthy donor (HD) were differentiated into hematopoietic cells through embryonic body (EB) formation: three-dimensional cell aggregates that can differentiate into cells of all three germ layers (Fig. 6A). DC patient iPS cells harbored either a heterozygous mutation in the CR4-CR5 domain of TERC (nG319A) (28) or a heterozygous pathogenic missense point mutation in TERT (A716V). Consistent with our findings, while both HD and TERT mutant iPS cells were able to generate granulocytic-monocytic colonies (GM) at similar levels in colony-forming unit (CFU) assays, iPS cells harboring the nG319A mutation in the CR4-CR5 domain of TERC only produced very few GM colonies. Interestingly, nG319A mutant iPS cells had an increased ability to generate erythroid colonies (Fig. 6C). Both mutant iPS cells generated lower numbers of CFUs than the HD line (Fig. 6D). We then analyzed EBs derived from all three iPS cells by fluorescence-activated cell sorting to study their cell type composition (Fig. 6B). All EBs had a similar percentage of  $CD31<sup>+</sup>$  hemogenic progenitors (HEP), consisting of bipotential precursors (hematopoietic and endothelial cells), and of CD45+ CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells (HPC), at days 15 and 21 of culture (Fig. 6E). However, CR4-CR5 mutant EBs showed slightly increased  $CD45$ <sup>+</sup> mature blood cells at day 21 (Fig. 6E). These



Fig. 4. Telomerase RNA binds to RNA Pol II through its CR4-CR5 domain to promote developmental myelopoiesis in zebrafish. (A) Western blot of RNA pull-down eluates using anti-Phospho S5 RNA Pol II antibodies. For quantification of the interaction, Quantity One software was used;  $n = 2$  independent experiments; M, molecular weight marker (kDa); n = at least 500 2-d larvae per group (see Materials and Methods). (B) qRT-PCR of RIP experiment using anti-Phospho S5 RNA Pol II assessing enrichment of terc RNA. Data are representative results of three independent experiments;  $n =$  at least 500 2-d larvae per group (see Materials and Methods). (C) Quantification of terc–RNA Pol II interaction in the presence of terc Mos (reference [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S3C for representative Western blot);  $n = 2$ independent experiments with at least 500 larvae at 2 dpf per group (see Materials and Methods). (D) Activity of the csf3b promoter in CHT of larvae at 2 dpf after injection of the luciferase reporter construct in combination with tercMo3 or terc RNA at 200 pg/embryo;  $n =$  at least 30 larvae per group; luc, luciferase;  $^M$ , mutated (reference [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. S3A). (E) Neutrophil counts in the CHT of mpx::GFP larvae at 3 dpf after injection of tercMo3 or terc RNA; n = 50, 20, 35, 36, 36, and 24 larvae at 3 dpf in control, tercMo3, wt terc, terc template<sup>M</sup>, terc CR4-CR5<sup>M</sup>, and terc ScaRNA<sup>M</sup>, respectively; <sup>M</sup>, mutated (reference [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental), Fig. [S3](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental)A). (F) Western blot of RNA pull-down eluates using anti-Phospho S5 RNA Pol II antibodies and quantification of the interaction. terc#1 and terc#2 are two independent pull-down samples;  $n = 2$  independent experiments; M, molecular weight marker (kDa). (G) qRT-PCR of in vitro DNA binding assay eluates. luc, luciferase RNA. Data values shown are fold change over the value of GFP sample in A, the control antibody (ab) sample in B, the stdMo (standard morpholino) + terc – AS sample in C, the control sample in D, the terc#1 sample in F, and the luciferase sample in G. All data are mean + SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, and \*\*\*P < 0.001 for Student's t test in B and for one-way ANOVA plus Bonferroni posttest in A, C, D, E, F, and G; a is  $P < 0.05$  versus control, b is not significant versus wt terc molecule, c is not significant versus control, and d is  $P < 0.05$  versus wt terc molecule, one-way ANOVA plus Bonferroni posttest; ns, not significant.

data indicate that the emergence of HEP and HPC are not impaired in the three iPS cells. We conclude that a TERC CR4-CR5 domain mutation in iPS cells derived from a DC patient are defective in myelopoiesis, and it is independent of TERT and telomere shortening.

## **Discussion**

DC is an inherited disease provoked by mutations affecting the telomerase complex or telomere-stabilizing components (10) and patients typically die of BM failure due to HSC exhaustion (8, 9). DC patients carrying TERC mutations develop aplastic anemia and cancer more frequently than other DC patients (7), suggesting noncanonical roles for TERC in DC pathogenesis. Recent studies have shown that TERT–TERC complexes bind to ribosomal DNA promoters and stimulate transcription by Pol I during regeneration and in tumorigenesis (29) and that inhibition of TERT and TERC impaired myelopoiesis of human iPS cells independently of telomere length (30). We have found that terc plays an essential role in myelopoiesis in zebrafish by regulating myeloid gene expression but in a manner independent of telomere length and also TERT (19). In the present study, we uncover the mechanisms underlying terc-mediated regulation of spi1a and csf3b, the master regulators of zebrafish myelopoiesis (22, 23), and we also extend our observations to human cells. We have found that reduced



Fig. 5. The regulation of myelopoiesis by the interaction of telomerase RNA with RNA Pol II is evolutionarily conserved. (A) qRT-PCR for indicated promyelopoietic genes in neutrophil-like HL60 cells transduced with two different shRNAs against TERC (shTERC1 and shTERC2) or a scrambled control shRNA (scrambled). Data are representative results of three independent experiments. (B) Same as in A, using promonocytic U937 cells. (C) qRT-PCR of RIP eluates in neutrophil-like HL60 cells assessing enrichment of indicated RNAs in the presence of anti-Phospho S5 RNA Pol II antibody, Dyskerin (DKC), TERT, or IgG controls. Data are representative results of three independent experiments. (D) Same as in C, using promonocytic U937 cells. (E) PLA cells showing TERC-pS5 Pol II interaction in the nucleus of HL60 cells. Note the foci of red fluorescence (indicating interaction) when pS5-Pol II and TERT antibodies were used. (F) qPCR for indicated locus after ChIP with anti-Phospho S5 RNA Pol II antibody or IgG control in promonocytic U937 cells transduced with two different shRNAs against TERC (shTERC1 and shTERC2) or a scrambled control shRNA (scrambled). Data are the average of at least two independent experiments. (G) Same as in F, using neutrophil-like HL60 cells. (H) Same as in G but for the indicated locus. Data values shown are fold change over the value of scrambled sample in A and B and the IgG sample in C and D. Data in F through H are % of enrichment over scrambled sample. All data are mean + SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, and \*\*\*P < 0.001 for one-way ANOVA plus Bonferroni posttest in A through D and for Student's t test in F and G.



Fig. 6. iPS cells carrying a mutation in the CR4-CR5 domain of TERC fail to properly differentiate into myeloids cells. (A) Workflow for differentiation of iPS cells. (B) Dot plot and identification of different cell populations in EBs by flow cytometry. CD31<sup>+</sup> HEP (bipotential precursors of hematopoietic and endothelial cells), CD45<sup>+</sup> CD34<sup>+</sup> HPC, and CD45<sup>+</sup> mature blood cells. (C) Composition of erythroid and granulocyte/monocyte colonies in CFU assays, using EBs derived from the indicated iPS cell lines. Data are the average of seven independent EB formation experiments. (D) Number of colonies per 100,000 EB cells. Data are the average of seven independent EB formation experiments. (E) Quantification of cell populations in EBs by flow cytometry. CD31+ (HEP), CD34+CD45+ (HPC), and CD45+ (mature blood cells) cells in day 15 and 21. Data are the average of seven independent EB formation experiments. All data are mean + SEM. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, and \*\*\*P < 0.001 for one-way ANOVA plus Dunnet's posttest in all the panels. ns, not significant.

TERC levels resulted in decreased myeloid gene expression in HL60 and U937 cells, human neutrophil and monocyte progenitor cell lines, respectively, without reducing either telomerase activity or telomere length. Importantly, we also show that, while HD and TERT-mutant–derived iPS cells showed a similar ability to differentiate into myeloid cells, myelopoiesis was impaired in CR4- CR5 mutant TERC iPS cells. All these observations indicate that TERC controls the expression of one of the main gene networks required for robust myelopoiesis in zebrafish and humans, besides its typical function in telomere biology.

Regulation of gene expression is influenced and controlled by multiple factors. Core promoters just upstream of the TSS serve as a binding platform for the transcriptional machinery and are sufficient to drive transcription with a basal activity, which can be further enhanced by upstream DNA elements called enhancers that bind regulatory proteins including transcription factors (31). lncRNAs are now recognized as major regulators of multiple cellular processes, such as cell differentiation and development, chromosome dosage compensation, regulation of gene expression, and cell cycle control (32–36). In addition, they have been shown to bind the genome and function as scaffolds for the recruitment of chromatin remodelers and transcription factors (37, 38). It has been shown that TERC binds numerous regions across the genome through a C-rich consensus binding site (20). These data together with the ability of TERC to regulate myeloid gene expression led us to hypothesize that TERC was a factor



Fig. 7. Predicting structure and proposed model of TERC function in myelopoiesis. (A and B) Prediction of TERC and TERC nG319A tertiary RNA structure. The processing of the structure was done with Jmol application of RNA Com-poser system [\(http://rnacomposer.cs.put.poznan.pl/\)](http://rnacomposer.cs.put.poznan.pl/), an open-source Java viewer for chemical structures in the third dimension (48). The different domains of the molecule are in different colors, starting 5′ in dark blue, followed by green, yellow, orange, and ending 3′ in red. (A) TERC wild-type structure. (B) TERC nG319A structure. (C) TERC regulation model of myeloid gene expression. Under normal conditions, TERC can regulate the expression of myelopoiesis genes (SPI1 and CSF3) in zebrafish and humans. Telomerase RNA binds DNA through its consensus binding sites and with RNA Pol II, facilitating its recruitment to myelopoietic gene promoters, ensuring robust myelopoiesis. DC patients with a mutation in the TERC CR4-CR5 domain, possibly due to a change in RNA structure, do not properly recruit RNA Pol II to promoters and fail to regulate the expression of myeloid genes, resulting in an alteration in myelopoiesis that causes a drop in myeloid cell production. This mechanism could serve as the basis for designing new strategies for therapeutic intervention. CR4-CR5 mutations will anticipate the development of myelodysplastic syndrome and signal the need for precision, personalized treatment. Pink cells are neutrophils and purple cells are macrophages; dark green proteins are G-CSF cytokine and light green protein are master transcriptional factor SPI1.

controlling the activity of myeloid gene promoters. We observed that the zebrafish csf3b promoter, as well as a regulatory sequence downstream spi1a, contained terc binding sites that were bound by terc and were necessary for robust promoter activity.

Curiously, terc also regulated  $cs\beta a$  promoter activity that lacks terc binding sites. This could be due to the increased transcript levels of spi1a and/or spi1b caused by terc overexpression, since the csf3a promoter harbors a putative spi1 binding site. However, it cannot be ruled out that *terc* also increases promoter activity by another mechanism. Interestingly, there are consensus TERC binding sites in the promoters of human CSF2, CSF3, and in the 3′ region of SPI1 (20), suggesting that TERC could also directly regulate these genes. Altogether, these results demonstrate that terc binds to terc binding sites in vivo and that this interaction is crucial for a stable activity of myeloid gene promoters and thus robust myeloid gene expression driving myelopoiesis.

One of the main findings of this study is that TERC behaves as a typical transcription factor, despite being a lncRNA. On one hand, it was able to physically interact with Pol II, the main component of the transcriptional machinery, in vitro and in vivo, in both zebrafish and humans. On the other hand, reduced levels of TERC in human myeloid progenitors resulted in a decreased occupancy at the TSS of myelopoietic genes by Pol II, and thus, lower levels of myeloid transcripts. Strikingly, terc was able to increase the efficiency of zebrafish csf3b promoter to drive in vitro transcription, further suggesting that terc is a class of transcription factor. Although it has been shown that several lncRNAs are able to negatively regulate transcription (39), this study shows a lncRNA, the telomerase RNA, is endowed with functions characteristic of positive transcription factors, including binding to upstream regulatory sequences, recruiting Pol II, and stimulating gene expression. Although the DNA binding ability of terc is not mediated by the CR4-CR5 domain, we demonstrate that the terc–Pol II interaction is dependent on this domain, which is frequently mutated in DC patients. In fact, the *terc* molecule harboring a mutation in the CR4-CR5 domain found in DC patients was unable to regulate myeloid gene promoter activity and only poorly interacted with Pol II, whereas other mutant terc molecules did. This suggests that the function of terc in myelopoiesis is not only supported by appropriate terc levels but also by a fully competent structure of the molecule and that a correct structure of the CR4-CR5 domain is necessary for a productive interaction with Pol II. Therefore, it is tempting to speculate that the mutations in the CR4-CR5 domain found in DC patients, despite conserving DNA binding capacity, might alter the conformational structure of the domain (Fig.  $7A$  and B), preventing a robust interaction with Pol II that results in decreased myeloid gene expression and eventually in a deficient myelopoiesis.

Using iPS cells derived from DC patients, we further confirmed the relevance of the CR4-CR5 domain of TERC in myelopoiesis. We showed that a mutation in the CR4-CR5 domain of TERC RNA, which is frequently found in DC patients, was responsible for the reduced ability of the iPS cells to differentiate into myeloid cells. In contrast, iPS cells harboring a mutation in TERT, the other main component of the telomerase complex, differentiated normally. These data indicate that the noncanonical function of TERC in myelopoiesis may be critically impaired in DC patients harboring mutations that affect the CR4-CR5 domain of *TERC*. The total number of colonies in CFU assays was reduced in iPS cells derived from DC patients. This is not surprising since both TERT and TERC mutations result in compromised telomerase activity and thus proliferation defects [Boyraz et al. (28)]. The fact that the composition of the colonies is only altered in iPS cells with mutation in TERC, and not in TERT, indicates that impaired myelopoiesis is independent of TERT and telomere shortening.

In summary, the findings described in this work depict a scenario where telomerase RNA regulates the expression of  $csf3a$ ,  $csf3b$ , spi1a, and spi1b genes in zebrafish and their human counterparts CSF2, CSF3, and SPI1. Telomerase RNA binds to DNA through its consensus binding sites, and to Pol II, recruiting it to the promoters of myeloid genes, assuring an efficient Pol II occupancy around the TSS of these genes, their appropriate expression and, therefore, robust myelopoiesis. This mechanism is impaired in DC patients (Fig. 7C). Overall, our results provide molecular insights into DC pathogenesis and could serve as a basis for designing new strategies for therapeutic intervention, particularly in DC patients harboring mutations that affect the CR4-CR5 domain of telomerase RNA.

### Materials and Methods

Animals. Zebrafish (Danio rerio, Cypriniformes, Cyprinidae) were obtained from the Zebrafish International Resource Center and mated, staged, raised, and processed using standard procedures (40). The transgenic line Tg(mpx::eGFP) (25) was kindly provided by S. Renshaw, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK. The Tg(drl::terc) fish line was generated by microinjecting the drl::terc [generated by MultiSite Gateway assembly of pCM293 (24)], pME-terc, p3E-SV40polyA, and pDestTol2pA2 of the Tol2kit (41) together with transposase mRNA into the cell of one-cell-stage zebrafish eggs. The terc KO zebrafish line and the csf3b promoter tercbs1-deleted mosaic fish were generated by TALEN-mediated deletion of the terc genomic locus (see Fig. 1A) (42).

RNA WISH. Wild-type and terc  $\neg$  embryos were used for RNA WISH. terc, spi1b, gata1a, and rag1 RNA probes were generated using the DIG RNA Labeling Kit (Merck) from linearized plasmids. Embryos were imaged using a Scope.A1 stereomicroscope equipped with a digital camera (AxioCam ICc 3, Zeiss).

Immunofluorescence. Cells from wild-type and terc<sup>-/-</sup> embryos were stained with a monoclonal antibody against zebrafish Cd41 and analyzed by flow cytometry as previously described (43).

Cloning of Zebrafish Myelopoietic Genes Regulatory Regions and tercbs Deletions. The regulatory regions cloning of the csf3a 2-kb promoter region has been already described (19). The csf3b 2-kb, spi1a 2-kb, and spi1a 2-kb 3' regulatory regions were amplified from zebrafish genomic DNA using Phusion High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs). For deleting the tercbs present in the csf3b promoter and spi1a 2-kb 3' regulatory region, a two-step overlapping PCR strategy was used. They were then cloned into pGL3basic vector (containing firefly luciferase, Promega).

Dual Luciferase Assay. Luciferase activity was measured using the Dual Luciferase Reporter Assay System (Promega) in a Luminometer Optocomp I (MGM Instruments) in dissected tails of at least 30 larvae of 48 hours post fecundation per experimental condition (44).

ChIRP. ChIRP experiments were performed in WKM as described elsewhere (20).

In Vitro terc-DNA Binding Assay. A 100-bp 3′ biotinylated DNA probe of the zebrafish csf3b promoter encompassing the terc binding sites as well as 80-bp probes (same sequence but deleting the terc binding sites) bound to Dynabeads MyOne streptavidin C1 magnetic beads was incubated with 50 ng luciferase (Promega), wild-type terc, and CR4-CR5M mutant RNAs; beads dsDNA–RNA complexes were washed, RNA eluted, reverse transcribed, and subjected to qPCR.

In Vitro Transcription Assay. In vitro transcription assays were performed using either HL60 nuclear extracts or recombinant proteins as previously described (45), with some modifications.

RNA Pull-Down. RNA pull-down experiments were performed with biotinylated RNA and extracts of 2 dpf larvae as described (32), with some modifications. The eluted proteins were subjected to polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis followed by Western blotting using anti-RNA Polymerase II CTD Repeat YSPTSPS (anti-Phospho S5 RNA Pol II) mouse monoclonal antibodies ([4H8], ab5408, Abcam).

RIP. RIP experiments were performed with 2 dpf larvae or human cells as described (32), with some modifications. The following antibodies were used: antipS5 RNApol II, anti-RNA polymerase II CTD repeat YSPTSPS (total RNApol II, ab817, Abcam), anti-Dyskerin (DKC, sc-373956, Santa Cruz Biotechnologies), anti-TERT (600-401-252S, Rockland), or control antibodies (mouse IgG1, eBioscience or

- 1. E. H. Blackburn, Telomeres and telomerase: Their mechanisms of action and the effects of altering their functions. FEBS Lett. 579, 859–862 (2005).
- 2. R. J. Hodes, K. S. Hathcock, N. P. Weng, Telomeres in T and B cells. Nat. Rev. Immunol. 2, 699–706 (2002).
- 3. R. C. Allsopp, S. Cheshier, I. L. Weissman, Telomerase activation and rejuvenation of telomere length in stimulated T cells derived from serially transplanted hematopoietic stem cells. J. Exp. Med. 196, 1427–1433 (2002).

anti-Histone H3 trimethyl-lysine 4 mouse antibodies ab1012, Abcam). Input and immunoprecipitated RNAs were extracted with phenol:chloroform, reverse transcribed, and subjected to qPCR for detection of expression of terc or of control RNAs (rps11 or GAPDH).

PLA. PLA for specific RNA–protein interaction was performed as described (27). Briefly, HL60 cells seeded in poly-L-Lys coverslips were washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and fixed in 4% formaldehyde, permeabilized, and blocked. Cells were incubated with 100 nM TERC-specific oligonucleotide probes in fresh blocking buffer at 70 °C for 3 min. Subsequently, cells were washed three times with PBS and blocked in PBS-T (PBS 0.1% Tween 20) containing 1% bovine serum albumin and 20 μg/mL salmon sperm sheared (sss) DNA at room temperature (RT) for 1 h.

The samples were washed once with PBS, once with 300 mM NaCl, 30 mM sodium citrate buffer pH 7 (2xSSC) with 0.1% Tween 20, once with PBS, and then incubated with 1:100 anti-pS5 RNApol II, 1:100 of anti-TERT, or no antibody as control, in PBS-T at RT for 1 h. Then, the probe solution was prepared by diluting the corresponding species-specific minus PLA probe (Duolink PLA Fluorescence kit, Merck) 1:5 into PBS-T containing 20 μg/mL sssDNA and allowing the mixture to sit for 20 min at RT. After three washes with PBS, the coverslips were incubated with the probe solution for 1 h at 37 °C.

The following ligation, amplification and labeling steps were performed using the Duolink PLA Fluorescence kit (Merck), following manufacturer's instructions. Samples were washed twice and incubated with fresh ligation mix for 30 min at 37 °C, washed twice, and further treated with fresh amplification mix for 100 min at 37 °C. Finally, cells were washed twice and mounted onto glass slides in Duolink PLA Fluorescence mounting medium with DAPI. Images were captured with a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope.

ChIP. ChIP experiments were performed using the MAGnify Chromatin Immunoprecipitation System kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using cross-linked HL60 or U937 cells and anti-Phospho S5 RNA Pol II, total RNA Pol II, or mouse IgG antibodies.

Telomerase Activity Assay. To assess telomerase activity, a real-time quantitative telomerase repeated amplification protocol analysis was performed (46, 47).

iPS Cell Culture, Differentiation toward Hematopoietic Lineage, and CFU Assay. The human iPS cell maintained and differentiated as depicted in Fig. 6A. iPS cell lines were maintained in a feeder-free culture system with mTeSR Plus medium (Stem Cell Technologies). For hematopoietic differentiation, undifferentiated iPS cells at 70 to 80% confluence were treated with Matrigel 24 h before starting the differentiation. The medium was changed the next day (day 1) with the same differentiation medium supplemented with hematopoietic cytokines SCF, FLT3LG, IL-3, IL-6, G-CSF (all from R&D), and BMP4 (Miltenyi). EBs were dissociated and single-cell suspensions stained with anti–CD34-fluorescein isothiocyanate, anti–CD31-phycoerythrin, and anti–CD45-allophycocyanin (all from Becton Dickinson) antibodies and 7-actinomycin D and analyzed by flow cytometry. CFU assays were performed by plating 100,000 cells from EBs at day 15 into methylcellulose culture medium H4434 (Stem Cell Technologies).

Statistical Analysis. Data were analyzed by ANOVA and Bonferroni or Dunnet's posttest using GraphPad Prism software.

Data Availability. All study data are included in the article and/or [SI Appendix](https://www.pnas.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.1073/pnas.2015528118/-/DCSupplemental).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We strongly acknowledge María C. López-Maya for her excellent technical assistance. We also thank Prof. S. A. Renshaw for the fish line Tg(mpx::eGFP). This work was supported by the Spanish Ministry of Science, Innovation and Universities (Instituto de Salud Carlos III Grants PI16/ 00038 and PI19/00188 to M.L.C., BIO2017-84702-R to V.M., and Juan de la Cierva postdoctoral contract to F.A.-P.), all cofunded with Fondos Europeos de Desarrollo Regional/European Regional Development Funds, Fundación Séneca-Murcia (Grant 19400/PI/14 to M.L.C.), Fundación Ramón Areces, and the University of Murcia (postdoctoral contracts to A.B.P.-O. and D.G.-M. and predoctoral contract to E.M.-B.). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

- 4. M. Kirwan, I. Dokal, Dyskeratosis congenita, stem cells and telomeres. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1792, 371–379 (2009).
- 5. C. Trahan, F. Dragon, Dyskeratosis congenita mutations in the H/ACA domain of human telomerase RNA affect its assembly into a pre-RNP. RNA 15, 235–243 (2009).
- 6. K. D. Tsakiri et al., Adult-onset pulmonary fibrosis caused by mutations in telomerase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 104, 7552–7557 (2007).
- 7. T. J. Vulliamy et al., Differences in disease severity but similar telomere lengths in genetic subgroups of patients with telomerase and shelterin mutations. PLoS One 6, e24383 (2011).
- 8. T. H. Brümmendorf, S. Balabanov, Telomere length dynamics in normal hematopoiesis and in disease states characterized by increased stem cell turnover. Leukemia 20, 1706–1716 (2006).
- 9. M. W. Drummond, S. Balabanov, T. L. Holyoake, T. H. Brummendorf, Concise review: Telomere biology in normal and leukemic hematopoietic stem cells. Stem Cells 25, 1853–1861 (2007).
- 10. T. J. Vulliamy, I. Dokal, Dyskeratosis congenita: The diverse clinical presentation of mutations in the telomerase complex. Biochimie 90, 122–130 (2008).
- 11. I. Dokal, Dyskeratosis congenita. Hematology (Am. Soc. Hematol. Educ. Program) 2011, 480–486 (2011).
- 12. J. Choi et al., TERT promotes epithelial proliferation through transcriptional control of a Myc- and Wnt-related developmental program. PLoS Genet. 4, e10 (2008).
- 13. M. Bernabé-García et al., Telomerase reverse transcriptase activates transcription of miR500A to inhibit Hedgehog signalling and promote cell invasiveness. Mol. Oncol. 15, 1818–1834 (2021).
- 14. S. A. Stewart et al., Telomerase contributes to tumorigenesis by a telomere lengthindependent mechanism. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 99, 12606–12611 (2002).
- 15. K. Y. Sarin et al., Conditional telomerase induction causes proliferation of hair follicle stem cells. Nature 436, 1048–1052 (2005).
- 16. J. I. Park et al., Telomerase modulates Wnt signalling by association with target gene chromatin. Nature 460, 66–72 (2009).
- 17. Q. Zheng et al., Mitochondrion-processed TERC regulates senescence without affecting telomerase activities. Protein Cell 10, 631–648 (2019).
- 18. M. Rubtsova et al., Protein encoded in human telomerase RNA is involved in cell protective pathways. Nucleic Acids Res. 46, 8966–8977 (2018).
- 19. F. Alcaraz-Pérez et al., A non-canonical function of telomerase RNA in the regulation of developmental myelopoiesis in zebrafish. Nat. Commun. 5, 3228 (2014).
- 20. C. Chu, K. Qu, F. L. Zhong, S. E. Artandi, H. Y. Chang, Genomic maps of long noncoding RNA occupancy reveal principles of RNA-chromatin interactions. Mol. Cell 44, 667–678 (2011).
- 21. H. Ly et al., Functional characterization of telomerase RNA variants found in patients with hematologic disorders. Blood 105, 2332–2339 (2005).
- 22. D. L. Stachura et al., The zebrafish granulocyte colony-stimulating factors (Gcsfs): 2 paralogous cytokines and their roles in hematopoietic development and maintenance. Blood 122, 3918–3928 (2013).
- 23. A. Bukrinsky, K. J. Griffin, Y. Zhao, S. Lin, U. Banerjee, Essential role of spi-1-like (spi-1l) in zebrafish myeloid cell differentiation. Blood 113, 2038–2046 (2009).
- 24. C. Mosimann et al., Chamber identity programs drive early functional partitioning of the heart. Nat. Commun. 6, 8146 (2015).
- 25. S. A. Renshaw et al., A transgenic zebrafish model of neutrophilic inflammation. Blood 108, 3976–3978 (2006).
- 26. J. Rhodes et al., Interplay of pu.1 and gata1 determines myelo-erythroid progenitor cell fate in zebrafish. Dev. Cell 8, 97–108 (2005).
- 27. W. Zhang, M. Xie, M. D. Shu, J. A. Steitz, D. DiMaio, A proximity-dependent assay for specific RNA-protein interactions in intact cells. RNA 22, 1785–1792 (2016).
- 28. B. Boyraz, C. M. Bellomo, M. D. Fleming, C. S. Cutler, S. Agarwal, A novel TERC CR4/ CR5 domain mutation causes telomere disease via decreased TERT binding. Blood 128, 2089–2092 (2016).
- 29. O. G. Gonzalez et al., Telomerase stimulates ribosomal DNA transcription under hyperproliferative conditions. Nat. Commun. 5, 4599 (2014).
- 30. S. S. Jose et al., The telomerase complex directly controls hematopoietic stem cell differentiation and senescence in an induced pluripotent stem cell model of telomeropathy. Front. Genet. 9, 345 (2018).
- 31. V. Haberle, A. Stark, Eukaryotic core promoters and the functional basis of transcription initiation. Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 19, 621–637 (2018).
- 32. M. C. Tsai et al., Long noncoding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. Science 329, 689–693 (2010).
- 33. M. Wery, M. Kwapisz, A. Morillon, Noncoding RNAs in gene regulation. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. Syst. Biol. Med. 3, 728–738 (2011).
- 34. J. L. Rinn, H. Y. Chang, Genome regulation by long noncoding RNAs. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 81, 145–166 (2012).
- 35. C. Solé, M. Nadal-Ribelles, E. de Nadal, F. Posas, A novel role for lncRNAs in cell cycle control during stress adaptation. Curr. Genet. 61, 299–308 (2015).
- 36. A. de Andres-Pablo, A. Morillon, M. Wery, LncRNAs, lost in translation or licence to regulate? Curr. Genet. 63, 29–33 (2017).
- 37. S. Y. Ng, R. Johnson, L. W. Stanton, Human long non-coding RNAs promote pluripotency and neuronal differentiation by association with chromatin modifiers and transcription factors. EMBO J. 31, 522–533 (2012).
- 38. S. Y. Ng, G. K. Bogu, B. S. Soh, L. W. Stanton, The long noncoding RNA RMST interacts with SOX2 to regulate neurogenesis. Mol. Cell 51, 349-359 (2013).
- 39. T. M. Eidem, J. F. Kugel, J. A. Goodrich, Noncoding RNAs: Regulators of the mammalian transcription machinery. J. Mol. Biol. 428, 2652–2659 (2016).
- 40. J. J. Widrick et al., An open source microcontroller based flume for evaluating swimming performance of larval, juvenile, and adult zebrafish. PLoS One 13, e0199712 (2018).
- 41. K. M. Kwan et al., The Tol2kit: A multisite gateway-based construction kit for Tol2 transposon transgenesis constructs. Dev. Dyn. 236, 3088-3099 (2007).
- 42. J. D. Sander et al., Targeted gene disruption in somatic zebrafish cells using engineered TALENs. Nat. Biotechnol. 29, 697-698 (2011).
- 43. D. Ma, J. Zhang, H. F. Lin, J. Italiano, R. I. Handin, The identification and characterization of zebrafish hematopoietic stem cells. Blood 118, 289–297 (2011).
- 44. F. Alcaraz-Pérez, V. Mulero, M. L. Cayuela, Application of the dual-luciferase reporter assay to the analysis of promoter activity in Zebrafish embryos. BMC Biotechnol. 8, 81 (2008).
- 45. P. Yakovchuk, J. A. Goodrich, J. F. Kugel, B2 RNA and Alu RNA repress transcription by disrupting contacts between RNA polymerase II and promoter DNA within assembled complexes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 106, 5569–5574 (2009).
- 46. B. S. Herbert, A. E. Hochreiter, W. E. Wright, J. W. Shay, Nonradioactive detection of telomerase activity using the telomeric repeat amplification protocol. Nat. Protoc. 1, 1583–1590 (2006).
- 47. M. Anchelin, L. Murcia, F. Alcaraz-Pérez, E. M. García-Navarro, M. L. Cayuela, Behaviour of telomere and telomerase during aging and regeneration in zebrafish. PLoS One 6, e16955 (2011).
- 48. M. Popenda et al., Automated 3D structure composition for large RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res. 40, e112 (2012).

**CELL BIOLOGY** CELL BIOLOGY