

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

The Lancet Regional Health - Western Pacific



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/lanwpc

Research paper

Clinical remission of rheumatoid arthritis in a multicenter real-world study in Asia-Pacific region

Xing Sun^{a,#}, Ru Li^{a,#,*}, Yueming Cai^{b,#}, Adeeba Al-Herz^c, Manjari Lahiri^d, Minhaj Rahim Choudhury^e, Rudy Hidayat^f, Bagus Putu Putra Suryana^g, Yuko Kaneko^h, Keishi Fujioⁱ, Nguyen Van Hung^j, Sapan Pandya^k, Leong Khai Pang^l, Wanruchada Katchamart^m, Keshav Raj Sigdelⁿ, Buddhi Paudyalⁿ, Pongthorn Narongroeknawin^o, Parawee Chevaisrakul^p, Feng Sun^q, Yu Lu^r, Carmen Ho^s, Swan Sim Yeap^t, Zhanguo Li^{a,*}, on behalf of APLAR RA SIG group

^a Department of Rheumatology & Immunology, Peking University People's Hospital, 11 Xizhimen South St., Beijing 100044, China

^b Department of Rheumatology & Immunology, Peking University Shenzhen Hospital, Shenzhen, China

^c Rheumatology Unit, Department of Internal Medicine, Al-Amiri Hospital, Kuwait City, Kuwait

^d Department of Medicine, Yong Loo Lin School of Medicine, National University of Singapore, Singapore

^e Department of Rheumatology, Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical University, Dhaka, Bangladesh

^f Department of Internal Medicine, Cipto Mangunkusumo National Hospital Faculty of Medicine, Universitas Indonesia, Jakarta

^g Rheumatology Division, Internal Medicine Department, Brawijaya University - Saiful Anwar Hospital, Indonesia

^h Division of Rheumatology, Department of Internal Medicine, Keio University School of Medicine, Tokyo, Japan

¹Department of Allergy and Rheumatology, Graduate School of Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan

^j Department of Rheumatology, Bach Mai Hospital, Giai Phong Road, Dong Da District, Hanoi, Vietnam

^k Vedanta institute of medical sciences and VS hospital, Ahmedabad, India

¹Department of Rheumatology, Allergy and Immunology, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore

m Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok, Thailand

ⁿ Department of Internal Medicine, Patan Academy of Health Sciences, Kathmandu, Nepal

^o Division of Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Phramongkutklao Hospital and College of Medicine, Bangkok, Thailand

P Division of Allergy, Immunology and Rheumatology, Department of Medicine, Faculty of Medicine Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok,

Thailand

⁹ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Peking University, 38 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing 100191, China

^r School of Mathematical Science, Nankai University, No. 94 Weijin Road, Nankai District, Tianjin, 300071, China

^s Division of Rheumatology, Dept of Medicine, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong SAR, China

^tDepartment of Medicine, Subang Jaya Medical Centre, Selangor

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 March 2021 Revised 15 June 2021 Accepted 19 July 2021

ABSTRACT

Background: Clinical remission is an attainable goal for Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA). However, data on RA remission rates from multinational studies in the Asia-Pacific region are limited. We conducted a cross-sectional multicentric study to evaluate the clinical remission status and the related factors in RA patients in the Asia-Pacific region.

Methods: RA patients receiving standard care were enrolled consecutively from 17 sites in 11 countries from APLAR RA SIG group. Data were collected on-site by rheumatologists with a standardized case-report form. Remission was analyzed by different definitions including disease activity score using 28 joints (DAS28) based on ESR and CRP, clinical disease activity index (CDAI), simplified disease activity index (SDAI), Boolean remission definition, and clinical deep remission (CliDR). Logistic regression was used to determine related factors of remission.

Findings: A total of 2010 RA patients was included in the study, the overall remission rates were 62•3% (DAS28-CRP), 35•5% (DAS28-ESR), 30•8% (CDAI), 26•5% (SDAI), 24•7% (Boolean), and 17•1% (CliDR), respectively, and varied from countries to countries in the Asia-Pacific region. Biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (b/tsDMARDs) prescription rate was low (17•9%). Compared to patients in non-remission, patients in remission had higher rates of b/tsDMARDs usage and lower rates of

E-mail addresses: doctorliru123@163.com (R. Li), li99@bjmu.edu.cn (Z. Li).

[#] Xing Sun, Ru Li and Yueming Cai contributed equally to this work.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lanwpc.2021.100240

2666-6065/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

^{*} Corresponding authors. Department of Rheumatology and Immunology, Peking University People's Hospital, 11 Xizhimen South St., Beijing 100044, China. Fax: 8610-88378021

GC usage. The favorable related factors were male sex, younger age, fewer comorbidities, fewer extraarticular manifestations (EAM), and use of b/tsDMARDs, while treatment with GC was negatively related to remission.

Interpretation: Remission rates were low and varied in the Asia-Pacific region. Treatment with b/tsDMARDs and less GC usage were related to higher remission rate. There is an unmet need for RA remission in the Asia-Pacific region.

© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)

1. Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic autoimmune inflammatory disease characterized by symmetrical polyarthritis and results in dysfunction, work disability, reduced quality of life, and shortened life expectancy. Current treatment guidelines recommend the early use of disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) in a 'treat-to-target' (T2T) strategy that aims to achieve disease remission or at least low disease activity (LDA). Clinical remission in RA patients is defined as the absence of signs and symptoms of significant inflammation, which can be variously defined by several instruments including DAS28, CDAI, SDAI, Boolean remission criteria, CliDR and other clinical definitions (such as RAPID3, MD, Clin28, etc.) .[1-8]

Recently, rapid diagnosis and response to treatment modifications with tight monitoring and control have facilitated the induction and maintenance of remission in RA patients. Many welldesigned registries and studies have documented the remission rate and status in RA patients, indicating that RA remission rate varied in different countries and centers. A study including 24 countries in the Euro-American region showed that 19.6% and 13.8% of RA patients achieved remission according to DAS28-ESR and CDAI. [9] Other single-country studies have been conducted showing that clinical remission rate was low in the Asia-Pacific region, such as 14.6% in Thailand, 20.0% in India, and 29.5% in China for DAS28-ESR. [6,10,11] However, there is no data about RA remission rate of multinational study in the Asia-Pacific region. Herein, this study was performed to assess the remission rates in a largescale multicentric study in the Asia-Pacific region, and analyze the factors related to remission according to various remission definitions.

2. Methods

2.1. Study design and population

This is a multinational real-life cross-sectional study. Adult patients (\geq 18 years of age at entry) who fulfilled the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) 1987 criteria or the 2010 ACR/EULAR classification criteria of RA were collected from 17 centers in 11 countries in the Asia-Pacific region (including China (Mainland and Hong Kong), Singapore, Bangladesh, Indonesia, Thailand, Japan, Malaysia, Vietnam, India, Kuwait and Nepal).

2.2. Data Collection

Data collection was from December 2017 to February 2019. The study was approved by the ethics committee of each center. Physicians completed a standardized case report form for each patient. Demographic features collected included age at the data collection, sex, weight, height, ethnic group, education level, work status, smoking, and family history. Clinical characteristics collected included the locations and numbers of affected joints, disease duration, morning stiffness, extra-articular manifestations (EAM), and comorbidities. Laboratory variables included erythrocyte sedimentation rate (ESR), C-reactive protein level (CRP), the level of anticyclic citrullinated protein (CCP) antibody, and rheumatoid factor (RF) were also collected. Information on current therapeutic regimes was also included: therapy with csDMARDs, biologic or targeted synthetic DMARDs (b/tsDMARDs), and GC. DMARDs that patients received for fewer than 3 months prior to inclusion were not included in this report.

Clinical assessment included pain visual analog scale (pVAS, 0-10cm scale), fatigue visual analog scale (fVAS, 0-10cm scale), VAS scores for the physician's global (Ph Global score) and patient's global (Pt Global score) (both on a 0-10cm scale), and health assessment questionnaire disability index (HAQ-DI).

2.3. Definitions of remission and therapeutic regimes

Remission rates were analyzed according to the following definitions: (1) DAS28-ESR<2.6; (2) DAS28-CRP<2.6; (3) CDAI \leq 2.8; (4) SDAI \leq 3.3; (5) Boolean-based definition: patients satisfy all of the following: tender joint count (TJC) \leq 1; swollen joint count (SJC) \leq 1; CRP \leq 1 mg/dl; patient global assessment \leq 1[range 0–10]; (6) CliDR: patients have no swollen or tender joint with normal ESR and CRP level (<0.8mg/dl).

We divided the therapeutic regimes into 5 categories, including csDMARD monotherapy, csDMARDs combination (two or more cs-DMARDs), GC co-prescribed with csDMARDs (csDMARDs not specified as single or combination), GC monotherapy, and b/tsDMARDs with or without csDMARDs.

2.4. Statistical analysis

The study was planned to collect a conservative estimate of 100 participants in each country or region. Demographic and disease characteristics were described as median (interquartile range, IQR) or proportions (%). The t test, chi-square, one-way analysis of variance and Kruskal-Wallis test were used to compare differences between groups, respectively. Remission rates were presented as percentages. Relationship between the remission and clinical features was analyzed by univariable logistic regression, and the results were expressed the odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence interval (CI). P-value <0.1 was considered as the cut-off for inclusion of the variables in a multivariable model. Related factors of remission were identified using backward stepwise multivariable logistic regression and P-values < 0.05 were considered as significant. Missing data were omitted and the remaining data were analyzed in the study. Analysis was performed with the IBM SPSS, V24, PASS (Version 15) and GraphPad Prism V8.0.

2.5. Role of the funding source

The funders and sponsors of the study did not participate in study design, data collection, data analysis, data interpretation, or writing of the report. All authors had full access to all the data in the study. The corresponding author was responsible for the decision to submit for publication.



Figure 1. Multivariable model of remission rate in different countries in the Asia-Pacific region: a DAS28-ESR; b Boolean Criteria; c CliDR

Table 1Demographic characteristics of patients.

	All patients (n=2010)
Female, n (%)	1664/2001 (83•2)
Age, yrs, median (IQR)	54•7 (46•0, 62•0)
Disease duration, months, median (IQR)	70•0 (28•7, 141•3)
TJC28, median (IQR)	1 (0, 3)
SJC28, median (IQR)	0 (0, 2)
DJC28, median (IQR)	0 (0, 0)
Morning Stiffness>30min, n (%)	333/667 (49•9)
HAQ-DI, median (IQR)	0•19 (0•00, 0•75)
Pain VAS, median (IQR)	2•00 (0•10, 4•50)
Fatigue VAS, median (IQR)	1•50 (0•00, 4•00)
Patient global VAS, median (IQR)	2•00 (0•60, 4•13)
Physician global VAS, median (IQR)	2•00 (0•10, 3•00)
High education, n (%)	502 /1532(32•8)
Full-time work, n (%)	563 /1390(40•5)
BMI, kg/m², median (IQR)	23•4 (20•7, 27•3)
Family history, n (%)	285 /1751(16•3)
EAM, n (%)	333 /1749(19•0)
Smoking	
Never, n (%)	1652 /1900(87•0)
Former, n (%)	156 /1900(8•21)
Current, n (%)	92 /1900(4•84)
RF, n (%)	1167/1523 (76•6)
Anti-CCP, n (%)	999/1394(71•7)
ESR, mm/h, median (IQR)	25•0 (13•0, 45•0)
CRP, mg/dL, median (IQR)	0•57 (0•22, 1•90)

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and disease characteristics of the patients

Patients' characteristics are presented in Table 1. Eighty-three percent of patients were female, and the female: male ratio was 5:1. The median age was 54•7 (46•0, 62•0) years, and the median disease duration was 70•0 (28•7,141•3) months. Rates of Patients with positive RF or anti-CCP were 76•6% (1167/1523) and 71•7%

Table 2	
---------	--

Comparison of remission rates in different countries.

(999/1394). Details of demographic and disease features of patients from different countries are shown in Supplementary Table 1.

3.2. Comparison of remission rates with different definitions

As illustrated in Table 2, there was wide variation of remission rates according to different definitions. Remission rates calculated using various definitions were 62•3% for DAS28-CRP, followed by 35•5% for DAS28-ESR, 30•8% for CDAI, 26•5% for SDAI, 24•7% for Boolean, and 17•1% (CliDR), respectively.

Among 11 countries, the remission rates ranged from 13.9%-77•1% for DAS28-CRP, 6•25%-58•5% for DAS28-ESR, 3•57%-54•3% for CDAI, 2·27%-54·9% for SDAI, 2·25%-51·9% for Boolean criteria, and 1.72%-38.4% for CliDR, which was consistent with the overall tendency. Remission rates varied greatly in different countries. The variance between the highest and lowest remission rate in different countries was from 36•7% to 63•3% (Table 2). Patients from Kuwait and Japan had significantly higher remission rates compared to Mainland China, while the remission rates of Bangladesh, Indonesia, and Vietnam were lower according to DAS28-ESR, Boolean and CliDR definition (Figure 1) (p <0.001). Comparing to RA patients from other countries, patients from Kuwait and Japan had higher b/tsDMARDs prescription, while patients from Bangladesh, Indonesia, and Vietnam had higher TJC counts, higher Patient Global score, and higher ESR levels. Moreover, b/tsDMARDs usage rate of patients from Bangladesh was only 4.0%, and patients from Vietnam had higher usage of GC coprescribed with csDMARDs (Supplementary table 1).

3.3. Therapeutic regimes and remission

There were 80.7% (1598/1980) of patients using csDMARD. GC combined with csDMARDs were used in 23•7% (470/1980), while 23•2% (459/1980) patients received csDMARDs combination therapy, and 21•4% (424/1980) patients received csDMARD monother-

	Total	Mainland	Hong Kong	Bangladesh	Indonesia	Thailand	Japan	Malaysia	Singapore	Vietnam	India	Kuwait	Nepal	Difference between lowest to highest
DAS28-ESR (%)	35•5	30•8	39•8	9•00*	18•8	33•1	48•2	33.0	34•9	6•25*	23•8	58•5*	17•2	52•2
DAS28-CRP (%)	62•3	52•9	72•0	13•9*	64•7	63•6	74•6	44•4	70•2	22•3*	76•8	77•1*	37•9	63•3
CDAI (%)	30•8	13•9	26•5	9•00	35•0	36•9	42•7	14•0	32•9	3•57*	9•47	54•3*	19•0	50•7
SDAI (%)	26•5	10•2	26•5	2•27*	20•1	16•7	42•6	2•53	25•4	4•46	8•99	54•9*	19•0	52•6
Boolean (%)	24•7	22•1	25•3	2•25*	12•4	14•7	36•4	3•41*	18•7	2•68*	10•1	51•9*	10•3	49•6
CliDR (%)	17•1	14•5	20•5	3•23	5•08	1•72*	26•3	5•13	7•88	2•68	2•56	38•4*	10•3	36•7

* Comparing to other countries and regions, P<0.0001.

apy. B/tsDMARDs was used in 17.9% (354/1980) of patients, while 1.6% (32/1980) RA patients was on GC monotherapy. The most commonly used csDMARDs were methotrexate (63•7%), hydroxychloroquine (27•2%), leflunomide (16•8%), and sulfasalazine (15•9%) in the Asia-Pacific region. Other csDMARDs, including Iguratimod (40/1980 (2.0%)), Tacrolimus (19/1980 (1.0%)), Mycophenolate mofetil (3/1980 (0.2%)), and cyclophosphamide (1/1980 (0.1%)), were also used by patients. There were 18.9% (238/1262) of patients with methotrexate <10mg, while 3.3% (18/538) of patients received GC>10mg. TNF inhibitors (TNFi) as the most commonly prescribed bDMARDs, were used in 7.7% (153/1980) of patients. In addition, 4.8% (95/1980), 2.6% (51/1980), 1.8% (36/1980), and 1.0% (19/1980) of patients received IL-6 inhibitor (tocilizumab), anti-CD20 mAb (rituximab), CTLA4-Ig (abatacept), and JAK inhibitor (Tofacitinib and Baricitinib), respectively. Therapeutic regimes in different countries are shown in Supplementary table 2.

We compared therapeutic regimes in remission or nonremission patients based on different definitions. Compared to patients not in remission, patients in remission had significantly higher rates of b/tsDMARDs, and lower rates of GC usage (Table 3) (p<0.0001).

We further compared the remission rates among groups using different bDMARDs or tsDMARDs (Table 4). The results showed that there was no significant difference between bDMARDs or tsD-MARDs for remission rates.

3.3. Factors related to remission

Univariable logistic regression analysis was performed to analyze the relationship between variables and treatment outcome based on various remission definitions (Supplementary table 4). Male sex, fewer comorbidities and EAM, and high education level were positively related to remission. Comparing to csDMARD monotherapy, treatment with GC monotherapy or co-prescribed with csDMARDs were related to non-remission, while b/tsDMARDs usage was related to remission according to different criteria (all P < 0.05).

The results of multivariable logistic regression analysis were represented in Table 5. The likelihood of being in remission was higher in patients with male sex, younger age, fewer EAM and comorbidities, while csDMARDs combination, GC monotherapy and co-prescribed with csDMARDs were negatively related to remission rates according to DAS28-ESR criteria. Based on Boolean criteria, csDMARD co-prescribed with GC was related to non-remission of RA, while b/tsDMARDs prescription was related to RA remission. Factors related to RA remission according to all criteria was presented in Table 5.

4. Discussion

In this large-scale multinational study in the Asia-Pacific region, the pooled remission rates were varied from 17•1% to 62•3% according to different remission criteria. The highest remission rate was for DAS28-CRP, followed by DAS28-ESR, CDAI, SDAI, Boolean, and CliDR. We identified that several factors were related to RA remission based on different criteria in this dataset, including demographic data (age and sex), clinical features (EAM and comorbidities) and therapeutic regimes (csDMARDs, GC and b/tsDMARDs usage). The results showed that remission using stringent criteria including CliDR, Boolean and SDAI definition, was an achievable goal in selected patients in the Asia-Pacific region.

There are already some registries illustrating that RA remission rate has increased over the years, which may have resulted from early diagnosis and T2T strategy of RA. [12-14] In this study, RA patients achieved the highest remission rate according to DAS28-CRP (62•3%), higher than DAS28-ESR, which was consistent with

	DAS28-FSR		P Value	DA \$2.8-CRP		P Value	CDAI		P Value	SDAI		P Value	Boolean		P Value	CliDR		P Value
		:			:						;			:			:	
	Remission	Non-		Remission	Non-		Remission	Non-		Remission	Non-		Remission	Non-		Remission	Non-	
		remission			remission			remission			remission			remission			remission	
MTX, n(%)	405(63•4)	731(63•4)	66•0	688(65•6)	369(58•2)	0-0022	397(66•4)	843(62•7)	0•12	320(64•8)	855(63•3)	0•57	300(63•2)	908(63•7)	0•82	199(63•2)	950(63•0)	0•95
LEF, n(%)	85(13•3)	210(18•2)	0-0072	154(14•7)	126(19•9)	0-0057	65(10•9)	256(19•0)	<0•0001	54(10•9)	256(19•0)	<0•0001	64(13•5)	260(18•2)	0-011	39(12•4)	272(18•0)	0-015
SASP, n(%)	83(13•0)	191(16•6)	0•044	158(15•1)	93(14•7)	0•82	84(14•0)	226(16•8)	0•12	63(12•8)	228(16•9)	0-031	60(12•6)	239(16•8)	0•032	29(9•2)	263(17•4)	<0•0001
HCQ, n(%)	165(25•8)	283(24•5)	0•55	275(26•2)	175(27•6)	0-54	144(24•1)	382(28•4)	0•047	124(25•1)	375(27•8)	0•25	134(28•2)	383(26•9)	0•57	93(29•5)	378(25•1)	0•10
CsA, n(%)	4(0.6)	18(1•6)	0•085	17(1•6)	7(1•1)	0•39	6(1•0)	21(1•6)	0•33	4(0•8)	22(1•6)	0•19	5(1•1)	21(1•5)	0•49	1(0.3)	25(1•7)	0•068
AZA, n(%)	7(1-1)	11(1•0)	0•77	11(1-0)	4(0•6)	0•38	6(1-0)	13(1•0)	0•94	5(1•0)	13(1•0)	0•92	6(1•3)	12(0•8)	0•41	4(1•3)	14(0•9)	0•58
TNF inhibitor, n(%)	71(11-1)	80(6•9)	0-0023	115(11•0)	36(5•7)	<0•001	73(12•2)	76(5•7)	<0•0001	70(14•2)	78(5•8)	<0•0001	74(15•6)	78(5•5)	<0•001	45(14•3)	108(7•2)	<0•0001
IL-6 inhibitor, n(%)	59(9•2)	35(3•0)	<0•0001	73(7•0)	20(3•2)	06000•0	39(6•5)	55(4•1)	0•021	39(17•3)	53(3•0)	0-00053	34(7•2)	60(4•2)	0-010	31(9•8)	63(4•2)	<0•0001
Anti-CD20 mAb,	29(4•5)	22(1•9)	0-0013	32(3•1)	16(2•5)	0•53	27(4•5)	24(1•8)	0+0052	24(4•9)	24(1•8)	<0•0001	23(4•8)	25(1•8)	<0•001	20(6•3)	30(2•0)	<0•0001
n(%)																		
Abatacept, n(%)	17(2•7)	19(1•6)	0•14	28(2•7)	8(1•3)	0-053	20(3•3)	16(1•2)	0-0012	20(4•0)	16(1•2)	<0•0001	19(4•0)	17(1•2)	<0•001	10(3•2)	26(1•7)	0•092
JAK inhibitor, n(%)	9(1•4)	10(0•9)	0•28	11(1-0)	6(0•1)	0-84	6(1•0)	12(0•9)	0•82	6(1•2)	12(0•9)	0•53	7(1•5)	12(0•8)	0•23	4(1•3)	15(1•0)	0•66
Drug modes, n (%)			<0•0001			<0•001			<0•0001			<0•0001			<0•001			<0•0001
csDMARD	171(29•1)	222(22•6)		236(24•6)	93(18•5)		155(28•1)	263(22•7)		128(28•3)	253(21•7)		111(25•5)	284(23•1)		68(23•9)	315(24•0)	
monotherapy																		
csDMARDs	148(25•2)	249(25•4)		254(26•5)	120(23•8)		134(24•3)	316(27•2)		113(24•9)	312(26•1)		116(26•7)	322(26•1)		74(26•0)	331(25•2)	
combination																		
csDMARDs with	125(13•6)	317(32•3)		202(21•0)	186(36•9)		92(16•7)	372(32•1)		51(11•3)	393(33•6)		49(11•3)	405(32•9)		427(10•9)	396(30•0)	
CC																		
GC monotherapy	4(0•7)	37(2•8)		(6•0)6	19(3•8)		5(0•9)	26(2•2)		2(0•4)	27(2•3)		2(0•5)	29(2•4)		2(0•7)	28(2•1)	
b/tsDMARDs with	176(31•5)	156(16•9)		249(27•0)	80(17•1)		159(29•9)	171(15•8)		153(35•1)	171(15•7)		150(36•1)	180(15•6)		106(38•6)	227(18•4)	
or without csDMARDs																		

Table 4

Comparison of the remission rate in different b/tsDMARDs

Remission criteria	TNFi n=153	IL-6i n=95	Anti-CD20 mAb n=51	CTLA4-Ig n=36	JAKi n=19	P value
DAS28-ESR, n (%)	71 (47•0)	59 (62•8)	29 (56•9)	17 (47•2)	9 (47•4)	0•15
DAS28-CRP, n (%)	115 (76•2)	73 (78•5)	32 (66•7)	28 (77•8)	11 (64•7)	0•46
CDAI, n (%)	73 (49•0)	39 (41•5)	27 (52•9)	20 (55•6)	6 (33•3)	0•34
SDAI, n (%)	70 (47•3)	39 (42•4)	24 (50•0)	20 (55•6)	6 (33•3)	0•50
Boolean, n (%)	74 (48•7)	34 (36•2)	23 (47•9)	19 (52•8)	7 (36•8)	0•25
CliDR, n (%)	45 (29•4)	31 (33•0)	20 (40•0)	10 (27•8)	4 (21•1)	0•51

Table 5

Multivariable analysis of different remission criteria

	DAS28-ESR	DAS28-CRP	CDAI	SDAI	Boolean	CliDR
Sex						
male						
female	0•28 (0•17, 0•47)	0•50 (0•29, 0•88)	ns	ns	ns	0•46 (0•24, 0•88)
Age (years)	0•98 (0•97, 1•00)	0•986 (0•97,0•99)	ns	ns	ns	ns
Duration (months)	ns	1•003 (1•001, 1•005)	ns	ns	ns	ns
Comorbidities	0•62 (0•42, 0•92)	ns	ns	ns	ns	0•61 (0•44, 0•85)
EAM	0•58 (0•36, 0•95)	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns
Drug mode						
csDMARD monotherapy						
csDMARDs combination	0•51 (0•30, 0•88)	0•57 (0•33, 0•93)	ns	0•52 (0•30, 0•92)	ns	ns
csDMARDs with GC	0•40 (0•25, 0•64)	0•35 (0•22, 0•56)	0•54 (0•32, 0•91)	0•40 (0•24, 0•67)	0.47 (0.26, 0.85)	ns
GC monotherapy	0•19 (0•04, 0•91)	0•14 (0•05, 0•44)	ns	ns	ns	ns
b/tsDMARDs with or without	ns	ns	ns	2•00 (1•2, 3•4)	2.69 (1.50, 4.81)	2•4 (1•2, 4•8)
csDMARDs						

ns: no significance; All P < 0.05

other studies. [6,9,15,16] Remission rate based on CDAI was 30.8%, higher than SDAI (26.5%), which might be owing to elevated CRP levels in this cohort. Several studies suggested that Boolean remission is one of the most stringent criteria and more likely to prevent the progression of functional disability. [17] However, a substantial proportion of patients with one tender or swollen joint are categorized as being in Boolean remission while they were still having residual disease activity. Presenting as clinical deep remission criteria, CliDR might be feasible in daily practice, which was shown in other studies. [6,18] There was significant variance of remission rates among different countries, which could be owing to discrepancy in TJC, Patient and Physician Global score, ESR levels, and usage of b/tsDMARDs in these countries. Remission rates have been shown that differed in the range of 36.2%-61.6% for DAS-ESR, 17.3-34.0% for Boolean criteria, and 26.2%-39.0% for SDAI in different countries. [19-21] However, related factors including ethnicity, economy and education could also influence the remission status in different countries, which are not the concerns of this study but could be further analyzed.

There was high prescription rate of csDMARDs in this study. Comparing to cohorts from other regions, b/tsDMARDs prescription rate was low and dosage of MTX was insufficient from this dataset in the Asia-Pacific region, which might be related to low rates of remission. [22,23] In addition, different kinds of b/tsDMARDs showed no significant difference in remission rates. In other headto-head studies, similar treatment efficacy has been described. [24-26]

In this real-world study, male sex was related to higher remission rates in DAS28-ESR and CliDR criteria, as female may appear to be more susceptible to pain, have higher PGA and ESR levels, and consequently reduce the remission rate. [27,28] To analyze the relationship between current therapeutic regimes and remission, we collected current-taking drugs for more than 3 months. The results showed that the use of b/tsDMARDs was positively related to RA remission, which was in line with the results of several previous studies. However, csDMARDs combination and GC coprescribed with csDMARDs were related to non-remission according to all definitions except CliDR. As recommended, GC should be used as bridging therapy until csDMARDs exhibit their efficacy and tapered rapidly. GC co-prescribed with csDMARDs and combinations of csDMARDs are more intensive than csDMARD monotherapy, so the negative relationship between these therapies and remission might reflect the choice of treatment based on disease severity according to clinical practice and prospective randomized trials. [29,30]

There are several limitations to this study. First, our study is a cross-sectional study rather than a prospective cohort study. Patients in our study were not followed up regularly. Second, our results demonstrated differences in the participated countries or regions, and the population analyzed here only involved part of the Asia-Pacific region, which may not be applied for other RA populations in these countries. However, we believe that the relatively large sample size and multinationality mitigated this to some extent. Furthermore, remission rates varied across different countries, which might due to variation in evaluation of physician global scores of different investigators. Further analysis is required, including exploration of discrepancies among the regions in ethnicity, access to medical care and treatment options.

In summary, remission rates were low and varied in different countries, while b/tsDMARDs and less GC usage were related to higher remission rates. Low b/tsDMARDs prescription rate and insufficient dose of MTX might be related to unsatisfactory RA remission in the Asia-Pacific region.

Contributors

XS and RL contributed to the study conduct, data analysis, and writing of the manuscript. XS, RL, YMC, AA, ML, MRC, RH, BPPS, YK, KF, NVH, SP, LKP, WK, KRS, BP, PN, PC, CH and SSY were responsible for data collection. XS, RL, FS and YL did the statistical data analysis. ZGL contributed to the design and supervision of the study. All authors approved the final submitted version and agreed to publication.

Funding/support

This work was supported in part by grants from Macao science and technology development fund (0094/2018/A3); Beijing Key Laboratory for Rheumatism Mechanism and Immune Diagnosis (BZ0135); Beijing Municipal Science and Technology Project (Z191100006619109 and Z191100006619110); Peking-Tsinghua Center for Life Sciences.

Data sharing

The data supporting the analysis of this study are available from the corresponding author, Zhanguo Li, upon request.

Editor note

The Lancet Group takes a neutral position with respect to territorial claims in published maps and institutional affiliations

Funding

Macao science and technology development fund (0094/2018/A3) (partial).

Research in context

Evidence before this study

We searched PubMed for studies published on Feb 18, 2021, using the terms "rheumatoid arthritis" AND "remission" AND "Asia" with no language or time restrictions. Of the 197 articles identified, there were 8 articles on the RA remission rate and the influencing factors. However, there is no data about RA remission status of multinational studies in the Asia-Pacific region.

Added value of this study

This study is the first large-scale multinational study performed to assess the remission rates in the Asia-Pacific region. RA patients from 17 centers in 11 countries were involved, and the related factors of remission were analyzed.

Implications of all the available evidence

Our study fills the gaps in RA remission status data in the Asia-Pacific region. It was shown that the remission rates were low in the Asia-Pacific region and varied greatly in different countries. It urges the efforts to improve the treatment and remission of RA patients in the Asia-Pacific region.

Declaration of Competing Interest

ML reports speaker fees from Abbvie and Johnson & Johnson, conference sponsorship from Johnson & Johnson, Pfizer, and Sanofi, and has provided advisory services for Gilead, Eli Lilly. KF has received grants or contracts from Chugai, Bristol Myers, Abbvie, Otsuka Pharmaceutical, Eli Lilly, Pfizer, Tsumura, Asahi Kasei, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Esai, Japan Blood Products Organization, Novartis, Sanofi, and Astellas, reports payments or honoraria from Astellas, Abbvie, Amgen, Ayumi, MSD, Esai, Ono, Gilead, Kowa, Sanofi, Japan Blood Products Organization, Novartis, Pfizer, Bristol Myers, Mylan EPD, Janssen, Asahi Kasei, Daiichi Sankyo, Chugai, Mitsubishi Tanabe, Eli Lilly, and Boehringer Ingelheim and has participated in the Data Safety Monitoring Board or Advisory Board for Asahi Kasei, Astellas, Abbvie, Amgen, Ono, Gilead, Chugai, Eli Lilly, Bristol Myers, and Mylan EPD. PC reports honoraria from Novartis and Johnson and Johnson, is a member of the advisory board for Johnson & Johnson, and reports samples of Amgevita, Baricitinib, and Ixekizumab. All other authors declare no competing interests.

Acknowledgment

None.

Supplementary materials

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at doi:10.1016/j.lanwpc.2021.100240.

References

- [1] Smolen JS, Landewe RBM, Bijlsma JWJ, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2019 update. Ann Rheum Dis 2020;79(6):685–99.
- [2] Prevoo ML, van 't Hof MA, Kuper HH, van Leeuwen MA, van de Putte LB, van Riel PL. Modified disease activity scores that include twenty-eight-joint counts. Development and validation in a prospective longitudinal study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 1995;38(1):44–8.
- [3] Aletaha D, Nell VP, Stamm T, et al. Acute phase reactants add little to composite disease activity indices for rheumatoid arthritis: validation of a clinical activity score. Arthritis Res Ther 2005;7(4):R796–806.
- [4] Smolen JS, Breedveld FC, Schiff MH, et al. A simplified disease activity index for rheumatoid arthritis for use in clinical practice. Rheumatology (Oxford) 2003;42(2):244–57.
- [5] Dave B, Desai S, Ramadwar M. Kimura disease with proliferative squamous metaplasia: an unusual finding and a potential diagnostic pitfall. Indian J Pathol Microbiol 2007;50(4):771–3.
- [6] Liu JJ, Li R, Gan YZ, et al. Clinical deep remission and related factors in a large cohort of patients with rheumatoid arthritis. Chin Med J (Engl) 2019;132(9):1009–14.
- [7] Pincus T, Swearingen CJ, Bergman M, Yazici Y. RAPID3 (Routine Assessment of Patient Index Data 3), a rheumatoid arthritis index without formal joint counts for routine care: proposed severity categories compared to disease activity score and clinical disease activity index categories. J Rheumatol 2008;35(11):2136–47.
- [8] Wolfe F, Rasker JJ, Boers M, Wells GA, Michaud K. Minimal disease activity, remission, and the long-term outcomes of rheumatoid arthritis. Arthritis Rheum 2007;57(6):935–42.
- [9] Sokka T, Hetland ML, Makinen H, et al. Remission and rheumatoid arthritis -Data on patients receiving usual care in twenty-four countries. Arthritis and Rheumatism 2008;58(9):2642–51.
- [10] Chandrashekara S, Shobha V, Dharmanand BG, et al. Factors influencing remission in rheumatoid arthritis patients: results from Karnataka rheumatoid arthritis comorbidity (KRAC) study. International Journal of Rheumatic Diseases 2018;21(11):1977–85.
- [11] Darawankul B, Chaiamnuay S, Pakchotanon R, Asavatanabodee P, Narongroeknawin P. The good EULAR response at the first year is strongly predictive of clinical remission in rheumatoid arthritis: results from the TARAC cohort. Clin Rheumatol 2015;34(1):43–9.
- [12] Hyrich KL, Watson KD, Lunt M, Symmons DPM. Bsrbr. Changes in disease characteristics and response rates among patients in the United Kingdom starting anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy for rheumatoid arthritis between 2001 and 2008. Rheumatology 2011;50(1):117–23.
- [13] Littlejohn G, Roberts L, Bird P, et al. Patients with Rheumatoid Arthritis in the Australian OPAL Cohort Show Significant Improvement in Disease Activity over 5 Years: A Multicenter Observational Study. Journal of Rheumatology 2015;42(9):1603–9.
- [14] Aga A-B, Lie E, Uhlig T, et al. Time trends in disease activity, response and remission rates in rheumatoid arthritis during the past decade: results from the NOR-DMARD study 2000-2010. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2015;74(2):381–8.
- [15] Zhu H, Li R, Da Z, et al. Remission assessment of rheumatoid arthritis in daily practice in China: a cross-sectional observational study. Clin Rheumatol 2018;37(3):597–605.
- [16] Greenmyer JR, Stacy JM, Sahmoun AE, Beal JR, Diri E. DAS28-CRP Cutoffs for High Disease Activity and Remission Are Lower Than DAS28-ESR in Rheumatoid Arthritis. ACR Open Rheumatology 2020;2(9):507–11.
- [17] Aletaha D, Wang X, Zhong S, Florentinus S, Monastiriakos K, Smolen JS. Differences in disease activity measures in patients with rheumatoid arthritis who achieved DAS, SDAI, or CDAI remission but not Boolean remission. Semin Arthritis Rheum 2020;50(2):276–84.
- [18] Cai Y-M, Li R, Ye H, et al. Effect of sustained intensive therapy with disease modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in rheumatoid arthritis: a 5-year real-world consecutive study. Chinese Medical Journal 2020;133(12):1397–403.
- [19] Kuriya B, Xiong J, Boire G, et al. Earlier time to remission predicts sustained clinical remission in early rheumatoid arthritis-results from the Canadian Early Arthritis Cohort (CATCH). J Rheumatol 2014;41(11):2161–6.
- [20] Svensson B, Andersson ML, Bala SV, Forslind K, Hafstrom I, group Bs. Long-term sustained remission in a cohort study of patients with rheumatoid arthritis: choice of remission criteria. BMJ Open 2013;3(9):e003554.
- [21] Shahouri SH, Michaud K, Mikuls TR, et al. Remission of rheumatoid arthritis in clinical practice: application of the American College of Rheumatol-

ogy/European League Against Rheumatism 2011 remission criteria. Arthritis Rheum 2011;63(11):3204–15.

- [22] Hetland ML, Jensen DV, Krogh NS. Monitoring patients with rheumatoid arthritis in routine care: experiences from a treat-to-target strategy using the DAN-BIO registry. Clin Exp Rheumatol 2014;32 (5 Suppl 85): S-141-6.
- [23] Salliot C, van der Heijde D. Long-term safety of methotrexate monotherapy in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a systematic literature research. Ann Rheum Dis 2009;68(7):1100–4.
- [24] Nam JL, Takase-Minegishi K, Ramiro S, et al. Efficacy of biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: a systematic literature review informing the 2016 update of the EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis. Annals of the Rheumatic Diseases 2017;76(6):1113–36.
- [25] Weinblatt ME, Schiff M, Valente R, et al. Head-to-head comparison of subcutaneous abatacept versus adalimumab for rheumatoid arthritis: findings of a phase IIIb, multinational, prospective, randomized study. Arthritis Rheum 2013;65(1):28–38.
- [26] Burmester GR, Lin Y, Patel R, et al. Efficacy and safety of sarilumab monotherapy versus adalimumab monotherapy for the treatment of patients with active rheumatoid arthritis (MONARCH): a randomised, double-blind, parallel-group phase III trial. Ann Rheum Dis 2017;76(5):840–7.

- [27] Maynard C, Mikuls TR, Cannon GW, et al. Sex Differences in the Achievement of Remission and Low Disease Activity in Rheumatoid Arthritis. Arthritis Care & Research 2020;72(3):326–33.
- [28] Moller-Bisgaard S, Georgiadis S, Horslev-Petersen K, et al. Predictors of joint damage progression and stringent remission in patients with established rheumatoid arthritis in clinical remission. Rheumatology (Oxford, England) 2020.
- [29] Black RJ, Lester S, Buchbinder R, et al. Factors associated with oral glucocorticoid use in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a drug use study from a prospective national biologics registry. Arthritis Research & Therapy 2017;19.
- [30] Burmester GR, Buttgereit F, Bernasconi C, et al. Continuing versus tapering glucocorticoids after achievement of low disease activity or remission in rheumatoid arthritis (SEMIRA): a double-blind, multicentre, randomised controlled trial. Lancet 2020;396(10246):267–76.