ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Obesity paradox in joint replacement for osteoarthritis — truth or paradox?

Setor K. Kunutsor · Michael R. Whitehouse · Ashley W. Blom

Received: 7 April 2021 / Accepted: 12 August 2021 / Published online: 27 August 2021 © The Author(s) 2021

Abstract Obesity is associated with an increased risk of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and other adverse health outcomes. In patients with pre-existing heart failure or coronary heart disease, obese individuals have a more favourable prognosis compared to individuals who are of normal weight. This paradoxical relationship between obesity and CVD has been termed the 'obesity paradox'. This phenomenon has also been observed in patients with other cardiovascular conditions and diseases of the respiratory and renal systems. Taking into consideration the wellestablished relationship between osteoarthritis (OA) and CVD, emerging evidence shows that overweight and obese individuals undergoing total hip or knee replacement for OA have lower mortality risk compared with normal weight individuals, suggesting an obesity paradox. Factors proposed to explain the obesity paradox include the role of cardiorespiratory fitness ("fat but fit"), the increased amount of lean mass in obese people, additional adipose tissue serving as a metabolic reserve, biases such as reverse causation and confounding by smoking, and the co-existence of older age and specific comorbidities such as CVD. A wealth of evidence suggests that higher levels of fitness are accompanied by prolonged life expectancy across all levels of adiposity and that the increased mortality risk attributed to obesity can be attenuated with increased fitness. For patients about to have joint replacement, improving fitness levels through physical activities or exercises that are attractive and feasible, should be a priority if intentional weight loss is unlikely to be achieved.

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{Keywords} & Obesity \ paradox \cdot Cardiovascular \\ disease \cdot Osteoarthritis \cdot Hip \ replacement \cdot Knee \\ replacement \end{tabular}$

Overweight and obesity are terms that refer to an excess of body fat and they usually relate to increased weight-for-height (World Health Organization Fact Sheets. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight. Accessed on 29 March 2021). The most common method of measuring obesity, and that recommended by the World Health Organisation, is the body mass index (BMI). In adults, overweight is defined as BMI of 25 to 29.9 kg/m² with a BMI of 30 kg/m² or higher being classified as obese (World Health Organization Fact Sheets. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-and-overweight. Accessed on

e-mail: setor.kunutsor@bristol.ac.uk

S. K. Kunutsor \cdot M. R. Whitehouse \cdot A. W. Blom Translational Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, Musculoskeletal Research Unit, University of Bristol, Learning & Research Building (Level 1), Southmead Hospital, Bristol BS10 5NB, UK



S. K. Kunutsor $(\boxtimes) \cdot M$. R. Whitehouse \cdot A. W. Blom National Institute for Health Research Bristol Biomedical Research Centre, University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

29 March 2021). Some guideline bodies such as the UK National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence (NICE) recommend the use of BMI in addition to waist circumference as the method of defining overweight and obesity and determining health risks (Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and Diet, England, 2020. https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-infor mation/publications/statistical/statistics-on-obesityphysical-activity-and-diet/england-2020/part-3-adultobesity-copy. Assessed on 26 March 2021). In 2016, more than 650 million adults worldwide (13%) were reported to be obese (World Health Organization Fact Sheets. https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/ detail/obesity-and-overweight. Accessed on 29 March 2021); obesity has reached epidemic levels in most Western countries. It is reported that 75% of the US population is either overweight or obese [30]. In the UK, it was reported that 63% of adults were either overweight or obese in the year 2018 (Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and Diet, England, 2020. https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publicatio ns/statistical/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activityand-diet/england-2020/part-3-adult-obesity-copy. Assessed on 26 March 2021).

Obesity has adverse effects on health outcomes, healthcare systems and economies [30]. Obesity is a major risk factor for vascular disease, non-vascular disease and all-cause mortality. Obesity is strongly associated with increased risk of cardiometabolic conditions such as hypertension, metabolic syndrome, diabetes mellitus, coronary heart disease (CHD), heart failure (HF), and atrial fibrillation (AF) [39]. Obesity is also associated with increased risk of cancers [4]. In the midst of the current pandemic attributed to coronavirus disease 19 (COVID-19), obesity has been linked with an increased risk of critical illness and death due to COVID-19 [43]. Obese individuals are at increased risk of musculoskeletal conditions such as osteoarthritis (OA) in both weight- and non-weight-bearing joints. Obesity is known to double the lifetime risk of knee OA [35] and increases the risk sevenfold when compared to people with a BMI < 25 [34]; obesity also increases the risk of hand OA twofold compared with people with a BMI of 25–25 kg/m² [14]. The relationship between obesity and OA has been described as strong and causal [50].

Paradoxically, despite the adverse effects of obesity on health outcomes, there are findings of an unusual relationship between BMI and outcomes in HF patients. In patients with already established HF, a wealth of evidence suggests that overweight and obese individuals have substantially improved survival rates compared with those with normal BMI [8], Lavie et al. 2016a). This phenomenon has led to the concept termed "reverse epidemiology" or "obesity paradox" (Lavie et al. 2016b). The obesity paradox has also been observed in patients with other cardiovascular conditions such as acute coronary syndromes (ACSs), CHD and AF, end-stage renal disease, respiratory conditions such as chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and pulmonary embolism as well as infections of varied sources (sepsis, pulmonary, urinary, and skin) [13, 29, 32, 38, 44].

Total joint replacement, one of the most common elective surgical procedures performed worldwide, is a highly successful and cost-effective intervention for alleviating pain and disability associated with advanced joint disease such as OA [10, 12]. The body of evidence suggests that obese people are more likely to undergo total hip or knee replacement (THR and TKR) [16], which is performed to treat end-stage OA in the overwhelming majority of cases. It is well established that there is a strong relationship between OA and CVD. Patients with OA are about three times more likely to develop CVD or HF [15] and approximately half of all adults with CVD also have a form of arthritis. There is abundant data showing that obese patients undergoing total hip or knee replacement have an increased risk of complications such as infection, venous thromboembolism, dislocation, and reoperations [2, 21, 23]. However, there is emerging evidence which shows that overweight and obese individuals undergoing TJR for OA have lower mortality risk compared with normal weight individuals, suggesting an obesity paradox (Table 1). Data based on the National Joint Registry for England, Wales, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man showed that, compared with patients with normal BMI, the risk of 90-day mortality following THR for OA was lower in BMI categories — overweight, obese class I, and obese class II; whereas underweight patients had a substantially higher risk of mortality [17, 37]. Recent findings from the same registry which was based in TKR patients showed that overweight and obese class I were associated with a lower 90-day mortality rate than normal BMI [11]. In analyses based on two arthroplasty registries (the St Vincent's Melbourne Arthroplasty (SMART) Registry from Australia



Table 1 Observational studies assessing the association between body mass index and mortality following hip or knee replacement

Table 1 Cost	a vacionai si	tunics assessing	uic associal		Table 1 Ocean validitat statics assessing the association between four mass much and mortality following inplot repraceding the	mortainty for	owing inpor	MICC ICPIACOINCIN	
Author, year of publication	Location	Population source	Baseline average age	Follow-up duration (yrs)	Joint/number of joints/patients	Major indication for surgery	Outcome	Risk estimates (95% CIs) for mortality	Covariates adjusted for
Hunt et al. 2013 [17]	. UK	NJR	X X	8.0	THR/409,096	OA	90-day mortality	Normal weight (ref):Underweight: 1.71 (0.99-2.95); Overweight: 0.76 (0.62-0.92);BMI>30 kg/m2: 0.88 (0.71-1.10)	Age, sex, comorbidity, year of operation, ASA, approach, prophylaxis (mechanical and chemical), anaesthetic and hip type/bearing
Jamsen et al. 2013 [18]	Finland	Joint replace- ment database	80	5.0	TKR/1,242THR/756	OA	All-cause mortality	TKR Per unit increase: 0.99 (0.96–1.03) THR Per unit increase: 0.91 (0.86–0.96)	Age, sex, operated joint, laterality, and anaesthesiologic risk score
Thornqvist et al. 2014 [49]	Denmark	Danish Nationwide Registries	5-75	1.0	TKR and THR /34,744 NR	N N	1-year mortality	Overweight (ref):Underweight: 5.20 (3.50–7.80)Normal weight: 1.60 (1.30–2.00)Obese 1: 1.10 (0.87–1.40)Obese 2: 1.40 (1.01–2.00)	Age, gender, and hip vs. knee replacement surgery, heart failure, previous MI, chronic ischemic heart disease, atrial fibrillation, peripheral artery disease, cerebrovascular disease, chronic obstructivepulmonary disease, renal disease, diabetes, and prosthesis type
Mouchti et al. 2018 [37]	UK	NIR	X X	11.8	THR/413,741	OA	90-day mortality	Normal weight (ref): Underweight: 2.09 (1.51–2.89); Overweight: 0.70 (0.61–0.81); Class I obese: 0.69 (0.59–0.81); Class II obese: 0.79 (0.63–0.98); Class III obese: 0.73 (0.51–1.05)	Age, sex, ASA grade, and year of operation with strati- fication for indication for operation
Dowsey et al. 2018 [9]	Australia	SMART Registry	<u>r</u>	5.0	TKR/3435THR/2950	O A	All-cause mortality	Normal weight (ref): TKR Underweight: 3.72 (1.94–7.08); Overweight: 0.79 (0.57–1.09); Class I obese: 0.66 (0.47–0.92); Class II obese: 0.54 (0.35–0.82); Class II obese: 0.73 (0.46–1.16) THR Underweight: 1.89 (0.76–4.71); Overweight: 0.84 (0.63–1.12); Class II obese: 0.86 (0.63–1.17); Class II obese: 0.86 (0.63–1.17); Class III obese: 0.68 (0.63–1.33)	Age, Australian-born, year of operation, gender, diabetes, primary diagnoses, and ASA category



Author, year of Location Population publication source	Location	Population source	Baseline average age	Follow-up duration (yrs)	Follow-up Joint/number duration of joints/patients (yrs)	Major indication for surgery	Outcome	Risk estimates (95% CIs) for mortality	Covariates adjusted for
Dowsey et al. 2018 [9]	USA	KPTJRR	29	0.4	TKR/109,333 THR/57,049	OA	All-cause mortality	Normal weight (ref): TREUnderweight: 1.88 (1.33-2.64); Overweight: 0.76 (0.71-0.82); Class I obese: 0.71 (0.66-0.78); Class II obese: 0.73 (0.66-0.81); Class III obese: 0.91 (0.80-1.04) THR Underweight: 0.99 (0.67-0.80); Class I obese: 0.68 (0.67-0.80); Class I obese: 0.71 (0.62-0.81); Class II obese: 0.71 (0.62-0.81); Class II obese: 0.71	Age, race, year of operation, gender, diabetes, bilateral, primary diagnoses, and ASA category
Evans et al. 2021 [11]	UK	NJR	NR	3.8	TKR/493,710	OA	90-day mortality	Normal weight (ref). Underweight: 1.64 (0.87–3.09); Overweight: 0.76 (0.65–0.90); Class I obese: 0.69 (0.58–0.82); Class II obese: 0.88 (0.72–1.09); Class III obese: 1.17 (0.90–1.54)	Age, sex, ASA grade, indication for operation, year of primary TKR, and fixation type

ASA, American Society of Anaesthesiologists; CI, confidence interval; KPTJRR, Kaiser Permanente Total Joint Replacement Registry; NJR, National Joint Registry for England and Wales; NR, not reported; OA, osteoarthritis; St Vincent's Melbourne Arthroplasty, SMART; THR, total hip replacement; TKR, total knee replacement



Table 1 (continued)

and the Kaiser Permanente Total Joint Replacement Registry (KPTJRR) from the USA), with more than 4 years median follow-up, being underweight was associated with higher all-cause mortality, whereas overweight, obese class I and obese class II were at a lower risk of mortality after total hip and knee replacement for OA when compared with normal-weight patients [9]. In a single-centre study of 756 primary THR replacements, high BMI was protective of mortality [18]. Data from Danish nationwide registries comprising patients who underwent elective primary hip or knee replacement surgery demonstrated high mortality among underweight patients, with the lowest risk of mortality among overweight patients [49].

The findings of higher mortality in the underweight individuals are not unexpected as this is seen in many other disease processes, which could be due to comorbidities and less "reserve" to fight illness. The reasons for the obesity paradox in many disease states, including vascular disease, remain uncertain. Some mechanisms have been proposed to explain the obesity paradox in HF and CVD and one of them is the role of cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) (Lavie et al. 2016b; [40]. Cardiorespiratory fitness, an index of habitual physical activity, integrates human body function under demanding physiological states, reflects an individual's functional capacity, and it is a major determinant of clinical outcomes [25]. A higher CRF is associated with improved outcomes in HF and other vascular and non-vascular diseases [7] as well as the reduced risk of these diseases [19, 22]. It has been suggested that having good CRF may offset the harmful consequences of excess body weight and other CVD risk factors, thereby enabling individuals to be "fat but fit" [26]. Furthermore, individuals with obesity typically have an increased amount of lean mass, which is associated with improved CRF [7]. Another reason is that because HF is associated with frailty, having more body mass and weight could be itself protective [30]. It is known that the frailty phenotype is predictive of increased morbidity, hospitalisation, and mortality [1]. Hence, the presence of additional adipose tissue in obese patients may serve as metabolic reserves for stressful events or critical illness. Unmeasured confounding induced by selection bias (termed collider bias) has been reported to be a partial explanation of the obesity paradox, but that it is unlikely to be the main explanation [45]. It has also been suggested that the obesity paradox in CVD could be a result of biases involving reverse causation and confounding by smoking [47]. Though the obesity paradox observed in patients undergoing joint replacement for OA could be due to confounding factors such as comorbidities and smoking, the consistency of the evidence cannot be ignored. The majority of reports did not provide data on the prevalence of CVD and other comorbidities or account for these in their analyses. Thornqvist and colleagues, in their analysis of Danish nationwide registries, provided detailed data on comorbidities including cardiovascular conditions and adjusted for these in their multivariable models, which did not diminish their associations [49]. Another potential explanation is that it appears the obesity paradox holds true when older age and some specific chronic diseases co-exist. The excess mortality associated with high BMI is known to decline with advancing age [5]; furthermore, the data consistently shows that underweight status confers an excess risk of death than overweight or obesity in old age, which could mask any deleterious effects of overweight or obesity. As in many conditions including CVD, the exact mechanism underlying the obesity paradox in joint replacement for OA is uncertain. It is not known if pre-existing CVD or other specific comorbidities mediate the obesityrelated mortality among patients undergoing joint replacement for OA. Given that some studies of joint replacement in patients with OA have not reported the unusual relationship between BMI and mortality [42], it is crucial that future work investigates whether the obesity paradox really applies in this population. Studies need to provide detailed data on baseline comorbidities including CVDs in their reports and adequately account for these comorbidities and other potential confounders in their analyses in addition to sensitivity analysis using exclusions. We acknowledge the challenges involved in obtaining access to these data especially with regards to registry studies, due to complexities involved in data linkages, the high costs involved, and problems with missing data. Currently, there is no data to suggest if the obesity paradox extends to patients with early- to mid-stage OA or may have a wider applicability. However, there is emerging evidence on the existence of an obesity paradox in hip fracture patients undergoing surgery [36, 48]. Modig and colleagues [36], in their analysis of the association between BMI and survival after



hip fracture, showed that overweight and obesity were associated with better survival compared with normal weight. The associations were not attenuated following adjustment for comorbidities and dementia; however, they demonstrated a more pronounced effect of the obesity paradox among patients who were oldest, confirming the suggestion that the obesity paradox may be linked with older age. There may be implications of clinical interest if the obesity paradox represents a true causal phenomenon in joint replacement for OA and other musculoskeletal conditions. Higher levels of fitness (as measured by CRF) are accompanied by a lower risk of CVD outcomes and prolonged life expectancy, across all levels of adiposity [3]. Several reports have also observed that the association of obesity with adverse outcomes is reduced on accounting for fitness levels [20]. If the increased mortality risk attributed to obesity can be attenuated with increased fitness, this could be translated into clinical benefits. This suggests that improving fitness levels (CRF) should be a priority for patients about to have joint replacement, even without apparent changes in BMI. A physically active lifestyle activity (particularly aerobic training) promotes good CRF levels. The evidence on the role of physical activity and good CRF in improving health outcomes and reducing chronic disease and mortality risk is overwhelming [22, 27, 28, 52]. There is even evidence to suggest that physical activity and good fitness levels reduce the risk, duration or severity of infectious diseases including COVID-19 (Brawner et al. 2021; [24]. Even standing, which is the least active behaviour, has been reported to be associated with health benefits compared with sitting [51]. However, the majority of populations do not achieve physical activity levels recommended by established guidelines — 150-300 min/ week of moderate-intensity or 75-150 min/week of vigorous-intensity aerobic physical activity/exercise for adults [41]. In the general population, various strategies for promoting physical activity have been recommended and these include (i) individual level interventions; (ii) community-wide and schoolbased physical activity programmes; (iii) policy and environmental changes that improve access to physical activity; and (iv) information and communication technology, but levels are still low. For patients about to undergo hip or knee replacement, physical activities or exercises that are attractive and feasible for them, need to be identified and tailored to improve their fitness levels before surgery. However, support for the obesity paradox does not necessarily promote obesity; intentional weight loss has numerous health benefits and is advantageous in improving outcomes in joint replacement. Last but not the least, given the excess mortality risk associated with underweight status in patients undergoing joint replacement, it appears that more attention need to be given to this population group during preparation for surgery compared with overweight or obese patients.

Author contribution Study design SKK MRW and AWB. Data collection: SKK and MRW. Drafting manuscript: SKK. Revising manuscript content: SKK MRW and AWB. Approving final version of manuscript. SKK MRW and AWB.

Funding This study was supported by the NIHR Biomedical Research Centre at University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust and the University of Bristol (BRC-1215–20011). The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NIHR or the Department of Health and Social Care. These sources had no role in design and conduct of the study; collection, management, analysis, and interpretation of the data; and preparation, review, or approval of the manuscript.

Data availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate Not applicable.

Conflict of interest AWB has received research grants and MRW has received research grants, lecturing fees, and textbook royalties outside the remit of the submitted work; there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.



References

- Angulo J, El Assar M, Rodriguez-Manas L. Frailty and sarcopenia as the basis for the phenotypic manifestation of chronic diseases in older adults. Mol Aspects Med. 2016;50:1–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mam.2016.06. 001
- Barrett MC, Whitehouse MR, Blom AW, Kunutsor SK. Host-related factors for venous thromboembolism following total joint replacement: a meta-analysis of 89 observational studies involving over 14 million hip and knee replacements. J Orthop Sci. 2020;25:267–75. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jos.2019.04.003.
- Barry VW, Caputo JL, Kang M. The joint association of fitness and fatness on cardiovascular disease mortality: a meta-analysis. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2018;61:136–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2018.07.004.
- Basen-Engquist K, Chang M. Obesity and cancer risk: recent review and evidence. Curr Oncol Rep. 2011;13:71– 6. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11912-010-0139-7.
- Bender R, Jockel KH, Trautner C, Spraul M, Berger M. Effect of age on excess mortality in obesity Jama. 1999;281:1498–504. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.281.16.
- Brawner CA, et al. (2021) Inverse relationship of maximal exercise capacity to hospitalization secondary to coronavirus disease. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;96:32–9. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2020.10.003.
- Carbone S, Canada JM, Billingsley HE, Siddiqui MS, Elagizi A, Lavie CJ. Obesity paradox in cardiovascular disease: where do we stand? Vasc Health Risk Manag. 2019;15:89–100. https://doi.org/10.2147/VHRM.S1689 46
- Carbone S, Lavie CJ, Arena R. Obesity and heart failure: focus on the obesity paradox. Mayo Clin Proc. 2017;92:266–79. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2016. 11.001
- Dowsey MM, Choong PFM, Paxton EW, Spelman T, Namba RS, Inacio MCS. Body mass index is associated with all-cause mortality after THA and TKA. Clin Orthop. 2018;476:1139–48. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s11999.00000000000000108.
- Evans JT, Evans JP, Walker RW, Blom AW, Whitehouse MR, Sayers A. How long does a hip replacement last? A systematic review and meta-analysis of case series and national registry reports with more than 15 years of follow-up. Lancet. 2019;393:647–54. https://doi.org/10. 1016/S0140-6736(18)31665-9.
- Evans JT, Mouchti S, Blom AW, Wilkinson JM, Whitehouse MR, Beswick A, Judge A. Obesity and revision surgery, mortality, and patient-reported outcomes after primary knee replacement surgery in the National Joint Registry: a UK cohort study. PLoS medicine. 2021;18:e1003704. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed. 1003704.
- 12 Evans JT, Walker RW, Evans JP, Blom AW, Sayers A, Whitehouse MR. How long does a knee replacement last? A systematic review and meta-analysis of case series and national registry reports with more than 15 years of

- follow-up. Lancet. 2019;393:655–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32531-5.
- Gribsholt SB, Pedersen L, Richelsen B, Sorensen HT, Thomsen RW. Body mass index and 90-day mortality among 35,406 Danish patients hospitalized for infection Mayo. Clin Proc. 2021;96:550–62. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.mayocp.2020.06.062.
- 14 Grotle M, Hagen KB, Natvig B, Dahl FA, Kvien TK. Obesity and osteoarthritis in knee, hip and/or hand: an epidemiological study in the general population with 10 years follow-up. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2008;9:132. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2474-9-132.
- Hall AJ, Stubbs B, Mamas MA, Myint PK, Smith TO. Association between osteoarthritis and cardiovascular disease: systematic review and meta-analysis Eur J. Prev Cardiol. 2016;23:938–46. https://doi.org/10.1177/20474 87315610663.
- Harms S, Larson R, Sahmoun AE, Beal JR. Obesity increases the likelihood of total joint replacement surgery among younger adults. Int Orthop. 2007;31:23–6. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00264-006-0130-y.
- Hunt LP, et al. 90-day mortality after 409,096 total hip replacements for osteoarthritis, from the National Joint Registry for England and Wales: a retrospective analysis. Lancet. 2013;382:1097–104. https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0140-6736(13)61749-3.
- Jamsen E, Puolakka T, Eskelinen A, Jantti P, Kalliovalkama J, Nieminen J, Valvanne J. Predictors of mortality following primary hip and knee replacement in the aged. A single-center analysis of 1,998 primary hip and knee replacements for primary osteoarthritis. Acta Orthop. 2013;84:44–53. https://doi.org/10.3109/17453674.2012.752691.
- Khan H, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness and risk of heart failure: a population-based follow-up study. Eur J Heart Fail. 2014;16:180–8. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejhf.37.
- Kokkinos P, et al. Cardiorespiratory fitness, body mass index and heart failure incidence. Eur J Heart Fail. 2019. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.1433.
- Kunutsor SK, Barrett MC, Beswick AD, Judge A, Blom AW, Wylde V, Whitehouse MR. Risk factors for dislocation after primary total hip replacement: a systematic review and meta-analysis of 125 studies involving approximately five million hip replacements. The Lancet Rheumatology. 2019;1:e111–21. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2665-9913(19)30045-1.
- Kunutsor SK, Khan H, Laukkanen T, Laukkanen JA. Joint associations of sauna bathing and cardiorespiratory fitness on cardiovascular and all-cause mortality risk: a long-term prospective cohort study. Ann Med. 2018;50:139–46.
- Kunutsor SK, Whitehouse MR, Blom AW, Beswick AD, INFORM team, Patient-related risk factors for periprosthetic joint infection after total joint arthroplasty: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PloS one. 2016;11:e0150866. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone. 0150866.
- Laddu DR, Lavie CJ, Phillips SA, Arena R. Physical activity for immunity protection: Inoculating populations with healthy living medicine in preparation for the next pandemic. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2020. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.pcad.2020.04.006.



- Laukkanen JA, Kujala UM. Low cardiorespiratory fitness is a risk factor for death: exercise intervention may lower mortality? J Am Coll Cardiol. 2018;72:2293–6. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jacc.2018.06.081.
- Laukkanen JA, Kunutsor SK. Fitness equals longer life expectancy regardless of adiposity levels. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;94:942–5. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2019. 04.016.
- Laukkanen JA, Kunutsor SK, Yates T, Willeit P, Kujala UM, Khan H, Zaccardi F. Prognostic relevance of cardiorespiratory fitness as assessed by submaximal exercise testing for all-cause mortality: a UK Biobank Prospective Study. Mayo Clin Proc. 2020;95:867–78. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2019.12.030.
- Laukkanen JA, Lavie CJ, Khan H, Kurl S, Kunutsor SK. Cardiorespiratory fitness and the risk of serious ventricular arrhythmias: a prospective cohort study. Mayo Clin Proc. 2019;94:833–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp. 2018.11.027.
- Lavie CJ, Carbone S, Agarwal MA. An obesity paradox with myocardial infarction in the elderly. Nutrition. 2018;46:122–3. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nut.2017.08.003.
- 30 Lavie CJ, Coursin DB, Long MT. The obesity paradox in infections and implications for COVID-19. Mayo Clin Proc. 2021;96:518–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp. 2021.01.014.
- 31 Lavie CJ, et al. Obesity and prevalence of cardiovascular diseases and prognosis—the Obesity Paradox Updated. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2016;58:537–47. https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.pcad.2016.01.008.
- 32. Lavie CJ, Oktay AA, Milani RV. The obesity paradox and obesity severity in elderly STEMI patients Eur Heart J Qual Care Clin Outcomes. 2017;3:166–7. https://doi.org/10.1093/ehjqcco/qcx018.
- Lavie CJ, Sharma A, Alpert MA, De Schutter A, Lopez-Jimenez F, Milani RV, Ventura HO. Update on obesity and obesity paradox in heart failure. Prog Cardiovasc Dis. 2016;58:393–400. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pcad.2015.12. 003.
- Lee R, Kean WF. Obesity and knee osteoarthritis Inflammopharmacology. 2012;20:53–8. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10787-011-0118-0.
- Losina E, et al. Lifetime risk and age at diagnosis of symptomatic knee osteoarthritis in the US Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2013;65:703–11. https://doi.org/10.1002/ acr.21898.
- Modig K, Erdefelt A, Mellner C, Cederholm T, Talback M, Hedstrom M. "Obesity paradox" holds true for patients with hip fracture: a registry-based cohort study. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2019;101:888–95. https://doi.org/10.2106/ JBJS.18.01249.
- 37. Mouchti S, Whitehouse MR, Sayers A, Hunt LP, MacGregor A, Blom AW. The association of body mass index with risk of long-term revision and 90-day mortality following primary total hip replacement: findings

- from the National Joint Registry for England. Wales, Northern Ireland and the Isle of Man J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2018;100:2140–52. https://doi.org/10.2106/JBJS.18.00120.
- Niedziela J, et al. The obesity paradox in acute coronary syndrome: a meta-analysis. Eur J Epidemiol. 2014;29:801–12. https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10654-014-9961-9.
- Parto P, Lavie CJ. Obesity and cardiovascular diseases current problems in cardiology. 2017;42:376–94. https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cpcardiol.2017.04.004.
- Piepoli MF, et al. Exercise tolerance can explain the obesity paradox in patients with systolic heart failure: data from the MECKI Score Research Group. Eur J Heart Fail. 2016;18:545–53. https://doi.org/10.1002/ejhf.534.
- Piercy KL, et al. The Physical Activity Guidelines for Americans Jama. 2018;320:2020–8. https://doi.org/10. 1001/jama.2018.14854.
- Sayed-Noor AS, Mukka S, Mohaddes M, Karrholm J, Rolfson O. Body mass index is associated with risk of reoperation and revision after primary total hip arthroplasty: a study of the Swedish Hip Arthroplasty Register including 83,146 patients. Acta Orthop. 2019;90:220–5. https://doi.org/10.1080/17453674.2019.1594015.
- Seidu S et al. (2020) The impact of obesity on severe disease and mortality in people with SARS-CoV-2: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Endocrinol Diabetes Metab:e00176 https://doi.org/10.1002/edm2.176
- 44. Sharma A, et al. Relationship of body mass index with total mortality, cardiovascular mortality, and myocardial infarction after coronary revascularization: evidence from a meta-analysis. Mayo Clin Proc. 2014;89:1080–100. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mayocp.2014.04.020.
- Sperrin M, Candlish J, Badrick E, Renehan A, Buchan I. Collider bias is only a partial explanation for the obesity paradox. Epidemiology. 2016;27:525–30. https://doi.org/ 10.1097/EDE.00000000000000493.
- Statistics on Obesity, Physical Activity and Diet, England (2020) https://digital.nhs.uk/data-and-information/publications/statistics-on-obesity-physical-activity-and-diet/england-2020/part-3-adult-obesity-copy.
 Assessed on 26 March 2021
- Stokes A, Preston SH. Smoking and reverse causation create an obesity paradox in cardiovascular disease. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2015;23:2485–90. https://doi.org/10. 1002/oby.21239.
- 48 Tahir M, Ahmed N, Samejo MQA, Jamali AR. The phenomenon of "obesity paradox" in neck of femur fractures. Pak J Med Sci. 2020;36:1079–83. https://doi.org/10.12669/pjms.36.5.1952.
- Thornqvist C, Gislason GH, Kober L, Jensen PF, Torp-Pedersen C, Andersson C. Body mass index and risk of perioperative cardiovascular adverse events and mortality in 34,744 Danish patients undergoing hip or knee replacement. Acta Orthop. 2014;85:456–62. https://doi.org/10.3109/17453674.2014.934184.



- Unsworth-Smith T, Wood D. Obesity and joint replacement Bone & Joint. 2014;360(3):2–7. https://doi.org/10.1302/2048-0105.35.360268.
- van der Ploeg HP, Chey T, Ding D, Chau JY, Stamatakis E, Bauman AE. Standing time and all-cause mortality in a large cohort of Australian adults. Prev Med. 2014;69:187– 91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2014.10.004.
- Warburton DE, Nicol CW, Bredin SS. Health benefits of physical activity: the evidence. CMAJ. 2006;174:801–9. https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.051351.
- World Health Organization Fact Sheets (2021) https:// www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/obesity-andoverweight. Accessed on 29 March 2021

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

