

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Inhaled corticosteroid effects on bone metabolism in asthma and mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Review)

Jones A, Fay JK, Burr ML, Stone M, Hood K, Roberts G

Jones A, Fay JK, Burr ML, Stone M, Hood K, Roberts G. Inhaled corticosteroid effects on bone metabolism in asthma and mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2002, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD003537. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003537.

www.cochranelibrary.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	3
OBJECTIVES	3
METHODS	3
RESUITS	4
	5
	6
	6
	0
	1
LARACIERISTICS OF STUDIES	9
DATA AND ANALYSES	14
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 innaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 1 vertebral fractures.	15
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 2 Osteocalcin.	15
Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 3 Bone mineral density.	16
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 4 alkaline phosphatase IU/L.	16
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 5 Parathyroid hormone (ng/l)	17
Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 6 urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF)	17
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 7 PICP mcg/L.	17
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Jadad scores 0-2, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin.	18
Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Jadad scores 0-2, Outcome 2 PICP mcg/L.	18
Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Jadad scores 0-2, Outcome 3 Vertebral fracture.	19
Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Jadad scores 0-2, Outcome 4 Bone Mineral Density	19
Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 1 osteocalcin.	20
Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 2 urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF)	20
Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 3 parathyroid hormone ng/L.	20
Analysis 3.4. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 4 alkaline phosphatase.	21
Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5. Outcome 5 Bone mineral density.	21
Analysis 3.6. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5. Outcome 6 Vertebral fracture.	21
Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 1 Vertebral fracture.	22
Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 2 Bone mineral density.	23
Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 3 PICP mcg/L	23
Analysis 4.4. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 4 Alkaline	23
Analysis 4.5. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 5 Parathyroid hormone ng/L.	24
Analysis 4.6. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 6 urinary hydroxyproline.	24
Analysis 4.7. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid. Outcome 7 Osteocalcin	24
Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 inhaled steroid vs placebo - experimental dose of steroid. Outcome 1 Osteocalcin - parallel studies.	25
Analysis 5.2 Comparison 5 inhaled steroid vs placebo - experimental dose of steroid. Outcome 2 Alkaline phosphatase	25
Analysis 5.3. Comparison 5 inhaled steroid vs placebo - experimental dose of steroid, Outcome 3 Parathyroid hormone	25
Analysis 5.4 Comparison 5 inhaled steroid vs placebo - experimental dose of steroid, Outcome 4 Urinary hydroxyproline	25
Analysis 5.1. Comparison 6 inhaled steroid vs placebo - bealthy recruits Outcome 1 Octoocalcin	20 26
Analysis 0.1. Comparison 6 inhaled steroid vs placebo - healthy recruite Outcome 2 Urinery bydrowneoling (urgel/LCE)	0∠ דר
Analysis 0.2. Comparison 6 inhaled steroid vs placebo - healthy recruits, Outcome 2 Ormary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF).	27
Analysis 6.5. Comparison 6 innaled steroid vs placebo - nealthy recruits, Outcome 3 Parathyroid normone (ng/L).	21
Analysis 6.4. Comparison 6 innaled steroid vs placebo - nealtny recruits, Outcome 4 Alkaline phosphatase.	28
Analysis 7.1. Comparison 7 innaled steroid vs placebo - astimatic or COPD recruits, Outcome 1 Vertebral fracture.	28
Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 inhaled steroid vs placebo - asthmatic or COPD recruits, Outcome 2 Bone Mineral Density.	29



Analysis 8.1. Comparison 8 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up = 12 weeks, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin</th <th>30</th>	30
Analysis 8.2. Comparison 8 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up = 12 weeks, Outcome 2 urinary hydroxyproline umol/L GF.</td <td>30</td>	30
Analysis 8.3. Comparison 8 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up = 12 weeks, Outcome 3 Parathyroid ng/L</td <td>30</td>	30
Analysis 8.4. Comparison 8 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up = 12 weeks, Outcome 4 Alkaline Phosphatase IU/L.</td <td>31</td>	31
Analysis 9.1. Comparison 9 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up > 12 weeks, Outcome 1 Vertebral fractures	31
Analysis 9.2. Comparison 9 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up > 12 weeks, Outcome 2 Bone mineral density	32
Analysis 9.3. Comparison 9 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up > 12 weeks, Outcome 3 PICP mcg/l	32
Analysis 10.1. Comparison 10 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment A, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin mcg/l	33
Analysis 10.2. Comparison 10 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment A, Outcome 2 urinary hydroxyproline (umol/ L GF).	33
Analysis 10.3. Comparison 10 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment A, Outcome 3 Parathyroid hormone ng/l	33
Analysis 10.4. Comparison 10 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment A, Outcome 4 Alkaline phosphatase IU/L	33
Analysis 11.1. Comparison 11 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment B, Outcome 1 Vertebral fractures.	34
Analysis 11.2. Comparison 11 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment B, Outcome 2 Bone mineral density	34
Analysis 11.3. Comparison 11 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment B, Outcome 3 PICP mcg/L.	35
Analysis 11.4. Comparison 11 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment B, Outcome 4 Osteocalcin nmol/l.	35
Analysis 12.1. Comparison 12 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment C, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin mcg/l, cross-over.	36
Analysis 12.2. Comparison 12 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment C, Outcome 2 Vertebral fracture.	36
Analysis 12.3. Comparison 12 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment C, Outcome 3 Bone mineral density	36
WHAT'S NEW	37
HISTORY	37
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	37
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	37
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	37
INDEX TERMS	37

[Intervention Review]

Inhaled corticosteroid effects on bone metabolism in asthma and mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Alan Jones¹, Jeanne K Fay², Michael L Burr³, Mike Stone⁴, Kerry Hood⁵, Gwyn Roberts⁶

¹Department of General Practice, University of Wales College of Medicine, Cardiff, UK. ²St Bartholomew's Medical Centre, Oxford, UK. ³Centre for Applied Public Health Medicine, University College of Medicine, Cardiff, UK. ⁴The Bone Research Unit,, University of Wales College of Medicine, Penarth, UK. ⁵Department of Primary Care and Public Health, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK. ⁶Dept. of General Practice at Gorseinon, University of Wales College of Medicine, Gorseinon, UK

Contact address: Alan Jones, Department of General Practice, University of Wales College of Medicine, Cardiff, Wales, UK. airways@sgul.ac.uk.

Editorial group: Cochrane Airways Group. **Publication status and date:** Edited (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 1, 2010.

Citation: Jones A, Fay JK, Burr ML, Stone M, Hood K, Roberts G. Inhaled corticosteroid effects on bone metabolism in asthma and mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2002, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD003537. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003537.

Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Inhaled corticosteroids form the main therapy for asthma, but there is increasing concern about the potential systematic effects of long-term inhaled corticosteroids including their effect on bone metabolism and bone loss.

Objectives

To determine the effect of inhaled corticosteroids use on biochemical markers of bone turnover, bone mineral density and the development of fractures.

Search methods

We searched the Cochrane Airways Group trials register, electronic reference databases, UK National Research Register, bibliographies of included studies, and contacted pharmaceutical companies.

Selection criteria

Randomised trials of the effect of inhaled steroid versus placebo on markers of bone function and metabolism, in adults with asthma or mild COPD.

Data collection and analysis

Trial quality was assessed and data extracted from the papers included (2 reviewers per paper) and from additional data supplied by the authors.

Main results

Of 438 references found, seven met the inclusion criteria. Three studies were in healthy subjects asthma or COPD. The patients were generally less than 60 years old and the male:female ratio was 2:1. There was no evidence of increased risk of loss of bone mineral density (BMD) or fractures. There was no significant change in osteocalcin at conventional doses of inhaled corticosteroids (Standardised Mean Difference [SMD] -0.34 (95% Confidence Interval [CI] -0.72, 0.04), although a statistically significant change was seen in those studies using experimental doses of inhaled steroid in excess of the doses recommended by the British Thoracic Society SMD 0.97 (95% CI -1.61, -0.34). A



statistically significant change in parathyroid hormone seen in one small short trial (n=10, 6 weeks) may have been due to the trial design and outcome measurements used.

Authors' conclusions

In patients with asthma or mild COPD, there is no evidence of an effect of inhaled corticosteroid at conventional doses given for two or three years on BMD or vertebral fracture. Higher doses were associated with biochemical markers of increased bone turnover, but data on BMD and fractures at these doses are not available. There is a need for further, even longer term prospective studies of conventional and high doses of inhaled corticosteroids.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Inhaled corticosteroid effects on bone metabolism in asthma and mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease

Usual doses of corticosteroids for two or three years for asthma does not weaken bones in younger patients, although long term outcomes and after high doses need more research.



BACKGROUND

Inhaled corticosteroids form the main therapy for asthma, but there is increasing concern about the potential systematic effects of long term inhaled corticosteroids including: bone loss, adrenal suppression, skin thinning, increased cataract formation, decreased linear growth in children, metabolic changes and behavioural abnormalities (Hanania 1995).

There is evidence that inhaled steroids affect bone metabolism (Agertoft 1994), reduces osteocalcin concentrations in health volunteers (Teelucksingh 1991) and reduce lumbar spine density (Anderson 1994). Evidence of the potential risk of bone fractures in patients receiving long-term inhaled steroid therapy (Toogood 1995) could be greater than is suggested by the loss in bone mineral density (Luengo 1991).

Disturbances of bone metabolism are important because of their potential to cause fractures, but fractures are infrequent, requiring extended periods of follow up and long study periods. For this reason biochemical markers of bone metabolism have often been used as surrogate measurements of effects on the bones. Osteoporosis results from an imbalance between bone resorption (measured by, for example, parathyroid hormone and urinary hydroxyproline) and bone formation (measured by, for example, alkaline phosphatase and osteocalcin), with a relative excess of bone resorption causing bone loss in age related osteoporosis. The effect of corticosteroids on bone is primarily to reduce bone formation by a negative effect on osteoblastic function and life span. This is reflected by reductions in markers of bone formation, particularly in osteocalcin concentrations.

Several publications now confirm the validity of biochemical markers in predicting changes in bone turnover (Garnero 1998, Miller 1999). The bone turnover value of biochemical markers partly reflects the strong correlation with bone mineral density but evidence also exists for an effect independent and additive to bone density measurements. The best validated biochemical markers of bone formation include serum alkaline phosphatase (both total and bone specific), osteocalcin and carboxyterminal propeptide of type 1 procollagen (PICP). Whilst the best validated resorption markers encompass urinary pyridinoline cross links of type I collagen, hydroxyproline, C-terminal and N-terminal telopeptides of collagen. Although anti resorptive therapy reduces bone turnover, at present it is not certain whether biochemical markers are clinically useful for individual patients (Riggs 2000).

This review was planned as a review of inhaled corticosteroids in asthma supported by data from studies in healthy people. Since then, three large 3-year studies of inhaled corticosteroids in COPD have been published. In one of these (EUROSCOP 1999), the patients had mild disease (FEV1 73% predicted) and were quite young (mean 52 years). The patients in the other two (Burge 2000b, Wise 2000b) were older (mean 56-65 years) and with more severe airways obstruction (FEV1 50 - 65% predicted). For this review, we have included just EUROSCOP 1999, the other trials will be considered in a revised version of this review.

OBJECTIVES

To determine whether use of inhaled corticosteroids has a harmful effect on bone, in terms of development of fractures, a reduction

in bone mineral density (BMD), or changes in specified biochemical markers.

A secondary objective was to explore the potential for biochemical markers to predict patients at increased risk of osteoporosis and fractures.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

Randomised controlled trials

Types of participants

Adults with or without a clinical diagnosis of asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD).

Types of interventions

Randomisation to an inhaled corticosteroid or matching placebo.

Types of outcome measures

Osteoporosis as suggested by:

Bone fracture (by type) Bone Mineral Density Bone Metabolism measures: total alkaline phosphatase bone-specific alkaline phosphatase serum osteocalcin amino terminal propeptide of type I procollagen (PINP) carboxyterminal propeptide of type 1 procollagen (PICP) urinary hydroxyproline/creatinine urinary calcium:creatine ratio urinary galactosyl hydroxylysine pyridinium (cross-links) pyridinoline (PYD) deoxypyridinoline (DPD) N-terminal cross-linked telopeptide (NTX) C-terminal cross-linked telopeptide (CTX) type I collagen C-telopeptide (ICTP) tartrate resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP) plasma parathyroid hormone

Search methods for identification of studies

An advanced search was carried out on the following databases: MEDLINE, EMBASE, CINAHL, SCIENCE CITATION INDEX (BIDS ISI) and the COCHRANE TRIALS REGISTER (CCTR).

The studies were identified using the following search strategy:

- 1. STEROID\$.tw.
- 2. CORTICOSTEROID\$.tw.
- 3. CORTICO-STEROID\$.tw.

4. (BECLOMETHASONE or BECONASE or BECLOVENT or VANCENASE or VANCERIL OR AEROBEC or BECLAZONE or BECOTIDE or BECLOFORTE or BUDESONIDE or PULMICORT or RHINOCORT or FLUTICASONE or FLOVENT or FLONASE or CUTIVATE or FLIXOTIDE or FLIXONASWE or QVAR or ZONIVENT or FILAIR OR ASMABEC or BECODISK\$).tw. 5. 10R 2 OR 3 OR 4

6. INHAL\$.tw.

Inhaled corticosteroid effects on bone metabolism in asthma and mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

7. 5 AND 6

8. (OSTEO\$ or BONE\$ or FRACTURE\$ or BMD or CALCITONIN or CREATININE or CREATINE or CALCIUM or OSTEOCALCIN or HYDROXYPROLINE or PYRIDINIUM or PYRIDINOLINE or DEOXYPYRIDINOLINE or TELOPEPTIDE or (PROPEPTIDE\$ OF TYPE I PROCOLLAGEN) OR (ALKALINE PHOSPHATASE) or (ACID PHOSPHATASE) or (GALACTOSYL HYDROXYLYSINE) OR (PARATHYROID HORMONE\$)).tw.

9. 7 AND 8 10. LIMIT TO HUMAN

ALL TIME PERIODS UP To 1st November 1999 CONSIDERED initially. The search was updated in July 2001.

ALL COUNTRIES AND LANGUAGES CONSIDERED.

Other potential studies were identified by:

- Checking the bibliographies of the included studies
- Searching key journals (electronically, and by hand)
- Contacting key authors to locate other suitable data (unpublished or ongoing)
- Contacting pharmaceutical companies (3M, Medeva, AstraZeneca, GlaxoWelcome) for RCT's (unpublished or ongoing)

Data collection and analysis

Data were extracted from the selected studies and entered into Review Manager. Trials were combined using the Review Manager software. For continuous variables, the results of the individual studies were calculated as fixed effects weighted mean difference (WMD) or standardised mean difference (SMD) and a 95% confidence interval (CI) was calculated for each study. The impact of different dosing regimes was assessed using subgroup analysis. Where two outcomes have been assessed in different units (e.g. nmol/L and mcg/L) these were combined using a SMD. For dichotomous variables (bone fractures), a random effects odds ratio (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) was calculated for individual studies. Sub-group analyses was planned categorising the participants into healthy subjects or those with asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. An additional analysis was planned when the primary trial data showed that some studies had used doses of inhaled corticosteroids above the currently recommended dosing regimes. These doses were termed 'conventional' and 'experimental'.

We were examining inhaled steroids for a harmful rather than a treatment effect. Falls in alkaline phosphatase and osteocalcin were harmful, as were rises in PTH or urinary hydroxyproline.

RESULTS

Description of studies

The search of the Cochrane database provided 226 abstracts, and a further 189 came from running the search in MEDLINE CINAHL, EMBASE and BIDS ISI, giving a total of 415 references, which reduced to 288 on removal of duplicates. Two reviewers independently ascertained the relevance of each title and abstract (MB, KH, AJ, GR). Five relevant abstracts were found in the National Research Register and details of 18 studies were obtained from the pharmaceutical companies. From all of these, 18 full papers were considered in detail, each by 2 reviewers (MB, JF, KH, AJ, MS) and where there was discrepancy between reviewers, this was adjudicated at a team meeting. Seven studies were included in the final analysis. Contact was successfully made with the authors of all except Li 1999 (despite two mailings to the first author, and e-mail correspondence to the second author), and AstraZeneca were able to provide additional data on the EUROSCOP study. The search was updated in July 2001, leading to the inclusion of the Tattersfield 2001study.

Seven studies met the inclusion criteria. Four (EUROSCOP 1999; Kerstjens 1994; Li 1999; Tattersfield 2001) recruited subjects with "mild" asthma or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (total 1256 men and 614 women), and three (Hodsman 1991; Leech 1993; Toogood 1991) recruited healthy volunteers (total 41 men and 78 women), although in one of these (Leech 1993), 4 out of 21 subjects had mild asthma. Duration of follow-up varied from 9 weeks to 3 years. In Hodsman 1991, Toogood 1991 and Leech 1993, follow-up was up to 12 weeks, and the other studies, 104 weeks or more. In both Hodsman 1991 and Toogood 1991, data were extracted from the first half of the studies, in which inhaled steroid was compared with placebo.

The numbers of participants in the trials ranged from 21 to 1277, with a total of 1989 in the seven studies. EUROSCOP 1999 was the largest trial, and also had the highest average age (52 years); the other 6 studies all had average ages of 40 or below. One study recruited smokers (EUROSCOP 1999), one reported 20% of participants smoked (Tattersfield 2001), two recruited non-smokers, and in 3 smoking status was not stated.

Only EUROSCOP 1999 and Tattersfield 2001 formally looked for bone fractures as an outcome measure. Li 1999, Tattersfield 2001and EUROSCOP 1999 considered bone mineral density. Data from Hodsman 1991, Toogood 1991 and Leech 1993 has been included for osteocalcin. Li 1999 reported that "Mean serum osteocalcin . . . did not differ significantly at any time point", but the actual figures were not reported, and we were unable to make contact with the first or second authors. Tattersfield 2001 also reported osteocalcin and urinary pyridinoline, but as % change from baseline of geometric mean values of the area under the curve. There was a significant change (p<0.05) in serum osteocalcin in the beclomethasone group, but not budesonide group, compared with the reference group. Kerstjens 1994 reported on procollagen type 1 carboxy terminal propeptide (PICP). Data from Hodsman 1991 on alkaline phosphatase, parathyroid hormone, and urinary hydroxyproline is included.

Beclomethasone, budesonide and fluticasone were the inhaled steroids used. "Conventional doses" were interpreted as those up to the maximum dose of beclomethasone or budesonide (22000 mmcg daily, or fluticasone (11000 mmcg daily recommended in the British Thoracic Society Asthma Guidelines (BTS 1997). Both Hodsman 1991 (budesonide 3.2 mg daily) and Toogood 1991 (budesonide 22.4 mmg daily used doses in excess of this in one arm of their studies, and these are referred to in the comparisons as "experimental dose".

A wide variety of outcome measures were reported; where these corresponded with the outcome measures detailed in our protocol, the figures were included for the meta-analysis (except where raw data could not be obtained to facilitate this process).

Effect modifiers are detailed in the table of Characteristics of Included Studies and include the list below. We have included subgroup analyses of; conventional maintenance dose vs high dose inhaled steroids; trials in asthma or COPD patients vs those in healthy volunteers, and those where duration of treatment was greater or less than 3 months.

Asthma therapy (exposure to inhaled steroids)

Strength of inhaled steroid drug(s) taken

Duration of treatment

Age

Lifestyle data - e.g. height, weight, BMI, smoking, alcohol, exercise Other asthma medication - e.g. oral steroids and bronchodilators Non-asthma medication - e.g. HRT, calcium, Vitamin D

Compliance

Delivery system (e.g. clickhaler, turbohaler, spacer device) Duration of follow-up

In terms of one important risk factor (age), the mean age of the study populations was quite low , in the range 20 - 60 years, with a mean age of 30-40 in most studies, but in EUROSCOP 1999 the mean age was 52 years. Sex is another important risk factor, summing the number of patients across the studies, the male:female ratio was 2:1.

Risk of bias in included studies

KH and JF independently assessed the methodological quality of the included RCTs using the Cochrane approach to quality assessment of allocation concealment. Trials were scored using the following principles:

Grade A: Adequate concealment. Grade B: Uncertain concealment. Grade C: Clearly Inadequate concealment.

Each study was also assessed for validity on a 0 - 5 scale described by Jadad as follows:

1. Was the study described as randomised ? (1 = yes; 0 = no).

2. Was the study described as double-blind? (1 = yes; 0= no).

3. Was there a description of withdrawals and drop-out? (1 = yes; 0 = no)

4. Was the method of randomisation well described and appropriate? (1 = yes; 0 = no)

5. Was the method of double-blinding well described and appropriate? (1 = yes; 0 = no)

6. Deduct 1 point if methods for randomisation or blinding were inappropriate.

Effects of interventions

Using the Cochrane concealment allocation criteria, there was on A grade trial (Hodsman 1991), four Grade B (EUROSCOP 1999, Kerstjens 1994, Li 1999, Toogood 1991) and two Grade C (Leech 1993, Tattersfield 2001). There was agreement between the two reviewers on all studies. Using the Jadad criteria, three studies were graded 3 - 5 (EUROSCOP 1999, Hodsman 1991, Li 1999) and four were graded 0 - 2 (Kerstjens 1994, Leech 1993, Tattersfield 2001, Toogood 1991). The reviewers disagreed over one paper only, and then by 1 only point.

BONE FRACTURES

The two studies that collected fracture data prospectively (EUROSCOP 1999, Tattersfield 2001) showed no significant effect of

inhaled steroids on vertebral fractures at conventional therapeutic doses (Peto OR 1.87, 95% CI 0.5, 7.03).

BONE MINERAL DENSITY

The pooled results from three studies (EUROSCOP 1999, Li 1999, Tattersfield 2001) showed no significant effect of inhaled steroids in patients with asthma or COPD on bone mineral density measured by Dual Energy Xray Absorptiometry (DEXA) at lumber spine WMD 0.01(95%CI -0.08, 0.10), or femoral neck, (EUROSCOP 1999, Tattersfield 2001) WMD 0.61 (95% CI -0.34, 1.56).

BIOCHEMICAL MARKERS

1. Osteocalcin

Overall, with all data at all doses of inhaled corticosteroids combined in meta-analyses, there is no significant effect on osteocalcin SMD -0.34 (95%CI -0.72, 0.04). Li 1999 also considered osteocalcin after two years, but we were unable to obtain details of their results, the paper only reporting a graph. Indications from the graph would give an approximate effect size of -0.025, i.e. small effect favouring treatment. When considering the arms of those studies that used 'experimental' doses of inhaled corticosteroid (i.e. those in excess of those recommended in the BTS guidelines), there was a statistically significant lowering (i.e. worsening of osteocalcin SMD 0.97 (95%CI -1.61, -0.34).

2. Other biochemical markers

A statistically significant fall (iDixie in parathyroid hormone WMD -10.0 (95%CI -17.7, -2.3) in favour of the treatment group, and alkaline phosphatase WMD -13.0 (95%CI -24.0, -2.0) in favour of the control group, was seen at conventional dosing only, in the Hodsman 1991 study, but this may be spurious for reasons explored below. The differences did not reach significance when the higher dosage regimens were included. There were no statistically significant results included from among the studies looking at patients with a history of asthma or COPD. These were also the papers with the longer follow-up. No significant effect was shown on urinary hydroxyproline (p=0.7, WMD -0.08, 95%CI -0.5, 0.36) or C-terminal propeptide of type one procollagen WMD 11.6, 95%CI -3.1, 26.3).

DISCUSSION

This review has systematically evaluated seven papers which met our entry criteria aimed at determining whether inhaled corticosteroids have a harmful effect on bone in terms of fractures, bone mineral density or specific biochemical markers of bone turnover. At conventional doses of inhaled steroid there appears to be no significant effect on bone metabolism as measured by osteocalcin, bone mineral density, or clinical fracture rate. The effect on alkaline phosphatase and parathyroid hormone seen at conventional doses was not seen at the higher doses. The data for both sets of results comes from the very small study of Hodsman 1991. The alkaline phosphatase analyses is reported to have been "standard" suggesting that it was not bone specific, so would have varied with changes in serum alkaline phosphatase from muscular and hepatic sources, as well as bone. Hodsman 1991 has explained the parathyroid hormone result (which suggested that treatment with conventional doses of inhaled steroid was better than placebo) by pointing out that the recruits were placed on a calcium restricted diet for the study, and that this may have given rise to the slight rise in PTH seen in the control group.

Comparison of serum osteocalcin measured by different immunoassay kits is difficult in the absence of an internationally agreed standard (Swaminathan 1997). Additionally, samples should be collected on ice, stored at -70 degrees, and thawed only once. The effect of prolonged freezing on degradation and reliability is unclear. The studies included here used a variety of collecting and storage regimens.

The evidence available for this review suggest that inhaled steroids in currently recommended doses incur little risk of osteoporosis and fractures over the medium term (months to a few years), however there are important qualifications attached to this conclusion. First, asthma is life-long so the period of these studies is small compared to the potential period of exposure to inhaled corticosteroids. Second, the studies have been carried out in relatively low-risk patients (mainly under the age of 60 years and predominantly males). In the 3-year studies in moderate-severe COPD patients not yet included in this review (Burge 2000b, Wise 2000b), no increase in the risk of bone fractures was reported in either trial, although there was a small fall in bone mineral density in Wise 2000b after three years, but not before.

The available trials provided no opportunity to assess the role of routine monitoring of biochemical markers of the bone turnover to identify patients potentially at greater risk of inhaled steroidinduced fractures or osteoporosis.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Treatment with inhaled corticosteroids at conventional doses over two or three years has not shown a significant effect on bone mineral density or increased risk of fracture in younger patients with asthma or mild COPD (i.e. at lower risk of osteoporosis and fractures). At present, current evidence also does not support the use of biochemical markers in the clinical setting.

Implications for research

There is a need for further long term studies on both conventional and experimental doses of inhaled steroid, using fractures, bone mineral density and biochemical markers as outcomes. Such studies would ideally be prospective but could be supported by retrospective case control and cohort studies, looking particularly for fractures, comparing different steroids in different dosages. The role of biochemical markers in determining bone turnover in the clinical setting is at present uncertain. Our evidence suggests further research in this area may provide useful information in evaluating clinical outcomes and response to therapy. In studies using biochemical markers, careful attention needs to be paid to confounding factors (such as diet or time of specimen collection) and technical details (such as assay used, and specimen storage temperature) when planning further research in this area.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank the following authors for corresponding with us about their trials. Included trials:- Dr RA Pauwels, Dr AB Hodsman

Dr HAM Kerstjens; Dr JA Leech; Dr JH Toogood.

Thank you also to:-

DR M Roshan of 3M Health Care Limited; Ms Sophie Hadlow of AstraZeneca; Dr Gerry Hagan of GSK; Dr Francis Upchurch of Medeva for helping with the search for "grey literature".

Special thanks to Mrs Anne Powell, Library Services Manager and her team, The Post-Graduate Library, Morriston Hospital, Swansea NHS Trust, Wales UK, for their help with searches and obtaining papers.



REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

EUROSCOP 1999 {published and unpublished data}

Johnell O, Pauwels RA, Ohlsson SV, Ekelund J. Long-term treatment with inhaled budesonide did not effect bone mineral density or bone markers in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. American Society for Bone and Mineral Research Meeting. 1999; Vol. Poster Presentation.

* Pauwels RA, Lofdahl C-G, Laitinen LA, Schouten JP, Postma DS, Pride NB, et al. Long-term treatment with inhaled budesonide in persons with mild chronic obstructive pulmonary disease who continue to smoke. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 1999;**340**:1948-53.

Hodsman 1991 {published and unpublished data}

* Hodsman AB, Toogood JH, Jennings B, Fraher LJ, Baskerville JC. Differential effects of inhaled budesonide and oral prednisolone on serum osteocalcin. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1991;**72**:530-40.

Kerstjens 1994 {published and unpublished data}

* Kerstjens HAM, Postma DS, van Doormaal JJ, van Zanten AK, Brand PLP, Dekhuijzen PNR, et al. Effects of short term and long term treatment with inhaled corticosteroids on bone metabolism in patients with airways obstruction. *Thorax* 1994;**49**:652-6.

Leech 1993 {published data only}

* Leech JA, Hodder RV, Ooi DS, Gay J. Effects of short-term inhaled budesonide and beclomethasone dipropionate on serum osteocalcin in premenopausal women. *Americal Review of Respiratory Disease* 1993;**148**:113-5.

Li 1999 {published data only}

* Li JTC, Ford LB, Chervinsky P, Weisberg SC, Kellerman DJ, Faulkner KG, et al. Fluticasone propionate powder and lack of clinically significant effects on hypothalmic-pituitary-adrenal axis and bone mineral density over 2 years in adults with mild asthma. *Journal of Allergy Clinical Immunology* 1999;**103**:1062-8.

Tattersfield 2001 {published data only}

Tattersfield AE, Town GI, Johnell O, Picado C, Aubier M, Braillon P, et al. Bone mineral density in subjects with mild asthma randomised to treatment with inhaled corticosteroids or non-corticosteroid treatment for two years. *Thorax* 2001;**56**:272-8.

Toogood 1991 {published and unpublished data}

* Toogood JH, Jennings B, Hodsman AB, Baskerville J, Fraher LJ. Effects of dose and dosing schedule of inhaled budesonide on bone turnover. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1991;**88**:572-80.

References to studies excluded from this review

Barnes 1996 {unpublished data only}

Barnes PJ, National Heart and Lung Institute. Assessment of long-term efficacy of early introduction of inhaled steroids in asthma (ELSAT) a pilot study in general practice. NRR 1996.

Bootsma 1996 {published data only}

* Bootsma GP, Dekhuijzen PNR, Festen J, Mulder PGH, Swinkles LMJW, van Herwaarden CLA. Fluticasone propionate does not influence bone metabolism in contrast to beclomethasone dipropionate. *American Journal of Respiratory* & Critical Care Medicine 1996;**153**:924-30.

Clark 1997 {published data only}

* Clark DJ, Lipworth BJ. Adrenal suppression with chronic dosing of fluticasone propionate compared with budesonide in adult asthmatic patients. *Thorax* 1997;**52**:55-8. [osteo RM 37; asthma RM 1036]

Dempsey 1999 {published data only}

* Dempsey OJ, Coutie WJR, Wilson AM, Williams P, Lipworth BJ. Evaluation of the buccal component of systematic absorption with inhaled fluticasone propionate. *Thorax* 1999;**54**:614-7.

Egan 1999 {published data only}

* Egan JJ, Maden C, Kalra S, Adams JE, Eastell R, Woodcock AA. A randomized, double-blind study comparing the effects of beclomethasone and fluticasone on bone density over two years. *European Respiratory Journal* 1999;**13**(6):1267-75.

Gross 1999 {published data only}

Gross G, Thompson PJ, Chervinsky P, Vanden Burgt J. Hydrofluoroalkane-134a beclomethasone dipropionate, 400 microg, for the treatment of moderate asthma. *Chest* 1999;**115**(2):343-51.

Harmanci 1998 {published data only}

Harmanco E, Colak O, Ozdemir N, Alatas O, Isik R. Fluticasone propionate and budesonide does not influence bone metabolism in the long term treatment of asthma [(abstract funded by Glaxo Welcome)]. *European Respiratory Journal* 1998;**12**(Suppl. 28).

Herrala 1994 {published data only}

* Herrala J, Puolijoki H, Impivaara O, Liippo K, Tala E, Nieminen MN. Bone mineral density in asthmatic women on high-dose inhaled beclomethasone dipropionate. *Bone* 1994;**15**(6):621-3.

Hughes 1999 {published data only}

* Hughes JA, Conry BG, Male SM, Eastell R. One year prospective open study of the effect of high dose inhaled steroids, fluticasone propionate and budesonide on bone markers and bone mineral density. *Thorax* 1999;**54**:223-9.

Johnell 1999 {published data only}

* Johnell O, Aubier M, BrallionP, Karlstrom R, Picado C, Tattersfield AE, et al. Long-term effects on bone markers by



inhaled steroids compared with non-steroid reference therapy. A randomised trial. American Society for Bone and Mineral Research Meeting 1999; Vol. Poster presentation.

Kos-Kudla 1997 {published data only}

* Kos-Kudla B, Pluskiewicz W. Quantitative ultrasound of the heel and serum and urinary cortisol values in assessment of long-term corticotherapy side effects in female bronchial asthma patients. *Ultrasound in Medicine and Biology* 1997;**23**(9):1325-30. [26]

Malo 1999 {published data only}

* Malo J-L, Cartier A, Ghezzo H, Mark S, Brown J, Laviolette M, Boulet L-P. Skin bruising, adrenal function and markers of bone metabolism in asthmatics using inhaled beclomethasone and fluticasone. *European Respiratory Journal* 1999;**13**:993-8.

Nikolaizik 1996 {published data only}

* Nikolaizik WH, Marchant JL, Preece MA, Warner JO. Nocturnal cortisol secretion in healthy adults before and after inhalation of budesonide. *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 1996;**153**:97-101. [33]

Padfield 1993 {published data only}

* Padfield PL, Teelucksingh S. Inhaled steroids: the endocrinologist's view. *European Respiratory Review* 1993;**3**:494-500. [43]

Paggiaro 1998 {published data only}

Paggiaro PL, Dahle R, Bakran I, Frith L, Hollingworth K, Efthimiou J. Multicentre randomised placebo-controlled trial of inhaled fluticasone propionate in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *Lancet* 1998;**351**:773-80.

Pauwels 1998 {published data only}

* Pauwels RA, Yernault JC, Demedts MG, Geusens P, Belgian Multicenter Group. Safety and efficacy of fluticasone and beclomethasone in moderate to severe asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine* 1998;**157**:827-832.

Struijs 1997 {published data only}

* Struijs A, Mulder H. The effects of inhaled glucocorticosteroids on bone mass and biochemical markers of bone homeostasis: a 1-year study of beclomethasone versus budesonide. *The Netherlands Journal of Medicine* 1997;**50**:233-7.

Suissa 2000 {published data only}

* Suissa S, Ernst P, Benaynon S, Baltzan M, Chai B. Lowdose inhaled corticosteroids and the prevention of death from asthma. *The New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;**343**(5):332-6.

Toogood 1988 {published data only}

* Toogood JH, Crilly RG, Jones G, Nadeau J, Wells GA. Effect of high-dose inhaled budesonide on calcium and phosphate metabolism and the risk of osteoporosis. *American Review of Respiratory Disease* 1988;**138**:57-61.

Vickers 1999 {published data only (unpublished sought but not used)}

Vickers M, MRC Epidemiology and Medical Care Unit. An assessment of long-term efficacy of early introduction of inhaled steroids in asthma. NRR 1999.

Wilson 1997 {published data only}

* Wilson AM, Clark DJ, McFarlane L, Lipworth BJ. Adrenal suppression with high doses of inhaled fluticasone propionate and triamcinolone acetonide in healthy volunteers. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1997;**53**:33-7. [27]

Wilson 1997b {published data only}

* Wilson AM, McFarlane LC, Lipworth BJ. Dose-response effect for adrenal suppression with repeated twice daily inhaled fluticasone propionate and triamcinolone acetonide in adult asthmatics. *Americal Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine* 1997;**156**:1274-7. [41]

Wilson 1998 {published data only}

* Wilson AM, Brewester HJA, Lipworth BJ. Dose-response comparison of systematic bioactivity with inhaled budesonide and triamcinolone acetonide in asthmatic adults. *Journal of Allergy & Clinical Immunology* 1998;**102**:751-6. [Osteo Ref Man 28]

Wilson 1998c {published data only}

* Wilson AM, McFarlane LC, Lipworth BJ. Systematic bioactivity profiles of oral prednisolone and nebulised budesonide in adult asthmatics. *Chest* 1998;**114**:1022-7.

Wilson 1999 {unpublished data only}

Wilson AM, Lipworth BJ. 24 hour fractionated profiles of adrenocortical activity in asthmatic patients receiving inhaled and intranasal corticosteroids. *Thorax* 1999;**54**:20-6. [42]

Wilson1998b {published data only}

* Wilson AM, Clark DJ, Devlin MM, McFarlane LC, Lipworth BJ. Adrenocortical activity with repeated administration of onedaily inhaled fluticasone propionate and budesonide in asthmatic adults. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1998;**53**:317-20. [29]

Wood 1999 {published data only}

* Wood LJ, Sehmi R, Gauvreau GM, Watson RM, Foley R, Denburg JA, et al. Inhaled corticosteroid, budesonide, reduces baseline but not allergen-induced increases in bone marrow inflammatory cell progenitors in asthmatic subjects. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine* 1999;**159**:1457-63. [38]

References to studies awaiting assessment

Burge 2000 {published data only}

Burge PS, Calverley PM, Jones PW, Spencer S, Anderson JA, Maslen TK. Randomised, double blind, placebo controlled study of fluticasone propionate in patients with moderate to severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: the ISOLDE trial. *BMJ* 2000;**320**:1297-303.



* Osur S, Chervinsky P, Herje N, Harding S, Kellerman D. Long term effects of fluticasone propionate inhalation aerosol in subjects with asthma [(Abstract funded by Glaxo Wellcome) (Requested 22 /9 /00 Morriston)]. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine* 1998;**157**(3):A405.

Wise 2000 {published data only}

Wise R, Connett J, Weinmann G, Scanlon P, Skeans M. Effect of inhaled triamcinolone on the decline in pulmonary function in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2000;**343**:1902-9.

Yernault 2000 {published data only}

Yernault JC, Geusens P, Van Wilder P, Belgian Multi-Center Group. Lack of negative effect of a daily dose of 500 - 1000mcg inhaled fluticasone on bone mineral density in asthmatics. *American Journal of Respiratory & Critical Care Medicine* 2000;**161**(3):Abstract funded by Glaxo Welcome.

Additional references

Agertoft 1994

Agertoft L, Pedersen S. Effects of long term treatment with an inhaled corticosteroid on growth and pulmonary function in asthmatic children. *Respiratory Medicine* 1994;**88**:373-81. [915]

Anderson 1994

Anderson HR, Butland BK, Strachan DP. Trends in prevalence and severity of childhood asthma. *BMJ* 1994;**308**:1600-4. [685]

BTS 1997

British Thoracic Society. British Thoracic Society Asthma Guidelines. *Thorax* 1997;**52**(Supplement 1):S11.

Garnero 1998

Garnero P, Delmas PD. Biochemical markers of bone turnover. *Endocrinology & Metabolism Clinics of North America* 1998;**27**(2):303-23.

Geddes 1992

Geddes DM. Inhaled corticosteroids: benefits and risks. *Thorax* 1992;**47**:404-7. [911]

Hanania 1995

Hanania NA, Chapman KR. Dose related decrease in bone density among asthmatic patients treated with inhaled

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

EUROSCOP 1999

corticosteroids. *Journal of Allergy and Clnical Immunology* 1995;**96**(5 part 1):571-9. [1501]

Luengo 1991

Luengo M, Picardo C, Rio LD, Guanabens N, Monserrat JM, Setoain J. Vertebral fractures in steroid dependent asthma and involutional osteoporosis: a comparative study. *Thorax* 1991;**46**:803-6.

Miller 1999

Miller PD, Baram DT, Bilezikian JP, et al. Practical clinical application of biochemical markers of bone turnover (consensus of an expert panel). *Journal of Clinical Densitometry* 1999;**2**:323-42.

Pauwels 1995

Pauwels P. The current status of asthma guidelines. *European Respiratory Review* 1995;**4**(26):105-7. [786]

Riggs 2000

Riggs BL. Are biochemical markers for bone turnover clinically useful for monitoring therapy in individual osteoporotic patients?. *Bone* June 2000;**26**(6):551-2.

Swaminathan 1997

Swaminathan R. Biochemical Markers for Osteoporosis. In: Arden NK, Spector TD editor(s). Osteoporosis Illustrated. A comprehensive illustrated guide to osteoporosis. London: Current Medical Literature Ltd, 1997:75.

Tattersfield 1997

Tattersfield AE. Limitations of current treatment. *Lancet* 1997;**350**(Suppl. 11):24-7. [1198]

Teelucksingh 1991

Teelucksingh S. Inhaled corticosteroids, bone formation and osteocalcin. *Lancet* 1991;**338**:60-1.

Toogood 1995

Toogood JH, Baskerville JC, Markov AE, Hodsman AB, Frayer LJ, Jennings B, et al. Bone mineral density and the risk of fracture in patients receiving long-term inhaled steroid therapy for asthma. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1995;**96**(2):157-66.

* Indicates the major publication for the study

Methods	randomised, double blind duration: 3 years follow-up: 71%
Participants	"mild COPD patients who continue to smoke" male / female: 932 / 345



EUROSCOP 1999 (Continued)

	Av age: 52.4yr +/- 7.7 (placebo) 52.5 +/- 7.5 (budesonide)		
Interventions	budesonide 400mcg or placebo twice daily.		
Outcomes	after 104 weeks: vertebral fracture; bone mineral density (BMD); adverse effects; spirometry;		
Notes	Jadad score 3. smoking education and inhaler compliance prior to randomisation. BMD data to be pub- lished soon.		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	B - Unclear	

Hodsman 1991

Methods	"random allocation" double blind double dummy Duration: 6 weeks Follow up: 100%	
Participants	healthy subjects male / female: 17 / 33 Av Age: 33, SD 9 Smoking status not stated.	
Interventions	5 groups, each n=10; oral prednisolone 10mg or 40mg daily; inhaled budesonide 3.2mg or 0.8mg daily; or placebo; ethics committee stipulation that participants to be on a calcium restricted diet.	
Outcomes	measured at 1 week; serum osteocalcin; calcium, phosphate, creatinine, alk.phos.,24hr urinary Ca, Pi & creatinine; i-PTH; 1,25-(OH)2D3; corti- sol; urinary hydroxyproline; urinary cAMP;	
Notes	Jadad score 4. pill counting + inhaler weights to assess compliance. Authors provided raw data from the end of week one before cross-over for inclusion in meta-analysis.	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement	

Allocation concealment?	Low risk	A - Adequate

Kerstjens 1994

Methods	double blind Duration: 2.5 yrs (retrospective) Follow-up: 100%
Participants	"airways obstruction" male / female: 101 / 54 Av Age: 40.0, SD 12



Kerstjens 1994 (Continued)

	Smoking status not sta	ted.
Interventions	terbutaline 200mcg plus either beclomethasone 200mcg qds, n = 70, or ipratropium bromide 160mcg od, n = 44, or placebo, n = 41 (placebo & ipratropium pooled for analysis)	
Outcomes	PICP, ICTP;	
Notes	Jadad score 2. No measure of compliance. No samples taken within 1 month of oral steroids. Authors provided raw data, including separate figures for ipratropium group, and for placebo (pooled in original paper). From phase 2 of a study.	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	B - Unclear

Leech 1993

Methods	randomised crossover. Unmarked inhalers not identical. duration: 9 weeks Follow up: 100%	
Participants	healthy non-smoker volunteers (4 mild asthma) 21 women Age: 21 - 41	
Interventions	3 cycles of 2 weeks inhaler use then 1 week no inhaler. 1st week of each cycle 2 puffs bd; 2nd week 4 puffs bd; inhalers were placebo or budesonide 200mcg/puff, or beclomethasone 250mcg/puff.	
Outcomes	serum osteocalcin;	
Notes	Jadad score 2. All original raw data destroyed in a flood. Therefore unable to take pre-cross over data for the meta-analysis.	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Allocation concealment?	High risk	C - Inadequate

Li 1999

Methods	randomised, double blind duration: 104 weeks Follow-up: 66% fluticasone, 53% placebo
Participants	"mild persistent asthma"



Li 1999 (Continued)		
	male / female: 55 / 9	
	Av Age: 29.55, range 18	-49.
	Smoking status not sta	ted.
Interventions	fluticasone 500mcg or placebo twice daily.	
Outcomes	bone mineral density; s thalmic exams.	serum osteocalcin; HPA-axis function evaluations; urinary N-telopeptide; oph-
Notes	Jadad score 3. Less than 1 years total ever oral steroid use, + no oral steroids in month prior to recruit- ment; up to 2 x 10 day courses oral steroid use allowed during trial. Raw data for osteocalcin not ob- tained from authors.	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	B - Unclear

Tattersfield 2001

Methods	randomised, open study. Duration 2 years. follow-up 64%
Participants	"mild asthma" (FEV1 86% predicted) male / female 168 / 206 Age 20 - 60, Av 35 years Smokers 20%
Interventions	beclomethasone 500mcg daily(rising to 2000mcg if required), budesonide 400mcg daily (rising to 1600mcg daily if required) or non-corticosteroid asthma treatment alone.
Outcomes	Fracture, bone mineral density; serum osteocalcin; urinary pyridinoline; urinary deoxypyridinoline
Notes	Jadad score 2. Results presented on those completing follow-up, rather than intention to treat, although it was com- mented that analysis on basis of intention to treat would not make significant difference to results.
Risk of bias	

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Allocation concealment?	High risk	C - Inadequate

Toogood 1991

Methods	placebo controlled, double blind, cross-over. duration: 4 weeks 1st intervention, 2 wks wash out, 4 wks 2nd intervention. follow-up: 100%
Participants	healthy, non-smoking adults male / female: 24 / 24



Allocation concealment?

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions. Better health.

Toogood 1991 (Continued)	Av age: 32.1, SD 8.8
Interventions	budesonide 1.2mg (n=20) or 2.4mg (n=20) or placebo(n=8)
Outcomes	serum osteocalcin; 24hr urinary free cortisol; plasma cortisol; urinary calcium; urinary hydroxyproline; urinary phosphate; candida counts.
Notes	Jadad score 2. further sub-group analysis comparing 8am/8pm dosing with 8am/noon. Authors provid- ed detailed raw data. Baseline data only available for ur. hydroxyproline placebo group, so not included in meta-analysis.
Risk of bias	
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement

B - Unclear

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Unclear risk

Study	Reason for exclusion
Barnes 1996	trial abandoned as it was impossible to find enough patients in general practice in the UK with mild asthma who had not already been started on inhaled steroids.
Bootsma 1996	cross-over trial comparing two different steroids, with placebo in the run-in and wash-out periods only
Clark 1997	different outcome measures - plasma cortisol
Dempsey 1999	different outcome measures (urinary cortisol:creatinine ratios)
Egan 1999	randomisation to different inhaled steroid products, no placebo inhaler
Gross 1999	compared inhaled steroid and placebo in their effect on the RECOVERY of osteocalcin levels after suppression by oral steroids
Harmanci 1998	Comparison between two steroids, no placebo group
Herrala 1994	No randomisation to a placebo group
Hughes 1999	Two steroids; no placebo
Johnell 1999	inhaled steroids compared with a reference group given theophylline, nedocromil and ipratropi- um, the effect of which on bone metabolism is not known
Kos-Kudla 1997	used oral steroid
Malo 1999	Comparison of two steroids; no placebo group
Nikolaizik 1996	different outcome measures - cortisol and adreno-corticotrophic hormone
Padfield 1993	Not a RCT



Study	Reason for exclusion
Paggiaro 1998	used cortisol as the out come measure
Pauwels 1998	comparison between two steroids; no placebo group
Struijs 1997	COPD patients who needed steroids were randomised to beclomethasone or budesonide. Patients not requiring corticosteroids were the comparison group. BMD, alkaline phosphatase, osteocalcin, PICP and ICTP were measured.
Suissa 2000	Cohort study looking at inhaled steroid use and death rates.
Toogood 1988	Not an RCT
Vickers 1999	trial discontinued at the end of the feasibility phase because of the difficulties with recruitment - the majority of patients were given steroids at time of diagnosis of asthma, making it difficult to re- cruit for randomisation.
Wilson 1997	different outcomes - plasma cortisol and urinary cortisol and creatinine excretion
Wilson 1997b	different outcome measures - creatinine excretion
Wilson 1998	randomisation to budesonide (via turbohaler) OR triamcinolone (via integrated actuator/spacer), but not to a placebo group
Wilson 1998c	randomisation to oral prednisolone OR nebulised budesonide no placebo group
Wilson 1999	different outcomes - plasma cortisol and urinary cortisol/creatinine excretion
Wilson1998b	different outcomes - plasma cortisol and urinary cortisol creatinine ratios
Wood 1999	no randomisation to placebo

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes

Outcome or subgroup ti- tle	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 vertebral fractures	2	892	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.87 [0.50, 7.03]
2 Osteocalcin	3	141	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.34 [-0.72, 0.04]
2.1 Parallel studies	2	78	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.73 [-1.28, -0.18]
2.2 Cross-over study	1	63	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.51, 0.54]
3 Bone mineral density	3	792	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.08, 0.11]



Outcome or subgroup ti- tle	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
3.1 Change at lumbar spine (% fall)	3	419	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.01 [-0.08, 0.10]
3.2 Change at femoral neck (% fall)	2	373	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.61 [-0.34, 1.56]
4 alkaline phosphatase IU/ L	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-11.0 [-25.30, 3.30]
5 Parathyroid hormone (ng/l)	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-7.5 [-15.73, 0.73]
6 urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF)	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.08 [-0.52, 0.36]
7 PICP mcg/L	1	105	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	11.57 [-3.11, 26.25]

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 1 vertebral fractures.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control	P			dds I	Ratio			Weight	Peto Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N			Peto, Fix	ked, 9	95% CI				Peto, Fixed, 95% CI
EUROSCOP 1999	5/322	3/331				-	+			90%	1.7[0.42,6.87]
Tattersfield 2001	1/161	0/78	←					+	→	10%	4.41[0.07,288.46]
Total (95% CI)	483	409								100%	1.87[0.5,7.03]
Total events: 6 (Treatment), 3 (Control	l)										
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.18, df=1	L(P=0.67); I ² =0%										
Test for overall effect: Z=0.93(P=0.35)											
		Favours treatment	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours control	

Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 2 Osteocalcin.

Study or subgroup	Treatment		Control		Std.	Mean Differen	ce	Weight	Std. Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	F	ixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
1.2.1 Parallel studies									
Hodsman 1991	20	0.8 (0.6)	10	1.3 (0.2)		•		23%	-0.84[-1.63,-0.05]
Toogood 1991	40	0.5 (0.3)	8	0.7 (0.1)	_			24.35%	-0.63[-1.4,0.14]
Subtotal ***	60		18		•	•		47.35%	-0.73[-1.28,-0.18]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.14, df=1	1(P=0.7);	l ² =0%							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.59(P=0.01)									
1.2.2 Cross-over study									
Leech 1993	42	2.3 (1.3)	21	2.3 (1.2)				52.65%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Subtotal ***	42		21			•		52.65%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)									
			fa	vours control	-4 -2	0	2 4	favours trea	atment



Study or subgroup	Treatment		Control		Std. Mean Difference						Weight St	d. Mean Differe	nce
	Ν	Mean(SD) N	Mean(SD)			Fix	ed, 95	% CI				Fixed, 95% CI	I
Total ***	102		39								100%	-0.34[-0.72,	,0.04]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.83, df	=2(P=0.1	5); I ² =47.82%											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.74(P=0.08))												
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =3	.69, df=1	(P=0.05), I ² =72.89%				1			i				
			favours control	-4		-2	0	1	2	4	favours treatme	ent	

Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 3 Bone mineral density.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	c	ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
1.3.1 Change at lumbar spine (% fa	ll)						
EUROSCOP 1999	82	0.7 (4.5)	79	0.1 (4.5)		0.46%	0.52[-0.87,1.91]
Li 1999	21	1.2 (0.2)	17	1.2 (0.1)	+	97.62%	0.01[-0.09,0.11]
Tattersfield 2001	145	0.2 (3.5)	75	0.4 (3.5)		0.93%	-0.25[-1.23,0.73]
Subtotal ***	248		171			99.01%	0.01[-0.08,0.1]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.79, df=	2(P=0.67	7); I²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.2(P=0.84)							
1.3.2 Change at femoral neck (% fa	ll)						
EUROSCOP 1999	78	1.2 (5.3)	71	0.3 (5.3)		0.3%	0.86[-0.85,2.57]
Tattersfield 2001	149	0.9 (4.1)	75	0.4 (4.1)		0.69%	0.5[-0.64,1.64]
Subtotal ***	227		146		-	0.99%	0.61[-0.34,1.56]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.12, df=	1(P=0.73	3); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21)							
Total ***	475		317		•	100%	0.02[-0.08,0.11]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.43, df=	4(P=0.66	5); I²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.33(P=0.74)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =1	.53, df=1	(P=0.22), I ² =34.4	4%				
			Fa	vours control -4	-2 0 2	⁴ Favours trea	atment

Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 4 alkaline phosphatase IU/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control		Mean Difference			ice		Weight I	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% C	3			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	43 (25.9)	10	54 (14)						100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Total ***	20		10				◆			100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.51(P=0.13)											
			fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	favours treatme	nt

Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 5 Parathyroid hormone (ng/l).

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	Control		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	10.5 (8.1)	10	18 (12)						100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.79(P=0.07)											
			Favo	urs treatment	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours control	

Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 6 urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF).

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	с	Control		Ме	an Differen	ence V		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% C	I			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	1.6 (0.5)	10	1.7 (0.6)			+			100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.35(P=0.72)											
			Favo	urs treatment	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours control	

Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 inhaled steroid vs placebo - all outcomes, Outcome 7 PICP mcg/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	t Control		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Kerstjens 1994	67	128.5 (45.8)	38	117 (30.7)						100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Total ***	67		38				-			100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.54(P=0.12)											
			fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	favours treat	ment

Comparison 2. inhaled steroid vs placebo - Jadad scores 0-2

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Osteocalcin	2	111	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.19 [-0.62, 0.25]
1.1 Parallel study	1	48	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.63 [-1.40, 0.14]
1.2 Cross-over study	1	63	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.51, 0.54]
2 PICP mcg/L	1	105	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	11.57 [-3.11, 26.25]
3 Vertebral fracture	1	239	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	4.41 [0.07, 288.46]

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
4 Bone Mineral Density	1	444	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.07 [-0.67, 0.81]
4.1 lumber spine (% fall)	1	220	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.25 [-1.23, 0.73]
4.2 neck of femur (% fall)	1	224	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.5 [-0.64, 1.64]

Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Jadad scores 0-2, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	c	ontrol	Std. Mean Difference	Weight	Std. Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
2.1.1 Parallel study							
Toogood 1991	40	0.5 (0.3)	8	0.7 (0.1)		31.62%	-0.63[-1.4,0.14]
Subtotal ***	40		8		•	31.62%	-0.63[-1.4,0.14]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.59(P=0.11)							
2.1.2 Cross-over study							
Leech 1993	42	2.3 (1.3)	21	2.3 (1.2)	H	68.38%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Subtotal ***	42		21		•	68.38%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)							
Total ***	82		29		+	100%	-0.19[-0.62,0.25]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.82, df=	L(P=0.18	8); I ² =45.19%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.85(P=0.4)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =1.	32, df=1	(P=0.18), I ² =45.199	6				
			fa	vours control	-10 -5 0 5	¹⁰ favours trea	tment

Analysis 2.2. Comparison 2 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Jadad scores 0-2, Outcome 2 PICP mcg/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment Co		Control Mean Diff		an Differenc	e		Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Kerstjens 1994	67	128.5 (45.8)	38	117 (30.7)						100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Total ***	67		38				•			100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.54(P=0.12)											
			Fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours treat	ment

Analysis 2.3. Comparison 2 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Jadad scores 0-2, Outcome 3 Vertebral fracture.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control			Peto	Odds	Ratio			Weight	Peto Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N			Peto, F	ixed,	95% CI				Peto, Fixed, 95% Cl
Tattersfield 2001	1/161	0/78	←						→	100%	4.41[0.07,288.46]
Total (95% CI)	161	78								100%	4.41[0.07,288.46]
Total events: 1 (Treatment), 0 (Control)											
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.7(P=0.49)											
	F	avours treatment	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours control	

Analysis 2.4. Comparison 2 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Jadad scores 0-2, Outcome 4 Bone Mineral Density.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	C	ontrol	N	lean Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
2.4.1 lumber spine (% fall)									
Tattersfield 2001	145	0.2 (3.5)	75	0.4 (3.5)				57.62%	-0.25[-1.23,0.73]
Subtotal ***	145		75					57.62%	-0.25[-1.23,0.73]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.5(P=0.62)									
2.4.2 neck of femur (% fall)									
Tattersfield 2001	149	0.9 (4.1)	75	0.4 (4.1)				42.38%	0.5[-0.64,1.64]
Subtotal ***	149		75					42.38%	0.5[-0.64,1.64]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.86(P=0.39)									
Total ***	294		150			+		100%	0.07[-0.67,0.81]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.96, df=1	L(P=0.33); I ² =0%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.18(P=0.86)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =0.9	96, df=1	(P=0.33), I ² =0%			1 1				
			Fav	ours control	-4 -2	0	2 4	Favours tre	eatment

Comparison 3. inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 osteocalcin	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.44 [-0.85, -0.03]
2 urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF)	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.08 [-0.52, 0.36]
3 parathyroid hormone ng/ L	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-7.5 [-15.73, 0.73]
4 alkaline phosphatase	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-11.0 [-25.30, 3.30]
5 Bone mineral density	2	348	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.05 [-0.21, 0.31]

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
5.1 Change at femoral neck (% fall)	1	149	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.86 [-0.85, 2.57]
5.2 Change at lumbar spine (% fall)	2	199	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.03 [-0.24, 0.29]
6 Vertebral fracture	1	653	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.70 [0.42, 6.87]

Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 1 osteocalcin.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	с	Control		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% C	:1			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	0.8 (0.6)	10	1.3 (0.5)			+			100%	-0.44[-0.85,-0.03]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-0.44[-0.85,-0.03]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.11(P=0.04)											
			fa	vours control	-10	-5	0	5	10	favours treatm	nent

Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 2 urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF).

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	c	Control		Mean Difference			Mean Difference Weight			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI		
Hodsman 1991	20	1.6 (0.5)	10	1.7 (0.6)			+			100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]		
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]		
Heterogeneity: Not applicable													
Test for overall effect: Z=0.35(P=0.72)					1	1							
			Favo	urs treatment	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours contro	l		

Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 3 parathyroid hormone ng/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% C	1			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	10.5 (8.1)	10	18 (12)			-			100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.79(P=0.07)											
			Favou	urs treatment	-100	-50	0	50	100	favours control	

Analysis 3.4. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 4 alkaline phosphatase.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control		Mean Difference			ce		Weight I	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% C	l			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	43 (25.9)	10	54 (14)						100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.51(P=0.13)					1						
			fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	favours treatme	nt

Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 5 Bone mineral density.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	(Control		Me	ean Difference	2		Weight M	ean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
3.5.1 Change at femoral neck (% fall)										
EUROSCOP 1999	78	1.2 (5.3)	71	0.3 (5.3)			++			2.32%	0.86[-0.85,2.57]
Subtotal ***	78		71				-			2.32%	0.86[-0.85,2.57]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(Pe	<0.0001)	; I ² =100%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.98(P=0.33)											
3.5.2 Change at lumbar spine (% fall)										
EUROSCOP 1999	82	0.7 (4.5)	79	0.1 (4.5)			-+			3.52%	0.52[-0.87,1.91]
Li 1999	21	1.2 (0.6)	17	1.2 (0.1)			+			94.16%	0.01[-0.26,0.28]
Subtotal ***	103		96				•			97.68%	0.03[-0.24,0.29]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.5, df=1(P=0.48);	; I ² =0%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.21(P=0.83)											
Total ***	181		167				•			100%	0.05[-0.21,0.31]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.38, df=2	2(P=0.5);	; I ² =0%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.36(P=0.72)											
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =0.8	88, df=1	(P=0.35), I ² =0%									
			fa	avours control	-10	-5	0	5	10	favours treatmen	t

Analysis 3.6. Comparison 3 inhaled steroid vs placebo Jadad scores 3-5, Outcome 6 Vertebral fracture.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control		Peto Odds Ratio						Weight	Peto Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N			Peto, F	ixed,	95% CI				Peto, Fixed, 95% Cl
EUROSCOP 1999	5/322	3/331								100%	1.7[0.42,6.87]
Total (95% CI)	322	331								100%	1.7[0.42,6.87]
Total events: 5 (Treatment), 3 (Control))										
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.75(P=0.45)											
		Favours treatment	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours control	

Comparison 4. inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid

Outcome or subgroup ti- tle	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Vertebral fracture	2	892	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.87 [0.50, 7.03]
2 Bone mineral density	3	792	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.08, 0.11]
2.1 Change at lumbar spine (% fall)	3	419	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.01 [-0.08, 0.10]
2.2 Change at femoral neck (% fall)	2	373	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.61 [-0.34, 1.56]
3 PICP mcg/L	1	105	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	11.57 [-3.11, 26.25]
4 Alkaline phosphatase IU/ L	1	20	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-13.0 [-24.04, -1.96]
5 Parathyroid hormone ng/L	1	20	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-10.0 [-17.67, -2.33]
6 urinary hydroxyproline	1	20	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.04 [-0.48, 0.56]
7 Osteocalcin	3	111	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.18 [-0.58, 0.21]
7.1 Parallel studies	2	48	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.45 [-1.06, 0.16]
7.2 Cross-over study	1	63	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.51, 0.54]

Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 1 Vertebral fracture.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control		Peto Odds Ratio						Weight	Peto Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N			Peto, Fi	xed, 9	5% CI				Peto, Fixed, 95% Cl
EUROSCOP 1999	5/322	3/331					+			90%	1.7[0.42,6.87]
Tattersfield 2001	1/161	0/78	←					+	→	10%	4.41[0.07,288.46]
Total (95% CI)	483	409							-	100%	1.87[0.5,7.03]
Total events: 6 (Treatment), 3 (Contro	ol)										
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.18, df=	1(P=0.67); I ² =0%										
Test for overall effect: Z=0.93(P=0.35)											
		Favours treatment	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours control	

Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 2 Bone mineral density.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	c	ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
4.2.1 Change at lumbar spine (% fal	l)						
EUROSCOP 1999	82	0.7 (4.5)	79	0.1 (4.5)		0.46%	0.52[-0.87,1.91]
Li 1999	21	1.2 (0.2)	17	1.2 (0.1)	÷	97.62%	0.01[-0.09,0.11]
Tattersfield 2001	145	0.2 (3.5)	75	0.4 (3.5)	-	0.93%	-0.25[-1.23,0.73]
Subtotal ***	248		171			99.01%	0.01[-0.08,0.1]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.79, df=	2(P=0.67	7); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.2(P=0.84)							
4.2.2 Change at femoral neck (% fal	l)						
EUROSCOP 1999	78	1.2 (5.3)	71	0.3 (5.3)	_ +•	0.3%	0.86[-0.85,2.57]
Tattersfield 2001	149	0.9 (4.1)	75	0.4 (4.1)	-+	0.69%	0.5[-0.64,1.64]
Subtotal ***	227		146		•	0.99%	0.61[-0.34,1.56]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.12, df=	1(P=0.73	3); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21)							
Total ***	475		317			100%	0.02[-0.08,0.11]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.43, df=	4(P=0.66	5); I²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.33(P=0.74)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =1.	53, df=1	(P=0.22), I ² =34.4	4%				
			Fa	vours control -10	-5 0 5	¹⁰ Favours trea	tment

Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo -Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 3 PICP mcg/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	Fixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Kerstjens 1994	67	128.5 (45.8)	38	117 (30.7)					100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Total ***	67		38				•		100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=1.54(P=0.12)										
			Ear	yours control	-100	-50	0	50 100	Eavours tro	atment

Favours control -100 -50 0 50

¹⁰⁰ Favours treatment

Analysis 4.4. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 4 Alkaline phosphatase IU/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control		Mean Difference		e		Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	Fixed, 95% C				Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	10	41 (11)	10	54 (14)						100%	-13[-24.04,-1.96]
Total ***	10		10				•			100%	-13[-24.04,-1.96]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.31(P=0.02)											
			Fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours treatme	ent



Analysis 4.5. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 5 Parathyroid hormone ng/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	Control		Mean Difference			ce		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% C	:1			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	10	8 (3)	10	18 (12)			-+-			100%	-10[-17.67,-2.33]
Total ***	10		10				•			100%	-10[-17.67,-2.33]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.56(P=0.01)											
			Favo	urs treatment	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours control	

Analysis 4.6. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 6 urinary hydroxyproline.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	Control			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	10	1.7 (0.6)	10	1.7 (0.6)			+			100%	0.04[-0.48,0.56]
Total ***	10		10				•			100%	0.04[-0.48,0.56]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.15(P=0.88)											
			Favo	urs treatment	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours control	

Favours treatment

Analysis 4.7. Comparison 4 inhaled steroid vs placebo -Conventional therapeutic dose of steroid, Outcome 7 Osteocalcin.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	с	ontrol	Std. Mean Difference			Weight	Std. Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
4.7.1 Parallel studies									
Hodsman 1991	10	1 (0.7)	10	1.3 (0.5)		-+-		19.94%	-0.41[-1.3,0.48]
Toogood 1991	20	0.6 (0.3)	8	0.7 (0.1)				22.76%	-0.48[-1.32,0.35]
Subtotal ***	30		18			•		42.69%	-0.45[-1.06,0.16]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.01, df=	1(P=0.91	l); l ² =0%							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.46(P=0.15)									
4.7.2 Cross-over study									
Leech 1993	42	2.3 (1.3)	21	2.3 (1.2)		H		57.31%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Subtotal ***	42		21			•		57.31%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)									
Total ***	72		39			•		100%	-0.18[-0.58,0.21]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.32, df=2	2(P=0.52	2); I ² =0%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.91(P=0.36)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =1.	3, df=1 (P=0.25), I ² =23.32%							
			Fa	vours control	-10 -5	0 5	5 10	Favours tre	eatment

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Osteocalcin - parallel studies	2	48	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.97 [-1.61, -0.34]
2 Alkaline phosphatase	1	20	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-9.0 [-32.36, 14.36]
3 Parathyroid hormone	1	20	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-5.0 [-15.09, 5.09]
4 Urinary hydroxyproline	1	20	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.20 [-0.65, 0.25]

Comparison 5. inhaled steroid vs placebo - experimental dose of steroid

Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 inhaled steroid vs placebo - experimental dose of steroid, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin - parallel studies.

Study or subgroup	Tr	eatment	Control		Std. Mean Difference		Weight	Std. Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 9	95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	10	0.6 (0.6)	10	1.3 (0.5)			44.07%	-1.14[-2.1,-0.18]
Toogood 1991	20	0.5 (0.2)	8	0.7 (0.1)			55.93%	-0.84[-1.69,0.01]
Total ***	30		18		•		100%	-0.97[-1.61,-0.34]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.21, d	f=1(P=0.6	4); I ² =0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=2.99(P=0)								
			Ea	vours control	-10 -5 0	5 10) Equation from	atmont

Favours control Favours treatment

Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 inhaled steroid vs placebo experimental dose of steroid, Outcome 2 Alkaline phosphatase.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control			Mean Difference		2		Weight M	ean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	10	45 (35)	10	54 (14)		-				100%	-9[-32.36,14.36]
Total ***	10		10			-				100%	-9[-32.36,14.36]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.75(P=0.45)											
			Fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours treatmer	ıt

Analysis 5.3. Comparison 5 inhaled steroid vs placebo experimental dose of steroid, Outcome 3 Parathyroid hormone.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	Control			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI					Fixed, 95% CI	
Hodsman 1991	10	13 (11)	10	18 (12)				1	1	100%	-5[-15.09,5.09]
			Favo	urs treatment	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours contro	l



Study or subgroup	Tre	Treatment Control		ntrol	Mean Difference					Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95%	b CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Total ***	10		10				•			100%	-5[-15.09,5.09]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.97(P=0.33)											
			Favours	s treatment	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours contro	l

Analysis 5.4. Comparison 5 inhaled steroid vs placebo - experimental dose of steroid, Outcome 4 Urinary hydroxyproline.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference			
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI	
Hodsman 1991	10	1.5 (0.4)	10	1.7 (0.6)							100%	-0.2[-0.65,0.25]
Total ***	10		10					•			100%	-0.2[-0.65,0.25]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=0.87(P=0.39)												
			Favo	urs treatment	-4		-2	0	2	4	Favours contro	l

Comparison 6. inhaled steroid vs placebo - healthy recruits

Outcome or subgroup ti- tle	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Osteocalcin	3	141	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.32 [-0.70, 0.06]
1.1 Parallel studies	2	78	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.68 [-1.23, -0.13]
1.2 Cross-over studies	1	63	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.51, 0.54]
2 Urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF)	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.08 [-0.52, 0.36]
3 Parathyroid hormone (ng/L)	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-7.5 [-15.73, 0.73]
4 Alkaline phosphatase	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-11.0 [-25.30, 3.30]

Analysis 6.1. Comparison 6 inhaled steroid vs placebo - healthy recruits, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin.

Study or subgroup	Treatment			Control		Std. Mean Difference				Weight	Std. Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% (21			Fixed, 95% CI
6.1.1 Parallel studies									1		
				Favours control	-4	-2	0	2	4	Favours tre	atment



Study or subgroup	Treatment		Control		Std. Mean I	Difference	Weight	Std. Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	N	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 9	95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	0.8 (0.6)	10	1.3 (0.5)			23.32%	-0.74[-1.53,0.04]
Toogood 1991	40	0.5 (0.3)	8	0.7 (0.1)		-	24.25%	-0.63[-1.4,0.14]
Subtotal ***	60		18		•		47.57%	-0.68[-1.23,-0.13]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.04, df=	L(P=0.84	l); l ² =0%						
Test for overall effect: Z=2.43(P=0.01)								
6.1.2 Cross-over studies								
Leech 1993	42	2.3 (1.3)	21	2.3 (1.2)	-#	┣─	52.43%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Subtotal ***	42		21				52.43%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)								
Total ***	102		39		•		100%	-0.32[-0.7,0.06]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.3, df=2	P=0.19)	; I ² =39.32%						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.64(P=0.1)								
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =3.2	25, df=1	(P=0.07), I ² =69.269	6					
			Fa	vours control -4	-2 0	2	4 Favours tre	atment

Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 inhaled steroid vs placebo - healthy recruits, Outcome 2 Urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF).

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 9	5% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	1.6 (0.5)	10	1.7 (0.6)				-		100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]
								-			
Total ***	20		10				-	•		100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.35(P=0.72)											
			Favou	urs treatment	-4	-2	0	2	2 4	Favours contro	l

Analysis 6.3. Comparison 6 inhaled steroid vs placebo - healthy recruits, Outcome 3 Parathyroid hormone (ng/L).

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	с	ontrol	Mean Di		Mean Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed,	95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	10.5 (8.1)	10	18 (12)	•				100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Total ***	20		10						100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=1.79(P=0.07)										
			Favou	urs treatment	-4	-2 0)	2 4	Favours contro	l

Analysis 6.4. Comparison 6 inhaled steroid vs placebo - healthy recruits, Outcome 4 Alkaline phosphatase.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control			Mean Difference			Weight M	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	Fixed, 95% C	I			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	43 (25.9)	10	54 (14)						100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.51(P=0.13)											
			Fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours treatme	nt

Comparison 7. inhaled steroid vs placebo - asthmatic or COPD recruits

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Vertebral fracture	2	892	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.87 [0.50, 7.03]
2 Bone MIneral Density	3	792	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.08, 0.11]
2.1 Change at lumbar spine (% fall)	3	419	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.01 [-0.08, 0.10]
2.2 Change at femoral neck (% fall)	2	373	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.61 [-0.34, 1.56]
3 PICP (mcg/L)	0	0	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Analysis 7.1. Comparison 7 inhaled steroid vs placebo - asthmatic or COPD recruits, Outcome 1 Vertebral fracture.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control		Pe	to Odds Rat	tio		Weight	Peto Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		Peto	, Fixed, 95	% CI			Peto, Fixed, 95% CI
EUROSCOP 1999	5/322	3/331						90%	1.7[0.42,6.87]
Tattersfield 2001	1/161	0/78				+	\rightarrow	10%	4.41[0.07,288.46]
Total (95% CI)	483	409			-			100%	1.87[0.5,7.03]
Total events: 6 (Treatment), 3 (Con	trol)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.18, o	df=1(P=0.67); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.93(P=0.3	35)								
		Favours treatment	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours control	

Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 inhaled steroid vs placebo - asthmatic or COPD recruits, Outcome 2 Bone MIneral Density.

Study or subgroup	Tre	Treatment		ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
7.2.1 Change at lumbar spine (% fal	ll)						
EUROSCOP 1999	82	0.7 (4.5)	79	0.1 (4.5)		0.46%	0.52[-0.87,1.91]
Li 1999	21	1.2 (0.2)	17	1.2 (0.1)		97.62%	0.01[-0.09,0.11]
Tattersfield 2001	145	0.2 (3.5)	75	0.4 (3.5)		0.93%	-0.25[-1.23,0.73]
Subtotal ***	248		171			99.01%	0.01[-0.08,0.1]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.79, df=	2(P=0.67	7); I²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.2(P=0.84)							
7.2.2 Change at femoral neck (% fal	l)						
EUROSCOP 1999	78	1.2 (5.3)	71	0.3 (5.3)	++	0.3%	0.86[-0.85,2.57]
Tattersfield 2001	149	0.9 (4.1)	75	0.4 (4.1)		0.69%	0.5[-0.64,1.64]
Subtotal ***	227		146		•	0.99%	0.61[-0.34,1.56]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.12, df=	1(P=0.73	3); I²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21)							
Total ***	475		317			100%	0.02[-0.08,0.11]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.43, df=	4(P=0.66	5); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.33(P=0.74)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =1.	.53, df=1	(P=0.22), I ² =34.4	4%				
			Fa	vours control -10	-5 0 5	¹⁰ Favours trea	tment

Comparison 8. inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up </= 12 weeks

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Osteocalcin	3	141	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.32 [-0.70, 0.06]
1.1 Parallel studies	2	78	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.68 [-1.23, -0.13]
1.2 Cross-over studies	1	63	Std. Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.51, 0.54]
2 urinary hydroxyproline umol/L GF	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.08 [-0.52, 0.36]
3 Parathyroid ng/L	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-7.5 [-15.73, 0.73]
4 Alkaline Phosphatase IU/L	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-11.0 [-25.30, 3.30]

Analysis 8.1. Comparison 8 inhaled steroid vs placebo treatment & follow-up </= 12 weeks, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin.

Study or subgroup	Tre	Treatment Co		ontrol	Std. Mean Difference	Weight	Std. Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
8.1.1 Parallel studies							
Hodsman 1991	20	0.8 (0.6)	10	1.3 (0.5)		23.32%	-0.74[-1.53,0.04]
Toogood 1991	40	0.5 (0.3)	8	0.7 (0.1)		24.25%	-0.63[-1.4,0.14]
Subtotal ***	60		18		•	47.57%	-0.68[-1.23,-0.13]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.04, df=	1(P=0.84); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=2.43(P=0.01)							
8.1.2 Cross-over studies							
Leech 1993	42	2.3 (1.3)	21	2.3 (1.2)	*	52.43%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Subtotal ***	42		21		•	52.43%	0.02[-0.51,0.54]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)							
Total ***	102		39		•	100%	-0.32[-0.7,0.06]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.3, df=2	(P=0.19)	; I ² =39.32%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.64(P=0.1)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =3.2	25, df=1	(P=0.07), I ² =69.269	6				
			Fa	vours control	-10 -5 0 5	L ⁰ Favours tre	eatment

Analysis 8.2. Comparison 8 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up </= 12 weeks, Outcome 2 urinary hydroxyproline umol/L GF.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control			Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% C	I			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	1.6 (0.5)	10	1.7 (0.6)			+			100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.35(P=0.72)											
			Favo	urs treatment	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours control	

Analysis 8.3. Comparison 8 inhaled steroid vs placebo treatment & follow-up </= 12 weeks, Outcome 3 Parathyroid ng/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment Control		ontrol		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	10.5 (8.1)	10	18 (12)			-+			100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.79(P=0.07)											
			Favo	urs treatment	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours control	

Analysis 8.4. Comparison 8 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up </= 12 weeks, Outcome 4 Alkaline Phosphatase IU/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control			Mean Difference			Weight N	lean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% C	1			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	43 (25.9)	10	54 (14)						100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Test for overall effect: 7=1 51(P=0 13)											
			Fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours treatme	nt

Comparison 9. inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up > 12 weeks

Cochrane

Librarv

Trusted evidence. Informed decisions.

Better health.

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Vertebral fractures	2	892	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.87 [0.50, 7.03]
2 Bone mineral density	3	792	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.08, 0.11]
2.1 Change at femoral neck (% fall)	2	373	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.61 [-0.34, 1.56]
2.2 Change at lumber spine (% fall)	3	419	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.01 [-0.08, 0.10]
3 PICP mcg/l	1	105	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	11.57 [-3.11, 26.25]

Analysis 9.1. Comparison 9 inhaled steroid vs placebo treatment & follow-up > 12 weeks, Outcome 1 Vertebral fractures.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control		Pet	o Odds Rati	0		Weight	Peto Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		Peto	Fixed, 95%	CI			Peto, Fixed, 95% Cl
EUROSCOP 1999	5/322	3/331				_		90%	1.7[0.42,6.87]
Tattersfield 2001	1/161	0/78					\rightarrow	10%	4.41[0.07,288.46]
Total (95% CI)	483	409						100%	1.87[0.5,7.03]
Total events: 6 (Treatment), 3 (Contro	l)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.18, df=	1(P=0.67); I ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.93(P=0.35)									
		Favours treatment	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours control	

Analysis 9.2. Comparison 9 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up > 12 weeks, Outcome 2 Bone mineral density.

Study or subgroup	Treatment		c	ontrol	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
9.2.1 Change at femoral neck (% fall	.)						
EUROSCOP 1999	78	1.2 (5.3)	71	0.3 (5.3)	_ + •	0.3%	0.86[-0.85,2.57]
Tattersfield 2001	149	0.9 (4.1)	75	0.4 (4.1)		0.69%	0.5[-0.64,1.64]
Subtotal ***	227		146		•	0.99%	0.61[-0.34,1.56]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.12, df=	1(P=0.73	3); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=1.26(P=0.21)							
9.2.2 Change at lumber spine (% fall	l)						
EUROSCOP 1999	82	0.7 (4.5)	79	0.1 (4.5)		0.46%	0.52[-0.87,1.91]
Li 1999	21	1.2 (0.2)	17	1.2 (0.1)	- + -	97.62%	0.01[-0.09,0.11]
Tattersfield 2001	145	0.2 (3.5)	75	0.4 (3.5)		0.93%	-0.25[-1.23,0.73]
Subtotal ***	248		171			99.01%	0.01[-0.08,0.1]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.79, df=2	2(P=0.67	7); I ² =0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.2(P=0.84)							
Total ***	475		317			100%	0.02[-0.08,0.11]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.43, df=4	4(P=0.66	5); I²=0%					
Test for overall effect: Z=0.33(P=0.74)							
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =1.	53, df=1	(P=0.22), I ² =34.4	4%				
			Fa	vours control -10	-5 0 5	¹⁰ Favours trea	tment

Analysis 9.3. Comparison 9 inhaled steroid vs placebo - treatment & follow-up > 12 weeks, Outcome 3 PICP mcg/l.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control			Ме	an Difference	•		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Kerstjens 1994	67	128.5 (45.8)	38	117 (30.7)						100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Total ***	67		38				•			100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.54(P=0.12)						1					
			Fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours treatm	nent

Comparison 10. inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment A

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Osteocalcin mcg/l	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.45 [-0.86, -0.04]
2 urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF)	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.08 [-0.52, 0.36]
3 Parathyroid hormone ng/l	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-7.5 [-15.73, 0.73]
4 Alkaline phosphatase IU/L	1	30	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-11.0 [-25.30, 3.30]

-

Analysis 10.1. Comparison 10 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment A, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin mcg/l.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	0.8 (0.6)	10	1.3 (0.5)			+			100%	-0.45[-0.86,-0.04]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-0.45[-0.86,-0.04]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P<0.0001); I ² =100%									
Test for overall effect: Z=2.15(P=0.03)				1						
			Fa	vours control	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours treatn	nent

Analysis 10.2. Comparison 10 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment A, Outcome 2 urinary hydroxyproline (umol/L GF).

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	Control		Mean Difference			e		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	1.6 (0.5)	10	1.7 (0.6)			+			100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-0.08[-0.52,0.36]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.35(P=0.72)											
			Favo	urs treatment	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours control	

Analysis 10.3. Comparison 10 inhaled steroid vs placebo -Cochrane Concealment A, Outcome 3 Parathyroid hormone ng/l.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control		Mean Difference			e		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	10.5 (8.1)	10	18 (12)						100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Total ***	20		10				•			100%	-7.5[-15.73,0.73]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.79(P=0.07)					1						
			Favo	urs treatment	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours control	

Analysis 10.4. Comparison 10 inhaled steroid vs placebo -Cochrane Concealment A, Outcome 4 Alkaline phosphatase IU/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	Control			Me	ean Differen	ce		Weight I	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% C	I			Fixed, 95% CI
Hodsman 1991	20	43 (25.9)	10	54 (14)						100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Total ***	20		10							100%	-11[-25.3,3.3]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.51(P=0.13)											
			Fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours treatme	nt

Outcome or subgroup ti- tle	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Vertebral fractures	1	653	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.70 [0.42, 6.87]
2 Bone mineral density	2	348	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.05 [-0.21, 0.31]
2.1 Change at femoral neck (% fall)	1	149	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.86 [-0.85, 2.57]
2.2 Change at lumbar spine (% fall)	2	199	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.03 [-0.24, 0.29]
3 PICP mcg/L	1	105	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	11.57 [-3.11, 26.25]
4 Osteocalcin nmol/l	1	48	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.15 [-0.27, -0.03]

Comparison 11. inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment B

Analysis 11.1. Comparison 11 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment B, Outcome 1 Vertebral fractures.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control		Pe	eto Odds Ra	tio		Weight	Peto Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		Pet	o, Fixed, 95	% CI			Peto, Fixed, 95% CI
EUROSCOP 1999	5/322	3/331						100%	1.7[0.42,6.87]
Total (95% CI)	322	331			-			100%	1.7[0.42,6.87]
Total events: 5 (Treatment), 3 (Control)									
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.75(P=0.45)									
		Favours treatment	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours control	

Analysis 11.2. Comparison 11 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment B, Outcome 2 Bone mineral density.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	C	ontrol		Mean Difference		,		Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixe	ed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
11.2.1 Change at femoral neck (% fa	ແ)										
EUROSCOP 1999	78	1.2 (5.3)	71	0.3 (5.3)		-				2.32%	0.86[-0.85,2.57]
Subtotal ***	78		71			-				2.32%	0.86[-0.85,2.57]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P	<0.0001)	; I ² =100%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.98(P=0.33)											
11.2.2 Change at lumbar spine (% fa	ll)										
EUROSCOP 1999	82	0.7 (4.5)	79	0.1 (4.5)		-	+	_		3.52%	0.52[-0.87,1.91]
Li 1999	21	1.2 (0.6)	17	1.2 (0.1)			-+			94.16%	0.01[-0.26,0.28]
Subtotal ***	103		96				•			97.68%	0.03[-0.24,0.29]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.5, df=1	(P=0.48);	l ² =0%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.21(P=0.83)											
			Fav	vours control	-4	-2	0	2	4	Favours treatn	nent



Study or subgroup	Treatment		Control		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	N Mean(SD) N Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95%	% CI			Fixed, 95% CI			
Total ***	181		167				•			100%	0.05[-0.21,0.31]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.38, df=	=2(P=0.5); I ² =0%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.36(P=0.72)											
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =0	.88, df=1	L (P=0.35), I ² =0%									
			Fa	vours control	-4	-2	0	2	4	Favours trea	tment

Analysis 11.3. Comparison 11 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment B, Outcome 3 PICP mcg/L.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Kerstjens 1994	67	128.5 (45.8)	38	117 (30.7)						100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Total ***	67		38				-			100%	11.57[-3.11,26.25]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.54(P=0.12)											
			Fa	vours control	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours treat	ment

Analysis 11.4. Comparison 11 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment B, Outcome 4 Osteocalcin nmol/l.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	c	ontrol	Mean Difference			an Difference Weight		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI					Fixed, 95% CI
Toogood 1991	40	0.5 (0.3)	8	0.7 (0.1)			+			100%	-0.15[-0.27,-0.03]
Total ***	40		8				•			100%	-0.15[-0.27,-0.03]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.47(P=0.01)						1					
			Fa	vours control	-4	-2	0	2	4	Favours treat	ment

Comparison 12. inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment C

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Osteocalcin mcg/l, cross- over	1	63	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.61, 0.65]
2 Vertebral fracture	1	239	Peto Odds Ratio (Peto, Fixed, 95% CI)	4.41 [0.07, 288.46]
3 Bone mineral density	1	444	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.07 [-0.67, 0.81]
3.1 lumbar spine (% fall)	1	220	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.25 [-1.23, 0.73]
3.2 Neck of femur (% fall)	1	224	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.5 [-0.64, 1.64]



Analysis 12.1. Comparison 12 inhaled steroid vs placebo -Cochrane Concealment C, Outcome 1 Osteocalcin mcg/l, cross-over.

Study or subgroup	Tre	eatment	Control		Mean Difference		e		Weight M	ean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% Cl				Fixed, 95% CI
Leech 1993	42	2.3 (1.3)	21	2.3 (1.2)						100%	0.02[-0.61,0.65]
Total ***	42		21				•			100%	0.02[-0.61,0.65]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.06(P=0.95)											
			Fa	vours control	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours treatmen	t

Analysis 12.2. Comparison 12 inhaled steroid vs placebo - Cochrane Concealment C, Outcome 2 Vertebral fracture.

Study or subgroup	Treatment	Control			Peto	Odds	Ratio			Weight	Peto Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N			Peto, F	ixed,	95% CI				Peto, Fixed, 95% CI
Tattersfield 2001	1/161	0/78	◀					1	->	100%	4.41[0.07,288.46]
Total (95% CI)	161	78								100%	4.41[0.07,288.46]
Total events: 1 (Treatment), 0 (Control)											
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.7(P=0.49)											
		Favours treatment	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours control	

Analysis 12.3. Comparison 12 inhaled steroid vs placebo -Cochrane Concealment C, Outcome 3 Bone mineral density.

Study or subgroup	Tre	atment	Control		M	lean Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
12.3.1 lumbar spine (% fall)									
Tattersfield 2001	145	0.2 (3.5)	75	0.4 (3.5)				57.62%	-0.25[-1.23,0.73]
Subtotal ***	145		75			-		57.62%	-0.25[-1.23,0.73]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.5(P=0.62)									
12.3.2 Neck of femur (% fall)									
Tattersfield 2001	149	0.9 (4.1)	75	0.4 (4.1)				42.38%	0.5[-0.64,1.64]
Subtotal ***	149		75			-		42.38%	0.5[-0.64,1.64]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.86(P=0.39)									
Total ***	294		150			-		100%	0.07[-0.67,0.81]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.96, df=	1(P=0.33	3); I ² =0%							
Test for overall effect: Z=0.18(P=0.86)									
Test for subgroup differences: Chi ² =0.	96, df=1	(P=0.33), I ² =0%							
			Fa	vours control	-4 -2	0	2 4	Favours tre	atment



WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
30 July 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format.

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 2, 1999 Review first published: Issue 2, 2002

Date	Event	Description
11 October 2001	New citation required and conclusions have changed	Substantive amendment

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

AJ and MB developed the original idea and protocol. All reviewers were involved in selecting abstracts and final papers for inclusion. GR searched alternative databases and JF contacted authors and pharmaceutical companies. MS advised on relevance of outcome measures. KH gave statistical advice and data extraction. JF did data extraction, all entry into Review Manager and analysis. All members contributed to the final document.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

None known.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• Department of General Practice, University of Wales College of Medicine, UK.

External sources

- Wales Office of Research & Development for Health and Social Care, The National Assembly for Wales, UK.
- Garfield Weston Foundation, UK.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Administration, Inhalation; Asthma [*drug therapy] [metabolism]; Bone Density [drug effects]; Bone and Bones [*drug effects] [metabolism]; Glucocorticoids [administration & dosage] [*pharmacology]; Pulmonary Disease, Chronic Obstructive [*drug therapy] [metabolism]

MeSH check words

Female; Humans; Male; Middle Aged