

# **HHS Public Access**

Nat Cancer. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2021 September 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Author manuscript

Nat Cancer. 2020 September ; 1(9): 923–934. doi:10.1038/s43018-020-00110-7.

## **Autophagy promotes growth of tumors with high mutational burden by inhibiting a T-cell immune response**

**Laura Poillet-Perez**1, **Daniel W. Sharp**1, **Yang Yang**1, **Saurabh V. Laddha**1, **Maria Ibrahim**1, **Praveen K. Bommareddy**1,8, **Zhixian Sherrie Hu**1, **Joshua Vieth**1, **Michael Haas**2, **Marcus W. Bosenberg**3, **Joshua D. Rabinowitz**1,4, **Jian Cao**1,5, **Jun-Lin Guan**2, **Shridar Ganesan**1,5, **Chang S. Chan<sup>1,5</sup>, Janice M. Mehnert<sup>1,5</sup>, Edmund C. Lattime<sup>1,6</sup>, Eileen White<sup>1,7,∞</sup>** <sup>1</sup>Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey, New Brunswick, NJ, USA.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Cancer Biology, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, Cincinnati, OH, USA.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pathology, Yale University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT, USA.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Chemistry and Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, USA.

<sup>5</sup>Department of Medicine, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA.

<sup>6</sup>Department of Surgery, Robert Wood Johnson Medical School, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ, USA.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Molecular Biology and Biochemistry, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ, USA.

<sup>8</sup>Present address: Replimune Inc., Woburn, MA, USA.

## **Abstract**

Macroautophagy (hereafter autophagy) degrades and recycles intracellular components to sustain metabolism and survival during starvation. Host autophagy promotes tumor growth by providing essential tumor nutrients. Autophagy also regulates immune cell homeostasis and function and

<sup>✉</sup>**Correspondence and requests for materials** should be addressed to E.W., epwhite@cinj.rutgers.edu. Author contributions

L.P.P. performed the majority of the experimental work and wrote the manuscript. D.W.S. performed/assisted with the MB49 allografts and CD4/CD8 or CD25 depletion and NanoString analysis. Y.Y. developed the methods and provided the mice required for generating  $A$ tg $5$ <sup> $\frac{1}{2}$ </sup> hosts. S.V.L. and C.S.C. analyzed the whole-exome sequencing data and scRNA-seq data. M.I. assisted with the in vivo experiments. P.K.B. assisted with tumor processing and analyzed the data for the NanoString. Z.S.H. assisted with animal husbandry. J.V. performed the flow cytometry, analyzed T-cell exhaustion markers and performed cell isolation and library preparation for single-cell sequencing. M.W.B. provided the YUMM1.1 melanoma cells. J.D.R and S.G provided advice throughout the project and on the manuscript. J.C. provided expertise on CRISPR Cas9. M.H and J.L.G provided the  $Fip200$ <sup>flox/flox</sup> mice. J.M.M. provided expertise in melanoma. E.W. and E.C.L. are the leading principal investigators who conceived the project, supervised research and edited the paper.

Competing interests

E.W. is co-founder of Vescor Therapeutics and is a consultant for Novartis. S.G. has consulted for Roche, Merck, Novartis, Foundation Medicine and Foghorn Therapeutics. The other authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

**Extended data** is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-020-00110-7>. Supplementary information is available for this paper at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-020-00110-7>.

suppresses inflammation. Although host autophagy does not promote a T-cell anti-tumor immune response in tumors with low tumor mutational burden (TMB), whether this was the case in tumors with high TMB was not known. Here we show that autophagy, especially in the liver, promotes tumor immune tolerance by enabling regulatory T-cell function and limiting stimulator of interferon genes, T-cell response and interferon-γ, which enables growth of high-TMB tumors. We have designated this as hepatic autophagy immune tolerance. Autophagy thereby promotes tumor growth through both metabolic and immune mechanisms depending on mutational load and autophagy inhibition is an effective means to promote an antitumor T-cell response in high-TMB tumors.

> Autophagy is a catabolic process that captures, degrades and recycles intracellular components including organelles such as mitochondria in lysosomes. Autophagy is required to provide amino acids and sustain metabolism allowing survival during nutrient starvation<sup>1–5</sup>. Deregulation of autophagy is involved in numerous disease states such as neurodegenerative disease, metabolic disease and cancer<sup>6</sup>. In fact, tumor cells upregulate and require autophagy to support their metabolism and enhance their proliferation, survival and malignancy<sup> $7-9$ </sup>. Moreover, host autophagy can promote tumor growth by providing essential tumor nutrients such as arginine in the circulation or alanine in the local tumor microenvironment<sup>1,10–12</sup>. In addition to its metabolic role, autophagy regulates the immune response by regulating the immune cell homeostasis and function and suppresses inflammation, which may also play a role in cancer $13-15$ .

In genetically engineered mouse models of cancer, tumors evolve in the presence of an intact immune system but often lack the high TMB that can increase the expression of immunogenic neoantigens normally associated with many human cancers  $16-18$ . Immunogenic tumor mutations facilitate recognition and elimination by the immune system, placing selection pressure on the tumor to acquire tolerance and immune escape<sup>19</sup>. Although immunotherapy overcomes some of these immune escape mechanisms, only a subset of patients respond, necessitating the identification of new approaches to enhance antitumor immune activation<sup>20</sup>. Host autophagy does not promote growth of low TMB tumors through T-cell antitumor immune response<sup>12</sup> but whether this was true in tumors with high TMB was not known.

### **Results**

## **Autophagy promotes high-TMB tumor growth by suppressing an antitumor T-cell response.**

As cell-autonomous autophagy can prevent inflammation and immune response  $activation<sup>13,14</sup>$ , we examined whether host autophagy deficiency could promote inflammation and an antitumor immune response. Analysis of 26 different cytokines and chemokines in serum demonstrated an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines CCL2, interleukin (IL)-6, CXCL1 and IP10 in conditional whole-body Atg7-deficient mice (*Atg*7<sup> $\prime$ </sup>) in comparison to wild-type (*Atg*7<sup>+/+</sup>) mice (Fig. 1a,b and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2). These findings are consistent with previous work suggesting that autophagy is necessary to prevent accumulation of pro-inflammatory components and suppress activation

of an innate immune response<sup>1,13,14,21,22</sup>. As host autophagy inhibits inflammation, we sought to determine the role of host autophagy in the growth of high-TMB tumors. To do so, we used autophagy-competent carcinogen-induced MB49 urothelial carcinoma and UV-irradiated  $Bar^{N600E/+}$ ; Pten<sup>-/-</sup>; Cdkn2a<sup>-/-</sup> mouse melanoma UV YUMM1.1-9 cell lines and examined their growth on  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{+/+}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{/}$  hosts. To classify the cell line TMB, we used a cutoff of ten nonsynonymous mutations per megabase (Mt/Mb) as previously described<sup>23,24</sup>. On the basis of this cutoff, we classified MB49 and UV YUMM1.1–9 cell lines as high TMB and the YUMM1.1 and YUMM1.3 unirradiated parent cell lines as low TMB (Supplementary Tables 3–7). In addition, as these cell lines were generated from tumors from male mice, we compared growth on female and male hosts. Specifically in female hosts, the male antigens provoke a strong immune response<sup>25</sup>.

We previously showed that loss of host autophagy does not modify T-cell infiltration into low TMB tumors (e.g. YUMM1.1) and that T-cell depletion was not able to rescue their defective tumor growth on  $Atg7$  compared to  $Atg7^{+/+}$  male hosts<sup>26</sup>. In contrast, in both male and female hosts, high-TMB tumors (MB49) grown on  $Atg7<sup>/</sup>$  hosts have increased CD4+ T-cell infiltration, as demonstrated by flow cytometry and immunohistochemistry (IHC) for  $CD3^+$ ,  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$  cells (Fig. 1c–e). To validate whether loss of host autophagy potentiates a T-cell immune response in high-TMB tumors, we analyzed tumor growth on  $Atg\mathcal{F}^{+/+}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{F}^{/}/$  hosts with or without T-cell depletion using CD4/8<sup>+</sup> antibodies (Fig. 2a and Extended Data Fig. 1a). Unlike that previously shown in male hosts<sup>26</sup>, on female hosts where male tumors provide a strong male antigen, depletion of T cells by injection of CD4/8+ antibodies was able to stimulate low TMB YUMM1.1 tumor growth in both  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7^{/-}$  hosts, but did so to a greater extent in the  $Atg7^{/-}$ hosts (Fig. 2b,c). Consistent with these data, loss of host autophagy did not increase T-cell infiltration into another low TMB tumor, YUMM1.3 (Extended Data Fig. 1b) and T-cell depletion rescued YUMM1.3 tumor growth in both male and female hosts. Only female mice displayed greater effect in  $Atg7$ <sup> $\perp$ </sup> compared to  $Atg7^{+\prime +}$  hosts (Extended Data Fig. 1c–f). Similarly, T-cell depletion increased the growth of the high-TMB MB49 tumors more significantly (\* $P < 0.05$ ) in both  $Atg7$  female and male hosts (Fig. 2d–g), suggesting that loss of autophagy limits high-TMB tumor growth by augmenting a T-cell immune response. Both helper T cells and cytotoxic T cells are involved in the defective high-TMB tumor growth in  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> hosts (Extended Data Fig. 1g,h). Whole-body loss of  $Atg5$ , another essential autophagy gene, also decreased the growth of MB49 tumors in a CD4/8<sup>+</sup> T-celldependent manner (Extended Data Fig. 2a–e). Similar to the loss of Atg7, loss of Atg5 increased  $CD3^+$ ,  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$  T-cell infiltration into the tumor (Extended Data Fig. 2f), suggesting that promotion of high-TMB tumor growth is not a function specific to Atg7.

To confirm that other high-TMB tumors are sensitized to T-cell killing on  $Atg7$ <sup> $\perp$ </sup> hosts, we generated high-TMB derivatives of low TMB YUMM1.1 by UVC irradiation to increase the number of mutations (UV YUMM1.1–9) (Supplementary Tables 3 and 7). As previously shown for YUMM1.1 and MB49<sup>26</sup>, the UV YUMM1.1–9 tumors show defective growth in the  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> compared to  $Atg7$ <sup>+/+</sup> hosts (Extended Data Fig. 2g,h). Moreover, as with MB49 tumors, T-cell depletion rescues UV YUMM1.1–9 tumor growth in both  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $A t g 7$  female and male hosts, but to a greater extent in the  $A t g 7$  hosts (Fig. 3a–d).

These results confirm that loss of autophagy augments an antitumor T-cell response in the context of high but not low TMB.

## **Host autophagy promotes high-TMB tumor growth by enhancing Treg cells and T-cell exhaustion.**

To understand how host autophagy suppresses high-TMB tumor immunogenicity, we performed immune profiling of MB49 tumors from both  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{+/+}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{I}$  hosts with or without T-cell depletion. To do so, we analyzed the expression of 750 genes involved in the adaptive, humoral and innate immunity and inflammation. The overall immune response was upregulated in tumors at the RNA level from  $Atg7<sup>/</sup>$  hosts in a T-cell-dependent manner (Fig. 3e, Extended Data Fig. 3a and Supplementary Table 8). Immune profiling also identified a decrease in regulatory  $T(T_{reg})$  cell gene expression, which was associated with a decrease in FOXP3 protein expression by IHC (Fig. 3f and Extended Data Fig. 3b). As loss of  $Atg1611$ , an autophagy component, in dendritic cells impairs  $T_{reg}$  cell induction and function<sup>27</sup> and loss of autophagy in  $T_{reg}$  cells inhibits their activity and facilitates tumor clearance<sup>28</sup>, we examined whether loss of  $T_{reg}$  cells was responsible for defective high-TMB tumor growth on  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> hosts.

MB49 tumors were grown on  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{+/+}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{/}$  hosts with or without T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion with CD25 antibody (Fig. 3g). CD25 antibody led to a decrease in FOXP3<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells without affecting total CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells or CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (Extended Data Fig. 3c,d). T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion decreased tumor growth on male and female  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{+/+}$  hosts and had no effect on *Atg*7<sup> $\perp$ </sup> hosts, thus phenocopying tumor growth on *Atg*7<sup> $\perp$ </sup> hosts (Fig. 3h–k). As T<sub>reg</sub> cells in the microenvironment can promote intratumoral T-cell exhaustion<sup>29</sup>, we determined whether  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> hosts decreased T-cell exhaustion by analyzing the expression of the T-cell exhaustion markers: PD1, TIM3 and LAG3. Intratumoral CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells from  $Atg7$ <sup> $/$ </sup> hosts showed a significant ( $*P < 0.05$ ) decrease in expression of all the T-cell exhaustion markers and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells showed a significant (\*P < 0.05) decrease expression of TIM3 (Fig. 4a,b). These findings suggest that loss of host autophagy reduces T-cell exhaustion in high-TMB tumors.

## **Host autophagy limits IFN type I and II responses and antigen presentation enabling high-TMB tumor growth.**

One of the pathways upregulated in the tumor immune profiling from  $Atg7<sup>/</sup>$  hosts was the interferon (IFN) pathway (Fig. 3e). Genes involved in the IFN- $\alpha/\beta$  pathway or known to positively regulate IFN-α/β production (Ifna4, Ifna1, Ifnar2, Ifnar1, Tmem173, Ifit1, Tbk1, Irf7, Ifit2 and Ifih1) (indicated in blue) were increased in tumors grown on  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> hosts (Fig. 4c). One of those genes, *Sting* (*Tmem173*) activates IFN- $\alpha/\beta$  in response to cytosolic DNA including mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). Parkin/PINK1-mediated mitophagy, a specific form of autophagy for mitochondria, restrains innate immunity through curtailing STING activation by mtDNA<sup>30</sup>. To test whether  $Atg7$  inhibited Sting to promote tumor growth, we generated conditional whole-body  $Atg7$ -deficient mice on the background of Sting deficiency (Sting  $g^{t/gt}$ ; Ubc-Cre $ERT2^+$ ; Atg $\mathcal{I}^{\text{Iox/flox}}$ ) (Fig. 4d).

Sting deficiency did not affect the survival or phenotype of the  $Atg7<sup>/</sup>$  mice, as double knockout mice displayed steatosis and death from neurodegeneration between 2–3 months post-deletion, identical to  $Atg7$ <sup> $/$ </sup> singly deleted mice (Extended Data Fig. 3e). Whole-body loss of *Sting*, however, rescued MB49 tumor growth on both male and female  $Atg7^{+/+}$ and  $Atg7^{\dagger}$  hosts. As described earlier for T-cell depletion, *Sting*<sup>gt/gt</sup> and  $Atg7^{\dagger}$  double knockout hosts had a greater increase in tumor growth than  $\mathit{String}^{\text{gt}/\text{gt}}$  and  $\mathit{Atg}^{\text{th}}$  single knockout hosts, indicating that  $Atg7$  suppressed an antitumor T-cell response by limiting Sting (Fig. 4e–h).

Tumor immune profiling also identified upregulation of the IFN-γ pathway in tumors grown on  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> hosts. Indeed, several genes involved in the IFN- $\gamma$  pathway, or known to positively regulate IFN-γ production (indicated in red), were increased in tumors grown on  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> hosts (Ifit3, H60a, Nos2, Ccr7, Runx3, Eomes, Ifitm1, Gbp5, Nlrc5, Irf8, Cxcl16, *Ifitm2* and *Ifngr1*), whereas *Sh2d1b1*, a negative regulator of IFN- $\gamma$ , was decreased (Fig. 4c). To better understand how loss of autophagy alters the immune microenvironment and signaling pathways, we performed single-cell RNA sequencing (scRNA-seq) on tumors from female  $A t g 7^{1/4}$  and  $A t g 7^{1/4}$  hosts. The cells were clustered and then identified based upon expression of known marker genes (Supplementary Table 9). Tumors from  $A t g 7$  hosts were characterized by an increase in immune cell fractions including T cells, macrophages, monocytes, dendritic cells and fibroblasts compared to tumors from  $A t g 7^{+/+}$  hosts (Fig. 5a,b, Extended Data Fig. 3f and Supplementary Table 10). Consistent with the tumor immune profiling (Fig. 4c), the IFN-γ pathway was upregulated in tumorinfiltrated T cells from  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> compared to  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ +</sup> hosts, as shown by an increase in Peli1, Itgb7, Ripk1, Rapgef6, St8sia4, Txnip, Pim1, Nfkbia, CD69, Irf1, Tnfaip3 and Btg1 gene expression (Fig. 5c,d). Moreover, IFN- $\gamma$  expression was predominantly associated with T cells as these cells highly expressed Ifngr1 and Ifng (Fig. 5e). Thus, we hypothesized that loss of host autophagy augments  $STING/IFN-\alpha/\beta$  signaling thereby augmenting a T-cell immune response and IFN-γ production that promotes tumor regression. To test this hypothesis, we generated conditional whole-body Atg7-deficient mice on the background of *Ifny* deficiency  $(Im\gamma^{-/-}$ ; Ubc-*Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg*<sup>flox/flox</sup>) (Fig. 6a).

*Ifny* deficiency did not affect the survival or phenotype of the  $Atg7^\dagger$  mice, as double knockout mice displayed steatosis and death from neurodegeneration between 2–3 months after deletion, identical to  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> singly deleted mice (Extended Data Fig. 4a,b). Loss of Ifnγ, however, was able to rescue MB49 and UV YUMM1.1–9 tumor growth on both male and female  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{\dagger +}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{\dagger}$  hosts. As described earlier for *Sting* loss or T-cell depletion, *Ifn* $\gamma$ <sup>-/-</sup> and *Atg*7<sup> $\prime$ </sup> double knockout hosts had a greater increase in tumor growth than *Ifn* $\gamma^{-/-}$  and Atg<sup> $\gamma^{+/+}$ </sup> single knockout hosts (Fig. 6b–e and Extended Data Fig. 4c–f). *Ifn* $\gamma$ deficiency increased CD4<sup>+</sup> T-cell exhaustion markers in  $Atg7$ <sup> $/$ </sup> hosts to a level similar to  $A t g 7^{+/+}$  hosts, confirming that T-cell immune response regulation by autophagy involves  *(Fig. 6f,g).* 

IFN-γ can induce the expression of major histocompatibility complex (MHC) class I and II and can upregulate antigen presentation and processing  $31$ . Several genes from MHC class I and II and genes involved in antigen presentation and processing such as Cd1d1, Slc11a1, Cd40lg, H2-Q10, Fcgr1, Fcgr3, Fcergr1 and Ccr7 were increased in tumors grown

on  $Atg7$ <sup> $\perp$ </sup> hosts (Fig. 6h). Knockdown of β–2-microglobulin (*B2m*), an essential MHC class I component, promoted the growth of the MB49 tumors in  $Atg7^{+/+}$  but not in  $Atg7$ hosts and loss of *Atg7* led to re-expression of B2M protein in the shB2m tumors (Fig. 6i and Extended Data Fig. 4g) consistent with similar findings in pancreatic cancers<sup>32</sup>. Altogether, our data suggest that IFN-γ mediates defective growth of high-TMB tumors on the autophagy-deficient hosts, probably through an increase in MHC class I expression and antigen presentation.

#### **Hepatic autophagy enables growth of high-TMB tumors.**

The liver is a key source of inflammatory mediators and autophagy is critical to prevent liver damage<sup>2</sup>. Similar to serum from  $Atg7$  hosts (Fig. 1a,b and Supplementary Tables 1 and 2), serum and liver tissue from hepatocyte-specific  $Atg7<sup>/</sup>$  hosts showed an increase in pro-inflammatory cytokines (Extended Data Fig. 5a,b and Supplementary Tables 11 and 12). Specific loss of autophagy in the liver was sufficient to prevent MB49 tumor growth in both male and female hosts and to increase  $CD3^+$ ,  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$  T-cell infiltration (Fig. 7a–d). Moreover, as seen in  $Atg7^{\dagger}$  hosts, loss of Ifny in hepatocyte-specific  $Atg7^{\dagger}$  hosts rescued high-TMB tumor growth (Fig. 7e–i). Thus, host autophagy, and more specifically liver hepatocyte autophagy, suppresses inflammation and an antitumor T-cell response.

#### **Autophagy, but not LAP, enables high-TMB tumor growth in a T-cell-dependent manner.**

It was previously shown that LC3-associated phagocytosis (LAP) in macrophages and not canonical autophagy promotes B16F10 melanoma growth through immune tolerance33. As Atg7 and Atg5 are involved in both autophagy and LAP, we assessed whether liver-specific Fip200 deletion, a gene involved in autophagy and not LAP, inhibited high-TMB tumor growth (Extended Data Fig. 5c,d). Similar to loss of Atg7 or Atg5, liver-specific Fip200 deletion was sufficient to decrease the growth of high-TMB tumors (Extended Data Fig. 5e). Moreover, using injection of lentivirus CRISPR scramble or targeting Fip200, we analyzed whether Fip200 deletion inhibited high-TMB tumor growth with T-cell depletion (Extended Data Fig. 5f). Lentivirus CRISPR *Fip200* led to the presence of INDELs in amplicons and mosaic deletion of Fip200 associated with increased p62 aggregates (Extended Data Fig. 5g,h). Similar to loss of  $Atg7$  or  $Atg5$ , mosaic loss of  $Fip200$  in the liver led to decreased growth of high-TMB tumors in a T-cell-dependent manner (Extended Data Fig. 5i,j), suggesting that autophagy and not LAP promotes high-TMB tumor growth by suppressing an antitumor immune response.

## **Discussion**

While immune cell-specific loss of autophagy indicates that autophagy is required for  $CD4^+$  T-cell function and  $CD8^+$  memory T-cell formation<sup>34,35</sup>, we demonstrated that liver hepatocyte autophagy mediates immune tolerance of high-TMB tumors. Autophagy, especially in hepatocytes, suppresses an antitumor immune response through STING pathway inhibition, which represses an antitumor T-cell response and IFN-γ production, allowing the growth of high-TMB tumors (Fig. 8). This is consistent with data recently showing that specific loss of autophagy in T cells decreases tumor growth and is associated with greater production of IFN- $\gamma$  by T cells<sup>36</sup>.

While the exact signaling between the liver and the tumor microenvironment still needs to be elucidated, our data suggest that loss of autophagy upregulates IFN- $\gamma$ , which in turn increases MHC class I expression and antigen presentation, which mediates defective growth of high-TMB tumors. Direct demonstration of enhanced antigen presentation and T-cell recognition in the autophagy-deficient hosts in the context of high-TMB tumors may also be informative. Our experiments also suggest that loss of autophagy is downregulating  $T_{\text{reg}}$  cell functions and T-cell exhaustion, leading to tumor growth regression. While we demonstrated that the use of CD25 antibody decreased specifically FOXP3<sup>+</sup> T<sub>reg</sub> cells without affecting CD4+ and CD8+ T cells, it might still be of interest to use FOXP3<sup>+</sup> antibody or a FOXP3 deleted mouse (DEREG mouse) to more specifically target  $T_{reg}$  cells.

Release of mtDNA from mitochondria can induce STING in hepatocytes, leading to liver inflammation and steatosis $^{37}$ . Given that loss of liver autophagy promotes an antitumor T-cell response dependent on IFN-γ, we hypothesize that it may be triggered by mtDNA release in hepatocytes, which activates the STING/type I IFN response and subsequent induction of a T-cell antitumor immune response and type II IFN signaling.

Thus, host autophagy, and more specifically autophagy in the hepatocytes, can promote tumor growth through different mechanisms depending on mutational load: by inhibiting a T-cell immune response in high-TMB tumors, designated hepatic autophagy immune tolerance (Fig. 8) or by sustaining circulating arginine in low TMB tumors<sup>26</sup>. These findings highlight the importance of understanding how host autophagy outside of the tumor microenvironment can induce an antitumor immune response to enable rational application of autophagy inhibitors to improve responses to immunotherapy<sup>38</sup>.

## **Methods**

#### **Mice.**

All animal care and treatments were carried out in compliance with Rutgers University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee guidelines. Mice for conditional whole-body *Atg7* (ref. <sup>2</sup>) or *Atg5* (ref. <sup>39</sup>) deletion (C57Bl/6J Ubc-*Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg7*<sup>flox/flox</sup> and Ubc- $Cre^{ERT2/+}$ ; Atg5<sup>flox/flox</sup>, respectively) were used as previously described<sup>12</sup>. Mice deficient for Ifny with whole-body conditional  $Atg7$  deletion were obtained following breeding of the Ubc-Cre<sup>ERT2+</sup>;Atg7<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice and Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$  mice (obtained from Jackson Laboratories). Mice deficient for *Sting* with whole-body conditional  $Atg7$  deletion were obtained following breeding of the Ubc-Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg7<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice and Sting<sup>gt/gt</sup> mice (obtained from Jackson Laboratories). To assess the consequence of acute Atg7 deletion on tumorigenesis of C57Bl/6J isogenic male tumor cells, 1 week after TAM treatment, MB49 ( $0.25 \times 10^6$  cells), YUMM1.1 ( $1 \times 10^6$  cells) or UV YUMM1.1–9 ( $1 \times 10^6$  cells) cells were resuspended in 100 μl PBS and injected subcutaneously into the dorsal flanks of mice (two tumors per mouse). At 3 weeks after cell injection, mice were killed and serum, liver and tumors were collected. Mice for liver-specific deletion of Atg7 or Fip200 were generated as previously described with an AAV–TBG–iCre (Vector BioLabs) and AAV–TBG–GFP<sup>26</sup>.  $Fig200$ <sup>flox/flox</sup> mice have been described previously<sup>40</sup>. Mice for whole-body deletion of  $Fip200$  were generated using lentivirus CRISPR scramble or Fip200. C57Bl/6J female mice were tail vein injected with  $1.8 \times 10^8$  transducing units of lentivirus CRISPR scramble or  $Fip200(2)$ 

Fip200 gRNA: GGGACACACTGCCGGACATA and ACACGTGGAAACGAGGGCTT) to generate  $Fip200^{+/+}$  and  $Fip200^{/-}$  mice. At 3 weeks after virus injection, MB49 cells (0.25  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells) were resuspended in 100 µl PBS and injected subcutaneously into the dorsal flanks of the liver-specific  $Atg7^{\perp}$  and  $Atg7^{\perp}$  control mice. At 3 weeks after cell injection, mice were killed and serum and tumors were collected.

#### **Cell lines.**

**Cell culture.**—YUMM1.1, YUMM1.3 (ref. <sup>41</sup>) and UV YUMM1.1–9 cells (derived from Braf<sup> $V$ 600E/+</sup>;*Pten*<sup>-/-</sup>;*Cdkn2*<sup>-/-</sup> C57Bl/6J mouse melanomas) were cultured in DMEM and Ham's F12 (DMEM-F12) (10–092-CV, Corning) supplemented with 10% FBS (F0926, Sigma) in a 5% CO<sub>2</sub> incubator at 37 °C. YUMM1.1 were irradiated with 10 mJ cm<sup>-2</sup>. Cells were given time to recover before being re-plated. Single cells were then clonally expanded to generate UV YUMM cell lines. The MB49 (ref.  $42$ ) cell line was cultured in RPMI (11875–093, Gibco).

**ShRNA knockdown.—Stable shB2m knockdown MB49 cell lines were generated** using shRNA for B2m (TRCN0000295705, Sigma) and shRNA scramble and following lipofectamine transfection reagent manufacturer's protocol.

#### **Antibody depletion.**

For T-cell depletion, 1 week after TAM and every 5 d, 200 μg CD4 (clone GK1.5; BE003–1, BioXCell) and CD8 (clone 2.43; BE0061, BioXCell) antibodies were injected intraperitoneally into  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> and  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ +</sup> mice. At 2 d after the first antibody injection, MB49 (0.25  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells), YUMM1.1 (1  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells) or UV YUMM1.1–9 (1  $\times$  10<sup>6</sup> cells) cells were resuspended in 100 ml PBS and injected subcutaneously into the dorsal flanks of the mice. At 3 weeks after cell injection, mice were killed and tumors and spleens were collected.

For Treg cell depletion, 3 d after TAM and every week, 250 μg of CD25 (clone PC-61.5.3; BE0012, BioXCell) antibody was injected intraperitoneally into  $Atg7^\perp$  and  $Atg7^{+\perp}$  hosts. At 4 d after the first antibody injection, MB49 ( $0.25 \times 10^6$  cells) cells were resuspended in 100 ml PBS and injected subcutaneously into the dorsal flanks of the mice. At 3 weeks after cell injection, mice were killed and tumors and spleens were collected.

#### **Flow cytometry.**

Tumors were homogenized in RPMI medium (Gibco, supplemented with 10% FBS) using a gentleMACS Octo Dissociator (Miltenyi Biotec) according to manufacturer's protocol and passed through a 70-mm cell restrainer. Spleens were grounded with a rubber grinder through steel mesh, treated with ACK Lysis buffer to remove erythrocytes and passed through a 70-mm cell restrainer. Nonspecific binding of antibodies to cell Fc receptors was blocked using 10 μl per 107 cells of FcR blocking reagent (Miltenyi Biotec). Cell surface immunostaining was performed with the following antibodies: CD11c (1:50 dilution, clone N418, 61–0114-82), CD4 (1:500 dilution, clone GK1.5, 17–0041-82), CD3 (1:300 dilution, clone 17A2, 56–0032-82), CD11b (1:300 dilution, clone M1/70, A15390; eBioscience); and CD45 (1:200 dilution, clone 30-F11, 103107) and CD8 (1:500 dilution, clone 53.67,

100749; BioLegend). For T-cell exhaustion, the following antibodies were used: CD45 (REA737, 1:50 dilution, 130–110-802), CD4 (REA604, 1:50 dilution, 130–118-852), CD8 (REA601, 1:10 dilution, 130–109-247; Miltenyi Biotec); CD3 (500A2, 1:500 dilution 152315), PD1 (29F.1A12, 1:300 dilution, 135213), TIM3 (RMT3–23, 1:100 dilution, 119721) and LAG3 (C9B7W, 1:50 dilution, 125219; BioLegend).

The antibodies used were conjugated to FITC, PE, PerCP-Vio700, AF647, AF700, APC-Cy7 or BV 421, 605, 711 or 785. Fixable viability dye eFluor 506 was used for dead cell exclusion. After staining of surface markers, cells were fixed and permeabilized using a commercially available transcription factor staining buffer set (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and stained with FoxP3-eFluor450 (FJK-16s, 1:500 dilution; eBioscience). Data were acquired using an LSR-II flow cytometer (BD Biosciences) and analyzed with FlowJo software (Tree Star). Live lymphocytes were gated using forward scatter area (FSC-A) versus side scatter area (SSC-A) for selection of lymphocytes, then forward scatter width versus height and side scatter width versus height for double exclusion and finally the viability dye-negative population was selected to exclude dead cells. Populations were gated as follows: CD45 (%CD45+ of total live lymphocytes), CD3 (%CD3+ of CD11b−, CD11c−, CD45<sup>+</sup>), CD8 (%CD8<sup>+</sup> of CD3), CD4 (%CD4<sup>+</sup> of CD3), PD1 (%PD1 of CD4 or CD8), TIM3 (%TIM3 of CD4 or CD8) and LAG3 (%LAG3 of CD4 or CD8).

#### **Cytokines and chemokines assay.**

Cytokine and chemokine levels were determined using the Cytokine & Chemokine 26-Plex Mouse ProcartaPlex Panel 1 (EPX260–26088-901, Invitrogen). Data were collected using a Luminex-200 system (Luminex) and validated using the xPONENT software package (Luminex).

#### **NanoString assay.**

Tumor gene expression was determined using nCounter PanCancer Mouse Immune Profiling Panel (XT-CSO-MIP1–12, NanoString). Data analysis was performed with nSolver software and heat maps were created with Cluster v.3.0 and Java Treeview.

#### **Whole-exome sequencing.**

DNA was extracted from MB49, YUMM1.1 and UV YUMM1.1–9 cell lines using the QIAsymphony DSP DNA midi kit (937255, QIAGEN). Mouse whole-exome libraries were constructed using Agilent SureSelect Mouse All Exon kit according to the manufacturer's protocol. The resulting sequencing libraries were analyzed using Agilent D1000 screentape and quantified using KAPA qPCR. Libraries were then normalized and pooled into one pool. The library pool was then clustered and sequenced on the Illumina NextSeq550 instrument using  $2 \times 151$  bp paired-end reads to a mean depth of 80 $\times$ , following the manufacturer's protocols.

Exome sequenced fastq files were probed for quality control using FastQC [\(http://](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) [www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc\)](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc) followed by alignment to mouse reference genome (mm10 UCSC) using default Burrows-Wheeler Aligner-MEM (bwa-0.7.5a) (<http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997>). Post-alignment processing followed the

Genome Analysis ToolKit best practices workflow<sup>43</sup>, including sam to bam file conversion, marking duplicates (Picard), base recalibration and preparing files for calling somatic mutation. Final processed bam files from MB49, YUMM1.1 and UV YUMM1.1–9 samples were used to call somatic single-nucleotide variants using Mutect  $(v.1.1.4)^{44}$  algorithm. In addition to Mutect default filters, we used read depth of  $14 \times (UV YUMM1.1-9)$ and  $8\times$  (YUMM1.1 and MB49) as another filter to remove variants with low sequencing depth. Somatic variants were annotated using online VEP<sup>45</sup> to classify/predict consequences of these coding variants and removed known single-nucleotide polymorphisms from the final variants. To identify mutational load for MB49 and YUMM1.1, we used VarScan<sup>46</sup> mpileup2snp (with creating mpileup files using Samtools mpileup  $-q$  40 –Q 25) and annotated using VEP online server.

#### **Single-cell RNA-seq.**

Resected mouse tumors from  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{+/+}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{I}^/$  hosts were placed in complete medium supplemented with 10% FBS and kept on ice. Dissociation of the tumor tissue was carried out using a commercially available mouse tumor dissociation kit and the Miltenyi GentleMACS dissociation system (Miltenyi Biotec). Isolated cells were filtered through a 40-mm mesh strainer and peripheral blood mononuclear cells were isolated by densitydependent centrifugation. Cells were washed in complete medium (10% FBS), then counted and assessed for viability using a Countess II cell counter (Invitrogen). Cells were then suspended to  $1 \times 10^6$  ml<sup>-1</sup> in complete medium (10% FBS) for single-cell emulsion preparation.

scRNA-seq libraries were prepared according to 10X Genomics specifications. Independent cell suspensions were loaded for droplet-encapsulation by the Chromium Controller (10X Genomics). Single-cell cDNA synthesis, amplification and sequencing libraries were generated using the Single Cell 5′ Reagent kit following the manufacturer's instructions. The libraries were shipped on dry ice to Novogene and sequenced in a single lane of an Illumina NovaSeq 6000 (settings: Read1: 26, i7: 8, Read2: 91, for 200 Mbp paired-end reads per sample).

#### **Single-cell RNA-seq analysis.**

The scRNA-seq dataset from 10X Genomics was processed using CellRanger Single-Cell Software Suite (v.3.1.0, 10X Genomics) using mm10 mouse genome as the reference. CellRanger pipeline output under "filtered\_feature\_bc\_matrix" was used for further data preprocessing and analysis using Seurat R toolkit<sup>47</sup> for all samples. We used default parameters for the Seurat pipeline unless stated otherwise. The individual samples dataset underwent quality control for removing any cells with fewer than 200 genes per cell or >5,000 genes per cell and greater than 20% reads mapped to mitochondrial genes. Using these criteria, we recovered ~90% of the cells (Supplementary Table 13). Filtered gene expression matrix for each cell was then normalized (logNormalize) by total expression with an arbitrary scaling factor of 10,000 and log transformation of the gene expression matrix. A principal-component analysis was performed on the top 2,000 most-variable genes (using selection.method of vst). A graph-based clustering approach was implemented on the first ten principal components to separate cell populations into distinct clusters (FindClusters

using resolution of 0.5). The data were then visualized using the UMAP option in Seurat. The differentially expressed genes (DEgenes) test was conducted for each cluster using a nonparametric Wilcoxon signed-rank test (and with min.pct of 0.25, logfc. threshold of 0.25) to identify cluster-specific gene expression and a plot of the top ten DEgenes heat map created for each sample. Using cluster-specific top DEgenes, manual annotation was performed to identify distinct cell types or annotate cell type to specific clusters such as tumor cell, T cell, macrophages, monocytes, dendritic cells and fibroblasts (Supplementary Table 9). Moreover, male tumor cells were injected into female mice, so high Uty gene expression and low Xist gene expression were used to differentiate between normal cells and tumor cells. Visualization was performed in either UMAP or DotPlot using  $log<sub>2</sub>$  (counts per million) gene expression values. To identify DEgenes between T cells of  $Atg7^{+/+}$  versus T cells of  $Atg7$  samples, we performed a subset option in Seurat to create a T-cell object from  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{+/+}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{/}$  samples and repeated the DE test. Upregulated genes in  $(Atg\mathcal{T}^{1/4}$  or  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{1/4}$  samples were used to perform hallmark and pathway enrichment. We performed gene set enrichment analysis<sup>48</sup> (online web version) to find hallmark gene sets, gene ontology terms and KEGG pathway enrichment for DEgenes. We used R for all analyses and for visualization. The Seurat R toolkit was mainly used for analyses.

#### **Western blotting.**

Tumor samples were grounded in liquid nitrogen, lysed in Tris lysis buffer (50 mM Tris HCl,  $150 \text{ mM NaCl}$ ,  $1 \text{ mM EDTA}$ ,  $0.1\% \text{ NP40}$ ,  $5 \text{ mM MgCl}_2$ ,  $10\%$  glycerol), separated on 12.5% SDS–PAGE gel and then transferred on PVDF membrane (Millipore). Membranes were blocked with 5% nonfat milk for 1 h and probed overnight at 4 °C with antibodies against B2M (1:5,000 dilution, Ab7585, Abcam), FIP200 (1:1,000 dilution, 17250–1-AP, Proteintech) and β-actin (1:5,000 dilution, A1978, Sigma). Immunoreactive bands were detected using peroxidase-conjugated antibody (1:5,000 dilution, NA934 and NA931, GE Healthcare) and enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents (NEL105001EA, Perkin Elmer) and were analyzed using the ChemiDoc XRS+ system (Biorad). Protein levels were quantified using the Image Lab v.6.0.1 software. Antibodies were validated with the use of positive and negative control following manufacturer's protocol.

#### **Histology.**

Mouse tissues were fixed in 10% buffer formalin solution overnight and then transferred to 70% ethanol for paraffin-embedded sections. Tissue sections were deparaffinized, rehydrated and boiled for 45 min in 10 mM (pH 6) citrate buffer. Slides were blocked in 10% goat serum for 1 h and then incubated at 4 °C overnight with primary antibody against CD3 (1:100 dilution, Ab16669, Abcam), CD4 (1:1,000 dilution, Ab183685, Abcam), CD8 (1:100 dilution, 14–0808-82, Invitrogen), Foxp3 (1:75 dilution, 14–5773-80, Invitrogen), FIP200 (Proteintech, 17250–1-AP, 1:100 dilution) and P62 (Enzo, P9860, 1:1,000 dilution). The following day, tissue sections were incubated with biotin-conjugated secondary antibody for 15 min (Vector Laboratories), 3% hydrogen peroxide for 5 min, horseradish peroxidase streptavidin for 15 min (SA-5704, Vector Laboratories) and developed by 3,3 diaminobenzidine (Vector Laboratories) followed by hematoxylin staining (3536–16, Ricca). Sections were then dehydrated, mounted in Cytoseal 60 mounting medium (8310, Thermo

Fisher Scientific) and analyzed using a Nikon Eclipse 80i microscope. For quantification of IHC, at least 15 images were analyzed at ×60 magnification for each genotype.

#### **Surveyor assay.**

Liver genomic DNA was extracted following DNeasy blood and tissue kit instructions (QIAGEN, 69504). The gRNA sequence was amplified by PCR to confirm cuts or deletions (forward primer: 5′-AAGACTTTAACTCTGTGTTTCAGG-3′ and reverse primer: 5′-GAGAAATGCAGAGCTGCTGC-3′) using C1000 Touch thermal cycler (Biorad) and with the Phusion high-fidelity polymerase (Thermo Fisher Scientific, F548). The amplicons were then used to perform the Surveyor Mutation Detection kits (IDT, 706020).

#### **Statistics and reproducibility.**

All statistical analyses were performed with Prism v.8 software using a two-sided Student's <sup>t</sup>-test, unless specified otherwise. The sample size was chosen in advance on the basis of common practice of the described experiment and is mentioned for each experiment. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample size. Sample sizes were chosen on the basis of past experience with tumor growth assays in wild-type and  $Atg7$ -deficient host mice<sup>26</sup>. Each experiment was conducted with biological replicates (at least three mice per group) and repeated multiple times (at least two independent experiments). All attempts at replication were successful. Outlier data were automatically excluded based on Grubb's test (Prism software). Outliers were removed from the serum cytokine and chemokine profiling (Fig. 1b). All experiments used mice that were randomly allocated into experimental groups. The investigators were not blinded during the experiments and outcome assessments. Blinding was not performed as the genotype and sex of the mice required identification for housing purposes.

#### **Reporting Summary.**

Further information on research design is available in the Nature Research Reporting Summary linked to this article.

#### **Data availability**

10X scRNA-seq data have been deposited in the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession ID GSE154654. Whole-exome sequencing data generated in this study can be found in the Gene Expression Omnibus under accession ID GSE155556. All other data supporting the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request. Source data are provided with this paper.





**Extended Data Fig. 1 |. Loss of host autophagy does not decrease the growth of low TMB tumors in a T cell-dependent manner.**

**a**, CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells (percentage) in high TMB tumors (MB49) grown on female  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7$   $\prime$  (n = 5 mice each) hosts following  $\alpha$ CD4/8, analyzed by flow cytometry. **b**, Representative IHC images and quantification of CD3+, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in YUMM1.3 tumors from male  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7^{/}$  hosts (n = 5 mice each). **c**, **d**, **e**, **f**, Comparison of YUMM1.3 tumor growth on male  $(c, d)$   $Atg7^{+/+}$ ,  $Atg7^{+/+}$  +  $\alpha$ CD4/8,

 $Atg7$ <sup> $\neq$ </sup> and  $Atg7$ <sup> $\neq$ </sup> +  $\alpha$ CD4/8 (n = 10 tumors each) and female (e, f)  $Atg7^{+/+}(n = 6)$ tumors),  $Atg7^{+/+}$  +  $\alpha$ CD4/8 (n = 12 tumors),  $Atg7^\prime$  (n = 12 tumors) and  $Atg7^\prime$  + αCD4/8 (n = 12 tumors). Tumor pictures **(c**, **e)**, and tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) **(d**, **f)** from the experimental endpoint. **g**, **h**, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on female  $Atg7^{+/+}$ (n = 14 tumors),  $Atg7^{+/+}$  +  $\alpha$ CD4 (n = 12 tumors),  $Atg7^{+/+}$  +  $\alpha$ CD8 (n = 12 tumors),  $Atg7^{+/+}$  +  $\alpha$ CD4/8 (n = 8 tumors),  $Atg7$   $\left($  n = 12 tumors),  $Atg7$   $\left($  $+$  aCD4 (n = 14 tumors),  $Atg7' +$  aCD8 (n = 14 tumors) and  $Atg7' +$  aCD4/8 (n = 12 tumors). Tumor pictures **(g)**, and tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) **(h)**  from the experimental endpoint. All data are mean +/− s.e.m. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.001 using two-sided Student's t-test. Source data available for **a**, **b**, **d**, **f**, **h**.

Poillet-Perez et al. Page 15





**a**, experimental design to induce conditional whole-body  $Atg5$  deletion ( $Atg5$ ), and wild-type ( $Atg5^{+/+}$ ) controls without and with T cell depletion. Ubc- $Cre^{ERT2/+}$ ; $Atg5^{+/+}$  and Ubc-Cre<sup>ERT2+</sup>;Atg5<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice were injected with TAM to delete Atg5 and were then injected subcutaneously with tumor cells and intraperitoneally with αCD4/8. Tumor growth was monitored over three weeks. **b**, **c**, **d**, **e**, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on male **(b, c)**  $Atg5^{+/+}(n = 8 \text{ tumors})$ ,  $Atg5^{+/+} + \alpha CD4/8$  (n = 6 tumors),  $Atg5^{/}(n = 8 \text{ tumors})$ 

and  $Atg5^{\prime}$  +  $\alpha$ CD4/8 (n = 8 tumors) and female (**d**, **e**)  $Atg5^{+/+}$ (n = 12 tumors),  $Atg5^{+/+}$  +  $\alpha$ CD4/8 (n = 10 tumors),  $Atg5$   $\prime$  (n = 12 tumors) and  $Atg5$   $\prime$  +  $\alpha$ CD4/8 (n = 10 tumors). Tumor pictures (**b**, **d)**, and tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) **(c**, **e)** from the experimental endpoint. **f**, Representative IHC images and quantification of CD3<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in MB49 tumors from male  $Atg5^{+/+}$  and  $Atg5^\prime$  hosts (n = 5 mice each). **g**, **h**, Comparison of UV YUMM1.1–9 tumor growth on male **(g)**  $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 10 tumors) and  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> (n = 8 tumors) and female (h)  $Atg7^{+\prime}$  (n = 16 tumors) and  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> (n = 12 tumors) hosts. Tumor pictures and tumor weight from the experimental endpoint. All data are mean +/− s.e.m. \*P < 0.05, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 using two-sided Student's t-test. Source data available for **c**, **e**, **f**, **g**, **h**.



**Extended Data Fig. 3 |. Loss of autophagy reduces Tregs and increases immune cell fraction. a**, Overall NanoString gene expression analysis (750 genes) from tumors on female  $Atg7^{+/+}$ and  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> hosts with or without  $\alpha$ CD4/8 (n = 2 tumors each). Red: high, blue: low expression level. **b**, Representative IHC images and quantification of FOXP3<sup>+</sup> cells in MB49 tumors from female  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7$   $\prime$  hosts (n = 5 tumors each). **c**, FOXP3<sup>+</sup> Treg cells,  $CD4<sup>+</sup>$  and  $CD8<sup>+</sup>$  T cells (percentage) in high TMB tumors (MB49) grown on female (n = 3 tumors)  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7$  hosts following aCD25, analyzed by flow cytometry. **d**, Representative IHC images and quantification of FOXP3+ cells in MB49 tumors from

female  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7$  hosts following  $\alpha$ CD25 (n = 3 tumors each). **e**, Survival analysis of  $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 19 mice),  $Atg7^{/}$  (n = 19 mice),  $Sting^{gt/gt}$ ;  $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 15 mice) and  $String^{gt/gt}$ ,  $Atg7$   $\prime$  (n = 13 mice) mice. **f**, scRNA-seq UMAP projection of cell cluster from tumors from female  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{+/+}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{I}$  hosts (n = 3 tumors each). All data are mean +/− s.e.m. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 using two-sided Student's <sup>t</sup>-test. Source data available for **b**, **c**, **d**.



**Extended Data Fig. 4 |. Loss of autophagy increases high TMB tumor growth in an IFN**γ**dependent manner.**

**a**, Survival analysis of  $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 16 mice),  $Atg7^{/}$  (n = 14 mice),  $IFN\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7^{+/+}$  $(n = 6 \text{ mice})$  and  $IFN\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7 \text{ (}n = 5 \text{ mice})$  hosts. **b**, Representative H&e tissue staining from  $IFN\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $IFN\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7$  / hosts. Images are representative of two independent experiments. **c**, **d**, **e**, **f**. Comparison of UV YUMM1.1–9 tumor growth on male (c, d)  $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 18 tumors),  $IFN\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 18 tumors),  $Atg7$  ' (n = 10 tumors),  $IFN\chi^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7$  ' (n = 18 tumors) and female (e, f)  $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 16 tumors),

 $IFN\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 18 tumors),  $Atg7$   $\prime$  (n = 16 tumors),  $IFN\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7$   $\prime$  (n = 18 tumors) hosts. Tumor pictures **(c**, **e)**, and tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) **(d**, **f)** from the experimental endpoint. **g**, Cropped Western blotting showing expression of B2m in MB49 shC and shB2m tumors from  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7^{/}$  hosts (n = 3 tumors each) from one experiment. Actin was used a loading control. All data are mean +/− s.e.m. \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P < 0.001 using two-sided Student's t-test. Source data available for **d**, **f**.



**Extended Data Fig. 5 |. Loss of autophagy, not LC3-associated phagocytosis (LAP), decreases tumor growth through a T cell-dependent mechanism.**

**a**, Serum and **b**, liver cytokine and chemokine profiling of female and male hosts bearing MB49 tumors with or without liver-specific deletion of  $Atg7$  (n = 6 mice each), showing those with significant differences among 26. **c**, experimental design to induce liver-specific *Fip200* deletion (liver *Fip200*  $\prime$ ) and wild-type controls (liver *Fip200<sup>+/+</sup>*). *Fip200<sup>flox/flox*</sup> or C57BL/6J mice were injected with AAV-TBG-iCre and MB49 cells were then injected subcutaneously and tumor growth was monitored over three weeks. **d**, Cropped Western blotting showing expression of Fip200 in livers, kidneys and brains ( $n = 3$  each) from one experiment. **e**, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on male liver  $Fip200^{+/+}$  (n = 8 tumors) and liver  $Fip200^\circ$  (n = 6 tumors) hosts. **f**, experimental design to induce conditional *Fip200* deletion (*Fip200*  $\prime$ ) and wild-type (*Fip200<sup>+/+</sup>*) controls. C57BL/6J mice were injected with lentiCRISPR scramble or *Fip200* to delete *Fip200* and were then injected subcutaneously with tumor cells. Tumor growth was monitored over three weeks. **g**, Representative surveyor assay for  $Fip200$  gRNA screening using liver gDNA from  $Fip200^{+/+}$ and  $Fip200<sup>7</sup>$  hosts (n = 10 mice each) from one experiment. **h**, Representative IHC images of FIP200<sup>+</sup> and p62<sup>+</sup> cells in liver from  $Fip200^{+/+}$  and  $Fip200^{/}$  hosts (n = 10 mice each). **i**, **j**, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on female  $Fip200^{+/+}$  and  $Fip200$   $\neq$  hosts with or without CD4/8 depletion ( $n = 10$  tumors each). Tumor pictures (**i**), and tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) **(j)** from the experimental endpoint. Data are mean +/− s.e.m. \*P < 0.05, \*\*P < 0.01, \*\*\*P<0.001, \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 using two-sided Student's t-test. Source data available for **a**, **b**, **e**, **j**.

## **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants: R01CA193970 (to E.W.), R01CA163591 (to E.W. and J.D.R.), R01CA193970 (to J.M.M.), R01CA211066 (to J.L.G), R01CA243547 (to E.W., S.G. and E.C.L.) and P30CA072720 (Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey) and by the Krauss Foundation (to E.W. and E.C.L.). C.S.C. is supported in part by Stand Up to Cancer and National Science Foundation grant no. 1546101. L.P.P. and S.V.L. received support from a postdoctoral fellowship from the New Jersey Commission for Cancer Research (DHFS16PPC034 and DCHS19PPC009, respectively). Services, results and/or products in support of the research project were generated by funding from the Rutgers Cancer Institute of New Jersey Comprehensive Genomics and Immune Monitoring Shared Resources supported, in part by NCI-CCSG P30CA072720-5920 and by the Lewis-Sigler Institute for Integrative Genomics (Genomics Core Facility).

## **References**

- 1. Karsli-Uzunbas Get al.Autophagy is required for glucose homeostasis and lung tumor maintenance. Cancer Discov. 4, 914–927 (2014). [PubMed: 24875857]
- 2. Komatsu Met al.Impairment of starvation-induced and constitutive autophagy in Atg7-deficient mice. J. Cell Biol. 169, 425–434 (2005). [PubMed: 15866887]
- 3. Kuma Aet al.The role of autophagy during the early neonatal starvation period. Nature432, 1032– 1036 (2004). [PubMed: 15525940]
- 4. Guo JYet al.Autophagy provides metabolic substrates to maintain energy charge and nucleotide pools in Ras-driven lung cancer cells. Genes Dev. 30, 1704–1717 (2016). [PubMed: 27516533]
- 5. Kamada Y, Sekito T & Ohsumi Y Autophagy in yeast: a TOR-mediated response to nutrient starvation. Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 279, 73–84 (2004). [PubMed: 14560952]
- 6. Mizushima N, Levine B, Cuervo AM & Klionsky DJ Autophagy fights disease through cellular self-digestion. Nature 451, 1069–1075 (2008). [PubMed: 18305538]

- 7. Kimmelman AC & White E Autophagy and tumor metabolism. Cell Metab. 25, 1037–1043 (2017). [PubMed: 28467923]
- 8. Amaravadi R, Kimmelman AC & White E Recent insights into the function of autophagy in cancer. Genes Dev. 30, 1913–1930 (2016). [PubMed: 27664235]
- 9. Poillet-Perez L & White E Role of tumor and host autophagy in cancer metabolism. Genes Dev. 33, 610–619 (2019). [PubMed: 31160394]
- 10. Sousa CMet al.Pancreatic stellate cells support tumour metabolism through autophagic alanine secretion. Nature536, 479–483 (2016). [PubMed: 27509858]
- 11. Yang Aet al.Autophagy sustains pancreatic cancer growth through both cell-autonomous and nonautonomous mechanisms. Cancer Discov. 8, 276–287 (2018). [PubMed: 29317452]
- 12. Poillet-Perez Let al.Autophagy maintains tumour growth through circulating arginine. Nature563, 569–573 (2018). [PubMed: 30429607]
- 13. Degenhardt Ket al.Autophagy promotes tumor cell survival and restricts necrosis, inflammation, and tumorigenesis. Cancer Cell10, 51–64 (2006). [PubMed: 16843265]
- 14. Mathew Ret al.Functional role of autophagy-mediated proteome remodeling in cell survival signaling and innate immunity. Mol. Cell55, 916–930 (2014). [PubMed: 25175026]
- 15. Merkley SD, Chock CJ, Yang XO, Harris J, Castillo EF & Modulating T Cell responses via autophagy: the intrinsic influence controlling the function of both antigen-presenting cells and T cells. Front. Immunol. 9, 2914 (2018). [PubMed: 30619278]
- 16. McFadden DGet al.Mutational landscape of EGFR-, MYC-, and Kras-driven genetically engineered mouse models of lung adenocarcinoma. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA113, E6409–E6417 (2016). [PubMed: 27702896]
- 17. Bignell GRet al.Signatures of mutation and selection in the cancer genome. Nature463, 893–898 (2010). [PubMed: 20164919]
- 18. Westcott PMet al.The mutational landscapes of genetic and chemical models of Kras-driven lung cancer. Nature517, 489–492 (2015). [PubMed: 25363767]
- 19. Wang Jet al.UV-induced somatic mutations elicit a functional T cell response in the YUMMER1.7 mouse melanoma model. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 30, 428–435 (2017). [PubMed: 28379630]
- 20. Wei SC, Duffy CR & Allison JP Fundamental mechanisms of immune checkpoint blockade therapy. Cancer Discov. 8, 1069–1086 (2018). [PubMed: 30115704]
- 21. Guo JYet al.Autophagy suppresses progression of K-Ras-induced lung tumors to oncocytomas and maintains lipid homeostasis. Genes Dev. 27, 1447–1461 (2013). [PubMed: 23824538]
- 22. Wei Het al.Suppression of autophagy by FIP200 deletion inhibits mammary tumorigenesis. Genes Dev. 25, 1510–1527 (2011). [PubMed: 21764854]
- 23. Schumacher TN & Schreiber RD Neoantigens in cancer immunotherapy. Science 348, 69–74 (2015). [PubMed: 25838375]
- 24. Panda Aet al.Identifying a clinically applicable mutational burden threshold as a potential biomarker of response to immune checkpoint therapy in solid tumors. JCO Precis. Oncol. 10.1200/ PO.17.00146 (2017).
- 25. Yang AS, Monken CE & Lattime EC Intratumoral vaccination with vaccinia-expressed tumor antigen and granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor overcomes immunological ignorance to tumor antigen. Cancer Res. 63, 6956–6961 (2003). [PubMed: 14583497]
- 26. Poillet-Perez Let al.Autophagy maintains tumour growth through circulating arginine. Nature563, 569–573 (2018). [PubMed: 30429607]
- 27. Chu Het al.Gene-microbiota interactions contribute to the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel disease. Science352, 1116–1120 (2016). [PubMed: 27230380]
- 28. Wei Jet al.Autophagy enforces functional integrity of regulatory T cells by coupling environmental cues and metabolic homeostasis. Nat. Immunol17, 277–285 (2016). [PubMed: 26808230]
- 29. Sawant DVet al.Adaptive plasticity of IL-10(+) and IL-35(+) Treg cells cooperatively promotes tumor T cell exhaustion. Nat. Immunol20, 724–735 (2019). [PubMed: 30936494]
- 30. Sliter DAet al.Parkin and PINK1 mitigate STING-induced inflammation. Nature561, 258–262 (2018). [PubMed: 30135585]

- 31. Castro F, Cardoso AP, Goncalves RM, Serre K & Oliveira MJ Interferon-γ at the crossroads of tumor immune surveillance or evasion. Front. Immunol 9, 847 (2018). [PubMed: 29780381]
- 32. Yamamoto Ket al.Autophagy promotes immune evasion of pancreatic cancer by degrading MHC-I. Nature581, 100–105 (2020). [PubMed: 32376951]
- 33. Cunha LDet al.LC3-associated phagocytosis in myeloid cells promotes tumor immune tolerance. Cell175, 429–441 (2018). [PubMed: 30245008]
- 34. Lee HKet al.In vivo requirement for Atg5 in antigen presentation by dendritic cells. Immunity32, 227–239 (2010). [PubMed: 20171125]
- 35. Xu Xet al.Autophagy is essential for effector CD8(+) T cell survival and memory formation. Nat. Immunol. 15, 1152–1161 (2014). [PubMed: 25362489]
- 36. DeVorkin Let al.Autophagy regulation of metabolism is required for CD8(+) T cell anti-tumor immunity. Cell Rep27, 502–513 (2019). [PubMed: 30970253]
- 37. Yu Yet al.STING-mediated inflammation in Kupffer cells contributes to progression of nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. J. Clin. Invest129, 546–555 (2019). [PubMed: 30561388]
- 38. Appleman LJet al.Targeting autophagy and immunotherapy with hydroxychloroquine and interleukin 2 in patients with metastatic renal cell carcinoma (mRCC): a Cytokine Working Group study. J. Clin. Oncol36, 106–106 (2018).
- 39. Hara Tet al.Suppression of basal autophagy in neural cells causes neurodegenerative disease in mice. Nature441, 885–889 (2006). [PubMed: 16625204]
- 40. Gan Bet al.Role of FIP200 in cardiac and liver development and its regulation of TNF-α and TSC-mTOR signaling pathways. J. Cell Biol. 175, 121–133 (2006). [PubMed: 17015619]
- 41. Meeth K, Wang JX, Micevic G, Damsky W & Bosenberg MW The YUMM lines: a series of congenic mouse melanoma cell lines with defined genetic alterations. Pigment Cell Melanoma Res. 29, 590–597 (2016). [PubMed: 27287723]
- 42. Summerhayes IC & Franks LM Effects of donor age on neoplastic transformation of adult mouse bladder epithelium in vitro. J. Natl Cancer Inst. 62, 1017–1023 (1979). [PubMed: 107359]
- 43. McKenna Aet al.The genome analysis toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing nextgeneration DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 20, 1297–1303 (2010). [PubMed: 20644199]
- 44. Cibulskis Ket al.Sensitive detection of somatic point mutations in impure and heterogeneous cancer samples. Nat. Biotechnol. 31, 213–219 (2013). [PubMed: 23396013]
- 45. McLaren Wet al.The ensembl variant effect predictor. Genome Biol. 17, 122 (2016). [PubMed: 27268795]
- 46. Koboldt DCet al.VarScan: variant detection in massively parallel sequencing of individual and pooled samples. Bioinformatics25, 2283–2285 (2009). [PubMed: 19542151]
- 47. Butler A, Hoffman P, Smibert P, Papalexi E & Satija R Integrating single-cell transcriptomic data across different conditions, technologies, and species. Nat. Biotechnol 36, 411–420 (2018). [PubMed: 29608179]
- 48. Subramanian Aet al.Gene set enrichment analysis: a knowledge-based approach for interpreting genome-wide expression profiles. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA102, 15545–15550 (2005). [PubMed: 16199517]

Poillet-Perez et al. Page 23



**Fig. 1 |. Loss of host autophagy increases the level of circulating pro-inflammatory cytokines and promotes T-cell infiltration in high-TMB tumors.**

**a**, Serum cytokine and chemokine profiling of female and male  $Atg7^{+/+}$  ( $n = 4$  mice) and  $A t g 7$ <sup>/</sup> (n = 8 mice) hosts without (w/o) tumors, showing those with significant differences among 26 cytokines and chemokines. **b**, Serum cytokine and chemokine profiling of female and male  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{1/4}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{1/4}$  hosts with (w/) MB49 tumors ( $n = 12$  tumors each) showing those with significant differences.  $c$ ,  $CD3^+$ ,  $CD4^+$  and  $CD8^+$  cells (percentage) in high-TMB tumors (MB49) grown on male ( $n = 5$  mice each) and female ( $n = 7$  mice each)  $A t g 7^{+/+}$  and  $A t g 7^\perp$  hosts analyzed by flow cytometry. These data are representative of three independent experiments. **d**,**e**, Representative IHC images and quantification of CD3+, CD4<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells in MB49 tumors from male (**d**) and female (**e**)  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7$ <sup> $/$ </sup> hosts ( $n = 5$  mice each). All data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\* $P < 0.01$ , \*\*\*\*P < 0.0001 using a two-sided Student's t-test. Source data are available for **a**–**e**.



#### **Fig. 2 |. Host autophagy promotes high-TMB tumor growth through a T-cell-dependent mechanism.**

**a**, experimental design to induce host mice with conditional whole-body Atg7 deletion  $(Atg7<sup>/</sup>)$  and the wild-type control  $(Atg7<sup>+/+</sup>)$ , without and with T-cell depletion. Ubc-Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg7<sup>+/+</sup> and Ubc-Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg7<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice were injected with tamoxifen (TAM) to delete Atg7 and were then injected subcutaneously with tumor cells and intraperitoneally with anti-CD4/8. Tumor growth was monitored over 3 weeks, where all tumors establish similarly with antitumor effects becoming apparent beyond 2 weeks. **b**,**c**, Comparison of YUMM1.1 tumor growth on female  $Atg7^{+/+}$  ( $n = 12$  tumors),  $Atg7^{+/+}$  + anti-CD4/8 ( $n = 12$  tumors),  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> ( $n = 14$  tumors) and  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> + anti-CD4/8 ( $n = 12$ tumors). Tumor pictures (**b**), tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) (**c**) from the experimental end point. **d**-g, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on female  $(\mathbf{d}, \mathbf{e})$  Atg  $7^{+/+}$  (*n* = 7 tumors),  $Atg7^{+/+}$  + anti-CD4/8 (n = 7 tumors),  $Atg7^{+}$  (n = 7 tumors) and  $Atg7^{+}$  + anti-CD4/8 ( $n = 10$  tumors) and male (**f**,g)  $Atg7^{+/+}$  ( $n = 6$  tumors),  $Atg7^{+/+}$  + anti-CD4/8 ( $n$ 

 $= 8$  tumors),  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> ( $n = 8$  tumors) and  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> + anti-CD4/8 ( $n = 6$  tumors) hosts. Tumor pictures (**d**,**f**), tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) (**e**,**g**) from the experimental end point. All data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.  $*P < 0.05$ ,  $*P < 0.01$ ,  $**P < 0.01$ ,  $***P < 0.0001$ using a two-sided Student's t-test. Source data are available for **c**,**e**,**g**.





**a–d**, Comparison of UV YUMM1.1–9 tumor growth on male  $(a,b)$   $Atg7^{+/+}$  ( $n = 14$ ) tumors),  $Atg7^{+/+}$  + anti-CD4/8 (*n* = 14 tumors),  $Atg7^{+}$  (*n* = 12 tumors) and  $Atg7^{+}$  + anti-CD4/8 ( $n = 12$  tumors) and female (c,d)  $Atg7^{+/+}$  ( $n = 12$  tumors),  $Atg7^{+/+}$  + anti-CD4/8  $(n = 12 \text{ tumors}),$  Atg7<sup> $\div$ </sup> ( $n = 12 \text{ tumors}$ ) and Atg7<sup> $\div$ </sup> + anti-CD4/8 ( $n = 8 \text{ tumors}$ ). Tumor pictures (**a**,**c**), tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) (**b**,**d**) from the experimental end point. **e**,**f**, NanoString expression analysis of genes involved in signature

immune pathways (e) or immune cell type (f) on female  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{+/+}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{I}$  *bosts with* or without anti-CD4/8 ( $n = 2$  mice each). Red, high expression level; blue, low expression level. TNF, tumor necrosis factor; TLR, Toll-like receptor; NK, natural killer; MHC, major histocompatibility complex;  $T_H1$ , type 1 helper T cells. **g**, experimental design to induce conditional whole-body  $Atg7$  deletion ( $Atg7<sup>/</sup>$ ) and wild-type ( $Atg7<sup>+/+</sup>$ ) controls without and with T<sub>reg</sub> cell depletion. Ubc-*Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg7*<sup>+/+</sup> and Ubc-*Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg7*<sup>flox/flox</sup> mice were injected with TAM to delete *Atg7* and were then injected subcutaneously with tumor cells and intraperitoneally with anti-CD25. Tumor growth was monitored over 3 weeks. **h–k**, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on male (**h**,**i**)  $Atg7^{t/+}$  ( $n = 12$  tumors),  $Atg7^{t/+}$ + anti-CD25 ( $n = 10$  tumors),  $Atg7'$  ( $n = 14$  tumors) and  $Atg7'$  + anti-CD25 ( $n = 12$ ) tumors) and female (**j**,**k**)  $Atg7^{+/+}$ ,  $Atg7^{+/+}$  + anti-CD25,  $Atg7^{/}$  and  $Atg7^{/}$  + anti-CD25  $(n = 10$  tumors each). Tumor pictures  $(h, j)$  and tumor weight  $(i, k)$  from the experimental end point. All data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.  $*P < 0.05$ ,  $***P < 0.001$ ,  $****P < 0.0001$  using a two-sided Student's t-test. Source data are available for **b**,**d**,**i**,**k**.

Poillet-Perez et al. Page 28



**Fig. 4 |. Host autophagy promotes high-TMB tumor growth through suppression of** *Sting***. a**, Percentage of CD4<sup>+</sup>PD1<sup>+</sup>, CD4<sup>+</sup>TIM3<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup>LAG3<sup>+</sup> cells in tumors in male  $A t g 7^{+/+}$  and  $A t g 7^\perp$  hosts (*n* = 3 tumors each) analyzed by flow cytometry. These data are representative of three independent experiments. MFI: mean fluorescent intensity. **b**, Percentage of CD8<sup>+</sup>PD1<sup>+</sup>, CD8<sup>+</sup>TIM3<sup>+</sup> and CD8<sup>+</sup>LAG3<sup>+</sup> cells in tumors in male  $Atg7^{+/+}$ and  $Atg7'$  hosts ( $n = 3$  tumors each) analyzed by flow cytometry. **c**, NanoString expression analysis of genes involved in the IFN pathway in MB49 tumors from female  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{+/+}$ and  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> hosts with or without anti-CD4/8 ( $n = 2$  mice each). Blue genes indicate IFN-

αβ, red genes indicate IFN-γ pathways. Red: high expression level; blue: low expression level. **d**, experimental design to induce conditional whole-body  $Atg7$  deletion  $(Atg7<sup>/</sup>)$ and wild-type  $(Atg\mathcal{T}^{+/+})$  controls with loss of *Sting. Sting*<sup>gt/gt</sup>;Ubc-Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg $\mathcal{T}^{+/+}$  and Sting<sup>gt/gt</sup>;Ubc-Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg<sup>7flox/flox</sup> mice were injected with TAM to delete Atg7 and were then injected subcutaneously with tumor cells. Tumor growth was monitored over 3 weeks. **e–h**, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on male (e,f)  $Atg7^{+/+}(n = 10 \text{ tumors})$ , Stings<sup>t/gt</sup>;Atg7<sup>+/+</sup>(n = 8 tumors), Atg7<sup> $\frac{1}{2}$ </sup> (n = 8 tumors) and Stings<sup>t/gt</sup>;Atg7<sup> $\frac{1}{2}$ </sup> (n = 10 tumors) and female (g,h)  $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 10 tumors),  $Sting<sup>gt/gt</sup>; Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 8 tumors),  $Atg7$  $(n = 6 \text{ tumors})$  and  $\text{String}^{\text{gt/gt}}$ ; $\text{Arg}^7$   $(n = 8 \text{ tumors})$ . Tumor pictures (e,g) and tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) (**f**,**h**) from the experimental end point. All data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. \* $P < 0.05$ , \*\*\*\* $P < 0.0001$  using a two-sided Student's *t*-test. Source data are available for **a**,**b**,**f**,**h**.

Poillet-Perez et al. Page 30

FDR q-value

 $1.38 \times 10^{-3}$ 

 $1.62 \times 10^{-7}$ 

 $2.12 \times 10^{-7}$ 

 $5.06 \times 10^{-7}$ 

 $5.54 \times 10^{-7}$ 

 $5.54 \times 10^{-7}$ 

 $6.21 \times 10$ 

 $6.43 \times 10^{-7}$ 

 $-15$  $1.48 \times 10^{-7}$ 



**Fig. 5 |. Loss of autophagy increases immune cell fraction and IFN-**γ **pathway gene expression. a**, Representative scRNA-seq uniform manifold approximation and projection (UMAP) projection of cell cluster from tumors from female  $Atg7^{+/-}$  and  $Atg7^{/-}$  hosts (n = 1 tumor each). These data are representative of two independent experiments with two tumors from  $A t g 7^{+/+}$  and  $A t g 7^\perp$  hosts each. **b**, Immune cell fractions in tumors from female  $A t g 7^{+/+}$ and  $Atg7'$  hosts ( $n = 4$  tumors each). All data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m. **c**, Dot plot of differential IFN- $\gamma$  pathway gene expression in tumors infiltrated T cells from female  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7$ <sup> $\prime$ </sup> hosts (n = 2 tumors each). **d**, Top ten pathways enriched in tumor-infiltrated T cells from  $Atg7^\perp$  hosts compared to  $Atg7^{+/+}$  hosts (n = 2 tumors each). NF- $\kappa$ B, nuclear factor κB; FDR, false discovery rate. **e**, Dot plot of differential gene expression (Ifnγr1 and Ifnγ) in tumors and tumor-infiltrated immune cells from female  $Atg7^{+/+}$  and  $Atg7^{+/}$  hosts (n = 2) tumors each).



**Fig. 6 |. Loss of autophagy decreases tumor growth in an IFN-**γ**- and MHC-I-dependent manner. a**, experimental design to induce conditional whole-body  $Atg7$  deletion ( $Atg7<sup>-/-</sup>$ ) and wildtype ( $Atg7^{+/+}$ ) controls with loss of Ifn $\gamma$ . Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ;Ubc-Cre<sup>ERT2/+</sup>;Atg7<sup>+/+</sup> and Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ;Ubc- $Cre^{ERT2/+}$ ; Atg  $\mathcal{I}^{\text{lox/flow}}$  mice were injected with TAM to delete Atg7 and were then injected subcutaneously with tumor cells. Tumor growth was monitored over 3 weeks. **b–e**, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on male (**b**,**c**)  $Arg^{+/-}$ ,  $H \rightarrow ^{/-}$ ;  $Arg^{+/-}$ ,  $Arg^{+/-}$ and  $\text{Im}\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $\text{Arg}^{\gamma}$  ( $n = 12$  tumors each) and female (**d**,**e**)  $\text{Arg}^{\gamma+/-}$  ( $n = 10$  tumors), Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ;Atg7<sup>+/+</sup> (n = 8 tumors), Atg7<sup>'</sup> (n = 6 tumors) and Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ;Atg7<sup>'</sup> (n = 10 tumors).

Tumor pictures (**b**,**d**) and tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) (**c**,**e**) from the experimental end point. **f**, Percentage of CD4+PD1+, CD4+TIM3+ and CD4+LAG3+ cells in tumors in male  $Atg7^{+/+}$ , Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7^{+/+}$ ,  $Atg7^{/}$  and Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7^{/}$  hosts (n = 3 tumors each) analyzed by flow cytometry. **g**, Percentage of CD8+PD1+, CD8+TIM3+ and CD8<sup>+</sup>LAG3<sup>+</sup> cells in tumors in male  $Atg7^{+/+}$ , Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7^{+/+}$ ,  $Atg7^{/}$  and Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7^{/}$ hosts ( $n = 3$  tumors each) analyzed by flow cytometry. **h**, NanoString expression analysis of genes involved in the MHC and antigen presentation pathway in MB49 tumors from female  $Atg\mathcal{T}^{1/4}$  and  $Atg\mathcal{T}$  hosts with or without anti-CD4/8 (n = 2 tumors each). Red genes indicate MHC pathway. Red: high expression level; blue: low expression level. **i**, Comparison of MB49 shC and shB2m tumor growth on female  $Atg7^{+/+}$  ( $n = 10-12$  tumors) and  $Atg7$ <sup> $/$ </sup> ( $n = 10$  tumors) hosts. Tumor pictures and tumor weight from the experimental end point. All data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.  $*P < 0.05$ ,  $*P < 0.01$ ,  $**P < 0.01$ ,  $***P < 0.0001$ using a two-sided Student's t-test. Source data are available for **c**,**e**–**g**,**i**.





**Fig. 7 |. Liver autophagy is necessary to maintain tumor growth in an IFN-**γ**-dependent manner. a**, experimental design to induce liver hepatocyte-specific  $Atg7$  deletion (liver  $Atg7'$ ) and wild-type controls (liver  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{+/-}$ ).  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{flowflox}$  mice were either injected with adenoassociated virus (AAV)–thyroxine binding globulin (TBG) promoter–GFP or AAV–TBG– iCre and MB49 cells were then injected subcutaneously and tumor growth was monitored over 3 weeks. **b**,**c**, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on male (**b**) liver  $Atg7^\text{/}$  and liver  $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 14 tumors each) and female (c) liver  $Atg7^\perp$  and liver  $Atg7^{+/+}$  (n = 10 and 14 tumors, respectively). Tumor pictures and weight from the experimental end point. **d**,

Representative IHC images and quantification of CD3+, CD4+ and CD8+ T cells in MB49 tumors from male liver  $Atg\mathcal{I}^{+/-}$  and liver  $Atg\mathcal{I}$ <sup> /</sup> hosts ( $n=5$  mice each). **e**, experimental design to induce conditional liver-specific liver  $Atg7'$  and liver  $Atg7^{+/+}$  controls with loss of Ifny. The Ifny<sup>-/-</sup>;Atg7<sup>flox/flox</sup> were either injected with AAV–TBG–GFP or AAV–TBG– iCre and MB49 cells were then injected subcutaneously and tumor growth was monitored over 3 weeks. **f**-i, Comparison of MB49 tumor growth on male (**f**,**g**) liver  $Atg7^{+/+}$  ( $n=$ 8 tumors), *Ifn* $\gamma^{-/-}$ ;*liver Atg* $7^{+/+}$  (*n* = 10 tumors), liver *Atg* $7^{/}/$  (*n* = 8 tumors) and liver Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ;Atg7<sup>'</sup> (n = 10 tumors) and female (**h**,**i**) liver Atg7<sup>+/+</sup> (n = 12 tumors), Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ;liver  $Atg7^{/+}$  (n = 12 tumors), liver  $Atg7^\prime$  (n = 12 tumors) and liver Ifn $\gamma^{-/-}$ ; $Atg7^\prime$  (n = 10 tumors). Tumor pictures (**f**,**h**) and tumor weight and ratio (antibody treated/control) (**g**,**i**) from the experimental end point. All data are mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.  $*P < 0.05$ ,  $*P < 0.01$ ,  $**P$  $< 0.001$ , \*\*\*\* $P < 0.0001$  using a two-sided Student's *t*-test. Source data are available for **b**–**d**,**g**,**i**.





Loss of host autophagy promotes high-TMB tumor growth through immune response inhibition. Autophagy, especially in the liver, suppresses inflammation, antitumor T-cell activity and IFN type I and II responses, allowing the growth of high-TMB tumors. HAIT, hepatic autophagy immune tolerance.