

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/26667657)

# Environmental Advances



journal homepage: [www.sciencedirect.com/journal/environmental-advances](https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/environmental-advances) 

# Plastic pollution during COVID-19: Plastic waste directives and its long-term impact on the environment

Mehnaz Shams $^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{*}}$ , Iftaykhairul Alam $^{\mathrm{b}}$ , Md Shahriar Mahbub $^{\mathrm{a}}$ 

<sup>a</sup> *School of Civil, Environmental, and Infrastructure Engineering, Southern Illinois University, Carbondale, IL, 62901, USA* <sup>b</sup> *Organic Chemistry Group, RJ Lee Group: Columbia Basin Analytical Laboratory, Pasco, WA, 99301, USA* 

#### ARTICLE INFO

*Keywords:*  Single-use plastic SARS-CoV-2 Personal protective equipment Long-term impacts Micro and nanoscale plastics

# ABSTRACT

Majority of the million tons of plastic produced each year is being disposed after single-use. Plastic bottle, bags, food containers, gloves, and cup that end up in landfills and environment could linger for hundreds to thousands of years. Moreover, COVID-19 pandemic caused by the novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2), will also exacerbate the global plastic pollution as the use of personal protective equipment (PPE i.e., gloves, masks) became mandatory to prevent the spread of the virus. Plastic eventually breaking down in micro & nanoscopic bits due to physical or chemical or biological actions in the environment, can enter animal and human food web. So, plastic management programs need to be more robust with a focus on the prevention of the micro and nanoplastics entrance into the environment and food web. In the present pandemic situation, it is even more necessary to know about how much plastic waste is being generated and how different countries are coping up with their plastic waste management. In this review, we have elucidated how global plastic production rise during COVID-19 and how it would contribute to short and long-term impacts on the environment. Plastic pollution during the pandemic will increase the GHS emissions in the incineration facilities. Improper disposal of plastics into the oceans and lands would endanger the marine species and subsequently human lives. We have also assessed how the increased plastic pollution will aggravate the micro and nanoscale plastic problem, which have now become an emerging concern. This review will be helpful for people to understand the plastic usage and its subsequent consequences in the environment in a pandemic like COVID-19.

# **1. Introduction**

Plastic is a polymeric material consisting of long carbon chains ([Jakubowicz, 2003a\)](#page-9-0). Due to its excellent physicochemical properties and economic viability (e.g., lightweight, flexibility, low production cost, availability), plastic is widely used in both industrial sectors and households ([Geyer et al., 2017;](#page-9-0) [Silva et al., 2020\)](#page-10-0). About 396 million tons of plastics were produced in 2018 worldwide ([PlascticsEurope,](#page-10-0)  [2019\)](#page-10-0), which was 48 million tons higher than the previous year and this number is expected to increase at a double rate in the next 20 years ([Figure 1](#page-2-0)) (Boyle and Örmeci, 2020). 90% of the plastic production in the world consists of synthetic polymers such as polyethylene (PE), polypropylene (PP), polystyrene (PS), polyethylene terephthalate (PET), polyvinyl chloride (PVC), and polyurethane (PUR) [\(Phuong et al.,](#page-10-0)  [2016a\)](#page-10-0). The excessive use of these synthetic plastics, coupled with their inherent resistant to degradation, is a serious environmental problem ([Phuong et al., 2016b](#page-10-0)). Biodegradable plastic, an alternative to synthetic plastic have been introduced as they degrade more easily ([Boyle and](#page-9-0)   $O$ <sup>[Ormeci,](#page-9-0) 2020</sub>). However, compared to other synthetic plastics, there is</sup> still no solid evidence that these plastics would break down in the natural environment (Boyle and Örmeci, 2020; EuropeanCommission, [2019,](#page-9-0) 2018). Around 8-12 million metric tons (Mt) of plastic generated on land ended up in oceans in 2018 alone contributing to the plastic pollution ([Bondaroff and Cooke, 2020](#page-9-0)) and an estimated 13.2 billion tons of plastic waste would reach the landfills and environment (freshwater, oceans, air) by 2050 without the improvements of current plastic waste management practices ([Silva et al., 2020](#page-10-0)).

Several initiatives have been proposed worldwide to prevent plastic leakage in the environment and decrease the environmental footprint of plastic. Ban of single use plastics (SUPs) and use of reusable bags has been found one of the effective preventive measures to decrease plastic waste [\(Schnurr et al., 2018](#page-10-0)). SUPs (e.g., plastic bags, coffee cups, soda and water bottles, etc.) are used only once before they are thrown away and have extremely low recyclability rate ( $\sim$  12%) [\(Azoulay et al., 2019](#page-9-0);

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envadv.2021.100119>

Available online 25 September 2021 Received 2 June 2021; Received in revised form 10 September 2021; Accepted 16 September 2021

2666-7657/© 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/\)](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: School of Civil, Environmental and Infrastructure Engineering, Southern Illinois University Carbondale, IL, 62901, USA. *E-mail address:* [mehnaz.shams1@siu.edu](mailto:mehnaz.shams1@siu.edu) (M. Shams).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

**Fig. 1.** Hypothetical past, present and future worldwide plastic production. Plastic production is predicted to increase to 600 million tons in 2040 based on the data available of plastic production rate between 2008 and 2019 ([Tiseo, 2021](#page-10-0)) and assuming a linear trend. However, based on [table 1](#page-3-0) data, it has been estimated that COVID-19 caused a drastic increase of plastic production to around 698 million tons in only 2020. Detailed calculation is provided in the SI.

[Wagner, 2017\)](#page-10-0). However, COVID-19 pandemic, caused by a novel severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-Cov-2), has resurrected the SUPs (Figure 1). SUP personal protective equipment (PPEs) such as face masks, surgical masks, face shields, and other PPEs both for frontline health workers and common citizens have been adopted to stop the contamination and spread of virus [\(Kahlert and Bening, 2020\)](#page-9-0). The World Bank has already warned that this pandemic would reverse the years-long tide toward cutting down on SUPs ([Bengali, 2020](#page-9-0)). Most of the PPEs are made up of PP, PVC, PS, which are rarely recycled ([Kahlert](#page-9-0)  [and Bening, 2020\)](#page-9-0). This would scale up the already existing plastic pollution in the terrestrial, atmospheric, and aquatic environment. In addition, lockdown during pandemic, has significantly impacted the plastic recycling facilities all over the world, resulting in improper and illegal disposal of plastic waste into the oceans and lands ([Bondaroff and](#page-9-0)  [Cooke, 2020;](#page-9-0) [Hernandez, 2020](#page-9-0)). Storm events would further increase the concentration of the illegally dumped plastic in the aquatic environments [\(Eerkes-Medrano et al., 2015\)](#page-9-0).

Once these plastics are littered in the environment, they are susceptible to abiotic and biotic degradation processes ([da Costa et al.,](#page-9-0)  [2016;](#page-9-0) [Dantas et al., 2012;](#page-9-0) [Jakubowicz, 2003b](#page-9-0); [Lambert et al., 2014](#page-9-0)). Macro (*>*25mm) and meso (5-25 mm) plastics degrade into micro (*<*5 mm) and nano-scale (<100 nm) plastics overtime (Boyle and Örmeci, [2020\)](#page-9-0). A number of plastic research has identified that there is more plastic being discharged into the environment compared to the recorded sampling methods [\(da Costa, 2017\)](#page-9-0). Researchers are certain that micro and nano plastics have infiltrated both aquatic and land environments ([Carr et al., 2016](#page-9-0); [Eerkes-Medrano et al., 2019](#page-9-0); [He et al., 2018](#page-9-0); [Horton](#page-9-0)  [et al., 2017;](#page-9-0) [Kosuth et al., 2018;](#page-9-0) [Ng et al., 2018](#page-10-0); [Panno et al., 2019](#page-10-0)). However, there is a significant knowledge gap on how these micro and nano plastics move along different medium in the environment. Micro and nano plastics entering the human food chain impose a serious threat to human health [\(Bouwmeester et al., 2015;](#page-9-0) [Chang et al., 2020;](#page-9-0) [Paul](#page-10-0) 

[et al., 2020](#page-10-0))[\(Silva et al., 2021](#page-10-0)). Effect of microplastics to organisms besides human is also concerning ([Silva et al., 2019](#page-10-0); C. J. M. [Silva et al.,](#page-10-0)  [2021\)](#page-10-0). The plastic toxicity mechanisms includes metabolism disorders, oxidative stress, and inflammatory reactions to humans and other organisms [\(Chang et al., 2020](#page-9-0); C. [Silva et al., 2021](#page-10-0))[\(Silva et al., 2021](#page-10-0)).

This review is aimed to provide a comprehensive overview on the short- and long-term effects of COVID-19 on plastic pollution in the environment. Using the most updated data of plastic usage during COVID-19, the scale of plastic waste generation was calculated and longterm effect on the environment was predicted using EPA's WARM (Waste Reduction Model) tool. The article also summarizes the current management steps taken by different countries and organizations to deal with this plastic overload.

## **2. Methodology**

Data of plastic production volume from 2008-2019 was collected ([Tiseo, 2021](#page-10-0)) and plotted in figure 1 and based on these data a linear trendline was assumed to predict plastic production in 2040. For a hypothetical plastic production calculation, the facemasks, gloves, gowns and goggles generated by each country was calculated using the following equations and data from [table 1](#page-3-0).

 $TotalNumberProduced = NumberProducedPerDay \times Timescale$  (1)

TotalItemNumber = ΣTotalNumberofMaskProduced

- + ΣTotalNumberofGlovesProduced
- + ΣTotalNumberofGownsProduced
- + ΣTotalNumberofGogglesandPPEProduced (2)

 $10^6$  (3)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>TotalItemWeight(millionton) = (TotalNumberofItemProduced × Itemweight) /

## Totalweight =  $\Sigma$ Totalmaskweight +  $\Sigma$ Totalglovesweight

$$
+ \Sigma \text{Totalgownsweight} + \Sigma \text{Totalgogglesweight} \tag{4}
$$

The average number of days in a month per year was estimated as 30 days. Detailed calculation is provided in the supporting information.

For using waste reduction model (WARM), the plastic waste generation reported in USEPA's recent report was used [\(EPA, 2020\)](#page-9-0). The reported value was added to the increased plastic waste from medicals and usage of facemask during Covid-19 pandemic. The increase in plastic waste during the pandemic was calculated using the common plastic waste management practices followed in the US, which is plastic incinerated 15.8%, and landfilled 75.7% ([EPA, 2020](#page-9-0)). Assuming 50% reduction is recycling facility during the pandemic to stop the high risk of biohazard and lockdown in recycling facilities ([Fadare and Okoffo,](#page-9-0)  [2020\)](#page-9-0), the recycling % was taken 4.25.

Previous scenario:  $P_{W_{2018}}$ 

Current scenario:  $P_{W_{2020}} = P_{W_{2018}} + P_{W_{Medical}} + P_{W_{Mask}}$ 

Where,  $P_{W_{2018}}$  is the total plastic waste generated in 2018 in the US as report in EPA's recent report,  $P_{W_{Model}}$  and  $P_{W_{Model}}$  is the plastic waste generated from medical sources and mask usage.  $P_{Rec yield}(tons) = (P_{W_{2020}})$ ∗% *recycled*, *Plandfilled*(*tons*) = (*PW*2020) ∗ % *landfilled*, and *Pcombusted*(*tons*) =  $(P_{W_{2020}}) * \%$  *combusted.* 

Emissions that occur during transport of waste to the management facility were included in the WARM and default average transport distances, 20 miles was used for the GHS emission calculation. The GHG emissions was reported as the equivalent of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and GHG emissions results estimated in WARM indicate the full life-cycle benefits waste management alternatives. Due to the timing of the GHG emissions from the waste management pathways, (e.g., avoided landfilling and increased recycling), the actual GHG implications might occur over the long-term. Further explanation of the WARM tool methodology is available on EPA's official website [\(United States Environmental Pro](#page-10-0)[tection Agency, 2019\)](#page-10-0).

# **3. Plastic waste boom during COVID-19**

While government worldwide fight against the COVID-19 pandemic, there have been significant drawbacks in the use and management of plastic. Dramatic increase in the use of mask, gloves, protective medical suits, hand sanitizer bottles, all are contributing to an unforeseen crisis. In addition, syringes, tubes, catheters, packaging of saline solutions respirators, and thermometers, which are partially made of plastic, are also contributing towards this plastic pollution. Improper disposal of these potential life-saving plastic components could overload the waste management system of the cities around the world especially due to short-circuited waste collection operations during lockdown. For

# **Table 1**

Consumption/ usage/ demand of plastic-based personal protective equipment (PPE) during COVID-19 pandemic in some countries.



## <span id="page-4-0"></span>**Table 2**

Plastic waste generated during COVID-19 pandemic



example, some states in the U.S. have declared to stop their recycling programs from the concern of SARS-CoV-2 spreading in operation centers ([Pan Demetrakakes, 2020\)](#page-10-0).

## *3.1. Increased use of SUP during pandemic*

Use of SUP has significantly increased due to the pandemic lockdown and new hyper-hygienic way of life [\(Table 1\)](#page-3-0). Besides, panic buying, and stockpiling due to change in the consumers' behavioral changes soared the requirement for plastic-based packing materials ([Jribi et al., 2020](#page-9-0)). To prevent virus transmission, there is no other viable alternative to SUP at least for now. It has been estimated that monthly use of 129 billion face masks and 65 billion gloves would be needed worldwide to slow down the spread of virus and protect the citizens ([Prata et al., 2020\)](#page-10-0). The demand of SUP has been predicted to increase by 57% combining packaging, medical uses, and other applications in 2020 ([Prata et al.,](#page-10-0)  [2020\)](#page-10-0). The disposable face mask market has seen remarkable increase from \$800 million in 2019 to \$166 billion in 2020 (E. J. [Zhang et al.,](#page-11-0)  [2021\)](#page-11-0). The Wall Street Journal has reported that plastic use in USA is expected to rise 10% in 2021, compared with only 3% last year [\(Pan](#page-10-0)  [Demetrakakes, 2020\)](#page-10-0). Apart from the gloves and masks, this increase is also due to the banning of reusable bags and rescinding bans on single-use shopping bags in the supermarkets. San Francisco of California, USA has issued an order which does not allow the customers to bring reusable bags, whereas previously the use of plastic bags was banned here. Similarly the state of Massachusetts has revoked the restrictions on single-use bags in 139 municipalities ([BOMEY, 2020](#page-9-0)). States like New York, Hawaii, Oregon, Connecticut, and Maine are also walking in the same path by delaying the ban of SUP use until 2021 to fight against the pandemic ([Silva et al., 2020](#page-10-0)). Furthermore, plastic production and usage increase due to the increasing trend of online shopping and takeout boom ([Parashar and Hait, 2021\)](#page-10-0). USA has seen an overwhelming 78% increase in online shopping and takeaway services in 2020, while countries like Singapore, China, Vietnam, South Korea observed a similar trend with more than 50% increase ([Parashar and](#page-10-0)  [Hait, 2021](#page-10-0)). This new trend of takeout and e-commerce shopping is projected to result in 1012.6 billion of plastic packaging demand by 2021, which is 103.4 billion higher than produced in 2019 ([Parashar](#page-10-0)  [and Hait, 2021; Reportlinker, 2020](#page-10-0)).

## *3.2. Increased plastic waste generation during pandemic*

Overflow of medical waste, need for proper PPE, surge in online food delivery and shopping, and ban on reusable bags, etc. have led to increased plastic pollution during COVID-19 pandemic. A study has estimated that packaging and medical based products can be responsible for 44.8% and 13.2% of increase in plastic waste due to the current pandemic [\(Sharma et al., 2020\)](#page-10-0). World health organization (WHO) urged industrialists and government official for 40% escalation of SUP PPE to meet rising global demand during the pandemic [\(Adyel, 2020](#page-8-0); [Chaib, 2020](#page-9-0)). A serious rise in medical waste has been reported all over the world (Table 2). This drastic increase in plastic waste is weighing down the capacity of the system to manage/treat it adequately (A. L. P. [Silva et al., 2021\)](#page-10-0). According to a report by WHO, delivery of PPE have increased 50.4 million pieces from 5.5 million just between June and July 2020, and more than 200 million pieces in store for delivery to 138 countries [\(Haque et al., 2021;](#page-9-0) [WHO, 2020\)](#page-10-0). Most recent EPA fact sheet published in 2020 states that in USA only 8.5% of 35.68 million tons of plastic wastes were recycled while 15.8% was incinerated and 75.7% ended up in landfills in 2018 ([EPA, 2020](#page-9-0)). A simple calculation was done to predict the increase of plastic waste during the pandemic alone from health-care facilities [\(Adyel, 2020](#page-8-0); [Cutler, 2020\)](#page-9-0). U.S. hospitals generate about 5.9 million tons waste, 25% of which is plastic in 2018 [\(GIBBENS,](#page-9-0)  [2019;](#page-9-0) [Overstreet, 2018](#page-10-0)). If U.S adhered to the rate of medical waste generation for a year in just the first two months of the pandemic ([Adyel, 2020\)](#page-8-0), a six-fold increase in medical waste could be predicted by the end of 2020. This would result in 8.85 million tons of plastic medical waste, which is 500% higher than the medical plastic waste generated in 2018. Due to higher amount of biohazards generated during the pandemic and lockdown in recycling facilities ([Fadare and Okoffo,](#page-9-0)  Country Changes in plastic

USA Plastic wastes are ending up in the country's landfills often after being exposed to various treatment processes, including autoclaving and incineration.

Canada Majority of PPE disposed

China Wuhan city authorities

UK In UK, the recyclable

Bangladesh Without having proper

bins.

station.

recommended that cement plants can coincinerate medical wastes upon request to ramp up processing capacity.

In south Korea, the medical wastes were needed to be taken out for incineration on daily basis as opposed to before pandemic when it could have been stored up to 7 days. Wastes including the used masks

Norway Norway's government has permitted a temporary change in landfill permits and allowed to transport wastes elsewhere to deal with the medical waste surge, if necessary.

Spain In Spain, it was

South Korea is either i) disinfected and landfilled, or ii) incinerated. No sorting of recycling items coming to landfill.

implemented mobile incineration facilities which were upgraded from 50 t/day to over 263 t/day to manage the 240 t medical waste generated per day.

waste was collected once a week in double-layered bags, COVID-19 labeled, and independently stored.

• UK used dedicated vehicle for the COVID-19 waste collection.

incineration facilities, authorities burnt their wastes in their backyards or mix them with the regular city corporation

• In Dhaka, Bangladesh, medical plastic waste generated was sealed in biosafety bags and transferred to on site storage in some places. From storage, the wastes are collected in open drums and transported to Landfill

management plan

#### <span id="page-5-0"></span>**Table 3**

Endeavors to control the amplified amount of plastic waste generated and COVID-19.

References

[\(Barndollar, 2021](#page-9-0))

("COVID-19: A waste mana

[services network,](#page-9-0)" 2017)

("Guidelines for Handling,

Management Prepared for



[\(Rhee, 2020](#page-10-0))

[COVID-19,](#page-10-0)" n.d.)

[COVID-19,](#page-10-0)" n.d.)

[2020\)](#page-9-0), it is expected that there will be a decrease in the recycling of medical waste in the year 2020. If it is assumed only 4.25% of medical plastic waste was recycled, which is 50% less than the year 2018, then 8.6 million tons of additional medical plastic waste is going to be either combusted, landfilled, or illegally dumped. Considering the risk of the spread of the virus, the recycling % could be even lower, which means

more plastic waste will go to landfill and incineration facilities. This phenomenon is going to be the same or even worse in countries all over the world as [table 1](#page-3-0) shows few examples of the increased usage and demand for plastic-based PPE during COVID-19 in different countries. As shown in [Table 2](#page-4-0), existing treatment systems of almost all the countries designed based on the quality and quantity of waste generated under normal conditions had to cope with abnormal increases in plastic waste.

# **4. Changing face of plastic waste management during COVID-19 pandemic**

Due to the pandemic, household and medical plastic waste has been amplified which is aggravating the current plastic pollution [\(Benson](#page-9-0)  [et al., 2021a\)](#page-9-0). The most extensively used techniques of this plastic waste management across the globe are mechanical recycling, incineration, and landfilling ([Alabi et al., 2019\)](#page-8-0). However, incineration can release hazardous gases like furans and dioxins and could promote greenhouse gas emissions into the environment ultimately fueling global warming (Batterman and World Health Organization. [Water, 2004](#page-9-0)). So, it is not an ideal solution for long term scenario. Landfill is at the bottom of the scientific disposal pyramid. Despite, some countries landfill their wastes. Plastic waste generated during COVID-19 has caused increased load on waste dumps, and landfills, overwhelming their capacity. It would lead to tremendous space constraints, and leaching of harmful chemicals ([Azoulay, David, Priscilla Villa et al., 2019\)](#page-9-0). Moreover, uncapped collection and transportation of virus infected wastes over such a long distance are certainly dangerous for public health.

Most of the countries improvised their existing waste management facilities both quantitively and qualitatively [\(Table 3](#page-5-0)). Furthermore, specific guidelines and advisories have been issued by various international organizations (WHO, UNICEF, UN-Habitat, UNEP, European Commission, Asian Development Bank (ADB) etc.) to manage plastic waste containing COVID-19 ([Parashar and Hait, 2021](#page-10-0)). The WHO has recommended high-temperature burn incineration or deep burial for the treatment of infectious waste ([Chartier, 2014;](#page-9-0) [Organization and \(UNI-](#page-10-0)[CEF\), 2020;](#page-10-0) [Sharma et al., 2020](#page-10-0); [WHO, 2020\)](#page-9-0). According to WHO, gloves, masks, goggles, fluid-resistant apron) must be collected in clearly marked lined containers, and stored, preferably on-site prior to treatment and safe disposal. These waste should be treated by autoclaving or high temperature burn incinerators ([Organization, 2020\)](#page-10-0). According to ADB, plastic PPEs must be double bagged before treatment/disposal and domestic/medical waste (plastics included) should not be recycled and must undergo incineration or sanitary landfilling ("[Managing infectious](#page-10-0)  [waste during the COVID-19 pandemic,](#page-10-0)" 2020). According to UN Habitat, used PPEs should be placed in colored double bags tied with string or adhesive tape. They could be stored on-site for 72 h before disposal followed by incineration or landfill if incineration is not possible ("[Strategy guidance: Solid waste management response to COVID-19,](#page-10-0)" [2020\)](#page-10-0). Despite these guidelines and advisories, some Asian nations like Cambodia, the Philippines, Thailand, India, Malaysia, Indonesia, Bangladesh, Vietnam, and Palestine have been reported to dump their infectious plastic waste in open landfills [\(Sangkham, 2020\)](#page-10-0). Moreover, countries like Brazil, Guatemala, Haiti, India, Indonesia, Kenya in the developing world have disruption in their recycling facilities due to severe fund crunch. In this article we tried to gather practices followed in different countries for plastic waste management, being aware that situations are diverse and dependent on the national and local constraints as well as means available.

Besides these management practices, focus should be given towards the efficiency of the workforce that is involved in the process of waste management as well. They should be provided with the right kind of tech-enabled tools, such as artificial intelligence enabled automated systems for segregation, that would enable them to overcome their operational challenges and be more productive and efficient in their job roles. Moreover, this could improve the efficiency and speed of recycling and enhance the quality and value of recycled products [\(Chidepatil](#page-9-0)  [et al., 2020\)](#page-9-0).

Even if all the necessary steps are taken to manage these plastic wastes, it will not be fruitful without public contribution. It is important that the people at large adopt a zero-waste approach and become more concerned about environmental safety (Avee [Mittal, 2021\)](#page-9-0). They should be aware of how much plastic they are consuming and discharging in the environment and what would be their consequences. Moreover, people can decrease the recycling workload by doing home separation. This could be done by utilizing campaigns, advertisements, social media, etc.

# **5. Long-term impacts of the plastic pollution outbreak on the environment**

Management of plastic waste has been problematic even before the start of COVID-19 and it was already pilling up in aquatic, terrestrial, and atmospheric environments [\(Xanthos and Walker, 2017](#page-11-0)). Amount of mismanaged plastic waste, 8-12 million metric tons (Mt), generated on land ended up in oceans in 2018 alone contributing to the plastic pollution ([Bondaroff and Cooke, 2020\)](#page-9-0). Plastic pollution problem has exacerbated due to the hygiene concerns and greater dependence on take-away food during COVID-19. It was anticipated that a staggering global production of 129 million of face mask by the end of 2020 might result in 1.56 billion masks in the oceans ([Bondaroff and Cooke, 2020](#page-9-0)). This contributes to 5159-6878 tons of plastic pollution alone from masks produced during the pandemic ([Bondaroff and Cooke, 2020\)](#page-9-0). From plastic waste management perspective worldwide, recycling, incineration, and landfilling are the commonly employed methods. However, unprecedented boom in plastic waste generation and lockdown have led to a sharp decline in plastic recycling across the world ([Parashar and](#page-10-0)  [Hait, 2021\)](#page-10-0). This would lead to mismanagement of plastic waste resulting in improper incineration, illegal dumping, and overloading the landfill capacity. For instance, illegal dumping increased nearly 19% in the first seven months of 2020 alone in L.A., U.S and 70% more plastic waste were being found in per ocean clean up during the pandemic ([Hernandez, 2020](#page-9-0)).

# *5.1. Greenhouse Gas (GHG) emission*

GHG, such as  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and CH<sub>4</sub> production during the decomposition of plastic waste in landfills is a major concern ([Prata et al., 2020](#page-10-0)). Environmental impact due to the increased medical waste during pandemic was calculated using EPA's WARM (Waste Reduction Model) tool. There is an increase in the generation of medical plastic waste from 1.48 million tons in 2018 to 8.85 million tons in 2020 in USA as estimated in [section 3.2](#page-4-0). In addition, it has been reported that 24.83 million tons of plastic waste was generated from the usage of facemask in the US ([Benson et al., 2021a\)](#page-9-0). It has been estimated that about 32.35 million tons of additional plastic waste would go to landfill and incineration facilities. Considering the risk of the spread of the virus, if the recycling % is even lower, the GHS emissions from landfills and incineration facilities would be even higher due to higher % of waste going to landfills and recycling facilities. Using the WARM tool, it was calculated that 67.42 million metric tons  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -eq (GHG) emission would increase in U.S if 15.8% [\(EPA, 2020\)](#page-9-0) plastic waste to be incinerated as it was in 2018 according to EPA report. This increase is equivalent to adding over 14.3 million cars in the road for one year. This is certainly an alarming number especially when only two main sources of plastic waste have been considered in the calculation. Now with the 78% increase in online shopping and takeaway services in 2020, use of PPE, and ban on reusable bags in supermarket, the number is going to be even more worser for U.S. The number is expected to be staggering across the world as well. For instance, the total carbon footprint emission from only 200 pieces of plastic glove was estimated to be 42 kg CO<sub>2</sub>-eq (Usubharatana [and Phungrassami, 2018\)](#page-10-0). So the total emission would be around 14 million tons CO<sub>2</sub>-eq from the estimated recommended monthly

<span id="page-7-0"></span>

Fig. 2. Plastic Waste Directive; Fate and Transport of Micro and Nanoscale plastics in the Environment.[\(Bråte et al., 2017](#page-9-0))([Sanchez et al., 2014\)](#page-10-0)(Corradini et al., [2019\)\(Danopoulos et al., 2020\)\(Kühn et al., 2020](#page-9-0))[\(Mason et al., 2016](#page-10-0))([Zhang and Liu, 2018\)](#page-11-0)([Ziajahromi et al., 2017\)](#page-11-0)

consumption of 65 billion gloves globally (A. L. P. [Silva et al., 2021](#page-10-0)). Improper burning of plastics waste releases dioxins, heavy metals, PCBs, dioxins and furans, which are listed as hazardous chemicals and directly linked to health risks such as respiratory disorders (A. L. P. [Silva et al.,](#page-10-0)  [2021\)](#page-10-0).

# *5.2. Marine plastic pollution*

It has been estimated that 3% of the total 359 million metric tons globally produced plastic ended up in oceans in 2018 ([Bondaroff and](#page-9-0)  [Cooke, 2020\)](#page-9-0), which is equivalent to 1 garbage truck's worth of plastic per minute being dumped into oceans [\(Ford, 2020](#page-9-0)). Marine ecosystem has been ravaged by plastic waste and poses a serious threat to marine wildlife. Marine plastic pollution kills about 0.1 million marine mammals and turtles annually as plastic products are easily be mistaken for jellyfish, a favorite food of sea turtles ([Bondaroff and Cooke, 2020](#page-9-0); [Ford,](#page-9-0)  [2020\)](#page-9-0). COVID-19 has worsened the problem of marine plastic pollution. The global plastic packaging market size has been estimated to increase 5.5% by the end of 2021 as a result of pandemic response [\(Asia, 2020](#page-9-0)). Non-recyclable SUPs such as take-out plastic containers and masks could increase the marine plastic waste by 30% more than 2019 [\(Fadare and](#page-9-0)  [Okoffo, 2020](#page-9-0)). A skyrocketing increase in the demand of masks and gloves, plus a decline in recycling programs during the pandemic would result in the indiscriminate littering ([Ammendolia et al., 2021\)](#page-8-0). SUP PPEs and their fragmented debris ingestion by such marine wildlife due to misidentification would impact their food chain in the long run. Improper incineration, illegal dumping, and unscientific landfilling would result in the leakage of plastic waste, which may further cause microplastic pollution in marine ecosystem [\(Fadare and Okoffo, 2020](#page-9-0); [Prata et al., 2020\)](#page-10-0).

## *5.3. Micro and nanoscale plastic in water and wastewater treatment plant*

After usage, discarded plastic masks and gloves, thrown in inappropriate places, are advected by wind, rainfall runoff, drainage systems, and wastewater ([Eriksen et al., 2013](#page-9-0)), which eventually ends up in the oceans and surface waters. Even though majority of the previous studies have focused on plastic pollution in marine environment, there

are more recent studies that have showed that these plastics would end up in freshwater and land environment too ([Fendall and Sewell, 2009](#page-9-0); [Lechner and Ramler, 2015](#page-9-0)). We need to understand how plastics end up in drinking water system from fresh water to develop a detailed, integrated mass balance of plastics in the environment and identify the future research needs. Discarded plastic items present in freshwater, usually break down into microplastics (*<*5 mm) and nanoplastics (*<*100 nm) ([Law and Thompson, 2014\)](#page-9-0) via chemical, mechanical, biological etc. processes (e.g. hydrolysis, UV photodegradation, wave action, biodegradation, etc.) over the years [\(Gigault et al., 2016;](#page-9-0) [Lambert and](#page-9-0)  [Wagner, 2016](#page-9-0); [Naik et al., 2020;](#page-10-0) K. [Zhang et al., 2021](#page-11-0))[\(Zhang et al.,](#page-11-0)  [2021\)](#page-11-0). Micron sized plastic fibers from the washing of clothes and plastic polymer microbeads, used in personal care products like facewash or toothpaste, also enter wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) through drainage systems and eventually end up in surface water ([Fendall and](#page-9-0)  [Sewell, 2009;](#page-9-0) [Lechner and Ramler, 2015\)](#page-9-0). These micro and nanoscale plastics gets released into the freshwater environment with effluent of WWTPs, ([Fendall and Sewell, 2009](#page-9-0); [Leslie et al., 2013](#page-10-0)) as the sheer number and size of these plastics allows them to bypass the filtration system employed by WWTPs ([Carr et al., 2016](#page-9-0); [Murphy et al., 2016\)](#page-10-0). It has been estimated that microplastic pieces, around 8 trillion per day, enters surface water through WWTP [\(Rochman et al., 2015](#page-10-0)). Moreover, these plastics retained in sewage sludge [\(Magnusson and Nor](#page-10-0)én, 2014; [Ziajahromi et al., 2016\)](#page-11-0) when applied for agricultural purpose, also pose unknown life threat to human or livestock [\(Nizzetto et al., 2016a](#page-10-0), ([Nizzetto et al., 2016b\)](#page-10-0)). Subsequently, these released micro or nanoscale plastics in the freshwater or surface water, will eventually end up in drinking water treatment plant as surface water is one of the main sources of water in drinking water plant. Narrow plastic fibers can pass through conventional filters, and those caught up may dislodge over time, and eventually can be found into our drinking water. A report found presence of microplastics in tap water samples from some major cities around the world and in the world's renowned 11 bottled water brands ([Mary Kosuth, E.V.W., Sherri A. Mason, Christopher Tyree,](#page-10-0)  [2017\)](#page-10-0). Due to the little information on the outcome of these micro and nanoscale plastic particles in the environment, this has become a recent concern. Figure 2 summarizes the plastic waste directive in the environment and shows how small-sized plastics can make their way into <span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 4** 



human food chain.

#### *5.4. Human and aquatic life exposure to plastics*

Micro and nanoscale particles [\(Syberg et al., 2015\)](#page-10-0), can penetrate human cell barrier due to their small size and cause cytotoxicity and metabolic disorders ([Lewinski et al., 2008](#page-10-0); [Yousefi and Tufenkji, 2016](#page-11-0)). Ingestion, inhalation and absorption by skin from using plastic products, mainly associated with micro and nanoscale plastic, could also have an impact on human health ([Van Cauwenberghe and Janssen, 2014](#page-10-0); [Wright and Kelly, 2017](#page-11-0)). Among all, ingestion of seafood or drinking water contaminated with plastic is the main source of plastic entrance in human body ([Lehner et al., 2019](#page-10-0)) [\(Figure 2\)](#page-7-0). Studies showed that nanoparticles derived from polystyrene plastic polymers could generate reactive oxygen species (ROS) in human liver cells and affect the epithelial cell function and physiological processes [\(Liu et al., 2018](#page-10-0); [McCarthy et al., 2011\)](#page-10-0). Human exposure to microplastics could be through the ingestion of seafood, sea salt, sugar, honey, and beer as their presence has been confirmed in these items [\(Cox et al., 2019](#page-9-0); [Kosuth](#page-9-0)  [et al., 2018;](#page-9-0) [Mason et al., 2018](#page-10-0)). Additives and plasticizers used during plastic manufacturing can leach out over time. Adsorption of these chemicals through skin can be harmful for humans as they are carcinogenic and mutagenic in nature [\(Lithner et al., 2011](#page-10-0)). However, a major issue when determining the risks of microplastics to human health is the limited in vitro and in vivo information about the capability of micro and nanoplastics to cross epithelial barriers of lungs and intestines ([Vethaak and Legler, 2021](#page-10-0)). Although some studies have shown that microplastics are capable of accessing all organs, and crossing cell membranes, major knowledge gaps still exist regarding their absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion [\(Yong et al., 2020\)](#page-11-0). Moreover, dose-dependent effects of microplastics in humans also remains unknown.

Similarly, microplastics ingested by aquatic organisms and other wildlife results in toxicity Table 4 as plastics can sorb organic pollutants like organochlorine pesticides, PAHs, PCBs, PBDEs, dioxins, and metals as well as pharmaceuticals, endocrine disrupting chemicals and personal care products (PPCPs) ([Besseling et al., 2013; Cole et al., 2013](#page-9-0); [do Sul](#page-9-0)  [and Costa, 2014](#page-9-0); [Mato et al., 2001](#page-10-0); [Rochman et al., 2013](#page-10-0); [Von Moos](#page-10-0)  [et al., 2012\)](#page-10-0). Microplastics in marine environment also influence the feeding, growth, spawning, and existence of aquatic organisms. However, these effects of varies based on their size, concentration, exposure time etc. Moreover, majority of the current ecotoxicological studies of small size degraded plastics have been conducted on marine (77%) as opposed to freshwater (23%) organisms. This is of concern since freshwater organisms are directly affected by stormwater runoff, wastewater and other discharges which contains more plastics.

## **6. Conclusions and outlook**

As the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated the plastic pollution problem, people should be aware of the future consequences of their plastic usage and disposal. Based on our summarized data and hypothetical calculations, enormous amount of plastic has been generated globally due to the COVID-19 pandemic. Mismanagement of this plastic waste handling and illegal dumping during the pandemic is going to result in both short and long-term effects on biological, ecological, and human health. Accumulation of plastic waste is not only destroying the marine and terrestrial environment now, rather it will degrade in small size micro and nanoscale plastics in future. These micro and nanoscale plastics have the potential to cause even more irreparable harm to humans and environment. There is a need for innovative technologies in plastic waste management to deal with this plastic overload as the current technologies are being overwhelmed. Hence, it is important to impose regulations on plastic usage, and educate people on plastic waste reduce, reuse, recycling, and management. Future work should be directed towards contingency plans for future plastic pollution and plastic waste management in critical situations. Moreover, future research should be focused on the fate and transport of micro and nanoscale plastics as current disposed plastic eventually breaks down to micro and nanoscale plastic. However, the size, shape, and types of plastics play a vital role in their fate in the environment, which makes them extremely dynamic for research purpose. Few studies have been conducted on the fate and transport behavior of the micro and nanoscale plastics. Yet, further research needs to be done to better understand the effect of plastic sizes and their surface properties in their fate and transport behavior. It can be predicted that aggregation in the environment would be a dominating mechanism governing their behavior and provide insight into how they may be transported in the environment or remove in the treatment plants. By doing so, the long term impacts of the global plastic pollution could be managed in a more systematic way.

# **Declaration of Competing Interests**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## **Acknowledgments**

This research was supported by Southern Illinois University, Carbondale new faculty startup funds.

# **Supplementary materials**

Supplementary material associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at [doi:10.1016/j.envadv.2021.100119.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envadv.2021.100119)

## **References**

- Adyel, T.M., 2020. Accumulation of plastic waste during COVID-19. Science (80-.) 369, 1315. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd9925>, 1314 LP–https://doi.org/.
- [Alabi, O.A., Ologbonjaye, K.I., Awosolu, O., Alalade, O.E., 2019. Public and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0002) [environmental health effects of plastic wastes disposal: a review. J Toxicol Risk](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0002)  [Assess 5, 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0002)–13.
- Ambiente, A.P., 2020. Gestão de resíduos em situação de pandemia por SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) [Waste management in a pandemic by SARS-VoV-2 (COVID-19] [WWW Document].
- Ammendolia, J., Saturno, J., Brooks, A.L., Jacobs, S., Jambeck, J.R., 2021. An emerging source of plastic pollution: Environmental presence of plastic personal protective

#### <span id="page-9-0"></span>*M. Shams et al.*

equipment (PPE) debris related to COVID-19 in a metropolitan city. Environ. Pollut. 269, 116160 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2020.116160>https://doi.org/ https://doi.org/.

Asia, N.G.O.O., 2020. No shortage of surgical masks at the beach.

Avee Mittal, 2021. The Changing Face of Waste Management in the COVID Era. Environ. Prot.

- Azoulay, David, Priscilla Villa, Y., Arellano, M.G., Doun Moon, K.M. and, Thompson, K., 2019. Plastic & Health: The Hidden Costs of a Plastic Planet.
- Azoulay, D., Villa, P., Arellano, Y., Gordon, M.F., Moon, D., Miller, K.A., Thompson, K., 2019. Plastic & health: the hidden costs of a plastic planet. CIEL.

[Barndollar, H., 2021. The COVID pandemic has produced a](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0009) "staggering" amount of [waste. Where is it all going?.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0009)

- Batterman, S., World Health Organization. Water, S. and H.T., 2004. Findings on an assessment of small-scale incinerators for health-care waste /S. Batterman.
- Bengali, S., 2020. The COVID-19 pandemic is unleashing a tidal wave of plastic waste. Los Angeles Times.
- Benson, N.U., Bassey, D.E., Palanisami, T., 2021a. COVID pollution: impact of COVID-19 pandemic on global plastic waste footprint. Heliyon 7, e06343. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06343) [10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06343](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06343) https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- Benson, N.U., Fred-Ahmadu, O.H., Bassey, D.E., Atayero, A.A., 2021b. COVID-19 pandemic and emerging plastic-based personal protective equipment waste pollution and management in Africa. J. Environ. Chem. Eng. 9, 105222 [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105222)  [10.1016/j.jece.2021.105222](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jece.2021.105222) https://doi.org/.
- [Besseling, E., Wegner, A., Foekema, E.M., Van Den Heuvel-Greve, M.J., Koelmans, A.A.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0014)  [2013. Effects of microplastic on fitness and PCB bioaccumulation by the lugworm](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0014) [Arenicola marina \(L.\). Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 593](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0014)–600.
- Bhowmick, S., 2020. Covid-19: Indian healthcare workers need adequate PPE. thebmjopinion.
- BOMEY, N., 2020. Plastic bags, forks and containers are everywhere during the pandemic, increasing pollution. USA Today.
- Bondaroff, T.P., Cooke, S., 2020. The impact of Covid-19 on marine plastic pollution. Ocean Asia.
- [Bouwmeester, H., Hollman, P.C.H., Peters, R.J.B., 2015. Potential health impact of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0018)  [environmentally released micro-and nanoplastics in the human food production](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0018) [chain: experiences from nanotoxicology. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 8932](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0018)–8947.
- Boyle, K., Örmeci, B., 2020. Microplastics and Nanoplastics in the Freshwater and [Terrestrial Environment: A. Review. Water 12, 2633.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0019)
- Bråte, I.L.N., Huwer, B., Thomas, K. V, Eidsvoll, D.P., Halsband, C., Almroth, B.C., Lusher, A., 2017. Micro-and macro-plastics in marine species from Nordic waters. Nordic Council of Ministers.
- Carr, S.A., Liu, J., Tesoro, A.G., 2016. Transport and fate of microplastic particles in wastewater treatment plants. Water Res 91, 174–182. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.01.002)  [watres.2016.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2016.01.002) https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- Cesaro, A., Pirozzi, F., 2020. About the effects of Covid-19 on solid waste management. TeMA-J. L. Use, Mobil. Environ. 59–66.
- Chaib, F., 2020. Shortage of personal protective equipment endangering health workers worldwide" (WHO, 2020).
- [Chang, X., Xue, Y., Li, J., Zou, L., Tang, M., 2020. Potential health impact of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0024) [environmental micro-and nanoplastics pollution. J. Appl. Toxicol. 40, 4](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0024)–15.
- Chartier, Y., 2014. Safe management of wastes from health-care activities. World Health Organization.
- [Chidepatil, A., Bindra, P., Kulkarni, D., Qazi, M., Kshirsagar, M., Sankaran, K., 2020.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0026)  [From trash to cash: how blockchain and multi-sensor-driven artificial intelligence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0026) [can transform circular economy of plastic waste? Adm. Sci. 10, 23.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0026)
- [Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Fileman, E., Halsband, C., Galloway, T.S., 2015. The impact of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0027)  [polystyrene microplastics on feeding, function and fecundity in the marine copepod](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0027)  [Calanus helgolandicus. Environ. Sci. Technol. 49, 1130](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0027)–1137.
- [Cole, M., Lindeque, P., Fileman, E., Halsband, C., Goodhead, R., Moger, J., Galloway, T.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0028)  [S., 2013. Microplastic ingestion by zooplankton. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0028) [6646](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0028)–6655.
- [Corburn, J., Vlahov, D., Mberu, B., Riley, L., Caiaffa, W.T., Rashid, S.F., Ko, A., Patel, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0029)  [Jukur, S., Martínez-Herrera, E., 2020. Slum health: arresting COVID-19 and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0029)  [improving well-being in urban informal settlements. J. urban Heal. 97, 348](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0029)–357.

Coronavirus (COVID-19) Guideline [WWW Document], 2020. Niger. Cent. Dis. Control. Corradini, F., Meza, P., Eguiluz, R., Casado, F., Huerta-Lwanga, E., Geissen, V., 2019. Evidence of microplastic accumulation in agricultural soils from sewage sludge

disposal. Sci. Total Environ. 671, 411–420. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.368)  [scitotenv.2019.03.368](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.03.368) https://doi.org/https://doi.org/. COVID-19: A waste management roadblock [WWW Document], 2020. Retail Counc.

- Canada. Cox, K.D., Covernton, G.A., Davies, H.L., Dower, J.F., Juanes, F., Dudas, S.E., 2019.
- Human Consumption of Microplastics. Environ. Sci. Technol. 53, 7068–7074. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.9b01517>https://doi.org/.
- Cutler, S., 2020. Mounting medical waste from COVID-19 emphasizes the need for a sustainable waste management strategy. Online blog.
- [Eds APT da Costa, J.P., 2017. Microplastics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0035)–occurrence, fate and behaviour in the [environment. In: Rocha-Santos, AC Duarte \(Ed.\), Comprehensive analytical](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0035) [chemistry, pp. 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0035)–24. Eds APT.
- da Costa, J.P., Santos, P.S.M., Duarte, A.C., Rocha-Santos, T., 2016. (Nano)plastics in the environment – Sources, fates and effects. Sci. Total Environ. 566–567, 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2016.05.041> https://doi.org/https://doi.org/. [Danopoulos, E., Twiddy, M., Rotchell, J.M., 2020. Microplastic contamination of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0037)
- [drinking water: A systematic review. PLoS One 15, e0236838](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0037). [Dantas, D.V, Barletta, M., Da Costa, M.F., 2012. The seasonal and spatial patterns of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0038)
- [ingestion of polyfilament nylon fragments by estuarine drums \(Sciaenidae\). Environ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0038)  [Sci. Pollut. Res. 19, 600](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0038)–606.
- [do Sul, J.A.I., Costa, M.F., 2014. The present and future of microplastic pollution in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0039)  [marine environment. Environ. Pollut. 185, 352](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0039)–364.
- [Duer, J., 2020. The plastic pandemic is only getting worse during COVID-19. World](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0040) [Econ. Forum.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0040)
- Eerkes-Medrano, D., Leslie, H.A., Quinn, B., 2019. Microplastics in drinking water: A review and assessment. Curr. Opin. Environ. Sci. Heal. 7, 69–75. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2018.12.001)  [10.1016/j.coesh.2018.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coesh.2018.12.001) https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- [Eerkes-Medrano, D., Thompson, R.C., Aldridge, D.C., 2015. Microplastics in freshwater](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0042)  [systems: a review of the emerging threats, identification of knowledge gaps and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0042)  [prioritisation of research needs. Water Res 75, 63](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0042)–82.
- [EPA, 2020. Advancing Sustainable Materials Management: 2018. Fact Sheet Assessing](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0043)  [Trends in Material Generation and Management in the United States](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0043).
- [Eriksen, M., Mason, S., Wilson, S., Box, C., Zellers, A., Edwards, W., Farley, H., Amato, S.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0044)  [2013. Microplastic pollution in the surface waters of the Laurentian Great Lakes.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0044) [Mar. Pollut. Bull. 77, 177](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0044)–182.

ESDO, 2020. ESDO's Online Press Briefing on COVID-19 Pandemic Outbreak 14,500 Tons of Hazardous Plastic Waste in a Month. Enivironment Soc. Dev. Organ.

- EuropeanCommission, 2019. Environmental and Health Risks of Microplastic Pollution. [Fadare, O.O., Okoffo, E.D., 2020. Covid-19 face masks: A potential source of microplastic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0048)  [fibers in the environment. Sci. Total Environ. 737, 140279](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0048).
- [Fendall, L.S., Sewell, M.A., 2009. Contributing to marine pollution by washing your face:](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0049)  [microplastics in facial cleansers. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 58, 1225](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0049)–1228.
- Ford, D., 2020. COVID-19 Has Worsened the Ocean Plastic Pollution Problem. Sci. Am.
- Geyer, R., Jambeck, J.R., Law, K.L., 2017. Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. Sci. Adv. 3, e1700782 <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782> https://doi.
- org/. [GIBBENS, S., 2019. Can medical care exist without plastic? Natl. Geogr. Mag.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0052)
- [Gigault, J., Pedrono, B., Maxit, B., Ter Halle, A., 2016. Marine plastic litter: the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0053)
- [unanalyzed nano-fraction. Environ. Sci. nano 3, 346](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0053)–350.
- [Guide to waste management from the health and social services network, 2017. Gouv. du](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0054)  Ouébec [WWW Document].
- Guidelines for Handling, Treatment and Disposal of Waste Generated during Treatment/ Diagnosis/Quarantine of COVID-19 Patients [WWW Document], 2020. Cent. Pollut. Control Board; India.
- Hämer, J., Gutow, L., Köhler, A., Saborowski, R., 2014. Fate of microplastics in the [marine isopod Idotea emarginata. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 13451](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0056)–13458.
- Haque, M.S., Sharif, S., Masnoon, A., Rashid, E., 2021. SARS-CoV-2 pandemic-induced PPE and single-use plastic waste generation scenario. Waste Manag. Res. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X20980828)  [org/10.1177/0734242X20980828](https://doi.org/10.1177/0734242X20980828) https://doi.org/.
- He, D., Luo, Y., Lu, S., Liu, M., Song, Y., Lei, L., 2018. Microplastics in soils: Analytical methods, pollution characteristics and ecological risks. TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 109, 163–172. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trac.2018.10.006>https://doi.org/https:// doi.org/.
- Hernandez, M., 2020. COVID pandemic generating dramatic spike in plastic waste in US. abc news.
- Horton, A.A., Walton, A., Spurgeon, D.J., Lahive, E., Svendsen, C., 2017. Microplastics in freshwater and terrestrial environments: Evaluating the current understanding to identify the knowledge gaps and future research priorities. Sci. Total Environ. 586, 127–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.190>https://doi.org/https:// doi.org/.
- Jakubowicz, I., 2003a. Evaluation of degradability of biodegradable polyethylene (PE). Polym. Degrad. Stab. 80, 39–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910\(02\)00380-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910(02)00380-4)  https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- Jakubowicz, I., 2003b. Evaluation of degradability of biodegradable polyethylene (PE). Polym. Degrad. Stab. 80, 39–43. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910\(02\)00380-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0141-3910(02)00380-4)
- https://doi.org/https://doi.org/. [Jribi, S., Ismail, H.Ben, Doggui, D., Debbabi, H., 2020. COVID-19 virus outbreak](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0063)  [lockdown: What impacts on household food wastage? Environ. Dev. Sustain. 22,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0063) [3939](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0063)–3955.
- [Kahlert, S., Bening, C.R., 2020. Plastics recycling after the global pandemic: resurgence](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0064)  [or regression? Resour. Conserv. Recycl. 160, 104948.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0064)
- [Kaposi, K.L., Mos, B., Kelaher, B.P., Dworjanyn, S.A., 2014. Ingestion of microplastic has](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0065)  [limited impact on a marine larva. Environ. Sci. Technol. 48, 1638](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0065)–1645.
- Klemeš, J.J., Van Fan, Y., Tan, R.R., Jiang, P., 2020. Minimising the present and future [plastic waste, energy and environmental footprints related to COVID-19. Renew.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0066) [Sustain. Energy Rev. 127, 109883](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0066).
- [Kojima, M., Iwasaki, F., Johannes, H.P., Edita, E.P., 2020. Strengthening Waste](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0067)  [Management Policies to Mitigate the COVID-19 Pandemic.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0067)
- [Kosuth, M., Mason, S.A., Wattenberg, E.V, 2018. Anthropogenic contamination of tap](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0068)  [water, beer, and sea salt. PLoS One 13, e0194970.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0068)
- Kühn, S., van Franeker, J.A., O'[donoghue, A.M., Swiers, A., Starkenburg, M., van](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0069)  [Werven, B., Foekema, E., Hermsen, E., Egelkraut-Holtus, M., Lindeboom, H., 2020.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0069) [Details of plastic ingestion and fibre contamination in North Sea fishes. Environ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0069)  [Pollut. 257, 113569](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0069).
- [Lambert, S., Sinclair, C., Boxall, A., 2014. Occurrence, degradation, and effect of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0070) [polymer-based materials in the environment. Rev. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 227,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0070)  1–[53.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0070)
- [Lambert, S., Wagner, M., 2016. Characterisation of nanoplastics during the degradation](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0071)  [of polystyrene. Chemosphere 145, 265](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0071)–268.
- [Strickler, Laura, Stephanie, Gosk, 2020. Trump admin is](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0072) "woefully behind" in stockpiling [medical gloves as Covid-19 surges. NBC News.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0072)
- [Law, K.L., Thompson, R.C., 2014. Microplastics in the seas. Science 345 \(80-\), 144](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0073)–145. [Lechner, A., Ramler, D., 2015. The discharge of certain amounts of industrial](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0074)
- [microplastic from a production plant into the River Danube is permitted by the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0074) [Austrian legislation. Environ. Pollut. 200, 159](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0074)–160.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>[Lehner, R., Weder, C., Petri-Fink, A., Rothen-Rutishauser, B., 2019. Emergence of](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0075) [nanoplastic in the environment and possible impact on human health. Environ. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0075)  [Technol. 53, 1748](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0075)–1765.
- [Leslie, H.A., Van Velzen, M.J.M., Vethaak, A.D., 2013. Microplastic survey of the Dutch](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0076)  [environment. Nov. data set microplastics North Sea sediments. Treat. wastewater](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0076) [effluents Mar. biota, Netherlands 1](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0076)–30.
- [Lewinski, N., Colvin, V., Drezek, R., 2008. Cytotoxicity of nanoparticles. small 4, 26](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0077)–49. Lithner, D., Larsson, A., Dave, G., 2011. Environmental and health hazard ranking and
- assessment of plastic polymers based on chemical composition. Sci. Total Environ. 409, 3309–3324.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2011.04.038>https://doi.org/. [Liu, X., Tian, X., Xu, X., Lu, J., 2018. Design of a phosphinate-based bioluminescent](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0079)
- [probe for superoxide radical anion imaging in living cells. Luminescence 33,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0079) [1101](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0079)–1106.
- LOPEZ, C.T., 2021. Domestic N95 Mask Production Expected to Exceed 1 Billion in 2021. U.S. DEPT Def.
- Magnusson, K., Norén, F., 2014. Screening of microplastic particles in and down-stream a wastewater treatment plant.
- [Managing infectious waste during the COVID-19 pandemic, 2020. Asian Dev. Bank](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0082) [\[WWW Document\].](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0082)
- Mary Kosuth, E.V.W., Sherri A. Mason, Christopher Tyree, D.M., 2017. Synthetic Polymer Contamination In Global Drinking Water.
- [Mashood, I., Rathnayake, R., Dissanayake, G.S., Amarasena, W., Weerasinghe, W.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0084)  [Arnold, R.F., Liyanapathirana, A., 2020. Preparedness and response for community](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0084)  [transmission of COVID-19 in Sri Lanka. J. Coll. Commun. Phys. Sri Lanka 26.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0084)
- Mason, S.A., Kammin, L., Eriksen, M., Aleid, G., Wilson, S., Box, C., Williamson, N., Riley, A., 2016. Pelagic plastic pollution within the surface waters of Lake Michigan. USA. J. Great Lakes Res. 42, 753–759.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jglr.2016.05.009> https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- Mason, S.A., Welch, V.G., Neratko, J., 2018. Synthetic Polymer Contamination in Bottled Water. Front. Chem..
- [Mato, Y., Isobe, T., Takada, H., Kanehiro, H., Ohtake, C., Kaminuma, T., 2001. Plastic](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0087) [resin pellets as a transport medium for toxic chemicals in the marine environment.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0087)  [Environ. Sci. Technol. 35, 318](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0087)–324.
- [McCarthy, J., Gong, X., Nahirney, D., Duszyk, M., Radomski, M.W., 2011. Polystyrene](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0088)  [nanoparticles activate ion transport in human airway epithelial cells. Int. J.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0088) [Nanomedicine 6, 1343](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0088).
- Municipal Waste Management and COVID-19 [WWW Document], n.d. Assoc. cities Reg. Sustain. Resour. Manag. Manag.

[Murphy, F., Ewins, C., Carbonnier, F., Quinn, B., 2016. Wastewater treatment works](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0090)  [\(WwTW\) as a source of microplastics in the aquatic environment. Environ. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0090) [Technol. 50, 5800](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0090)–5808.

- Naik, R.A., Rowles, L.S., Hossain, A.I., Yen, M., Aldossary, R.M., Apul, O.G., Conkle, J., Saleh, N.B., 2020. Microplastic particle versus fiber generation during phototransformation in simulated seawater. Sci. Total Environ. 736, 139690 [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139690) [org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139690](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.139690) https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- Ng, E.-L., Huerta Lwanga, E., Eldridge, S.M., Johnston, P., Hu, H.-W., Geissen, V., Chen, D., 2018. An overview of microplastic and nanoplastic pollution in agroecosystems. Sci. Total Environ. 627, 1377–1388. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.341) [scitotenv.2018.01.341](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.01.341) https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- [Nizzetto, L., Futter, M., Langaas, S., 2016a. Are agricultural soils dumps for microplastics](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0093)  [of urban origin?.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0093)
- [Nizzetto, L., Langaas, S., Futter, M., 2016b. Pollution: do microplastics spill on to farm](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0094)  [soils? Nature 537, 488](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0094).
- Organization, W.H., 2020. Water, sanitation, hygiene, and waste management for the COVID-19 virus: interim guidance, 23 April 2020. World Health Organization.
- Overstreet, S., 2018. I. NFOGRAPHIC: 10 Things to Know About Medical Waste Compliance [WWW Document]. SHARP compliance. Inc. URL. [https://blog.sharps](https://blog.sharpsinc.com/10-things-to-know-about-medical-waste-compliance) [inc.com/10-things-to-know-about-medical-waste-compliance](https://blog.sharpsinc.com/10-things-to-know-about-medical-waste-compliance).

Pan Demetrakakes, 2020. COVID Drives Up Single-Use Plastic.

- Pandit, A., 2020. Covid-19: India likely to produce 2 crore PPE by June-end. Times of India.
- Panno, S.V, Kelly, W.R., Scott, J., Zheng, W., McNeish, R.E., Holm, N., Hoellein, T.J., 2019. Microplastic Contamination in Karst Groundwater Systems. Groundwater. Baranski, E.L., 57, 189–196. <https://doi.org/10.1111/gwat.12862> https://doi.org/ https://doi.org/.
- Parashar, N., Hait, S., 2021. Plastics in the time of COVID-19 pandemic: Protector or polluter? Sci. Total Environ. 759, 144274 [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144274)  [scitotenv.2020.144274](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.144274) https://doi.org/.
- [Paul, M.B., Stock, V., Cara-Carmona, J., Lisicki, E., Shopova, S., Fessard, V.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0102) Braeuning, A., Sieg, H., Böhmert, L., 2020. Micro-and nanoplastics-current state of [knowledge with the focus on oral uptake and toxicity. Nanoscale Adv 2, 4350](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0102)–4367.
- Phuong, N.N., Zalouk-Vergnoux, A., Poirier, L., Kamari, A., Châtel, A., Mouneyrac, C., Lagarde, F., 2016a. Is there any consistency between the microplastics found in the field and those used in laboratory experiments? Environ. Pollut. 211, 111–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.12.035>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- Phuong, N.N., Zalouk-Vergnoux, A., Poirier, L., Kamari, A., Châtel, A., Mouneyrac, C., Lagarde, F., 2016b. Is there any consistency between the microplastics found in the field and those used in laboratory experiments? Environ. Pollut. 211, 111–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2015.12.035>https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.

PlascticsEurope, 2019. Plastics-The fact 2019: An analysis of European plastics production, demand and waste data.

- [Prata, J.C., Silva, A.L.P., Walker, T.R., Duarte, A.C., Rocha-Santos, T., 2020. COVID-19](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0106)  [pandemic repercussions on the use and management of plastics. Environ. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0106)  [Technol. 54, 7760](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0106)–7765.
- [Prime Indicazioni Genarali Per La Gestione Rifiuti](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0107)  Emergenza Covid-19 [WWW [Document\]. Natl. Syst. Environ. Prot. Italy., 2020](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0107)
- [Ragazzi, M., Rada, E.C., Schiavon, M., 2020. Municipal solid waste management during](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0108)  [the SARS-COV-2 outbreak and lockdown ease: Lessons from Italy. Sci. Total Environ.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0108)  [745, 141159.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0108)
- Reportlinker, 2020. COVID-19 Impact on Packaging Market by Material Type, Application And Region - Global Forecast to 2021. Bus. Insid.
- [Rhee, S.-W., 2020. Management of used personal protective equipment and wastes](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0110)  [related to COVID-19 in South Korea. Waste Manag. Res. 38, 820](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0110)–824.
- Rochman, C.M., Kross, S.M., Armstrong, J.B., Bogan, M.T., Darling, E.S., Green, S.J., Smyth, A.R., Veríssimo, D., 2015. Scientific evidence supports a ban on microbeads.
- [Rochman, C.M., Manzano, C., Hentschel, B.T., Simonich, S.L.M., Hoh, E., 2013.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0112) [Polystyrene plastic: a source and sink for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0112)  [marine environment. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 13976](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0112)–13984.
- [Sanchez, W., Bender, C., Porcher, J.-M., 2014. Wild gudgeons \(Gobio gobio\) from French](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0113)  [rivers are contaminated by microplastics: preliminary study and first evidence.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0113)  [Environ. Res. 128, 98](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0113)–100.
- [Sangkham, S., 2020. Face mask and medical waste disposal during the novel COVID-19](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0114)  [pandemic in Asia. Case Stud. Chem. Environ. Eng. 2, 100052](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0114).
- [Schnurr, R.E.J., Alboiu, V., Chaudhary, M., Corbett, R.A., Quanz, M.E., Sankar, K.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0115) [Srain, H.S., Thavarajah, V., Xanthos, D., Walker, T.R., 2018. Reducing marine](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0115) [pollution from single-use plastics \(SUPs\): A review. Mar. Pollut. Bull. 137, 157](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0115)–171.
- Shammi, M., Tareq, S.M., 2021. Environmental Catastrophe of COVID-19: Disposal and Management of PPE in Bangladesh. Glob. Soc. Welf. 8, 133–136. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40609-020-00195-z) [10.1007/s40609-020-00195-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s40609-020-00195-z) https://doi.org/.
- [Sharma, H.B., Vanapalli, K.R., Cheela, V.R.S., Ranjan, V.P., Jaglan, A.K., Dubey, B.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0117) [Goel, S., Bhattacharya, J., 2020. Challenges, opportunities, and innovations for](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0117) [effective solid waste management during and post COVID-19 pandemic. Resour.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0117) [Conserv. Recycl. 162, 105052.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0117)
- [Silva, A.L.P., Prata, J.C., Walker, T.R., Campos, D., Duarte, A.C., Soares, A.M.V.M.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0118) Barcelò, [D., Rocha-Santos, T., 2020. Rethinking and optimising plastic waste](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0118) [management under COVID-19 pandemic: Policy solutions based on redesign and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0118) [reduction of single-use plastics and personal protective equipment. Sci. Total](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0118) [Environ. 742, 140565.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0118)
- Silva, A.L.P., Prata, J.C., Walker, T.R., Duarte, A.C., Ouyang, W., Barcelò, D., Rocha-Santos, T., 2021. Increased plastic pollution due to COVID-19 pandemic: Challenges and recommendations. Chem. Eng. J. 405, 126683 [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126683)  [cej.2020.126683](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2020.126683) https://doi.org/.
- Silva, C., Patricio Silva, A.L., Campos, D., Machado, A.L., Pestana, J., Gravato, C., 2021. Oxidative damage and decreased aerobic energy production due to ingestion of polyethylene microplastics by Chironomus riparius (Diptera) larvae. J. Hazard. Mater. 402, 123775<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2020.123775>https://doi. org/.
- Silva, C., Patricio Silva, A.L., Gravato, C., Pestana, J., 2019. Ingestion of small-sized and irregularly shaped polyethylene microplastics affect Chironomus riparius life-history traits. Sci. Total Environ. 672 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2019.04.017> https://doi.org/.
- Silva, C.J.M., Beleza, S., Campos, D., Soares, A.M.V.M., Patrício Silva, A.L., Pestana, J.L. T., Gravato, C., 2021. Immune response triggered by the ingestion of polyethylene microplastics in the dipteran larvae Chironomus riparius. J. Hazard. Mater. 414, 125401 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125401>https://doi.org/https:// doi.org/.

Simachaya, W., 2020. Solid Waste During COVID-19 [WWW Document].

Stankiewicz, K., 2020. 3M is making more N95 masks than ever as global Covid cases rise, CEO says. CNBC.

Statics Canada, 2021. Personal protective equipment demand and supply. Strategy guidance: Solid waste management response to COVID-19 [WWW Document],

- 2020. United Nations Hum. Settlements Program.
- [Syberg, K., Khan, F.R., Selck, H., Palmqvist, A., Banta, G.T., Daley, J., Sano, L.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0127)  [Duhaime, M.B., 2015. Microplastics: addressing ecological risk through lessons](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0127)  [learned. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 34, 945](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0127)–953.
- Tang, W., 2020. The medical waste related to COVID-2019 is cleaned up every day—the medical waste treatment market needs to be standardised.

Tiseo, I., 2021. Global plastic production 1950-2019.

United States Environmental Protection Agency, 2019. Documentation for the Waste Reduction Model (WARM).

Urban, R.C., Nakada, L.Y.K., 2021. COVID-19 pandemic: Solid waste and environmental impacts in Brazil. Sci. Total Environ. 755, 142471 [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142471) [scitotenv.2020.142471](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.142471) https://doi.org/.

[Usubharatana, P., Phungrassami, H., 2018. Carbon footprints of rubber products supply](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0132)  [chains \(fresh latex to rubber glove\). Appl. Ecol. Environ. Res. 16, 1639](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0132)–1657.

[Van Cauwenberghe, L., Janssen, C.R., 2014. Microplastics in bivalves cultured for human](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0133)  [consumption. Environ. Pollut. 193, 65](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0133)–70.

- Vethaak, A.D., Legler, J., 2021. Microplastics and human health. Science (80-.) 371 (672), LP–674. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abe5041> https://doi.org/.
- Von Moos, N., Burkhardt-Holm, P., Köhler, A., 2012. Uptake and effects of microplastics [on cells and tissue of the blue mussel Mytilus edulis L. after an experimental](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0135)  [exposure. Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 11327](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0135)–11335.
- [Wagner, T.P., 2017. Reducing single-use plastic shopping bags in the USA. Waste Manag](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0136)  [70, 3](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0136)–12.
- [Wang, D., Sun, B.-C., Wang, J.-X., Zhou, Y.-Y., Chen, Z.-W., Fang, Y., Yue, W.-H., Liu, S.-](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0137)  [M., Liu, K.-Y., Zeng, X.-F., 2020. Can masks be reused after hot water](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0137)  [decontamination during the COVID-19 pandemic? Engineering 6, 1115](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0137)–1121.
- [Waste Management Prepared for the Epidemic Caused by the Coronavirus \[WWW](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0138)  [Document\]. Minist. Soc. Aff. Heal. Finl., 2020](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0138)
- [Way, C., 2020. Healthcare is still hooked on single-use plastic PPE, but there are more](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0139)  [sustainable options. Conversat.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0139)
- WHO, 2020a, 2020. Coronavirus disease (COVID-19) Situation Report 174.

#### <span id="page-11-0"></span>*M. Shams et al.*

- [Wright, S.L., Kelly, F.J., 2017. Plastic and human health: a micro issue? Environ. Sci.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0141) [Technol. 51, 6634](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0141)–6647.
- [Xanthos, D., Walker, T.R., 2017. International policies to reduce plastic marine pollution](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0142)  [from single-use plastics \(plastic bags and microbeads\): a review. Mar. Pollut. Bull.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0142) [118, 17](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0142)–26.
- [Yong, C.Q.Y., Valiyaveetill, S., Tang, B.L., 2020. Toxicity of microplastics and](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0143)  [nanoplastics in mammalian systems. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 17, 1509](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0143).
- [Yousefi, N., Tufenkji, N., 2016. Probing the interaction between nanoparticles and lipid](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0144)  [membranes by quartz crystal microbalance with dissipation monitoring. Front.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0144) [Chem. 4, 46](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0144).
- Yu, H., Sun, X., Solvang, W.D., Zhao, X., 2020. Reverse Logistics Network Design for Effective Management of Medical Waste in Epidemic Outbreaks: Insights from the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) Outbreak in Wuhan (China). Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Heal. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17051770> https://doi.org/.
- Zhang, E.J., Aitchison, L.P., Phillips, N., Shaban, R.Z., Kam, A.W., 2021. Protecting the environment from plastic PPE. BMJ 372, n109.<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n109> https://doi.org/.
- Zhang, G.S., Liu, Y.F., 2018. The distribution of microplastics in soil aggregate fractions in southwestern China. Sci. Total Environ. 642, 12–20. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.004)  [scitotenv.2018.06.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.06.004) https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- Zhang, K., Hamidian, A.H., Tubić, A., Zhang, Y., Fang, J.K.H., Wu, C., Lam, P.K.S., 2021. Understanding plastic degradation and microplastic formation in the environment: A review. Environ. Pollut. 274, 116554 [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116554) [envpol.2021.116554](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envpol.2021.116554) https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.
- [Ziajahromi, S., Neale, P.A., Leusch, F.D.L., 2016. Wastewater treatment plant effluent as](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0149)  [a source of microplastics: review of the fate, chemical interactions and potential risks](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0149)  [to aquatic organisms. Water Sci. Technol. 74, 2253](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2666-7657(21)00090-9/sbref0149)–2269.
- Ziajahromi, S., Neale, P.A., Rintoul, L., Leusch, F.D.L., 2017. Wastewater treatment plants as a pathway for microplastics: Development of a new approach to sample wastewater-based microplastics. Water Res 112, 93–99. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.042)  [watres.2017.01.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.01.042) https://doi.org/https://doi.org/.