

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript *Biomol NMR Assign.* Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 October 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Biomol NMR Assign. 2021 October ; 15(2): 383-387. doi:10.1007/s12104-021-10034-7.

# $^{1}$ H<sup>N</sup>, $^{13}$ C, and $^{15}$ N backbone resonance assignments of the SET/ TAF-1 $\beta$ /I2PP2A oncoprotein (residues 23-225)

Braden M. Roth<sup>1</sup>, Ryan M. DePalma<sup>1</sup>, Mary E. Cook<sup>2</sup>, Kristen M. Varney<sup>2</sup>, David J. Weber<sup>2</sup>, Besim Ogretmen<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Medical University of South Carolina, Hollings Cancer Center, 86 Jonathan Lucas Street, Charleston, SC 29425, USA

<sup>2</sup>Center for Biomolecular Therapeutics (CBT), Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 108 N. Greene St., University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, Maryland 21201, USA

## Abstract

SET (TAF-1β/I2PP2A) is a ubiquitously expressed, multifunctional protein that plays a role in regulating diverse cellular processes, including cell cycle progression, migration, apoptosis, transcription, and DNA repair. SET expression is ubiquitous across all cell types. However, it is overexpressed or post-translationally modified in several solid tumors and blood cancers, where expression levels are correlated with worsening clinical outcomes. SET exerts its oncogenic effects primarily through the formation of antagonistic protein complexes with the tumor suppressor, protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), and the well-known metastasis suppressor, nm23-H1. PP2A inhibition is often observed as a secondary driver of tumorigenesis and metastasis in human cancers. Preclinical studies have shown that the pharmacological reactivation of PP2A combined with potent inhibitors of the primary driver oncogene produces synergistic cell death and decreased drug resistance. Therefore, the development of novel inhibitors of the SET-PP2A interaction presents an attractive approach to reactivation of PP2A, and thereby, tumor suppression. NMR provides a unique platform to investigate protein targets in their natively folded state to identify protein and small-molecule ligands and report on the protein internal dynamics.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Availability of data and material

The datasets generated during and/or analyzed during the current study are available in the BioMagResBank (www.bmrb.wisc.edu) under accession number 50899.

Ethical standards

All experiments comply with the current laws of the United States of America.

Terms of use and reuse: academic research for non-commercial purposes, see here for full terms. https://www.springer.com/aam-terms-v1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Corresponding Author: Besim Ogretmen, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, Medical University of South Carolina, Hollings Cancer Center, 86 Jonathan Lucas Street, Charleston, SC 29425, USA, ogretmen@musc.edu. Authors ' contributions

All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Protein purification, data processing, and data analysis were performed by Braden M. Roth and Ryan M. DePalma. Data acquisition was performed by Mary E. Cook and Kristen M. Varney. David J. Weber and Besim Ogretmen provided funding for the project. The first draft of the manuscript was written by Braden M. Roth and all authors reviewed and edited previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

**Publisher's Disclaimer:** This Author Accepted Manuscript is a PDF file of an unedited peer-reviewed manuscript that has been accepted for publication but has not been copyedited or corrected. The official version of record that is published in the journal is kept up to date and so may therefore differ from this version.

The backbone 1HN, 13C, and 15N NMR resonance assignments were completed for the 204 amino acid nucleosome assembly protein-1 (NAP-1) domain of the human SET oncoprotein (residues 23-225). These assignments provide a vital first step toward the development of novel PP2A reactivators via SET-selective inhibition.

#### Keywords

SET (Su(Var)3-9, Enhancer-of-zeste, Trithorax); TAF-1 $\beta$  (Template-Activating Factor-I ( $\beta$  subunit); I2PP2A (Inhibitor 2 of Protein Phosphatase 2A); NAP (Nucleosome Assembly Protein); Proto-oncogene

# **Biological context**

Protein SET (su(var)3-9, enhancer-of-zeste, trithorax), also known as I2PP2A (inhibitor 2 of protein phosphatase 2A), TAF-1B (template-activating factor-I (B subunit), and INHAT (inhibitor of histone acetyltransferases) is a 290 amino acid (~32 kDa) multifunctional protein involved in several cellular processes, including cell cycle progression, cell migration, apoptosis, transcription, and DNA repair (reviewed in Bayarkhangai et al. 2018). The first crystal structure of SET (PDB ID: 2E50) revealed a typical nucleosome assembly protein (NAP) core; a headphone-shaped homodimer with extended N-terminal helices that form the coiled-coil domain (23-79) and globular "earmuff" domains (80-225) which are essential for core histone and DNA binding (Muto et al. 2007). Not present in the crystal structure is an acidic C-terminal tail (226-277) that mediates the inhibition of histone acetyltransferase. SET was first discovered as a chimera fused with the nucleoporin NU214 (CAN) in an acute undifferentiated non-lymphocytic leukemia patient and has since been implicated in various cancer types, including solid tumors of the head and neck, breast, brain, kidney, testes, colon, liver, lung and prostate. SET overexpression is prevalent in chronic myeloid leukemia (CML), chronic leukocytic leukemia (CLL), acute myeloid leukemia (AML), and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma (NHL), where expression levels are correlated with poor outcomes (Bayarkhangai et al. 2018). It exerts its tumorigenic and metastatic effects by forming inhibitory complexes with key suppressors of cancer progression, pro-apoptotic protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), and the well-known tumor metastasis suppressor nm23-H1. PP2A is an endogenous regulator of cell death and cell division, acting as a counterbalance to tumorigenic pathways mediated by protein kinases. Inhibition of PP2A, whether by modification of its subunits or by direct interaction with antagonistic binding partners, is observed in non-neoplastic disease (Alzheimer's disease) as well as solid cancers and leukemias (reviewed in Perrotti and Neviani 2013). Therefore, pharmacological activation of PP2A is an attractive strategy for cancer prevention and treatment, either as a monotherapy or in combination with specific inhibitors of driver oncogenes. For example, synergistic cell death has been reported in preclinical studies in which SET antagonist-mediated PP2A reactivation is coupled with potent inhibitors of proliferative pathways (reviewed in Mazhar et al., 2019). These studies highlight the capacity for improved efficacy of front-line treatments for malignancies with elevated SET expression. To gain structural information that may facilitate our understanding of SET regulation, inhibition, and tumor-promoting interactions, we have initiated solution

NMR spectroscopy studies and report here the sequence-specific backbone chemical shift assignments of the SET oncoprotein NAP domain (residues 23-225).

#### Methods and experiments

#### Expression and purification of (<sup>2</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>15</sup>N)-SET<sup>NAP</sup>

We have generated a ~24 kDa truncated version of the human SET/TAF-1β/I2PP2A spanning residues 23-225 (hereafter referred to as SET<sup>NAP</sup>). The protein sequence was codon-optimized for E. coli expression and cloned into the pET28a (+) vector in frame with the Tobacco Etch Virus (TEV) protease recognition site ENLYFQG located directly upstream. The expression plasmid was transformed into Escherichia coli BL21(DE3), and a single colony was selected for growth in minimal media. For deuterated, doubly labeled samples, cells were adapted to growth in 99.9% D<sub>2</sub>O by successive passages into (<sup>15</sup>N, <sup>13</sup>C)-enriched M9 minimal media containing 90, 95, 99, and 99.9% D<sub>2</sub>O and utilizing  $^{15}$ NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (1.4 g/L) and  $^{13}$ C6-glucose (4.0 g/L) as the sole nitrogen and carbon sources, respectively. Cells were incubated at 37 °C and grown to an optical density of A595 = 0.8-1.0, the temperature was decreased to 18  $^{\circ}$ C, and protein expression was induced by the addition of 0.3 M IPTG overnight. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 10,500 x g for 20 min at 4 °C and resuspended in Lysis Buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Imidazole, 1mM PMSF, and 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific #A32963). Cells were lysed by sonication and pelleted at 15,000 x g for 30 min at 4 °C. The clarified lysate was applied to a 5 ml HisTrap FF column (Cytiva #17528601) pre-equilibrated with Binding/Wash Buffer (20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, 20 mM Imidazole), and protein was eluted in buffer containing 20 mM Tris pH 7.4, 500 mM NaCl, and 500 mM Imidazole. Fractions containing  $His_6$ -TEV-SET<sup>NAP</sup> were pooled (~ 30 ml), and the N-terminal His<sub>6</sub> tag was cleaved with the addition of 3 mg TEV protease. Simultaneously, the protein was back-exchanged against 4 L Binding Buffer by dialysis at 4 °C overnight. Cleaved SET<sup>NAP</sup> was applied to a pre-equilibrated 5 ml HisTrap HP column (Cytiva #17524801). The flow-through containing purified protein was collected, and the sample volume was reduced to ~18 ml using a centrifugal concentrator (Millipore Sigma #UFC9010). The purified sample was buffer exchanged and further purified by isocratic elution in NMR buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 µM EDTA, 3 mM NaN3) over a preparationscale size exclusion column (Cytiva #GE28-9893-34) and concentrated to ~1.5 mM using a centrifugal concentrator. Approximately 75 mg of >95% pure recombinant SET<sup>NAP</sup> was produced per liter of isotopically enriched M9 media.

#### NMR experiments

All NMR experiments were acquired at 310 K on a Bruker Avance III 950 MHz spectrometer equipped with a z-axis gradient cryogenic probe. A 2D [ $^{1}H^{-15}N$ ]–TROSY–HSQC, shown in Figure 1, was used as the root spectrum to assign backbone resonances via pairwise comparison of inter/intra-residue  $^{13}C\alpha$ ,  $^{13}C\beta$ , and  $^{13}C'$  chemical shifts. Even at relatively low sample concentrations (<200 µM), we observe protein dimerization in solution, confirmed by size exclusion chromatography (unpublished) and consistent with our crystal structure of the same construct (PDB ID: 7MTO). Therefore, to overcome

Roth et al.

signal-to-noise limitations observed with fully-protonated samples, we exploited TROSYbased pulse sequences to enhance sensitivity and resolution. Triple resonance trHNCO, trHN(CA)CO, trHNCA, trHN(CO)CA, trHNCACB and trHN(CO)CACB experiments were collected on a triply labeled 1.5 mM [<sup>2</sup>H,<sup>15</sup>N,<sup>13</sup>C]-SET<sup>NAP</sup> sample back-exchanged into 95% H<sub>2</sub>O/5% D<sub>2</sub>O NMR buffer (20 mM Tris pH 8.0, 50 µM EDTA, and 3 mM NaN<sub>3</sub>) at 37 °C. All 3D datasets were acquired by non-uniform sampling (NUS) of 10 % of the linear points using the default sinusoidal-weighted Poisson-gap scheduler included with Bruker's Topspin 3.2.6 software package. Reconstruction of sparse data was achieved with SMILE (Sparse Multidimensional Iterative Lineshape-Enhanced reconstruction; Ying et al. 2017) using default settings.  ${}^{15}N-{}^{1}H$  heteronuclear NOE experiments were acquired at 950 MHz by fully interleaving NOE and reference spectra and were recorded as a series of three experiments with relaxation delays of 3-, 4-, and 5-s to ensure the steady-state NOE was achieved. Data were processed using NMRPipe (Delaglio et al. 1995) and analyzed with CcpNmr Analysis v2.5.0 (Vranken et al. 2005). <sup>1</sup>H chemical shifts were referenced to internal trimethylsilyl propanoic acid (TSP), while <sup>15</sup>N and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts were indirectly calibrated. Talos+ (Shen et al. 2009) was used to generate secondary structure predictions based on experimentally derived H<sup>N</sup>, N, C', Cα, and Cβ chemical shifts (Fig. 2).

#### Assignments and data deposition

Backbone assignments were obtained for the human oncoprotein SET/TAF-1β/I2PP2A. The 204 amino acid-containing-construct described here contains a non-native TEV proteasegenerated residual glycine at position -1 followed by NAP domain residues 23-225 of human SET. Deleting the nuclear localization signal-containing N-terminal helix and the unstructured acidic tail was required to produce a stable, soluble protein with relatively equal peak heights and good peak dispersion. The 2D [1H-15N]-TROSY-HSQC spectrum of SET<sup>NAP</sup> is shown in Figure 1. Under the conditions used in this experiment, 100% (168/168) of observable <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>15</sup>N correlations were assigned unambiguously. Two residues are marked with a ('), D224' and M225', to denote the presence of a weak secondary conformation for the penultimate and ultimate amino acids. In total, 717 of 809 (89%) backbone resonances were assigned, including 84% <sup>1</sup>H<sup>N</sup> proton and <sup>15</sup>N amide resonances (336/398), 91% Ca. (185/204), 91% Cβ (179/197), and 91% C' (185/204) resonances. Twenty-six residues did not provide correlations in the  $[^{1}H^{-15}N]$ -TROSY-HSQC spectrum, most notably the fifteen amino acids spanning R169-H183. High-confidence Phyre2 modeling (Kelley et al. 2015) suggests that this region could adopt an out-and-back loop structure containing two five-residue antiparallel beta-strands seen in the crystal structure of a functionally similar yeast homolog, NAP-1 (PDB ID: 2AYU; Park and Luger 2006). However, our laboratories' crystallographic efforts were not able to provide the experimental data to support such a model. Other missing correlations include the first four N-terminal residues G-1, T23, S24, and E25, as well as E111, V112, E147, S162, G163, S197, and I212. Per-residue mapping against the Talos+-predicted secondary structure suggests that, except for E111 and V112, all are found in flexible loop regions where they are likely to experience solvent exchange or conformational averaging on an unfavorable timescale. Along with the N-terminal stretch and the R169-H183 loop, two residues (E111 and S162) failed to provide  $C\alpha$ ,  $C\beta$ , or C' correlations as well. The chemical shift assignments from

these experiments were all submitted to the BioMagResBank (www.bmrb.wisc.edu) under accession number 50899. The backbone Ca, C $\beta$ , and C' assignments presented here were used to calculate chemical shift deviations from the random coil and map the secondary structure. As shown in Figure 2, the predicted secondary structure of SET<sup>NAP</sup> contains six alpha-helices and four beta-strands, numbered in the context of full-length SET (a2:K26-A76, a3:F81-F86, a4:Q91-A94, a5:E99-H105, a6:F189-W192, a7:L204-K209,  $\beta$ 1:L107-E114,  $\beta$ 2:Y122-D129,  $\beta$ 3:V138-L145, and  $\beta$ 4:S153-K159). Heteronuclear NOE analysis reveals dynamic regions of the protein, and the secondary structure predictions provided by Ca, C $\beta$ , and C' chemical shifts are in agreement. In addition, the secondary structure architecture derived by solution NMR closely resembles that of the crystal structure, with only minor differences occurring at the margins of secondary structure elements. Such consistencies warrant confidence that these assignments are derived from a correctly folded protein construct suitable for NMR-based characterization of regulatory ligands as well as NMR-based screening for pharmacological inhibitors of the SET oncoprotein.

## Funding

This work is supported in part by shared instrumentation grants to the UMB NMR center from the National Institutes of Health [S10 RR10441, S10 RR15741, S10 RR16812, and S10 RR23447 (D.J.W.)] and the National Science Foundation (DBI 1005795 to D.J.W.). This work was also supported via the Center for Biomolecular Therapeutics (CBT) at the University of Maryland. This work was also supported by research funding from the National Institutes of Health (CA214461, DE016572, and P01 CA203628 to BO). The core facilities utilized are supported by NIH (C06 RR015455), Hollings Cancer Center Support Grant (P30 CA138313), or Center of Biomedical Research Excellence (Cobre) in Lipidomics and Pathobiology (P30 GM103339).

#### References

- Bayarkhangai B, Noureldin S, Yu L, et al. (2018) A comprehensive and perspective view of oncoprotein SET in cancer. Cancer Med. 7:3084–3094
- Delaglio F, Grzesiek S. Vuister GW, et al. (1995) NMRPipe: a multidimensional spectral processing system based on UNIX pipes. J Biomol NMR 6:277–293 [PubMed: 8520220]
- Farrow NA, Muhandiram R, Singer AU, et al. (1994) Backbone dynamics of a free and phosphopeptide-complexed Src homology 2 domain studied by 15N NMR relaxation. Biochemistry 33:5984–6003 [PubMed: 7514039]
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, et al. (2015) The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. Nat Protoc 10:845–858. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2015.053 [PubMed: 25950237]
- Mazhar S, Taylor SE, Sangodkar J, Narla G (2019) Targeting PP2A in cancer: Combination therapies. Biochim Biophys Acta - Mol Cell Res 1866:51–63. doi: 10.1016/J.BBAMCR.2018.08.020 [PubMed: 30401535]
- Muto S, Senda M, Akai Y, et al. (2007) Relationship between the structure of SET/TAF-Iβ/INHAT and its histone chaperone activity. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 104:4285–4290. doi: 10.1073/ pnas.0603762104 [PubMed: 17360516]
- Park Y-J, Luger K (2006) The structure of nucleosome assembly protein 1. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 103:1248–53. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0508002103 [PubMed: 16432217]
- Perrotti D, Neviani P (2013) Protein phosphatase 2A: A target for anticancer therapy. Lancet Oncol. 14:e229–38 [PubMed: 23639323]
- Shen Y, Delaglio F, Cornilescu G, Bax A (2009) TALOS+: a hybrid method for predicting protein backbone torsion angles from NMR chemical shifts. J Biomol NMR 44:213–223. doi: 10.1007/ s10858-009-9333-z [PubMed: 19548092]
- Vranken WF, Boucher W, Stevens TJ, et al. (2005) The CCPN data model for NMR spectroscopy: development of a software pipeline. Proteins 59:687–696. doi: 10.1002/prot.20449 [PubMed: 15815974]

Roth et al.

Ying J, Delaglio F, Torchia DA, Bax A (2017) Sparse multidimensional iterative lineshape-enhanced (SMILE) reconstruction of both non-uniformly sampled and conventional NMR data. J Biomol NMR 68:101–118. doi: 10.1007/s10858-016-0072-7 [PubMed: 27866371]



#### Fig. 1.

2D [ $^{1}H-^{15}N$ ]-TROSY-HSQC of residues 23-225 of the human proto-oncogene SET/TAF-1 $\beta$ / I2PP2A (SET<sup>NAP</sup>) recorded on a Bruker Avance III 950 MHz spectrometer at pH 8.0 and 310 K. An enlarged view of the most crowded region of the spectrum is shown in the upper-left corner. Backbone amide N-H correlations are labeled with the singleletter amino acid code and residue number of the mature native protein. The prime (') notation represents correlations in the C-terminus (residues 224 and 225) from a minor secondary conformation. An ambiguous tryptophan sidechain indole resonance is marked as We.



#### Fig. 2.

Characterization of the NAP domain (residues 23-225) of human SET/TAF-1 $\beta$ /I2PP2A based on NMR chemical shifts. **a.** Raw data depicting chemical shift deviations of Ca and C $\beta$  carbons with respect to random coil values ( $\delta(C\beta)$ -  $\delta(C\alpha)$ ) are plotted against residue numbers. Positive and negative values indicate  $\beta$ -strand and  $\alpha$ -helix characters, respectively. **b.** The per-residue probability of secondary structure formation as predicted by Talos+, with blue bars representing  $\alpha$ -helices and red bars indicating  $\beta$ -strands. Heteronuclear NOE relaxation parameters are shown as open diamonds. These data represent the average of three experiments collected with 3, 4, and 5-s delays acquired at 950 MHz, with error bars indicating one standard deviation above and below the average. **c.** NMR-based secondary structure predictions show good agreement with a high-resolution crystal structure of the same construct (boxes labeled  $\alpha$ 2-8 and  $\beta$ 1-4).