

High Baseline Viremia: An Achilles Heel for Integrase Inhibitor–Based Antiretroviral Therapy?

Suzanne M. McCluskey,^{1,2} and Vincent C. Marconi^{3,4}

¹Division of Infectious Diseases, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ²Harvard Medical School, Boston, Massachusetts, USA, ³Emory University School of Medicine, Atlanta, Georgia, USA, and ⁴Emory University Rollins School of Public Health, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

(See the Major Article by Pyngottu et al on pages e2134–41.)

Keywords. HIV-1; antiretroviral therapy; integrase strand transfer inhibitors; viral load; treatment failure.

Integrase strand transfer inhibitors (INSTIs) are considered a key advancement in our efforts to achieve global human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) viral suppression targets. Rates of pretreatment and acquired drug resistance to nonnucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NNRTIs) have risen dramatically over the past decade [1]. In fact, in 2019, the World Health Organization reported that two-thirds of surveyed countries had >10% prevalence of pretreatment drug resistance to NNRTIs, calling into question their use in first-line antiretroviral therapy (ART) [2]. In contrast, the late-generation INSTIs dolutegravir (DTG) and bictegravir (BIC) have a high genetic barrier to resistance, and as such, are now included as part of preferred first-line ART regimens worldwide [3, 4]. In addition, a fixed-dose combination of tenofovir disoproxil fumarate, lamivudine, and dolutegravir (TLD) was introduced in 2018 as

first-line therapy for both ART-naïve and ART-experienced patients. As millions of people with HIV are now receiving INSTI-containing ART, it is critical to understand the factors that may predict virologic failure on these regimens, even if it is a rare event.

In this issue of *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, Pyngottu et al present an insightful retrospective analysis of the Swiss HIV Cohort Study, which provided a comprehensive assessment of the virologic outcomes for 1472 ART-naïve adults who initiated ART with INSTI-containing regimens over a 12-year period from 2006 to 2018, prior to the introduction of BIC. This represents the first large observational study to describe the incidence and evaluate predictors of virologic failure on INSTI-based first-line regimens in a routine clinical setting. The majority of patients in the study (65%) were on DTG-containing ART. The authors evaluated predictors of both time to virologic failure and time to viral suppression. They importantly concluded that a pretreatment HIV-1 RNA viral load >100 000 copies/mL was associated with an increased risk of both virologic failure and longer time to viral suppression. In addition, imperfect adherence (missing at least 1 dose within the past month) and AIDS-defining events were associated with virologic failure, whereas a CD4 count >200 cells/ μ L was found to

be protective. Time to viral suppression was similarly influenced by pretreatment CD4, choice of INSTI (DTG being favorable), and financial independence. Notably, pretreatment minor integrase mutations and polymorphisms (present in 16% of the cohort) did not influence virologic outcomes.

We offer the following insights to consider when interpreting the study findings. First, data regarding single-tablet vs multiple-tablet regimens were not available to the investigators, which could also influence regimen efficacy due to differences in adherence and barrier to resistance. Currently, single-tablet regimens containing either DTG or BIC are more available globally than during the study period. In addition, the study defines virologic failure as either (1) confirmed viremia >50 copies/mL after at least 6 months on treatment; (2) a single episode of viremia >50 copies/mL after at least 6 months of treatment and followed by a regimen change off INSTIs; or (3) failure to achieve viral suppression within 6 months. Among 121 episodes of virologic failure in the study (<10%), only 23 occurred with HIV-1 RNA viral loads >1000 copies/mL. The majority of virologic failure events in the study would be classified as low-level viremia, which is reassuring. Although not specifically described in this study,

Received 9 October 2020; editorial decision 14 October 2020; accepted 19 October 2020; published online 22 October 2020.

Correspondence: V. C. Marconi, Emory University School of Medicine and Rollins School of Public Health, Health Sciences Research Building, 1760 Haygood Dr NE, Room W325, Atlanta, GA 30322 (vcmarco@emory.edu).

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2021;73(7):e2142–4
 Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America 2020. This work is written by (a) US Government employee(s) and is in the public domain in the US.
 DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciaa1620

viral resuppression rates, emergence of resistance, and long-term outcomes for individuals with low-level viremia while on INSTI-containing regimens could provide further insight into the impact of initial virologic failure. Regarding the study's third virologic failure criterion, it is important to recognize that 27% of the cohort was classified in the highest HIV-1 RNA viral load category (>100 000 copies/mL). However, the prevalence of extremely high viremia >1 000 000 copies/mL was not available. Individuals with a HIV-1 RNA viral load magnitude >1 000 000 copies/mL could require a longer time to viral suppression due to the limitations of exponential decay for most ART regimens and may not achieve viral suppression within 6 months. Despite this caveat, only 9 individuals out of 121 virologic failure episodes did not achieve initial viral suppression, potentially reflecting the greater potency of DTG-based ART [5]. The study also evaluated the impact of pretreatment integrase mutations on virologic outcomes. As the ART backbone consisted of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, baseline resistance to this class could impact the effectiveness of INSTI-containing combination therapy. Finally, ART adherence was based on self-report response to whether >1 dose of ART had been missed within the past 1 month. A deeper exploration into the reasons for imperfect adherence or the impact of side effects (eg, weight gain) and drug toxicities could provide critical insights into where these regimens may have fallen short of the goal.

In considering possible mechanisms of action for the study findings, higher pretreatment HIV-1 RNA viral loads could feasibly contribute to virologic failure risk due to correlation with either a larger reservoir size or high replication capacity. In addition, immune factors including low CD4 count and AIDS-defining illnesses may impact virologic failure risk indirectly and may

be mediated by poor adherence to ART in the setting of severe illness, increased pill burden due to concurrent medical therapy, or drug–drug interactions. Financial independence was associated with shorter time to virologic suppression, which may indicate lifestyle stability and higher rates of adherence and retention in care.

Regarding generalizability of the study findings, the Swiss cohort is comprised predominantly of white adult males. Still, many findings are consistent with predictors of virologic failure on INSTI-containing regimens that have been identified in other populations as well. Notably, the NAMSAL study, a clinical trial evaluating DTG-containing first-line ART in Cameroon, found that pretreatment HIV-1 RNA >100 000 copies/mL and AIDS-defining events were associated with virologic failure on DTG-containing regimens [6]. The ADVANCE study in South Africa identified employment as a significant factor associated with viral suppression [7], thus supporting the author's findings regarding the impact of financial independence.

In light of the study findings, additional key questions regarding virologic failure on first-line regimens containing INSTIs are brought to the forefront. While the Swiss cohort provides insight into an ART-naïve population initiating INSTIs, the majority of people globally on INSTI-containing first-line regimens will be ART-experienced patients who are switched from NNRTI-based ART to TLD. Additional studies will be needed to determine if risk factors for virologic failure are similar in ART-experienced patients, compared to what has been seen in studies with ART-naïve participants. In addition, as weight gain is more frequently described as a side effect of INSTI-containing regimens, data will be needed regarding the impact of this side effect on patient preferences and ART adherence. Finally, the findings also open the door for additional study regarding viral

resuppression rates, long-term virologic outcomes, and prevalence of treatment-emergent drug resistance among individuals who experience virologic failure on INSTI-containing regimens. These data will be needed to determine how best to manage both low-level viremia and virologic failure on these high genetic barrier regimens.

In conclusion, virologic failure in this cohort was rare, occurring in only 121 of 1472 patients during >18 000 person-years of follow-up. However, these data provide a warning signal from a routine clinical setting that virologic failures on late-generation INSTI-based regimens do occur. The authors raise a humbling and insightful point that virologic, immunologic, and socioeconomic factors continue to have a critical role in the effectiveness of ART, irrespective of regimen potency and setting. Furthermore, as millions of ART-naïve and ART-experienced people are prescribed TLD (with current estimates of >6 million in low- and middle-income countries now on this regimen and projected to increase [8]), these insights into virologic failure risk may prove even more valuable as we continue to learn that DTG and BIC are not impervious to treatment failure.

Notes

Financial support. This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health (R01 AI098558, R01 AI124718, R01 AI138801, and R01 DK125187 to V.C.M.) and the Emory University Center for AIDS Research (P30 AI050409) to V.C.M. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of National Institutes of Health.

Potential conflicts of interest. S. M. M. has received research funding from Gilead Sciences. V. C. M. has received funding from the Emory University Center for AIDS Research (grant number P30AI050409), the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health (NIH) (grant numbers R01AI098558, R01AI124718, and R01AI138801); the National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases, NIH (grant number R01DK125187); and Gilead Sciences, ViiV, Lilly Pharmaceuticals, and Bayer.

Both authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest.

Conflicts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

1. Gupta RK, Gregson J, Parkin N, et al. HIV-1 drug resistance before initiation or re-initiation of first-line antiretroviral therapy in low-income and middle-income countries: a systematic review and meta-regression analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* **2018**; 18:346–55.
2. World Health Organization. HIV drug resistance report. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, **2019**.
3. Department of Health and Human Services. Panel on Antiretroviral Guidelines for Adults and Adolescents. Guidelines for the use of antiretroviral agents in adults and adolescents living with HIV. Available at: <http://www.aidsinfo.nih.gov/ContentFiles/AdultandAdolescentGL.pdf>. Accessed 1 October 2020.
4. World Health Organization. Update of recommendations on first- and second-line antiretroviral regimens. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO, **2019**.
5. Laskey SB, Siliciano RF. Quantitative evaluation of the antiretroviral efficacy of dolutegravir. *JCI Insight* **2016**; 1:e90033.
6. Kouanfack C, Mpoudi-Etame M, et al; NAMSAL. Dolutegravir-based or low-dose efavirenz-based regimen for the treatment of HIV-1. *N Engl J Med* **2019**; 381:816–26.
7. Venter WDF, Moorhouse M, Sokhela S, et al. Dolutegravir plus two different prodrugs of tenofovir to treat HIV. *N Engl J Med* **2019**; 381:803–15.
8. Clinton Health Access Initiative. HIV market report: the state of HIV treatment, testing, and prevention in low- and middle-income countries. **2020**. Available at: <https://3cdmh310dov3470e6x160esb-wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wp-content/uploads/2020/09/2020-CHAI-HIV-Market-Report.pdf>. Accessed 8 October 2020.