



Dietary patterns related to total mortality and cancer mortality in the United States

Marcela R. Entwistle¹ · Donald Schweizer² · Ricardo Cisneros¹

Received: 30 March 2021 / Accepted: 5 July 2021 / Published online: 11 August 2021
© The Author(s) 2021

Abstract

Purpose This study investigated the association between dietary patterns, total mortality, and cancer mortality in the United States.

Methods We identified the four major dietary patterns at baseline from 13,466 participants of the NHANES III cohort using principal component analysis (PCA). Dietary patterns were categorized into ‘prudent’ (fruits and vegetables), ‘western’ (red meat, sweets, pastries, oils), ‘traditional’ (red meat, legumes, potatoes, bread), and ‘fish and alcohol’. We estimated hazard ratios for total mortality, and cancer mortality using Cox regression models.

Results A total of 4,963 deaths were documented after a mean follow-up of 19.59 years. Higher adherence to the ‘prudent’ pattern was associated with the lowest risk of total mortality (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 0.90, 95% CI 0.82–0.98), with evidence that all-cause mortality decreased as consumption of the pattern increased. No evidence was found that the ‘prudent’ pattern reduced cancer mortality. The ‘western’ and the ‘traditional’ patterns were associated with up to 22% and 16% increased risk for total mortality (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.22, 95% CI 1.11–1.34; and 5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.16, 95% CI 1.06–1.27, respectively), and up to 33% and 15% increased risk for cancer mortality (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.33, 95% CI 1.10–1.62; and 5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.15, 95% CI 1.06–1.24, respectively). The associations between adherence to the ‘fish and alcohol’ pattern and total mortality, and cancer mortality were not statistically significant.

Conclusion Higher adherence to the ‘prudent’ diet decreased the risk of all-cause mortality but did not affect cancer mortality. Greater adherence to the ‘western’ and ‘traditional’ diet increased the risk of total mortality and mortality due to cancer.

Keywords Dietary patterns · United States · Total mortality · Cancer mortality

Introduction

In the last decades, efforts have been made to quantify the burden of disease attributable to specific dietary factors [1–3]. The study of diet and disease associations has traditionally originated from the consumption of single nutrients or foods. Dietary patterns describe diet quality and explain the total variability in food intake [4, 5]. Additionally, dietary patterns account for complex interactions that occur among foods and nutrients [6]. Therefore, the study of

dietary patterns provides a clearer view of food and nutrient consumption and could better predict long-term outcomes such as mortality due to dietary exposure [7].

Several studies evaluated the effect of dietary patterns and mortality in other countries [8–11]. In the United States, studies have shown the association between adherence to specific dietary patterns and chronic diseases, including obesity [12, 13], cardiovascular disease, and diabetes [14]. Moreover, only a few studies have investigated the relationship between specific dietary patterns and total mortality [15–20]. Most of these studies have focused on particular race and ethnicities [17], older adults [15, 20], or participants with specific health conditions, such as chronic kidney disease [19]. Therefore, evidence of the association between adherence to dietary patterns and total mortality in the United States in the general population is still limited.

This study evaluates the association between dietary patterns, total mortality, and cancer mortality in the NHANES

✉ Ricardo Cisneros
rcisneros@ucmerced.edu

¹ Department of Public Health, College of Social Sciences, Humanities and Arts, University of California, 5200 North Lake Road, Merced, CA 95343, USA

² Pacific Southwest Region, USDA Forest Service, Clovis, CA, USA

III adult cohort in the U.S. We hypothesize that dietary patterns characterized by consumption of nutrient-rich foods are associated with lower total mortality, and mortality due to cancer in the United States. Conversely, we hypothesize that dietary patterns that reflect poor nutrition are associated with higher total mortality and mortality due to cancer. We used data from 13,466 adults from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III (NHANES III). We identified the predominant dietary patterns in relationship with total mortality and mortality due to cancer.

Materials and methods

Study population

The study used data from the NHANES III, a nationally representative sample of the civilian, non-institutionalized U.S. population conducted between 1988 and 1994 by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). The NHANES study protocol obtained ethical approval from the CDC/NCHS Ethics Review Board and received informed consent from all participants. The current study included 13,466 participants 18 to 90 years old, with complete data on mortality status, diet, and relevant covariates. The examination component involved examinations by a health professional, including physicians, dentists, and health technicians. Detailed information on the standardized protocols used in NHANES III has been previously published [21].

Assessment of dietary exposure

During the interviews, dietary records providing detailed information about the foods and beverages were collected using computer-assisted software. We followed the recommendation from the NHANES III dietary methodology committee to use the 24-h recall as the principal methodology to provide detailed quantitative food and nutrient intake assessment, and the food frequency questionnaire (FFQ) to supplement data from the 24-h recall to provide typical or qualitative data [22, 23]. Therefore, the percentage of daily calories and macronutrients was calculated based on the information gathered during the 24-h food recall interview, and the usual diet assessment was based on the FFQ that included 60 food items and beverages. This was calculated in standardized portion sizes and nutrient intakes as grams per day. Participants without complete dietary data and those that reported excessively high or low values for total food or energy intake (less than 600 kcal/day in or more than 4,200 kcal/day) were excluded based on previously defined cut-offs [24–26]. Food groups were classified based on their nutrient profiles based according to the USDA Nutrient Lists from Standard Reference Legacy [27]. The food groups and items are shown in Table 1.

Assessment of covariates

The sociodemographic and lifestyle characteristics information was collected during the face-to-face household

Table 1 Food groups and food items

Food groups	Food items
Dairy	Chocolate milk, milk, yogurt, beverages made with milk and creams, cheese, and cheese dishes
Red meat	Beef and pork
Poultry	Poultry
Processed meat	Processed meat, entrails
Fish	Fish and seafood
Eggs	Eggs and egg products
Vegetables	Soups and dishes with vegetables, all types of vegetables
Fruit	Fruits and fruit juices
Legumes	Bean including kidney, pinto and black beans, lentils, chickpeas, and rice
Potatoes	All types of potatoes, including sweet potatoes and yams
Nuts and seeds	Nuts and seeds
Cereal	Bran, fiber, cold and hot cereals, box cereals
Bread	bread, tortillas, and past
Sweets and pastries	pastries, chocolate, candy
Caffeinated beverages	Tea and coffee
Carbonated drinks	Regular and diet sodas, colas, drinks with vitamin C, beer
Alcohol beverages	wine, champagne, hard liquors
Oils	Butter, Margarine, vegetable oils and salad dressings

interview. The participants' characteristics included in the analysis were: age (years at the time of the recruitment); sex (male or female); educational attainment (years of schooling completed); and current smoking status (yes, no, or missed to answer). The medical history of chronic diseases, including ischemic heart disease, stroke, hypertension, diabetes, was also collected during the household interview. The variables were dichotomized as yes or no. The questions participants were asked were: "Has a doctor ever told you that you had a heart attack?"; "Has a doctor ever told you that you had a stroke?"; "Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you had hypertension, also called high blood pressure?"; "Have you ever been told by a doctor that you have diabetes?"; "Has a doctor ever told you that you had skin cancer?" and "Has a doctor ever told you that you had any other cancer?". The collection of anthropometric measurements during the physical examination included standing height and weight using standard protocols. We used these measurements to calculate body mass index (BMI) as kg/m^2 .

Assessment of the outcome

The NHANES III cohort study's mortality information was identified through linkage to the National Death Index through 31 December 2015. The mortality data included the underlying cause of death. For total mortality, we included deaths from all causes. For cancer-specific mortality, we included deaths from malignant neoplasms coded from C00–C97 in the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Edition, Clinical Modification System codes (ICD, 2021) [21, 26, 28]. The follow-up time was determined based on the interval from the 24-h recall interview to the date of death or to 31 December 2015, for those that were censored.

Statistical analysis

We used principal component analysis (PCA) to assess the major dietary patterns in the NHANES III cohort. We tested the sample adequacy for PCA using the Kaiser–Meyer–Olkin (KMO) test. We identified the major dietary patterns based on the components with eigenvalues greater than one and a confirmatory scree test (Fig. 1). Then, we calculated scores for each of the dietary patterns. Food groups with absolute scoring coefficients > 0.3 were considered substantial contributors to a pattern. We evaluated the distribution of population characteristics by quintiles of dietary pattern scores and tested for trend analysis. We used 1-way ANOVA for quantitative variables and Chi-square tests for qualitative variables to identify significant differences across quintile of dietary pattern scores.




Fig. 1 Scree plot used for identification of dietary patterns (components) by principal component analysis. Components with eigenvalues greater than one are considered major dietary pattern scores

After confirming the proportionality of hazards assumption, we used Cox regression models with the length of follow-up as the primary time variable to test the association between dietary pattern adherence and mortality due to all causes and mortality due to cancer. Hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI) across quintiles of dietary patterns were calculated using the lowest quintile of adherence as the reference category. In the multivariable models, potential confounders included as covariates were age, sex, total energy intake, smoking status, baseline BMI and previous history of chronic diseases (diabetes, hypertension, stroke, heart attack) and cancer. Also, tests of linear trend across successive quintiles of adherence to each pattern were conducted, treating the variable as continuous. We considered p values < 0.05 statistically significant. Sample weights were used to calculate population estimates to account for unequal probability of selection. All analyses were performed using STATA version 13.1.

Results

Dietary pattern assessment

We identified four major dietary patterns, explaining 33% of the total variance in the food groups' consumption in the study population. The factor loadings are shown in Table 2. The first dietary pattern was named 'prudent' because it had a high score for vegetables, fruits, and a low score in meat and oils. The second dietary pattern was named 'western' since it was characterized by a high score for red meat, sweets, pastries, oils and low scores for vegetables and fruits. The third pattern was named 'traditional' because it had high scores in traditional foods, including red meat, eggs,

Table 2 Factor loading matrix for the major factors identified by using food consumption data from NHANES III^a

	'Prudent' pattern	'Western' pattern	'Traditional' pattern	'Fish and alcohol' pattern
Dairy	0.01	0.03	0.06	−0.11
Red meat	−0.21	0.41	0.36	0.14
Poultry	0.21	−0.20	−0.09	0.26
Processed meat	0.04	0.03	0.02	0.03
Fish	0.21	−0.26	0.11	0.45
Eggs	0.21	0.29	0.06	−0.18
Vegetables	0.43	−0.30	−0.02	0.07
Fruit	0.33	−0.34	0.21	−0.12
Legumes	0.25	−0.22	0.53	−0.01
Potatoes	0.33	0.22	0.39	0.02
Nuts and seeds	0.24	0.12	0.28	−0.15
Cereal	0.21	−0.15	−0.25	−0.43
Bread	0.35	0.17	0.30	−0.22
Sweets and pastries	0.14	0.46	0.11	0.19
Caffeinated beverages	0.02	0.06	−0.01	−0.06
Soda	−0.21	0.09	0.16	0.28
Alcohol beverages	0.05	0.15	0.18	0.42
Oils	0.27	0.37	0.21	−0.03

^aBolded numbers correspond to absolute values > 0.30 and are considered significant contributors to the pattern

'Starch' pattern explained 12% of the variance; the 'refined sugars & high protein' pattern explained 8%; the 'traditional' pattern 7%; and the 'fish and alcohol' pattern explained 6% of the variance

legumes, potatoes, and bread. Finally, the fourth dietary pattern was labeled as 'fish and alcohol' due to the notably high score for alcoholic beverages compared to other foods, fish and seafood.

Demographic characteristics

The mean age of the 13,446 participants was 46.89 years. The mean follow-up of participants was 19.59 years, with a minimum and maximum follow-up of 0.10 and 27.2 years, respectively. During this period, 4,963 deaths were registered. The leading causes of death were cardiovascular disease (23.65%), cancer (21.89%), cerebrovascular disease (6.64%), and other reasons (47.8%). The mean age of death for the deceased participants was 64.10 years. In Table 3, the baseline characteristics of the participants are shown across the quintiles for the dietary patterns. On average, the participants with the highest adherence to the 'prudent' dietary pattern were more likely to be men, older, had more years of education, and were less likely to be current smokers. They were also less likely to have a previous history of stroke and cancer; they were leaner despite having a higher calorie intake; and they consumed higher amounts of fiber, carbohydrates, and protein and consumed a lower amount of fats and alcohol.

The participants with the highest adherence to the 'western' dietary pattern were more likely to be male, younger, current smokers, have a higher BMI, and have fewer years of education. Additionally, they were more likely to report a history of hypertension and cancer. On average, they had a higher caloric intake, higher consumption of total fat and alcohol, and lower protein consumption, carbohydrates, and dietary fiber.

Those with the highest consumption of the 'traditional' and the 'fish and alcohol' dietary patterns were more likely to be men, younger, current smokers, and have more years of education. Nonetheless, the participants with the highest adherence to the 'traditional' diet were less likely to have a previous history of chronic diseases, such as stroke, hypertension, and diabetes at baseline, probably due to their age. Those with the consumption of the 'traditional' pattern consumed less protein and more alcohol. And those with the highest consumption of the 'fish and alcohol' pattern had higher calorie intake and consumed more fats, protein, and alcohol.

Dietary patterns and total mortality

There were 4,963 deaths due to all causes among the 13,446 participants from NHANES III cohort. The results of the study showed that the lowest risk of total mortality

Table 3 Baseline characteristics and nutrient consumption of the study population by quintiles of dietary patterns (*n* = 13,465)

	'Prudent' pattern		'Western' pattern		'Traditional' pattern		'Fish and alcohol' pattern	
	Q1	Q5	Q1	Q5	Q1	Q5	Q1	Q5
<i>n</i>	2,694	2,693	2,694	2,693	2,694	2,693	2,694	2,693
<i>Sex, Male</i>								
%	8.04	8.73*	8.55	9.92*	11.08*	7.06	8.25	8.31
Current smoker	5.57*	3.97	3.01	6.92*	2.97	5.86*	3.49	5.76*
Ischemic Heart Disease	0.81	0.99	1.02	0.65	0.61	1.41	1.31	0.51
Stroke	0.52*	0.43	0.47	0.78	0.83*	0.37	1.07	0.25
Hypertension	5.40	5.25	6.59	4.09*	6.23*	4.60	5.23	4.85
Diabetes	9.77	9.26	9.57	9.37	9.28*	8.13	8.49	8.79
Cancer	1.67*	1.07	1.25	1.48*	0.52	2.70	1.93	1.62
<i>Mean ± Standard deviation</i>								
Age, years	42.45 ± 18.16	49.15 ± 20.35*	50.27 ± 18.74	41.83 ± 18.92*	56.44 ± 20.28*	41.56 ± 17.31	55.24 ± 21.64*	43.09 ± 16.95
BMI, kg/m ²	26.83 ± 5.62*	25.22 ± 5.11	26.56 ± 5.09	26.97 ± 5.52*	26.64 ± 5.23*	25.74 ± 5.01	25.94 ± 4.92	26.17 ± 5.49
Education, years	11.34 ± 3.32*	10.91 ± 3.97	11.06 ± 4.30	10.38 ± 2.80*	9.02 ± 4.24	12.15 ± 3.20*	10.09 ± 3.92	12.42 ± 3.18*
Total energy intake (kcal)	1886.67 ± 780.93	2087.31 ± 812.13*	1823.65 ± 737.76	2222.53 ± 823.28*	2111.32 ± 817.57*	1900.55 ± 757.18	1902.83 ± 775.54	2051.16 ± 793.49*
kcal from fat	34.06 ± 9.46*	32.84 ± 9.02	30.03 ± 9.53	35.46 ± 8.61*	32.69 ± 8.98	32.70 ± 9.27	31.95 ± 8.98	33.77 ± 9.56*
kcal from saturated fat	11.27 ± 3.99*	10.61 ± 3.90	9.60 ± 3.92	12.10 ± 3.82*	10.76 ± 3.93	10.83 ± 3.90	11.17 ± 3.95	10.98 ± 3.93
kcal from monoun-saturated fat	12.91 ± 4.02*	12.34 ± 3.96	11.04 ± 4.10	13.58 ± 3.73*	12.21 ± 3.87	12.28 ± 4.12	12.40 ± 3.89	12.67 ± 4.13*
kcal from polyunsaturated fat	7.22 ± 3.68	7.22 ± 3.31	6.88 ± 3.57	7.06 ± 3.21*	6.98 ± 3.41	7.06 ± 3.39	6.73 ± 3.11	7.47 ± 3.72*
kcal from protein	15.12 ± 4.96	15.95 ± 4.98*	16.74 ± 5.16*	14.57 ± 4.61	16.04 ± 4.78*	15.58 ± 4.56	14.67 ± 4.43	15.75 ± 5.23*
kcal from carbohydrates	49.32 ± 11.64	50.82 ± 10.79*	52.21 ± 11.32*	48.30 ± 10.83	50.54 ± 10.93	50.35 ± 11.10	51.13 ± 10.79	47.41 ± 11.52
kcal from alcohol	1.50 ± 1.02*	0.39 ± 0.2	1.02 ± 0.53	1.67 ± 0.83*	0.73 ± 0.45	1.37 ± 0.24*	2.25 ± 0.78	3.07 ± 0.89*
Dietary fiber (g)	13.36 ± 8.95	18.68 ± 11.38*	15.16 ± 10.89*	14.32 ± 8.82	17.38 ± 12.42	16.94 ± 9.62	16.28 ± 11.02	15.20 ± 9.27

Q1: quintile 1, lowest consumption; Q5: quintile 5, highest consumption

**p* < 0.05 based on analysis of variance or Chi-square test

was found among those with the highest adherence to the ‘prudent’ dietary pattern compared to those with the lowest (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 0.86, 95% CI 0.78–0.94) in the age-adjusted model showing that the ‘prudent’ diet reduced the risk of total mortality over the observation period. In the fully adjusted model, the inverse association between adherence to the ‘prudent’ pattern became attenuated but remained significant (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 0.90, 95% CI 0.82–0.98). Furthermore, there was evidence of a significant trend in both models (p for trend 0.004; and p for trend 0.007, respectively). Conversely, those in the highest group of consumption of the ‘western’ (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.38, 95% CI 1.26–1.51) and the ‘traditional’ (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.32, 95% CI 1.21–1.44) dietary patterns had the highest risk of total mortality in the age-adjusted models. In the fully adjusted models, the positive associations between greater adherence to the ‘western’ (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.22, 95% CI 1.11–1.34) and the ‘traditional’ (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.16, 95% CI 1.06–1.27) dietary patterns and total mortality became attenuated; nonetheless, they remained significant and showed a positive trend. In this study, the association between the ‘fish and alcohol’ dietary pattern and total mortality in the age-adjusted and the fully adjusted models was marginally significant for those in the highest consumption group (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 0.94, 95% CI 0.87–1.01; and

5th vs. 1st quintile HR 0.96, 95% CI 0.89–1.03, respectively). The HR and 95% CI for total mortality according to baseline adherence to the four dietary patterns are shown in Table 4.

Dietary patterns and mortality due to cancer

In this study, there were 1,077 cancer deaths over the study period. Participants with the highest consumption of the ‘western’ pattern had the highest risk of cancer mortality in the age-adjusted model (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.34, 95% CI 1.16–1.52). In the fully adjusted model, the positive association between the highest adherence to the ‘western’ pattern and cancer mortality remained significant after accounting for demographic characteristics and comorbidities (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.33, 95% CI 1.10–1.62). Similar results were found among those with the highest consumption to the ‘traditional’ pattern in age-adjusted model (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.17, 95% CI 1.06–1.28), and the fully adjusted model (5th vs. 1st quintile HR 1.15, 95% CI 1.06–1.24). The associations between adherence to the ‘prudent’ or the ‘fish and alcohol’ pattern and mortality due to cancer in the age-adjusted and fully adjusted models were not statistically significant. The HR and 95% CI for mortality due to cancer

Table 4 Hazard ratios for total mortality according to quintiles of adherence categories of dietary patterns in NHANES III

	Quintiles of dietary pattern consumption					<i>p</i> for trend
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q5	
<i>‘Prudent’ pattern</i>						
All-cause of death, N (%)	825 (16.62)	949 (19.12)	1,006 (20.27)	1,090 (21.96)	1,093 (22.02)	
Person-years	55,307.99	53,588.89	52,618.99	51,385.39	50,934.99	
Age-adjusted model	1	0.90 (0.82–0.99)	0.90 (0.81–0.98)	0.89 (0.81–0.98)	0.86 (0.78–0.94)	0.004
Fully adjusted model	1	0.96 (0.88–1.06)	0.94 (0.89–0.99)	0.91 (0.83–0.99)	0.90 (0.82–0.98)	0.007
<i>‘Western’ pattern</i>						
All-cause of death, N (%)	1,047 (21.10)	1,069 (21.54)	1,037 (20.89)	961 (19.36)	849 (17.11)	
Person-years	52,029.39	51,462.89	52,213.09	53,409.99	54,720.89	
Age-adjusted model	1	1.11 (1.02–1.21)	1.15 (1.06–1.25)	1.21 (1.11–1.32)	1.38 (1.26–1.51)	<0.001
Fully adjusted model	1	1.07 (0.98–1.17)	1.10 (1.01–1.19)	1.12 (1.02–1.22)	1.22 (1.11–1.34)	0.020
<i>‘Traditional’ pattern</i>						
All-cause of death, n (%)	806 (16.24)	783 (15.78)	909 (18.32)	1,028 (20.71)	1,437 (28.95)	
Person-years	55,049.09	55,459.09	54,048.29	52,586.49	46,693.29	
Age-adjusted model	1	1.03 (0.95–1.12)	1.03 (0.95–1.13)	1.15 (1.05–1.29)	1.32 (1.21–1.44)	<0.001
Fully adjusted model	1	0.99 (0.91–1.07)	0.94 (0.86–1.02)	1.04 (0.95–1.14)	1.16 (1.06–1.27)	0.011
<i>‘Fish and alcohol’ pattern</i>						
All-cause of death, n (%)	1,491(30.04)	1,120 (22.57)	886 (17.85)	733 (14.77)	733 (14.77)	
Person-years	44,877.99	51,143.39	54,655.29	56,900.79	56,258.79	
Age-adjusted model	1	0.99 (0.92–1.06)	0.95 (0.88–1.02)	0.96 (0.89–1.03)	0.94 (0.87–1.01)	0.010
Fully adjusted model	1	0.92 (0.85–0.99)	0.89 (0.78–1.01)	0.93 (0.86–1.00)	0.96 (0.89–1.03)	0.037

Fully adjusted model: Adjusted for age, sex, energy intake, smoking status, BMI and chronic diseases (diabetes, stroke, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and cancer)

Table 5 Hazard ratios for mortality due to cancer according to quintiles of adherence categories of dietary patterns in NHANES III

	Quintiles of dietary pattern consumption					<i>p</i> for trend
	<i>Q1</i>	<i>Q2</i>	<i>Q3</i>	<i>Q4</i>	<i>Q5</i>	
<i>'Prudent' pattern</i>						
Death due to cancer, N (%)	169 (15.69)	213 (19.78)	213 (19.78)	241 (22.38)	241 (22.38)	
Person-years	55,307.99	53,588.89	52,618.99	51,385.39	50,934.99	
Age-adjusted model	1	1.03 (0.84–1.27)	1.01 (0.82–1.24)	1.00 (0.82–1.22)	1.04 (0.85–1.27)	0.854
Fully adjusted model	1	1.08 (0.88–1.32)	1.05 (0.86–1.29)	1.02 (0.84–1.25)	1.07 (0.87–1.30)	0.919
<i>'Western' pattern</i>						
Death due to cancer, N (%)	218 (20.24)	213 (19.68)	225 (20.89)	241 (18.29)	225 (20.89)	
Person-years	52,029.39	51,462.89	52,213.09	53,409.99	54,720.89	
Age-adjusted model	1	1.05 (0.87–1.27)	1.11 (1.08–1.15)	1.16 (0.05–1.27)	1.34 (1.16–1.52)	<0.001
Fully adjusted model	1	1.06 (0.88–1.28)	1.02 (0.84–1.23)	1.17 (0.06–1.28)	1.33 (1.10–1.62)	0.789
<i>'Traditional' pattern</i>						
Death due to cancer, <i>n</i> (%)	196 (18.20)	213 (15.41)	211 (19.59)	241 (21.73)	270 (25.07)	
Person-years	55,049.09	55,459.09	54,048.29	52,586.49	46,693.29	
Age-adjusted model	1	0.98 (0.81–1.19)	0.99 (0.81–1.21)	1.15 (1.05–1.20)	1.17 (0.06–1.28)	0.012
Fully adjusted model	1	1.01 (0.83–1.20)	0.97 (0.80–1.18)	1.14 (1.05–1.19)	1.15 (1.06–1.24)	0.829
<i>'Fish and alcohol' pattern</i>						
Death due to cancer, <i>n</i> (%)	301 (27.95)	213 (20.71)	201 (18.66)	241 (15.32)	187 (17.36)	
Person-years	44,877.99	51,143.39	54,655.29	56,900.79	56,258.79	
Age-adjusted model	1	0.88 (0.74–1.05)	0.88 (0.73–1.05)	0.86 (0.72–1.00)	0.89 (0.74–1.07)	0.015
Fully adjusted model	1	0.86 (0.72–1.02)	0.84 (0.68–1.00)	0.80 (0.61–0.99)	0.93 (0.80–1.08)	0.627

Fully adjusted model: Adjusted for age, sex, energy intake, smoking status, BMI and chronic diseases (diabetes, stroke, hypertension, ischemic heart disease, and cancer)

according to baseline adherence to the four dietary patterns are shown in Table 5.

Discussion

Most studies in the United States have focused on investigating associations between specific dietary factors and chronic diseases [12–14]. The association between the adherence dietary patterns and total mortality and mortality due to cancer in the general population is still limited. Dietary patterns condense information about food consumption, reflect diet composition, and capture the overall effect of dietary exposures on health and disease. This study evaluated the association between dietary patterns, total mortality, and cancer mortality in the NHANES III adult cohort in the U.S. Four previously described dietary patterns were found in this study at baseline. The 'prudent' dietary pattern, with high intakes of vegetables, fish, fruits, and legumes [29–33]; the 'western' dietary pattern, rich in sweets and oils, and low in fruits and vegetables [29–33]; the 'traditional' dietary pattern, rich in bread, legumes, eggs; [34, 35]; and the dietary pattern characterized by high consumption of alcoholic beverages [36, 37]. On average, those with the highest consumption of the 'prudent' dietary pattern were

more likely to adhere to healthier behaviors and less likely to report a previous history of chronic diseases and cancer, in contrast with the participants with the highest consumption of the other patterns. Previous studies support this evidence and demonstrate a correlation between dietary behaviors and lifestyle choices [38, 39].

Our findings confirmed the hypothesis that dietary patterns characterized by consuming nutrient-rich foods are associated with lower total mortality, and dietary patterns that reflect poor nutritional habits are associated with higher total mortality. Herein, the lowest risk of total mortality was among those with the highest adherence to the 'prudent' dietary pattern. The 'prudent' pattern is characterized by consuming nutrient-rich foods high in antioxidants and vitamins. Prudent diets contain a complex combination of antioxidant and prooxidant elements that can modify the human body's oxidative status. The oxidative stress resulting from imbalances between reactive oxygen species and the antioxidant defense is a common factor in many pathological conditions [40]. Oxidative stress has been related to cardiovascular disease, cancer, and other chronic diseases that account for a significant portion of deaths in the United States [41].

In this study, participants with the highest consumption of the 'western' dietary pattern showed the highest risk of total

mortality. The ‘western’ dietary pattern with high consumption of sweets and oils and low consumption of vegetables and fruits was associated with an increased risk of chronic diseases and cancer, probably due to micronutrient deficiencies that lead to several pathophysiological events increase the risk of chronic disease. Westernized diets are known to be deficient in magnesium, zinc, folate, and vitamins C, E, and K [42, 43]. Deficiencies in the micronutrients above were previously related to metabolic syndrome [44], cardiovascular disease [45, 46], and coronary heart disease [47, 48], which are principal causes of mortality in the U.S.

Our findings did not show an association between the ‘traditional’ or the ‘fish and alcohol’ pattern and total mortality. The ‘traditional’ dietary pattern has not been extensively studied in the United States, where traditional foods, inherited from the native communities, served as the foundation for the contemporary diet. Nonetheless, acculturation led to increasing consumption of a westernized diet in native communities, increasing chronic diseases [49]; therefore, more research is needed to understand the factors that influence the adherence to the ‘traditional’ diet and its effects on health and mortality. Alcohol consumption has been previously linked with increased mortality; nonetheless, the harmful effects depend on the level of alcohol consumption and the amount of time that individuals consume alcoholic beverages [50, 51]. Furthermore, these effects depend on individuals’ characteristics, such as their age and cardiovascular health [52, 53]. In line with these findings, our study showed that individuals with the highest adherence to the alcohol pattern were younger and less likely to have a previous history of chronic diseases and cancer. Moreover, the harmful effects of alcohol consumption, for those with the highest adherence to the ‘fish and alcohol’ dietary pattern, may have been compensated by the high intake of fish and seafood, which has been linked with positive health outcomes such as reduced cardiovascular risk [54], and all-cause mortality [55].

We partially confirmed our second hypothesis and provided evidence that dietary patterns that reflect lower quality nutrition are associated with higher cancer mortality. Our findings suggest that participants with the highest consumption of the ‘western’ and the ‘traditional’ dietary pattern had the highest risk of mortality due to cancer, probably due to the high consumption of fats. Carrol and colleagues reviewed experimental and epidemiological studies on the role of dietary fat and cancer. Their findings suggested that high-fat diets increase cancer risk, and total dietary fat correlates with cancer mortality [56]. Similar findings were found across different types of cancers [57, 58]. This study found no association between adherence to the ‘prudent’ or the ‘fish and alcohol’ pattern and cancer mortality. Diets rich in fruits and vegetables are widely considered beneficial to

health since they contain antioxidants, vitamins, and dietary fiber responsible for the benefits.

Nonetheless, the results of several studies have been inconsistent in the association between the consumption of fruits and vegetables and cancer risk. Additionally, a recent meta-analysis indicated that higher consumption of fruits and vegetables was not significantly associated with cancer mortality risk [59]. The evidence presented herein suggests that efforts to increase consumption of a ‘prudent’ dietary pattern may reduce the risk of total mortality, and the benefit for cancer mortality remains possible.

Strengths and limitations

This study’s key strengths include the long length of follow-up time and the large nationally representative sample of adults in the United States that were included. Furthermore, it is the first study investigating the role of adherence to dietary patterns and total mortality, and cancer mortality in the United States, to the best of our knowledge. Nonetheless, the study has limitations. First, the study used self-reported data that could be subject to recall bias due to the lack of medical records validation to confirm the medical diagnoses. Second, residual confounding may also be a limitation due to unmeasured socioeconomic variables. Finally, the study analyzed baseline dietary information and did not capture information about diet changes over time.

Conclusion

In this nationally representative cohort, adherence to the ‘western’ and the ‘traditional’ dietary pattern was strongly associated with an increased risk of total mortality and mortality due to cancer. The ‘prudent’ dietary pattern was associated with a decreased risk of total mortality, but not due to cancer. The evidence presented herein suggests that efforts to increase consumption of a ‘prudent’ dietary pattern may reduce the risk of total mortality, and the benefit for cancer mortality remains possible.

Author contributions ME was responsible for the study design, statistical analysis, and writing the paper. DS was responsible for providing comments on the writing, methodology, and results. RC was responsible for supervising the work. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding Not applicable.

Data availability Not applicable.

Code availability Not applicable.

Declarations

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical approval As determined by the Institutional Review Board at the University of California, Merced, the use of public datasets with de-identified information does not constitute research with human subjects. There was no interaction with the participants, and no identifiable private information was used; therefore, human subjects' approval was not required for this study.

Consent to participate Not applicable.

Consent for publication Not applicable.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

References

- Pomerleau J, McKee M, Lobstein T et al (2003) The burden of disease attributable to nutrition in Europe. *Public Health Nutr* 6:453–461
- Lock K, Pomerleau J, Caser L et al (2005) The global burden of disease attributable to low consumption of fruit and vegetables: implications for the global strategy on diet. *Bull World Health Organ* 83:100–108
- Organization WH. *Global Health Risks: Mortality and Burden of Disease Attributable to Selected Major Risks*. World Health Organization, 2009.
- Hu FB (2002) Dietary pattern analysis: a new direction in nutritional epidemiology. *Curr Opin Lipidol* 13:3–9
- Moeller SM, Reedy J, Millen AE et al (2007) Dietary patterns: challenges and opportunities in dietary patterns research. *J Am Diet Assoc* 107:1233–1239
- Tapsell LC, Neale EP, Satija A et al (2016) Foods, nutrients, and dietary patterns: interconnections and implications for dietary guidelines. *Adv Nutr* 7:445–454
- Michels KB, Schulze MB (2005) Can dietary patterns help us detect diet–disease associations? *Nutr Res Rev* 18:241–248
- Trichopoulou A, Bamia C, Trichopoulos D (2009) Anatomy of health effects of Mediterranean diet: Greek EPIC prospective cohort study. *BMJ*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2337> (Epub ahead of print 24 June 2009)
- Buckland G, Agudo A, Travier N et al (2011) Adherence to the Mediterranean diet reduces mortality in the Spanish cohort of the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC-Spain). *Br J Nutr* 106:1581–1591
- Michels KB, Wolk A (2002) A prospective study of variety of healthy foods and mortality in women. *Int J Epidemiol* 31:847–854
- Huijbregts P, Feskens E, Räsänen L et al (1997) Dietary pattern and 20 year mortality in elderly men in Finland, Italy, and the Netherlands: longitudinal cohort study. *BMJ* 315:13–17
- Aqeel MM, Guo J, Lin L et al (2020) Temporal dietary patterns are associated with obesity in US adults. *J Nutr* 150:3259–3268
- LeCroy MN, Nicastro HL, Truesdale KP et al (2020) Dietary patterns and associations with BMI in low-income, ethnic minority youth in the USA according to baseline data from four randomised controlled trials. *Br J Nutr* 126:1–11
- Brunner EJ, Mosdøl A, Witte DR et al (2008) Dietary patterns and 15-y risks of major coronary events, diabetes, and mortality. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87:1414–1421
- Kant AK (2004) Dietary patterns and health outcomes. *J Am Diet Assoc* 104:615–635
- Reedy J, Krebs-Smith SM, Miller PE et al (2014) Higher diet quality is associated with decreased risk of all-cause, cardiovascular disease, and cancer mortality among older adults. *J Nutr* 144:881–889
- Akinyemiju T, Moore JX, Pisu M et al (2016) A prospective study of dietary patterns and cancer mortality among Blacks and Whites in the REGARDS cohort. *Int J Cancer* 139:2221–2231
- Orlich MJ, Singh PN, Sabaté J et al (2013) Vegetarian dietary patterns and mortality in adventist health study 2. *JAMA Intern Med* 173:1230–1238
- Kelly JT, Palmer SC, Wai SN et al (2017) Healthy dietary patterns and risk of mortality and ESRD in CKD: a meta-analysis of cohort studies. *CJASN* 12:272–279
- Anderson AL, Harris TB, Tyllavsky FA et al (2011) Dietary patterns and survival of older adults. *J Am Diet Assoc* 111:84–91
- Plan and operation of the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–94. Series 1: programs and collection procedures. *Vital Health Stat* 1 1994; 1–407.
- Briefel RR, Sempos CT. Dietary methodology workshop for the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. March 1986. *Vital Health Stat* 4 1992; 1–108.
- Woteki CE, Briefel R, Hitchcock D et al (1990) Selection of nutrition status indicators for field surveys: the NHANES III design. *J Nutr* 120:1440–1445
- Alonso A, Beunza JJ, Bes-Rastrollo M et al (2006) Vegetable protein and fiber from cereal are inversely associated with the risk of hypertension in a Spanish cohort. *Arch Med Res* 37:778–786
- Ivanovitch K, Klaewkla J, Chongsuwan R et al (2014) The intake of energy and selected nutrients by Thai urban sedentary workers: an evaluation of adherence to dietary recommendations. *J Nutr Metab* 2014:e145182
- Okamura T, Hashimoto Y, Miki A et al (2019) High brain natriuretic peptide is associated with sarcopenia in patients with type 2 diabetes: a cross-sectional study of KAMOGAWA-DM cohort study. *Endocr J* 66:369–377
- USDA Nutrient Data Laboratory | Food and Nutrition Information Center | NAL | USDA, <https://www.nal.usda.gov/fnic/usda-nutrient-data-laboratory>. Accessed 17 Aug 2020.
- ICD-10 Resources | CMS, <https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coding/ICD10/ICD-10Resources>. Accessed 8 March 2021.
- van Dam RM, Rimm EB, Willett WC et al (2002) Dietary patterns and risk for type 2 diabetes mellitus in US men. *Ann Intern Med* 136:201–209
- Fung T, Hu FB, Fuchs C et al (2003) Major dietary patterns and the risk of colorectal cancer in women. *Arch Intern Med* 163:309–314

31. Kerver JM, Yang EJ, Bianchi L et al (2003) Dietary patterns associated with risk factors for cardiovascular disease in healthy US adults. *Am J Clin Nutr* 78:1103–1110
32. Varraso R, Fung TT, Hu FB et al (2007) Prospective study of dietary patterns and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease among US men. *Thorax* 62:786–791
33. Wu GD, Chen J, Hoffmann C et al (2011) Linking long-term dietary patterns with gut microbial enterotypes. *Science* 334:105–108
34. Velie EM, Schairer C, Flood A et al (2005) Empirically derived dietary patterns and risk of postmenopausal breast cancer in a large prospective cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 82:1308–1319
35. Noel SE, Newby PK, Ordovas JM et al (2009) A traditional rice and beans pattern is associated with metabolic syndrome in puerto rican older adults. *J Nutr* 139:1360–1367
36. Shikany J, Safford M, Newby PK et al (2015) Southern Dietary pattern is associated with hazard of acute coronary heart disease in the Reasons for Geographic and Racial Differences in Stroke (REGARDS) study. *Circulation*. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.114.014421> (Epub ahead of print 10 August 2015)
37. Terry P, Bergkvist L, Holmberg L et al (2001) No association between Fat and fatty acids intake and risk of colorectal cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 10:913–914
38. Thiele S, Mensink GB, Beitz R (2004) Determinants of diet quality. *Public Health Nutr* 7:29–37
39. Marques-Vidal P, Waeber G, Vollenweider P et al (2015) Sociodemographic and behavioural determinants of a healthy diet in Switzerland. *ANM* 67:87–95
40. Willcox JK, Ash SL, Catignani GL (2004) Antioxidants and prevention of chronic disease. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr* 44:275–295
41. WHO reveals leading causes of death and disability worldwide: 2000–2019, <https://www.who.int/news/item/09-12-2020-who-reveals-leading-causes-of-death-and-disability-worldwide-2000-2019>. Accessed 16 Feb 2021.
42. McCann JC, Ames BN (2009) Vitamin K, an example of triage theory: is micronutrient inadequacy linked to diseases of aging? *Am J Clin Nutr* 90:889–907
43. Ames BN (2006) Low micronutrient intake may accelerate the degenerative diseases of aging through allocation of scarce micronutrients by triage. *PNAS* 103:17589–17594
44. Ford ES, Li C, McGuire LC et al (2007) Intake of dietary magnesium and the prevalence of the metabolic syndrome among US Adults. *Obesity* 15:1139–1146
45. Nielsen FH, Milne DB, Klevay LM et al (2007) Dietary magnesium deficiency induces heart rhythm changes, impairs glucose tolerance, and decreases serum cholesterol in post menopausal women. *J Am Coll Nutr* 26:121–132
46. Hatzistavri LS, Sarafidis PA, Georgianos PI et al (2009) Oral magnesium supplementation reduces ambulatory blood pressure in patients with mild hypertension. *Am J Hypertens* 22:1070–1075
47. Beulens JWJ, Bots ML, Atsma F et al (2009) High dietary menaquinone intake is associated with reduced coronary calcification. *Atherosclerosis* 203:489–493
48. Gast GCM, de Roos NM, Sluijs I et al (2009) A high menaquinone intake reduces the incidence of coronary heart disease. *Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis* 19:504–510
49. Colby S, McDonald L, Adkison G (2012) Traditional native american foods: stories from northern plains elders. *J Ecol Anthropol* 15:65–73
50. White IR, Altmann DR, Nanchahal K (2002) Alcohol consumption and mortality: modelling risks for men and women at different ages. *BMJ* 325:191
51. Camargo CA, Hennekens CH, Gaziano JM et al (1997) Prospective study of moderate alcohol consumption and mortality in US male physicians. *Arch Intern Med* 157:79–85
52. Thun MJ, Peto R, Lopez AD et al (1997) Alcohol consumption and mortality among middle-aged and elderly US adults. *New Engl J Med* 337:1705–1714
53. Fuchs CS, Stampfer MJ, Colditz GA et al (1995) Alcohol consumption and mortality among women. *N Engl J Med* 332:1245–1250
54. Mizushima S, Moriguchi EH, Ishikawa P et al (1997) Fish intake and cardiovascular risk among middle-aged Japanese in Japan and Brazil. *J Cardiovasc Risk* 4:191–199
55. Takata Y, Zhang X, Li H et al (2013) Fish intake and risks of total and cause-specific mortality in 2 population-based cohort studies of 134,296 men and women. *Am J Epidemiol* 178:46–57
56. Carroll KK, Braden LM, Bell JA et al (1986) Fat and cancer. *Cancer* 58:1818–1825
57. Sasaki S, Horacek M, Kesteloot H (1993) An ecological study of the relationship between dietary fat intake and breast cancer mortality. *Prev Med* 22:187–202
58. Rose DP, Boyar AP, Wynder EL (1986) International comparisons of mortality rates for cancer of the breast, ovary, prostate, and colon, and per capita food consumption. *Cancer* 58:2363–2371
59. Wang X, Ouyang Y, Liu J et al (2014) Fruit and vegetable consumption and mortality from all causes, cardiovascular disease, and cancer: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective cohort studies. *BMJ*. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.g4490> (Epub ahead of print 29 July 2014)

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.