



## Synthesis of pH Dependent Pyrazole, Imidazole, and Isoindolone Dipyrrinone Fluorophores Using a Claisen-Schmidt Condensation Approach

Nicole Benson<sup>a</sup>, Adam Davis<sup>b</sup>, Zachary R. Woydziak<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Physical and Life Sciences, Nevada State College, Henderson, NV 89002

<sup>b</sup>Department of Humanities, Nevada State College, Henderson, NV 89002

### Abstract

Methine-bridged conjugated bicyclic aromatic compounds are common constituents of a range of biologically relevant molecules such as porphyrins, dipyrrinones, and pharmaceuticals. Additionally, restricted rotation of these systems often results in highly to moderately fluorescent systems as observed in 3H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-c:2',1'-f]pyrimidin-3-ones, xanthogluows, pyrroloindolizinedione analogs, BODIPY analogs, and the phenolic and imidazolinone ring systems of Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP). This manuscript describes an inexpensive and operationally simple method of performing a Claisen-Schmidt condensation to generate a series of fluorescent pH dependent pyrazole/imidazole/isoindolone dipyrrinone analogs. While the methodology illustrates the synthesis of dipyrrinone analogs, it can be translated to produce a wide range of conjugated bicyclic aromatic compounds. The Claisen-Schmidt condensation reaction utilized in this method is limited in scope to nucleophiles and electrophiles that are: enolizable under basic conditions (nucleophile component) and non-enolizable aldehydes (electrophile component). Additionally, both the nucleophilic and electrophilic reactants must contain functional groups that will not inadvertently react with hydroxide. Despite these limitations, this methodology offers access to completely novel systems that can be employed as biological or molecular probes.

### SUMMARY:

The Claisen-Schmidt condensation reaction is an important methodology for the generation of methine-bridged conjugated bicyclic aromatic compounds. Through utilizing a base-mediated variant of the aldol reaction, a range of fluorescent and/or biologically relevant molecules can be accessed through a generally inexpensive and operationally simple synthetic approach.

### Keywords

Claisen-Schmidt Condensation; Photoisomerization; pH dependent fluorescence; fluorophore; dipyrrinone; pyrazole; imidazole; isoindolone

---

Corresponding Author: Zachary R. Woydziak, zachary.woydziaak@nsc.edu.

**DISCLOSURES:** (Instructions)

The authors have nothing to disclose.

## INTRODUCTION:

A number of conjugated bicyclic systems, in which two aromatic rings are linked by a monomethine bridge, undergo isomerization via bond rotation, when excited with a photon (Figure 1A).<sup>1-5</sup> The excited isomer, will generally relax to the ground state through non-radiative decay processes.<sup>6</sup> If the energy barrier to bond rotation is increased to a large enough extent, it is possible to restrict or prevent the photoisomerization. Instead, photonic excitation results in an excited singlet state that often relaxes via fluorescence rather than non-radiative decay (Figure 1B). Restraining photoisomerization is most commonly accomplished by mechanically restricting bond rotation through tethering the two aromatic ring systems by covalent linkages, thereby locking the molecule into a particular isomeric state. This approach has been utilized to create several different fluorescent tricyclic dipyrri-*none* and dipyrrolemethane analogs such as: 3H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-c:2',1'-f]pyrimidin-3-ones (**1**), xanthogluws (**2**),<sup>6,7</sup> pyrroloindolizinedione analogs (**3**),<sup>8</sup> and BODIPY analogs<sup>9</sup> (**4**, Figure 2) whereby the pyrrolidine and/or pyrrole ring systems are tethered with methylene, carbonyl, or boron difluoro linkers. Typically, 1-4 possess  $\Phi_F > 0.7$  suggesting these systems are very efficient as fluorophore units.

It is also possible to restrict photoisomerization through means other than covalently linking the ring systems. For example, the phenolic and imidazolinone rings (Figure 2) of Green Fluorescent Protein (GFP) are restricted to rotation by the protein environment; the restrictive setting increases the quantum yield by three orders of magnitude in comparison to the same chromophore unit in free solution.<sup>10</sup> It is believed that the protein scaffold of GFP provides a rotational barrier through steric and electrostatic effects.<sup>11</sup> Recently, our group in collaboration with the Odoh group at the University of Nevada, Reno discovered another fluorophore system that bears structural similarity to the dipyrri-*none*-based xanthoglow systems (Figure 2).<sup>12</sup> These dipyrri-*none* analogs, however, differ from the xanthoglow system in that intramolecular hydrogen bonds, rather than covalent bonds, deter photoisomerization and result in a fluorescent bicyclic system. Furthermore, the pyrazole, imidazole, and isoindolone dipyrri-*none* analogs can hydrogen bond in protonated and deprotonated states; deprotonation results in the red-shifting of both the excitation and emission wavelengths, likely due to a change in the electronic nature of the system. While hydrogen bonding has been reported to increase quantum yields though restricted rotation,<sup>13-16</sup> we are unaware of any other fluorophore system in which restricted isomerization serves as a mode of fluorescence in both protonated and deprotonated states of the molecule. Therefore, these pH dependent dipyrri-*none* fluorophores are unique in that respect.

In this video, we focus on the synthesis and chemical characterization of the fluorescent dipyrri-*none* analog series. In particular, there is an emphasis placed on the Claisen-Schmidt condensation methodology that was used to construct the complete series of fluorescent analogs. This reaction relies on the generation of a base-mediated vinylogous enolate ion which attacks an aldehyde group, to produce an alcohol that subsequently undergoes elimination. For the dipyrri-*none* analog series, a pyrrolinone/isoindolone is converted to an enolate to facilitate an attack upon an aldehyde group attached to a pyrazole or imidazole ring (Figure 3); after elimination a fully conjugated bicyclic system, linked by

a methine-bridge, is formed. It is noteworthy that the entire series of dipyrinone analogs can be constructed from readily available commercial materials and can be produced in a single one-pot reaction sequence typically in moderate to high yields (yields range from approximately 50–95%). Since most of the dipyrinone analogs are highly crystalline in nature, very little purification outside of standard workup conditions is required to produce analytically pure samples. Consequently, this fluorophore system requires only a few steps to access from readily available commercial materials and can be synthesized, purified, and prepared for analytical or biological studies in a relatively short time frame.

## PROTOCOL:

### 1. General Procedure for Synthesis of Dipyrinone Analogs 16 – 25

- 1.1. Dissolve pyrrolinone/isoindolone (1.00 mmol) and the corresponding pyrazole/imidazole aldehyde (1.00 mmol) in 5.0 mL of ethanol in a round-bottom flask.
- 1.2. Add aqueous KOH (24.0 mmol, 10 M, 2.40 mL) to the flask in one portion.
- 1.3. Stir and reflux the mixture until reaction completion is confirmed by TLC (See Table 1 for a list of reaction times). A TLC eluent of 10% methanol in dichloromethane was used and the analogs have been observed to possess  $R_f$  values in or around the range of 0.62 to 0.86. Apply a sufficient amount of vacuum grease or use a PTFE sleeve at the interphase of glass joints to prevent the glass of the condenser and the round-bottom flask from seizing under high temperatures and basic conditions.
- 1.4. Allow the reaction mixture to cool to room temperature and evaporate volatiles under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator.
- 1.5. Cool the reaction mixture to 0 °C using an ice bath.
- 1.6. Neutralize the remaining oily mixture by adding acetic acid (30.0 mmol, 1.70 mL) in one portion.
- 1.7. Purify the resulting product material using vacuum filtration (see **Step 2a**, for compounds: **17**, **18**, **20 – 22**, **24** and **25**) or use liquid-liquid extraction/column chromatography (see **Step 2b**, for compounds: **16**, **19**, and **23**).

### 2a. Procedure Purification via Vacuum Filtration

- 2a.1. Fit a Hirsch funnel to a side-arm flask using a fitted rubber adapter.
- 2a.2. Apply a piece of round filter paper to the Hirsch funnel and lightly wet using deionized water to allow adherence to the funnel.
- 2a.3. Connect a vacuum source to the side-arm of the flask and ensure that there is a sufficient vacuum seal by making sure none of the glassware can be pulled apart while under vacuum.

2a.4. Pour the flask containing the crystallized product over the vacuum filter and allow to filter. Rinse the crystals with 10 mL of ice-cold deionized water.

2a.5. Allow the filtered crystals to continue to dry on top of the filter paper, while still connected to the vacuum source, following the filtration of all liquids.

2a.6. Collect the filtered crystals and place in a 25 mL round-bottom flask.

2a.7. Attach the round-bottom flask to a fritted ground glass joint/vacuum line adapter. Secure the glass joint union with a keck clip.

2a.8. To the ribbed end of the glass high vacuum line adapter, attach a vacuum line that is routed to a high vacuum pump and adequately cool a vacuum trap (using coolant such as liquid nitrogen or dry ice/acetone) to condense any volatile materials that may evaporate from the crystalline material. Turn on the high vacuum pump to ensure complete evaporation of any trace amounts of remaining solvent from the crystals.

2a.9. Allow the crystals to dry under high vacuum for a minimum of 1 h. Remove the round-bottom flask from the vacuum line/adapter, turn off the high vacuum pump, and clean out the vacuum trap.

## 2b. Procedure Purification via Column Chromatography

2b.1. Dilute the acetic acid treated reaction mixture contents (from step 1.6) with 10 mL of deionized water and transfer into a separatory funnel. Add 10 mL of dichloromethane to the separatory funnel. Gently shake and vent the separatory funnel in order to separate the two layers.

2b.2. Extract the aqueous layer using subsequent portions of dichloromethane ( $3 \times 5$  mL). Combine the organic fractions and dry using anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ . Decant and remove all volatiles under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator.

2b.3. Dilute the residue obtained from step 2b.2 with 5 mL of  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . Perform flash column chromatography using approximately 75 g of silica gel. Elute the sample with a solution of 10% methanol in dichloromethane.

2b.4. Remove the collected fractions of solvent under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator. Transfer the solid residue to a 25 mL round-bottom flask using approximately 10 mL of  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . Remove the solvent under reduced pressure using a rotary evaporator.

2b.5. Dry the remaining solid residue under high vacuum as previously described in steps 2a.7 – 2a.9.

## 3. Molar Absorptivity Acquisition and UV/Vis $\text{pK}_a$ Studies for Analogs 16 – 25

3.1. Create compound stock solutions for UV/Vis Spectrophotometry for analogs 16 – 25.

3.1.1. Weigh out 10  $\mu\text{mol}$  of the selected dipyrinone analog (16 – 25) and add it to a 10 mL volumetric flask.

3.1.2 Add DMSO to the 10.0 mL mark on the volumetric flask.

Note 3.1.2: If the compound does not dissolve entirely, heat the flask using a heat gun and agitate the flask as needed to completely dissolve the compound.

3.2 Make phosphate buffered saline (PBS) solutions at various pH levels. The analogs were characterized in PBS buffers ranging in pH from approximately 4 to 15.

3.2.1. Using a 1 L volumetric cylinder, create 1 L of PBS stock solution by diluting 100 mL of PBS (x100) in 900 mL of deionized water.

3.2.2. Transfer 50 mL of the prepared PBS stock solution (step 3.2.1) to a 100 mL beaker and add a magnetic stir bar. Then using a calibrated pH meter to monitor changes in pH, titrate the PBS buffer with either aqueous 1.0 M NaOH (to obtain buffers with pH > 7.0) or 1.0 M HCl (to obtain buffers with pH < 7.0).

Note 3.2.2: To obtain data that results in a well-defined titration curve, we recommend generating pH buffers in increments of 0.1 pH units within  $\pm 0.5$  of the anticipated point of inflection and increments of 0.5 outside of the anticipated point of inflection.

3.3. Acquire molar absorptivity spectra for analogs **16 – 25** in PBS (pH 7.0) and 1.0 M NaOH (pH 14.0) solutions.

3.3.1. Prepare a “blank” using a clean and dry quartz cuvette then add 2.0 mL of either PBS stock solution (pH 7.0) or aqueous 1.0 M NaOH to the cuvette using a 100 – 1000  $\mu$ L micropipette.

Note 3.3.1: It is important to the integrity of the blank solution data acquisition process to ensure that there are no air bubbles in the cuvette solution and to thoroughly wipe the sides of the cuvette with a Kim wipe to prevent light scattering resulting from dust or debris on the outside of the cuvette. If bubbles persist, gently and repeatedly tap the cuvette on a paper towel laid on a hard surface.

3.3.2. Using a UV/Vis spectrophotometer, blank the selected solution for a 200 – 800 nm range.

3.3.3. Into a second clean and dry quartz cuvette add 2.00 mL of either PBS (pH = 7.0) or 1.0 M NaOH (pH 14) followed by 10  $\mu$ L of the dipyrinone analog (**16 – 25**) stock solution (see step 3.1) with a 5–50  $\mu$ L micropipette. Place a cap on the cuvette and shake well in addition to inverting the cuvette.

Note 3.3.3: It is important to the integrity of the sample solution data acquisition process to ensure that there are no air bubbles in the cuvette solution and to thoroughly wipe the sides of the cuvette with a Kim wipe to prevent light scattering resulting from dust or debris on the outside of the cuvette. If bubbles persist, gently and repeatedly tap the cuvette on a paper towel laid on a hard surface.

3.3.4. Using the UV/Vis spectrophotometer, acquire an absorption spectrum for the dipyrinone analog solution for a 200 – 800 nm range.

3.3.5. To the same cuvette, add an additional 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of the dipyrinone analog stock solution and repeat steps 3.3.3 and 3.3.4.

3.3.6. Repeat step 3.3.5 until a total of 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of dipyrinone analog stock solution has been added to the cuvette in order to acquire at least five excitation wavelength data points. Repeat steps 3.3.1 – 3.3.6 until all stock solutions of **16** – **25** have been obtained in both PBS (pH 7.0) and 1.0 M NaOH.

3.4. Obtain molar absorptivity values for **16** – **25** in PBS (pH 7.0) and 1.0 M NaOH using best fit linear regression analysis.

3.4.1. Using a graphing program such as GraphPad Prism 7, plot the measured absorbance (y-axis) against the dipyrinone analog concentration (x-axis). Create a best-fit linear regression analysis for the five plotted points. A linear relationship should be observed and statistical analysis should show an  $R^2$  value  $\geq 0.98$ .

3.4.2. Repeat step 3.4.1 for analogs **16** – **25** in PBS (pH 7.0) and 1.0 M NaOH.

3.4.3. Calculate the molar absorptivity for **16** – **25** in PBS (pH 7.0) and 1.0 M NaOH using the extrapolated slope value from the best fit linear curve.

3.5. Determine the  $\text{pK}_a$  values of **16** – **25**: Studies Using UV/Vis Spectrophotometry

3.5.1 Into a clean and dry quartz cuvette, transfer 1,900  $\mu\text{L}$  of the PBS buffer at the selected pH level (prepared in Step 3.2) using a 100 – 1000  $\mu\text{L}$  micropipette.

Note 3.5.1: We have noticed upon storage that a white precipitate can form in some of the buffers. To ensure that the buffer is completely homogenous and if any precipitate is visible, use gravity filtration to remove any precipitate immediately prior to use.

See Note 3.3.1.

3.5.2. Using the UV/Vis spectrophotometer, blank the selected PBS buffer solution for a 200 – 800 nm range.

3.5.3. Into a second clean and dry quartz cuvette, transfer 1,900  $\mu\text{L}$  of the selected PBS buffer then add 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of the selected analog stock solution using a 5–50  $\mu\text{L}$  micropipette. Place a cap on the cuvette and shake well in addition to inverting the cuvette.

See note 3.3.3.

3.5.4. Using the UV/Vis spectrophotometer, acquire the absorption spectrum for the dipyrinone analog for a range of 200 – 800 nm.

3.5.5 Repeat steps 3.5.1 – 3.5.4 for **16** - **25** in each of the PBS buffers generated in step 3.2.

- 3.6 Determine the  $pK_a$  values for **16** – **25** using a best fit sigmoidal curve fitting function.
- 3.6.1. Using a graphing program, graph the measured absorbance vs. wavelength (nm) for **16** – **25** at the various pH levels.
- 3.6.2. Choose a wavelength between 380–415 nm where at lower pH levels (< 7.0) absorbance is small (0–0.1 units) and at greater pH (> 12.0) absorbance is considerably larger (0.8–1.0 units). Plot the absorbance at the chosen wavelength vs. the pH.
- 3.6.3. Using a sigmoidal curve function, generate a best fit curve for each of the analogs **16** – **25**. Report the extrapolated pH at half-height of the curve. This is the reported  $pK_a$  value.

#### 4. Quantum Yield Acquisition and Fluorescence Studies

- 4.1 Create fluorescence study stock solutions for dipyrinone analogs **16** – **18** and **20** – **25**.
- 4.1.1. Using a dipyrinone analog stock solution created in step 3.1, perform a dilution of the stock solution by adding 10  $\mu\text{L}$  of the stock solution to a 1 mL volumetric flask using a 2 – 20  $\mu\text{L}$  micropipette, then add PBS (pH 7.0) buffer to the 1 mL mark. Place a cap on the volumetric flask and mix well by inverting and shaking the flask. This diluted stock solution will be used to generate the fluorescence spectra and will be referred to as the fluorescence stock solution.
- 4.1.2. Repeat step 4.1.1 for analogs **16** – **18** and **20** – **25**.
- 4.2. Acquire fluorescence emission spectra at varying concentrations, for analogs **16** – **18** and **20** – **25**. For all analogs other than **18**, five spectra were acquired for each analog in solutions of pH 7 and 14 at concentrations of: 19.96, 39.84, 59.64, 79.37, and 99.01 nM. For analog 18, five spectra were acquired in a solution of pH 7 at concentrations of: 49.75, 99.01, 147.8, 196.1, and 243.9 nM. In a solution of pH 14, five spectra were acquired for analog 18 at concentrations of: 99.01, 196.1, 291.3, 384.6, 476.2 nM.
- 4.2.1. Into a transparent four-sided quartz cuvette, add 3.00 mL of PBS (pH=7.0) or 1.0 NaOH using a 100 – 1,000  $\mu\text{L}$  micropipette in three 1,000  $\mu\text{L}$  increments.
- See note 3.3.1.
- 4.2.2. Using the fluorometer and the fluorometer software program FluorEssence, acquire an emission spectrum for the selected solution and label this as the solution “blank”.
- 4.2.3. To the same cuvette, add 6  $\mu\text{L}$  of fluorescence stock solution for the selected dipyrinone analog (Part 4.1) using a 0.5–10  $\mu\text{L}$  micropipette. Place the cap on the cuvette and mix well by inverting and gently shaking the cuvette.
- See note 3.3.3.
- 4.2.4. Using the fluorometer, acquire an emission spectrum for the selected compound solution using  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  abs as the excitation wavelength. Excitation intensity was measured

over a 200 nm range starting at 15 nm beyond the excitation wavelength (typically a 200 nm range is required for the fluorescence intensity to return to baseline).

4.2.5. Repeat steps 4.2.3 – 4.2.4 until a total of 30  $\mu\text{L}$  of fluorescence stock solution has been added to the cuvette.

4.2.6. Repeat steps 4.2.1 – 4.2.5 for analogs **16** – **25** in PBS (pH 7.0) and 1.0 M NaOH.

Note 4.2.6: The cuvette concentrations were changed for analog **18** and data was acquired using: 2.0 mL of PBS with five consecutive 10  $\mu\text{L}$  increments of fluorescence stock solution for a neutral (protonated **18**) solution and 2.0 mL of 1.0 M NaOH with five 20  $\mu\text{L}$  increments of added compound stock solution for a basic (deprotonated **18**) solution.

4.3 Determine the quantum yield using the method of Williams, A. T. et al.<sup>17</sup>

4.3.1. Using a spreadsheet software program (i.e. Microsoft Excel), import the data (emission intensity data points) for the emission spectra for a single dipyrinone analog (taken in either PBS [pH 7.0] or 1.0 M NaOH) at the various concentration levels.

4.3.2. Import the data points from the emission spectra for the “blank” solution (steps 4.2.1 – 4.2.2) and subtract the “blank” emission intensity data points from the emission intensity data points, at the corresponding wavelengths, acquired at various concentration levels.

4.3.3. Transfer the “blank” corrected emission intensity data points into a graphing program, such as GraphPad Prism 7, and plot emission vs. wavelength. Calculate the area under the curve for each of the curves obtained at the various concentration levels of dipyrinone analog.

4.3.4. Following the technique outlined by Williams, A. T. et al, calculate an extrapolated absorbance value for each of the varying concentration levels of dipyrinone analog. This is accomplished by multiplying the calculated molar absorptivity value (from best-fit linear regression analysis, see step 3.4) by each concentration of dipyrinone analog used in steps 4.2.3–4.2.5.

4.3.5. Using a graphing program such as GraphPad Prism 7, create a plot of the analog’s extrapolated absorbance (x-axis) against the calculated area under each concentration curve (step 4.3.4) for the emission wavelength corresponding to the greatest emission value. A linear relationship with a  $r^2 = 0.96$  should be observed.

4.3.6. Perform steps analogous to 3.1–3.4 and 4.1–4.3.5 for quinine in 0.5 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  ( $\Phi_F = 0.55$ )<sup>18</sup> and anthracene in ethanol ( $\Phi_F = 0.27$ )<sup>18, 19</sup> to obtain data for standards.

4.3.7 The quantum yield values for **16** – **25** in PBS (pH 7.0) and 1.0 M NaOH are obtained by using the extrapolated slopes obtained from steps 4.3.5 and 4.3.6 in the following equation:

$$\Phi_x = \Phi_{st} \left( \text{Grad}_x / \text{Grad}_{st} \right) \left( \eta_x^2 / \eta_{st}^2 \right)$$



$\Phi_{st}$  represents the quantum yield of the standard,  $\Phi_x$  represents the quantum yield of the unknown,  $Grad$  is the slope of the best linear fit, and  $\eta$  is the refractive index of the solvent used (the refractive index ratio was calculated using  $\eta = 1.36$  for ethanol and  $\eta = 1.35$  for 0.5 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>).

The quantum yields for **16** – **18** and **20** – **25** in PBS (pH 7.0) and 1.0 M NaOH are reported as an average of the  $\Phi_x$  obtained for quinine and anthracene.

## REPRESENTATIVE RESULTS:

The Claisen-Schmidt condensation reaction provided access to dipyrinone analogs (**16** – **25**, Figure 4) using the one-pot procedure described in the protocol section (see step 1). Analog **16** – **25** were all generated by condensing pyrrolinone **9**, bromoisindolone **10**, or isoindolone **11** with 1*H*-imidazole-2-carboxaldehyde (**12**), 1*H*-imidazole-5-carboxaldehyde (**13**), 1*H*-pyrazole-3-carboxaldehyde (**14**), or 1*H*-pyrazole-4-carboxaldehyde (**15**); the combinations produced ten different analogs including a control compound, **19**, which is incapable of forming intramolecular hydrogen bonds (Table 1). Reaction times typically necessitated 24 h of reflux for completion, however, in the case of **20** only 6 h were required, whereas, for **23** and **24** slightly longer times of 30 h and 27 h respectively were needed. Product yields ranged from 41% to 96%, as illustrated in Table 1, which follow traditional trends of analogous condensation reactions for dipyrinones. Compounds **17**, **18**, **20** – **22**, **24** and **25**, due to their highly crystalline nature, were all purified by simple vacuum filtration methods; only compounds **16**, **19**, and **23** required chromatography for purification.

The photophysical properties of compounds **16** – **25**, obtained from performing steps 3 – 4 in the protocol section, are summarized in Table 2. The pK<sub>a</sub> values measured for each compound ranged from 12 to > 13.5, suggesting sufficiently basic conditions are needed to completely deprotonate each dipyrinone analog. Due to differing photophysical properties in the protonated and deprotonated states of each compound, spectra were acquired using neutral (pH 7.0 PBS) and basic (1.0 M NaOH) solutions of **16** – **25**. In neutral pH (protonated state), compounds **16** – **25** have  $\lambda_{max}$  abs ranging from 324 nm to 365 nm, which are all blue-shifted by 10 to 37 nm in comparison to the deprotonated states. The molar absorptivities range from 15,000 to 30,000 but do not appear to substantially deviate amongst the protonated and deprotonated states of a given analog. Analog **19** did not display any detectable fluorescence, however, **16** – **18** and **20** – **25** emitted light with  $\lambda_{max}$  em ranging from 409 – 457 nm at neutral pH and 443 – 482 nm at basic pH; a similar red-shifting trend to that of the maximum protonated/deprotonated absorbance wavelengths is observed for the emission wavelengths as well. The  $\Phi_F$  ranged from 0.01 to 0.30 in both neutral and basic aqueous solutions, which are considerably lower than comparable xanthogluows, but compounds **16**, **20**, and **25** fall in the similar region of heavily used fluorophores such as rhodamine B ( $\Phi_F = 0.23$ ),<sup>20</sup> acridine orange ( $\Phi_F = 0.36$ ),<sup>21</sup> pyronin Y ( $\Phi_F = 0.22$ ),<sup>20</sup> and most of the cyanine dye series (typically  $\Phi_F = 0.12$ – $0.28$ ).<sup>22</sup>

Dipyrinone analogs **16** – **25** were all chemically characterized using melting point analysis, IR spectroscopy, <sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectroscopy, and high-resolution mass spectrometry in addition to the UV/Vis and fluorescence spectroscopy experiments

summarized in Table 2. Chemical characterization and original  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra can be found from the original literature source,<sup>12</sup> however, for convenience, characterization for compounds **16**, **20**, and **23**, which possess the largest quantum yields are reported below:

**(Z)-5-((1H-imidazol-2-yl)methylene)-3-ethyl-4-methyl-1,5-dihydro-2H-pyrrol-2-one (16).**

Decomposes at 160 °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$  12.3 (brs, 1H), 9.87 (s, 1H), 7.13 (apps, 2H), 5.93 (s, 1H), 2.23 (q,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 2H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 0.98 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- *d*6)  $\delta$  170.7, 144.8, 140.0, 139.6, 133.9, 130.2, 117.6, 94.1, 16.7, 13.6, 9.33; IR (thin film) 3742, 3148, 3063, 2924, 2353, 1651, 1543, 1450, 771, 717  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_3\text{ONa}$  226.0956, Found 226.0956.

**(Z)-5-((1H-pyrazol-4-yl)methylene)-3-ethyl-4-methyl-1,5-dihydro-2H-pyrrol-2-one (19).**

Decomposes at 202 °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, 20%  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$  in  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$   $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*)  $\delta$  7.74 (s, 2H), 6.01 (s, 1H), 2.27 (q,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 2H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 1.02 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (101 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  173.7, 141.9, 136.0, 133.0, 116.1, 105.0, 100.8, 16.9, 13.4, 9.61; IR (thin film) 3163, 3117, 3048, 2963, 2362, 1674, 1558, 1512, 1396, 1257, 1157, 948, 871, 794, 702  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_3\text{ONa}$  226.0956, Found 226.0955.

**(Z)-3-((1H-imidazol-2-yl)methylene)-5-bromoisindolin-1-one (20).**

Decomposes at 213 °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$  7.97 (s, 1H), 7.59 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d,  $J = 8.0$ , 1H), 7.06 (s, 2H), 6.57 (s, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$  167.7, 146.6, 140.2, 134.5, 131.7, 129.1, 125.8, 125.03, 124.99, 123.6, 96.5; IR (thin film) 3742, 3240, 2314, 1682, 1543, 1520, 1435, 1312, 1080, 826, 694  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_8\text{BrN}_3\text{ONa}$  311.9749, Found 311.9752.

**(Z)-3-((1H-imidazol-2-yl)methylene)isoindolin-1-one (23).**

Decomposes at 228 °C;  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$  12.34 (s, 1H), 10.74 (s, 1H), 7.90 (d,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 1H), 7.74 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.63 (dd,  $J = 7.6, 7.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dd,  $J = 7.6, 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.17 (s, 2H), 6.46 (s, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$  167.3, 144.9, 137.3, 135.1, 132.8, 129.9, 129.3, 123.6, 121.0, 117.6, 92.9; IR (thin film) 3741, 3201, 3086, 2361, 2322, 1682, 1543, 1520, 1119, 748, 687  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; HRMS (ESI-TOF)  $m/z$ :  $[\text{M}+\text{Na}]^+$  Calcd for  $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_9\text{N}_3\text{ONa}$  234.0643, Found 234.0641.

## DISCUSSION:

The Claisen-Schmidt condensation approach provides a fairly robust means of generating pyrazole, imidazole, and isoindolone dipyrinone fluorophores through a relatively operationally simplistic protocol. While the synthesis of the fluorescent dipyrinone analogs was the focus of this study, it should be noted that similar conditions can be applied to access other bicyclic methine-linked ring systems such as dipyrinones<sup>23-25</sup> and pyrrole-furan adducts<sup>26</sup> as well as 3H-pyrazol-3-one-furan adducts,<sup>27</sup> isoindolone pyrrole adducts,<sup>28</sup> and 2*H*-Indol-2-one-pyridine adducts<sup>29</sup> which hold promise as potential pharmaceuticals. In general, the described procedure provides reaction products in moderate to high yields,

however, it is important to note that continual monitoring of the reaction progress is essential for successful outcomes. In some of our preliminary trials, it was found that heating for excessive reaction times, well beyond (5 – 24 h) the completion of the reaction, led to decomposition products which can complicate the subsequent purification steps. For this reason, it is highly recommended that TLC analysis is performed at 1 h, 3 h, 6 h, 12 h, and 24 h time marks to monitor the reaction progress and to gain a sense of the reaction rate, as well as the rate of product decomposition.

Dipyrrinone analogs **16** – **25**, in the protonated/neutral state, possess a range of solubility properties in commonly used organic solvents which can be problematic when studying photophysical, biological, and analytical properties. In general, **16** – **25** had varied solubility in water, alcohol solvents (methanol/ethanol), and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> but all had good solubility in highly polar aprotic solvents such as DMF, DMSO, and acetonitrile. Consequently, all stock solutions for UV/Vis (Step 3.1 of protocol) and fluorescence studies (Step 4.1 of protocol) and most NMR studies were carried out using DMSO or DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>. Though most compounds required gentle heating (using a heat gun) to completely solubilize in DMSO, once dissolved, **16** – **25** appear to remain soluble and can even be diluted in aqueous solutions without precipitating. Due to the highly polar nature of the ionic state, analogs **16** – **25** in basic solution are highly water soluble but have little solubility in organic solvents.

While the Claisen-Schmidt condensation reaction provides access to a range of methine-linked bicyclic aromatic compounds, beyond the dipyrrinone analogs describe within, the reaction conditions can limit the types of molecules produced through this method. As a fundamental requirement of the reaction, both an enolizable nucleophile (such as a pyrrolinone or isoindolone) and a non-enolizable aldehyde electrophile must react to enable the condensation. Failure to meet this basic requirement may result in the inability to link together the ring systems and/or the generation of competing side products. In addition, considerably basic conditions are used for generating the enolate nucleophile, which can create incompatibilities with functional groups (i.e. esters, nitriles, halides, etc.) that are susceptible to reactions with hydroxide. In such cases, it is possible to substitute hydroxide with nitrogenous bases or carbonate, as has been accomplished with 1,8-Diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (DBU),<sup>30</sup> triethylamine,<sup>31</sup> piperidine,<sup>32</sup> Hünig's base,<sup>33</sup> and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>.<sup>34</sup> In order to carry out an analogous reaction, we chose to use sodium hydroxide simply due to its availability and relative expense. While these constraints may require modifications to the procedure to access specific compounds or prevent access to others altogether, the method outlined in the protocol can provide a means of coupling aromatic rings for numerous systems through a procedurally simple and cost-effective single step reaction. In the case of dipyrrinone analogs **16** – **25**, the Claisen-Schmidt condensation has enabled one of the most accessible routes to pH dependent fluorophores described to date.

The Claisen-Schmidt condensation reaction has the potential to serve as a key reaction for the creation of a range of different bicyclic and tricyclic fluorophore systems. While this reaction has been critical to the development of 3H,5H-dipyrrolo[1,2-c:2',1'-f]pyrimidin-3-ones (**1**), xanthogluos (**2**), pyrroloindolizinedione analogs (**3**, Figure 1), and most recently dipyrrinone analogs **16** – **25**, it is possible to generate a range of completely novel

fluorescent systems through pairing the Claisen-Schmidt condensation with molecular designs to restrict photoisomeric processes. More specific to the study at hand, future designs of dipyrinone analogs will likely be developed using this outlined procedure in order to generate fluorescent compounds with stronger intramolecular hydrogen bonding capacity and lower  $pK_a$  values. We anticipate these enhanced pH dependent probes will possess higher quantum yields while enabling the visualization of pH fluctuations for a wider range of intracellular events.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:

Z.R.W. and N.B. thank the NIH (2P20 GM103440-14A1) for their generous funding as well as Jungjae Koh and the University of Nevada, Las Vegas for their assistance in acquiring  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR. Additionally, we would like to thank NSC visual media students, Arnold Placencia-Flores and Alistair Cooper for their help in the filming and animation processes within the cinematography portions of this manuscript.

## REFERENCES:

1. Abbandonato G; Signore G; Nifosi R; Voliani V; Bizzarri R; Beltram F, Cis-trans photoisomerization properties of GFP chromophore analogs. *European Biophysics Journal* 2011, 40 (11), 1205–1214. [PubMed: 21879297]
2. Funakoshi H; Haba K; Kobara K; Taniguchi H; Tamagake K; Fujita Y, Spectroscopic studies on merocyanine photoisomers. IV. Catalytic isomerization of photoisomers of merocyanine derivatives in protic solvents. *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi* 1989, (9), 1516–22.
3. Puzicha G; Shroud DP; Lightner DA, Synthesis and properties of homologated and contracted dipyrinone analogs of xanthobilirubic acid. *Journal of Heterocyclic Chemistry* 1990, 27 (7), 2117–23.
4. Bonnett R; Hamzesh D; Asuncion Valles M, Propentdyopents [5-(2-oxo-2H-pyrrol-5-ylmethylene)pyrrol-2(5H)-ones] and related compounds. Part 2. The  $Z \rightleftharpoons E$  photoisomerization of pyromethenone systems. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkins Transactions 1* 1987, (6), 1383–8.
5. Tikhomirova K; Anisimov A; Khoroshutin A, Biscyclohexane-Annulated Diethyl Dipyrindicarboxylates: Observation of a Dipyrin Form with Absent Visible Absorption. *European Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2012, 2012 (11), 2201–2207, S2201/1–S2201/8.
6. Brower JO; Lightner DA, Synthesis and spectroscopic properties of a new class of strongly fluorescent dipyrinones. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2002, 67 (8), 2713–2716.
7. Woydziak ZR; Boiadjev SE; Norona WS; McDonagh AF; Lightner DA, Synthesis and Hepatic Transport of Strongly Fluorescent Cholephilic Dipyrinones. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2005, 70 (21), 8417–8423.
8. Jarvis T; Saint-Louis CJ; Fisch AR; Barnes KL; Dean D; Flores LA; Hunt TF; Munro L; Simmons TJ; Catalano VJ; Zhu L; Schrock AK; Huggins MT, Pyrrole  $\beta$ -amides: Synthesis and characterization of a dipyrinone carboxylic acid and an N-Confused fluorescent dipyrinone. *Tetrahedron* 2018, 74 (14), 1698–1704.
9. Bodio E; Denat F; Goze C, BODIPYS and aza-BODIPY derivatives as promising fluorophores for in vivo molecular imaging and theranostic applications. *Journal of Porphyrins and Phthalocyanines* 2019, 23 (11/12), 1159–1183.
10. Acharya A; Bogdanov AM; Grigorenko BL; Bravaya KB; Nemukhin AV; Lukyanov KA; Krylov AI, Photoinduced Chemistry in Fluorescent Proteins: Curse or Blessing? *Chemical Reviews* 2017, 117 (2), 758–795. [PubMed: 27754659]
11. Romei MG; Lin C-Y; Mathews II; Boxer SG, Electrostatic control of photoisomerization pathways in proteins. *Science* 2020, 367 (6473), 76–79. [PubMed: 31896714]
12. Benson N; Suleiman O; Odoh SO; Woydziak ZR, Pyrazole, Imidazole, and Isoindolone Dipyrinone Analogues: pH-Dependent Fluorophores That Red-Shift Emission Frequencies in a Basic Solution. *Journal of Organic Chemistry* 2019, 84 (18), 11856–11862.

13. Xie P; Gao G; Liu J; Jin Q; Yang G, A New Turn on Fluorescent Probe for Selective Detection of Cysteine/Homocysteine. *Journal of Fluorescence* 2015, 25 (5), 1315–1321. [PubMed: 26179077]
14. Alty IG; Cheek DW; Chen T; Smith DB; Walhout EQ; Abelt CJ, Intramolecular Hydrogen-Bonding Effects on the Fluorescence of PRODAN Derivatives. *Journal of Physical Chemistry A* 2016, 120 (20), 3518–3523.
15. Yang Y; Li D; Li C; Liu YF; Jiang K, Hydrogen bond strengthening induces fluorescence quenching of PRODAN derivative by turning on twisted intramolecular charge transfer. *Spectrochimica Acta, Part A* 2017, 187, 68–74.
16. Zhang L; Liu J; Gao J; Zhang F; Ding L, High solid fluorescence of a pyrazoline derivative through hydrogen bonding. *Molecules* 2017, 22 (8), 1304/1–1304/7.
17. Williams ATR; Winfield SA; Miller JN, Relative fluorescence quantum yields using a computer-controlled luminescence spectrometer. *Analyst* 1983, 108 (1290), 1067–71.
18. Eaton DF, Reference materials for fluorescence measurement. *Pure and Applied Chemistry* 1988, 60 (7), 1107–14.
19. Dawson WR; Windsor MW, Fluorescence yields of aromatic compounds. *Journal of Physical Chemistry* 1968, 72 (9), 3251–60.
20. Zhang X-F; Zhang J; Lu X, The Fluorescence Properties of Three Rhodamine Dye Analogues: Acridine Red, Pyronin Y and Pyronin B. *Journal of Fluorescence* 2015, 25 (4), 1151–1158. [PubMed: 26162989]
21. Zanker V; Rammensee H; Haibach T, Measurements of the relative quantum yields of the fluorescence of acridine and fluorescein dyes. *Zeitschrift für Angewandte Physik* 1958, 10, 357–361.
22. Mujumdar RB; Ernst LA; Mujumdar SR; Lewis CJ; Waggoner AS, Cyanine dye labeling reagents: Sulfoindocyanine succinimidyl esters. *Bioconjugate Chemistry* 1993, 4 (2), 105–111. [PubMed: 7873641]
23. Battersby AR; Dutton CJ; Fookes CJR, Synthetic studies relevant to biosynthetic research on vitamin B12. Part 7. Synthesis of (±)-bonellin dimethyl ester. *Journal of the Chemical Society, Perkin Transactions 1* 1988, (6), 1569–76.
24. Pfeiffer WP; Lightner DA, (m,n)-Homorubins: syntheses and structures. *Monatschfte für Chemie* 2014, 145 (11), 1777–1801.
25. Huggins MT; Musto C; Munro L; Catalano VJ, Molecular recognition studies with a simple dipyrinone. *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63 (52), 12994–12999.
26. Grosej U; Ciber L; Gnidovec J; Testen Z; Pozgan F; Stefane B; Tavcar G; Svete J; Ricko S, Synthesis of Spiro-82-Pyrrolin-4-One Pseudo Enantiomers via an Organocatalyzed Sulfa-Michael/Aldol Domino Sequence. *Advanced Synthesis & Catalyst* 2019, 361 (22), 5118–5126.
27. El-Shwiniy WH; Shehab WS; Mohamed SF; Ibrahim HG, Synthesis and cytotoxic evaluation of some substituted pyrazole zirconium(IV) complexes and their biological assay. *Applied Organometallic Chemistry* 2018, 32 (10), n/a.
28. Murray L; O'Farrell A-M; Abrams T Preparation of indolinone compounds for treatment of excessive osteolysis. US20040209937A1, 2004.
29. Lozinskaya NA; Babkov DA; Zaryanova EV; Bezsonova EN; Efremov AM; Tsymlyakov MD; Anikina LV; Zakharyasheva OY; Borisov AV; Perfilova VN; Tyurenkov IN; Proskurnina MV; Spasov AA, Synthesis and biological evaluation of 3-substituted 2-oxindole derivatives as new glycogen synthase kinase 3 $\beta$  inhibitors. *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry* 2019, 27 (9), 1804–1817. [PubMed: 30902399]
30. Montforts FP; Schwartz UM, A directed synthesis of the chlorin system. *Liebigs Annalen der Chemie* 1985, (6), 1228–53.
31. Uddin MI; Thirumalairajan S; Crawford SM; Cameron TS; Thompson A, Improved synthetic route to C-ring ester-functionalized prodigiosenes. *Synlett* 2010, (17), 2561–2564.
32. Brower JO; Lightner DA; McDonagh AF, Aromatic congeners of bilirubin: synthesis, stereochemistry, glucuronidation and hepatic transport. *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57 (37), 7813–7827.
33. Clift MD; Thomson RJ, Development of a Merged Conjugate Addition/Oxidative Coupling Sequence. Application to the Enantioselective Total Synthesis of Metacycloprodigiosin and

Prodigiosin R1. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 2009, 131 (40), 14579–14583.  
[PubMed: 19754115]


34. Brower JO; Lightner DA; McDonagh AF, Synthesis of a New Lipophilic Bilirubin. Conformation, Transhepatic Transport and Glucuronidation. *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56 (40), 7869–7883.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




**Figure 1.**

Light excitation and relaxation processes for methine-linked bicyclic aromatic systems.


(A) Methine-linked bicyclic aromatic systems, without restricted bond rotation, typically undergo a photoisomerization/non-radiative decay process when excited with radiation.

(B) Methine-linked bicyclic aromatic systems, with restricted bond rotation, typically enter an excited singlet state and relax via fluorescence when excited with radiation.




**Figure 2.**  
Structures of methine-linked aromatic ring systems that possess restricted rotation.






**Figure 3.** Vinylogous enolate generation, aldol addition, and condensation steps for pyrrolinone analog synthesis.



**Figure 4.**  
Dipyrrinone Analog Products 16–15.

**Table 1.**Conditions and reaction yields for the synthesis of **16–25**<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Pyrrolinone/Isoindolone	Aldehyde	Yield (%) <sup>b</sup>	Time (h)	Product
1	<b>9</b>	<b>12</b>	80	24	<b>16</b>
2	<b>9</b>	<b>13</b>	41	24	<b>17</b>
3	<b>9</b>	<b>14</b>	79	24	<b>18</b>
4	<b>9</b>	<b>15</b>	61	24	<b>19</b>
5	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	96	6	<b>20</b>
6	<b>10</b>	<b>13</b>	70	24	<b>21</b>
7	<b>10</b>	<b>14</b>	66	24	<b>22</b>
8	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	49	30	<b>23</b>
9	<b>11</b>	<b>13</b>	49	27	<b>24</b>
10	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	94	24	<b>25</b>

<sup>a</sup>Reactions performed on a 1 mmol scale in 5 mL of EtOH.<sup>b</sup>Isolated Yield.

**Table 2.**

Photophysical properties and pK<sub>a</sub> values of **6–14** and **22** in pH 7.0 PBS buffer and 1 M NaOH (given in parenthesis).

Compound	Abs. $\lambda_{\max}$ (nm)	$\epsilon$ (M <sup>-1</sup> cm <sup>-1</sup> )	Fluor. $\lambda_{\max}$ (nm)	$\Phi^b$	pK <sub>a</sub>
<b>16</b>	351 (384)	24500 (22800)	451 (482)	0.30 (0.30)	12.7
<b>17</b>	338 (380)	18600 (18600)	442 (462)	0.01(0.03)	12.8
<b>18</b>	324 (349)	29800 (25700)	455 (465)	0.01(0.02)	13.0
<b>19</b>	326 (358)	29900(21300)	— <sup>a</sup>	— <sup>a</sup>	12.9
<b>20</b>	365 (378)	15000 (15500)	457 (475)	0.22(0.20)	12.5
<b>21</b>	355 (380)	15100 (16800)	409 (443)	0.03 (0.01)	12.9
<b>22</b>	341 (363)	19800 (23100)	427 (452)	0.02 (0.01)	>13.5
<b>23</b>	360 (373)	29000 (21300)	449 (474)	0.25 (0.26)	12.0
<b>24</b>	351 (373)	17200 (19400)	432 (454)	0.07 (0.05)	12.8
<b>25</b>	340 (357)	20200 (23500)	410 (449)	0.02 (0.02)	>13.5

<sup>a</sup>Fluorescence was not detectable for **19**.

<sup>b</sup>Quinine (Q = 0.55)<sup>15</sup> and Anthracene (Q = 0.27)<sup>15, 16</sup> were used as standards.