

ERRATUM

Diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* Infection by PCR Using Vaginal Swab Samples

GUILLERMO MADICO, THOMAS C. QUINN, ANNE ROMPALO,
KELLY T. MCKEE, JR., AND CHARLOTTE A. GAYDOS

*School of Hygiene and Public Health and School of Medicine, The Johns Hopkins University,
Baltimore, Maryland; Cayetano Heredia University, Lima, Peru; National Institute
of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda,
Maryland; and Womack Army Medical Center,
Fort Bragg, North Carolina*

Volume 36, no. 11, p. 3205–3210, 1998. Page 3206, column 1, line 5 from bottom: “30°C” should read “37°C.”

Page 3206, column 2, line 17 from bottom: “2.5 mM” should read “0.25 mM.”

Page 3209, column 1, lines 11 to 14: Although the study we cited (M. J. Wawer et al., *Lancet* **345**:131–132, 1995) found the rate of diagnosis of *Trichomonas vaginalis* infection obtained with self-collected vaginal samples to be comparable to the rate obtained with vaginal samples collected by health workers from prenatal clinics in African settings, we acknowledge that Schwebke et al. were the first to report comparability between the two techniques in a controlled study (J. R. Schwebke, S. C. Morgan, and G. B. Pinson, *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **35**:1618–1619, 1997).