

RESEARCH

Open Access



COVID-19-related acute kidney injury; incidence, risk factors and outcomes in a large UK cohort

Paul D. Jewell¹, Kate Bramham^{1,2}, James Galloway^{2,3}, Frank Post^{2,4}, Sam Norton², James Teo⁵, Richard Fisher⁶, Rohit Saha⁶, Sam Hutchings⁶, Phil Hopkins^{1,6}, Priscilla Smith¹, Jennifer Joslin¹, Satish Jayawardene¹, Sarah Mackie¹, Ali Mudhaffer¹, Amelia Holloway¹, Henry Kibble¹, Mosammat Akter¹, Benjamin Zuckerman¹, Kieran Palmer¹, Ciara Murphy¹, Domniki Iatropoulou¹, Claire C. Sharpe^{1,2} and Eirini Lioudaki^{1*}

Abstract

Background: Acute kidney injury (AKI) is common among patients hospitalised with COVID-19 and associated with worse prognosis. The aim of this study was to investigate the epidemiology, risk factors and outcomes of AKI in patients with COVID-19 in a large UK tertiary centre.

Methods: We analysed data of consecutive adults admitted with a laboratory-confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19 across two sites of a hospital in London, UK, from 1st January to 13th May 2020.

Results: Of the 1248 inpatients included, 487 (39%) experienced AKI (51% stage 1, 13% stage 2, and 36% stage 3). The weekly AKI incidence rate gradually increased to peak at week 5 (3.12 cases/100 patient-days), before reducing to its nadir (0.83 cases/100 patient-days) at the end the study period (week 10). Among AKI survivors, 84.0% had recovered renal function to pre-admission levels before discharge and none required on-going renal replacement therapy (RRT). Pre-existing renal impairment [odds ratio (OR) 3.05, 95%CI 2.24–4.18; $p < 0.0001$], and inpatient diuretic use (OR 1.79, 95%CI 1.27–2.53; $p < 0.005$) were independently associated with a higher risk for AKI. AKI was a strong predictor of 30-day mortality with an increasing risk across AKI stages [adjusted hazard ratio (HR) 1.59 (95%CI 1.19–2.13) for stage 1; $p < 0.005$, 2.71 (95%CI 1.82–4.05); $p < 0.001$ for stage 2 and 2.99 (95%CI 2.17–4.11); $p < 0.001$ for stage 3]. One third of AKI3 survivors (30.7%), had newly established renal impairment at 3 to 6 months.

Conclusions: This large UK cohort demonstrated a high AKI incidence and was associated with increased mortality even at stage 1. Inpatient diuretic use was linked to a higher AKI risk. One third of survivors with AKI3 exhibited newly established renal impairment already at 3–6 months.

Keywords: COVID-19, AKI, Mortality, Renal replacement therapy

Introduction

Since its emergence in December 2019, Coronavirus disease-2019 (COVID-19) caused by the newly recognised severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2019 (SARS-CoV-2), has affected millions of individuals worldwide, with considerable morbidity and mortality. Despite initial reports of low incidence [1–4], acute kidney injury (AKI) in patients hospitalised with COVID-19 has now

*Correspondence: eirini.lioudaki1@nhs.net

¹ Renal Unit, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London SE5 9RS, UK

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article



been recognised as an important disease complication. So far, evidence is predominantly sourced from large US series [5, 6] while UK data are limited [7]. The presence of AKI is associated with higher mortality risk in the context of acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) of all aetiologies [8] and, although causality is not established, AKI has been linked to an increased risk of chronic kidney disease (CKD) in individuals with previously normal renal function [9].

In light of potential short- and long-term implications, it is important to understand the epidemiology of all AKI stages in patients with COVID-19 globally but also locally and identify potential risk factors. These findings may provide meaningful insight, with regards to resource allocation, clinical management and research planning during the next phases of the pandemic. Within our centre, one of London's busiest covering a 1.2 million population area, we care for a diverse population, and we continue to manage a substantial number of patients with COVID-19.

The aim of this study was to describe the incidence of different stages of AKI in patients admitted to our centre with COVID-19, identify risk factors and explore mortality and renal specific outcomes in patients with COVID-19 related AKI.

Methods

Study design

We performed a retrospective cohort study across two sites of King's College Hospital, London, to investigate AKI in patients admitted with COVID-19. The hospital serves a multi-ethnic population of approximately 1.2 million. The study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and under London South East Research Ethics Committee (reference 18/LO/2048) approval granted to the King's Electronic Records Research Interface (KERRI); specific work on COVID-19 research was reviewed in March 2020 and reaffirmed in April 2020 with expert patient input on a virtual committee with Caldicott Guardian oversight. Additional lawful data processing was permitted under the Health Service Control of Patient Information Regulations 2002 (COPI) Notice, which was issued by the UK Secretary of State for Health and Social Care in March 2020 providing a legal basis for processing confidential patient information without consent for Covid-19 healthcare.

Data acquisition and study population

All adult hospital admissions with a positive nasopharyngeal swab test for SARS-CoV-2 from 01 January to 13 May 2020 were screened for suitability (not including inter-hospital transfers due to lack of information).

Patients who required admission with a clinical presentation consistent with COVID-19, and a first positive SARS-CoV-2 swab test on, or at up to 7 days after admission were suitable for inclusion in the analysis. Patients with probable hospital-acquired COVID-19 as defined by a first positive swab test occurring on or after the 8th day of hospitalisation [10] or end-stage renal disease (ESRD) already requiring renal replacement therapy (RRT) including all patients with kidney transplants, were excluded. Kidney transplant patients were excluded because of different physiology, patient characteristics and susceptibility to kidney insult as compared to non-transplant AKI. Patients who were transferred between the two hospital sites were treated as one admission. When more than one admission for one patient was identified, the first hospitalisation (chronologically related to first positive swab) was included.

Data were obtained from the Electronic Health Records (EHR; Sunrise Clinical Manager-Allscripts, Renalware and IntelliSpace Critical Care and Anaesthesia, Philipps). Demographics included age, gender and self-identified ethnicity coded as White, Black, Asian, or other (including "mixed"). We collected data on comorbidities (hypertension, diabetes, cardiovascular disease including ischaemic heart disease and heart failure, malignancy, neurological disease including dementia, lung disease and smoking status), admission laboratory results (haemoglobin, neutrophil:lymphocyte ratio, platelet count, urea, sodium, albumin, CRP) and chest radiograph, peak results of D-dimer, troponin and creatine kinase (CK) during admission, medication history (specifically ACE inhibitor (ACEi) or angiotensin-receptor blocker (ARB) usage and immunosuppression), inpatient diuretic administration (use of oral or intravenous diuretics for at least 24h), inpatient diagnosis of deep vein thrombosis (DVT) or pulmonary embolism (PE), and admission to intensive care unit (ICU). Admission chest radiograph is defined as abnormal if the radiologist's report is consistent with features of COVID-19. Serial creatinine measurements were recorded for up to the first 30 days of hospitalisation and on discharge. A history of CKD was validated by historical estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) (see below). Information on historical creatinine measurements were collected individually from EHR. Outcome data were recorded, including need for RRT and inpatient mortality and/or at 30 days. The RRT modalities used included continuous veno-venous haemodiafiltration (CVVHDF), intermittent haemodialysis (HD) and peritoneal dialysis (PD). Additional data for patients admitted to ICU were also collected [use of mechanical ventilation, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO), and vasopressor support].

Definitions and calculations

Diagnosis and stage of CKD were defined according to Kidney Disease Improving Global Outcomes (KDIGO) criteria [11]. The diagnosis of AKI was made using KDIGO criteria [12] and classified accordingly as stage 1 (increase in serum creatinine of ≥ 26.5 $\mu\text{mol/l}$ or 1.5–1.9 times baseline creatinine), stage 2 (increase to 2.0–2.9 times from baseline) or stage 3 (increase > 3 times baseline serum creatinine or a peak serum creatinine ≥ 353.6 $\mu\text{mol/l}$ or initiation of RRT). Urine output was not used as part of the definition as it is not recorded in EHR. Historical baseline creatinine was recorded as the most recent measurement within 8 to 365 days prior to hospital admission. When this was not available and there was no documentation of CKD, the lowest creatinine during admission in the absence of RRT was recorded as baseline provided this was within the normal range. If the nadir creatinine was not within the normal range and there was no record of CKD, baseline creatinine was imputed based on gender and race using the Modification of Diet in Renal Disease (MDRD) Study equation assuming that baseline eGFR is 75 ml/min per 1.73m² [11]. Baseline eGFR was calculated using the MDRD formula and the recorded baseline creatinine value. Weekly incidence rates of AKI were calculated using new cases of AKI during each seven-day time period as the numerator, and the number of patients susceptible to AKI during the seven-day time period as the denominator. These rates were then converted and presented as rates per 100-person days.

Recovery from AKI was defined as the absence of any stage AKI in the last recorded creatinine during hospitalisation (i.e. serum creatinine < 1.5 times the baseline creatinine), in the absence of RRT [13, 14]. Follow-up creatinine data at 3 to 6 months were collected for patients with an AKI stage 3.

Outcomes

The outcomes were the development of AKI, RRT requirements, AKI recovery and mortality.

Statistical methods

Numerical data are expressed as mean with standard deviation (SD) or median with interquartile range (IQR) where appropriate. Categorical data are presented as absolute number with percentage. Comparison between groups was performed using Chi-squared or Fisher exact test for categorical variables, and Student's t-test or Wilcoxon rank test for continuous variables. All tests were two-sided and a p -value < 0.05 was considered as significant. Binary logistic regression was used to identify factors associated with AKI with odds ratios (ORs) and 95%

confidence intervals presented (CIs). Cox proportional hazards regression was used to perform a time-to-event analysis for 30-day mortality (defined as death within 30 days from date of admission). Hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs are presented and supplemented by Kaplan-Meier survival curves to demonstrate the effect of AKI on the outcome. For both the logistic and Cox regression analyses, initially a single variable analysis was used to determine factors associated with outcome unadjusted for other variables. Where the association was significant at $p < 0.10$, the variables were included in multivariable models to determine association with the outcome adjusting for potential confounders. This included age, ethnicity, comorbidities (CKD, hypertension, diabetes, neurological and lung disease) and laboratory results (albumin, CRP, neutrophil:lymphocyte ratio). Missing data were excluded from the analyses: 52 (4.1%) and 39 (3.1%) observations in the logistic and Cox multivariable regression models respectively. Imputation of missing data was not performed due to small volume of missing data. R statistical package version 1.4.1106 was used for all statistical analysis.

Results

AKI incidence and patient characteristics

A total of 1248 patients were included in the final analysis (Suppl. material Fig. 1). Demographics and baseline clinical characteristics are described in Table 1. Mean age was 69 years (SD 17.1), 58.8% were male, 49.1% were of white and 27.4% of black ethnicity. A diagnosis of pre-existing CKD stages 3–5 (baseline eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73m²) was present in 16.6%; 14.0% had CKD stage 3, 2.1% CKD stage 4 and 0.5% CKD stage 5 (not on RRT).

A total of 487 patients (39%) developed AKI and the proportion of these with pre-existing CKD was significantly higher at 26.7%, compared to those without AKI (10.1%, $p < 0.001$). Hypertension (54.6%) and diabetes (32.7%) were the most common comorbidities and were both significantly more frequent among patients with AKI (68.8 and 40.9%, respectively) than those without (45.5 and 27.4%, respectively, $p < 0.001$ for both) (Table 1).

Admission laboratory results, clinical characteristics and outcomes are described in Table 1 and Suppl. Table 1. Inpatient diuretics were more frequently used among patients with AKI compared to those without (22.3 vs 12.1%; $p < 0.001$). Patients who developed AKI more commonly suffered an acute venous thromboembolism (10.9% vs 4.3%, $p < 0.001$), were admitted to ICU (30.6% vs 5.7% without AKI, $p < 0.001$) and/or died compared to those who did not (42.1% vs 16.8%, $p < 0.001$).

The weekly incidence rate increased over time to peak at week 5 i.e., midpoint of observation period (3.12 new cases per 100 patient-days) and then gradually declined

Table 1 Baseline and admission characteristics

	All (n = 1248)	No AKI (n = 761)	All AKI (n = 487)	AKI1 (n = 248)	AKI2 (n = 64)	AKI3 (n = 175)	p ^b	p ^c for trend
Demographics								
Age (years) mean (SD)	69 (17.1)	67.4 (18.2)	71.2 (14.7)	74.4 (14.4)	76.3 (13.2)	64.7 (13.4)	0.002	< 0.001
Male , n (%)	734 (58.8%)	423 (55.6%)	311 (63.9%)	150 (60.5%)	40 (62.5%)	121 (69.1%)	0.004	0.009
Ethnicity , n (%)							0.003	< 0.001
White	613 (49.1%)	392 (51.5%)	221 (45.4%)	130 (52.4%)	36 (56.2%)	55 (31.4%)		
Black	342 (27.4%)	183 (24%)	159 (32.6%)	69 (27.8%)	20 (31.2%)	70 (40.0%)		
Asian	102 (8.2%)	69 (9.1%)	33 (6.8%)	14 (5.6%)	4 (6.2%)	15 (8.6%)		
Mixed and other	81 (6.5%)	52 (6.8%)	29 (5.9%)	10 (4.0%)	2 (3.1%)	17 (9.8%)		
Unknown	110 (8.8%)	65 (8.5%)	45 (9.2%)	25 (10.1%)	2 (3.1%)	18 (10.3%)		
Comorbidities								
CKD^a , n (%)	207 (26.6%)	77 (10.1%)	130 (26.7%)	75(30.2%)	18(28.2%)	37(21.1%)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Hypertension , n (%)	681 (54.6%)	346 (45.5%)	335 (68.8%)	176 (71.0%)	44 (68.8%)	115 (65.7%)	< 0.001	< 0.001
IHD , n (%)	180 (14.5%)	101 (13.4%)	79 (16.2%)	43 (17.3%)	11 (17.2%)	25 (14.3%)	0.162	0.426
Heart failure , n (%)	161 (12.9%)	79 (10.4%)	82 (16.8%)	50 (20.2%)	13 (20.3%)	19 (10.9%)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Diabetes , n (%)	406 (32.7%)	207 (27.4%)	199 (40.9%)	100 (40.3%)	25 (39.7%)	74 (42.3%)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Malignancy , n (%)	207 (16.6%)	122 (16.0%)	85 (17.5%)	53 (21.4%)	13 (20.6%)	19 (10.9%)	0.500	0.027
Neurological history , n (%)							0.071	< 0.001
Dementia	203 (16.3%)	112 (14.7%)	91 (18.7%)	61 (24.6%)	16 (25.0%)	14 (8.0%)		
Other	163 (13.1%)	109 (14.3%)	54 (11.1%)	30 (12.1%)	9 (14.1%)	15 (8.6%)		
Lung disease , n (%)							0.007	0.028
Asthma	118 (9.5%)	85 (11.2%)	33 (6.8%)	16 (6.5%)	2 (3.1%)	15 (8.6%)		
COPD	122 (9.8%)	70 (9.2%)	52 (10.7%)	28 (11.3%)	9 (14.1%)	15 (8.6%)		
Other	75 (6%)	36 (4.7%)	39 (8.0%)	23 (9.3%)	2 (3.1%)	14 (8.0%)		
Smoking status , n (%), (n = 296)							0.101	0.252
Current	41(4.3%)	23 (3.9%)	18 (4.9%)	12 (6.2%)	2 (4.4%)	4 (3.1%)		
Ex	287 (30.1%)	164 (27.9%)	123 (33.7%)	68 (35.4%)	16 (35.6%)	39 (30.5%)		
Medications								
ACEi or ARB usage , n (%)	331 (26.5%)	161 (21.2%)	170 (34.9%)	92 (37.1%)	20 (31.2%)	58 (33.1%)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Immunosuppression , n (%)	120 (9.7%)	71 (9.4%)	49 (10.1%)	25 (10.1%)	9 (14.1%)	15 (8.6%)	0.679	0.624
Laboratory results								
Neutrophil:Lymphocyte ratio , Median (IQR)	5.6 (3.6, 9.5)	5.2 (3.3, 8.6)	6.5 (4.1, 10.9)	6.1 (3.8, 10.6)	6.0 (3.5, 14.0)	7.4 (4.7, 11.1)	< 0.001	< 0.001
CRP (mg/l), Median (IQR)	84 (38.9, 151)	74 (33.4, 133)	107.8 (49.4, 170.3)	80 (41.3, 142.0)	108.2 (40.4, 169.6)	136.0 (79.7, 241.4)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Albumin (g/l), Median (IQR)	37 (34.0, 40)	38 (35.0, 41)	37 (34.0, 39.0)	37 (34, 39.5)	36 (31.5, 39)	37 (34.0, 39)	< 0.001	< 0.001
Haemoglobin (g/dl), Median (IQR)	133 (120, 145)	133 (121, 144)	132 (116, 145)	127 (112, 141)	131 (115, 145)	138.0 (124, 152)	0.125	< 0.001
Platelet count ($\times 10^9/l$), Median (IQR)	216(165, 274)	214(168, 270)	217 (162, 279)	223 (162, 283)	215 (161, 275)	212 (163, 278)	0.882	0.926
Clinical characteristics								
Inpatient diuretic use ,n (%)	199 (16.1%)	91 (12.1%)	108 (22.3%)	64 (25.8%)	14 (21.9%)	30 (17.4%)	< 0.001	< 0.001

Table 1 (Continued)

	All (n = 1248)	No AKI (n = 761)	All AKI (n = 487)	AKI1 (n = 248)	AKI2 (n = 64)	AKI3 (n = 175)	p ^b	p ^c for trend
Inpatient PE or DVT, n (%)	86 (6.9%)	33 (4.3%)	53 (10.9%)	13 (5.3%)	5 (7.8%)	35 (20.1%)	< 0.001	< 0.001
ICU admission, n (%)	192 (15.4%)	43 (5.7%)	149 (30.6%)	16 (6.5%)	7 (10.9%)	126 (72%)	< 0.001	< 0.001

AKI acute kidney injury, CKD chronic kidney disease, IHD ischaemic heart disease, COPD chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, ACE-I angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB angiotensin receptor blocker, PE pulmonary embolism, DVT deep vein thrombosis, ICU intensive care unit

^a defined as eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73m²

^b comparison between AKI vs non-AKI

^c comparison across AKI stage subgroups

to reach a nadir at week 10 (end of observation period) (0.83 new cases per 100 patient-days) (Fig. 1).

Renal function and outcomes

Renal outcomes are presented in Table 2. Of the 487 cases of AKI, 51% met KDIGO criteria for stage 1 ($n=248$), 13% ($n=64$) stage 2 and 36% ($n=175$) stage 3. A total of 109 patients (8.7% of total, 22% of all AKI) required RRT and the relevant modalities included CVVHDF, HD, PD or any combination of those (different modalities for a single patient were used at different times and according to clinical indication and resource availability) [15]. None of the patients required RRT following discharge from the hospital. Of those discharged alive, AKI had resolved in 84.0% of all patients affected, and 69.7% of those with AKI3 prior to discharge.

Follow up data at 3 to 6 months were available for 78.3% of survivors with AKI stage 3 (65 out of 83), the vast majority of which (80%) had no pre-existing renal impairment. An eGFR drop from baseline equal or higher than 15 ml/min/1.73m² was noted in 30.7%, while 21.5% presented an eGFR newly established at below 60 ml/min/1.73m².

Binary logistic regression for AKI

Multivariate logistic regression analysis revealed that pre-existing CKD (baseline eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73m²) was associated with a 3-fold risk of AKI (OR 3.05; 95%CI 2.24–4.18, $p < 0.0001$) adjusted for demographics and comorbidities (Table 3). Other variables independently associated with increased AKI risk were male sex (OR 1.45; 95%CI 1.12–1.89, $p = 0.005$), black ethnicity (OR 1.76; 95%CI 1.26–2.45, $p < 0.005$), hypertension (OR 1.66; 95%CI 1.23–2.24, $p < 0.005$) and inpatient diuretic use (OR 1.79; 95%CI 1.27–2.53, $p < 0.005$).

Cox regression analysis

A total of 27.9% of the whole study population died in hospital. Mortality rates were significantly higher among patients who developed AKI than the ones who did not (44.4% vs 17.3%, $p < 0.001$) (Table 1 and suppl. Table 2a).

The multiple Cox regression analysis for 30-day mortality demonstrated that AKI of all stages was independently associated with risk of death at 30 days (OR 1.59; 95%CI 1.19–2.13, $p = 0.016$ for AKI stage 1, OR 2.71; 95%CI 1.82–4.05, $p < 0.001$ for AKI stage 2, OR 2.99; 95%CI 2.17–4.11, $p < 0.001$ for AKI stage 3) (Table 4a). The increased mortality associated with AKI is also illustrated in the Kaplan-Meier curve (Fig. 2). In order to account for potential bias from selection of admission for patients with more than one admission during that period of time, we performed a sensitivity analysis on mortality excluding them ($n = 17$), which suggested similar results (Table 4b and suppl. Table 2b).

Discussion

In this cohort study conducted across two sites of a large tertiary centre in London, UK, we demonstrated a high AKI incidence of 39% in patients hospitalised for COVID-19. Acute kidney injury appeared to be an independent risk factor for mortality across all stages of severity, including stage 1.

To the best of our knowledge this is the largest patient series from the UK reporting on COVID-19 AKI, and is the only study to report historical baseline renal function and long-term renal outcomes. Hamilton et al, who also reported data from the UK, demonstrated a lower AKI rate of 20.3% among patients hospitalised with COVID-19, however there were no data available on historical renal function and admission creatinine was considered as baseline, which might have led to underreporting of AKI incidence [7]. In our study one of the main determinants of increased AKI risk was pre-existing CKD.

AKI prevalence and incidence rates

Our findings of high AKI rates in patients with COVID-19 are consistent with large US patient series (32–46%) [5, 6, 16]. Combined data from 13 New York (NY) hospitals (total $n = 9657$), demonstrated that AKI occurred in 39.9%, with stage 1 in 17% of the whole cohort, stage 2 in 8.7% and stage 3 in 14.2% [5, 6, 16, 17]. Nevertheless, some early reports, mainly from China, suggested

considerably lower rates (0–7%) [1–4, 18, 19]. A meta-analysis of 142 studies (total $n=49,048$) reported a pooled AKI incidence of 28.6% (95%CI 19.8–39.5) among 20 studies based in the USA and Europe, and a much lower 5.5% (95%CI 4.1–7.4) among 62 studies from China [20]. Several factors may account for the variation in reported AKI rates. In most studies demonstrating low AKI rates, the reported baseline CKD rates were lower (0.7–4.3%) [2–4, 18, 19] in comparison to ours and the large US patient series [5, 6, 16]. It is difficult to determine, if these differences are related to variation in definitions and methods of recording CKD or patient characteristics among geographic areas. Furthermore,

it has been hypothesised, that this might be due to considerable variation in the admission criteria between China and Europe and US, and, therefore, differences in COVID-19 severity among study populations [20]. Lastly, there has been substantial inconsistency among definitions of baseline renal function used in previous studies [2, 3, 18, 21].

It was noted that the weekly AKI incidence rate increased over time to peak towards the middle of the study period and then declined reaching its nadir at the end of the observation period. This observation may be relevant to findings of Navaratnam et al, who suggested temporal changes of COVID-19 in-hospital mortality




Table 2 Renal characteristics and outcomes

	All (n = 1248)	No AKI (n = 761)	All AKI (n = 487)	AKI 1 (n = 248)	AKI 2 (n = 64)	AKI 3 (n = 175)	p ^b	p ^c for trend
Baseline Cr (μmol/l), Median (IQR)	81.0 (66.0, 99.0)	77.0 (64.0, 92.0)	91.0 (72.0, 116.5)	95.0 (75.0, 121.8)	85.0 (70.0, 118.2)	85.0 (70.0, 106.0)	<0.001	<0.001
Baseline eGFR (ml/min/1.73m ²), Median (IQR)	79.0 (61.4, 97.7)	82.7 (68.5, 100.7)	69.8 (48.2, 90.8)	64.4 (44.9, 85.9)	63.4 (50.2, 101.8)	77.5 (59.7, 96.8)	<0.001	<0.001
Admission (μmol/l), Median (IQR)	91.0 (71.0, 126.5)	80.0 (65.0, 96.0)	132 (98.0, 207.)	129 (101.0, 176.0)	184(117.2, 261.5)	121(87.5, 242.5)	<0.001	<0.001
Peak Cr (μmol/l), Median (IQR)	98.0 (74.8, 162.0)	81.0 (66.0, 97.0)	199.0 (134.0, 367.5)	140 (114.8, 185.8)	203.5 (165.5, 275.5)	462.(285.5, 631.0)	<0.001	<0.001
Urine protein^a (n = 287)	123 (42.9%)	53 (35.3%)	70 (51.1%)	26 (38.2%)	13 (68.4%)	31 (62.0%)	0.007	<0.001
Urine RBCs^a (n = 331)	129 (39.0%)	58 (33.0%)	71 (45.8%)	31 (39.2%)	14 (66.7%)	26 (47.3%)	0.017	0.011
RRT, n (%)	109 (8.7%)	n/a	109 (22.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	109 (62.3%)	<0.001	<0.001
RRT modality, n (%)								
CVVHDF	63 (5.0%)	n/a	63 (12.9%)	n/a	n/a	63 (36.0%)		
CVVHDF/HD	12 (1.0%)	n/a	12 (2.5%)	n/a	n/a	12 (6.9%)		
CVVHDF/PD	26 (2.1%)	n/a	26 (5.3%)	n/a	n/a	26 (14.9%)		
CVVHDF/PD/HD	4 (0.3%)	n/a	4 (0.8%)	n/a	n/a	4 (2.3%)		
HD	4 (0.3%)	n/a	4 (0.8%)	n/a	n/a	4 (2.3%)		
None	1139 (91.3%)	n/a	378 (77.6%)	n/a	n/a	66 (37.7%)		
RRT off ICU, n (%)	14 (1.1%)	n/a	14 (2.9%)	n/a	n/a	14 (8.0%)		
RRT after discharge, n (%)	0 (0.0%)	n/a	0 (0.0%)	n/a	n/a	0 (0.0%)		
Discharge Cr (μmol/l), Mean (SD)	80.0 (63.0, 114.0)	70.0 (58.0, 85.0)	124.0 (81.5, 212.5)	105.0 (79.0, 160.0)	148.0 (73.0, 202.0)	186.0 (96.0, 359.0)	<0.001	<0.001
Cr recovered to baseline, n (%) (alive patients only n = 915)	n/a	n/a	219 (84.6%)	143 (89.9%)	24 (85.7%)	52 (72.2%)		<0.001
Admission outcome, n (%)								
Death in hospital	348 (27.9%)	132 (17.3%)	216 (44.4%)	89 (35.9%)	35 (54.7%)	92 (52.6%)	<0.001	<0.001
Discharged alive	900 (72.1%)	629 (82.7%)	271 (55.6%)	159 (64.1%)	29 (45.3%)	83 (47.4%)	<0.001	<0.001

AKI acute kidney injury, Cr creatinine, IQR interquartile range, eGFR estimated glomerular filtration rate, ICU intensive care unit, RBCs red blood cells, RRT renal replacement therapy; CVVHDF continuousveno-venous haemodiafiltration, HD haemodialysis, PD peritoneal dialysis, SD standard deviation

^a in urine dip

^b comparison between AKI vs non-AKI

^c comparison across AKI stage subgroups

in England [22] with reducing rates towards May 2020. Several factors such as demographic, socioeconomic and clinical practice-related may have contributed and warrant further investigation on a broader scale.

ICU patient subgroup

The majority of patients admitted to ICU from our cohort sustained a stage 3 AKI (67%), while more than half of all ICU patients (54%) required RRT at some point. Some US patient series have reported AKI rates in ICU of more than 60% (61–78%) with RRT requirements

for 35 to 50.9% of all patients [23–25]; however, others reported lower rates (4 to 23%) [6, 26, 27]. In our centre, an increased use of PD in ICU occurred during the study period due to a shortage of CVVHDF capacity [28].

It is possible that high AKI rates in this patient cohort are partly driven by a hyperinflammatory response, as suggested in a recent analysis indicating distinct biological response patterns in COVID-19, with renal injury linked to an enhanced inflammatory state [29].

Notably, the RRT rate in our ICU cohort is considerably higher compared to the contemporaneous (up to

Table 3 Univariate and multivariate logistic regression analyses of risk factors associated with the development of AKI

Variable	Unadjusted OR	95% CI	p value	Adjusted ^a OR	95% CI	p value
Age	1.01	1.01–1.02	0.0002	1.00	1.03–1.05	0.4483
Male sex	1.41	1.11–1.79	0.0038	1.45	1.12–1.8	0.0055
Race: White ethnicity	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
Black	1.54	1.18–2.02	0.0016	1.76	0.66–1.20	0.0009
Asian	0.85	0.54–1.32	0.4701	1.05	0.57–1.38	0.8584
Mixed/Other	0.99	0.60–1.59	0.9649	1.21	0.6701.85	0.4904
Unknown	1.23	0.81–1.85	0.3313	1.56	0.52–1.35	0.0615
CKD	3.52	2.69–4.63	<0.001	3.05	1.11–1.82	0.0000
Hypertension	2.64	2.08–3.35	<0.001	1.66	0.68–1.11	0.0010
Diabetes	1.84	1.44–2.34	<0.001	1.20	0.78–1.26	0.2028
CVD	1.53	1.20–1.95	0.0006	1.10	0.82–1.48	0.5145
Lung disease	1.02	0.78–1.32	0.8958	n/a	n/a	
Malignancy	1.11	0.82–1.50	0.4998	n/a	n/a	
ACEi/ARB use	2.00	1.55–2.58	<0.001	1.26	0.93–1.71	0.1309
Inpatient diuretic use	2.09	1.54–2.84	<0.001	1.79	1.27–2.53	0.0009
Neutrophil: Lymphocyte ratio	1.03	1.02–1.05	<0.001	1.00	0.99–1.02	0.0332
Haemoglobin	1.00	0.99–1.0	0.1657	n/a	n/a	
Albumin	0.92	0.9–0.95	<0.001	0.96	0.93–1.0	0.0299
CRP	1.00	1.0–1.01	<0.001	1.00	1.00–1.00	0.0208

CKD Chronic Kidney Disease, CVD cardiovascular disease, ACE-I angiotensin-converting enzyme inhibitor, ARB angiotensin II receptor blocker, CI confidence interval, OR odds ratio

^a Variables were entered into the model when the a level of risk factor was less than 0.1

CKD was defined as baseline eGFR < 60 ml/min/1.73m²

Table 4 Cox Regression Analyses

Variable	Unadjusted HR	95% CI	p value	Adjusted HR ^b	95% CI	p value
a. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses of AKI associated with mortality at 30 days						
AKI (all stages)	2.67	2.14–3.34	0.0000		n/a	
No AKI	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
AKI stage 1	2.24	1.71–2.94	0.0000	1.59	1.19–2.13	0.0018
AKI stage 2	4.36	3.00–6.34	0.0000	2.71	1.82–4.05	0.0000
AKI stage 3	2.79	2.11–3.70	0.0000	2.99	2.17–4.11	0.0000
b. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses of AKI associated with mortality at 30 days - sensitivity analysis						
AKI (all stages)	2.67	2.14–3.34	0.0000		n/a	
No AKI	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref	Ref
AKI stage 1	2.26	1.72–2.97	0.0000	1.58	1.18–2.12	0.0020
AKI stage 2	4.25	2.91–6.2	0.0000	2.61	1.74–3.92	0.0000
AKI stage 3	2.77	2.09–3.67	0.0000	2.95	2.14–4.06	0.0000

AKI acute kidney injury, HR hazard ratio, CI, confidence interval

^a Variables were entered into the model when the level of risk factor was less than 0.1

^b adjusted for age, gender, comorbidities (chronic kidney disease, hypertension, cardiovascular disease, diabetes, malignancy, neurological disease, lung disease) and inflammatory markers (CRP, albumin, Neutrophil: Lymphocyte ratio)

June 2020) rate reported by the Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre (ICNARC) in the UK according to which, 26.2% among 9132 patients with COVID-19 required RRT at some point (5.1% of which had pre-existing ESRD on dialysis) [30]. It is not possible to

ascertain what this discrepancy on RRT rates may be attributed to; however, it is worth noting that there was a considerably higher representation of black ethnicity in our ICU cohort of 30.5% as compared to 9.2% in ICNARC data.

AKI risk factors

The strongest independent determinant of AKI was the presence of pre-admission CKD defined as $eGFR < 60 \text{ ml/min/1.73m}^2$, which increased the risk of developing AKI more than three-fold. An association with pre-admission CKD has been confirmed in other reports, including a large meta-analysis of 142 studies [5, 16, 20]. Chan et al. have similarly reported a 3-fold increase of risk for AKI in the presence of pre-admission $eGFR < 60 \text{ ml/min/1.73m}^2$ among patients hospitalised with COVID-19 ($n = 3993$) [5], while Bowe et al suggested a step-wise increase in AKI risk with CKD stage in their retrospectively studied US Veteran cohort ($n = 5216$) [16]. In addition to cardiac failure, pre-existing CKD was the only independent predictor for AKI reported by Kohle et al [31].

In our study, inpatient diuretic use was associated with a 79% higher AKI risk independent of demographics and comorbidities. Given the persisting uncertainties with regards to the management of COVID-19 AKI, caution in relation to the use of diuretics, especially in the presence of other AKI risk factors and background renal impairment may be prudent. However, due the observational nature of this study, we can only infer an association, and not a definitive causal link, between diuretic usage and AKI, and management decisions with regards to diuretic use should be made on an individual patient basis.

The changing AKI incidence rates in association with the link between inpatient diuretic use and AKI risk, may imply that a change in clinical practice and management of COVID-19 cases (in terms of fluid balance and diuretic prescription) may have partly played a role.

It is possible that early on during the pandemic, there was concern that COVID-19 patients were at risk of capillary leak linked to the hyperinflammatory state and consequently clinicians were cautious about excess fluid replacement. As the pandemic unfolded, the clinical community became rapidly aware of the high rates of AKI and clinical picture of volume depletion that evolved during the course of the disease. In the absence of published data, our local practice changed as we developed more experience in managing these patients during the first wave, adopting a more liberal fluid management strategy and actively withholding diuretics upon admission.

Black ethnicity and hypertension were also independent predictors of AKI in our study, which is consistent with other reports [6, 16]. In a previous meta-analysis on AKI (non-COVID), black ethnicity was predictive of increased AKI rates at higher $eGFR$ levels [32] while it has been suggested that the higher AKI (non-COVID) risk associated with black ethnicity, becomes less pronounced when adjusting for socioeconomic disparities [33]. Interestingly, there was no link between high

CKD-risk apolipoprotein1 (APOL1) variants and AKI [33].

Impact of AKI on mortality and renal outcomes

In our study, the occurrence of AKI was independently associated with increased mortality at 30 days post-admission with a notably higher risk with increasing severity, with patients sustaining a stage 3 AKI carrying a 3-fold higher risk of death compared to their counterparts without AKI. The association of AKI with poor prognosis is well-described [34] and has been confirmed in COVID-19 [5, 16, 17, 19, 35]. The majority (84.0%) of patients with AKI in our cohort that were discharged alive recovered renal function to their pre-admission levels, while the respective percentage was lower (69.7%) for the most severe stage 3. This high recovery rate is in contrast to those reported by other studies (renal recovery to pre-admission levels 53–65% [5, 16]). None of our patients required RRT following discharge, while other studies have reported ongoing RRT requirements of 20% [16] and 30.6% [17] of the subgroup that required RRT during admission. With regards to longer term outcome, we present 3-to-6-month follow-up data for the majority of survivors with AKI3. Among those, 30.7% presented a persistent decline in $eGFR$ of $> 15 \text{ ml/min/1.73m}^2$ at 3 to 6 months. Moreover, 21.5% without pre-existing renal impairment developed an $eGFR$ of $60 < \text{ml/min/1.73m}^2$. This suggests that the burden of CKD following COVID-19-related AKI may be substantial and under-diagnosed in the population as a whole, with significant future implications for both renal service provision and the associated higher risk of cardiovascular disease [36].

Conclusions

In our study, we have shown that among patients hospitalised for COVID-19 in a large tertiary centre of London in the first half of 2020, a significant proportion developed AKI with considerable implications. The changing incidence pattern over time may reflect temporal changes in severity of case-mix or other contributing factors. The main predisposing factor for the development of AKI was pre-existing renal impairment, highlighting the importance of increased awareness among healthcare professionals and patients. Though, AKI appears to be part of a more severe COVID-19 presentation, certain treatment approaches, such as the use of diuretics, possibly aggravate this, and therefore caution may be warranted especially in higher risk patients with underlying CKD. Despite encouraging short-term outcomes with regards to renal recovery, one third of survivors with AKI3 presented newly established renal impairment at 3 to




Fig. 2 Kaplan–Meier survival curves for patients with and without AKI. Survival probability is reduced in the presence of AKI

6 months and the overall long-term burden with regards to CKD and cardiovascular disease remains to be seen.

Limitations and future perspectives

This study is observational and therefore cannot infer causality. Mainly due to increased mortality rate in the patient subgroup with AKI stage 3 follow up creatinine data were available for 65 patients. A larger follow-up programme would be needed to definitively determine the long-term impact of this disease. The changing AKI incidence patterns could prompt investigation of contributing variables, further to established AKI risk factors, to inform clinical practice during next stages of the pandemic.

Supplementary Information

The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12882-021-02557-x>.

Additional file 1. (PPTX 62 kb)

Additional file 2. Suppl. Table 1. Further laboratory results and clinical characteristics. Suppl. Table 2. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses of risk factors associated with mortality at 30 days. Suppl. Table 2b. Univariate and multivariate Cox regression analyses of risk factors associated with mortality at 30 days - sensitivity analysis.

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

PJ: data collection, statistical analysis. KB: study design, data interpretation and writing of manuscript. JG, FP: data analysis interpretation, revision of manuscript. SN: statistical analysis, data analysis interpretation. JT: data extraction. RF, RS, SH, PH: data collection, data analysis interpretation, manuscript review. PS, JJ, SM, SJ, AH, HK, MA, BZ, KP, AM, CM, DI: data collection. CS: study design, data interpretation and writing of manuscript. EL: Study design, data interpretation, writing of manuscript, data analysis supervision. All authors have reviewed and approved the submitted version and have agreed to be personally accountable for their own contributions and to ensure that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work, even ones in which they were not personally involved, are appropriately investigated, resolved, and the resolution documented in the literature.

Funding

Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials

The datasets used and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request.

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate

Informed consent was waived by London South East Research Committee. The study was performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and under London South East Research Ethics Committee (reference 18/LO/2048) approval granted to the King's Electronic Records Research Interface (KERRI); specific work on COVID19 research was reviewed in March 2020 and reaffirmed in April 2020 with expert patient input on a virtual committee with Caldicott Guardian oversight. Additional lawful data processing was permitted under, the Health Service Control of Patient Information

Regulations 2002 (COPI) Notice, which was issued by the UK Secretary of State for Health and Social Care in March 2020 providing a legal basis for processing confidential patient information without consent for Covid-19 healthcare.

Consent for publication

NA

Competing interests

Dr. James Teo reports grants from Innovate UK, grants from Health Data Research UK, during the conduct of the study; grants from Bristol-Meyer-Squibb, grants from Bayer, personal fees from Goldman Sachs, outside the submitted work. Nothing else to be reported.

Author details

¹Renal Unit, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Denmark Hill, London SE5 9RS, UK. ²Faculty of Life Sciences and Medicine, King's College London, London, UK. ³Centre for Rheumatic Disease, King's College London, London, UK. ⁴Department of Sexual Health and HIV, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ⁵Department of Neurosciences, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. ⁶Department of Critical Care, King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK.

Received: 18 April 2021 Accepted: 27 September 2021

Published online: 01 November 2021

References

- Chen N, Zhou M, Dong X, Qu J, Gong F, Han Y, et al. Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: a descriptive study. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):507–13.
- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical characteristics of coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med*. 2020;382(18):1708–20.
- Wang D, Hu B, Hu C, Zhu F, Liu X, Zhang J, et al. Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *JAMA*. 2020;323(11):1061–9.
- Wang L, Li X, Chen H, Yan S, Li D, Li Y, et al. Coronavirus disease 19 infection does not result in acute kidney injury: an analysis of 116 hospitalized patients from Wuhan, China. *Am J Nephrol*. 2020;51:343–8.
- Chan L, Chaudhary K, Saha A, Chauhan K, Vaid A, Zhao S, et al. AKI in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2021;32:151–60.
- Hirsch JS, Ng JH, Ross DW, Sharma P, Shah HH, Barnett RL, et al. Acute kidney injury in patients hospitalized with COVID-19. *Kidney Int*. 2020;98(1):209–18.
- Hamilton P, Hanumapura P, Castelino L, Henney R, Parker K, Kumar M, et al. Characteristics and outcomes of hospitalised patients with acute kidney injury and COVID-19. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(11):e0241544.
- Wilson JG, Calfee CS. ARDS subphenotypes: understanding a heterogeneous syndrome. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):102.
- Bucaloiu ID, Kirchner HL, Norfolk ER, Hartle JE 2nd, Perkins RM. Increased risk of death and de novo chronic kidney disease following reversible acute kidney injury. *Kidney Int*. 2012;81(5):477–85.
- Improvement NEaN. Interim data collection – hospital-onset COVID-19. 2020.
- Group KDIGOKCW. KDIGO 2012 clinical practice guideline for the evaluation and management of chronic kidney disease. *Kidney Int*. 2012;3(1):1–150.
- Khwaja A. KDIGO clinical practice guidelines for acute kidney injury. *Nephron Clin Pract*. 2012;120(4):c179–84.
- Chawla LS, Bellomo R, Bihorac A, Goldstein SL, Siew ED, Bagshaw SM, et al. Acute kidney disease and renal recovery: consensus report of the acute disease quality initiative (ADQI) 16 workgroup. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2017;13(4):241–57.
- Duff S, Murray PT. Defining early recovery of acute kidney injury. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2020;15(9):1358–60.
- Fisher R, Clarke J, Al-Arifi K, Saha R, Lioudaki E, Mehta R, et al. Provision of acute renal replacement therapy, using three separate modalities, in critically ill patients during the COVID-19 pandemic. An after action review from a UK tertiary critical care Centre. *J Crit Care*. 2020;62:190–6.

16. Bowe B, Cai M, Xie Y, Gibson AK, Maddukuri G, Al-Aly Z. Acute kidney injury in a National Cohort of hospitalized US veterans with COVID-19. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2020;31;16(1):14-25.
17. Iskander C, Cherney DZ, Clemens KK, Dixon SN, Harel Z, Jeyakumar N, et al. Use of sodium–glucose cotransporter-2 inhibitors and risk of acute kidney injury in older adults with diabetes: a population-based cohort study. *CMAJ*. 2020;192(14):E351–E60.
18. Cheng Y, Luo R, Wang K, Zhang M, Wang Z, Dong L, et al. Kidney disease is associated with in-hospital death of patients with COVID-19. *Kidney Int*. 2020;97(5):829–38.
19. Cheng Y, Luo R, Wang X, Wang K, Zhang N, Zhang M, et al. The incidence, risk factors, and prognosis of acute kidney injury in adult patients with coronavirus disease 2019. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2020;15(10):1394–402.
20. Fu EL, Janse RJ, de Jong Y, van der Endt VHW, Milders J, van der Willik EM, et al. Acute kidney injury and kidney replacement therapy in COVID-19: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Kidney J*. 2020;13(4):550–63.
21. Zheng X, Yang H, Li X, Li H, Xu L, Yu Q, et al. Prevalence of kidney injury and associations with critical illness and death in patients with COVID-19. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2020;15(11):1549–56.
22. Navaratnam AV, Gray WK, Day J, Wendon J, Briggs TWR. Patient factors and temporal trends associated with COVID-19 in-hospital mortality in England: an observational study using administrative data. *Lancet Respir Med*. 2021;9(4):397-406.
23. Argenziano MG, Bruce SL, Slater CL, Tiao JR, Baldwin MR, Barr RG, et al. Characterization and clinical course of 1000 patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in New York: retrospective case series. *Bmj*. 2020;369:m1996.
24. Chand S, Kapoor S, Orsi D, Fazzari MJ, Tanner TG, Umeh GC, et al. COVID-19-associated critical illness-report of the first 300 patients admitted to intensive care units at a new York City medical center. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2020;35(10):963–70.
25. Mohamed MMB, Lukitsch I, Torres-Ortiz AE, Walker JB, Varghese V, Hernandez-Arroyo CF, et al. Acute Kidney Injury Associated with Coronavirus Disease 2019 in Urban New Orleans. *Kidney360*. 2020;1(7):614–22.
26. Gupta S, Hayek SS, Wang W, Chan L, Mathews KS, Melamed ML, et al. Factors associated with death in critically ill patients with coronavirus disease 2019 in the US. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020;180(11):1436-47.
27. Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the new York City area. *JAMA*. 2020;323(20):2098.
28. Bowes E, Joslin J, Bamidele Braide-Azikiwe DC, Tulley C, Bramham K, Saha S, et al. Acute peritoneal Dialysis with percutaneous catheter insertion for COVID-19-associated acute kidney injury in intensive care: experience from a UK tertiary Centre. *Kidney Int Rep*. 2021;6(2):265-71.
29. Zakeri R, Pickles A, Carr E, Bean DM, O’Gallagher K, Kraljewis Z, et al. Biological responses to COVID-19: insights from physiological and blood biomarker profiles. *Curr Res Transl Med*. 2021;69(2):103276.
30. Intensive care National Audit and Research Centre. Centre ICNAAR. ICNARC report on COVID-19 in critical care 19 June 2020. [Available from: <https://www.icnarc.org/Our-Audit/Audits/Cmp/Reports>.
31. Kolhe NV, Fluck RJ, Selby NM, Taal MW. Acute kidney injury associated with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. *PLoS Med*. 2020;17(10):e1003406.
32. Grams ME, Sang Y, Ballew SH, Gansevoort RT, Kimm H, Kovesdy CP, et al. A Meta-analysis of the Association of Estimated GFR, albuminuria, age, race, and sex with acute kidney injury. *Am J Kidney Dis*. 2015;66(4):591–601.
33. Grams ME, Matsushita K, Sang Y, Estrella MM, Foster MC, Tin A, et al. Explaining the racial difference in AKI incidence. *J Am Soc Nephrol*. 2014;25(8):1834–41.
34. Star RA. Treatment of acute renal failure. *Kidney Int*. 1998;54(6):1817–31.
35. Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre. 2020 IcnarclroC-iccA. Intensive care national audit and research centre: ICNARC report on COVID-19 in critical care 24 April 2020. 2020 [ICNARC]. Available from: <https://www.icnarc.org/Our-Audit/Audits/Cmp/Reports>.
36. Chawla LS, Eggers PW, Star RA, Kimmel PL. Acute kidney injury and chronic kidney disease as interconnected syndromes. *N Engl J Med*. 2014;371(1):58–66.

Publisher’s Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Ready to submit your research? Choose BMC and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- thorough peer review by experienced researchers in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- support for research data, including large and complex data types
- gold Open Access which fosters wider collaboration and increased citations
- maximum visibility for your research: over 100M website views per year

At BMC, research is always in progress.

Learn more biomedcentral.com/submissions

