



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Table 1
Enhancements Needed to Make Nursing Home Telemedicine Encounters Easier and More Effective

Equipment and Infrastructure

1. NHs should invest in the infrastructure necessary to support telemedicine encounters through improved connectivity and bandwidth
2. NHs should invest in dedicated and adequate as well as appropriate equipment to conduct telemedicine encounters (eg, laptop or tablet)
3. NHs should have ready access to secondary sound amplification devices to use during telemedicine encounters with hearing-impaired residents
4. NHs should have ready access to a telehealth-enabled stethoscope that allows providers to remotely perform a heart and/or lung examination when necessary
5. NHs should have access to high-resolution video or camera equipment that enhances remote assessment of skin and wound findings

Scheduling

1. NHs should develop or invest in a common platform that allows key individuals to schedule telemedicine encounters
2. NHs should centralize scheduling of telemedicine encounters to a core individual(s)
3. NHs should adopt telemedicine block schedules that factor in sufficient time before and after encounters for interprofessional information exchange and care-planning

Information Exchange

1. NHs should provide clinicians and their staff with remote access to NH electronic health records
2. NHs and providers that engage in telemedicine encounters should develop and implement procedures and staff training that standardize (1) the types of information shared between NH staff and providers, (2) how these types of information should be shared, and (3) who is responsible for these information sharing tasks

Telemedicine Encounter Facilitator

1. NHs should identify and dedicate staff to facilitate telemedicine encounters
2. The telemedicine encounter facilitator should be a clinician (i.e., RN or LPN)

easy, the potential benefits of sustaining the current telemedicine expansion^{7,8} are too great to go back to the pre-COVID status quo.

William S. Middleton VA Hospital
Madison, WI, USA

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2021.10.002>

References

1. Arons MM, Hatfield KM, Reddy SC, et al. Presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections and transmission in a skilled nursing facility. *N Engl J Med* 2020;382:2081–2090.
2. McMichael TM, Clark S, Pogosjans S, et al. COVID-19 in a long-term care facility—King County, Washington, February 27–March 9, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep* 2020;69:339–342.
3. Shen K, Loomer L, Abrams H, et al. Estimates of COVID-19 cases and deaths among nursing home residents not reported in federal data. *JAMA Netw Open* 2021;4:e2122885.
4. Alexander GL, Powell KR, Deroche CB. An evaluation of telehealth expansion in U.S. nursing homes. *J Am Med Inform Assoc* 2021;28:342–348.
5. Jen SP, Bui A, Leonard SD. Maximizing efficiency of telemedicine in the skilled nursing facility during the coronavirus disease 2019 pandemic. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2021;22:1146–1148.e2.
6. Gillespie SM, Handler SM, Bardakh A. Innovation through regulation: COVID-19 and the evolving utility of telemedicine. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2020;21:1007–1009.
7. Gillespie SM, Moser AL, Gokula M, et al. Standards for the use of telemedicine for evaluation and management of resident change of condition in the nursing home. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2019;20:115–122.
8. Groom LL, McCarthy MM, Stimpfel AW, Brody AA. Telemedicine and telehealth in nursing homes: An integrative review. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2021;22:1784–1801.e7.

James H. Ford II, PhD*
Social & Administrative Sciences Division
University of Wisconsin School of Pharmacy
Madison, WI, USA

* Address correspondence to James H. Ford II, PhD, University of Wisconsin School of Pharmacy - Social & Administrative Sciences Division, School of Pharmacy, University of Wisconsin Madison, 777 Highland Avenue, Madison, WI 53705, USA.
E-mail address: jhfordii@wisc.edu

Sally A. Jolles, MA
Dee Heller, RN, NHA
Madeline Langenstroer, BS
University of Wisconsin School of Medicine & Public Health
Madison, WI, USA

Christopher J. Crnich, MD, PhD
University of Wisconsin School of Medicine & Public Health
Madison, WI, USA

Antibody Responses 3-5 Months Post-Vaccination with mRNA-1273 or BNT163b2 in Nursing Home Residents



Nursing home residents in Ontario, Canada, were prioritized for vaccination with mRNA vaccines from Moderna (mRNA-1273) or Pfizer (BNT163b2) in December 2020-January 2021, which significantly reduced the high morbidity and mortality due to COVID-19.¹ Nursing home residents often fail to mount robust responses to vaccinations² and recent reports of breakthrough infections, particularly from variants of concern, raise questions about

Funding Sources: This work was funded by a grant from Canadian COVID-19 Immunity Task Force and Public Health Agency of Canada awarded to Costa and Bowdish. APC is the Schlegel Chair in Clinical Epidemiology and Aging. DMEB is the Canada Research Chair in Aging & Immunity. Funding support for this work was provided by grants from the Ontario Research Foundation, COVID-19 Rapid Research Fund, and by the Canadian COVID-19 Immunity Task Force awarded to IN. MSM is supported, in part, by an Ontario Early Researcher Award.

Data in this study were collected by the COVID-in-LTC Study Group. Members of the COVID-in-LTC Study Group include Jonathan L. Bramson, PhD; Eric D. Brown, PhD; Kevin Brown, PhD; David C. Bulir, MD, PhD; Judah A. Denburg, MD; George A. Heckman, MD, MSc; Michael P. Hillmer, PhD; John P. Hirdes, PhD; Aaron Jones, PhD; Mark Loeb, MD, MSc; Janet E. McElhaney, MD; Ishac Nazy, PhD; Nathan M. Stall, MD; Parminder Raina, PhD; Marek Smieja, MD, PhD; Kevin J. Stinson, PhD; Ahmad Von Schlegell; Arthur Sweetman, PhD; Chris Verschoor, PhD; Gerry Wright, PhD. The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Table 1
Antibody Levels and Virus Neutralization Capacity 60–130 Days Postvaccination in Nursing Home Residents

	mRNA-1273 (Moderna) (n = 77)	BNT163b2 (Pfizer) (n = 61)	Total (N = 138)	P Value
Age, mean (SD)	84.53 (10.99)	80.77 (12.12)	82.87 (11.61)	.06
Sex, female (ref: male), n (%)	52 (67.53)	41 (67.21)	93 (67.39)	.97
Days between vaccine doses, mean (SD)	27.86 (0.35)	21.90 (1.26)	25.22 (3.09)	<.001***
Days between second dose and blood collection, mean (SD)	89.56 (12.80)	91.44 (17.70)	90.39 (15.13)	.49
IgG spike				
Median (IQR)	2.9 (2.5–3.1)	2.5 (1.5–3.1)	2.8 (2.1–3.1)	.015*
Below detection, n (%)	1 (1.30)	3 (4.92)	4 (2.90)	.32
IgG RBD				
Median (IQR)	2.5 (1.7–3.0)	1.5 (0.7–2.6)	2.2 (1.2–2.9)	<.001***
Below detection, n (%)	4 (5.19)	13 (21.31)	17 (12.32)	.004**
IgM spike				
Median (IQR)	0.3 (0.2–0.4)	0.2 (0.2–0.4)	0.3 (0.2–0.4)	.64
Below detection, n (%)	68 (88.31)	56 (91.80)	124 (89.86)	.50
IgM RBD				
Median (IQR)	0.1 (0.1–0.2)	0.2 (0.1–0.2)	0.1 (0.1–0.2)	.82
Below detection, n (%)	77 (100.00)	59 (96.72)	136 (98.55)	.19
IgA spike				
Median (IQR)	1.2 (0.7–1.9)	0.8 (0.5–1.4)	0.9 (0.6–1.8)	.032*
Below detection, n (%)	13 (16.88)	16 (26.23)	29 (21.01)	.18
IgA RBD				
Median (IQR)	0.3 (0.2–0.6)	0.3 (0.2–0.5)	0.3 (0.2–0.6)	.08
Below detection, n (%)	55 (71.43)	48 (78.69)	103 (74.64)	.33
MNT50 (wild-type)				
Median (IQR)	320.0 (80.0–640.0)	80.0 (40.0–320.0)	160.0 (40.0–640.0)	.002**
Below detection, n (%)	9 (11.69)	15 (24.59)	24 (17.39)	.047*
MNT50 (beta variant)				
n (n missing)	76 (1)	45 (16)	121 (17)	—
Median (IQR)	80.0 (40.0–80.0)	40.0 (40.0–80.0)	40.0 (40.0–80.0)	.019*
Below detection, n (%)	15 (19.74)	8 (17.78)	23 (19.01)	.79

IQR, interquartile range; RBD, receptor-binding domain.

* $P < .05$; ** $P < .01$; *** $P < .001$.

whether vaccination regimens elicit a sufficient humoral immune response or if booster doses are warranted.

Methods

We examined SARS-CoV-2 antibody levels and neutralizing capacity in nursing home residents 3–5 months after 2 doses of mRNA-1273 or BNT163b2 vaccination as per recommended schedules. Residents were recruited from 8 sites nursing homes in Ontario, Canada, between March and July 2021. Antibody levels and neutralization capacity from a previously published convalescent cohort were used as a comparator.³ All protocols were approved by the Hamilton Integrated Research Ethics Board, and informed consent was obtained.

Data are reported as a ratio of observed optical density to the determined assay cutoff optical density, with ratios above 1 considered positive. Neutralization capacity of these antibodies was assessed by cell culture assays with live SARS-CoV-2 virus, with data reported as geometric microneutralization titers at 50% (MNT₅₀), which ranged from below detection (MNT₅₀ = 10) to MNT₅₀ = 1280.³ Antibody neutralization was measured against the wild-type strain of SARS-CoV-2 and the beta variant of concern (B.1.351). The beta variant was obtained through BEI Resources, National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health: SARS-Related Coronavirus 2, Isolate hCoV-19/South Africa/KRISP-K005325/2020, NR-54009, contributed by Alex Sigal and Tulio de Oliveira.

Differences between antibody levels and neutralization in individuals that received mRNA-1273 or BNT163b2 were assessed by chi-square of independence (proportions), Kruskal-Wallis test (median), and Student *t* test (mean). All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc, Cary, NC).

Results

The majority of residents (97.1%) produced antibodies to the spike (S) protein post vaccination; however, fewer residents (87.68%) produced immunoglobulin G (IgG) to the receptor-binding domain (RBD) domain (Table 1). Residents who received mRNA-1273 had higher median levels of IgG S protein [mRNA-1273 = 2.9, interquartile range (IQR) 2.5–3.1] and IgG RBD (mRNA-1273 = 2.5, IQR 1.7–3.0) than those who received BNT163b2 (IgG Spike: BNT163b2 = 2.5, IQR 1.5–3.1, $P = .015$; IgG RBD: BNT163b2 = 1.5, IQR 0.7–2.6, $P < .001$). Participants who had been vaccinated with BNT163b2 had median values of both Ig Spike and RBD that were lower than the median values of a cohort of convalescent individuals. There were no differences between vaccine groups with respect to IgM/A to either S protein or RBD. No neutralizing antibodies were detected in ~20% of residents to the wild-type virus (30/155; 19%) or beta variant (27/134; 20%). Residents that received BNT163b2 had an ~4-fold reduction in neutralization to the wild-type strain and a ~2-fold reduction in neutralization to the beta variant relative to those who received mRNA-1273.

Discussion

Two doses of vaccine failed to elicit any antibody-mediated protective immunity in ~20% of nursing home residents. These data align with recent observations of decreased antibody production and/or neutralization after BNT162b2 vaccination in nursing home residents compared with healthy young individuals.^{4–6} In addition, we found that vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 with mRNA-1273 elicited a stronger humoral response compared with BNT162b2, with greater circulating IgG and neutralization antibody titers ~3 months after vaccination. The mRNA-1273 vaccine contains a higher dose of mRNA, which may imply that a higher dose is beneficial to generate protective immunity in nursing home residents.

Current mRNA SARS-CoV-2 vaccine regimens may not have equivalent efficacy in nursing home residents. Our findings imply that differences in the humoral immune response may contribute to breakthrough infections and suggest that consideration of the type of vaccine administered to older adults will have a positive impact on the generation of protective immunity.

Acknowledgments

We acknowledge administrative and technical assistance from Tara Kajaks, PhD, Ahmad Rahim, MSc, Komal Aryal, MSc, Megan Hagerman, Braeden Cowbrough, MSc, Lucas Bilaver, Sheneice Joseph, and Leslie Tan who were compensated for their contributions by a grant funded by the Canadian COVID-19 Immunity Task Force at McMaster University.

References

1. Brown K, Stall NM, Vanniyasingam T, et al. on behalf of the Congregate Care Setting Working Group and the Ontario COVID-19 Science Advisory Table. Early impact of Ontario's COVID-19 vaccine rollout on long-term care home residents and health care workers. *Science table: COVID-19 Advisory for Ontario. Science Briefs* 2021;2. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.47326/ocsat.2021.02.13.1.0>. Accessed November 15, 2021.
2. Fulop T, Pawelec G, Castle S, Loeb M. Immunosenescence and vaccination in nursing home residents. *Clin Infect Dis* 2009;48:443–448.
3. Huynh A, Arnold DM, Smith JW, et al. Characteristics of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in recovered COVID-19 subjects. *Viruses* 2021;13:697.
4. Canaday DH, Carias L, Oyebanji OA, et al. Reduced BNT162b2 mRNA vaccine response in SARS-CoV-2-naïve nursing home residents. *Clin Infect Dis* 2021:ciab447.
5. Nace DA, Kip KE, Mellors JW, et al. Antibody responses after mRNA-based COVID-19 vaccination in residential older adults: implications for reopening. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2021;22:1593–1598.
6. Salcher-Konrad M, Smith S, Comas-Herrera A. Emerging evidence on effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines among residents of long-term care facilities. *J Am Med Dir Assoc* 2021;22:1602–1603.

Jessica A. Breznik, PhD
 McMaster Immunology Research Centre
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Michael G. DeGroot Institute for Infectious Disease Research
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Department of Medicine
 Michael G. DeGroot School of Medicine
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Ali Zhang, MSc
 McMaster Immunology Research Centre
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Michael G. DeGroot Institute for Infectious Disease Research
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Department of Biochemistry & Biomedical Sciences
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Angela Huynh, PhD
 Department of Medicine
 Michael G. DeGroot School of Medicine

McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Matthew S. Miller, PhD
 McMaster Immunology Research Centre
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Michael G. DeGroot Institute for Infectious Disease Research
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Department of Biochemistry & Biomedical Sciences
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Ishac Nazy, PhD
 Department of Medicine
 Michael G. DeGroot School of Medicine
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

McMaster Centre for Transfusion Research
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Dawn M.E. Bowdish, PhD*
 McMaster Immunology Research Centre
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Michael G. DeGroot Institute for Infectious Disease Research
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Department of Medicine
 Michael G. DeGroot School of Medicine
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Firestone Institute of Respiratory Health
 St Joseph's Healthcare
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

* Address correspondence to Dawn M. E. Bowdish, PhD, M. G. DeGroot Institute for Infectious Disease Research, McMaster Immunology Research Centre, McMaster University, MDCL 4020, 1280 Main Street West, Hamilton, L8S 4L8 ON, Canada.
 E-mail address: bowdish@mcmaster.ca

Andrew P. Costa, PhD
 Department of Medicine
 Michael G. DeGroot School of Medicine
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

Department of Health Research Methods
 Evidence, and Impact
 McMaster University
 Hamilton, Canada

Centre for Integrated Care
 St. Joseph's Health System
 Hamilton, ON, Canada

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2021.10.001>