



Published in final edited form as:

J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr. 2021 December 15; 88(5): 457–464. doi:10.1097/QAI.0000000000002788.

Sociodemographic Correlates of Self-reported Discrimination in HIV Health Care Settings among Persons with Diagnosed HIV in the United States, Medical Monitoring Project, 2018–2019

Donna Hubbard, McCree PhD, MPH, RPh¹, Linda Beer, PhD¹, William L. Jeffries IV, PhD, MPH, MA¹, Yunfeng Tie, PhD¹, Jennifer Fagan, MA¹, Stacy M. Crim, MPH¹

¹National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD and TB Prevention, Division of HIV/AIDS Prevention, CDC

Abstract

Background: HIV-related discrimination in health care settings is associated with negative health outcomes among persons with HIV (PWH). This paper describes and compares differences in the prevalence of self-reported experiences with discrimination in health care settings by sociodemographic and clinical care factors among persons with diagnosed HIV in the United States.

Methods: We analyzed interview and medical record data collected 6/2018–5/2019 from 3850 PWH who had received HIV care in the past 12 months. We calculated weighted percentages and associated 95% confidence intervals and assessed the association between any experience of discrimination and selected sociodemographic and clinical characteristics using prevalence ratios with predicted marginal means.

Results: About 25% of PWH who had an HIV care visit in the past 12 months reported experiencing any discrimination. Experiences with discrimination were significantly more prevalent among persons 18–29 years (34%); transgender persons (41%); persons of gay (25%), bisexual (31%), or other (40%) sexual orientations; and persons who did not have a regular provider (39%), lived at/below poverty level (28%), were homeless (39%) or incarcerated (37%) in the past 12 months. PWH who experienced discrimination were more likely to have missed at least one HIV care visit, not be taking ART, and have missed ART doses. Recent and sustained viral suppression were not significantly associated with experiencing any discrimination.

Conclusions: Interventions that address the sociocultural and structural factors associated with discrimination in all health care settings are needed to improve health outcomes among PWH and end the HIV epidemic in the United States.

Corresponding Author: Donna Hubbard McCree, PhD, MPH, RPh, Associate Director for Health Equity, MS US8-6, National Center for HIV/AIDS, Viral Hepatitis, STD, and TB Prevention, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1600 Clifton Road, NE, Atlanta, Georgia 30333, Office: 404-639-1834 Fax: 404-639-0897 zyr1@cdc.gov.

The findings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Conflicts of Interest and Source of Funding: There are none to declare.

Keywords

HIV-related discrimination; persons with HIV; healthcare settings; social determinants of health; viral suppression

Introduction

The Ending the HIV Epidemic in the U.S. (EHE; [Ending the HIV Epidemic: A Plan for America | CDC](#)) initiative will use three key strategies – diagnose, treat and prevent - to reduce new HIV infections in the United States by at least 90% by 2030. A key to the success of EHE in reducing HIV incidence is ensuring that all persons with HIV (PWH) receive appropriate treatment and are virally suppressed in order to reduce transmission to others through sex. Achieving success will also require providers and prevention partners to identify and address factors that negatively affect access to treatment and care among PWH, including experiences with stigma and discrimination by healthcare providers based on race/ethnicity, socioeconomic status, gender, gender identity, and HIV status.¹⁻⁶

HIV-related stigma is a social process that occurs in the context of power and entails negative beliefs and attitudes towards persons with or at risk for HIV infection.⁷⁻⁹ HIV-related discrimination, an outcome of HIV-related stigma⁷⁻⁹, is unfair and unjust treatment of individuals because of their HIV status and/or their membership in a group perceived to be at greater risk for HIV.⁷⁻⁹ HIV-related discrimination can occur in the presence of other forms of discrimination, e.g., discrimination based on race, sex, gender or gender identity, and sexual orientation.⁷ In health-care settings, discrimination can result in poorer quality or denial of care for PWH.² It is also associated with poorer health outcomes including depression¹⁰⁻¹³, reduced linkage to care¹⁰⁻¹³, negative patient-provider relationships¹⁴⁻¹⁷, lower ART adherence¹⁴⁻¹⁷ and lower viral suppression in PWH.¹² Therefore, efforts are needed to identify experiences with, and inform strategies to address, HIV-related discrimination in HIV healthcare settings among PWH.

The purpose of this manuscript is to describe and compare differences in the prevalence of self-reported experiences of discrimination in health care settings by sociodemographic and clinical care factors using Medical Monitoring Project (MMP) data on PWH in the United States. Specifically, we aim to (1) describe the prevalence of, and perception of reasons for, self-reported experiences of health care discrimination and (2) compare differences in self-reported experiences of discrimination in HIV health care settings by sociodemographic and clinical care factors.

Methods

Detailed methods for MMP data collection are reported elsewhere.^{18,19} MMP uses a 2-stage sampling design. In the first stage, 23 jurisdictions were sampled from all U.S. states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico. In the second stage, simple random samples of persons with diagnosed HIV aged 18 years and older were drawn for each participating state/territory from the National HIV Surveillance System (NHSS), a census of persons with diagnosed HIV in the United States. For this analysis, we used data collected from phone

or face-to-face interviews and medical record abstractions during June 2018 through May 2019. Approximately 76% of interviews were conducted by phone and 24% were conducted face-to-face; interview mode was not associated with our discrimination measure. Response rates were 100% at the state/territory level and 45% at the person level.

Data were weighted on the basis of known probabilities of selection and were adjusted for non-response.²⁰ For the non-response adjustment, weighting classes were based on variables related to person-level response: sex at birth, age of most recent contact information; and the person's frequency of receipt of care (as indicated by HIV-related laboratory test results in NHSS). Further, the data were post-stratified to NHSS population totals by age, race/ethnicity, and sex. MMP data collection is part of routine public health surveillance and was determined to be non-research. Informed consent for the interview and medical record abstraction was obtained from all participants.

We adapted measures developed by Bird et al⁵ to estimate the prevalence of experiences of discrimination in HIV care settings over the past 12 months among persons who received HIV care during the past 12 months and gave complete information for all discrimination questions (n=3850). In MMP, respondents were asked about the following experiences when getting HIV care during the past 12 months: 1) How often were you treated with less courtesy than other people; 2) How often were you treated with less respect than other people; 3) How often have you received poorer service than others; 4) How often has a doctor or nurse acted as if he or she thought you were not smart; 5) How often has a doctor or nurse acted as if he or she was afraid of you; 6) How often has a doctor or nurse acted as if he or she was better than you; and 7) How often have you felt like a doctor or nurse was not listening to what you were saying. Response options were never, rarely, sometimes, most of the time, and always. These questions were the same as those used in the Bird et al study. However, Bird et al prefaced each question by asking about experiences due to one's race and then about experiences due to one's socioeconomic status. Therefore, the measures used by Bird et al only captured discrimination attributed to race or socioeconomic status. Because we wanted to capture a more comprehensive assessment of discrimination, we chose to first ask about the frequency of each discriminatory experience. During the interview persons who reported any discrimination were then asked whether they attributed the discrimination to any of the following: HIV status; gender; sexual orientation; race/ethnicity; income or social class; or injection drug use. Respondents could report multiple reasons for the discrimination they experienced. Because the distribution of the summed scale score (range: 0–28) was highly skewed (Skewness = 4.2) and US national prevention goals envision a country free from any discrimination, responses were dichotomized into “never” versus all other options to estimate any experience of discrimination in HIV care over the past 12 months. We calculated weighted percentages and associated 95% confidence intervals (CI) for any discrimination and any discrimination attributed to each of the 6 characteristics. We then assessed the association between any experience of discrimination and selected sociodemographic and clinical characteristics using prevalence ratios with predicted marginal means, using $p < 0.05$ as a cutoff for identifying significant differences between groups. All analyses accounted for the complex sample design and weights.

All examined covariates were self-reported and measured over the 12 months prior to interview, except where otherwise noted. Persons were classified as men who have sex with men (MSM), women who only have sex with men (WSM) and men who only have sex with women (MSW) based on sexual behavior among the sexually active and reported sexual orientation among the non-sexually active. All persons not classified as MSM, WSM, or MSW were grouped into the “other” category. Homelessness was defined as living on the street, in a shelter, in a single room occupancy hotel, or in a car. Household poverty level was determined using Health and Human Services poverty guidelines.²¹ Responses to items from the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-8) were used to define “major or other depression” over the last 2 weeks according to criteria from the DSM-IV.²² Responses to the Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7) was used to estimate moderate to severe anxiety over the past 2 weeks.²³

Clinical characteristics captured by medical record abstraction at the person’s most frequent source of HIV care included HIV care retention and sustained viral suppression (all viral load measurements documented undetectable or <200 copies/mL). Retention in HIV care was defined as having received at least two elements of outpatient HIV care at least 90 days apart. Outpatient HIV care was defined as any documentation of the following: encounter with an HIV care provider (could also be self-reported); viral load test result; CD4 test result; HIV resistance test or tropism assay; ART prescription; PCP prophylaxis; or MAC prophylaxis. Persons who reported currently taking ART were asked about their adherence to ART in the 30 days before the interview using questions from a 3-item scale that ranges from 0–100, with a score of 100 indicating perfect adherence.²⁴ Persons who were not taking ART were given a score of 0. We also assessed responses to one scale question regarding the number of days an ART dose was missed in the past 30 days, which we dichotomized into no missed doses versus =>1 missed dose. The other scale questions were, “In the past 30 days, how good a job did you do at taking your HIV medicines in the way you were supposed to?” (response options: very poor, poor, fair, good, very good, and excellent) and “During the past 30 days, how often did you take your HIV medicines in the way you were supposed to?” (response options: never, rarely, sometimes, usually, almost always, and always).

Results

About one in four (25%, CI: 22%–27%) people with diagnosed HIV who had a care visit in the past 12 months reported experiencing any discrimination in an HIV care setting. The mean discrimination score was 1.1 (CI: 1.0–1.2) and the median discrimination score was 0.0 (CI: 0.0–0.2; Table 1). The most endorsed item was “feeling like a doctor or nurse was not listening to what you were saying” and the least endorsed item was “having a doctor or nurse act as if they were afraid of you.” Among persons who reported any discrimination in the past 12 months, 28% (CI: 24–31) attributed it to their HIV status, 8% (CI: 7–10) to their gender, 18% (CI: 15–22) to their sexual orientation, 18% (CI: 14–21) to their race or ethnicity, 18% (CI: 15–22) to their income or social class, and 4% (CI: 3–6) to their injection drug use (results not shown in tables).

Experiences of discrimination in health care settings varied significantly by age, gender, gender identity, and socioeconomic status (Table 2). Specifically, the prevalence of experiencing any discrimination in a HIV care setting during the past 12 months was significantly higher among persons aged 18–29 years (34%) and 40–49 (27%) compared with those over 50 years (22%, $p=0.002$ and $p=0.012$, respectively). Experiencing discrimination was also significantly more prevalent among transgender persons (41%) compared with cisgender women (22%, $p<0.001$), Black (23%) and White men (25%) compared with Black women (19%, $p=0.045$ and $p=0.002$, respectively), and persons of gay (25%), bisexual (31%), or “other” (40%) sexual orientations compared with straight persons (22%, $p=0.019$, $p=0.001$, $p=0.001$, respectively). Finally, experiencing discrimination was also significantly more prevalent among persons with only public insurance (27%) compared with those with any private insurance (22%, $p=0.035$), who did not have a regular HIV care provider (39%) compared with those who did (24%, $p=0.005$), who lived at or below poverty level (28%) compared with those who lived above the poverty line (23%, $p<0.001$), were homeless in the past 12 months (39%) compared with those who were not (23%, $p<0.001$), or were incarcerated in the past 12 months (37%) compared with those who were not (24%, $p<0.001$). Discrimination did not significantly differ by whether a person attended a Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program-funded facility ($p=0.357$).

Regarding care outcome variables (Table 3), compared with persons who did not experience discrimination in HIV care settings, persons who experienced discrimination were more likely to have been seen in the ER (39% vs. 51%, $p<0.001$), been hospitalized (17% vs. 26%, $p<0.001$), reported symptoms of anxiety or depression (12% vs. 29%, $p<0.001$ and 14% vs. 29%, $p<0.001$, respectively), missed at least 1 HIV care visit (21% vs. 33%, $p<0.001$), not been taking ART (3% vs. 6%, $p<0.001$), and missed ART doses (37% vs. 51%, $p<0.001$). Discrimination was also significantly associated with the continuous ART adherence score; the odds ratio for each 10 unit increase in the ART adherence scale score on experiencing any discrimination was 0.87 (95% confidence interval 0.84–0.90, $P<0.001$, data not shown in table). Recent and sustained viral suppression were not significantly associated with experiencing any discrimination.

DISCUSSION

We estimate that 1 in 4 adults in the United States receiving HIV care experienced discrimination in a HIV health care setting during the past 12 months. However, the mean and median discrimination scale scores were low, which suggests that most participants reported experiencing relatively little discrimination in their HIV care settings. This finding is of note because studies have shown that discrimination due to HIV status, race/ethnicity, sexual orientation, gender, and other social characteristics impedes PWH’s abilities to access health care and maintain suppressed viral loads.^{10,25} Notwithstanding other patient-provider-related factors (e.g., trust, health literacy) that facilitate favorable health outcomes for PWH, our findings suggest that HIV care providers and institutions that deliver HIV care in the United States may be providing HIV care in contexts that are conducive to equitable treatment for PWH.

However, despite the importance of this finding, nearly one quarter (25%) of participants reported at least one past-year experience of discrimination in a health care setting. Using nationally representative, probabilistic data, Valverde et al²⁶ found that the prevalence of perceived healthcare discrimination attributed to one's HIV status declined from 24% to 15% from 1996 to 2011–2013. However, the definition of discrimination used was limited to three items (hostility or lack of respect, less attention, or refusal of service) and only captured discrimination attributed to HIV status. Our measure is more comprehensive in that it incorporates other forms of, and reasons for, discrimination. Although over 1 in 4 attributed the discrimination they experienced to their HIV status, nearly 1 in 5 attributed it to their sexual orientation, race/ethnicity, or income/social class. These findings suggest that discrimination in US healthcare settings is multifactorial, and that discriminatory practices related to other characteristics may need to be incorporated into antidiscrimination training for healthcare facility staff. In 2018, Pitasi et al. reported that 21% of U.S. adults and adolescents believed that “a lot of prejudice and discrimination” exist against PWH.²⁷

The persistence of discrimination against PWH is a cause for concern, not only because freedom from discrimination is a basic human right, but also because it can prevent PWH from acquiring care needed for optimal health. For example, the United States annually appropriates approximately \$26 billion in HIV research and programs.²⁸ Despite this large investment, 24% of persons with diagnosed HIV infection in 42 jurisdictions did not receive care in 2018 (i.e., did not have 1 CD4 or viral load test), and 35% of PWH in these areas were not virally suppressed.²⁹ Because discrimination remains one of the most formidable barriers to HIV care, PWH who experience discrimination in health care settings remain vulnerable to not receiving care and thus poor health, which can also increase their risk of transmitting HIV. Some of our findings, although exploratory, support this assertion. Although experiencing any discrimination in HIV health care settings was not associated with either of our viral suppression measures, experiencing discrimination was associated with missing HIV care visits, not taking ART, and lower ART adherence.

Another notable finding, although not surprising, was that socially marginalized persons were more likely to experience discrimination. Discrimination was more prevalent among those of younger age (vs. 50 years), who were transgender (vs. cisgender male or female), bisexual or “other” sexual orientation (vs. heterosexual), and low (vs. high) socioeconomic status (i.e., public insurance, poverty level, homelessness, or recent incarceration). Even in the absence of discrimination in HIV health care settings, groups who have been marginalized commonly experience social challenges—including interpersonal and institutionalized discrimination outside of health care settings—that make it difficult for them to access HIV care and achieve viral suppression.³⁰

We interpret our results considering some limitations. First, our data did not permit us to investigate discrimination outside of HIV health care settings. Discrimination in all health care settings, including HIV-care settings, is only one form of discrimination that PWH commonly experience. Therefore, our data limit our ability to understand the broader social contexts in which PWH experience discrimination, as well as its potential impact on PWH. Second, MMP's design only provides us with a cross-sectional snapshot of perceived discrimination in HIV health care settings. Therefore, we are unable to determine causality.

Third, it is possible that levels and forms of discrimination vary by geographic region in the United States. However, due to MMP's design, we cannot produce regionally representative estimates. Finally, the lack of significant associations between experiencing discrimination in HIV care settings and viral suppression measures are surprising, given the association we found between discrimination and lower ART adherence. Although ART adherence is a primary determinant of viral suppression, it is possible that our use of self-reported adherence may have overestimated actual adherence or that many people were taking regimens that are more forgiving of nonadherence.³¹ It is not possible for us to examine this outcome due to the way that ART regimen data are collected in MMP. Additional research may be needed to clarify the results and explore associations between experiences with discrimination in HIV health care settings and viral suppression. Further, qualitative research that explores the specific determinants of discrimination and their underlying mechanism in HIV healthcare setting could assist with informing training programs at the health care level.

Toward the national goal of ending the HIV epidemic in the United States, the development of interventions that address discrimination in all health care settings—not just those specific to HIV—remain paramount. Rather than solely addressing individual-level biases among health care providers, these interventions should also address the sociocultural and structural factors that promote discrimination.³² A promising approach would be to use mass-media, social marketing interventions that inform HIV care providers of the need to provide culturally competent care to all PWH.³³ Other approaches could provide medical trainings on the value of delivering equitable treatment to all PWH, irrespective of their HIV status, race/ethnicity, gender, or sexual orientation.³⁴ These approaches, alongside others, have the potential to, end the HIV epidemic in the United States.

Acknowledgements

We thank MMP participants, project area staff, and Provider and Community Advisory Board members. We also acknowledge the contributions of the Clinical Outcomes Team and Behavioral and Clinical Surveillance Branch at the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

REFERENCES

1. Batey DS, Whitfield S, Mulla M, et al. Adaptation and implementation of an intervention to reduce HIV-related stigma among healthcare workers in the United States: piloting of the FRESH workshop. *AIDS Patient Care STDs*. 2016;30(11):519–527. doi: 10.1089/apc.2016.0223. [PubMed: 27849373]
2. Crockett KB, Edmonds A, Johnson MO, et al. Neighborhood racial diversity, socioeconomic status, and perceptions of HIV-related discrimination and internalized HIV stigma among women living with HIV in the United States. *AIDS Patient Care STDs*. 2019 6;33(6):270–281. doi: 10.1089/apc.2019.0004. [PubMed: 31166786]
3. Rueda S, Mitra S, Chen S, et al. Examining the associations between HIV-related stigma and health outcomes in people living with HIV/AIDS: A series of meta-analyses. *BMJ Open* 2016;6:e011453.
4. Freeman R, Gwadz MV, Silverman E, et al. Critical race theory as a tool for understanding poor engagement along the HIV care continuum among African American/Black and Hispanic persons living with HIV in the United States: a qualitative exploration. *Int J Equity Health*. 2017 3 24;16(1):54. doi: 10.1186/s12939-017-0549-3. [PubMed: 28340589]
5. Bird ST, Bogart LM, Delahanty DL. Health-related correlates of perceived discrimination in HIV care. *AIDS Patient Care STDs*. 2004; 8(1):19–26.

6. Turan B, Hatcher AM, Weiser SD, et al. Framing mechanisms linking HIV-related stigma, adherence to treatment, and health outcomes. *Am J Public Health* 2017;107:863–869. [PubMed: 28426316]
7. Stangl AL, Lloyd JK, Brady LM, et al. A systematic review of interventions to reduce HIV-related stigma and discrimination from 2002 to 2013: how far have we come? *J Int AIDS Soc*. 2013;16(Suppl. 2):18734.
8. Guidance note. Reduction of HIV-related stigma and discrimination. UNAIDS; 2014 (http://www.unaids.org/sites/default/files/media_asset/2014unaidsguidancenote_stigma_en.pdf).
9. Link BG, Phelan JC. Conceptualizing stigma. *Annu Rev Soc*. 2001;27(1):363–85.
10. Turan B, Rogers AJ, Rice WS, et al. Association between perceived discrimination in healthcare settings and HIV medication adherence: Mediating psychosocial mechanisms. *AIDS Behav* 2017;21:3431–3439. [PubMed: 29081045]
11. Rice WS, Crockett KB, Mugavero MJ, et al. Association between internalized HIV-related stigma and HIV care visit adherence. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2017;76:482–487. [PubMed: 28885270]
12. Kay ES, Rice WS, Crockett KB, et al. Experienced HIV-related stigma in health care and community settings: Mediated associations with psychosocial and health outcomes. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr* 2018;77:257–263. [PubMed: 29140873]
13. Fazeli PL, Turan JM, Budhwani H, et al. Moment-to-moment within-person associations between acts of discrimination and internalized stigma in people living with HIV: An experience sampling study. *Stigma Health* 2017;2:216–228. [PubMed: 28966982]
14. Nyblade L, Stangl A, Weiss E, et al. Combating HIV stigma in health care settings: What works? *J Int AIDS Soc* 2009; 12:15.
15. Ak Boehme, Moneyham L, McLeod J, et al. HIV-infected women’s relationships with their health care provider in the rural deep south: An exploratory study. *Health Care Women Int* 2012;33:403–419. [PubMed: 22420680]
16. Chambers LA, Rueda S, Baker DH, et al. Stigma, HIV and health: A qualitative synthesis. *BMC Public Health* 2015; 15:848. [PubMed: 26334626]
17. Sweeney SM, Vanable PA. The Association of HIV-related stigma to HIV medication adherence: A systematic review and synthesis of the literature. *AIDS Behav* 2016; 20:29–50. [PubMed: 26303196]
18. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Behavioral and clinical characteristics of persons with diagnosed HIV infection—Medical Monitoring Project, United States, 2018 Cycle (June 2018–May 2019). HIV Surveillance Special Report 25. <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/library/reports/hiv-surveillance.html>. Published May 2020. Accessed June 29, 2020.
19. Beer L, Johnson CH, Fagan JL, et al. . A national behavioral and clinical surveillance system of adults with diagnosed HIV (The Medical Monitoring Project): Protocol for an annual cross-sectional interview and medical record abstraction survey. *JMIR Res Protoc*. 2019 11 18;8(11):e15453. doi: 10.2196/15453. PubMed PMID:31738178; PubMed Central PMCID:PMC6887828.
20. Heeringa S, West BT, Berglund PA. Applied survey data analysis. Boca Raton, FL: Taylor & Francis, 2010.
21. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. <https://aspe.hhs.gov/2018-poverty-guidelines>.
22. Kroenke K, Strine TW, Spitzer RL, et al. The PHQ-8 as a measure of current depression in the general population. *Journal Affect Disord* 2009; 114:163–73.
23. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB, et al. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. *Arch Intern Med* 2006; 166:1092–7. [PubMed: 16717171]
24. Wilson IB, Lee Y, Michaud J, et al. Validation of a new three-item self-report measure for medication adherence. *AIDS Behav* 2016; 20:2700–8. [PubMed: 27098408]
25. Bogart LM, Landrine H, Galvan FH, et al. . Perceived Discrimination and Physical Health Among HIV-Positive Black and Latino Men Who Have Sex with Men. *AIDS Behav*. 2013;17(4):1431–1441. 10.1007/s10461-012-0397-5 [PubMed: 23297084]

26. Valverde EE, Beer L, Johnson CH, et al. Changes in perceived discrimination in healthcare settings reported by HIV patients in the United States from 1996 to 2011–2013. *AIDS*. 2018 9 10; 32(14): 2075–2076. [PubMed: 29912059]
27. Pitasi MA, Chavez PR, DiNenno EA, et al. Stigmatizing attitudes toward people living with HIV among adults and adolescents in the United States. *AIDS Behav*. 2018;22(12):3887–3891. 10.1007/s10461-018-2188-0 [PubMed: 29948338]
28. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Budget. 2020; <https://www.hiv.gov/federal-response/funding/budget>. Accessed June 3, 2020.
29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Monitoring selected HIV prevention and care objectives using surveillance data, United States and 6 dependent areas, 2018. 2020; <https://www.cdc.gov/hiv/pdf/library/reports/surveillance/cdc-hiv-surveillance-supplemental-report-vol-25-2.pdf>. Accessed June 2, 2020.
30. Greenberg AE, Purcell DW, Gordon CM, Barasky RJ, del Rio C. Addressing the challenges of the HIV continuum of care in high-prevalence cities in the United States. *J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr*. 2015;69 Suppl 1(0 1):S1–S7. 10.1097/QAI.0000000000000569 [PubMed: 25867773]
31. Shuter J Forgiveness of non-adherence to HIV-1 antiretroviral therapy. *J Antimicrob*. 2008; 61 (4):769–773.
32. Andrasik M, Broder G, Oseso L, Wallace S, Rentas F, Corey L. Stigma, implicit bias, and long-lasting prevention interventions to end the domestic HIV/AIDS epidemic. *Am J Public Health* 2020;110(1):67–68. 10.2105/ajph.2019.305454 [PubMed: 31800273]
33. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Let’s Stop HIV Together campaign resources 2020 [Available from: <https://www.cdc.gov/stophivtogether/campaigns/index.html>].
34. Sears 2012 “Improving cultural competence education: the utility of an intersectional framework”; <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1111/j.1365-2923.2011.04199.x>

Table 1. Self-reported discrimination in HIV care settings among persons with diagnosed HIV who received care during the past 12 months—United States, 2018–2019 (N=3850)

	Never		Rarely		About half of the time		Most of the time		Always	
	n ^a	Row % (95% CI) ^b	n ^a	Row % (95% CI) ^b	n ^a	Row % (95% CI) ^b	n ^a	Row % (95% CI) ^b	n ^a	Row % (95% CI) ^b
During the past 12 months:										
How often were you treated with less courtesy than other people?	3,420	89.6 (88.2–91.1)	282	6.7 (5.5–8.0)	85	2.1 (1.6–2.7)	32	0.9 (0.6–1.2)	29	0.6 (0.4–0.8)
How often were you treated with less respect than other people?	3,431	89.9 (88.5–91.3)	279	6.8 (5.5–8.1)	82	2.0 (1.4–2.6)	27	0.7 (0.4–1.0)	27	0.6 (0.3–1.0)
How often have you received poorer service than others?	3,426	89.5 (87.9–91.2)	281	7.2 (5.9–8.4)	83	2.0 (1.5–2.6)	28	0.8 (0.5–1.2)	24	0.5 (0.3–0.7)
How often has a doctor or nurse acted as if he or she thought you were not smart?	3,491	90.9 (89.5–92.3)	200	4.9 (3.9–6.0)	93	2.5 (2.0–3.1)	26	0.7 (0.4–1.0)	33	0.9 (0.5–1.3)
How often has a doctor or nurse acted as if he or she was afraid of you?	3,645	95.0 (94.1–96.0)	141	3.5 (2.7–4.3)	29	0.6 (0.3–1.0)	14	0.3 (0.1–0.5)	17	0.5* (0.2–0.8)
How often has a doctor or nurse acted as if he or she was better than you?	3,523	91.4 (89.8–93.0)	206	5.5 (4.3–6.7)	57	1.4 (1.0–1.8)	25	0.8 (0.4–1.1)	36	1.0 (0.6–1.3)
How often have you felt like a doctor or nurse was not listening to what you were saying?	3,217	83.6 (81.0–86.2)	400	10.1 (8.4–11.8)	147	4.0 (3.0–5.0)	48	1.4 (1.0–1.9)	36	0.8 (0.5–1.2)

* Coefficient of variation > 0.30, estimate may be unstable

^a Numbers are unweighted

^b Percentages and corresponding CIs are weighted percentages

Table 2.

Self-reported discrimination among persons with diagnosed HIV by sociodemographic characteristics—
United States, 2018–2019 (N=3850)

Characteristics	n ^a	Col % (95% CI)	Any discrimination		P-value
			Row % (95% CI) ^b	Prevalence Ratio (95% CI) ^b	
Total	3850		24.6 (21.9–27.2)		
Age (years)					
18–29	312	8.2 (6.7–9.6)	33.9 (25.7–42.1)	1.55 (1.20–2.00)	0.002
30–39	572	16.6 (15.4–17.8)	25.4 (20.7–30.1)	1.16 (0.94–1.44)	0.179
40–49	842	22.1 (20.4–23.8)	27.0 (22.9–31.1)	1.23 (1.05–1.45)	0.012
>=50	2124	53.1 (51.0–55.2)	21.9 (19.2–24.6)	Reference	
Gender					
Male	2777	74.8 (72.8–76.8)	24.9 (22.4–27.4)	1.11 (0.97–1.28)	0.124
Female	999	23.4 (21.4–25.5)	22.4 (18.5–26.3)	Reference	
Transgender	73	1.8 (1.3–2.2)	41.0 (30.0–52.0)	1.83 (1.40–2.40)	<0.001
Race/ethnicity					
White (non-Hispanic)	1092	30.1 (23.8–36.5)	25.7 (22.2–29.2)	1.18 (0.99–1.41)	0.059
Black (non-Hispanic)	1631	39.7 (30.4–49.0)	21.7 (18.0–25.4)	Reference	
Hispanic/Latino	848	22.7 (14.5–31.0)	24.2 (21.1–27.3)	1.12 (0.91–1.38)	0.302
Other/Multiracial	279	7.5 (5.4–9.5)	36.5 (30.2–42.8)	1.68 (1.35–2.10)	<0.001
Gender-stratified racial/ethnic group					
White (non-Hispanic) men	939	28.5 (21.9–35.0)	25.4 (22.0–28.8)	1.35 (1.11–1.64)	0.002
Black (non-Hispanic) men	1007	27.9 (21.3–34.6)	23.2 (18.9–27.5)	1.23 (1.00–1.52)	0.045
Hispanic/Latino men	629	19.4 (12.3–26.4)	24.5 (20.7–28.3)	1.30 (0.99–1.71)	0.058
White (non-Hispanic) women	144	4.4 (3.2–5.5)	26.4 (17.5–35.4)	1.41 (0.96–2.07)	0.092
Black (non-Hispanic) women	593	14.9 (11.5–18.2)	18.8 (14.7–22.9)	Reference	
Hispanic or Latina women	201	5.0 (3.1–6.9)	22.0 (16.1–27.9)	1.17 (0.86–1.59)	0.324
Sexual orientation					
Gay	1531	41.4 (38.1–44.7)	25.2 (22.0–28.4)	1.15 (1.02–1.30)	0.019
Straight	1860	46.5 (43.0–49.9)	21.8 (18.7–25.0)	Reference	
Bisexual	333	9.1 (8.0–10.3)	31.1 (26.1–36.1)	1.43 (1.16–1.75)	0.001
Other	107	3.0 (2.3–3.6)	39.6 (29.5–49.8)	1.82 (1.31–2.51)	0.001
Sexual behavior/orientation					
Men who have sex with men (MSM)	1864	50.4 (47.2–53.7)	26.3 (23.4–29.2)	1.23 (1.06–1.42)	0.006
Men who only have sex with women (MSW)	859	22.7 (20.6–24.9)	21.5 (18.2–24.7)	Reference	
Women who have sex with men (WSM)	976	23.0 (21.0–25.0)	22.0 (18.1–26.0)	1.03 (0.88–1.20)	0.746
Others	151	3.8 (3.3–4.4)	35.4 (28.1–42.7)	1.65 (1.26–2.16)	0.001
Education					
<High school	667	16.4 (14.5–18.4)	24.3 (20.9–27.8)	Reference	
High school diploma or equivalent	1034	26.7 (24.9–28.5)	21.7 (17.1–26.2)	0.89 (0.69–1.15)	0.368
>High school	2147	56.9 (53.7–60.0)	26.0 (23.4–28.7)	1.07 (0.91–1.27)	0.424

Characteristics	n ^a	Col % (95% CI)	Any discrimination		P-value
			Row % (95% CI) ^b	Prevalence Ratio (95% CI) ^b	
Household poverty level, past 12 months					
Above poverty level	1978	57.2 (54.5–59.9)	22.5 (19.7–25.4)	Reference	
At or below poverty level	1581	42.8 (40.1–45.5)	28.2 (24.7–31.7)	1.25 (1.11–1.41)	<0.001
Homeless, past 12 months					
Yes	364	8.7 (7.7–9.6)	38.6 (32.9–44.3)	1.66 (1.44–1.92)	<0.001
No	3486	91.3 (90.4–92.3)	23.3 (20.6–25.9)	Reference	
Incarcerated, past 12 months					
Yes	168	4.7 (3.5–5.8)	37.4 (30.1–44.8)	1.56 (1.29–1.90)	<0.001
No	3679	95.3 (94.2–96.5)	24.0 (21.4–26.5)	Reference	
Limited English proficiency (excluding Puerto Rico)					
Yes	722	18.9 (16.6–21.1)	23.2 (19.3–27.1)	Reference	
No	2961	81.1 (78.9–83.4)	25.1 (22.2–28.0)	1.08 (0.93–1.26)	0.300
Healthcare coverage, past 12 months					
Any private insurance	1329	35.5 (32.2–38.7)	21.8 (18.6–25.1)	Reference	
Public insurance only	2144	54.6 (50.2–59.0)	26.6 (23.5–29.7)	1.22 (1.01–1.46)	0.035
Ryan White coverage only/Uninsured	344	9.9 (7.0–12.8)	23.4 (16.3–30.5)	1.07 (0.80–1.44)	0.644
Time since HIV diagnosis^c					
<5 years	543	15.0 (13.9–16.1)	26.3 (21.2–31.4)	1.07 (0.89–1.30)	0.473
5–9 years	652	17.1 (15.9–18.3)	23.4 (18.2–28.5)	0.95 (0.79–1.15)	0.604
>=10 years	2651	67.9 (66.6–69.3)	24.5 (22.1–27.0)	Reference	
Received care at a Ryan White HIV/AIDS Program funded facility, past 12 months					
Yes	2565	69.7 (59.5–79.8)	24.2 (21.0–27.5)	Reference	
No	1093	30.3 (20.2–40.5)	26.5 (23.0–29.9)	1.09 (0.91–1.32)	0.357
Has a regular HIV care provider					
Yes	3693	95.7 (94.4–97.1)	24.0 (21.5–26.4)	Reference	
No	156	4.3 (2.9–5.6)	38.8 (25.6–52.0)	1.62 (1.21–2.16)	0.005

Note: All variables self reported except where otherwise noted

^aNumbers are unweighted

^bPercentages and corresponding CIs are weighted percentages

^cMeasured from the National HIV Surveillance System

Table 3.

Self-reported discrimination among persons with diagnosed HIV by clinical characteristics—United States, 2018–2019 (N=3850)

Characteristics	n ^a	Any discrimination		Prevalence Ratio (95% CI)	P-value
		Yes Col % (95% CI) ^b	No Col % (95% CI) ^b		
Total (row%)	3850	24.6 (21.9–27.2)	75.4 (72.8–78.1)		
Current ART use					
Yes	3740	94.5 (92.6–96.4)	97.5 (96.6–98.3)	0.97 (0.95–0.99)	<0.001
No	108	5.5 (3.6–7.4)	2.5 (1.7–3.4)	2.16 (1.49–3.12)	<0.001
100% ART dose adherence, past 30 days					
Yes	2237	49.0 (45.7–52.2)	63.2 (61.0–65.4)	0.77 (0.72–0.83)	<0.001
No	1498	51.0 (47.8–54.3)	36.8 (34.6–39.0)	1.39 (1.27–1.51)	<0.001
Sustained viral suppression^c					
Yes	2641	63.6 (57.2–70.1)	66.8 (64.5–69.2)	0.95 (0.87–1.05)	0.281
No	1209	36.4 (29.9–42.8)	33.2 (30.8–35.5)	1.10 (0.93–1.29)	0.281
Recent viral suppression^c					
Yes	2921	70.6 (64.2–77.0)	73.3 (70.5–76.1)	0.96 (0.89–1.04)	0.325
No	929	29.4 (23.0–35.8)	26.7 (23.9–29.5)	1.10 (0.91–1.33)	0.325
Receipt of HIV care, past 12 months^c					
Yes	3164	80.9 (77.0–84.8)	83.7 (81.7–85.6)	0.97 (0.92–1.02)	0.164
No	497	19.1 (15.2–23.0)	16.3 (14.4–18.3)	1.17 (0.94–1.45)	0.164
Missed at least 1 HIV care visit, past 12 months					
Yes	900	33.3 (29.6–37.0)	20.7 (18.9–22.5)	1.61 (1.42–1.82)	<0.001
No	2944	66.7 (63.0–70.4)	79.3 (77.5–81.1)	0.84 (0.80–0.89)	<0.001
Trust in HIV care or treatment information from a doctor, nurse, or other healthcare worker					
Not at all	14	1.2* (0.0–2.4)	0.6* (0.0–1.1)	2.14 (0.33–13.84)	0.423
Somewhat	275	15.7 (12.8–18.5)	7.0 (5.9–8.2)	2.22 (1.76–2.80)	<0.001
A great deal	2542	83.2 (80.0–86.4)	92.4 (91.0–93.8)	0.90 (0.86–0.94)	<0.001
Emergency room visits, past 12 months					
Yes	1633	51.1 (46.9–55.3)	38.7 (35.9–41.4)	1.32 (1.22–1.43)	<0.001
No	2210	48.9 (44.7–53.1)	61.3 (58.6–64.1)	0.80 (0.74–0.86)	<0.001
Hospitalizations, past 12 months					
Yes	781	26.2 (23.4–29.0)	17.1 (14.8–19.4)	1.53 (1.30–1.79)	<0.001
No	3065	73.8 (71.0–76.6)	82.9 (80.6–85.2)	0.89 (0.85–0.93)	<0.001
Depression, past 2 weeks					
No depression	3166	71.5 (68.7–74.2)	86.2 (84.9–87.5)	0.83 (0.80–0.86)	<0.001
Major or other depression	658	28.5 (25.8–31.3)	13.8 (12.5–15.1)	2.06 (1.80–2.36)	<0.001
Anxiety, past 2 weeks					

Characteristics	n ^a	Any discrimination			P-value
		Yes Col % (95% CI) ^b	No Col % (95% CI) ^b	Prevalence Ratio (95% CI)	
No or mild anxiety	3229	70.7 (67.2–74.3)	88.4 (86.6–90.1)	0.80 (0.76–0.84)	<0.001
Moderate or severe anxiety	607	29.3 (25.7–32.8)	11.6 (9.9–13.4)	2.51 (2.12–2.98)	<0.001

Note: All variables self reported except where otherwise noted

^aNumbers are unweighted

^bPercentages and corresponding CIs are weighted percentages

^cMeasured from medical record abstraction

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript