

Complete Genome Sequences of Four Soil-Derived Isolates for Studying Synthetic Bacterial Community Assembly

Carlos N. Lozano-Andrade,^a Mikael Lenz Strube,^b © [Ákos T.](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4465-1636) Kovácsª

aBacterial Interactions and Evolution Group, DTU Bioengineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark bBacterial Ecophysiology and Biotechnology Group, DTU Bioengineering, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

ABSTRACT Here, we report the complete genome sequences of four bacterial soil isolates, Chryseobacterium sp., Stenotrophomonas indicatrix, Pedobacter sp., and Rhodococcus globerulus. These isolates can be used for studying microbial interactions and community assembly in vitro.

oil microbes play diverse and often pivotal roles in ecosystem services, driving biogeochemical cycles, plant growth, and life above and below ground ([1](#page-2-0), [2](#page-2-1)).

These activities are performed by complex communities composed of several interacting species, rather than single species. The high complexity of soil microbial communities poses great difficulty for experimentally testing ecological hypotheses, such as microbe-plant interactions or the underlying mechanisms of community assembly. Therefore, experimentally tractable and manipulatable synthetic communities are needed as a model for addressing fundamental questions in microbial ecology [\(3\)](#page-2-2). We experimented with a four-member synthetic bacterial community to study its assembly and functionality. The isolates were obtained from 1 g soil sample (Dyrehaven, Denmark; coordinates, 55.788800, 12.558300), using serial dilutions plated in $0.1 \times$ TSA. Here, we announce the complete genome sequences of Chryseobacterium sp. strain D764, Stenotrophomonas indicatrix D763, Pedobacter sp. strain D749, and Rhodococcus globerulus D757.

For genome sequencing, the strains were grown overnight in LB at 24°C, and genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted using the GeneMATRIX bacterial and yeast genomic DNA purification kit (EURx, Gdansk, Poland). Two separate DNA extractions were conducted for each sequencing technology, yielding at least 1 μ g of gDNA, quantified using Qubit. For Illumina sequencing, a library was prepared using the NEBNext DNA library kit (New England BioLabs, USA).The gDNA was randomly fragmented to 350 bp, end polished, A-tailed, ligated with adapters, and PCR enriched. Then, paired-end reads were generated on the NovaSeq 600 platform with 2 \times 150-bp reads. For Nanopore sequencing, a ligation sequencing kit (SQK-LSK109) was used with the native barcoding expansion 1-12 kit (EXP-NBD104), following the manufacturer's instructions. The libraries were sequenced using an R9.4.1 flow cell on a MinION device running a 48-h sequencing cycle. The reads were base called and demultiplexed using Guppy v.3.1.5 (ONT).

For de novo assembly, the Illumina and Nanopore reads were adapter and quality trimmed using AdapterRemoval v.2.3.1 ([4\)](#page-2-3) and Porechop v.0.2.4 [\(5\)](#page-2-4), respectively. Subsequently, the trimmed reads from both platforms were hybrid assembled using Unicycler v.0.4.8 [\(6\)](#page-2-5). The complete, circular, and rotated chromosome of each strain produced using Unicycler was evaluated using Bandage v.0.8.1 [\(7\)](#page-2-6) and BUSCO v.4.1.4 ([8\)](#page-2-7) to evaluate the core gene content and CheckM v.1.0.8 for the completeness and contamination levels [\(9](#page-2-8)). The chromosomes were annotated using the NCBI Prokaryotic Genome Annotation Pipeline. The species phylogeny was analyzed using autoMLST [\(10](#page-2-9)) and TYGS [\(11\)](#page-2-10). Default parameters were used for all software. Pedobacter sp. D749 and Chryseobacterium sp. D764 had <95% average nucleotide identity (ANI) compared to the genomes of the type strains and thus could represent novel species.

Editor Leighton Pritchard, SIPBS, University of Strathclyde

Copyright © 2021 Lozano-Andrade et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Address correspondence to Ákos T. Kovács, atkovacs@dtu.dk.

Received 25 August 2021 Accepted 25 October 2021 Published 18 November 2021

TABLE 1 Strain names, accession numbers, and genome characteristics of the soil isolates used in this study TABLE 1 Strain names, accession numbers, and genome characteristics of the soil isolates used in this study Species delineation was performed using TYGS and autoMLST. For TYGS, the digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) threshold value was >70%. aSpecies delineation was performed using TYGS and autoMLST. For TYGS, the digital DNA-DNA hybridization (dDDH) threshold value was .70%.

bCDS, coding DNA sequences. cEstimated using CheckM v.1.0.8.

°CDS, coding DNA sequences.
"Estimated using CheckM v.1.0.8.
"Complete and single-copy benchmarking universal single-copy orthologs (BUSCOs). dComplete and single-copy benchmarking universal single-copy orthologs (BUSCOs).

Data availability. The raw sequencing data have been deposited at the NCBI Sequence Read Archive under accession number [PRJNA743326](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA743326) [\(SRX11393888](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX11393888) and [SRX11393892](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX11393892) for strain D749, [SRX11393889](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX11393889) and [SRX11393893](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX11393893) for strain D757, [SRX11393890](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX11393890) and [SRX11393894](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX11393894) for strain D763, and [SRX11393891](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX11393891) and [SRX11393895](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/sra/SRX11393895) for strain D764 for the Illumina and Nanopore reads, respectively), and the genome assemblies have been deposited in GenBank under BioProject accession number [PRJNA743326.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA743326) Detailed information for each strain is listed in [Table 1.](#page-1-0)

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project was supported by the Danish National Research Foundation (DNRF137) for the Center for Microbial Secondary Metabolites.

REFERENCES

- 1. Philippot L, Raaijmakers JM, Lemanceau P, Van Der Putten WH. 2013. Going back to the roots: the microbial ecology of the rhizosphere. Nat Rev Microbiol 11:789–799. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmi](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmi<?A3B2 re 3j?>cro3109) [cro3109](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmi<?A3B2 re 3j?>cro3109).
- 2. Bahram M, Hildebrand F, Forslund SK, Anderson JL, Soudzilovskaia NA, Bodegom PM, Bengtsson-Palme J, Anslan S, Coelho LP, Harend H, Huerta-Cepas J, Medema MH, Maltz MR, Mundra S, Olsson PA, Pent M, Põlme S, Sunagawa S, Ryberg M, Tedersoo L, Bork P. 2018. Structure and function of the global topsoil microbiome. Nature 560:233–237. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0386-6) [s41586-018-0386-6](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0386-6).
- 3. Vorholt JA, Vogel C, Carlström CI, Müller DB. 2017. Establishing causality: opportunities of synthetic communities for plant microbiome research. Cell Host Microbe 22:142–155. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.07](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.004) [.004.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.004)
- 4. Schubert M, Lindgreen S, Orlando L. 2016. AdapterRemoval v2: rapid adapter trimming, identification, and read merging. BMC Res Notes 9:88. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-016-1900-2>.
- 5. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Completing bacterial genome assemblies with multiplex MinION sequencing. Microb Genom 3:e000132. [https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000132.](https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000132)
- 6. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. 2017. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. PLoS Comput Biol 13:e1005595. [https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005595)
- 7. Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. 2015. Bandage: interactive visualization of de novo genome assemblies. Bioinformatics 31:3350-3352. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383) [doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btv383)
- 8. Waterhouse RM, Seppey M, Simao FA, Manni M, Ioannidis P, Klioutchnikov G, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. 2018. BUSCO applications from quality assessments to gene prediction and phylogenomics. Mol Biol Evol 35:543–548. [https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx319.](https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msx319)
- 9. Parks DH, Imelfort M, Skennerton CT, Hugenholtz P, Tyson GW. 2015. CheckM: assessing the quality of microbial genomes recovered from isolates, single cells, and metagenomes. Genome Res 25:1043–1055. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114) [doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.186072.114)
- 10. Alanjary M, Steinke K, Ziemert N. 2019. AutoMLST: an automated Web server for generating multi-locus species trees highlighting natural product potential. Nucleic Acids Res 47:W276–W282. [https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz282.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkz282)
- 11. Meier-Kolthoff JP, Göker M. 2019. TYGS is an automated high-throughput platform for state-of-the-art genome-based taxonomy. Nat Commun 10: 2182. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10210-3.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10210-3)