

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome from COVID-19 with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in obstetrical patients



Emily Shih, MD; J. Michael DiMaio, MD; John J. Squiers, MD; Anita R. Krueger, MD; Gary S. Schwartz, MD; James Herd, MD; April T. Bleich, MD

BACKGROUND: Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy has been used as a rescue therapy for patients with severe acute respiratory distress syndrome from COVID-19 who have failed conventional ventilatory strategies. Little is known about the outcome of pregnant and postpartum patients on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy.

OBJECTIVE: To describe the medical and surgical outcomes of pregnant and postpartum patients who were placed on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy for severe acute respiratory distress syndrome from COVID-19.

STUDY DESIGN: A case series reviewing pregnant or postpartum patients with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 who were placed on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy was conducted within the Baylor Scott & White Healthcare system. The demographics and the medical and surgical outcomes were collected and reviewed.

RESULTS: Between March 2020 and October 2021, 5 pregnant and 5 postpartum women were supported with venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy. The median age was 30 years (interquartile range, 26–33.5) and the median body mass index was 36.6 kg/m² (interquartile range, 29.5–42.0). There was a median of 4.5 days (interquartile range, 1.5–6.8) from admission to any hospital to intubation and 9 days

(interquartile range, 7–13) to extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy cannulation. One patient had an ischemic stroke, 1 patient had a presumed hemorrhagic stroke, and 9 patients developed bleeding while on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy. Of the 5 pregnant women, 2 patients had intrauterine fetal demise and 3 underwent delivery for maternal hemodynamic instability. The 5 postpartum women were initiated on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy a median of 10 days (interquartile range, 3–11) after delivery. The median length of time on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy was 22 days (interquartile range, 11–31). At the time of the study, there were 2 inpatient mortalities, 6 patients survived to discharge from the extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy hospital, and 2 patients were still admitted.

CONCLUSION: There is limited information regarding the use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy for COVID-19 acute respiratory distress syndrome in obstetrical patients. This case series describes the use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation therapy and survival in pregnant and postpartum patients with COVID-19.

Keywords: acute respiratory distress syndrome, COVID-19, COVID-19 vaccination, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, postpartum, pregnancy

Introduction

T he SARS-CoV-2 virus, causative agent of COVID-19, has made a staggering impact on public health worldwide. Although studies continue to elucidate and explore the pathophysiology of COVID-19, it has become apparent that severe forms of this disease can cause devastating and lasting insult.¹⁻³ Severe presentations include acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) and multisystem organ failure.⁴ Several studies have described the use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for patients with severe ARDS

Cite this article as: Shih E, DiMaio JM, Squiers JJ, et al. Treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome from COVID-19 with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in obstetrical patients. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2022;4:100537.

2589-9333/\$36.00 Published by Elsevier Inc. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ajogmf.2021.100537 from COVID-19 who have failed conventional mechanical ventilatory strategies.^{5–11} Limited reports have described the successful implementation of ECMO during pregnancy for other indications.^{12,13} The initial enthusiasm for ECMO as an option for obstetrical patients stemmed from encouraging observational reports during the influenza (H1N1) epidemic in 2009,¹⁴ but as the public health threat of COVID-19 continues to burgeon, information regarding the applicability of ECMO as a rescue therapy in obstetrical patients affected by this pandemic remains scarce. We present a case series of pregnant and postpartum patients who were placed on ECMO for severe ARDS from COVID-19.

Materials and Methods

We describe a case series of all patients who were pregnant or up to 6 weeks postpartum from March 2020 to October 2021 and initiated on ECMO

support for refractory ARDS from COVID-19 within a single healthcare system. There were 2 hospitals acting as ECMO referral centers within this system that contributed to the study. All the patients had laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection and obstetrical consultation and evaluation during their hospital admission. The patients were managed by multidisciplinary teams, including medical and surgical intensivists, cardiothoracic surgeons, maternal-fetal medicine, ECMO specialists, infectious disease specialists, nephrologists, and other consultants as needed. The clinical indications for ECMO, ECMO settings, and ECMO weaning strategies were guided by a system-wide ECMO protocol established by the Baylor Scott & White ECMO Governance Council.⁸ All the patients were therapeutically anticoagulated with a heparin intravenous infusion anti-Xa assay target of 0.2 to 0.4. Heparin was held for ongoing major blood

AJOG MFM at a Glance

Why was this study conducted?

This study was conducted to examine the outcomes of pregnant and postpartum patients who are placed on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) for severe acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) from COVID-19.

Key findings

ECMO appears to be a reasonable option for critically ill obstetrical patients who are otherwise unlikely to survive.

What does this add to what is known?

Although there have been studies describing the use of ECMO in obstetrical patients, little information exists on the outcomes of ECMO for severe ARDS from COVID-19 in this patient population.

loss requiring frequent transfusions with blood products.⁸ It was also held for 24 hours in the case of nonfatal central nervous system bleeding and nonurgent cesarean delivery. The study was approved by the Baylor Scott & White Institutional Review Board (approval number 014-179).

The demographics, comorbidities, and maternal and fetal outcomes were retrospectively reviewed. The pre-ECMO initiation information, including the length of time from symptom onset and hospital admission to the intubation and initiation of ECMO, the partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen (P/F) ratio, and whether the patients were intubated, paralyzed, prone, had undergone cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR), or required vasopressor support, was collected. The P/F ratio characterized the severity of ARDS according to the Berlin criteria.¹⁵ The outcomes evaluated after ECMO were the incidence of tracheostomy and the length of time to tracheostomy, chest tube placement, new renal replacement therapy (RRT), intensive care unit (ICU) length of stay at any facility, length of stay at the ECMO facility, length of ECMO, and maternal and fetal or neonatal survival. The in-hospital complications including cerebrovascular accident and bleeding were examined. Bleeding was defined as acute blood loss anemia requiring blood transfusion. The descriptive statistics are presented as median with interquartile range (IQR) and categorical variables as proportions unless otherwise specified.

Results Patients

From March 2020 to October 2021, 5 pregnant and 5 postpartum women were hospitalized and placed on ECMO support within a single healthcare system for ARDS from COVID-19. The median age of these women was 30 years (IQR, 26-33.5) and the median body mass index (BMI) was 36.6 kg/m² (IQR, 29.5-42.0). There were no active smokers. The COVID-19 treatment therapies and the patients' inflammatory markers are detailed in Table 1. Nine of 10 (90%) of the women in this study were confirmed to be unvaccinated against COVID-19; 1 of them had an unknown vaccination status. All the women were diagnosed with COVID-19 and were cannulated on ECMO after vaccination became widely available in the state of Texas. Before being initiated on ECMO, 6 of 10 patients (60%) were paralyzed, 1 of 10 (10%) had undergone CPR, 2 of 10 (20%) were on vasopressor support, and 3 of 10 (30%) were prone. The median P/F ratio was 60.5 (IQR, 58.5 -64.3). There was a median of 4.5 days (IQR, 1.5-6.8) from admission to any hospital to intubation and 9 days (IQR, 7-13) to ECMO cannulation.

Maternal characteristics

Among the 5 pregnant women, the gestational age at ECMO initiation was 20 weeks and 1 day, 22 weeks and 3 days, 12 weeks and 6 days, 23 weeks and 1 day, and 27 weeks, respectively. Two pregnant patients (40%) had intrauterine fetal demises within 1 week of ECMO cannulation. One was induced and delivered vaginally at 20 weeks and 1 day after the confirmation of intrauterine fetal demise, and the other had an incomplete abortion requiring dilatation and curettage at 13 weeks and 5 days. Two pregnant patients (40%) delivered periviable infants via operative delivery, 1 of which was performed on day 8 of ECMO for maternal hemodynamic instability and the other shortly after ECMO cannulation because of persistent fetal decelerations refractory to positional adjustment. Both the patients had multidisciplinary counseling with maternal-fetal medicine and neonatology experts before intubation. One elected for no resuscitation before 24 weeks and the other elected full intervention. However, the latter's neonate expired shortly after delivery. The remaining patient underwent nonurgent cesarean delivery because of conmaternal cern for disseminated intravascular coagulation vs evolving hemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, low platelet count (HELLP) syndrome at 28 weeks 5 days. Her infant survived. Among the 5 postpartum women in our series, the initiation of ECMO occurred at 2 to 20 days after delivery. All the deliveries (100%) were via cesarean and preterm because of maternal instability. All the infants of women who were cannulated postpartum are currently still alive. Ultimately, all the infants delivered at a viable gestational age survived, regardless of pregnant or postpartum status at the time of cannulation. Two of the 8 patients (25%) who underwent operative delivery had wound complications. One patient had a wound infection and the other developed an incisional hematoma. The obstetrical history of these patients is detailed in Table 2.

Outcomes on extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

All the patients were initiated on venovenous (VV) ECMO. The initial cannulation sites of 6 patients (60%) were bifemoral, 2 patients (20%) had right femoral and left subclavian cannulation sites, and 2 patients (20%) had an echocardiogram-guided right internal

TABLE 1Patient demographics and characteristics

Demographics	Pregnancy					Postpartum				
Demographics	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5	Patient 6	Patient 7	Patient 8	Patient 9	Patient 10
Age	29	35	31	32	34	22	29	35	25	22
Race	White	White	Black	Black	Hispanic	Black	White	White	Hispanic	Hispanic
BMI	32.20	43.10	36.70	45.40	26.45	42.80	38.40	34.80	27.04	28.62
Gestational age at ECMO initiation	12 wk 6 d	20 wk 1 d	23 wk 1 d	22 wk 3 d	27 wk 0 d	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Number of days postpartum at ECMO initiation	t n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	2	3	10	11	20
Comorbidities										
HTN	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Gestional HTN	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Preeclampsia	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
HELLP	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No
HLD	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
DM	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No	No
Gestional diabetes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
COPD	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Active Smoking	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Received COVID-19 vaccination	nNo	n/a	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Fibrinogen	n/a	80	357	232	543	214	131	n/a	553	573
Ferritin	654	593	349	49	66	125	88	2778	1363	106
LDH	667	>4000	585	416	487	433	214	334	n/a	398
Lactic acid	1.7	14.8	2.9	2	1.1	0.9	2.5	0.8	1.4	1.5
Procalcitonin	1.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	1.0	0.3
Time from symptoms onset to intubation (d)	15	10	7	19	14	21	13	7	8	11
Time from admission to intubation (d)	7	3	0	17	9	5	6	1	13	21
Time from symptom onset to ECLS (d)	15	10	10	19	14	23	26	19	17	31
Time from admission to ECLS (d)	7	3	3	17	9	7	9	13	13	21
Paralyzed	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes
										(continued

Original Research

Original	Research
----------	----------

Democranhics	Pregnancy					Postpartum				
	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5	Patient 6	Patient 7	Patient 8	Patient 9	Patient 10
Proned	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
CPR	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Intubated	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Vasopressors	No	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No
P/F ratio before cannulation	60	62	75	58	65	79	56	60	43	61
COVID-19 treatment therapy received	Remdesivir, dexamethasone, rocephin	Remdesivir, dexamethasone	Remdesivir, tocilizumab, dexamethasone	Remdesivir, rocephin, methylprednisolone	Remdesivir, dexamethasone, ceftriaxone	Remdesivir, tocilizumab, rocephin, dexamethasone	Remdesivir, tocilizumab, cefepime, linezolid, dexamethasone	Vancomycin, cefepime, Dexamethasone, methylprednisolone tocilizumab, azithromycin, cefepime	e, Dexamethasone, to tocilizumab, azithromycin, cefepime	Remdesivir, dexamethasone, azithromycin, ceftriaxone
ECMO type	W	W	٨٧	W	M	W	W	٨٧	W	W
Cannulation sites	Right internal jugular Bifemoral	r Bifemoral	Bifemoral	Left subclavian, right femoralBifemoral	oralBifemoral	Bifemoral	Left subclavian, right Bifemoral femoral	ht Bifemoral	Bifemoral	Bifemoral

jugular dual lumen cannulation. All the patients were fully anticoagulated with unfractionated heparin. Nine of 10 (90%) patients had a tracheostomy performed, and the median time from intubation to tracheostomy was 13 days (IQR, 8-16). The patient who did not receive a tracheostomy expired within 2 weeks of arrival to the ECMO center. There were 4 patients (40%) who required chest tube placement while on ECMO, and 2 patients (20%) required initiation of RRT. The complications during hospitalization included 1 patient who developed an ischemic stroke, 1 patient who had a presumed hemorrhagic stroke after acute neurologic change in the setting of anticoagulation, and 9 patients (90%) who had bleeding requiring transfusion of blood products. Of the 9 patients who had bleeding, 3 patients (33.3%) had acute blood loss anemia from thrombocytopenia, and 2 patients developed rectus sheath hematomas. The remaining sources of bleeding were the following: 1 from the chest tube site, 3 from the tracheostomy site, and 3 from ongoing vaginal bleeding. The total median length of time on ECMO was 22 days (IQR, 11-31). There were 2 patients who required recannulation after initial decannulation for respiratory decline. The length of stay was a median of 28 days (IQR, 17.5-46.3) in ICU care and 31.5 days (IQR, 16.5-49) at the ECMO facility. At the time of this study, there were 2 inpatient mortalities (25%, 2 of 8 either discharged or deceased patients), 5 patients who had been discharged to either a returning hospital, a long-term assisted care facility, a skilled nursing facility, or a rehabilitation center, and 1 patient discharged home (75%, 6 of 8). Two patients are still admitted (20%, 2 of 10). These outcomes are detailed in Table 3.

Comment Principal findings

The existing literature regarding the use of ECMO for COVID-19 ARDS in pregnant or postpartum women is scarce. Our case series describes 10 patients in this category within a single healthcare system. All the patients

TABLE 2 Obstetrical events										
Evente	Pregnancy					Postpartum				
2	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5	Patient 6	Patient 7	Patient 8	Patient 9	Patient 10
Gravida Para	2/1	1/0	2/1	4/3	4/3	n/a	3/3	n/a	4/3	4/4
Gestational age at delivery	13 wk 5 d	20 wk 1 d	23 wk 1 d	23 wk 5 d	28 wk 0 d	26 wk 0 d	28 wk 0 d	30 wk 0 d	30 wk 0 d	35 wk 5 d
Delivery method	Spontaneous abortion	Spontaneous abortion	Resuscitative hysterotomy	Resuscitative hysterotomy	Resuscitative hysterotomy	Resuscitative hysterotomy	Resuscitative hysterotomy	C-section	C-section	Resuscitative hysterotomy
Estimated blood loss during operative delivery (mL)	n/a	n/a	500	500	1200	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Wound Complications	n/a	n/a	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	No
Intrauterine fetal demise	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Infant death	I	I	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No
Bold line represents viable gestational age. All newborns of patients who were of viable gestational age at delivery survived. n/a, not available.	All newborns of patient	ts who were of viable c	lestational age at deliver	y survived.						
Shih. Treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome from COVID-19 with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in obstetrical patients. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2021.	ss syndrome from COVI.	10-19 with extracorpore	sal membrane oxygenati	on in obstetrical patients.	. Am J Obstet Gynecol N.	IFM 2021.				

underwent VV ECMO and were cannulated according to ECMO for Severe Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome criteria.¹⁶ Seven patients have been successfully decannulated and 6 discharged from the ECMO facility; 2 patients deceased after withdrawal of care, and 1 patient is currently on ECMO. Comparable studies are limited mostly to case reports.^{17–20} Only 1 other case series of more than 2 obstetrical patients with COVID-19 managed with ECMO has been previously reported. Barrentes et al described 9 pregnant patients on ECMO with COVID-19 ARDS across multiple centers, with survival of 7 patients to discharge, 2 hospitalized patients, and only 1 newborn death.²¹ Five women in their study were cannulated postpartum— 2 at delivery, and 2 during pregnancy. Of note, all patients in their series had operative deliveries. This is consistent with the trajectory of care seen in our study. Beside the pregnant women who had intrauterine demises, the remainder of patients required operative delivery because of maternal clinical instability. The initial cannulation sites are most commonly bifemoral because of the ability to perform them emergently and safely relative to other cannulation sites. The important considerations of this strategy specific to pregnant patients include injury to the iliac vessels and inferior vena cava during cannulation and the mass effect of the uterus on these structures.²² Such was the case of 1 patient in our series with a previable pregnancy, who ultimately required a resuscitative hysterotomy after ECMO cannulation because of hypotension and poor ECMO flows refractory to any positional or medical correction. Ultimately, the decision was made to preserve the survival of the mother.

All newborns who were delivered beyond 24 weeks either pre- or post-ECMO cannulation survived. Our study extends and reinforces the findings of the case reports and case series of successful ECMO use in pregnant or postpartum patients that demonstrate favorable survival of neonates who are viable at the time of cannulation.^{17–21} In our case series, the only surviving

TABLE 3

Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation outcomes

Outcomes	Pregnancy					Postpartum				
outomos	Patient 1	Patient 2	Patient 3	Patient 4	Patient 5	Patient 6	Patient 7	Patient 8	Patient 9	Patient 10
Tracheostomy	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time from intubation to tracheostomy (d)	3	n/a	10	16	8	13	7	14	17	21
Concurrent interventions										
Chest tube	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
RRT	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Complications										
Bleeding	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
CVA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
Length of ECLS (d)	11	16	n/a	37	28	5	6	43	22	n/a
In hospital mortality	Yes	Yes	n/a	No	No	No	No	No	No	n/a
ICU LOS	16	18	n/a	44	53	22	7	59	34	n/a
ECMO center LOS	17	15	n/a	45	61	20	7	78	43	n/a
Discharge disposition	Deceased	Deceased	n/a	LTAC	IPR	LTAC	Other hospital	SNF	Home	n/a

CVA, cerebrovascular accident; ECMO, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; ICU, intensive care unit; IPR, inpatient rehabilitation; LOS, length of stay; LTAC, long-term assisted care facility; n/a, not available; RRT, renal replacement therapy; SNF, skilled nursing facility.

Shih. Treatment of acute respiratory distress syndrome from COVID-19 with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in obstetrical patients. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2021.

infant from a patient placed on ECMO support during pregnancy was cannulated at a viable gestational age.

The time to intubation from admission was approximately 5 days, with 9 days to initiation of ECMO. This is similar to other reports of nonpregnant COVID-19 patients placed on ECMO.⁸ Furthermore, all patients in our series who have survived have received tracheostomies. Our practice agrees with other groups nonpregnant describing COVID-19 patients who advocate for early planning of tracheostomy after ECMO cannulation with the goal of decreasing sedation requirements and improving pulmonary toilet.^{10,23} More than half of the patients in this series have been successfully decannulated from ECMO after respiratory recovery, and though the length of stay at the ECMO facility is variable, almost all the patients were discharged back to their transferring hospital or to an assisted facility for ongoing ventilatory weaning and rehabilitation. This highlights the magnitude of debilitation and the length of recovery patients face, should they

survive the initial acute phase of severe COVID-19 ARDS.

Research implications

Although this study describes the outcomes of ECMO in obstetrical patients while they are hospitalized, further studies involving a larger cohort of patients should be pursued. Furthermore, there is a lack of knowledge regarding the long-term outcomes of these women and their surviving newborns. Of note, all women in our study with a known vaccination status were not vaccinated against COVID-19. Early data show increased morbidity and mortality associated with COVID-19 infection in pregnancy.^{24,25} With the growing body of evidence supporting the safety of COVID-19 vaccination in pregnancy,^{26,27} it is essential that healthcare professionals address hesitancy and create awareness of vaccine safety in this population.

Strengths and limitations

Because this is a case series, the ability to generalize on the basis of the data is limited by the small size of the cohort. However, this study presents the outcomes of these 10 women from a unique demographic of patients affected by the COVID-19 pandemic, for which we have limited understanding of the outcomes and treatment options for severe disease. In addition, a detailed followup of the surviving neonates is scarce. Therefore, the long-term survival and outcomes of these patients are unknown.

Conclusions

Although our series is limited, 6 of the 10 women who were critically ill from COVID-19 ARDS have survived to discharge with ECMO therapy. Because ECMO is a resource- intensive therapy, further studies with a larger sample size and long-term follow-up are needed to navigate how to identify obstetrical patients that would best benefit from ECMO therapy.

Glossary

ARDS-acute respiratory distress syndrome

ECMO-extracorporeal membrane oxygenation

H1N1-Influenza A virus subtype H1N1

P/F-partial pressure of oxygen to fraction of inspired oxygen ratio

CPR-cardiopulmonary resuscitation

RRT-renal replacement therapy

ICU-intensive care unit

CVA-cerebrovascular accident

IQR-interquartile range

BMI-body-mass index

VV-venovenous

EOLIA-extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe acute respiratory distress syndrome

References

1. Wang F, Kream RM, Stefano GB. Long-term respiratory and neurological sequelae of COVID-19. Med Sci Monit 2020;26:e928996.

2. Lemhöfer C, Sturm C, Loudovici-Krug D, Best N, Gutenbrunner C. The impact of post-COVID-syndrome on functioning - results from a community survey in patients after mild and moderate SARS-CoV-2-infections in Germany. J Occup Med Toxicol 2021;16:45.

3. Demeco A, Marotta N, Barletta M, et al. Rehabilitation of patients post-COVID-19 infection: a literature review. J Int Med Res 2020; 48:300060520948382.

4. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. Lancet 2020;395:497–506.

5. Mustafa AK, Alexander PJ, Joshi DJ, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for patients with COVID-19 in severe respiratory failure. JAMA Surg 2020;155:990–2.

6. Jacobs JP, Stammers AH, St Louis J, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in the treatment of severe pulmonary and cardiac compromise in coronavirus disease 2019: experience with 32 patients. ASAIO J 2020;66:722–30.

7. Sromicki J, Schmiady M, Maisano F, Mestres CA. ECMO therapy in COVID-19: an experience from Zurich. J Card Surg 2021;36:1707–12.

8. Barbaro RP, MacLaren G, Boonstra PS, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support in COVID-19: an international cohort study of the Extracorporeal Life Support Organization registry. Lancet 2020;396:1071–8.

9. Schmidt M, Hajage D, Lebreton G, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for

severe acute respiratory distress syndrome associated with COVID-19: a retrospective cohort study. Lancet Respir Med 2020;8: 1121–31.

10. Kon ZN, Smith DE, Chang SH, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support in severe COVID-19. Ann Thorac Surg 2021;111: 537–43.

11. Shih E, DiMaio JM, Squiers JJ, et al. Venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for patients with refractory coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): multicenter experience of referral hospitals in a large health care system. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2020. [Epub ahead of print].

12. Moore SA, Dietl CA, Coleman DM. Extracorporeal life support during pregnancy. J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg 2016;151:1154–60.

13. Webster CM, Smith KA, Manuck TA. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in pregnant and postpartum women: a ten-year case series. Am J Obstet Gynecol MFM 2020; 2:100108.

14. Australia and New Zealand Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation (ANZ ECMO) Influenza InvestigatorsDavies A, Jones D, et al. Extracorporeal Membrane Oxygenation for 2009 Influenza A(H1N1) Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome. JAMA 2009;302: 1888–95.

15. ARDS Definition Task ForceRanieri VM, Rubenfeld GD, et al. Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin Definition. JAMA 2012; 307:2526–33.

16. Combes A, Hajage D, Capellier G, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for severe acute respiratory distress syndrome. N Engl J Med 2018;378:1965–75.

17. Hou L, Li M, Guo K, et al. First successful treatment of a COVID-19 pregnant woman with severe ARDS by combining early mechanical ventilation and ECMO. Heart Lung 2021;50: 33–6.

18. Fiore A, Piscitelli M, Adodo DK, et al. Successful use of extracorporeal membrane oxygenation postpartum as rescue therapy in a woman with COVID-19. J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth 2021;35:2140–3.

19. Khalil M, Butt A, Kseibi E, Althenayan E, Alhazza M, Sallam H. COVID-19-related acute respiratory distress syndrome in a pregnant woman supported on ECMO: the juxtaposition of bleeding in a hypercoagulable state. Membranes (Basel) 2021;11:544.

20. Douglass KM, Strobel KM, Richley M, et al. Maternal-neonatal dyad outcomes of maternal COVID-19 requiring extracorporeal membrane support: a case series. Am J Perinatol 2021;38:82-7.

21. Barrantes JH, Ortoleva J, O'Neil ER, et al. Successful treatment of pregnant and postpartum women with severe COVID-19 associated acute respiratory distress syndrome with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation. ASAIO J 2021;67:132–6.

22. Anselmi A, Ruggieri VG, Letheulle J, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in pregnancy. J Card Surg 2015;30:781–6.

23. Chao TN, Harbison SP, Braslow BM, et al. Outcomes after tracheostomy in COVID-19 patients. Ann Surg 2020;272:e181–6.

24. Delahoy MJ, Whitaker M, O'Halloran A, et al. Characteristics and maternal and birth outcomes of hospitalized pregnant women with laboratory-confirmed COVID-19 - COVID-NET, 13 states, March 1-August 22, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:1347–54.

25. Zambrano LD, Ellington S, Strid P, et al. Update: characteristics of symptomatic women of reproductive age with laboratory-confirmed SARS-CoV-2 infection by pregnancy status -United States, January 22-October 3, 2020. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep 2020;69:1641– 7

26. Blakeway H, Prasad S, Kalafat E, et al. COVID-19 vaccination during pregnancy: coverage and safety. Am J Obstet Gynecol 2021. [Epub ahead of print].

27. Shimabukuro TT, Kim SY, Myers TR, et al. Preliminary findings of mRNA Covid-19 vaccine safety in pregnant persons. N Engl J Med 2021;384:2273–82.

Author and article information

From the Department of General Surgery, Baylor University Medical Center, Baylor Scott & White Health, Dallas, TX (Drs Shih and Squiers); Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Baylor Scott and White The Heart Hospital -Plano, Baylor Scott & White Health, Plano, TX (Dr DiMaio); Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Baylor Scott and White All Saints Medical Center, Baylor Scott & White Health, Fort Worth, TX (Dr Krueger); Department of Cardiothoracic Surgery, Baylor University Medical Center, Baylor Scott & White Health, Dallas, TX (Dr Schwartz); Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Baylor Scott and White All Saints Medical Center, Baylor Scott & White Health, Fort Worth, TX (Drs Herd and Bleich).

Received Aug. 24, 2021; revised Nov. 9, 2021; accepted Nov. 15, 2021.

Data acquisition and the efforts of E.S. were supported by a philanthropic gift from Satish and Yasmin Gupta to the Baylor Scott & White The Heart Hospital, Plano, TX. Corresponding author. emily.shih@bswhealth.org