

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Immunopharmacology

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/intimp



The dynamic changes of cellular immunity among frontline medical workers who supported Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19

Juanjuan Yang^a, Qian Wang^a, Shuqun Zhang^b, Zongfang Li^{b,c,*}, Wei Jiang^{b,c,*}

^a Department of Health Management, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710004, China

^b Department of Oncology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710004, China

^c National & Local Joint Engineering Research Center of Biodiagnosis and Biotherapy, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi' an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi

710004, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: COVID-19 Cellular immunity Lymphocyte Stress

ABSTRACT

The outbreak of novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) poses a great stress to frontline medical workers. Our previous study indicated that immune cells in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers changed significantly. However, the dynamic changes of immune cells of frontline medical workers remain unclear. Here, we reported the dynamic changes of lymphocyte subsets in the peripheral blood of 51 frontline medical worker. The frontline medical workers struggling with COVID-19 from February 8 to March 31, 2020. Demographic and clinical data, including routine blood test data were extracted from the electronic health examination record and retrospectively analyzed. The lymphocyte (LYM) count and LYM ratio increased while the monocyte (MONO) ratio, neutrophil to lymphocyte ratio (NLR), monocyte to lymphocyte ratio (MLR) and neutrophil (NEUT) ratio in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers decreased 10 days after struggling with COVID-19. Interestingly, the differences of LYM count, LYM ratio, MONO ratio, NLR, NEUT ratio were more significantly in nurse than doctor. The differences of LYM ratio, NLR and NEUT ratio were more significantly in female than male. However, the changes of LYM count, LYM ratio, MONO ratio, NLR, MLR, NEUT ratio returned to the baseline 10 months after struggling with COVID-19. Together, these data indicated that immune cells in the peripheral blood changed significantly 10 days after struggling with COVID-19, but returned to normal after 10 months. Those maybe caused by psychological stress and we recommend to pay more attention to mental health and immune response of frontline medical workers.

1. Introduction

Novel coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) was caused by infection with the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and outbreak as a pandemic because of the rapid global spread of the disease [1]. The mental health of frontline medical workers has been greatly challenged during the spread of the infectious disease [2,3]. Previous studies reported that severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) outbreak caused great psychological pressure on healthcare workers [4,5]. As expected, the critical situation of COVID-19 outbreak caused mental burden on healthcare workers [6–8]. A recent study revealed that heathcare workers in hospitals equipped with fever clinics or wards for patients with COVID-19 in China, experienced psychological burden, especially nurses, women, those in Wuhan, and frontline healthcare workers directly engaged in the diagnosis, treatment, and care for patients with COVID-19 [9]. Similarly, Cai et al., reported frontline medical workers had more mental problems than non-frontline medical workers [10]. Such, more attention should be paied to mental health of frontline medical workers.

The immune cells in peripheral circulation are essential for againsting harmful pathogens. The number of white blood cell (WBC) and subset immune cells in the blood provides an important representation of the immune function. Immune system is regulated by neuroendocrine system [11]. Psychological stress regulates immune system also through neuroendocrine system, including the activation of the sympathetic nervous system (SNS) and hypothalamus–pituitary-adrenal

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2021.108392

Received 25 August 2021; Received in revised form 17 November 2021; Accepted 19 November 2021 Available online 23 November 2021 1567-5769/© 2021 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding authors at: National & Local Joint Engineering Research Center of Biodiagnosis and Biotherapy, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi' an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710004, China (Z. Li). Department of Oncology, the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi' an Jiaotong University, Xi'an, Shaanxi 710004, China (W. Jiang).

E-mail addresses: lzf2568@xjtu.edu.cn (Z. Li), jiangweixjtu526@xjtu.edu.cn (W. Jiang).

cortex (HPA) axis, which release neurotransmitters [12,13]. Psychological stress has great impact on immune system [14], however, chronic stress usually suppresses the immune function [15]. Our previous study indicated that the immune cells in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers who supported Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19 changed when compared with controls [16]. The effects of COVID-19 outbreak on medical workers' mental health not only short-term but also long-term impacts according to the experience of medical workers responded to SARS [17]. But the dynamic changes of lymphocyte subsets in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers remain unclear. Here, we reported the dynamic changes of lymphocyte subsets in the peripheral blood of 51 frontline medical workers from the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University who supported Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Participants

This retrospective study included frontline workers from the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University who supported Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19 from February 8 to March 31, 2020 in Tongji Hospital of Huazhong University of Science and Technology. They went on vacation in a holiday resort in Baoji, Shaanxi, China from April 1 to May 5, and they started working normally after May 6. Those frontline medical workers were excluded if they have physical diseases and psychiatric diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, immunemediated diseases, major depression, post-traumatic stress disorder, sleep disorder, anxiety and so on. Alcoholics and smokers were also excluded for the study. They underwent hematological test in January 2020, April 2020 and January 2021, respectively. A total 76 frontline medical workers meet the inclusion conditions but 25 frontline medical workers did not undergo hematological test in January 2021, 51 (67.1%) participated in the study. The COVID-19 virus nucleic acid were tested and the test results indicated them were negative for COVID-19 infection. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Xi'an Jiaotong University College of Medicine.

2.2. Data collection

Through the electronic health examination record system of our hospital, we collected the demographic and clinical data, including age, sex, occupation, fasting blood sugar (FBS), body mass index (BMI), systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and medical history. Routine blood test before supporting Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19 were tested in January 2020 and recorded as prestress data. Similarly, routine blood test 10 days post-stress and 10 months post-stress after supporting Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19 were tested in April 2020 and January 2021 and recorded as 10 days post-stress data, 10 months post-stress data, respectively. As our previous reported, routine blood tests were performed using an automatic blood cell analyzer (XN9000; Sysmex, Japan). Routine blood tests data included WBC count, neutrophil (NEUT) count, NEUT ratio, lymphocyte (LYM) count, LYM ratio, monocyte (MONO) count, MONO ratio, eosinophil (EOS) count, EOS ratio, basophilic granulocyte (BASO) count, and BASO ratio were collected [16]. The NEUT to LYM ratio (NLR) was calculated by dividing the NEUT count by the LYM count. Similarly, the MONO to LYM ratio (MLR) was calculated by dividing the MONO count by the LYM count. Data were stratified by sex and occupation to compare differences of immune cells.

2.3. Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows (Version 19.0). Data were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD). Student's *t*-test was used to test the differences between frontline

medical workers with different sex or occupation. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Bonferroni's post hoc test was used to test for differences in immune cells among three groups. Participants were divided into different layers on the basis of sex and occupation. Statistical significance was considered as P < 0.05.

3. Results

3.1. Demographic and clinical characteristics

A total of 51 frontline medical workers from the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University were enrolled in this study. The demographic and clinical characteristics of frontline medical workers are presented in Table 1. Among 51 frontline medical workers, 41 (80.4 %) were female and 10 (19.6 %) were male. There were 38 (74.5 %) nurses and 13 (25.5 %) doctors among the frontline medical workers. Among 41 female frontline medical workers, 35 were nurses and 6 were doctors. The mean age, BMI, SBP, DBP and FBS of 76 frontline medical workers were 34.5 \pm 6.3 years old, 22.7 \pm 2.7 Kg/m², 117.4 \pm 12.9 mmHg, 75.3 ± 9.7 mmHg and 4.9 ± 0.4 mmol/L, respectively (Table 1). The BMI of female was lower than that of male (P = 0.041, Table 1). There were no statistical differences between female and male in age (P = 0.257), SBP (P = 0.400), DBP (P = 0.147) and FBS (P = 0.204, Table 1). Nurses were younger than doctors (P = 0.009) and the SBP (P= 0.025), DBP (P = 0.017) and FBS (P = 0.016) of nurses were lower than doctors (Table 1). There was no difference in BMI (P = 0.141) between nurses and doctors (Table 1).

3.2. Dynamic changes of lymphocyte subsets in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers

Dynamic changes of lymphocyte subsets in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers are presented in Table 2. The LYM count and LYM ratio were significantly higher 10 days post-stress ($2.23 \pm 0.64 \times 10^9$ /L and 39.53 ± 8.43 %) when compared with the pre-stress ($1.71 \pm 0.47 \times 10^9$ /L and 32.32 ± 6.51 %, all *P* < 0.01, Table 2). Interestingly, stratification analysis based on sex showed that the LYM ratio was significantly higher only in female subgroup while stratification analysis based on occupation showed that the LYM ratio and LYM count were significantly higher only in nurse subgroup (Table 2). However, the changes of LYM count and LYM ratio returned to the baseline 10 months post -stress (Table 2).

The MONO ratio were significantly lower 10 days after supporting Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19 (5.16 \pm 1.09 %) when compared with the pre-stress (6.31 \pm 1.16 %, *P* < 0.01, Table 2). Similarly, stratification analysis based on occupation showed that the MONO ratio was significantly lower only in nurse subgroup (Table 2). The MONO ratio returned to the baseline 10 months after supporting Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19 (Table 2).

The BASO count and BASO ratio were significantly higher 10 months post-stress ($0.03 \pm 0.02 \times 10^9$ /L and 0.54 ± 0.28 %) when compared with the pre-stress ($0.02 \pm 0.02 \times 10^9$ /L and 0.32 ± 0.33 %, all *P* < 0.01, Table 2). Stratification analysis based on sex showed that the BASO ratio and BASO count were significantly higher only in doctor subgroup (Table 2).

The NEUT ratio was significantly lower 10 days post-stress (53.17 \pm 8.68 %) when compared with pre-stress (59.47 \pm 6.97 %; P < 0.01, Table 2). However, the change of NEUT ratio returned to the baseline 10 months after supporting Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19 (Table 2). Stratification analysis based on sex and occupation showed that the NEUT ratio was significantly lower only in female subgroup and nurse subgroup (Table 2).

The NLR and MLR were significantly lower 10 days post-stress (1.47 \pm 0.62 and 0.14 \pm 0.04) when compared with the pre-stress (1.96 \pm 0.66 and 0.20 \pm 0.06) (all *P* < 0.01, Table 2). Stratification analysis based on sex and occupation showed that NLR was significantly lower

Table 1

Demographic and clinical characteristics of participants	Demographic an	d clinical	characteristics	of	participants.
--	----------------	------------	-----------------	----	---------------

Beinographie and C	ennieur enurueteristies (purcepano,					
Variable	Participants $(n = 51)$	Male (n = 10)	Female $(n = 41)$	Р	Doctor $(n = 13)$	Nurse (n = 38)	Р
Age (years)	34.5 ± 6.3	$\textbf{36.5} \pm \textbf{5.4}$	34.0 ± 6.5	0.257	38.3 ± 7.0	$33.1 \pm 65.6^{\#\#}$	0.009
BMI (kg/m2)	22.7 ± 2.7	24.3 ± 2.3	$\textbf{22.4} \pm \textbf{2.6}^{\star}$	0.041	23.7 ± 2.5	22.4 ± 2.7	0.141
SBP (mmHg)	117.4 ± 12.9	120.5 ± 9.7	116.6 ± 13.6	0.400	124.2 ± 14.6	$115.0\pm11.6^{\#}$	0.025
DBP (mmHg)	75.3 ± 9.7	$\textbf{79.3} \pm \textbf{10.6}$	$\textbf{74.3} \pm \textbf{9.3}$	0.147	$\textbf{80.8} \pm \textbf{11.7}$	$73.4\pm8.3^{\#}$	0.017
FBS (mmol/L)	$\textbf{4.9} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	5.1 ± 0.3	$\textbf{4.9} \pm \textbf{0.4}$	0.204	5.2 ± 0.3	$4.9\pm0.4^{\#}$	0.016

M = male; F = female; BMI = body mass index; SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure, FBS = fasting blood sugar. *p < 0.05 vs male; #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01 vs doctor. Student's *t*-test was used to test the differences of continuous variables between two groups.

only in female subgroup and nurse subgroup (Table 2). Similarly, the changes of NLR and MLR returned to the baseline 10 months post-stress (Table 2). However, the WBC count, NEUT count, EOS count and EOS ratio of frontline medical workers did not changed after supporting Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19.

4. Discussion

This study was the first time to report the dynamic changes of immune subsets in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers who fighting against the COVID-19. First, we found that the LYM count and LYM ratio increased while the MONO ratio, NLR, MLR and NEUT ratio in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers decreased 10 days post-stress. Second, we found the changes of LYM count, LYM ratio, MONO ratio, NLR, NEUT ratio were based on sex and occupation. Finally, the changes of LYM count, LYM ratio, MONO ratio, NLR, MLR, NEUT ratio returned to the baseline 10 months after fighting against the COVID-19.

The outbreak of COVID-19 poses a great stress and challenge to frontline medical workers [10,18,19]. More and more medical workers have been infected with COVID-19 [20]. Zhou et al., recently reported that the prevalence of depressive symptoms, anxiety symptoms, somatization symptoms, insomnia and suicide risk in frontline medical staff were 57.6%, 45.4%, 12.0%, 32.0% and 13.0%, respectively while Lai et al., reported that depression, anxiety symptoms and insomnia accounted for 50.4%, 44.6%, and 34.0% of the total medical staff [9,21]. Ren et al., reported that 31.2% of the nurses in Wuhan, China were reported with a high-level depression and presented more severe psychological symptoms [22]. Similarly, anesthetist-intensivist in Italy reported high work-related stress (71.1%), insomnia (36.7%), anxiety (27.8%), and depression (51.1%) [23]. A prospective study reporetd occupational stress of health care workers was significantly associated with anxiety and depression. The doctors reported high levels of distress (73%), sleep problems (28%), anxiety (25%), and depression (64%) [24]. These results indicated frontline medical workers suffered from psychological stress. Psychological stress has great impact on immune system through activating nervous system [25-27]. Previous studies indicated that psychological stress regulates the redistribution of leukocytes through stress responses by activating the SNS and HPA axis, which release catecholaminergic neurotransmitters and corticosterone [12,13,28,29]. In this study, we found that the LYM count and LYM ratio increased while the MONO ratio, NLR, MLR and NEUT ratio in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers decreased 10 days poststress. The changes of immune cells of the frontline medical workers may be caused by psychological stress. Moreover, the changes of immune cells in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers may make them more susceptible to COVID-19. We think mental health and immune status of frontline medical staff should be paid more attentions.

In this study we also found the changes of LYM ratio, NLR and NEUT ratio were more significantly in female than male. Interestingly, Lai et al., reported that female frontline medical staff experienced more psychological burden than male frontline medical staff [9]. Similarly, Zhou et al., reported that female gender was positively associated with anxiety symptoms in frontline medical staff, which was very similar to our results [21]. This finding may be because females are more sensitive to stress than males. Numerous studies conducted that the stress response depend on sex, which caused by adrenal and gonadal [30,31]. Testosterone, from male, is negatively correlated with the activation of HPA axis while estrogen, from female, is positively correlated with the activation HPA axis [32,33]. Previous study indicated that females were more likely to experience stress and develop anxiety [6]. Female responded to acute stressors in a more pro-inflammatory fashion with increased mobilization of immune cells than male [34]. On the other hand, nurses treating patients with COVID-19 are likely exposed to the highest risk of infection because of their close, frequent contact with patients and working longer hours than usual [9]. In our study, 85% of female frontline medical workers were nurses, so high infection risk and heavy workload may be another reason of the finding.

In this study, there were 38 (74.5%) nurses and 13 (25.5%) doctors. Another interesting finding of our study was that changes of LYM count, LYM ratio, MONO ratio, NLR, NEUT ratio were more significantly in nurse than doctor. We think the main reason for this was that 35 of 38 nurses were female and the immune response of female frontline medical staff was more significant than male. Second, recently study report that daily working hours are a risk factor for psychological disturbances of frontline medical staff [21]. Nurses maintained close and frequent contact with COVID-19 patients, and worked longer than usual. Finally, Kang et al., reported that the mental health burden of frontline medical workers particularly heavily on young women [2]. Zhou et al., reported that age was negatively associated with the symptoms of depression, anxiety and insomnia of frontline medical workers [21]. In our study, nurses were younger than doctors, with limited experiences regarding the infectious pandemic; thus, inevitably leading to psychological problems. Third, as mentioned above, the higher infection risk and heavier workload among nurses may be another reason of the finding.

Our government has set up multidisciplinary mental health teams to reduce the negative psychological impact of COVID-19 on medical workers [35]. Our hospitals also provided essential services such as 1 month of vacation in a holiday resort, daily living supplies for their families and so on, to reduce the negative psychological impact of COVID-19 on medical workers. The frontline medical workers were also honored as heroes by people. We also investigated the changes of immune subsets in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers 10 months after struggling with COVID-19 because our hospital provides health examination for some employees in January every year. As expected, the changes of LYM count, LYM ratio, MONO ratio, NLR, MLR, NEUT ratio in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers returned to the baseline 10 months after fighting against the COVID-19.

This study has several limitations. First, our study is a small sample, single-center retrospective study, because the clinical data of most frontline medical workers before the COVID-19 outbreak was not available. Only 76 frontline medical workers meet the inclusion

Table 2

-

Comparison of immune factors in controls and stress group

Variable		Pre-stress	10 Days Post -str ess	10 Months Post-stress	Р
WBC (10 ⁹ / L)		$\begin{array}{c} 5.37 \pm \\ 1.20 \end{array}$	$\textbf{5.73} \pm \textbf{1.34}$	5.41 ± 1.42	0.318
L)	Male	1.20 5.79 ± 1.56	$\textbf{6.38} \pm \textbf{1.34}$	5.55 ± 1.20	0.397
	Female	5.26 \pm	5.57 ± 1.31	5.38 ± 1.47	0.554
	Doctor	$1.10 \\ 5.42 \pm$	$\textbf{6.34} \pm \textbf{1.40}$	$\textbf{5.49} \pm \textbf{1.40}$	0.170
	Nurse	1.24 5.35 ±	$\textbf{5.52} \pm \textbf{1.27}$	$\textbf{5.39} \pm \textbf{1.44}$	0.828
NEUT (10 ⁹ /		$\begin{array}{c} 1.20\\ 3.22 \pm \end{array}$	$\textbf{3.09} \pm \textbf{0.99}$	$\textbf{3.15} \pm \textbf{1.10}$	0.797
L)	Male	0.94 3.36 ±	$\textbf{3.26} \pm \textbf{0.87}$	$\textbf{3.17} \pm \textbf{0.88}$	0.899
	Female	1.10 3.19 ±	$\textbf{3.04} \pm \textbf{1.02}$	$\textbf{3.14} \pm \textbf{1.16}$	0.814
	Doctor	0.91 3.10 ±	$\textbf{3.29} \pm \textbf{0.74}$	$\textbf{3.00} \pm \textbf{0.85}$	0.594
	Nurse	0.64 3.26 ±	$\textbf{3.01} \pm \textbf{1.06}$	$\textbf{3.20} \pm \textbf{1.18}$	0.592
LYM (10 ⁹ /		1.02 $1.71 \pm$	$\textbf{2.23} \pm$	$1.77 \pm 0.53^{\#\#}$	< 0.001
L)	Male	0.47 1.93 ±	$\begin{array}{c} 0.64^{**} \\ 2.64 \pm 0.76^{*} \end{array}$	$1.90\pm0.65^{\#}$	0.044
	Female	$\begin{array}{c} 0.70\\ 1.66 \end{array} \pm$	$\textbf{2.14} \pm$	$1.74 \pm 0.50^{\#\#}$	< 0.001
	Doctor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.39 \\ 1.84 \ \pm \end{array}$	0.57^{**} 2.54 ± 0.82	1.98 ± 0.72	0.663
	Nurse	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.74} \\ \textbf{1.67} \ \pm \end{array}$	$\textbf{2.13} \pm$	$1.70 \pm 0.44^{\#\#}$	< 0.001
MONO		$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.34}\\ \textbf{0.33} \ \pm \end{array}$	0.54^{**} 0.29 ± 0.08	$0.37 \pm 0.11^{\#\#}$	< 0.001
(10 ⁹ /L)	Male	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \\ 0.38 \ \pm \end{array}$	0.33 ± 0.07	0.36 ± 0.08	0.40
	Female	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \\ 0.32 \ \pm \end{array}$	$\textbf{0.28} \pm \textbf{0.08}$	$0.37 \pm 0.11^{\#\#}$	< 0.001
	Doctor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.07 \\ 0.36 \ \pm \end{array}$	0.35 ± 0.07	0.38 ± 0.10	0.055
	Nurse	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.33 \ \pm \end{array}$	$0.27\pm0.07^{\ast}$	$0.36 \pm 0.11^{\#\#}$	< 0.001
EOS (10 ⁹ /L)		$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.08} \\ \textbf{0.08} \ \pm \end{array}$	0.10 ± 0.05	$\textbf{0.09} \pm \textbf{0.07}$	0.494
	Male	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.06} \\ \textbf{0.11} \ \pm \end{array}$	0.12 ± 0.05	0.10 ± 0.05	0.709
	Female	$\begin{array}{c} 0.09 \\ 0.08 \ \pm \end{array}$	0.09 ± 0.05	0.09 ± 0.07	0.402
	Doctor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.05\\ 0.10\ \pm\end{array}$	0.13 ± 0.05	0.11 ± 0.05	0.566
	Nurse	$\begin{array}{c} 0.08\\ 0.08 \ \pm \end{array}$	0.09 ± 0.05	0.09 ± 0.07	0.574
BASO (10 ⁹ /		$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \\ 0.02 \ \pm \end{array}$	0.02 ± 0.01	$0.03 \pm 0.02^{**}$	0.004
L)	Male	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02\\ 0.01 \ \pm \end{array}$	$0.03\pm0.02^{\ast}$	$0.03\pm0.02^{\ast}$	0.039
	Female	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02\\ 0.02 \ \pm \end{array}$	$\textbf{0.02} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$0.03\pm0.02^{\ast}$	0.042
	Doctor	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02\\ 0.01 \ \pm \end{array}$	$\textbf{0.03} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	$0.03\pm0.02^{\ast}$	0.046
	Nurse	$\begin{array}{c} 0.02\\ 0.02 \ \pm \end{array}$	$\textbf{0.02} \pm \textbf{0.01}$	$\textbf{0.03} \pm \textbf{0.02}$	0.067
NLR		$\begin{array}{c} 0.02 \\ 1.96 \ \pm \end{array}$	1.47 \pm	$1.88 \pm 0.75^{\#\#}$	0.001
	Male	$\begin{array}{c}\textbf{0.66}\\\textbf{1.83}\ \pm\end{array}$	$0.62^{**} \\ 1.30 \pm 0.42$	1.80 ± 0.75	0.072
	Female	$\begin{array}{c} 0.57\\ 2.00 \ \pm \end{array}$	$1.51 \pm$	$1.90\pm0.78^{\#}$	0.006
	Doctor	$\begin{array}{c} \textbf{0.68} \\ \textbf{1.85} \ \pm \end{array}$	0.66^{**} 1.36 ± 0.34	1.63 ± 0.56	0.061
	Nurse	0.58 2.00 ±	1.51 ±	$1.94\pm0.79^{\#}$	0.005
MLR		$0.68 \\ 0.20 \pm$	0.69** 0.14 ±	$0.22 \pm 0.06^{\#\#}$	0.001
		0.20 ± 0.06	0.04**	0.00	0.001

Variable		Pre-stress	10 Days Post -str ess	10 Months Post-stress	Р
		0.21 \pm			
		0.07			
	Female	0.20 \pm	0.14 \pm	$0.22\pm 0.06^{\#\#}$	< 0.001
	_	0.06	0.04**	#	
	Doctor	$0.21 \pm$	0.15 ±	$0.21\pm0.07^{\#}$	0.016
	Nurse	$\begin{array}{c} 0.06 \\ 0.20 \ \pm \end{array}$	0.04^{**} $0.13 \pm$	$0.22 \pm 0.06^{\#\#}$	< 0.001
	Nuise	0.20 ± 0.07	0.04**	0.22 ± 0.00	<0.001
NEUT ratio		59.47 ±	53.17 ±	$57.29 \pm 8.90^{\#}$	0.001
(%)		6.97	8.68**		
	Male	57.84 \pm	$\textbf{50.87} \pm \textbf{7.37}$	$\textbf{56.86} \pm \textbf{7.66}$	0.084
		6.63			
	Female	59.87 ±	53.73 \pm	57.40 ± 9.26	0.005
	Dester	7.07	8.96**	5466 + 6.94	0.00
	Doctor	57.90 ± 6.78	52.18 ± 5.01	54.66 ± 6.24	0.067
	Nurse	$60.01 \pm$	53.51 \pm	$\textbf{58.19} \pm \textbf{9.55}$	0.005
	Nuise	7.03	9.65**	50.17 ± 9.55	0.000
LYM ratio		$32.32 \pm$	39.53 ±	$33.50~\pm$	< 0.00
(%)		6.51	8.43**	8.04##	
	Male	$33.37~\pm$	$\textbf{41.47} \pm \textbf{8.00}$	$\textbf{34.31} \pm \textbf{8.34}$	0.054
		6.83			
	Female	32.06 ±	39.05 ±	33.30 ±	< 0.00
	Destar	6.49	8.56**	8.06 ^{##}	0.065
	Doctor	33.24 ± 6.80	39.72 ± 5.96	$\textbf{35.84} \pm \textbf{7.53}$	0.063
	Nurse	$32.01 \pm$	39.46 \pm	32.70 \pm	< 0.001
	ituibe	6.47	9.19**	8.14##	0.000
MONO ratio		$6.31 \pm$	5.16 \pm	$6.88 \pm 1.42^{\#\#}$	< 0.001
(%)		1.16	1.09**		
	Male	$6.74 \pm$	$5.26\pm0.91^{\ast}$	$\textbf{6.48} \pm \textbf{1.20}^{\#}$	0.022
	F 1	1.42	F 1 4 1	6 0 7 1	
	Female	6.20 ±	$5.14 \pm 1.14**$	$6.97 \pm 1.46^{\#\#*}$	< 0.001
	Doctor	1.08 6.77 \pm	5.61 ± 1.09	$6.89 \pm 1.15^{\#}$	0.020
	Doctor	1.41	5.01 ± 1.09	0.09 ± 1.15	0.020
	Nurse	6.15 ±	5.01 \pm	6.87 ±	< 0.00
		1.04	1.06**	$1.51^{\#\#}*$	
EOS ratio		$1.58~\pm$	$\textbf{1.70} \pm \textbf{0.88}$	1.80 ± 1.38	0.682
(%)		1.18			
	Male	$1.86 \pm$	1.96 ± 0.81	1.82 ± 1.03	0.956
	F 1	1.31	1 (1) 0 00	1 50 1 1 45	0 == /
	Female	1.51 ± 1.16	1.64 ± 0.89	1.79 ± 1.47	0.556
	Doctor	$1.10 \pm 1.86 \pm$	2.03 ± 0.76	1.98 ± 1.01	0.901
	Doctor	1.00 ± 1.16	2.03 ± 0.70	1.90 ± 1.01	0.901
	Nurse	$1.48 \pm$	1.59 ± 0.90	1.74 ± 1.49	0.658
		1.19			
BASO ratio		$0.32~\pm$	$\textbf{0.44} \pm \textbf{0.27}$	$0.54 \pm 0.28^{**}$	0.002
(%)		0.33			
	Male	0.19 ±	0.44 ± 0.25	$0.53\pm0.29^{\ast}$	0.028
	Female	0.30 0.36 +	0.44 ± 0.28	$0.54 \pm 0.28^{*}$	0.026
	remate	0.36 ± 0.34	0.44 ± 0.28	0.34 ± 0.20"	0.020
	Doctor	$0.34 \pm$	$\textbf{0.47} \pm \textbf{0.36}$	$0.62\pm0.33^{*}$	0.025
		0.34			
	Nurse	$0.35 \pm$	0.43 ± 0.24	0.51 ± 0.26	0.055
		0.33			

Pre-stress = blood test data of medical workers before providing medical service for COVID-19 patients; WBC = white blood cell; NEUT = neutrophil; LYM = lymphocyte; MONO = monocyte; EOS = eosinophil; BASO = basophilic granulocyte; NEUT = neutrophil; NLR = neutrophil-to-lymphocyte ratio; MLR = monocyte-to-lymphocyte ratio. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 vs pre-stress; #p < 0.05, ##p < 0.01 vs 10 days post-stress. Continuous variables were analyzed using one-way ANOVA test.

conditions and the clinical data before the COVID-19 outbreak was available, but 25 frontline medical workers did not undergo hematological test in January 2021, so only 51 participated in the study. Our study revealed a phenomena, psychological stress can temporarily affect immune cells but the conclusion need to be verified by high-quality clinical studies. Second, although frontline medical workers with physical diseases and psychiatric diseases such as diabetes, hypertension, immune-mediated diseases, major depression, posttraumatic stress disorder, sleep disorder, anxiety, smoking and drinking were excluded for the study. Regular physical activity and healthy sleeping habits help preventing hematopoietic changes. Unfortunately, frontline workers experienced excessive workload and reduced physical activity. Our study did not address other potential confounding factors, such as diet, lifestyle choice, and life events. Third, our study only investigated the changes of immune cells in the peripheral blood, the changes of the level of cytokines and the function of immune cells also need to be measured in future. And the correlation between the changes immune cells and mental status such as depression, anxiety, stress, and post-traumatic stress disorder, should also be studied. Finally, the levels of stress hormones, such as glucocorticoid, adrenaline and noradrenaline, of frontline doctors and nurses should also be detected.

5. Conclusion

In summary, our data indicated that immune cells in the peripheral blood of frontline medical workers changed significantly 10 days after supporting Wuhan for fighting against the COVID-19, especially in females and nurses, but returned to normal after 10 months. Those maybe caused by psychological stress and we recommend to pay more attention to mental health and immune status of frontline medical workers.

Author Contributions

Participated in research design: Wei Jiang, Juanjuan Yang and Zongfang Li. Collected the clinical data: Juanjuan Yang, Qian Wang, Shuqun Zhang and Wei Jiang. Analyzed the clinical data: Juanjuan Yang, Wei Jiang and Qian Wang. Wrote and contributed to the writing of the manuscript: Juanjuan Yang, Wei Jiang and Zongfang Li.

Funding

The present study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of Chnia (grant no: 82103022), the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province, China (grant no: 2021JQ-410) and the Science Foundation of the Second Affiliated Hospital of Xi'an Jiaotong University (grant no: RC(XM)202012).

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- [1] C. Wu, X. Chen, Y. Cai, J. Xia, X. Zhou, S. Xu, H. Huang, L. Zhang, X. Zhou, C. Du, Y. Zhang, J. Song, S. Wang, Y. Chao, Z. Yang, J. Xu, X. Zhou, D. Chen, W. Xiong, L. Xu, F. Zhou, J. Jiang, C. Bai, J. Zheng, Y. Song, Risk Factors Associated With Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome and Death in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pneumonia in Wuhan, China, JAMA Intern. Med. 180 (2020) 934–943.
- [2] L. Kang, S. Ma, M. Chen, J. Yang, Y. Wang, R. Li, L. Yao, H. Bai, Z. Cai, B. Xiang Yang, S. Hu, K. Zhang, G. Wang, C. Ma, Z. Liu, Impact on mental health and perceptions of psychological care among medical and nursing staff in Wuhan during the 2019 novel coronavirus disease outbreak: A cross-sectional study, Brain Behav. Immun. 87 (2020) 11–17.
- [3] N. Magnavita, P.M. Soave, M. Antonelli, Prolonged Stress Causes Depression in Frontline Workers Facing the COVID-19 Pandemic-A Repeated Cross-Sectional Study in a COVID-19 Hub-Hospital in Central Italy, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 18 (14) (2021) 7316, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18147316.
- [4] C.W. Tam, E.P. Pang, L.C. Lam, H.F. Chiu, Severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) in Hong Kong in 2003: stress and psychological impact among frontline healthcare workers, Psychological Med. 34 (2004) 1197–1204.
- [5] S.L. Grace, K. Hershenfield, E. Robertson, D.E. Stewart, The occupational and psychosocial impact of SARS on academic physicians in three affected hospitals, Psychosomatics 46 (2005) 385–391.

- [6] X. Xiao, X. Zhu, S. Fu, Y. Hu, X. Li, J. Xiao, Psychological impact of healthcare workers in China during COVID-19 pneumonia epidemic: A multi-center crosssectional survey investigation, J. Affect. Disord. 274 (2020) 405–410.
- [7] N. Wang, Y. Li, Q. Wang, C. Lei, Y. Liu, S. Zhu, Psychological impact of COVID-19 pandemic on healthcare workers in China Xi'an central hospital, Brain Behav. 11 (3) (2021), https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.v11.310.1002/brb3.2028.
- [8] J. Antonijevic, I. Binic, O. Zikic, S. Manojlovic, S. Tosic-Golubovic, N. Popovic, Mental health of medical personnel during the COVID-19 pandemic, Brain Behav. 10 (12) (2020), https://doi.org/10.1002/brb3.v10.1210.1002/brb3.1881.
- [9] J. Lai, S. Ma, Y. Wang, Z. Cai, J. Hu, N. Wei, J. Wu, H. Du, T. Chen, R. Li, H. Tan, L. Kang, L. Yao, M. Huang, H. Wang, G. Wang, Z. Liu, S. Hu, Factors Associated With Mental Health Outcomes Among Health Care Workers Exposed to Coronavirus Disease 2019, JAMA network open 3 (3) (2020) e203976, https://doi. org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2020.3976.
- [10] Q. Cai, H. Feng, J. Huang, M. Wang, Q. Wang, X. Lu, Y. Xie, X. Wang, Z. Liu, B. Hou, K. Ouyang, J. Pan, Q. Li, B. Fu, Y. Deng, Y. Liu, The mental health of frontline and non-frontline medical workers during the coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) outbreak in China: A case-control study, J. Affective Disorders 275 (2020) 210–215.
- [11] X. Zhang, B. Lei, Y. Yuan, L. Zhang, L. Hu, S. Jin, B. Kang, X. Liao, W. Sun, F. Xu, Y. Zhong, J. Hu, H. Qi, Brain control of humoral immune responses amenable to behavioural modulation, Nature 581 (2020) 204–208.
- [12] W. Jiang, Y. Li, J. Sun, L. Li, J.W. Li, C. Zhang, C. Huang, J. Yang, G.Y. Kong, Z. F. Li, Spleen contributes to restraint stress induced changes in blood leukocytes distribution, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 6501.
- [13] Y. Li, W. Jiang, Z.Z. Li, C. Zhang, C. Huang, J. Yang, G.Y. Kong, Z.F. Li, Repetitive restraint stress changes spleen immune cell subsets through glucocorticoid receptor or beta-adrenergic receptor in a stage dependent manner, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 495 (2018) 1108–1114.
- [14] J.-J. Yang, W. Jiang, Immune biomarkers alterations in post-traumatic stress disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis, J. Affect. Disord. 268 (2020) 39–46.
- [15] W. Jiang, Y. Li, W. Wei, J.W. Li, L. Li, C. Zhang, S.Q. Zhang, G.Y. Kong, Z.F. Li, Spleen contributes to restraint stress induced hepatocellular carcinoma progression, Int. Immunopharmacol. 83 (2020), 106420.
- [16] J. Yang, Q. Wang, S. Zhang, Z. Li, W. Jiang, Immune response of frontline medical workers providing medical support for Wuhan COVID-19 patients, China, Int. Immunopharmacol. 94 (2021), 107479.
- [17] R.G. Maunder, W.J. Lancee, K.E. Balderson, J.P. Bennett, B. Borgundvaag, S. Evans, C.M. Fernandes, D.S. Goldbloom, M. Gupta, J.J. Hunter, L. McGillis Hall, L. M. Nagle, C. Pain, S.S. Peczeniuk, G. Raymond, N. Read, S.B. Rourke, R. J. Steinberg, T.E. Stewart, S. VanDeVelde-Coke, G.G. Veldhorst, D.A. Wasylenki, Long-term psychological and occupational effects of providing hospital healthcare during SARS outbreak, Emerg Infect Dis 12 (2006) 1924–1932.
- [18] N.W.S. Chew, G.K.H. Lee, B.Y.Q. Tan, M. Jing, Y. Goh, N.J.H. Ngiam, L.L.L. Yeo, A. Ahmad, F. Ahmed Khan, G. Napolean Shanmugam, A.K. Sharma, R. N. Komalkumar, P.V. Meenakshi, K. Shah, B. Patel, B.P.L. Chan, S. Sunny, B. Chandra, J.J.Y. Ong, P.R. Paliwal, L.Y.H. Wong, R. Sagayanathan, J.T. Chen, A. Y. Ying Ng, H.L. Teoh, G. Tsivgoulis, C.S. Ho, R.C. Ho, V.K. Sharma, A multinational, multicentre study on the psychological outcomes and associated physical symptoms amongst healthcare workers during COVID-19 outbreak, Brain Behav. Immun. 88 (2020) 559–565.
- [19] X. Song, W. Fu, X. Liu, Z. Luo, R. Wang, N. Zhou, S. Yan, C. Lv, Mental health status of medical staff in emergency departments during the Coronavirus disease 2019 epidemic in China, Brain Behav. Immun. 88 (2020) 60–65.
- [20] Z. Hu, B. Chen, The Status of Psychological Issues Among Frontline Health Workers Confronting the Coronavirus Disease 2019 Pandemic, Front. Public Health 8 (2020) 265.
- [21] Y. Zhou, W. Wang, Y. Sun, W. Qian, Z. Liu, R. Wang, L. Qi, J. Yang, X. Song, X. Zhou, L. Zeng, T. Liu, Z. Li, X. Zhang, The prevalence and risk factors of psychological disturbances of frontline medical staff in china under the COVID-19 epidemic: Workload should be concerned, J. Affect. Disord. 277 (2020) 510–514.
- [22] H. Ren, X. Luo, Y. Wang, X. Guo, H. Hou, Y. Zhang, P. Yang, F. Zhu, C. Hu, R. Wang, Y. Sun, Y. Du, Q. Yin, G. Xu, H. Zuo, Q. Hu, Y. Wang, Psychological responses among nurses caring for patients with COVID-19: a comparative study in China, Transl. Psychiatry 11 (2021) 273.
- [23] N. Magnavita, P.M. Soave, W. Ricciardi, M. Antonelli, Occupational Stress and Mental Health among Anesthetists during the COVID-19 Pandemic, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 17 (21) (2020) 8245, https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17218245.
- [24] N. Magnavita, P.M. Soave, M. Antonelli, A One-Year Prospective Study of Work-Related Mental Health in the Intensivists of a COVID-19 Hub Hospital, Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 18 (2021).
- [25] P.H. Thaker, S.K. Lutgendorf, A.K. Sood, The neuroendocrine impact of chronic stress on cancer, Cell Cycle 6 (4) (2007) 430–433.
- [26] M.R. Irwin, S.W. Cole, Reciprocal regulation of the neural and innate immune systems, Nat. Rev. Immunol. 11 (2011) 625–632.
- [27] W. Jiang, Y. Li, Z.Z. Li, J. Sun, J.W. Li, W. Wei, L. Li, C. Zhang, C. Huang, S.Y. Yang, J. Yang, G.Y. Kong, Z.F. Li, Chronic restraint stress promotes hepatocellular carcinoma growth by mobilizing splenic myeloid cells through activating betaadrenergic signaling, Brain Behav. Immun. 80 (2019) 825–838.
- [28] J.M. Hall, A.R. Witter, R.R. Racine, R.E. Berg, A. Podawiltz, H. Jones, M. E. Mummert, Chronic psychological stress suppresses contact hypersensitivity: potential roles of dysregulated cell trafficking and decreased IFN-gamma production, Brain Behav. Immun. 36 (2014) 156–164.
- [29] M. Cao, W. Huang, Y. Chen, G. Li, N. Liu, Y. Wu, G. Wang, Q. Li, D. Kong, T. Xue, N. Yang, Y. Liu, Chronic restraint stress promotes the mobilization and recruitment

of myeloid-derived suppressor cells through β -adrenergic-activated CXCL5-CXCR2-Erk signaling cascades, Int. J. Cancer 149 (2021) 460–472.

- [30] L.M. Tak, E.M. Kingma, S.L. van Ockenburg, J. Ormel, J.G. Rosmalen, Age- and sexspecific associations between adverse life events and functional bodily symptoms in the general population, J. Psychosom. Res. 79 (2015) 112–116.
- [31] T.L. Bale, C.N. Epperson, Sex differences and stress across the lifespan, Nat. Neurosci. 18 (2015) 1413–1420.
- [32] L. Panagiotakopoulos, G.N. Neigh, Development of the HPA axis: where and when do sex differences manifest? Front. Neuroendocrinol. 35 (2014) 285–302.
- [33] M.A. Stephens, P.B. Mahon, M.E. McCaul, G.S. Wand, Hypothalamic-pituitaryadrenal axis response to acute psychosocial stress: Effects of biological sex and circulating sex hormones, Psychoneuroendocrinology 66 (2016) 47–55.
- [34] M. Bekhbat, G.N. Neigh, Sex differences in the neuro-immune consequences of stress: Focus on depression and anxiety, Brain Behav. Immun. 67 (2018) 1–12.
- [35] L. Kang, Y. Li, S. Hu, M. Chen, C. Yang, B.X. Yang, Y. Wang, J. Hu, J. Lai, X. Ma, J. Chen, L. Guan, G. Wang, H. Ma, Z. Liu, The mental health of medical workers in Wuhan, China dealing with the 2019 novel coronavirus, Lancet Psychiatry 7 (3) (2020) e14, https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(20)30047-X.