Published in final edited form as:

Contemp Clin Trials. 2021 February; 101: 106237. doi:10.1016/j.cct.2020.106237.

# Race and ethnicity reporting for clinical trials in ClinicalTrials.gov and publications

Kevin M. Fain, JD, MPH, DrPH<sup>1</sup>, Julianne Nelson, PhD, MPH<sup>2</sup>, Tony Tse, PhD<sup>1</sup>, Rebecca J. Williams, PharmD, MPH<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD

<sup>2</sup>ICF, Bethesda, MD

## **Abstract**

Inclusion and subsequent reporting of minority participants in clinical trials are critical for ensuring external validity or detecting differences among subgroups, however reports suggest that ongoing gaps persist. ClinicalTrials.gov began requiring the reporting of race/ethnicity information (if collected) during results submission for trials in April 2017. For this study, we downloaded trial race/ethnicity information from ClinicalTrials.gov before (N=3540) and after (N=3542) the requirement date for comparison. Additionally, we examined and compared a 10% random sample of post-requirement trials with corresponding results publications available in PubMed. We found that 42.0% of pre-requirement trials compared to 91.4% of post-requirement trials reported race/ ethnicity information in ClinicalTrials.gov; 8.6% of post-requirement trials indicated race/ethnicity information was not collected. Use of NIH/U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) classification categories was similar across pre- and post-requirement samples (68.4% and 70.0%, respectively). In the random sample, 33.1% had a corresponding results publication, of which 62.4% reported race/ethnicity information in the publication. Among trials without published race/ethnicity information, 90.0% reported race/ethnicity information on ClinicalTrials.gov. This analysis demonstrates that the requirement has advanced public availability of information on the inclusion of minorities in research, but that further work remains.

# **Keywords**

Race and Ethnicity; Clinical Trials; Clinical Trials.gov; Results Reporting

# Introduction

Inclusion and reporting of minority participants in clinical trials are critical for understanding how knowledge gained applies to diverse populations. Recent reports suggest ongoing gaps persist in minority representation and reporting despite efforts to increase

Corresponding Author: Rebecca J. Williams, PharmD, MPH, Acting Director, ClinicalTrials.gov, National Center for Biotechnology Information, National Library of Medicine, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda MD 20894, Phone: 301-402-7789, williamsre@mail.nih.gov.

both. [1,2] Federal regulations (42 CFR Part 11) and the National Institutes of Health (NIH) Policy on the Dissemination of NIH-Funded Clinical Trial Information, effective January 18, 2017, require sponsors and investigators to report trial participants' race/ ethnicity (if collected) with results information submitted to ClinicalTrials.gov. [3–5] On the regulations' compliance date, April 18, 2017, ClinicalTrials.gov began requiring race/ ethnicity information with submitted results for trials with a primary completion date (PCD) on or after January 18, 2017, defined as the date the final participant was examined or received an intervention for the purposes of final data collection for the primary outcome. Race/ethnicity information can be reported using standard NIH/OMB classifications or customized categories. (Figure 1)

# **Materials and Methods**

On December 2, 2019, we downloaded records for trials with posted results and at least one location in the United States. We restricted the sample in this way because relevant race/ethnicity categories were potentially different for studies conducted in other countries. The "post-requirement" sample included 3542 records listing a PCD on or after January 18, 2017 and results first submitted on or after April 18, 2017. The "pre-requirement" convenience sample included 3540 records with a PCD before January 18, 2017 and results first submitted between June 1, 2016, and April 17, 2017. We assessed and compared race/ethnicity reporting in both samples using the "Baseline Measure Title" field. From a 10% random sample of post-requirement records indicating customized race/ethnicity reporting (n=74; "customized-reporting" sample), we assessed category descriptions. In another 10% random sample of post-requirement records (n=354; "publication" sample), we identified trials with corresponding results publications in PubMed using previously reported methods and assessed how participant race/ethnicity were reported. [6]

# Results

Among pre-requirement trials, 42.0% (1488/3540) reported race/ethnicity information in ClinicalTrials.gov compared to 91.4% (3239/3542) among post-requirement trials (8.6% indicated not collected). (Table 1) Use of NIH/OMB categories was similar among pre- and post-requirement samples (68.4% and 70.0%, respectively).

Within the customized-reporting sample, 95.9% (71/74) reported race and 52.7% reported ethnicity information. Although most records (61/74) used category names that differed from (e.g., "Other") or were more specific than (e.g., "East Asian") NIH/OMB classifications, many (55/61) also included category names matching NIH/OMB classifications (e.g., "White"). The remaining records (13/74) only used category names matching selected NIH/OMB classifications.

Within the publication sample, 33.1% (117/354) had a corresponding results publication and 62.4% (73/117) of those trials reported race/ethnicity information in the publication (Table 1). Among trials in this sample without race/ethnicity information in a publication, 90.0% (253/281) also reported race/ethnicity information in the corresponding ClinicalTrials.gov

record. Although many publications (64/73) used NIH/OMB classification terms (e.g., "Hispanic or Latino"), no publication explicitly referenced the NIH/OMB standard.

# **Conclusions**

The new requirements for reporting race/ethnicity to ClinicalTrials.gov reinforce the importance of collecting and reporting this information. This analysis shows that public disclosure of race/ethnicity information has increased beyond what was previously available in Clinical Trials, gov and what is available in publications, allowing for further insights into the inclusion of minorities in research and considerations of racial and ethnic disparities in health. Less than 10% of post-requirement trials indicated race/ethnicity information was not collected, suggesting the lack of reporting among 57% of pre-requirement trials was due largely to non-reporting rather than absence of collection. Frequent use of standard NIH/OMB categories across both samples facilitates common understanding and comparisons of such information across trials and with other resources. Use of only customized categories makes such comparisons challenging. Furthermore, race/ethnicity information labeled with an NIH/OMB classification might not have been collected using the NIH/OMB standard. While our analysis did not assess representation of racial and ethnic groups in clinical trials, it demonstrates how the growing corpus of structured trial information in ClinicalTrials.gov provides opportunities to further optimize and reinforce reporting of race/ethnicity and social determinants of health and to support further research in this area.

# **Acknowledgement:**

This research was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NIH, National Library of Medicine, Bethesda MD

The views expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the National Institutes of Health.

Dr. Fain worked on this research and article while employed with the National Library of Medicine, NIH. He is currently employed with the Center for Drug Evaluation and Research, U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). This article reflects the views of the author and should not be construed to represent FDA's views or policies.

## References

- [1]. Hooper MW, Nápoles AM, & Pérez-Stable EJ. COVID-19 and Racial/Ethnic Disparities. JAMA. 5
- [2]. National Institutes of Health. NIH policy and guidelines on the inclusion of women and minorities as subjects in clinical research. 2017. Available at <a href="https://grants.nih.gov/policy/inclusion/women-and-minorities/guidelines.htm">https://grants.nih.gov/policy/inclusion/women-and-minorities/guidelines.htm</a> (accessed on November 15, 2019)
- [3]. NIH Policy on the Dissemination of NIH-Funded Clinical Trial Information. 2017.

  Available at https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2016/09/21/2016-22379/nih-policy-on-the-dissemination-of-nih-funded-clinical-trial-information (accessed on November 15, 2019)
- [4]. National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services. Clinical trials registration and results information submission: final rule. Fed Regist 2016; 81: 64981–5157. [PubMed: 27658315]
- [5]. Zarin DA, Tse T, Williams RJ, Carr S. Trial reporting in ClinicalTrials.gov the final rule. N Engl J Med. 2016 11 17;375(20):1998–2004 [PubMed: 27635471]

[6]. Ross et al. Publication of NIH funded trials registered in ClinicalTrials.gov: cross sectional analysis. BMJ. 2012; 344:d7292. [PubMed: 22214755]

# ClinicalTrials.gov Baseline Measure Title Information

#### Race (NIH/OMB)

- American Indian or Alaska Native
- ∘Asian
- Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander
- **Black or African American**
- ∘White
- ∘More than one race
- Unknown or not reported

### Ethnicity (NIH/OMB)

- ·Hispanic or Latino
- ∘Not Hispanic or Latino
- Unknown or Not Reported

## NIH/OMB Racial and Ethnicity Category Definitions

American Indian or Alaska Native. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America), and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.

**Asian.** A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam.

Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander. A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands.

**Black or African-American.** A person having origins in any of the black racial groups of Africa. Terms such as "Haitian" or "Negro" can be used in addition to "Black or African American."

**White.** A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa.

**Hispanic or Latino.** A person of Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, Cuban, South or Central American, or other Spanish culture or origin, regardless of race. The term, "Spanish origin," can be used in addition to "Hispanic or Latino."

Figure 1.

ClinicalTrials.gov Baseline Measure Title Information for Race and Ethnicity Information (NIH/OMB) and Corresponding Definitions. Definitions of Race and Ethnicity described in NIH NOT-OD-15-089 (April 8, 2015) "Racial and Ethnic Categories and Definitions for NIH Diversity Programs and for Other Reporting Purposes." (available at https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/not-od-15-089.html).

Table 1.

Categories of race and/or ethnicity reporting in the "Baseline Measure Title" of the ClinicalTrials.gov record for two samples of registered clinical trials with at least one United States location.

Categories of Reporting	Pre-Requirement Sample*	Post-Requirement Sample <sup>†</sup>	
	Records (No. records (% total))	Records (No. records (% total))	Publication Subset (No. publications (%total))
Race and/or Ethnicity Information Reported	1488 (42.0)	3239 (91.4)	73 (62.4)
NIH/OMB	1018 (68.4)	2267 (70.0)	‡
Customized	405 (27.2)	741 (22.9)	‡
NIH/OMB and Customized	65 (4.4)	231 (7.1)	‡
Race and Ethnicity Not Collected	46 (1.3)	303 (8.6)	Unknown
Race and Ethnicity Information Not Reported	2006 (56.7)	0 (0)	44 (37.6)
Total	3540	3542	117

<sup>\*</sup> Includes clinical trial records with a primary completion date before January 18, 2017 and results first submitted between June 1, 2016 and April 17, 2017 and posted by December 2, 2019.

 $<sup>^{\</sup>dagger}$ Includes clinical trial records with a primary completion date on or after January 18, 2017 and results first submitted on or after April 18, 2017 and posted by December 2, 2019. The publication subset was derived from a 10% random sample of the post-requirement sample (n = 354), of which 117 of the 354 clinical trial records had a corresponding publication used in this analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Publications did not specify.