WJG

# World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2021 November 21; 27(43): 7446-7461

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i43.7446

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

REVIEW

## Overview of the microbiota in the gut-liver axis in viral B and C hepatitis

Maria Adriana Neag, Andrei Otto Mitre, Adrian Catinean, Anca Dana Buzoianu

ORCID number: Maria Adriana Neag 0000-0002-3904-2852; Andrei Otto Mitre 0000-0002-1121-4042; Adrian Catinean 0000-0002-6327-6608; Anca Dana Buzoianu 0000-0002-3511-2788.

Author contributions: Catinean A and Neag MA contributed to conceptualization; Catinean A, Neag MA and Mitre AO contributed to methodology and formal analysis; Mitre AO, Neag MA contributed to data curation; Catinean A, Neag MA, Mitre AO and Buzoianu AD wrote the original draft, reviewed and edited the manuscript; Buzoianu AD, Neag MA and Catinean A contributed to supervision.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Country/Territory of origin: Romania

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B, B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0

Maria Adriana Neag, Anca Dana Buzoianu, Department of Pharmacology, Toxicology and Clinical Pharmacology, Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Cluj-Napoca, Cluj-Napoca 400337, Romania

Andrei Otto Mitre, Faculty of Medicine, Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Cluj-Napoca, Cluj-Napoca 400012, Romania

Adrian Catinean, Department of Internal Medicine, Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Cluj-Napoca, Cluj-Napoca 400006, Romania

Corresponding author: Andrei Otto Mitre, Faculty of Medicine, Iuliu Hatieganu University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Cluj-Napoca, 8. Babes Street, Cluj-Napoca 400012, Romania. andrei.otto.mitre@elearn.umfcluj.ro

## Abstract

Viral B and C hepatitis are a major current health issue, both diseases having a chronic damaging effect on the liver and its functions. Chronic liver disease can lead to even more severe and life-threatening conditions, such as liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Recent years have uncovered an important interplay between the liver and the gut microbiome: the gut-liver axis. Hepatitis B and C infections often cause alterations in the gut microbiota by lowering the levels of 'protective' gut microorganisms and, by doing so, hinder the microbiota ability to boost the immune response. Treatments aimed at restoring the gut microbiota balance may provide a valuable addition to current practice therapies and may help limit the chronic changes observed in the liver of hepatitis B and C patients. This review aims to summarize the current knowledge on the anatofunctional axis between the gut and liver and to highlight the influence that hepatitis B and C viruses have on the microbiota balance, as well as the influence of treatments aimed at restoring the gut microbiota on infected livers and disease progression.

Key Words: Viral B hepatitis; Viral C hepatitis; Gut-liver axis; Immunomodulation; Lipopolysaccharides; Short-chain fatty acids

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

#### Grade E (Poor): 0

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Received: March 17, 2021 Peer-review started: March 17, 2021 First decision: August 9, 2021 Revised: August 13, 2021 Accepted: November 2, 2021 Article in press: November 2, 2021 Published online: November 21, 2021

P-Reviewer: Chi G, Sira AM, Wang L

S-Editor: Gao CC L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Gao CC



**Core Tip:** We have provided an overview of the mechanisms involved in the immunomodulation of the gut-liver axis. We highlight the mechanisms by which hepatitis B virus and hepatitis C virus infections influence the microbiota and how in turn these changes affect the liver pathology. We have also looked at the current treatment options and their influence on the intestinal microflora.

Citation: Neag MA, Mitre AO, Catinean A, Buzoianu AD. Overview of the microbiota in the gut-liver axis in viral B and C hepatitis. World J Gastroenterol 2021; 27(43): 7446-7461 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v27/i43/7446.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v27.i43.7446

## INTRODUCTION

Viral B and C hepatitis are two types of infections with a high rate of morbidity and mortality[1]. Hepatitis B virus (HBV) is a DNA virus belonging to the Hepadna virus, and hepatitis C virus (HCV) is an RNA virus in the Flaviviridae family. These viruses have hepatic tropism, are non-cytopathic with the ability to cause chronic liver inflammation and even liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma<sup>[2]</sup>.

Both HBV and HCV may cause similar clinical manifestations. Some patients may be asymptomatic, while others may have mild signs and symptoms from general manifestations (fatigue, fever, loss of appetite) to gastrointestinal symptoms (abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, jaundice)[3].

The microbiota represents the totality of microbes (bacteria, viruses, fungi, protozoans, and archaea) associated with the human microorganism, while the microbiome consists of all microbes and their genes[4]. The main part of the body colonized by microbes is the gastrointestinal tract, whereas other parts such as skin, airways, vaginal tract, etc. are also colonized, but to a lesser extent. Changes in the microbiota are continuous throughout our life and there are many influencing factors, from type of delivery and breastfeeding, to long-term dietary changes, frequent and prolonged antibiotic treatment or other medications, etc. [5]. There are six bacterial dominant phyla in the gut microbiota: Firmicutes and Bacteroidetes (90%), Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Fusobacteria and Verrucomicrobia[6]. The intestinal microbiota is a cornerstone in maintaining the homeostasis of the human body. Firstly, this "organ" provides nutrients and energy from ingested food and, secondly, it is able to produce important metabolites that play a role in maintaining the host's metabolism [7]

The liver can be considered the largest immune organ in the body with a high ability to select and activate immune cells in response to metabolic products in the gut or to signals sent by various pathogens[8]. Recent years have seen advances in our understanding of the human microbiome and its interaction with us as hosts. The gutliver axis is part of these new discoveries, integrating the microbiome modifications and dysbiosis in hepatic pathologies.

Our review will discuss part of the mechanisms by which the microbiome influences host immunity, as well as the gut-liver axis, with an accent on viral hepatitis B and C.

## MICROBIOTA AND THE IMMUNE SYSTEM

Through its products, the human microbiota can influence both the local, enteric, and the systemic immune system, dysbiosis being correlated with several autoimmune, metabolic and neurodegenerative diseases (inflammatory bowel disease progression, rheumatoid arthritis, diabetes, asthma and bones homeostasis)[9-15]. This shows that the microbiota is not only involved in intestinal, but also in systemic and organ specific pathologies. This relationship is bidirectional; systemic modifications can trigger intestinal changes, but also intestinal dysbiosis can trigger and maintain organ dysfunctions. Gut-associated lymphoid tissue (GALT) is an important "immunological organ" of the body that belongs to the gut-mucosal immune system. GALT consists of Peyer's patches, intraepithelial lymphocytes, lamina propria lymphocytes (including dendritic cells) and mesenteric lymph nodes. Activation of this system has the ability



to produce various mediators with immunostimulatory or immunosuppressive effect [16].

Some of the products by which the intestinal microflora communicates with the rest of our organism are lipopolysaccharides (LPS), bacterial DNA and RNA, flagellin, short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) such as acetate, propionate and butyrate, tryptophan (Trp) and it's metabolites, teichoic acid and peptidoglycans and secondary bile acids (BA)[9,17]. These bacterial components and products of the bacterial metabolism are recognized by pattern recognition receptors, which particularly include the toll-like receptors (TLR) family. TLRs are expressed on epithelial and immune cells and are capable of recognizing specific bacterial molecules, triggering specific local protective and immunomodulatory (both pro- and anti-inflammatory) responses[18,19]. TLR activation is an essential element of the innate immune systems fight against the HBV and HCV infections[20,21]. Not all of these pathways were studied directly in connection with HBV and HCV. Therefore, more studies are needed to determine the exact relationship between the bacterial products, the immune system and hepatitis.

We will briefly mention some of the most important of the microbial-produced products and their interaction with the immune system (Figure 1).

#### LPS

In Gram-negative bacteria, LPS are an important pathogen-associated molecular pattern and a well-studied microbial marker in connection with bacterial translocation and host systemic responses [22,23]. The outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria consists of LPS, which possess a hydrophobic endotoxin, called lipid A[24]. This component is recognized by TLR4 and via this mechanism it further activates nuclear factor kappa B (NF-KB) and elicits pro-inflammatory effects [25,26]. One type of LPS is Escherichia coli (E. coli) produced LPS. This stimulates TLR4 receptors and triggers the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines. E.coli LPS also increases endotoxin tolerance and decreases the autoimmune activity, protecting against autoimmune diabetes[27]. However, some bacterial species produce LPS molecules with underacylated lipid A that exhibit an immuno-inhibitory effect<sup>[28]</sup>. These LPS molecules are produced especially by members of the Bacteroidales order and instead of stimulating TLR receptors, they silence the TLR4 signaling and the inflammatory process<sup>[29]</sup>. LPS induces the upregulation of cluster of differentiation 14 protein (CD14) via the TLR4 pathway, which decreases the relative epithelial resistance and increases its permeability. Increased intestinal permeability allows for more LPS to reach the general circulation, aiding it in reaching different organs and exhibiting a pro-inflammatory effect[30]. This is also true in cases of dysbiosis with an increase in LPS production that is correlated with an increase in tumor necrosis factor alpha (TNF- $\alpha$ ), interleukin (IL) 6 and C-reactive protein levels [31,32]. Intestinal dysbiosis caused an LPS-induced inflammatory response in a mice model, while unaltered host microbiota reduced the inflammatory response to LPS in the liver[33]. LPS-induced monocyte activation has been shown to be increased in patients with HBV or HCV[34].

This underlines the ability of LPS and gut lipid metabolism to modulate both intestinal and organ-specific inflammatory response.

#### SCFA

In the gut, non-digestible carbohydrates are transformed by the microbiota into SCFA such as acetate, propionate and butyrate[35]. Acetate and propionate are produced mainly by *Bacteroidetes*, while butyrate, the main source of energy for colonocytes, by *Firmicutes*. A small portion of SCFA that is not metabolized can reach the liver through the portal vein, being used as energy substrates for hepatocytes [36,37]. Certain bacteria such as Butyricimonas and Prevotella have the ability to generate butyrate and propionate, SCFAs with anti-inflammatory effect[38].

SCFA bind to the G-protein coupled free fatty acid receptors (FFA): GPR41 (FFA2) and GPR43 (FFA3)[39,40]. Enteroendocrine and pancreatic β-cells present both GPR41 and GPR43 receptors, while immune cells and adipocytes present mostly GPR41 and peripheral neurons GPR43[41]. This links SCFA production to a multitude of metabolic, neurological and inflammatory mechanisms. Thus, FFA receptors and SCFA production presents therapeutic targets in these diseases[41-43].

In immune cells (leukocytes and neutrophils) SCFA increase the intracellular calcium levels[39,44,45]. This reaction leads to an increased production of reactive oxygen species, as well as an increased neutrophil recruitment and a pro-inflammatory effect[46-48]. GPR41 activation by SCFAs in the gut promotes the function and size of regulatory T cells, protecting against intestinal inflammation[49]. Also, GPR43 was found to be a chemotactic receptor for neutrophils, stimulating their migration towards the source of SCFAs[50,51]. In a mouse model of gout, the intestinal





Figure 1 The mechanisms by which the gut microbiome influences the immune system. LPS: Lipopolysaccharides; SCFA: Short-chain fatty acids.

microbiota-produced SCFA determined inflammasome assembly, reactive oxygen species formation and IL-1b production and improved the inflammatory response[52]. Increased SCFA levels determined the production of macrophages and dendritic cells, protecting the lung against allergic inflammation[53]. Also, by activating another Gprotein coupled receptor, GPR109A, the microbiota is involved in inflammatory suppression via the NF-kB pathway in normal and colon cancer cells[54].

Another SCFA mechanism involved the inhibition of histone deacetylases (HDAC). By non-competitively inhibiting the activity of HDAC 1 and 2, butyrate causes histone hyperacetylation. By this mechanism, butyrate and other SCFAs are thought to serve as a protective factor against colon cancer, dysbiosis being a risk factor for the development of this disease, as well as other chronic inflammatory diseases<sup>[55]</sup>. HDAC inhibition also promotes macrophage activity and CD8 T cells and improves anti-cancer therapy [56-59]. Furthermore, class 1 HDACs inhibition is proposed as a target in pulmonary inflammation, due to its contribution in the release of pro-inflammatory cytokines[60]. HDAC inhibition promotes effector and regulatory T-cell differentiation and the production of IL-17, interferon- $\gamma$  (IFN- $\gamma$ ) and IL-10, contributing to an overall anti-inflammatory effect mediated by SCFAs[61,62].

By increasing acetyl-CoA activity and controlling gene expression, SCFA are involved in plasma B cells metabolism, activity, energy production boosting, and differentiation. During an infection, they support B cells antibody production, decreasing the host susceptibility to pathogens<sup>[63]</sup>.

Therefore, SCFA present both a pro- and anti-inflammatory role[61]. There is still the need for more studies to fully understand the implications of SCFA in inflammatory and immune diseases and determine in which conditions they act as proinflammatory or as anti-inflammatory factors.

#### Trp

The microbiota is involved in the transformation of Trp in indole derivatives, serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine) and kynurenine[64].

Lactobacilli species can metabolize Trp into indole-3-aldehyde, a ligand for the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) that is involved in intestinal immunity and the production of IL-22[65,66]. There are only a few species such as *Peptostreptococcus* russellii and Lactobacillus spp. with the ability to produce AhR ligands[64]. In high fat diets IL-22 can act as an antioxidant and anti-inflammatory agent, protecting the intestinal mucosa and epithelial cells from oxidative and inflammatory stressors [67]. Also, IL-22 is involved in the intestinal mucosa immune response against exterior pathogens[68,69]. However, in patients with inflammatory bowel disease, Il-22 is considered a "two-headed cytokine": it acts as a mucosal producing and healing agent, but in the chronic form of the disease it is also involved in tumorigenesis, promoting tumoral growth[70-72].

The Trp microbiota metabolite AhR regulates the activation and transcription of several other pathways, including IL-6, cytochrome P450 1A1 (CYP1A1), and 1B1 (CYP1B1), vascular endothelial growth factor A, and prostaglandin G/H synthase 2 and also stimulates innate lymphoid cells and intraepithelial lymphocytes development, mediating their anti-inflammatory effects[73,74]. Other bacteria that interfere with Trp metabolism are E. coli, Lactobacilli and Clostridium sporogenes. The first two possess tryptophanase which converts Trp to indole, while the latter decarboxylates Trp and increases tryptamine production[64].



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

The microbiota influence on Trp provides intestinal anti-inflammatory effects, but it also poses potential research directions regarding systemic inflammation[75,76].

#### Flagellin

The locomotive bacterial flagella contain flagellin, which is recognized by the host TLR5. Via the TLR pathways, flagellin is involved in several immunological mechanisms, both locally, in the gut, but also systemic, inducing the release of proinflammatory molecules[77]. In a study administering purified flagellin in mice, there was a decreased microbial dysbiosis, as well as an amelioration of IL-10 deficiencyinduced colitis<sup>[78]</sup>. This shows that flagellin presenting bacterial species could pose a beneficial effect in chronic inflammatory diseases. However, in patients with inflammatory bowel diseases there have been observed higher concentrations of flagellin, putting into question its supposed protective role[79]. Also, flagellin has been observed to be a potent TLR5/NF-κB activator, promoting inflammation in intestinal epithelial cells[80]. Via the same TLR5/NF-KB mechanism, flagellin could also promote the attachment and development of viral molecules, supporting viral infections via the intestine[81].

#### Bacterial CpG motifs

Bacterial DNA contains unmethylated CpG dinucleotides that are recognized by the immune system and produce an immunostimulatory effect[82,83]. These bacterial CpG motifs are recognized by TLR9 receptors and, depending on their localization, they exhibit several effects. Apical TLR9 activation inhibits NF-KB activation, while basolateral receptors stimulate NF-KB activation and the subsequent inflammatory pathways[84].

#### INFLAMMATION AND B AND C HEPATITIS

Many extrahepatic changes (metabolic, cardiovascular, autoimmune, renal) have been correlated with chronic HCV infection. This statement is supported by a prospective cohort study in which patients with chronic HCV infection (with HCV RNA detected in the serum) had a high risk of death due to liver or non-liver disease (cardiovascular and renal disease) compared to uninfected patients (without serum HCV RNA) or with patients presenting HCV antibodies[85].

Inflammatory cytokines are normally released in response to various stimuli, including viral infection. This limits cellular stress and cell damage[86]. HCV infection is associated with an immune activation status that can further influence the levels of inflammatory markers (II-6, TNF-α, iNOS, COX-2, IL-1), which are correlated with various extrahepatic diseases [87,88]. In HBV-infected patients there is an increase in Il-8, IL-29 and COX-2. Under normal conditions, adult hepatocytes do not express COX-2, but in chronic inflammatory diseases, the expression of this isoenzyme increases. Furthermore, IL-8 activates the extracellular signal-regulated kinase and c-Jun Nterminal kinase signaling pathways, which are also involved in inflammatory processes[86].

In infected hepatocytes with HCV, the production of type 1 and 3 interferons is blocked by the action of the viral NS3/4A protease. This protease may also influence the innate immune adaptor molecules mitochondrial antiviral signaling proteins with an effect on the intracellular antiviral defense system. In an experimental study on hepatic macrophages the first activated factor in liver macrophages with HCV infection has been shown to be TNF- $\alpha$  that further activates NF- $\kappa$ B and increases IL-1 $\beta$ . Adding to this, the HCV core protein also activates the NLRP3 inflammasome. The hepatic inflammatory environment is ensured by the activity of the NLRP3 inflammasome, phospholipase-C and IL-1 $\beta$ . Thus, NLRP3 inflammasome and IL-1 $\beta$  can be considered as target of treatment in HCV-induced liver disease[89].

## THE GUT-LIVER AXIS

The gut microbiome can interact tightly with the liver via the so-called gut-liver axis. Blood from the intestine, rich in microbiota-derived molecules, reaches the liver via the portal vein. In the liver, these molecules are recognized by TLRs pattern recognition receptors, mediating their effect on the liver tissue[90]. Related to liver pathologies, the gut microbiota is particularly involved in liver fibrosis and cirrhosis, hepatic cancers,



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease, autoimmune hepatitis, primary sclerosing and primary biliary cholangitis as well as viral hepatitis<sup>[91-96]</sup>. Some of the most studied components that affect liver pathologies are represented by LPS and SCFAs.

LPS produced by the microbiota are scarcely found in the normal liver, being cleared by Kupffer cells and not causing any damage[97]. However, in alcoholic liver disease, because of an increase intestinal permeability, an increased amount of LPS reached the liver [96]. LPS binds to TLR4, causing an excessive release of pro-inflammatory cytokines IL-1 and TNF- $\alpha$ [33,98]. Also, LPS can upregulate the expression of the cluster of differentiation 14 (CD14) receptor on Kupffer cells[99]. This could potentially make the liver more sensitive to LPS toxicity, as CD14 is vital for Kupffer cells LPS activation[100]. Kupffer cells activation produces a pro-inflammatory state, increasing the levels of NF- $\kappa$ B, TNF- $\alpha$  and IL-1. This leads to liver injury and disease progression, dysbiosis favoring the chronic inflammatory state[101].

SCFA such as acetate, propionate and butyrate may have a protective effect on liver diseases progression. High levels of butyrate restore the intestinal microbiota in cases of dysbiosis, reducing the intestinal permeability and thus the levels of endotoxins reaching the liver via the portal circulation. This attenuated the histological aspect of steatohepatitis livers, reducing the levels of TNF-α, IL-1, IL-6 and IFN-γ pro-inflammatory cytokines, as well as the expression of TLR4 receptors[102]. In an experimental study by Endo et al[103], administering probiotics, aimed at increasing butyrate levels, significantly improved non-alcoholic fatty liver disease progression, reducing the inflammation and oxidative stress. This clearly shows that intestinal-produced metabolites can influence the immune and inflammatory state of the liver. Dysbiosis and an increased intestinal permeability allows for the gut-liver balance to change, causing a pro-inflammatory state of the liver and contributing to disease progression [104,105]. Pathogen-associated molecular patterns (bacterial antigens and products) such as LPS and viral RNAs activate TLR4 on Kupffer cells and other immune cells. Thus, the innate immune response is induced.

The liver is influenced by the intestine through the portal circulation, while the intestine is influenced by the liver through the released mediators and hepatic bile flow. It is known that increased intestinal permeability contributes to systemic inflammation and disease progression [106]. BA and other mediators such as immunoglobulin A (IgA) regulate the gut-liver axis. IgA influences the homeostasis of the intestinal microbiota, preventing bacterial translocation. BA modulate the intestinal barrier and have antimicrobial activity. Several enzymes involved in BA synthesis are regulated by the microbiota. However, some secondary BA (e.g., deoxycholic acid) resulting from intestinal biotransformation produce microbial dysbiosis and increase the intestinal permeability[107].

TGR5 is a G-protein-coupled BA receptor involved in the anti-inflammatory immune response, energy homeostasis, metabolic pathways and in pathologies such as diabetes and obesity [108]. In the intestine, TGR5 is involved in regulating the colonic motility and the intestinal permeability via the farnesoid X receptor - cAMP pathway [109,110]. Moreover, TGR5 activation stimulates mucosal proliferation and protects against mucosal injuries[111]. In liver pathologies, the levels of BA are significantly decreased, leading to a reduced activation of TGR5 in the gut[112,113]. In a mouse model with TGR5 silencing, there was a significant reduction in gut epithelial cellularity, with histological abnormalities and distortions and an increased intestinal permeability[114]. BA and TGR5 activation are therefore necessary for a normal functioning of the intestine and the gut-blood barrier. BA administration is beneficial for viral hepatic diseases. In a HBV model, TGR5 agonists administration suppressed the infection[115]. BA and TGR5 agonists pose as potential treatment options for viral hepatitis<sup>[116]</sup>.

Decreased BA quantities in virus hepatitis could be responsible for the increased intestinal permeability and the subsequent increase in LPS and other endotoxins. This in turn favors the progression of the liver pathology, creating a vicious circle where the liver pathology creates an environment that further promotes the liver pathology (Figure 2). Future studies should determine the exact mechanism by which liver diseases influence the intestinal permeability and lead to the production of dysbiosis.

### THE GUT MICROBIOTA-VIRAL B AND C HEPATITIS

The presence of the HBV or HCV infection can lead to intestinal dysbiosis[117]. Some of the microbial changes present in patients with HBV and HCV-related liver diseases



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Neag MA et al. Gut-liver axis in viral hepatitis





are shown in Table 1.

These studies showed significant differences in the composition of the intestinal microbiota between patients with B or C hepatitis with or without cirrhosis present. A healthy gut microbiota means a gut microbiota with great diversity and the ability to react to changes. Thus, B and C viruses can cause changes and can shape the gut microbiota in different directions[122].

Nowadays, the treatment of B and C hepatitis is well established by international guidelines[124-126]. The main question is: does the treatment of B or C hepatitis influence the diversity and abundance of the intestinal microbiota? And if so, are these changes helping in preventing or halting the evolution of the disease? A part of the studies looking into the microbial changes caused by HBV and HCV treatments are presented in Table 2.

Entecavir increases the abundance of the genus *Clostridium sensu stricto* 1 which has been associated with large and extra-large HDL particles and also with a decreased risk of cardiovascular disease[131]. Increased lipid content in the liver and steatosis can result in the development of inflammation and, over time, cirrhosis, and can also increase oxidative stress[132]. Genus Intestinibacter along with genus Escherichia, Shigella can be considered as a major contributor to NAFLD progression. Increases in the abundance of Intestinibacter have been correlated with severe intestinal disorders in humans and are recognized as a biomarker of the onset of Crohn's disease[133].

In a study by Pérez-Matute et al[129], it was shown that the use of direct antiviral agents in patients with chronic HCV infection could only restore the intestinal bacterial changes in those patients with a lower degree of fibrosis (F0-1). The data highlight a strong relationship between the liver and the intestine and suggest that mild intestinal changes caused by liver damage could possibly be counteracted with the appropriate drugs.

Blautia, Coprococcus, Dorea, Lachnospira, Oribacterium, Roseburia and L-Ruminococcus were detected in the human intestine as the main genera belonging to the Lachnospiraceae family[134]. Lachnospiraceae is considered a "good" family of bacteria, having a beneficial role in host homeostasis. The bacteria belonging to this family can convert carbohydrates into SCFA in the gut[135]. Decreasing the abundance of Lachnospiraceae leads to decreased SCFA production and thus increases the pH of the colon. This change increases the production of ammonia and its absorption in the intestine[136].

Direct-acting antivirals (DAA) treatment in cirrhotic patients appears to have a positive impact on changes in the intestinal microbiota, as well as fibrosis and inflammation, but without a positive impact on the function of the intestinal barrier. DAA has greatly reduced the abundance of Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus, and Veillonel*laceae*[130]. The abundance of the *Enterobacteriaceae* family, belonging to the *Proteobacteria* phylum, depends on the amount of oxygen that crosses the intestinal barrier. The abundance of *Enterobacteriaceae* is elevated after the oxygen level increases and can aggravate intestinal inflammation. Members of this family cannot degrade complex carbohydrates (as Clostridia and Bacteroidia do); they are only involved in the passive transport of oligosaccharides. This disadvantage may explain the lower abundance of Enterobacteriaceae compared to Clostridia and Bacteroidia in the healthy distal intestine [137]. Veillonellaceae belonging to Firmicutes phylum, is one of the main microbial taxa



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

| Table 1 Microbiota changes in different studies regarding hepatic B and C virus |   |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | Changes of gut microbiota in patients <i>vs</i> healthy subjects  | Ref.   |  |  |  |
| Type of HBV infection   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Chronic HBV infection   | $\downarrow$ Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes; $\uparrow$ Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria   | Chen <i>et al</i><br>[117]                   |  |  |  |
|   | $\uparrow$ Bifidobacterium dentium; $\downarrow$ Bifidobacterium catenulatum and longum   | Xu <i>et al</i><br>[118]                     |  |  |  |
|   | ↑ Veillonellaceae; ↓ Lachnospiraceae, Rikenellaceae, Ruminococcaceae  | Wang et al<br>[ <mark>119</mark> ]           |  |  |  |
| HBV liver cirrhosis   | $\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$ Bacteroidetes and Firmicutes; $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$ Proteobacteria and Actinobacteria   | Chen <i>et al</i><br>[117]                   |  |  |  |
| Decompensated HBV cirrhosis   | $\downarrow$ Bifidobacteria/Enterobacteriaceae ratio; $\uparrow$ Enterobacteriaceae; $\downarrow$ Firmicutes (F.prausnitzii, Clostridium clusters XI and XIVab, Bifidobacterium); $\downarrow$ Bacteroidetes  | Lu <i>et al</i><br>[ <mark>120]</mark>       |  |  |  |
| HBV related<br>hepatocellular<br>carcinoma                                      | $\downarrow$ Proteobacteria; $\uparrow$ Prevotella, Phascolarctobacterium, Anaerotruncus; $\uparrow$ Proteus, Veillonella, Prevotella 2, Barnesiella and Ruminococcaceae spp.   | Liu <i>et al</i><br>[ <mark>121</mark> ]     |  |  |  |
| Type of HCV infection   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Chronic HCV infection without cirrhosis   | ↑ Veillonella spp., Lactobacillus spp., Streptococcus spp. and Alloprevotella spp.; ↓ Bilophila spp., Clostridium IV spp.,<br>Clostridium XIVb spp., Mitsuokella spp. and Vampirovibrio spp.; No changes: Akkermansia spp., Bifidobacterium spp.,<br>Escherichia/Shigella spp., Haemophilus spp., Micrococcus spp. and Weissella spp. | Heidrich <i>et</i><br>al[ <mark>122</mark> ] |  |  |  |
| Chronic HCV infection with cirrhosis  | ↑↑↑ Veillonella spp., Lactobacillus spp., Streptococcus spp. and Alloprevotella spp.; ↓↓↓ Bilophila spp., Clostridium IV spp.,<br>Clostridium XIVb spp., Mitsuokella spp. and Vampirovibrio spp.; ↑↑↑ Akkermansia spp., Bifidobacterium spp.,<br>Escherichia/Shigella spp., Haemophilus spp., Micrococcus spp. and Weissella spp.     | Heidrich et<br>al[ <mark>122</mark> ]        |  |  |  |
| Stage 4 HCV infection (cirrhosis)   | $\downarrow Firmicutes; \uparrow Prevotella, Faecalibacterium (F. prausnitzii); \uparrow Acinetobacter; \uparrow Veillonella$   | Aly <i>et al</i> [123]                       |  |  |  |

HBV: Hepatitis B virus; HCV: Hepatitis C virus.

#### Table 2 Microbial changes as a result of several treatments in viral B and C hepatitis

| Drug  | Type of study          | Changes in gut microbiota   | Ref.  |
|---|------------------------|---|---|
| Entecavir   | Experimental<br>(mice) | ↑ Lachnospiraceae, Akkermansia, Alistipes, Escherichia, Shigella, Oscillibacter,<br>Bilophila   | Li et al <mark>[127</mark> ]                  |
|   | Clinical               | $\uparrow$ Clostridium sensu stricto 1, Erysipelotrichaceae UCG-007, Intestinibacter; $\downarrow$ Streptococcus, Atopobium, and Murdochiella | Lu et al[ <mark>128</mark> ]                  |
| Direct antiviral agents in patients with HCV infection                  | Clinical               | ↑ Phylum Firmicutes, genera Lachnospira   | Pérez-Matute <i>et</i> al[129]                |
| Direct antiviral agents in patients with<br>HCV-related liver cirrhosis | Clinical               | $\downarrow$ Enterobacteriaceae, Staphylococcus and Veillonellaceae   | Ponziani <i>et al</i><br>[ <mark>130</mark> ] |

HCV: Hepatitis C virus.

associated with the severity of fibrosis in non-obese patients. This family has the ability to produce propionate, one of the most important SCFAs and has been associated with chronic liver disease[138]. The LPS and SCFA metabolites produced by intestinal *Veillonella* stimulate the release of cytokines (II-6, IL-10, TNF- $\alpha$ ) in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells and thus have a negative impact on liver pathology and host inflammation[139].

#### **GUT MICROBIOTA-TARGET OF TREATMENT**

Although standard therapy for B and C viral hepatitis is well established and presented in clinical guidelines, many dietary supplements, including pre-, pro-, and symbiotic agents, are being studied to reduce the toxicity of standard therapy (side effects) or to increase their effect. Also, fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) is one of the methods that can manipulate the composition of the intestinal microbiota. It has



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

the ability to strengthen the intestinal barrier, reduce intestinal permeability and also improve host immunity[140]. There are various routes of administration for FMT: nasogastric tube, upper endoscopy or colonoscopy, retention enema, etc. The route of administration depends on the characteristics of the disease. For example, good results have been obtained after duodenal administration in metabolic disease[141].

There are only a few studies that support the effect of certain probiotics in viral B or C hepatitis.

Oo et al[142] studied the long-term (36-mo) effect of probiotic heat-treated strain Enterococcus faecalis FK-23 in patients with HCV infection. This probiotic may change the microbiota in these patients and may have an important role of decreased ALT in serum.

In patients with HBV-induced liver cirrhosis, the role of a probiotic (Clostridium butyricum combined with Bifidobacterium infantis) has been studied in the treatment of minimal hepatic encephalopathy. The results claim that the probiotic modulates the intestinal barrier and thus can lower the level of ammonia and can improve cognition [143].

## CONCLUSION

Most of the microbiota-derived components elicit an immunomodulatory effect, both pro- and anti-inflammatory. Alteration of the host microbiome produces an unbalance of these factors, leading to negative effects both locally in the intestine, as well as at distance in other organs. Therefore, we can conclude that by its factors, the host microbiota is an important determinant in the hosts immune response modulation. Future experimental and clinical studies are needed to determine the exact mechanisms of these changes, as well as the exact conditions in which the microbiota can serve as a protective factor.

Currently, the intestinal microbiota is a target of treatment for various diseases in humans. Future studies should focus on the effects and efficacy of treatments aimed at restoring the gut microbial environment (prebiotics, probiotics, symbiotics, fecal transplant) and their exact relationship with liver pathologies. By understanding the natural communication pathways between the liver and the gut, in both health and disease, we could potentially formulate better therapies aimed at reducing the effects of the chronic inflammatory response on the progression of liver diseases.

### REFERENCES

- Karnsakul W, Schwarz KB. Hepatitis B and C. Pediatr Clin North Am 2017; 64: 641-658 [PMID: 28502443 DOI: 10.1016/j.pcl.2017.01.007]
- 2 Bertoletti A, Le Bert N. Immunotherapy for Chronic Hepatitis B Virus Infection. Gut Liver 2018; 12: 497-507 [PMID: 29316747 DOI: 10.5009/gnl17233]
- Pardee M. Diagnosis and Management of Hepatitis B and C. Nurs Clin North Am 2019; 54: 277-3 284 [PMID: 31027666 DOI: 10.1016/j.cnur.2019.02.004]
- 4 Philips CA, Augustine P, Yerol PK, Ramesh GN, Ahamed R, Rajesh S, George T, Kumbar S. Modulating the Intestinal Microbiota: Therapeutic Opportunities in Liver Disease. J Clin Transl Hepatol 2020; 8: 87-99 [PMID: 32274349 DOI: 10.14218/JCTH.2019.00035]
- Peroni DG, Nuzzi G, Trambusti I, Di Cicco ME, Comberiati P. Microbiome Composition and Its 5 Impact on the Development of Allergic Diseases. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 700 [PMID: 32391012 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.007001
- Catinean A, Neag MA, Muntean DM, Bocsan IC, Buzoianu AD. An overview on the interplay 6 between nutraceuticals and gut microbiota. PeerJ 2018; 6: e4465 [PMID: 29576949 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.4465]
- 7 Ramírez-Pérez O, Cruz-Ramón V, Chinchilla-López P, Méndez-Sánchez N. The Role of the Gut Microbiota in Bile Acid Metabolism. Ann Hepatol 2017; 16 Suppl 1: S21-S26 [PMID: 31196631 DOI: 10.5604/01.3001.0010.5672
- Szabo G. Gut-liver axis in alcoholic liver disease. Gastroenterology 2015; 148: 30-36 [PMID: 25447847 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2014.10.042]
- Belkaid Y, Hand TW. Role of the microbiota in immunity and inflammation. Cell 2014; 157: 121-141 [PMID: 24679531 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2014.03.011]
- 10 de Oliveira GLV, Leite AZ, Higuchi BS, Gonzaga MI, Mariano VS. Intestinal dysbiosis and probiotic applications in autoimmune diseases. Immunology 2017; 152: 1-12 [PMID: 28556916 DOI: 10.1111/imm.12765]
- Sittipo P, Lobionda S, Lee YK, Maynard CL. Intestinal microbiota and the immune system in 11 metabolic diseases. J Microbiol 2018; 56: 154-162 [PMID: 29492872 DOI:



#### 10.1007/s12275-018-7548-y]

- 12 Bailey MT. The contributing role of the intestinal microbiota in stressor-induced increases in susceptibility to enteric infection and systemic immunomodulation. Horm Behav 2012; 62: 286-294 [PMID: 22366706 DOI: 10.1016/j.yhbeh.2012.02.006]
- 13 D'Amelio P, Sassi F. Gut Microbiota, Immune System, and Bone. Calcif Tissue Int 2018; 102: 415-425 [PMID: 28965190 DOI: 10.1007/s00223-017-0331-y]
- Ohlsson C, Sjögren K. Effects of the gut microbiota on bone mass. Trends Endocrinol Metab 2015; 14 26: 69-74 [PMID: 25497348 DOI: 10.1016/j.tem.2014.11.004]
- 15 Sjögren K, Engdahl C, Henning P, Lerner UH, Tremaroli V, Lagerquist MK, Bäckhed F, Ohlsson C. The gut microbiota regulates bone mass in mice. J Bone Miner Res 2012; 27: 1357-1367 [PMID: 22407806 DOI: 10.1002/jbmr.1588]
- 16 Compare D, Coccoli P, Rocco A, Nardone OM, De Maria S, Cartenì M, Nardone G. Gut--liver axis: the impact of gut microbiota on non alcoholic fatty liver disease. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis 2012; 22: 471-476 [PMID: 22546554 DOI: 10.1016/j.numecd.2012.02.007]
- 17 **Oliphant K.** Allen-Vercoe E. Macronutrient metabolism by the human gut microbiome: major fermentation by-products and their impact on host health. *Microbiome* 2019; 7: 91 [PMID: 31196177 DOI: 10.1186/s40168-019-0704-8]
- 18 Valentini M, Piermattei A, Di Sante G, Migliara G, Delogu G, Ria F. Immunomodulation by gut microbiota: role of Toll-like receptor expressed by T cells. J Immunol Res 2014; 2014: 586939 [PMID: 25147831 DOI: 10.1155/2014/586939]
- 19 Rakoff-Nahoum S, Paglino J, Eslami-Varzaneh F, Edberg S, Medzhitov R. Recognition of commensal microflora by toll-like receptors is required for intestinal homeostasis. Cell 2004; 118: 229-241 [PMID: 15260992 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2004.07.002]
- Ma Z, Cao Q, Xiong Y, Zhang E, Lu M. Interaction between Hepatitis B Virus and Toll-Like 20 Receptors: Current Status and Potential Therapeutic Use for Chronic Hepatitis B. Vaccines (Basel) 2018; 6 [PMID: 29337856 DOI: 10.3390/vaccines6010006]
- 21 Ashfaq UA, Iqbal MS, Khaliq S. Role of Toll-Like Receptors in Hepatitis C Virus Pathogenesis and Treatment. Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr 2016; 26: 353-362 [PMID: 27910749 DOI: 10.1615/CritRevEukaryotGeneExpr.2016017455]
- 22 Moon MS, Quinn G, Townsend EC, Ali RO, Zhang GY, Bradshaw A, Hill K, Guan H, Hamilton D, Kleiner DE, Koh C, Heller T. Bacterial Translocation and Host Immune Activation in Chronic Hepatitis C Infection. Open Forum Infect Dis 2019; 6 [PMID: 31363763 DOI: 10.1093/ofid/ofz255]
- 23 Mohammad S, Thiemermann C. Role of Metabolic Endotoxemia in Systemic Inflammation and Potential Interventions. Front Immunol 2020; 11: 594150 [PMID: 33505393 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2020.5941501
- 24 Raetz CR, Whitfield C. Lipopolysaccharide endotoxins. Annu Rev Biochem 2002; 71: 635-700 [PMID: 12045108 DOI: 10.1146/annurev.biochem.71.110601.135414]
- 25 Aderem A, Ulevitch RJ. Toll-like receptors in the induction of the innate immune response. Nature 2000; 406: 782-787 [PMID: 10963608 DOI: 10.1038/35021228]
- 26 Neag MA, Catinean A, Muntean DM, Pop MR, Bocsan CI, Botan EC, Buzoianu AD. Probiotic Bacillus Spores Protect Against Acetaminophen Induced Acute Liver Injury in Rats. Nutrients 2020; 12 [PMID: 32120994 DOI: 10.3390/nu12030632]
- Vatanen T, Kostic AD, d'Hennezel E, Siljander H, Franzosa EA, Yassour M, Kolde R, Vlamakis H, 27 Arthur TD, Hämäläinen AM, Peet A, Tillmann V, Uibo R, Mokurov S, Dorshakova N, Ilonen J, Virtanen SM, Szabo SJ, Porter JA, Lähdesmäki H, Huttenhower C, Gevers D, Cullen TW, Knip M; DIABIMMUNE Study Group, Xavier RJ. Variation in Microbiome LPS Immunogenicity Contributes to Autoimmunity in Humans. Cell 2016; 165: 842-853 [PMID: 27133167 DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2016.04.007]
- 28 Coats SR, Do CT, Karimi-Naser LM, Braham PH, Darveau RP. Antagonistic lipopolysaccharides block E. coli lipopolysaccharide function at human TLR4 via interaction with the human MD-2 Lipopolysaccharide binding site. Cell Microbiol 2007; 9: 1191-1202 [PMID: 17217428 DOI: 10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00859.x]
- 29 d'Hennezel E, Abubucker S, Murphy LO, Cullen TW. Total Lipopolysaccharide from the Human Gut Microbiome Silences Toll-Like Receptor Signaling. mSystems 2017; 2 [PMID: 29152585 DOI: 10.1128/mSystems.00046-17]
- Guo S, Al-Sadi R, Said HM, Ma TY. Lipopolysaccharide causes an increase in intestinal tight 30 junction permeability in vitro and in vivo by inducing enterocyte membrane expression and localization of TLR-4 and CD14. Am J Pathol 2013; 182: 375-387 [PMID: 23201091 DOI: 10.1016/j.ajpath.2012.10.014]
- Salguero MV, Al-Obaide MAI, Singh R, Siepmann T, Vasylyeva TL. Dysbiosis of Gram-negative gut microbiota and the associated serum lipopolysaccharide exacerbates inflammation in type 2 diabetic patients with chronic kidney disease. Exp Ther Med 2019; 18: 3461-3469 [PMID: 31602221 DOI: 10.3892/etm.2019.7943]
- Wang J, Gu X, Yang J, Wei Y, Zhao Y. Gut Microbiota Dysbiosis and Increased Plasma LPS and 32 TMAO Levels in Patients With Preeclampsia. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2019; 9: 409 [PMID: 31850241 DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00409]
- 33 Suriguga S, Luangmonkong T, Mutsaers HAM, Groothuis GMM, Olinga P. Host microbiota dictates the proinflammatory impact of LPS in the murine liver. Toxicol In Vitro 2020; 67: 104920 [PMID: 32590029 DOI: 10.1016/j.tiv.2020.104920]



- 34 Sandler NG, Koh C, Roque A, Eccleston JL, Siegel RB, Demino M, Kleiner DE, Deeks SG, Liang TJ, Heller T, Douek DC. Host response to translocated microbial products predicts outcomes of patients with HBV or HCV infection. Gastroenterology 2011; 141: 1220-1230, 1230.e1 [PMID: 21726511 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2011.06.063]
- 35 Morrison DJ, Preston T. Formation of short chain fatty acids by the gut microbiota and their impact on human metabolism. Gut Microbes 2016; 7: 189-200 [PMID: 26963409 DOI: 10.1080/19490976.2015.1134082
- 36 Jiang L, Schnabl B. Gut Microbiota in Liver Disease: What Do We Know and What Do We Not Know? Physiology (Bethesda) 2020; 35: 261-274 [PMID: 32490750 DOI: 10.1152/physiol.00005.2020]
- Wong JM, de Souza R, Kendall CW, Emam A, Jenkins DJ. Colonic health: fermentation and short 37 chain fatty acids. J Clin Gastroenterol 2006; 40: 235-243 [PMID: 16633129 DOI: 10.1097/00004836-200603000-00015]
- Wan MLY, El-Nezami H. Targeting gut microbiota in hepatocellular carcinoma: probiotics as a 38 novel therapy. Hepatobiliary Surg Nutr 2018; 7: 11-20 [PMID: 29531939 DOI: 10.21037/hbsn.2017.12.07]
- 39 Nilsson NE, Kotarsky K, Owman C, Olde B. Identification of a free fatty acid receptor, FFA2R, expressed on leukocytes and activated by short-chain fatty acids. Biochem Biophys Res Commun 2003; **303**: 1047-1052 [PMID: 12684041 DOI: 10.1016/s0006-291x(03)00488-1]
- 40 Brown AJ, Goldsworthy SM, Barnes AA, Eilert MM, Tcheang L, Daniels D, Muir AI, Wigglesworth MJ, Kinghorn I, Fraser NJ, Pike NB, Strum JC, Steplewski KM, Murdock PR, Holder JC, Marshall FH, Szekeres PG, Wilson S, Ignar DM, Foord SM, Wise A, Dowell SJ. The Orphan G protein-coupled receptors GPR41 and GPR43 are activated by propionate and other short chain carboxylic acids. J Biol Chem 2003; 278: 11312-11319 [PMID: 12496283 DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M211609200
- 41 Tang C, Offermanns S. FFA2 and FFA3 in Metabolic Regulation. Handb Exp Pharmacol 2017; 236: 205-220 [PMID: 27757760 DOI: 10.1007/164 2016 50]
- 42 Tang C, Ahmed K, Gille A, Lu S, Gröne HJ, Tunaru S, Offermanns S. Loss of FFA2 and FFA3 increases insulin secretion and improves glucose tolerance in type 2 diabetes. Nat Med 2015; 21: 173-177 [PMID: 25581519 DOI: 10.1038/nm.3779]
- Säemann MD, Böhmig GA, Zlabinger GJ. Short-chain fatty acids: bacterial mediators of a balanced 43 host-microbial relationship in the human gut. Wien Klin Wochenschr 2002; 114: 289-300 [PMID: 12212362
- 44 Ulven T. Short-chain free fatty acid receptors FFA2/GPR43 and FFA3/GPR41 as new potential therapeutic targets. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2012; 3: 111 [PMID: 23060857 DOI: 10.3389/fendo.2012.00111
- 45 Naccache PH, Faucher N, Caon AC, McColl SR. Propionic acid-induced calcium mobilization in human neutrophils. J Cell Physiol 1988; 136: 118-124 [PMID: 2840439 DOI: 10.1002/jcp.1041360115]
- Mårtensson J, Holdfeldt A, Sundqvist M, Gabl M, Kenakin TP, Björkman L, Forsman H, Dahlgren 46 C. Neutrophil priming that turns natural FFA2R agonists into potent activators of the superoxide generating NADPH-oxidase. J Leukoc Biol 2018; 104: 1117-1132 [PMID: 30134499 DOI: 10.1002/JLB.2A0318-130RR]
- Björkman L, Mårtensson J, Winther M, Gabl M, Holdfeldt A, Uhrbom M, Bylund J, Højgaard 47 Hansen A, Pandey SK, Ulven T, Forsman H, Dahlgren C. The Neutrophil Response Induced by an Agonist for Free Fatty Acid Receptor 2 (GPR43) Is Primed by Tumor Necrosis Factor Alpha and by Receptor Uncoupling from the Cytoskeleton but Attenuated by Tissue Recruitment. Mol Cell Biol 2016; 36: 2583-2595 [PMID: 27503855 DOI: 10.1128/MCB.00161-16]
- 48 Sundqvist M, Christenson K, Holdfeldt A, Gabl M, Mårtensson J, Björkman L, Dieckmann R, Dahlgren C, Forsman H. Similarities and differences between the responses induced in human phagocytes through activation of the medium chain fatty acid receptor GPR84 and the short chain fatty acid receptor FFA2R. Biochim Biophys Acta Mol Cell Res 2018; 1865: 695-708 [PMID: 29477577 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbamcr.2018.02.008]
- 49 Smith PM, Howitt MR, Panikov N, Michaud M, Gallini CA, Bohlooly-Y M, Glickman JN, Garrett WS. The microbial metabolites, short-chain fatty acids, regulate colonic Treg cell homeostasis. Science 2013; 341: 569-573 [PMID: 23828891 DOI: 10.1126/science.1241165]
- 50 Vinolo MA, Ferguson GJ, Kulkarni S, Damoulakis G, Anderson K, Bohlooly-Y M, Stephens L, Hawkins PT, Curi R. SCFAs induce mouse neutrophil chemotaxis through the GPR43 receptor. PLoS One 2011; 6: e21205 [PMID: 21698257 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0021205]
- 51 Sina C, Gavrilova O, Förster M, Till A, Derer S, Hildebrand F, Raabe B, Chalaris A, Scheller J, Rehmann A, Franke A, Ott S, Häsler R, Nikolaus S, Fölsch UR, Rose-John S, Jiang HP, Li J, Schreiber S, Rosenstiel P. G protein-coupled receptor 43 is essential for neutrophil recruitment during intestinal inflammation. J Immunol 2009; 183: 7514-7522 [PMID: 19917676 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.0900063
- Vieira AT, Macia L, Galvão I, Martins FS, Canesso MC, Amaral FA, Garcia CC, Maslowski KM, 52 De Leon E, Shim D, Nicoli JR, Harper JL, Teixeira MM, Mackay CR. A Role for Gut Microbiota and the Metabolite-Sensing Receptor GPR43 in a Murine Model of Gout. Arthritis Rheumatol 2015; 67: 1646-1656 [PMID: 25914377 DOI: 10.1002/art.39107]
- 53 Trompette A, Gollwitzer ES, Yadava K, Sichelstiel AK, Sprenger N, Ngom-Bru C, Blanchard C,



Junt T, Nicod LP, Harris NL, Marsland BJ. Gut microbiota metabolism of dietary fiber influences allergic airway disease and hematopoiesis. Nat Med 2014; 20: 159-166 [PMID: 24390308 DOI: 10.1038/nm.3444]

- 54 Thangaraju M, Cresci GA, Liu K, Ananth S, Gnanaprakasam JP, Browning DD, Mellinger JD, Smith SB, Digby GJ, Lambert NA, Prasad PD, Ganapathy V. GPR109A is a G-protein-coupled receptor for the bacterial fermentation product butyrate and functions as a tumor suppressor in colon. Cancer Res 2009; 69: 2826-2832 [PMID: 19276343 DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-4466]
- 55 Hinnebusch BF, Meng S, Wu JT, Archer SY, Hodin RA. The effects of short-chain fatty acids on human colon cancer cell phenotype are associated with histone hyperacetylation. J Nutr 2002; 132: 1012-1017 [PMID: 11983830 DOI: 10.1093/jn/132.5.1012]
- 56 Guerriero JL, Sotayo A, Ponichtera HE, Castrillon JA, Pourzia AL, Schad S, Johnson SF, Carrasco RD, Lazo S, Bronson RT, Davis SP, Lobera M, Nolan MA, Letai A. Class IIa HDAC inhibition reduces breast tumours and metastases through anti-tumour macrophages. Nature 2017; 543: 428-432 [PMID: 28273064 DOI: 10.1038/nature21409]
- 57 Woods DM, Sodré AL, Villagra A, Sarnaik A, Sotomayor EM, Weber J. HDAC Inhibition Upregulates PD-1 Ligands in Melanoma and Augments Immunotherapy with PD-1 Blockade. Cancer Immunol Res 2015; 3: 1375-1385 [PMID: 26297712 DOI: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-15-0077-T]
- McCaw TR, Goel N, Brooke DJ, Katre AA, Londoño AI, Smith HJ, Randall TD, Arend RC. Class I histone deacetylase inhibition promotes CD8 T cell activation in ovarian cancer. Cancer Med 2021; 10: 709-717 [PMID: 33369199 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.3337]
- 59 Li X, Su X, Liu R, Pan Y, Fang J, Cao L, Feng C, Shang Q, Chen Y, Shao C, Shi Y. HDAC inhibition potentiates anti-tumor activity of macrophages and enhances anti-PD-L1-mediated tumor suppression. Oncogene 2021; 40: 1836-1850 [PMID: 33564072 DOI: 10.1038/s41388-020-01636-x]
- Stanfield BA, Purves T, Palmer S, Sullenger B, Welty-Wolf K, Haines K, Agarwal S, Kasotakis G. 60 IL-10 and class 1 histone deacetylases act synergistically and independently on the secretion of proinflammatory mediators in alveolar macrophages. PLoS One 2021; 16: e0245169 [PMID: 33471802 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0245169]
- Park J, Kim M, Kang SG, Jannasch AH, Cooper B, Patterson J, Kim CH. Short-chain fatty acids 61 induce both effector and regulatory T cells by suppression of histone deacetylases and regulation of the mTOR-S6K pathway. *Mucosal Immunol* 2015; 8: 80-93 [PMID: 24917457 DOI: 10.1038/mi.2014.44]
- 62 Lee N, Kim WU. Microbiota in T-cell homeostasis and inflammatory diseases. Exp Mol Med 2017; 49: e340 [PMID: 28546563 DOI: 10.1038/emm.2017.36]
- 63 Kim M, Qie Y, Park J, Kim CH. Gut Microbial Metabolites Fuel Host Antibody Responses. Cell Host Microbe 2016; 20: 202-214 [PMID: 27476413 DOI: 10.1016/j.chom.2016.07.001]
- Agus A, Planchais J, Sokol H. Gut Microbiota Regulation of Tryptophan Metabolism in Health and 64 Disease. Cell Host Microbe 2018; 23: 716-724 [PMID: 29902437 DOI: 10.1016/j.chom.2018.05.003]
- Zelante T, Iannitti RG, Cunha C, De Luca A, Giovannini G, Pieraccini G, Zecchi R, D'Angelo C, Massi-Benedetti C, Fallarino F, Carvalho A, Puccetti P, Romani L. Tryptophan catabolites from microbiota engage aryl hydrocarbon receptor and balance mucosal reactivity via interleukin-22. Immunity 2013; 39: 372-385 [PMID: 23973224 DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2013.08.003]
- Lamas B, Natividad JM, Sokol H. Aryl hydrocarbon receptor and intestinal immunity. Mucosal 66 Immunol 2018; 11: 1024-1038 [PMID: 29626198 DOI: 10.1038/s41385-018-0019-2]
- 67 Gulhane M, Murray L, Lourie R, Tong H, Sheng YH, Wang R, Kang A, Schreiber V, Wong KY, Magor G, Denman S, Begun J, Florin TH, Perkins A, Cuív PÓ, McGuckin MA, Hasnain SZ. High Fat Diets Induce Colonic Epithelial Cell Stress and Inflammation that is Reversed by IL-22. Sci Rep 2016; 6: 28990 [PMID: 27350069 DOI: 10.1038/srep28990]
- 68 Zheng Y, Valdez PA, Danilenko DM, Hu Y, Sa SM, Gong Q, Abbas AR, Modrusan Z, Ghilardi N, de Sauvage FJ, Ouyang W. Interleukin-22 mediates early host defense against attaching and effacing bacterial pathogens. Nat Med 2008; 14: 282-289 [PMID: 18264109 DOI: 10.1038/nm1720]
- Mühl H, Bachmann M. IL-18/IL-18BP and IL-22/IL-22BP: Two interrelated couples with 69 therapeutic potential. Cell Signal 2019; 63: 109388 [PMID: 31401146 DOI: 10.1016/j.cellsig.2019.109388]
- 70 Mizoguchi A, Yano A, Himuro H, Ezaki Y, Sadanaga T, Mizoguchi E. Clinical importance of IL-22 cascade in IBD. J Gastroenterol 2018; 53: 465-474 [PMID: 29075900 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-017-1401-7
- Laurence A, O'Shea JJ, Watford WT. Interleukin-22: a sheep in wolf's clothing. Nat Med 2008; 14: 71 247-249 [PMID: 18323844 DOI: 10.1038/nm0308-247]
- 72 Huber S, Gagliani N, Zenewicz LA, Huber FJ, Bosurgi L, Hu B, Hedl M, Zhang W, O'Connor W Jr, Murphy AJ, Valenzuela DM, Yancopoulos GD, Booth CJ, Cho JH, Ouyang W, Abraham C, Flavell RA. IL-22BP is regulated by the inflammasome and modulates tumorigenesis in the intestine. Nature 2012; 491: 259-263 [PMID: 23075849 DOI: 10.1038/nature11535]
- 73 Hubbard TD, Murray IA, Perdew GH. Indole and Tryptophan Metabolism: Endogenous and Dietary Routes to Ah Receptor Activation. Drug Metab Dispos 2015; 43: 1522-1535 [PMID: 26041783 DOI: 10.1124/dmd.115.064246]
- 74 Zelante T, Iannitti RG, Fallarino F, Gargaro M, De Luca A, Moretti S, Bartoli A, Romani L. Tryptophan Feeding of the IDO1-AhR Axis in Host-Microbial Symbiosis. Front Immunol 2014; 5:



640 [PMID: 25566253 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2014.00640]

- 75 Gao J, Xu K, Liu H, Liu G, Bai M, Peng C, Li T, Yin Y. Impact of the Gut Microbiota on Intestinal Immunity Mediated by Tryptophan Metabolism. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2018; 8: 13 [PMID: 29468141 DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2018.00013]
- 76 Gao K, Mu CL, Farzi A, Zhu WY. Tryptophan Metabolism: A Link Between the Gut Microbiota and Brain. Adv Nutr 2020; 11: 709-723 [PMID: 31825083 DOI: 10.1093/advances/nmz127]
- Honko AN, Mizel SB. Effects of flagellin on innate and adaptive immunity. Immunol Res 2005; 33: 77 83-101 [PMID: 16120974 DOI: 10.1385/IR:33:1:083]
- 78 Tran HQ, Ley RE, Gewirtz AT, Chassaing B. Flagellin-elicited adaptive immunity suppresses flagellated microbiota and vaccinates against chronic inflammatory diseases. Nat Commun 2019; 10: 5650 [PMID: 31827095 DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-13538-y]
- Cook L, Lisko DJ, Wong MQ, Garcia RV, Himmel ME, Seidman EG, Bressler B, Levings MK, 79 Steiner TS. Analysis of Flagellin-Specific Adaptive Immunity Reveals Links to Dysbiosis in Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Cell Mol Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 9: 485-506 [PMID: 31790809 DOI: 10.1016/j.jcmgh.2019.11.012]
- 80 Tallant T, Deb A, Kar N, Lupica J, de Veer MJ, DiDonato JA. Flagellin acting via TLR5 is the major activator of key signaling pathways leading to NF-kappa B and proinflammatory gene program activation in intestinal epithelial cells. BMC Microbiol 2004; 4: 33 [PMID: 15324458 DOI: 10.1186/1471-2180-4-33
- 81 Benedikz EK, Bailey D, Cook CNL, Gonçalves-Carneiro D, Buckner MMC, Blair JMA, Wells TJ, Fletcher NF, Goodall M, Flores-Langarica A, Kingsley RA, Madsen J, Teeling J, Johnston SL, MacLennan CA, Balfe P, Henderson IR, Piddock LJV, Cunningham AF, McKeating JA. Bacterial flagellin promotes viral entry via an NF-kB and Toll Like Receptor 5 dependent pathway. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 7903 [PMID: 31133714 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-44263-7]
- 82 Krieg AM, Yi AK, Matson S, Waldschmidt TJ, Bishop GA, Teasdale R, Koretzky GA, Klinman DM. CpG motifs in bacterial DNA trigger direct B-cell activation. Nature 1995; 374: 546-549 [PMID: 7700380 DOI: 10.1038/374546a0]
- 83 Tokunaga T, Yamamoto H, Shimada S, Abe H, Fukuda T, Fujisawa Y, Furutani Y, Yano O, Kataoka T, Sudo T. Antitumor activity of deoxyribonucleic acid fraction from Mycobacterium bovis BCG. I. Isolation, physicochemical characterization, and antitumor activity. J Natl Cancer Inst 1984; 72: 955-962 [PMID: 6200641]
- Lee J, Mo JH, Katakura K, Alkalay I, Rucker AN, Liu YT, Lee HK, Shen C, Cojocaru G, Shenouda 84 S, Kagnoff M, Eckmann L, Ben-Neriah Y, Raz E. Maintenance of colonic homeostasis by distinctive apical TLR9 signalling in intestinal epithelial cells. Nat Cell Biol 2006; 8: 1327-1336 [PMID: 17128265 DOI: 10.1038/ncb1500]
- 85 Negro F, Forton D, Craxì A, Sulkowski MS, Feld JJ, Manns MP. Extrahepatic morbidity and mortality of chronic hepatitis C. Gastroenterology 2015; 149: 1345-1360 [PMID: 26319013 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2015.08.035]
- 86 Yu Y, Gong R, Mu Y, Chen Y, Zhu C, Sun Z, Chen M, Liu Y, Zhu Y, Wu J. Hepatitis B virus induces a novel inflammation network involving three inflammatory factors, IL-29, IL-8, and cyclooxygenase-2. J Immunol 2011; 187: 4844-4860 [PMID: 21957142 DOI: 10.4049/jimmunol.1100998]
- 87 Babiker A, Hassan M, Muhammed S, Taylor G, Poonia B, Shah A, Bagchi S. Inflammatory and cardiovascular diseases biomarkers in chronic hepatitis C virus infection: A review. Clin Cardiol 2020; 43: 222-234 [PMID: 31785111 DOI: 10.1002/clc.23299]
- 88 Chen WC, Tseng CK, Chen BH, Lin CK, Lee JC. Grape Seed Extract Attenuates Hepatitis C Virus Replication and Virus-Induced Inflammation. Front Pharmacol 2016; 7: 490 [PMID: 28066241 DOI: 10.3389/fphar.2016.00490]
- Antushevich H. Interplays between inflammasomes and viruses, bacteria (pathogenic and 89 probiotic), yeasts and parasites. Immunol Lett 2020; 228: 1-14 [PMID: 32971149 DOI: 10.1016/j.imlet.2020.09.004]
- 90 Chassaing B, Etienne-Mesmin L, Gewirtz AT. Microbiota-liver axis in hepatic disease. Hepatology 2014; 59: 328-339 [PMID: 23703735 DOI: 10.1002/hep.26494]
- 91 Mohamadkhani A. On the potential role of intestinal microbial community in hepatocarcinogenesis in chronic hepatitis B. Cancer Med 2018 [PMID: 29761927 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.1550]
- 92 Sehgal R, Bedi O, Trehanpati N. Role of Microbiota in Pathogenesis and Management of Viral Hepatitis. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2020; 10: 341 [PMID: 32850467 DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2020.00341]
- 93 Seki E, Schnabl B. Role of innate immunity and the microbiota in liver fibrosis: crosstalk between the liver and gut. J Physiol 2012; 590: 447-458 [PMID: 22124143 DOI: 10.1113/jphysiol.2011.219691]
- Usami M, Miyoshi M, Yamashita H. Gut microbiota and host metabolism in liver cirrhosis. World J 94 Gastroenterol 2015; 21: 11597-11608 [PMID: 26556989 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i41.11597]
- 95 Yu LX, Schwabe RF. The gut microbiome and liver cancer: mechanisms and clinical translation. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; 14: 527-539 [PMID: 28676707 DOI: 10.1038/nrgastro.2017.72
- 96 Miele L, Valenza V, La Torre G, Montalto M, Cammarota G, Ricci R, Mascianà R, Forgione A, Gabrieli ML, Perotti G, Vecchio FM, Rapaccini G, Gasbarrini G, Day CP, Grieco A. Increased intestinal permeability and tight junction alterations in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. Hepatology



2009; 49: 1877-1887 [PMID: 19291785 DOI: 10.1002/hep.22848]

- Szabo G, Bala S. Alcoholic liver disease and the gut-liver axis. World J Gastroenterol 2010; 16: 97 1321-1329 [PMID: 20238398 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v16.i11.1321]
- 98 Tilg H. Moschen AR, Szabo G. Interleukin-1 and inflammasomes in alcoholic liver disease/acute alcoholic hepatitis and nonalcoholic fatty liver disease/nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. Hepatology 2016; 64: 955-965 [PMID: 26773297 DOI: 10.1002/hep.28456]
- 99 Matsuura K, Ishida T, Setoguchi M, Higuchi Y, Akizuki S, Yamamoto S. Upregulation of mouse CD14 expression in Kupffer cells by lipopolysaccharide. J Exp Med 1994; 179: 1671-1676 [PMID: 7513013 DOI: 10.1084/jem.179.5.1671]
- Su GL, Goyert SM, Fan MH, Aminlari A, Gong KQ, Klein RD, Myc A, Alarcon WH, Steinstraesser 100 L, Remick DG, Wang SC. Activation of human and mouse Kupffer cells by lipopolysaccharide is mediated by CD14. Am J Physiol Gastrointest Liver Physiol 2002; 283: G640-G645 [PMID: 12181178 DOI: 10.1152/ajpgi.00253.2001]
- 101 Grabherr F, Grander C, Effenberger M, Adolph TE, Tilg H. Gut Dysfunction and Non-alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 2019; 10: 611 [PMID: 31555219 DOI: 10.3389/fendo.2019.006111
- Zhou D, Pan Q, Xin FZ, Zhang RN, He CX, Chen GY, Liu C, Chen YW, Fan JG. Sodium butyrate 102 attenuates high-fat diet-induced steatohepatitis in mice by improving gut microbiota and gastrointestinal barrier. World J Gastroenterol 2017; 23: 60-75 [PMID: 28104981 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i1.60]
- Endo H, Niioka M, Kobayashi N, Tanaka M, Watanabe T. Butyrate-producing probiotics reduce 103 nonalcoholic fatty liver disease progression in rats: new insight into the probiotics for the gut-liver axis. PLoS One 2013; 8: e63388 [PMID: 23696823 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0063388]
- Sanduzzi Zamparelli M, Rocco A, Compare D, Nardone G. The gut microbiota: A new potential 104 driving force in liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. United European Gastroenterol J 2017; 5: 944-953 [PMID: 29163959 DOI: 10.1177/2050640617705576]
- 105 Rossetto A, De Re V, Steffan A, Ravaioli M, Miolo G, Leone P, Racanelli V, Uzzau A, Baccarani U, Cescon M. Carcinogenesis and Metastasis in Liver: Cell Physiological Basis. Cancers (Basel) 2019; 11 [PMID: 31694274 DOI: 10.3390/cancers11111731]
- 106 Chopyk DM, Grakoui A. Contribution of the Intestinal Microbiome and Gut Barrier to Hepatic Disorders. Gastroenterology 2020; 159: 849-863 [PMID: 32569766 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.04.077]
- 107 Wiest R, Albillos A, Trauner M, Bajaj JS, Jalan R. Corrigendum to "Targeting the gut-liver axis in liver disease" [J Hepatol 67 (2017) 1084-1103]. J Hepatol 2018; 68: 1336 [PMID: 29655855 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.001]
- 108 Jacinto S, Fang S. Essential roles of bile acid receptors FXR and TGR5 as metabolic regulators. Animal Cells Syst (Seoul) 2014; 18: 359-364 [DOI: 10.1080/19768354.2014.987318]
- 109 Duboc H, Taché Y, Hofmann AF. The bile acid TGR5 membrane receptor: from basic research to clinical application. Dig Liver Dis 2014; 46: 302-312 [PMID: 24411485 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2013.10.021
- 110 Alemi F, Poole DP, Chiu J, Schoonjans K, Cattaruzza F, Grider JR, Bunnett NW, Corvera CU. The receptor TGR5 mediates the prokinetic actions of intestinal bile acids and is required for normal defecation in mice. Gastroenterology 2013; 144: 145-154 [PMID: 23041323 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2012.09.055]
- 111 Ji CG, Xie XL, Yin J, Qi W, Chen L, Bai Y, Wang N, Zhao DQ, Jiang XY, Jiang HQ. Bile acid receptor TGR5 overexpression is associated with decreased intestinal mucosal injury and epithelial cell proliferation in obstructive jaundice. Transl Res 2017; 182: 88-102 [PMID: 28034761 DOI: 10.1016/j.trsl.2016.12.001
- 112 Wang X, Xie G, Zhao A, Zheng X, Huang F, Wang Y, Yao C, Jia W, Liu P. Serum Bile Acids Are Associated with Pathological Progression of Hepatitis B-Induced Cirrhosis. J Proteome Res 2016; 15: 1126-1134 [PMID: 25964117 DOI: 10.1021/acs.jproteome.5b00217]
- Yan LT, Wang LL, Yao J, Yang YT, Mao XR, Yue W, Mao YW, Zhou W, Chen QF, Chen Y, 113 Duan ZP, Li JF. Total bile acid-to-cholesterol ratio as a novel noninvasive marker for significant liver fibrosis and cirrhosis in patients with non-cholestatic chronic hepatitis B virus infection. Medicine (Baltimore) 2020; 99: e19248 [PMID: 32080129 DOI: 10.1097/MD.000000000019248]
- Cipriani S, Mencarelli A, Chini MG, Distrutti E, Renga B, Bifulco G, Baldelli F, Donini A, Fiorucci 114 S. The bile acid receptor GPBAR-1 (TGR5) modulates integrity of intestinal barrier and immune response to experimental colitis. PLoS One 2011; 6: e25637 [PMID: 22046243 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0025637]
- Ito K, Okumura A, Takeuchi JS, Watashi K, Inoue R, Yamauchi T, Sakamoto K, Yamashita Y, 115 Iguchi Y, Une M, Wakita T, Umezawa K, Yoneda M. Dual Agonist of Farnesoid X Receptor and Takeda G Protein-Coupled Receptor 5 Inhibits Hepatitis B Virus Infection In Vitro and In Vivo. Hepatology 2021; 74: 83-98 [PMID: 33434356 DOI: 10.1002/hep.31712]
- 116 Chen W, Liu J, Gluud C. Bile acids for viral hepatitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2003; CD003181 [PMID: 12804455 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003181]
- Chen Z, Xie Y, Zhou F, Zhang B, Wu J, Yang L, Xu S, Stedtfeld R, Chen Q, Liu J, Zhang X, Xu H, 117 Ren J. Featured Gut Microbiomes Associated With the Progression of Chronic Hepatitis B Disease. Front Microbiol 2020; 11: 383 [PMID: 32265857 DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.00383]
- 118 Xu M, Wang B, Fu Y, Chen Y, Yang F, Lu H, Xu J, Li L. Changes of fecal Bifidobacterium species



in adult patients with hepatitis B virus-induced chronic liver disease. Microb Ecol 2012; 63: 304-313 [PMID: 21814872 DOI: 10.1007/s00248-011-9925-5]

- 119 Wang J, Wang Y, Zhang X, Liu J, Zhang Q, Zhao Y, Peng J, Feng Q, Dai J, Sun S, Zhao L, Zhang Y, Hu Y, Zhang M. Gut Microbial Dysbiosis Is Associated with Altered Hepatic Functions and Serum Metabolites in Chronic Hepatitis B Patients. Front Microbiol 2017; 8: 2222 [PMID: 29180991 DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.02222]
- 120 Lu H, Wu Z, Xu W, Yang J, Chen Y, Li L. Intestinal microbiota was assessed in cirrhotic patients with hepatitis B virus infection. Intestinal microbiota of HBV cirrhotic patients. Microb Ecol 2011; 61: 693-703 [PMID: 21286703 DOI: 10.1007/s00248-010-9801-8]
- 121 Liu Q, Li F, Zhuang Y, Xu J, Wang J, Mao X, Zhang Y, Liu X. Alteration in gut microbiota associated with hepatitis B and non-hepatitis virus related hepatocellular carcinoma. Gut Pathog 2019; 11: 1 [PMID: 30675188 DOI: 10.1186/s13099-018-0281-6]
- 122 Heidrich B, Vital M, Plumeier I, Döscher N, Kahl S, Kirschner J, Ziegert S, Solbach P, Lenzen H, Potthoff A, Manns MP, Wedemeyer H, Pieper DH. Intestinal microbiota in patients with chronic hepatitis C with and without cirrhosis compared with healthy controls. Liver Int 2018; 38: 50-58 [PMID: 28561276 DOI: 10.1111/Liv.13485]
- 123 Aly AM, Adel A, El-Gendy AO, Essam TM, Aziz RK. Gut microbiome alterations in patients with stage 4 hepatitis C. Gut Pathog 2016; 8: 42 [PMID: 27625705 DOI: 10.1186/s13099-016-0124-2]
- 124 European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL 2017 Clinical Practice Guidelines on the management of hepatitis B virus infection. J Hepatol 2017; 67: 370-398 [PMID: 28427875 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2017.03.021]
- Ghany MG, Morgan TR; AASLD-IDSA Hepatitis C Guidance Panel. Hepatitis C Guidance 2019 125 Update: American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases-Infectious Diseases Society of America Recommendations for Testing, Managing, and Treating Hepatitis C Virus Infection. Hepatology 2020; 71: 686-721 [PMID: 31816111 DOI: 10.1002/hep.31060]
- European Association for the Study of the Liver; Clinical Practice Guidelines Panel: Chair:; 126 EASL Governing Board representative:; Panel members:. EASL recommendations on treatment of hepatitis C: Final update of the series<sup>☆</sup>. J Hepatol 2020; 73: 1170-1218 [PMID: 32956768 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2020.08.018
- Li X, Wu S, Du Y, Yang L, Li Y, Hong B. Entecavir therapy reverses gut microbiota dysbiosis 127 induced by hepatitis B virus infection in a mouse model. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2020; 56: 106000 [PMID: 32360229 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.106000]
- 128 Lu YX, He CZ, Wang YX, Ai ZS, Liang P, Yang CQ. Effect of Entecavir on the Intestinal Microflora in Patients with Chronic Hepatitis B: A Controlled Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Real-World Study. Infect Dis Ther 2021; 10: 241-252 [PMID: 33111216 DOI: 10.1007/s40121-020-00355-w]
- 129 Pérez-Matute P, Íñiguez M, Villanueva-Millán MJ, Recio-Fernández E, Vázquez AM, Sánchez SC, Morano LE, Oteo JA. Short-term effects of direct-acting antiviral agents on inflammation and gut microbiota in hepatitis C-infected patients. Eur J Intern Med 2019; 67: 47-58 [PMID: 31221551 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejim.2019.06.005]
- Ponziani FR, Putignani L, Paroni Sterbini F, Petito V, Picca A, Del Chierico F, Reddel S, Calvani 130 R, Marzetti E, Sanguinetti M, Gasbarrini A, Pompili M. Influence of hepatitis C virus eradication with direct-acting antivirals on the gut microbiota in patients with cirrhosis. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2018; 48: 1301-1311 [PMID: 30345704 DOI: 10.1111/apt.15004]
- 131 Vojinovic D, Radjabzadeh D, Kurilshikov A, Amin N, Wijmenga C, Franke L, Ikram MA, Uitterlinden AG, Zhernakova A, Fu J, Kraaij R, van Duijn CM. Relationship between gut microbiota and circulating metabolites in population-based cohorts. Nat Commun 2019; 10: 5813 [PMID: 31862950 DOI: 10.1038/s41467-019-13721-1]
- 132 Patel V, Joharapurkar A, Kshirsagar S, Sutariya B, Patel M, Pandey D, Patel H, Ranvir R, Kadam S, Patel D, Bahekar R, Jain M. Coagonist of GLP-1 and glucagon decreases liver inflammation and atherosclerosis in dyslipidemic condition. Chem Biol Interact 2018; 282: 13-21 [PMID: 29325849 DOI: 10.1016/j.cbi.2018.01.004]
- 133 Sarkar S, Kimono D, Albadrani M, Seth RK, Busbee P, Alghetaa H, Porter DE, Scott GI, Brooks B, Nagarkatti M, Nagarkatti P, Chatterjee S. Environmental microcystin targets the microbiome and increases the risk of intestinal inflammatory pathology via NOX2 in underlying murine model of Nonalcoholic Fatty Liver Disease. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 8742 [PMID: 31217465 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-45009-1]
- 134 Vacca M, Celano G, Calabrese FM, Portincasa P, Gobbetti M, De Angelis M. The Controversial Role of Human Gut Lachnospiraceae. Microorganisms 2020; 8 [PMID: 32326636 DOI: 10.3390/microorganisms8040573
- 135 Zhang J, Song L, Wang Y, Liu C, Zhang L, Zhu S, Liu S, Duan L. Beneficial effect of butyrateproducing Lachnospiraceae on stress-induced visceral hypersensitivity in rats. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 34: 1368-1376 [PMID: 30402954 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.14536]
- 136 Wang Y, Guan M, Zhao X, Li X. Effects of garlic polysaccharide on alcoholic liver fibrosis and intestinal microflora in mice. Pharm Biol 2018; 56: 325-332 [PMID: 29969576 DOI: 10.1080/13880209.2018.1479868
- 137 Pickard JM, Zeng MY, Caruso R, Núñez G. Gut microbiota: Role in pathogen colonization, immune responses, and inflammatory disease. Immunol Rev 2017; 279: 70-89 [PMID: 28856738 DOI: 10.1111/imr.125671



- Lee G, You HJ, Bajaj JS, Joo SK, Yu J, Park S, Kang H, Park JH, Kim JH, Lee DH, Lee S, Kim W, 138 Ko G. Distinct signatures of gut microbiome and metabolites associated with significant fibrosis in non-obese NAFLD. Nat Commun 2020; 11: 4982 [PMID: 33020474 DOI: 10.1038/s41467-020-18754-5]
- 139 Deng YD, Peng XB, Zhao RR, Ma CQ, Li JN, Yao LQ. The intestinal microbial community dissimilarity in hepatitis B virus-related liver cirrhosis patients with and without at alcohol consumption. Gut Pathog 2019; 11: 58 [PMID: 31788031 DOI: 10.1186/s13099-019-0337-2]
- 140 Kang Y, Cai Y. Gut microbiota and hepatitis-B-virus-induced chronic liver disease: implications for faecal microbiota transplantation therapy. J Hosp Infect 2017; 96: 342-348 [PMID: 28545829 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhin.2017.04.007]
- Cammarota G, Ianiro G, Bibbò S, Gasbarrini A. Gut microbiota modulation: probiotics, antibiotics 141 or fecal microbiota transplantation? Intern Emerg Med 2014; 9: 365-373 [PMID: 24664520 DOI: 10.1007/s11739-014-1069-4]
- Oo KM, Lwin AA, Kyaw YY, Tun WM, Fukada K, Goshima A, Shimada T, Okada S. Safety and 142 long-term effect of the probiotic FK-23 in patients with hepatitis C virus infection. Biosci Microbiota Food Health 2016; 35: 123-128 [PMID: 27508113 DOI: 10.12938/bmfh.2015-024]
- Xia X, Chen J, Xia J, Wang B, Liu H, Yang L, Wang Y, Ling Z. Role of probiotics in the treatment 143 of minimal hepatic encephalopathy in patients with HBV-induced liver cirrhosis. J Int Med Res 2018; 46: 3596-3604 [PMID: 29806520 DOI: 10.1177/0300060518776064]





## Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

