

Citation: Osone Y, Hashimoto S, Kenzo T (2021) Verification of our empirical understanding of the physiology and ecology of two contrasting plantation species using a trait database. PLoS ONE 16(11): e0254599. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0254599

Editor: Fábio M. DaMatta, Universidade Federal de Viçosa, BRAZIL

Received: June 21, 2021

Accepted: October 12, 2021

Published: November 29, 2021

Copyright: © 2021 Osone et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: Data set published by Osone et al. 2020. Osone, Y., Hashimoto, S., Kenzo, T., Araki, M. G., Inoue, Y., Shichi, K., et al.: Plant trait database for Cryptomeria japonica and Chamaecyparis obtusa (SugiHinoki DB): Their physiology, morphology, anatomy and biochemistry, Ecol. Res. 35, 274–275, https://doi. org/10.1111/1440-1703.12062, 2020.

Funding: This study was funded as part of the project "Research on evaluation of influence of climate change on plantation in Japan" from the

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Verification of our empirical understanding of the physiology and ecology of two contrasting plantation species using a trait database

Yoko Osone¹°, Shoji Hashimoto¹°, Tanaka Kenzo^{1,2}°*

1 Forestry and Forest Products Research Institute, Tsukuba, Japan, 2 Japan International Research Center for Agricultural Sciences, Tsukuba, Japan

So These authors contributed equally to this work.

* mona@affrc.go.jp

Abstract

The effects of climate change on forest ecosystems take on increasing importance more than ever. Information on plant traits is a powerful predictor of ecosystem dynamics and functioning. We reviewed the major ecological traits, such as foliar gas exchange and nutrients, xylem morphology and drought tolerance, of Cryptomeria japonica and Chamaecyparis obtusa, which are major timber species in East Asia, especially in Japan, by using a recently developed functional trait database for both species (SugiHinokiDB). Empirically, C. obtusa has been planted under drier conditions, whereas C. japonica, which grows faster but thought to be less drought tolerant, has been planted under wetter conditions. Our analysis generally support the empirical knowledge: The maximum photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance, foliar nutrient content and soil-to-foliage hydraulic conductance were higher in C. japonica than in C. obtusa. In contrast, the foliar turgor loss point and xylem pressure corresponding to 50% conductivity, which indicate drought tolerance, were lower in C. obtusa and are consistent with the drier habitat of C. obtusa. Ontogenetic shifts were also observed; as the age and height of the trees increased, foliar nutrient concentrations, foliar minimum midday water potential and specific leaf area decreased in C. japonica, suggesting that nutrient and water limitation occurs with the growth. In C. obtusa, the ontogenetic shits of these foliar traits were less pronounced. Among the Cupressaceae worldwide, the drought tolerance of C. obtusa, as well as C. japonica, was not as high. This may be related to the fact that the Japanese archipelago has historically not been subjected to strong dryness. The maximum photosynthetic rate showed intermediate values within the family, indicating that C. japonica and C. obtusa exhibit relatively high growth rates in the Cupressaceae family, and this is thought to be the reason why they have been selected as economically suitable timber species in Japanese forestry. This study clearly demonstrated that the plant trait database provides us a promising opportunity to verify out empirical knowledge of plantation management and helps us to understand effect of climate change on plantation forests by using trait-based modelling.

Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries (awarded to YO, TK, and SH), and JSPS KAKENHI Grant (Number 21H03580, awarded to SH).

Competing interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

1. Introduction

There is an emerging scientific consensus that the global climate change is resulting in decreased stability in forest ecosystems [1, 2]. The effects of climate change on the forestry sector have been examined in general terms for many regions of the world but rarely with sufficient temporal or spatial resolution to influence regional or local forest management [3–8]. The major issues include how the ranges in which commercially important tree species are suitable for plantations will change in the future and whether climatic influence can be overridden by appropriate forest management. Answering these questions requires a basic understanding of the physiology and ecology of target tree species.

Information on plant traits, that is, any physiological, morphological or phenological features measurable at the individual level [9], is now widely used to predict how forests will respond to future climate change [10–13]. Process-based models generally use leaf-scale process, such as, photosynthetic capacity, stomatal response to vapor pressure deficit (VPD) and respiration of a plant species or a functional type for modelling C dynamics under given climate scenarios [8, 14]. There are also studies focusing on traits more directly related to drought sensitivity for predicting future hydraulic risk. For example, leaf water potential at turgor loss (Ψ tlp), which had been recognized a classical index of plant water stress, was demonstrated to be a powerful indicator of drought tolerance within and across biomes [15, 16], while hydraulic safety margins, defined as difference between minimum xylem water potential and water potential at which 50% loss of conductivity occurs (Ψ 50) is becoming widely used for a predictor of drought-induced tree mortality [17, 18]. Clearly, trait information holds promise for better understanding of the vulnerability to drought, as well as parameterizing models with increased robustness and accuracy.

With the increasing demands for trait information, trait databases are becoming key research tools in this study field [13]. One of the strengths of trait databases is that they provide a wide array of traits for a species all at once, which is generally difficult in a single study since measurements of physiological and morphological properties are time- and labour-intensive. Another advantage is that they show the variability within a species since they store data from different studies which measured plants at different ages in different locations. Many functional traits change ontogenetically as plants grow [19–25]. Understanding the ontogenetic drift of key functional traits is important for impact assessments of climate change since forest management is a long-term commitment and requires optimality of adaptation strategies at each growth stage [26, 27].

Recently, we created a trait database for Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don, *Cupressaceae*) and Japanese cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa* (Siebold et Zucc.) Endl., *Cupressaceae*) (SugiHinokiDB), which contains 24683 data for 177 plant traits compiled from diverse sources, such as papers, bulletins, reports and books [28]. *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* produce high-quality wood and have been the most important commercial tree species in Japan. They were also introduced for timber production in many regions of the world: China, Korean Peninsula, India, Nepal, Azores and Réunion [29, 30]. In Japan, these species were planted to forest sites according to empirically derived rule for species selection. *C. japonica*, which grows faster but thought to be less drought tolerant than *C. obtusa*, is traditionally planted on moist and nutrient-rich sites, whereas *C. obtusa* is planted on relatively dry and nutrient-poor sites [31–33]. Since these management practices had worked well until recently, we have paid little attention on the physiological mechanisms underlying their habitat preferences. However, without the knowledge, we cannot predict how the species respond to climate change, nor what the optimal adaptation strategies are. SugiHinokiDB, which compiled traits that are closely related

to the life history strategy [13, 34-37], with a special focus on traits related to water relations, may offer a comprehensive characterization of the growth and hydraulics of these species.

In this study, using the SugiHinoki DB, we verify the empirical knowledge that *C. japonica* grows faster but is less tolerant to drought than *C. obtusa* based on three steps:

- 1. We selected 20 traits that are central to the leading dimensions of plant strategy and quantified the differences in those traits between the two species. Our hypothesis is that *C. japonica* has relatively pioneer-like properties, i.e., a higher gas exchange rate, specific leaf area (m² g⁻¹, SLA), and xylem and foliar water conductivity, whereas *C. obtusa* shows more conservative resource use and a higher drought tolerance.
- 2. We also examined the ontogenetic changes in some foliage traits. There is still limited knowledge on age or height depending changes in foliage traits, particularly their species patterns. We demonstrated how leaf traits are changed with ontogeny (age or height) between different interspecific degrees of drought tolerance.
- 3. Finally, we compare some hydraulic properties of these species with those of Cupressaceae worldwide. Cupressaceae species are thought to differentiate along an aridity gradient and vary greatly in their drought sensitivity [38]. We discussed the adaptive strategies of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* in light of the phylogenetic lineage and potential as timber species under future climate.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Plant species

Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* (L.f.) D. Don, Sugi cedar), an evergreen conifer, is the only species of the genus *Cryptomeria* in Cupressaceae; it is distributed mainly in Japan. In Zhejiang, China, a small population of closely related species of *C. fortune* is distributed; however, these populations may have been introduced from Japan for timber species, and genetic analysis supported this assumption [39]. In Japan, its natural range is Lat. 30° to 40°N with mean annual precipitation > 1800 mm [40]. *C. japonica*, which grows rapidly with a maximum height greater than 50 m, has been used for timber production since the prehistoric period. At present, it dominates approximately 45% of the forest area in Japan. Japanese cypress (*Chamaecyparis obtusa* (Sieb. et Zucc.) Endl.), distributed in Japan and Taiwan, is also an evergreen conifer in the *Cupressaceae* family. The northern limit of its natural range (Lat. 30°-37°N) is lower in latitude than that of *C. japonica*. Due to low snow resistance, the species rarely appear coastal area of Sea of Japan, where there is plenty of snow in winter. Although *C. obtusa* grows slower than *C. japonica*, it produces high-quality wood and thus has also long been a commercially important species in Japan. *Chamaecyparis obtusa* dominates 15% of the forest area in Japan.

2.2 Plant trait database

The sugi-hinoki database (SugiHinoki DB) consists of 24683 data entries for 177 traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* from 364 primary sources such as journal papers, bulletins, reports and books including unpublished data and grey literature [28]. Since most data are obtained from published material, they go through initial quality assurance [41, 42]. The unpublished data and grey literature were checked for their Materials and Methods, and if there was no doubt about the measurement method or data, they were adopted into the database. The traits, grouped into 15 categories by their features (Table 1), are those that are widely agreed on as relevant to plant life-history strategies, vegetation modelling and global change responses

Table 1.	List of 108	major plant	traits selected	from the	SugiHinoki DB.
----------	-------------	-------------	-----------------	----------	----------------

			Cryptomeria japonica			Chamaecyparis obtusa		
Category	Trait	Unit	n	Mean	Range	n	Mean	Range
Photosynthesis	Maximum photosynthesis per foliage area (Amaxan, Amaxas)	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	216	6.7	0.3–19.9	215	5.3	0.4–11.3
	Maximum photosynthesis rate per foliage dry mass	µmol kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	844	30.9	0.7-120.5	141	29.5	0.2-84.5
	Maximum rate of electron transport per foliage area (Jmaxa)	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	66	135.4	46.0-260.6	18	76.4	49.4-95.6
	Maximum rate of electron transport per foliage dry mass	μmol kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	62	447.7	119.9–911.9	0		
	Maximum rate of carboxylation per foliage area (Vcmaxa)	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	228	59.2	20.3-139.1	34	31.1	14.7-51.1
	Maximum rate of carboxylation per foliage dry mass	µmol kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	62	228.9	50.4-493.6	0	_	
	Initial slope of light response curve	molCO ₂ mol[e] ⁻¹	48	0.030	0.016-0.074	33	0.050	0.020-081
	Convexity of light response curve	NA	45	0.60	0.04-0.98	30	0.66	0.09-0.93
	Light compensation point of photosynthesis	μ mol[e] m ⁻² s ⁻¹	7	53.1	32.4-87.5	17	26.3	11.0-37.7
	Mass content of chlorophyll per foliage dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	243	2.68	0.66-7.48	0		
	Ratio of chlorophyll a to chlorophyll b.	NA	45	2.43	1.59-3.69	42	2.61	2.34-2.99
	Mass content of Rubisco per foliage dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	3	19.5	12.4-26.6	0	-	
Respiration	Leaf dark respiration rate per area	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	128	0.76	0.07-2.76	223	0.65	0.02-3.10
	Leaf dark respiration rate per foliage dry mass	µmol kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	155	4.40	0.44-24.00	3	12.82	5.46-17.0
	Day respiration rate per foliage area	µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	39	5.45	1.50-14.27	0	_	
	Branch dark respiration rate per branch volume	μmol m ⁻³ s ⁻¹	46	378	56-2051	26	274	21-1405
	Stem dark respiration rate per stem surface area	μ mol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	207	3.67	0.22-12.46	89	1.55	0.24-4.44
	Root dark respiration rate per dry mass	µmol kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	26	4.57	2.52-7.32	23	6.10	2.80-9.75
	Fineroot dark respiration rate per dry mass	µmol kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	0	_		107	8.51	3.80-16.0
	Q10 measured for foliage	NA	0			30	2.43	1.87-3.65
	Q10 measured for stem	NA	18	1.93	1.45-2.81	0	_	
Water relation	Stomatal conductance for CO2 per foliage area (gsan)	$mol m^{-2} s^{-1}$	59	0.10	0.01-0.93	40	0.08	0.001-0.52
	Stomatal conductance for CO2 per foliage dry mass	mol kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	139	0.43	0.03-2.00	24	0.43	0.22-0.65
	Transpiration rate per foliage area (Ean)	mmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹	76	1.08	0.03-3.30	95	2.77	0.11-17.0
	Transpiration rate per foliage dry mass	mmol kg ⁻¹ s ⁻¹	395	12.1	0.10-102.1	207	6.19	0.01-41.7
	Sap flow density/velocity measured by Granier method.	cm ³ m ⁻² s ⁻¹	88	17.3	0.48-40.6	19	17.9	6.1-46.1
	Sap frow density averaged for a day	$cm^3 m^{-2} s^{-1}$	29	8.4	3.0-20.0	24	11.4	4.0-19.4
	Sap flow (or transpiration) per a tree per a day	kg d⁻¹	112	9.4	0.7-22.9	176	12.6	1.1-67.2
	Soil to leaf hydraulic conductance per foliage area (KS-L)	$\operatorname{mmol} \operatorname{m}_{1}^{-2} \operatorname{s}^{-1} \operatorname{Mpa}_{1}^{-1}$	37	0.6	0.2-1.3	9	1.1	0.3–1.7
	Soil to leaf hydlauric resistance per foliage mass	Mpa kg s mmol ⁻¹	64	1.2	0.1-5.8	60	1.2	0.0-10.1
	Stem (sapwood) specific conductivity (Kstem)	kg m ⁻¹ s ⁻¹ MPa ⁻¹	18	1.0	0.4-2.2	9	1.3	0.3-2.3
	Predawn foliage water potential (Ψpd)	MPa	51	-0.45	-2.140.02	132	-0.63	-2.280.01
	Midday foliage water potential (Ψmd)	MPa	370	-1.03	-2.300.05	189	-1.29	-2.490.28
	Osmotic potential at water saturation ($\Psi\pi$ sat)	MPa	258	-1.60	-2.700.70	93	-1.27	-2.380.11
	Leaf water potential at turgor loss point (¥tlp)	MPa	262	-2.31	-3.551.31	161	-2.33	-4.090.91
	Water content at turgor loss point (RWCtlp)	g g ⁻¹	101	0.76	0.65-0.86	93	0.68	0.53-0.81
	Bulk elastic modulus (E)	MPa	101	7.4	1.7–15.1	53	4.4	0.6-10.7
	Branch water potential at 50% conductivity loss (Ψ 50)	MPa	2	-4.8	-5.24.4	2	-6.7	-7.65.8
	Root water potential at 50% conductivity loss	MPa	1	-4.1	-4.14.1	2	-3.1	-4.32.0
	Water use efficiency	mg g ⁻¹	8	4.9	3.6-5.4	8	5.2	4.2-6.6
	13C:12C ratio in leaves	%0	74	-27.4	-30.124.7	44	-26.8	-28.524.6
Leaf morphology	Specific leafe area (SLA)	$m^2 kg^{-1}$	379	4.9	1.5-16.9	244	5.8	3.2-15.7
	Shoot silhouette area to projected needle area ratio (SPAR)	NA	39	0.6	0.5-0.7	0	_	
Root morphology	Specific root length	m g ⁻¹	132	13.3	0.2-48.4	196	14.8	0.3-46.2
	Specific root surface area	cm ² mg ⁻¹	44	0.16	0.03-0.37	3	0.19	0.03-0.43

(Continued)

Table 1. (Continued)

			Cr	Cryptomeria japonica			amaecy	yparis obtusa	
Category	Trait	Unit	n	Mean	Range	n	Mean	Range	
Anatomy	Number of stomata per foliage area	mm ⁻²	173	127.9	11.9–19.9	7	635.3	500-830	
	Width of stomata	μm	3	65.8	31.2-342.0	2	20.7	19.9-21.5	
	Length of stomata	μm	21	47.9	28.0-85.3	2	28.2	27.4-29.0	
	Cross sectional area of foliage xylem	μm ²	43	1639	1048-111.9	33	434	213-1104	
	Cross sectional area of foliage transfusion cell	μm ²	46	9369	3522-16917	34	367	43-1082	
	Tracheid length of early wood	mm	8	2.4	1.3-3.0	99	2.3	0.9-3.6	
	Tracheid length of late wood	mm	495	2.4	0.8-4.5	7	2.8	1.8-3.8	
	Tracheid diameter (tangent) of early wood	μm	47	28.2	21.6-37.0	3	24.0	21.0-26.0	
	Tracheid diameter (radial) of early wood	μm	56	35.2	24.9-51.6	3	27.0	24.0-29.0	
	Tracheid diameter (tangent) of late wood	μm	32	25.5	19.8-30.1	3	19.0	16.0-22.0	
	Tracheid diameter (radial) of late wood	μm	56	15.0	9.0-24.9	3	14.7	13.0-16.0	
Wood density	Basic density of stem	g cm ³	640	0.35	0.13-0.59	44	0.41	0.38-0.50	
	Basic density of branch	g cm ³	31	0.32	0.06-0.65	0	_		
	Basic density of root	g cm ³	4	0.42	0.39-0.45	4	0.46	0.40-0.50	
	Basic density of fine root	g cm ³	44	0.28	0.17-0.33	105	0.29	0.17-0.80	
Resource use	Nitrogen resorption efficiency of leaves	%	3	23.1	5.5-49.1	19	39.4	23.4-56.4	
	N content of foliage litter fall	mg g ⁻¹	6	7.8	6.4-8.3	52	7.8	4.3-11.3	
	15N:14N ratio in leaves	‰	4	2.0	-4.9-9.0	4	2.3	-6.4-10.3	
	Leaf longevity	year	24	4.7	2.9-10.4	47	4.6	1.9-8.3	
	Fineroot longevity	year	1	4.1	4.1-4.1	7	2.3	1.3-5.3	
Nitrogen content	Nitrogen content per foliage area (Na, Ns)	g m ⁻²	381	3.91	0.97-12.6	112	2.54	0.77-4.11	
	Nitrogen content per foliage dry mass (Nm)	mg g ⁻¹	2116	13.8	4.50-44.3	759	14.1	6.74-32.4	
	Nitrogen content per stem dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	163	5.03	0.30-16.2	32	8.08	0.40-15.5	
	Nitrogen content per root dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	152	7.84	0.50-27.5	41	11.9	4.90-18.6	
	Nitrogen content per thick root (>20mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	1.64	0.30-3.20	4	1.23	0.20-2.40	
	Nitrogen content per thin root (<20mm, >2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	3.40	0.60-5.10	4	3.60	3.00-4.30	
	Nitrogen content per fine root (<2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	63	9.78	2.90-18.2	107	10.4	0.92-17.0	
Phosphorous content	Phosphorus content per foliage dry mass (Pm)	mg g ⁻¹	692	1.52	0.51-8.90	357	1.58	0.40-8.10	
	Phosphorus content per stem dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	163	0.51	0.04-3.52	32	0.47	0.08-1.10	
	Phosphorus content per root dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	145	0.85	0.13-7.28	34	1.22	0.57-2.79	
	Phosphorus content of thick root (>20mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	0.32	0.13-0.70	4	0.39	0.17-0.65	
	Phosphorus content of thin root (<20mm, >2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	0.37	0.17 - 0.74	4	0.26	0.17-0.49	
	Phosphorus content of fine root (<2mm) dry mass	$mg g^{-1}$	26	0.55	0.26-1.35	4	0.60	0.31-0.79	
Pottasium content	Pottasium content per foliage mass (Km)	mg g ⁻¹	722	8.10	1.77-37.2	354	7.96	2.31-36.4	
	Pottasium content per stem dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	163	3.05	0.17-12.2	32	4.15	0.58-7.05	
	Pottasium content per root dry mass	mg g⁻¹	157	3.71	0.17-16.8	34	4.09	1.41-14.8	
	Pottasium content per thick root (>20mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	1.22	0.66-2.49	4	1.02	0.83-1.16	
	Pottasium content per thin root (<20mm, >2mm) dry mass	mg g ⁻¹	28	1.87	1.08-2.57	4	1.49	1.41-1.74	
	Pottasium content per fine root (<2mm) dry mass	mg g⁻¹	26	2.20	1.08-4.31	4	2.05	1.33-2.82	
Chemical composition	Lignin content of foliage	%	3	25.9	23.6-28.3	4	24.4	23.6-25.6	
	Lignin content of foliage litter fall	%	2	34.8	30.2-39.4	1	27.3	27.3-27.3	
	Lignin content of deadwood	%	69	33.5	30.2-40.6	67	31.3	28.4-38.1	
	Holocellulose content of foliage	%	3	48.1	47.4-48.9	4	51.6	50.7-53.9	
	Holocellulose content of foliage litter fall	%	2	46.5	45.5-47.5	1	42.4	42.4-42.4	
	Holocellulose content of deadwood	%	69	63.5	55.0-68.1	67	65.6	57.7-69.0	

(Continued)

			Ci	ryptome	ria japonica	Chamaecyparis obtusa		
Category	Trait	Unit	n	Mean	Range	n	Mean	Range
	Nitrogen content of deadwood	g kg ⁻¹	69	0.87	0.22-4.46	67	0.55	0.10-2.12
	Carbon content of deadwood	g kg ⁻¹	69	514	483-537	67	522	498-547
Biomass	Leaf biomass (LM)	kg	150	4.1	0.001-57.1	77	4.82	0.090-22.2
	Stem+branch biomass	kg	150	30.3	0.00003- 477.2	77	43.3	1.65-208.4
	Branch biomass	kg	72	6.15	0.0003-39.0	77	4.79	0.111-23.2
	Root biomass (RM)	kg	195	7.15	0.00004– 146.4	100	9.48	0.00002- 67.8
	Thick root biomass (>20mm)	kg	29	25.3	0.83-138.9	16	15.9	2.18-59.5
	Thin root biomass (<20mm, >2mm)	kg	29	3.26	0.46-6.00	16	3.47	1.15-6.79
	Fine root biomass (<2mm)	kg	39	0.46	0.0008 - 1.54	16	0.68	0.20-1.47
Allocation	Annual allocation of net primary production to foliage	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	94	4.78	1.70-7.70	22	3.77	2.80-5.35
	Annual allocation of net primary production to stem + branch	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	94	9.60	2.10-28.6	22	9.85	6.40-13.6
	Annual allocation of net primary production to branch	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	80	1.82	0.36-5.10	21	2.03	0.60-2.80
	Annual allocation of net primary production to root	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	94	2.68	0.53-7.38	22	2.84	1.70-3.90
	Annual foliage litterfall	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	46	3.61	0.93-6.64	130	3.16	0.83-5.96
	Annual branch + bark litterfall	t ha ⁻¹ y ⁻¹	26	0.83	0.09-3.70	83	0.69	0.02-7.04
Stand characteristics	Leaf area index (LAI)	NA	16	0.20	2.07-17.2	62	7.00	2.03-12.4
	LAI-based light absorption coefficient (k)	NA	6	0.85	0.26-0.46	1	0.97	0.97-0.97
	Leaf mass-based Light absorption coefficient	NA	2	0.26	0.25-0.27	2	0.25	0.24-0.27

Table 1. (Continued)

Data number, means and ranges were calculated before outliers were removed for the following analyses.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254599.t001

[34, 36, 37]. Because of the limited distribution of the species, data were mainly obtained from forest sites in Japan but also from arboretums or plantations in Taiwan, Korea and China. The database includes data from plants grown in plantation forests, natural forests, and those grown under experimental conditions. Each data entry is accompanied by ancillary information about the location, environmental conditions, experimental treatment, measurement methods, status of measured individuals in the stand and the position of measured parts (e.g., upper or lower crown for photosynthetic measurements). Further details on the database are given in [28].

Table 1 lists 108 major plant traits selected from the SugiHinoki DB. The traits with the highest number of data entries are mass-based nutrient contents such as mass-based foliar N concentration (N_m, 2875), K concentration (K_m, 1076) and P concentration (P_m, 1049), which had once been easy-to-measure indices of plant physiological status. Other basic foliar properties, including photosynthetic capacities (Amax_a, 431; Amax_m, 985), SLA (623), foliar pressure-volume curve parameters (Ψ_{tlp} , 423; RWC_{tlp} 194; $\Psi_{\pi sat}$, 351; \mathcal{E} , 154) and midday (minimum) foliage water potential (Ψ_{md} , 559), are also those with the highest number of data entries. On the other hand, fewer data are available for properties that require more complex measurements, such as water conductance/conductivity and embolism resistance. *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* showed similar patterns in data abundance among traits, but most traits of *C. japonica* had more data than *C. obtusa* (81 out of 108 traits). Each trait of a species showed quite large variation in the values since the database contains data for trees of different ages, grown under different conditions and measured at different times of the day or year. The data distribution of each trait showed a convex curve when data were abundant, but distributions were mostly positively skewed.

2.3 Selection of traits for the comparison of C. japonica and C. obtusa

We selected 20 traits from SugiHinokiDB to detect the ecophysiological basis for the empirical knowledge that C. japonica grows faster on nutrient-rich moist soil than C. obtusa. The selected traits are those that reflect ecological characteristics and adaptability to the environment [43] and that had enough sample size to identify species differences, except for several traits on drought tolerance. Those include the maximum photosynthetic rate per area (Amax_a), maximum carboxylation rate per area (Vcmax_a), maximum electron transport rate per area ($Jmax_a$), foliar dark respiration rate per area (R_a), stomatal conductance for CO₂ per area (gs_a), foliar N per area (N_a), SLA, foliar water potential at the turgor loss point (Ψ_{tlp}), foliar relative water content at the turgor loss point (RWC_{tlp}), foliar osmotic potential at full turgor ($\Psi_{\pi sat}$), bulk elastic modulus (ε), soil-to-foliage water conductance (K_{S-L}), stem specific conductivity (Kstem), tracheid diameter of the stem, tracheid length of the stem, basic density of the stem, xylem water potential at a 50% loss of conductivity (Ψ_{50}), foliage mass (LM), stem mass (SM) and root mass (RM). Abbreviations and units of the traits used in the analyses are shown in Table 1. SugiHinokiDB also reserves information on relationships between traits shown in original sources. For some traits, especially those related to water use, we investigate the trait interaction to gain more insight in their adaptive strategy [44].

2.4 Selection of traits for age or height dependency

For the analysis of age or height dependency, we used the foliage nitrogen content per foliage dry mass (N_m) , foliage phosphorus content per foliage dry mass (P_m) , foliage potassium content per foliage dry mass (K_m) , specific leaf area (SLA) and midday foliage water potential (Ψ_{md}) . These are key traits for plant growth and water relations, and changes in these traits with age or size could have considerable effects on stand growth, carbon and nutrient cycling, and thus forest management. In SugiHinoki DB, they are abundant in data entries from many sources where measurements were performed for many trees of different ages or sizes. However, since the data were not obtained from a single carefully controlled experiment but were the compilation of multiple studies, we could not separate size and age effects that may independently affect foliage traits.

2.5 Two measures of foliage-area based traits

Shoots of *C. japonica* have complex structures, with needles being attached densely and helicoidally to a stalk, while shoots of *C. obtusa* are planar with scale-like leaves arranged on a flat surface (Fig 1). As a result, in *C. japonica*, there are large differences in projected needle area and the shoot silhouette area, and thus the area-based foliar traits differ largely depending on whether the 'foliage area' is projected needle (or scale) area (A_n) or the shoot silhouette area (A_s). The relationship between the trait values presented on a needle area basis (T_{leaf}/A_n) and shoot silhouette area basis (T_{leaf}/A_s) for any trait (T_{leaf}) is given as

$$\frac{\overline{I}_{leaf}}{A_n} = SPAR \frac{\overline{I}_{leaf}}{A_s},$$
 (1)

where SPAR is the shoot silhouette and projected needle area ratio [45, 46] and is given as

$$SPAR = \frac{A_s}{A_n},\tag{2}$$



Fig 1. Photographs of *Cryptomeria japonica* (top) and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* (bottom). The right side is an example of the foliar projected area. Note that the projected area is underestimated in *C. japonica* because the foliage of *C. japonica* has a complex three-dimensional structure.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254599.g001

The SPAR is less than 1 in conifers with complex shoot structures and is 1 in broad-leaf species and conifers with planar shoots, such as *C. obtusa*. A smaller SPAR means higher needle clumping or higher mutual shading in a shoot. SPAR can vary among species and the crown position of a tree [46–48]. However, [49] found that the relationships between needle area and shoot projected area were almost consistent among shoots of different ages and different sizes among 4 cultivars of *C. japonica*, with a slope (= SPAR) of 0.63.

Similarly, the relationship between needle area-based SLA (An/ M_n) and shoot silhouette area-based SLA (As/ M_s) is

$$\frac{A_n}{M_n} = \frac{A_s}{M_s} \frac{1}{SPAR} \frac{M_s}{M_n}$$
(3)

where M_n and M_s are the needle mass of a shoot and the mass of a shoot, respectively. In Sugi-HinokiDB, these two values were stored individually. In this analysis, we focused on both needle/scale area-based (presented with suffix 'n' after trait abbreviation such as 'Amax_{an}') and shoot silhouette area-based traits (presented with suffix 's' after trait abbreviation such as 'Amax_{as}') since the two could have different ecological meanings, especially in terms of light capture [45, 47, 48, 50, 51].

2.6 Data filtering

For all selected data, errors were checked, and outliers, which we defined as data out of accepted ranges, were excluded. An accepted range was calculated by the method of interquartile range (IQR) for log-transformed data as [36, 52]:

 $Q1 - 1.5 \times IQR < accepted range < Q3 + 1.5 \times IQR$

where Q1 is the first and Q3 is the third quartile of the data, and IQR is given by: IQR = Q3-Q1.

The number of data excluded as outliers accounts for 3.4% of the whole data of the database. We then excluded data collected under experimental conditions (plants grown in a greenhouse, growth chamber and lysimeter; plants grown in planters; plantation forests with experimental fertilization, etc.), which resulted in the exclusion of most young plants (0–2 years). For ecophysiological traits (e.g. photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, transpiration, and midday water potential), which fluctuate largely with internal and external factors, we selected data measured under the condition with which maximum rates are achieved. In other words, we used data obtained from current and one-year-old foliage with sun-lit during growing season (Jun-Oct). Afternoon data were also excluded to avoid the effect of the midday depression on gas exchange by stomatal limitation, except for midday water potential.

2.7 Statistical analysis

Differences between species were tested by Student's t-test. For foliage traits, where we used two measures (needle- or shoot-base) for *C. japonica* and one measure (scale-base) for *C. obtusa*, differences were tested between species, i.e., each of the two measures for *C. japonica* was compared with the scale-traits for *C. obtusa*. The relationship between organ biomass and DBH/total biomass were assessed by regression analysis and the differences in regressions between species were tested by analysis of covariance. The age and height dependency of the foliar traits were also assessed by regression analysis. Species difference in the seasonal changes in PV parameters were tested by ANOVA. All statistical analyses were performed with R Version 3.4.4 [53].

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Differences in traits related to growth rate

3.1.1 Photosynthesis. In contrast to our hypothesis that photosynthetic capacity and foliar (needle or scale) N concentration are higher in fast-growing *C. japonica* than in slow-growing *C. obtusa*, they were not significantly different between the species when presented on a foliage area basis (Amax_{an}, Vcmax_{an}, Jmax_{an}, N_{an}) (Fig 2, compare "needle" of *C. japonica*



Fig 2. Photosynthetic properties of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa*. In *C. japonica*, each trait is presented on a shoot silhouette area basis and projected needle area basis. Each shoot or needle-based trait for *C. japonica* was compared with the scale traits for *C. obtusa* by *t*-test; ***, P < 0.001; ns, not significant.

and "scale" of *C. obtusa*). However, since the two species have completely different shoot morphologies (Fig 1), a simple comparison of these foliage area-based measurements may fail to characterize their photosynthetic properties [54]. Photosynthetic capacity is usually presented per unit foliage area on the assumption that the foliage area represents the amount of solar radiation intercepted. However, the interception of solar radiation is not directly related to the total foliage area unless foliage is oriented horizontally. In *C. japonica*, where needles are attached helicoidally to a stalk, mutual shading could occur within the shoots, which reduces the photosynthetic rate per needle area [45, 48, 50]. In such shoots, as in many other coniferous shoots, light interception is determined by the shoot silhouette area rather than the total needle area, and photosynthetic characteristics should be evaluated based on both photosynthesis per needle area and per shoot silhouette area [50].

On a shoot silhouette basis, the photosynthetic capacity (Amax_{as}, Vcmax_{as}, Jmax_{as}) and foliar N contents (N_{as}) were higher for *C. japonica* than for *C. obtusa* (Amax_{an}, Vcmax_{an}, Jmax_{an}, N_{an}), by 1.9–2.1 times (Fig 2, compare "shoot" for *C. japonica* and "scale" for *C. obtusa*). The marked increases in the photosynthetic capacity of *C. japonica* when presented based on the shoot silhouette area are explained by Eq 1. Amax_{as} is Amax_{an}, divided by SPAR (Eq 1). Since SPAR is 0.53–0.73 in *C. japonica* (SugiHinoki DB), Amax_{as} could be 1.4–1.9 times higher than Amax_{an}. Note that the two measures of photosynthesis are consistent in *C. obtusa* despite the similar Amax_{an} suggests that under saturating irradiance, densely packed needles on the shoots of *C. japonica* can absorb more irradiance than the planar shoots of *C. obtusa* and thereby achieve a higher photosynthetic rate per shoot. However, this could be at the expense of the photosynthetic efficiency of each needle in *C. japonica*; that is, at low irradiance, the photosynthetic rate per needle area could be decreased more than that of *C. obtusa* due to mutual shading of needles.

This is analogous to the effects that the different anatomy of sun/shade leaves has on foliar photosynthesis or the different structure of grass stands (steep foliage angle)/forb type (vertical foliage) on canopy photosynthesis [55, 56]. Similar to sun leaves with thick tissue layers and grass stands with high LAI, needle clumping would be favourable only if incident light is high and penetrates deep into the shoots. Under these conditions, whole shoot productivity could be higher than that of planar shoots where shoot photosynthesis saturates at lower light levels. If the incident light is low, however, light attenuates on the upper layers of the shoots without being transmitted to deeper layers. Under these circumstances, planar shoots are preferable for higher efficiency of weak light capture just as shade leaves or forb-type stands are preferred under low light availability. The differences in the light interception between the two shoot types were also reflected in photosynthetic light response curves. C. japonica had a lower initial slope (0.031) and convexity (0.59) than C. obtusa (initial slope, 0.048; convexity, 0.66) since photosynthesis increases and saturates at a slower rate with increasing irradiance in threedimensional shoots (data from SugiHinokiDB). This is a well-documented pattern in the photosynthetic light response curves of sun/shade leaves and indicates that C. obtusa has characteristics of shade leaves in comparison with C. japonica [43]. Although our hypothesis that photosynthetic capacity would be higher in C. japonica than in C. obtusa was not supported on a per needle basis, their adaptation to different light environments is more apparent in their light use and photosynthesis at the shoot level.

The two shoot types may also differ in total light interception per day. Light interception is the most efficient when leaves are oriented to face the direction of the light source [43]. Therefore, planar shoots (*C. obtusa*) can intercept light more efficiently than shoots that have needles with various orientations (*C. japonica*) when the light source is just above them and is weak. However, since the solar azimuth angle changes considerably during a day, shoots that have needles with various orientations may be able to intercept more light and have higher assimilation rate on a daily basis than planar shoots, especially under strong light conditions [45, 50]. These results also imply that *C. japonica* is advantageous over *C. obtusa* in open habitats where strong light is available for longer times during a day. Such environments do appear in early stages of plantations before canopy closure. Young *C. japonica* saplings showed almost twice higher diameter growth rate than *C. obtusa* under open conditions, whereas only a 10% higher growth rate in *C. japonica* may be able to grow faster than *C. obtusa* due to higher daily photosynthesis in this stage of a plantation.

3.1.2 SLA. SLA (projected foliage area per foliage mass) was not significantly different between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* (Fig 3A). This contradicts our hypothesis and the vast



Fig 3. Specific leaf area and leaf longevity of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa*. Differences between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* were tested by a t-test; ns, not significant.

majority of studies that have reported a correlation between SLA and the relative growth rate across a wide range of plant species [58–64]. From the viewpoint of growth analysis, SLA contributes to the relative growth rate because a high SLA is assumed to represent a large photosynthetic surface (= area of light interception) per given foliage biomass [43, 65]. However, as discussed above, the relationship between needle area and light interception is not straightforward for three-dimensional shoots, and it is possible that *C. japonica*, with shoots of various needle orientations, has a higher daily assimilation rate than *C. obtusa* with planar shoots in certain environments. On the other hand, the consistent SLA of the two species appears reasonable from the viewpoint of the leaf economic spectrum, a multivariable correlation between key chemical, structural and physiological properties of leaves based on the carbon and nitrogen economy (e.g., [66]). One of the key axes of the leaf economic spectrum is that SLA is positively correlated with foliage longevity [67]. If so, the two species with a similar photosynthetic capacity (Fig 2A–2C, needle basis), foliage N concentration (Fig 2D, needle basis) and foliage longevity (Fig 3B), should also be similar in SLA.

3.1.3 Biomass ratio between foliage and other organs. The biomass ratio between organs is also a factor that affects the growth rate because a relatively high foliar mass equates to a relatively large photosynthetic organ if other factors are equal [43, 65]. At a given DBH or total biomass, the stem and root biomasses were not significantly different between the species (P > 0.05, ANCOVA, Fig 4), except a slight difference in intercept was detected between stem and total biomass (P < 0.05, ANCOVA, Fig 4). In contrast, foliar biomass was significantly higher in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* (P < 0.0001, ANCOVA, Fig 4). This tendency was more pronounced at smaller DBHs or total biomass, suggesting that the initial greater foliar mass ratio (LMR) could contribute to faster growth at early stages of growth in *C. japonica*. There could be several reasons for the higher LMR in *C. japonica*. Since plants grown under high soil resource availability allocate more biomass to foliage at the expense of roots [68, and references therein]. *C. japonica*, which is often planted in fertile wet sites, might allocate more biomass to foliage than *C. obtusa*, which is planted in less fertile and dry sites. Another possible reason is related to the shoot and crown form of *C. japonica*. Generally, the leaf area index





(\approx leaf biomass) is larger in canopies where the light absorption coefficient (*k*) of Lambert–Beer law is smaller because light penetrates deeper into the canopy [55]. One of the factors that decreases *k* is a steep foliage inclination [56, and referenes therein], and *C. japonica*, which has three-dimensional shoots, had a lower *k* (0.38) than *C. obtusa* with planar shoots (0.97, but only one data entry was available, Table 1). Therefore, the shoot morphology that allows light penetration deeper into the crown may cause *C. japonica* to have a thick canopy (= high LMR).

3.2 Differences in traits related to water use

3.2.1 Stomatal conductance and transpiration rate. *C. japonica* exhibited 1.7- and 1.5-fold higher stomatal conductance to CO_2 (gs_{an}) and transpiration rate (E_{an}), respectively, compared with *C. obtusa* (Fig 5A and 5B). In concert with this, stomatal distribution and anatomy differed largely between the species. Coniferous stomata are generally distributed unevenly on foliar surfaces, forming a species-specific pattern of stomatal clusters called a "white band". *C. japonica* has two thick white bands on every surface of the triangular pyramid-shaped needles, whereas *C. obtusa* has y-shaped white bands along the rims only on the abaxial surface of its scales [70–72]. In addition to this larger proportional area of white bands to the total foliage surface, the stomatal diameter of *C. japonica* was 1.5 times higher than that of *C. obtusa* (Fig 5C).

Despite the lower gs_{an} and E_{an} in *C. obtusa*, Amax_{an} was not significantly different between the species (Fig 2A), suggesting that water use efficiency, that is, gs_{an} or E_{an} divided by Amax_{an}, is higher in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica*. The lower gs_{an} and E_{an} and higher water use efficiency are regarded as adaptations of drought [73], which supports the hypothesis that *C. obtusa* is more drought tolerant than *C. japonica*. However, differences in drought resistance is represented not only by maximum gas exchange rates but also by the magnitude and speed of the stomatal response to changing environments [74, 75]. Although stomatal sensitivity are less often measured than potential gas exchange rates and they are not collected in SugiHinokiDB, some studies that estimated canopy stomatal conductance by sap flow measurements suggested that mature *C. japonica* trees were less sensitive to increasing VPD than *C. obtusa* [76, 77]. Similar less sensitivity of stomatal response of mature *C. japonica* trees has recently been reported under artificial soil drying experiments [78].

3.2.2 Foliage PV curve parameters. Among the parameters of the pressure-volume curve, Ψ_{tlp} (foliage water potential at the turgor loss point) showed the clearest species difference: Ψ_{tlp} was larger in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica* throughout the year (Fig 6A, Table 2). Since plants with more negative Ψ_{tlp} are able to maintain cell turgor pressure under drought stress, thereby sustaining stomatal conductance, photosynthesis and growth, Ψ_{tlp} is thought to be predictive of the drought tolerance of plant species [79–84]. Indeed, midday foliage water





https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254599.g005



Fig 6. Seasonal changes in pressure volume parameters and midday leaf water potential. The effects of the independent variables (species, sampling month, and their interaction as fixed effects) on the dependent variables (each pressure-volume parameter) were evaluated using a linear mixed model [69]. Type III tests were performed for fixed effects (Wald-type test). Significant interspecific differences were on Ψ tlp and Ψ md (P < 0.0001, Type III test, Table 2). Effect of the month was also significant for all tested pressure-volume parameters (P < 0.0001, Type III test, Table 2). Asterisk in the figure indicates significant differences between species in each month (ANOVA, *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ns, not significant). The bars indicate standard division.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254599.g006

		Ψtlp			Ψπsat			Ψmid		
	d.f.	F	P-value	d.f.	F	P-value	d.f.	F	P-value	
Species	288	88.8	< 0.0001	221	0.1	ns	282	19.4	<0.0001	
Month	288	51.4	< 0.0001	221	15.4	< 0.0001	282	11.9	<0.0001	
Species × Month	288	4.9	<0.0001	221	2.4	<0.01	282	0.3	ns	

ns means not significant (P>0.05).

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254599.t002

potential (Ψ_{md}) was significantly lower in *C. obtusa* than in *C. japonica* during summer (Jul to Sep, Fig 6C, Table 2) indicating that *C. obtusa* continued to open stomata until the water potential more decreased than that of *C. japonica*. This supported the hypothesis that *C. obtusa* is more drought tolerant than *C. japonica*. There are three possible ways in which Ψ tlp becomes more negative: the accumulation of solutes such as sugar (decreases $\Psi\pi$ sat), a reduction in the symplastic water content through the redistribution of more water outside the cell walls (decreases $\Psi\pi$ sat) and an increase in cell wall flexibility (decreases E) [15]. $\Psi\pi$ sat was similar between *C. obtusa* and *C. japonica* throughout the year (Fig 6B, Table 2). Unfortunately, E-values were limited in the database, and we could not make reliable comparisons of monthly E between the species. Nevertheless, the small difference in $\Psi\pi$ sat suggests that the lower Ψ tlp of *C. obtusa* might be due to its presumed smaller E. Species differences in Ψ tlp are more often correlated with $\Psi\pi$ sat than with E since a unit decrease in $\Psi\pi$ sat causes a larger decrease in Ψ tlp than in E [15, 75, 85, 86]. However, as was shown in the present study, there are also studies that showed a correlation between Ψ tlp and E [87].

The other marked difference in the PV curve parameters was that *C. japonica* had higher & in winter (Nov-Apr) compared to summer (May-Oct), whereas C. obtusa showed no seasonal changes in E (Fig 7A and 7B). Winter increases in E were also reported for *Eucalyptus* species [88], Taiwan cedar [89] and Patagonian woody shrubs [90, 91], which are thought to reduce physical injury to cell membranes by making cell walls more rigid (higher \mathcal{E}) during extracellular freezing and/or thawing processes. However, if increases in E occur in response to freezing resistance, it is not clear why only C. japonica exhibited this response while both species exhibited a winter decrease in $\Psi \pi \text{sat}$ (Fig 7A and 7B), which is also a well-known response to freezing [92, 93]. Considering that C. japonica is more water demanding, another explanation may be possible for the winter increase in \mathcal{E} —the 'cell water conservation hypothesis' [15, 94]. Theoretically, reductions in $\Psi \pi$ sat decrease both Ψ tlp and RWCtlp. However, a coordinated reduction in $\Psi\pi$ sat and an increase in \mathcal{E} would lower Ψ tlp while maintaining a constant RWCtlp, which would result in tolerance for freezing and also prevent dangerous cell dehydration and shrinkage. In C. japonica, \mathcal{E} was negatively correlated with $\Psi \pi \text{sat}$ (P < 0.001, Fig 7A), and in accordance with the theory, RWCtlp remained constant irrespective of $\Psi \pi \text{sat}$ (Fig 7C). In contrast, in *C. obtusa*, where reductions in $\Psi \pi$ sat did not accompany increases in \mathcal{E} (P > 0.05, Fig 7B), RWCtlp decreased with reductions in $\Psi \pi \text{sat}$ (P < 0.001, Fig 7D). Plant species have a minimum cellular water content to maintain metabolic functions [95]. Since C. *japonica* has a higher minimum tissue water requirement for survival than C. obtusa [96], it may adjust \mathcal{E} in coordination with $\Psi\pi$ sat to constantly maintain RWCtlp above this minimum tissue water content.

3.2.3 Hydraulic architecture. Soil-to-foliage hydraulic conductance (K_{S-L}), an index of whole-plant hydraulic efficiency, was 1.6 times higher in seedlings of *C. japonica* than in seedlings of *C. obtusa* (Fig 8A). Hydraulic conductance is a major determinant of plant water status and stomatal behaviour [97, 98] because of the relationship $E_{an} = K_{S-L}$ (Ψ_{pd} - Ψ_L), where Ψ_{pd} is the foliage water potential measured at predawn, which represents the soil water potential, and Ψ_L is the foliage water potential. In accordance with this relationship, *C. japonica*, with a higher K_{S-L} , had a higher transpiration rate than *C. obtusa* (Fig 5B), maintaining a higher midday foliage water potential (Fig 6C). Extensive measurements of K_{S-L} across species have revealed the adaptive significance of hydraulic conductance across functional groups; that is, pioneer, mesic and drought-tolerant species [98–102] The higher K_{S-L} in *C. japonica* than in *C. obtusa* appears in line with this. However, since K_{S-L} depends on the water transport distance, here, we used only seedling data where comparisons at similar sizes (ca. 1 m in height) were possible and are not sure whether this is also true for adult trees.



Fig 7. Relationship between pressure-volume parameters. Data measured from May-Oct and Nov-Apr were pooled. Filled triangle, *C. japonica* in May-Oct; Filled circle, *C. japonica* in Nov-Apr; Open triangle, *C. obtusa* in May-Oct; Open circle, *C. obtusa* in Nov-Apr. Regression lines are shown where they are significant (P < 0.05).

Since K_{S-L} is determined by the conductivity of all organs along the whole water transport pathway, knowledge on the hydraulic conductivity of each organ would provide more insight for water use. Unfortunately, we still have limited knowledge on organ hydraulic conductivity of these species. The only available information, i.e., stem-specific conductivity presented as hydraulic conductance per stem sapwood area (K_{stem}), was not significantly different between the two species (Fig 8B), suggesting that the higher K_{S-L} of *C. japonica* could be due to the higher foliage and/or root hydraulic conductivity of this species.

In addition to hydraulic efficiency, hydraulic safety is another important factor of hydraulic architecture [103]. Although we have even less information for hydraulic safety than for hydraulic conductivity, *C. obtusa* is more resistant to embolism than *C. japonica* (presented by 1.4 times lower Ψ 50, Fig 8C) [104, 105]. This means that *C. obtusa* can endure more severe negative pressure than *C. japonica* [17], which supports the empirical knowledge that this species is more tolerant to drought. However, in Japan, where precipitation is generally high





throughout the year, plants rarely experience such extreme negative pressure, represented by the Ψ 50 (-6.7 MPa). Therefore, the extent to which the differences in Ψ 50 are relevant to their habitat preference is not obvious. Recently, Ψ e, which is the xylem pressure at the start of conductivity loss, has been considered to be a more suitable index for drought tolerance than Ψ 50 in nonextreme habitats [103]. Although Ψ e is less focused on than Ψ 50, studies show that plants control stomatal conductance to maintain xylem pressure near Ψ e [106–108], indicating that Ψ e could be a key factor linking stomatal control and xylem pressure. Furthermore, in conifers, hydraulic vulnerability segmentation, that is, a lower resistance to embolism in the

distal segments that ensures the safety of the more proximal stems, is also known a common hydraulic strategy [109]. If so, not the hydraulic resistance of stems themselves but the differences in resistance between distal segments and more proximal segments (e.g., differences in Ψ 50 between leaves and stems) may better represent species' hydraulic strategy. Measurements of these traits would lead to a better understanding of the drought response of these species.

The tracheid diameter of the stem xylem was 1.26 times larger in *C. japonica* than in *C.* obtusa, and the length was not significantly different (Fig 8D and 8E). The basic density of stem wood (wood density) was lower in C. japonica than in C. obtusa (Fig 8F). Generally, the tracheid structure is considered to be closely related to water transport efficiency and drought safety, such as cavitation in the xylem [110, 111]. Conducting efficiency increases with tracheid diameter according to the Hagen-Poiseuille law, and it is also correlated with the tracheid length because a longer tracheid can result in conductive pits in the end walls, where water flow is significantly limited, being farther apart [38, 112, 113]. If so, stem specific conductivity should be higher in C. japonica than in C. obtusa, but it was not significantly different between the species (Fig 8B). The reason is not clear, but the pit structure, which could affect conductivity and for which we have no information for these species, might play a role [110, 111]. A more negative Ψ 50 is also known to be associated with a smaller tracheid diameter and greater basic density because it requires mechanical strength to support the xylem conduit against implosion caused by negative pressures [114-116]. The smaller tracheid diameter, higher wood density and more negative xylem Ψ 50 in *C. obtusa* compared with *C. japonica* are in line with our hypothesis.

3.3 Age and height dependency

Tree age and/or size, especially height, usually affect many foliar functional traits, such as photosynthetic traits, stomatal behaviour, morphology, water use and nutrient concentrations, in various tree species, including angiosperms and gymnosperms in tropical, temperate, boreal and even semiarid areas [21, 117–127]. These age- and/or size-related foliage changes are important for understanding the forest growth rate, timber yield and carbon balance [124] and also contribute to accurate impact assessment of future climate change on forests. In this section, we demonstrate the effects of tree age and/or height on foliar nutrient concentrations (N, P, K), SLA and Ψ_{md} using the large datasets obtained from SugiHinokiDB.

3.3.1 Foliage nutrient contents. Changes in foliar nutrient concentrations with tree age and/or height showed different patterns depending on tree species and nutrient type (Figs 9 and 10). The foliar N concentration decreased with the age classes of C. japonica and C. obtusa (Fig 9A and 9B), whereas it was slightly increased with height in C. japonica and unrelated to height in C. obtusa (Fig 10A and 10B). Foliar P and K concentrations also decreased significantly with age class and height in C. japonica (Figs 9C, 9E, 10C and 10E). A similar age- and height-dependent reduction in the P concentration was observed in C. obtusa (Figs 9D and 10B), although the K concentration was constant with age and height (Figs 9F and 10F). Previous studies also showed various patterns of age /size dependency of nutrient contents. Several cases indicate an increase in the foliar nutrient content with tree size and age [128,], while many other cases showed decreased [19, 20, 23, 24, 25, 129] or constant [24, 122, 123, 128, 130, 131] foliar nutrient. One of the possible causes of these inconsistency might be sampling effects caused by rather small datasets [132]. However, the influence of sampling effects seems to be small in the present study because of the large data sets used to examine the relationships (200-1500 data points, forest age up to 80 years old, tree height up to 40 m). Increasing nutrient accumulation to living biomass and coarse woody debris with forest development may



Fig 9. Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown. Regression lines are shown where they are significant (P < 0.05).



Fig 10. Changes in leaf nutrient contents with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded. Regression lines are shown where they are significant (P < 0.05).

cause decreased foliar nutrient concentrations with tree age and height through a reduction in soil nutrient availability [21, 128, 130]. Indeed, a reduction in soil nutrient concentrations with forest development has been reported in the early stages of forest growth [133–135]. In addition, higher drought stress, such as hydraulic limitation with tree height, promotes the investment of carbon into foliage to protect against dehydration, which results in dilution of the foliar nutrient [136–138]. These could be the causes of the ontogenetic reduction of foliar nutrient. In contrast, several authors have suggested that tree size- or age-related foliar N contents may have a unimodal relationship rather than a simple linear relationship [137–139]. If nonlinear changes in foliar N occurred in each *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* stand, it may be difficult to identify a clear linear relationship of N concentration with tree size and height by using the present pooled analysis.

The reason that *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* showed different age/height dependency is not clear. However, they are different in growth rate, nutrient demand and growth habitats. It is known that *C. japonica* forests, which are planted under moister conditions in nutrient-rich soil, are faster in nutrient dynamics than *C. obtusa* forests, which usually grow on upper slopes with poor nutrient and water availability [140, 141]. These differences could affect the age- and height-dependent changes in foliar nutrients.

The stoichiometry of N to P significantly increased with tree age and height (Figs 9G, 9H, 10G and 10H). The NP ratio is an index of soil nutrient limitation, i. e., P limitation occurs if the ratio is higher than 16, N limitation typically occurs if the ratio is lower than 14, and N and P are co-limiting if the value is 14–16 [142]. The maximum NP ratio of *C. japonica* is 16.9, and 87.2% of all data points have values of 14 or lower, suggesting that *C. japonica* stands are generally N-limited. However, since the NP ratio increases with age, the stands shift from being N-to being relatively P-limited with maturity. *C. obtusa* also has an NP ratio of 14 or less, and are considered to be in the N-limited range (Fig 9H).

3.3.2 Foliage water potential and specific leaf area (SLA). As tree height increases, drought stress increases in the upper part of the canopy, which in turn affects morphological and physiological responses of tree foliage [120, 124]. The foliar midday water potential, Ψ md, which indicates the degree of tree drought stress, significantly decreased with height and age in C. japonica trees (Figs 11A and 12A), but not in C. obtusa trees (Figs 11B and 12B). The reduction in Ψ md with height have been reported for various tree species worldwide and cause hydraulic limitations, such as a reduction in photosynthesis through stomatal limitations [118, 139, 143–146]. Interestingly, the recovery of photosynthetic ability by grafting canopy tree shoots onto saplings of C. japonica supported the occurrence of hydraulic limitations in tall trees of this species [147]. The slopes of Ψ md with the tree height are -0.0103 and -0.0089Mpa m^{-1} in *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*, respectively. These slopes are smaller than those of tropical rainforest trees (-0.0282 Mpa m⁻¹, Kenzo et al., 2015) and in the range of the values of temperate conifers Sequoia sempervirens (-0.0100--0.0113 Mpa m⁻¹) and Douglas fir (-0.019 Mpa m⁻¹) [120, 139]. In general, to tolerate a decrease in Ψ_{md} , the foliar water potential at the turgor loss point (Ψ_{tlp}) must be reduced [84, 148]. Foliar osmotic adjustment as well as structural strength to withstand low negative pressure to achieve lower Ψ_{tlp} and foliage strength is accompanied by a decrease in SLA. In fact, the SLA of C. japonica, whose Ψ_{md} significantly decreased with tree age and height, decreased with tree height and age (Figs 11C and 12D). Many studies have reported that SLA decreases with tree age and height [120–123, 125, 132, 149, 150]. On the other hand, the SLA of C. obtusa did not show a significant change with tree height, though it slightly decreased with tree age (Figs 11D and 12D). Although the reason is not clear, the smaller changes in SLA of C. obtusa might be related to its higher resistance to drought stress. It is also possible that the smaller size range in C. obtusa compared with C. japonica may cause this constant SLA. [151] recently reported that SLA decreased significantly



Fig 11. Changes in midday leaf water potential (Ψ md) and SLA with tree age. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded. Data from plants younger than 100 years old are shown. Regression lines are shown where they are significant (P < 0.05).

at a single canopy height in a *C. obtusa* tree. Thus, further data collection on older and taller *C. obtusa* trees is needed to understand tree size dependency with respect to SLA.

3.4 Comparison with broader species in Cupressaceae

The Cupressaceae family, to which *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* belong, consists of more than 100 species with a marked diversity in physiology, morphology and habitat preference [152]. How much do the contrasts we found between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* in the present study account for the ranges in traits exhibited by Cupressaceae species? Here, we compare traits related to drought tolerance between the two species and other Cupressaceae species using data from [152] to gain more insight into the ecological characteristics of these species.

Cupressaceae species first appeared in the warm and humid Mesozoic and differentiated in the cool and dry Cenozoic [153]. Reflecting the climatic conditions under which each species evolves, early diverging species prefer mesic-hydric habitats, while derived species are adapted to arid climates [152]. As a result of these adaptations, the species exhibit a gradient of foliage morphology (e.g. from needle-like foliage in basal species to small scaly foliage in more derived species), drought tolerance and water use characteristics [38, 107, 154]. Fig 13 shows such gradients across Cuperessaceae. Basal species (e.g., *Glyptostrobus, Taxodium, Metasequoia*) that



Fig 12. Changes in midday foliage water potential (Ψ md) and SLA with tree height. Data from plants grown under experimental conditions or in pots were excluded. Regression lines are shown where they are significant (P < 0.05).

grow in moist environments had lower Ψ_{50} with higher wood density (Fig 13A), lower xylem specific conductivity (Fig 13B), low stomatal conductance (Fig 13C), and a lower photosynthetic rate (Fig 13D). On the opposite end of the axis are derived species growing in dry environments such as *Callitris, Juniperus*, and *Widdringtonia* (Fig 13A–13D).

The traits of *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* also fell on these correlation lines (Fig 13). Among these axes, *C. japonica*, which is more basal than *C. obtusa*, was located next to the lowest Ψ_{50} (= the highest gas exchange) group, consisting of species of *Glyptostrobus*, *Taxodium*, and *Metasequoia*. This seems reasonable given that these genera form the same clade as *C. japonica in Cryptomeria*. *C. obtusa*, which had a slightly lower Ψ_{50} than *C. japonica*, was located almost in the middle of the axes where close relatives, such as *Chamaecyparis lawsonia* (the same genus with *C. obtusa*) and *Thuja* and *Thujopsis* species, occurred. However, across all Cupressaceae species, the difference between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa* was not large, nor was *C. obtusa* especially high in drought tolerance within the Cupressaceae species. Japan, which is surrounded by the sea and has high-altitude mountains, has not suffered an extremely dry climate, even after the Cenozoic era, and only a few species of *Juniperus* with strong drought tolerance grow in alpine and coastal areas. Rather, there exist many relict genera, such as *Chamaecyparis*, *Thuja* and *Thujopsis*, which are located in the middle of the axis. This suggests that the mild climate of the Japanese archipelago became a refugia of these species with



Fig 13. Relationship between leaf and stem hydraulic properties in Cupressaceae species. Abbreviations of species names are shown in Table 3. Species in bold type with an asterisk are from this study. Regression lines are shown where they are significant (P < 0.05).

moderate drought tolerance in the arid Cenozoic [155]. However, high drought tolerance is generally achieved at the expense of a low growth rate. Therefore, the moderate drought tolerance of *C. obtusa* ensures a moderate growth rate and makes the species a major alternative to *C. japonica* at relatively dry forestry sites in Japan.

3.5 Implication for climate change

The detailed comparison of two major species highlights the importance of incorporating trait data into forest ecosystem models for more accurately predicting responses to climate change. Parameterizing models with various trait data enables us to obtain more realistic responses of trees and predictions. Recent studies demonstrate the limitations of plant functional type approaches and claim the need for trait-based approaches, for example, hydraulic responses [156]. Most models at present are plant functional-type based; in other words, default parameters are prepared for different plant functional types; however, recent trait data compilation studies, such as this study, clearly demonstrate the diversity of traits among tree species even

_		-
Species	Abbr.	Phenology, native habitat
Athrotaxis laxifolia	AL	E, Montane forests, Tasmania
Austrocedrus chilensis	AC	E, The Andes, Chile and Argentina
Callitris macleayana	СМ	E, Mesic-dry forests, eastern Australia
Calocedrus decurrens	CD	E, Montane forests, United States-Mexican Pacific Coast
Chamaecyparis lawsoniana	CL	E, Mixed forests, Oregon to northern California
Chamaecyparis obtusa (This study)	CO*	E, Mixed evergreen forests, Japan and Taiwan
Cryptomeria japonica	CJ	E, Mixed evergreen forests, Japan
<i>Cryptomeria japonica</i> (This study)	CJ*	E, Mixed evergreen forests, Japan
Cunninghamia lanceolata	CL	E, Mixed broad-leaved forests of southeast Asia
Cupressus forbesii	CF	E, Chaparral, southern California, northern Mexico
Fitzroya cupressoides	FC	E, Evergreen rainforest, Chile
Glyptostrobus pensilis	GP	D, Riparian, southern China
Juniperus californica	JC	E, Desert, southern California to northern Mexico
Libocedrus plumosa	LP	E, Mixed conifer rainforests, New Zealand
Metasequoia glyptostroboides	MG	D, Mesic mixed forests, central China
Sciadopitys verticillata	SC	E, Temperate moist forests, Japan
Sequoia sempervirens	SS	E, northern coastal California
Sequoiadendron giganteum	SG	E, Sierra Nevada, California
Taiwania cryptomeroides	TC	E, Cool temperate forests, Asia
Taxodium distichum	TD	D, Riparian regions in southeastern United States
Taxodium mucronatum	TM	D, Southern Texas, Mexico, Central America
Taxus baccata	TB	E, Broadly distributed across Europe
Thuja plicata	TP	E, Mixed coniferous forests, United States Pacific northwest
Thujopsis dolabrata	ТО	E, Coastal and montane Japan
Widdringtonia cedarbergensis	WC	E. Fynbos, South Africa

Table 3. Species name and abbreviations used in Fig 13.

Data of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* are from this study, and other data are from Pittermann et al. 2012.

'E' represents for evergreen and 'D' represents for deciduous.

https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0254599.t003

in the same plant functional type. The latest study even proposes flexible trait models for the next generation of vegetation models [157]. These trait-based approaches would succeed more easily in manmade pure forests than in mixed natural forests.

More specifically, our study provides important implications about possible differences in the species' responses to climate change: no differences in SLA and photosynthetic ability per needle area were found between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*; on the other hand, the different structures of shoots and canopies between the two species may cause a difference in the amount of photosynthetic production per day. In particular, in the early planting period from just after plantation to canopy closure, *C. japonica* may have more advantages than *C. obtusa* due to its high photosynthetic production under bright light conditions. However, a big-leaf model, which is a typical photosynthesis model, does not explicitly describe the photosynthetic ability per part, structure or mass [48]. The response of stomata to clpimate change, such as the response of stomatal conductance to vapor pressure deficit (VPD), may differ between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*, but it is not clear at this stage due to a lack of data. In other words, it is possible to accurately grasp the type and amount of data that are lacking for modelling by using the database, which allows us to make an experimental plan to efficiently collect data according to a specific purpose. Regarding water use, we found various differences in related

traits between *C. japonica* and *C. obtusa*; however, in general, most traits related to drought tolerance and xylem hydraulic safety except for gas exchange traits are rarely incorporated into models. This is perhaps partly because of the lack of data; drought tolerance and xylem hydraulic safety are new fields that have recently emerged.

In Japan, *C. obtusa* is a species planted in dry sites, while *C. japonica* is planted in wet sites; however, our compilation revealed that the drought tolerance of *C. obtusa* was moderate within the wide range of traits of the global Cupressaceae family. In fact, there are several reports of drought damage in *C. obtusa* forests, particularly in western Japan, which experiences more severe drought more often than in eastern Japan [158, 159]. These facts imply that it is very likely that not only *C. japonica* but also *C. obtusa* will suffer from possible more severe droughts induced by future climate change.

4. Conclusion

The present study challenged the empirical knowledge of two contrasting plantation species in Japan. The intensive analysis of plant trait database clearly supported traditional knowledge and empirical plantation management in C. japonica and C. obtusa, such as preferable planting sites for the two species (C. japonica on wet lower slopes and C. obtusa on dry ridges). Overall, C. japonica showed more pioneer-like properties. Although the photosynthesis per foliage area was similar to C. obtusa, C. japonica could be higher in photosynthetic production due to the high shoot-level light utilization efficiency. In addition, the high biomass allocation to the foliage and the low wood density of C. japonica result in a high stem volume yield. On the other hand, C. obtusa has high drought tolerance due to its lower transpiration rate, stomatal conductance and water potential at the foliar turgor loss point, whereas its photosynthesis at the shoot level is lower than that of C. japonica. Our finding that the most functional characteristics change according to tree age and/or height indicates that forest management also reflects a functional shift with the ontogeny of the tree. For example, to maximize tree production, fertilization to prevent foliar functional deterioration and thinning to compensate for the water supply can be considered with forest ageing. Within the global Cupressaceae family, both species have moderate drought tolerance and photosynthetic rates, and the traits may be consistent with the historical climate of the Japanese archipelago being warm and humid without severe drought. The relatively low tolerance of both species may indicate a weak ability to withstand severe dry events associated with future climate change. Although this database study provided a robust comparison between C. japonica and C. obtusa, there were several limitations. For example, data filtering and outlier handling will be necessary to obtain reliable results due to the inconsistent quality of the data and the variation in sample size. Additionally, the interaction between traits is often not considered in trait database studies because linking traits in a database studies due to the requirement of data filtering and its structure (traits usually handled in separate spreadsheets). Further studies will be needed as the interactions may provide a deeper understanding of interspecific differences in ecological traits.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded as part of the project "Research on evaluation of influence of climate change on plantation in Japan (16808214), in the Research on adaptation to climate change for agriculture, forestry and fisheries" from the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries, and JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 21H03580 (S.H.).

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: Yoko Osone, Shoji Hashimoto, Tanaka Kenzo.

Data curation: Yoko Osone, Tanaka Kenzo.

Formal analysis: Yoko Osone, Tanaka Kenzo.

Investigation: Yoko Osone, Tanaka Kenzo.

Methodology: Yoko Osone, Shoji Hashimoto, Tanaka Kenzo.

Writing - original draft: Yoko Osone, Shoji Hashimoto, Tanaka Kenzo.

Writing – review & editing: Yoko Osone, Shoji Hashimoto, Tanaka Kenzo.

References

- 1. Mann ME, Bradley RS, Hughes MK. Global-scale temperature patterns and climate forcing over the past six centuries. Nature. 1998; 392: 779–787. https://doi.org/10.1038/33859
- Allen CD, Macalady AK, Chenchouni H, Bachelet D, McDowell N, Vennetier M, et al. A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortal-ity reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. Forest. Ecol. Manag. 2010; 259: 660–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.09.001
- 3. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). Climate change 1995, The science of climate change, IPCC 1995 Assessment. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press; 1996.
- 4. Roos J. The Finnish research programme on climate change: Final report. Helsinki: Academy of Finland; 1996.
- Sykes MT, Prentice IC. Climate change, tree species distributions and forest dynamics: a case study in the mixed conifer/northern hardwoods zone of northern Europe. Clim. Change 1996; 34: 161–177. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00224628
- Bradshaw RH, Holmqvist BH, Cowling SA, Sykes MT. The effects of climate change on the distribution and management of Picea abies in southern Scandinavia. Can. J. For. Res. 2000; 30: 1992–1998. https://doi.org/10.1139/x00-130
- Lodin I, Brukas V, Wallin I. Spruce or not? Contextual and attitudinal drivers behind the choice of tree species in southern Sweden. For Policy Econ. 2017; 83:191–198. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.forpol. 2016.11.010
- Toriyama J, Hashimoto S, Osone Y, Yamashita N, Tsurita T, Shimizu T, et al. Estimating spatial variation in the effects of climate change on the net primary production of Japanese cedar plantations based on modeled carbon dynamics. PLoS One 2021; 16(2), e0247165. https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0247165 PMID: 33596265
- 9. Violle C, Navas M, Vile D et al. Let the concept of trait be functional! Oikos 2007; 116: 882–892. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2007.0030-1299.15559.x.
- White MA, Thornton PE, Running SW, Nemani RR. Parameterization and Sensitivity Analysis of the BIOME–BGC Terrestrial Ecosystem Model: Net primary production controls. Earth Interact. 2002; 4: 1–85. https://doi.org/10.1175/1087-3562(2000)004<0003:pasaot>2.0.co;2.
- Sato H, Itoh A, Kohyama T. SEIB–DGVM: A new Dynamic Global Vegetation Model using a spatially explicit individual-based approach. Ecol. Mod. 2007; 200: 279–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ecolmodel.2006.09.006
- Zaehle S, Friend AD, Friedlingstein P, Dentener F, Peylin P, Schulz M. Carbon and nitrogen cycle dynamics in the O-CN land surface model: 2. Role of the nitrogen cycle in the historical terrestrial carbon balance. Global Biogeochem. Cycles. 2010; 24: 1–14. <u>https://doi.org/10.1029/</u> 2009GB003522,2010
- Kattge J, Bönisch G, Díaz S, Lavorel S, Prentice LC, Leadley P, et al. TRY plant trait database– enhanced coverage and open access. Glob. Chang. Biol. 2020: 26; 119–188. https://doi.org/10.1111/ gcb.14904 PMID: 31891233
- Chapin FS III, Matson PA, Vitousek P. Principles of terrestrial ecosystem ecology. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media2011.
- Bartlett MK, Scoffoni C, Sack L. The determinants of leaf turgor loss point and prediction of drought tolerance of species and biomes: A global meta-analysis. Ecol. Lett. 2012: 15; 393–405. https://doi.org/ 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2012.01751.x PMID: 22435987
- Peters JMR, López R, Nolf M, Hutley LB, Wardlaw T, Cernusak LA, et al. Living on the edge: A continental-scale assessment of forest vulnerability to drought. Glob. Chang. Biol. 2021 Jan: gcb.15641. https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.15641 PMID: 33852767

- Choat B, Jansen S, Brodribb TJ, Cochard H, Delzon S, Bhaskar R, et al. Global convergence in the vulnerability of forests to drought. Nature, 2012: 491; 752–755. <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11688</u> PMID: 23172141
- Anderegg WRL, Klein T, Bartlett M, Sack L, Pellegrini AFA, Choat B, et al. Meta-analysis reveals that hydraulic traits explain cross-species patterns of drought-induced tree mortality across the globe, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2016: 113; 5024–5029. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1525678113</u> PMID: 27091965
- 19. Beets PN, Madgwick HAI. Above-ground dry matter and nutrient content of Pinus radiata as affected by lupin, fertiliser, thinning, and stand age. New Zeal. J. For. Sci. 1988: 18; 43–64.
- Bargali SS, Singh RP, Singh SP. Structure and function of an age series of eucalypt plantations in central himalaya, II. Nutrient dynamics. Ann. Bot. 1992: 69; 413–421. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/</u> oxfordjournals.aob.a088362
- 21. Bond BJ. Age-related changes in photosynthesis of woody plants Trends Plant Sci. 2000: 5; 349–353. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1360-1385(00)01691-5 PMID: 10908880
- Niinemets Ü. Stomatal conductance alone does not explain the decline in foliar photosynthetic rates with increasing tree age and size in *Picea abies* and *Pinus sylvestris*. Tree Physiol. 2002: 22; 515– 535. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/22.8.515 PMID: 12045025
- Hooker TD, Compton JE. Forest Ecosystem Carbon and nitrogen accumulation during the first century after agricultural abandonment. Ecol Appl 2003: 13; 299–313. https://doi.org/10.1890/1051-0761
- Polglase PJ, Attiwill PM, Adams MA. Nitrogen and phosphorus cycling in relation to stand age of *Eucalyptus regnans* F. Muell. Plant Soil 2006: 142; 177–185. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00010964.
- 25. Yang Y, Luo Y. Carbon:nitrogen stoichiometry in forest ecosystems during stand development. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 2011: 20; 354–361. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00602.x
- Niinemets Ü. Responses of forest trees to single and multiple environmental stresses from seedlings to mature plants: past stress history, stress interactions, tolerance and acclimation. For. Ecol. Manag. 2010: 260; 1623–1639. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2010.07.054
- D'Amato AW, Bradford JB, Fraver S, Palik BJ. Forest management for mitigation and adaptation to climate change: Insights from long-term silviculture experiments. For. Ecol. Manag. 2011: 262; 803–816. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2011.05.014
- 28. Osone Y, Hashimoto S, Kenzo T, Araki MG, Inoue Y, Shichi K, et al. Plant trait database for Cryptomeria japonica and Chamaecyparis obtusa (SugiHinoki DB): Their physiology, morphology, anatomy and biochemistry Ecol. Res. 2020: 35; 274–275. https://doi.org/10.1111/1440-1703.12062
- Rull V, Lara A, Rubio-Inglés MJ, Giralt S, Gonçalves V, Raposeiro P, et al. Vegetation and landscape dynamics under natural and anthropogenic forcing on the Azores Islands: A 700-year pollen record from the São Miguel Island. Quat. Sci. Rev. 2017: 159; 155–168. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.</u> guascirev.2017.01.021.
- Rai RK, Schmerbeck J. Why Forest Plantations Are Disputed? An assessment of locally important ecosystem services from the *Cryptomeria japonica* plantations in the Darjeeling Hills, India. In: Gonçalves AC, editors. Conifers. London: IntechOpen; 2018. pp. 113–126.
- Mashimo Y. Studies on the physical properties of forest soil and their relation to the growth of sugi (Cryptomeria japonica) and hinoki (Chamaecyparis obtusa), For. Soils Japan 1960: 11; 1–182.
- 32. Hayashi Y. An illusrated book of useful trees. Tokyo: Seibundoshinkosha;1969.
- 33. Sato K. Hinoki (Chamaecyparis obtusa) of Japan-The first volume. Tokyo: Zenrinkyo; 1971.
- Díaz S, Hodgson JG, Thompson K, Cabido JHC, Cornelissen A, Jalili A, et al. The plant traits that drive ecosystems: Evidence from three continents. J. Veg. Sci. 2004: 15; 295–304. https://doi.org/10. 1111/j.1654-1103.2004.tb02266.x
- Grime JP. Trait convergence and trait divergence in herbaceous plant communities: Mechanisms and consequences. J. Veg. Sci. 2006: 17; 255–260. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1654-1103.2006.tb02444.x
- Kattge J, Díaz S, Lavorel S, Prentice LC, Leadley P, Bönisch G. et al. TRY—a global database of plant traits. Glob. Chang. Biol. 2011: 17; 2905–2935. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02451.x
- Kleyer M, Bekker RM, Knevel IC, Bakker JP, Thompsonet K, Sonnenschein M. et al. The LEDA Traitbase: A database of life-history traits of the Northwest European flora. J. Ecol. 2008: 96; 1266–1274. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01430.x
- **38.** Pittermann J, Choat B, Jansen S, Stuart SA, Lynn L, Dawson TE. The relationships between xylem safety and hydraulic efficiency in the *Cupressaceae*: The evolution of pit membrane form and function. Plant Physiol. 2010: 153; 1919–1931. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.158824 PMID: 20551212

- Tsumura Y, Yoshimaru K, Tomaru N, Ohba K. Molecular phylogeny of conifers using PCR-RFLP analysis of chloroplast genes. Theor. Apllied Genet. 1995: 91; 1222–1236. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u> BF00220933
- Tsukada M. Cryptomeria Japonica: Glacial Refugia and Late-Glacial and Postglacial Migration. Ecology 1982: 63; 1091–1105. https://doi.org/10.2307/1937247
- Falster DS, Duursma RA, Ishihara MI, Barneche DR, FitzJohn R, Tavşanoğlu G. et al. BAAD: a Biomass And Allometry Database for woody plants. Ecology 2015: 96; 1445. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/</u>141889.1
- 42. Tavşanoğlu Ç, Pausas JG. A functional trait database for Mediterranean Basin plants. Scientific Data 2018: 5; 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-018-0002-5 PMID: 30482902
- 43. Lambers H, Chapin III FS, Pons TL. Plant physiological ecology. New York: Springer; 2008.
- 44. Garnier E, Navas ML, Grigulis K. Plant functional diversity: organism traits, community structure, and ecosystem properties. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2016.
- **45.** Stenberg P. Simulations of the effects of shoot structure and orientation on vertical gradients in intercepted light by conifer canopies. Tree Physiol. 1996: 16; 99–108. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/16. 1-2.99 PMID: 14871752
- **46.** Ishii H, Kitaoka S, Fujisaki T, Maruyama Y, Koike T. Plasticity of shoot and needle morphology and photosynthesis of two Picea species with different site preferences in northern Japan. Tree Physiol. 2007: 27; 1595–1605. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.11.1595 PMID: 17669749
- **47.** Carter GA, Smith WK. Influence of shoot structure on light interception and photosynthesis in conifers. Plant Physiol. 1985: 79; 1038–1043. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.79.4.1038 PMID: 16664525
- Thérézien M, Palmroth S, Brady R, Oren R. Estimation of light interception properties of conifer shoots by an improved photographic method and a 3D model of shoot structure. Tree Physiol. 2007: 27; 1375–1387. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/27.10.1375 PMID: 17669728
- 49. Inoue Y, Araki MG, Kitaoka S, Kenzo T, Saito S. Relationship between projected shoot area and projected needle area in *Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don trees J. Jpn. For. Soc. 2020a: 102; 7–14 (In Japanese with English summary).
- Stenberg P, Linder S, Smolander H. Variation in the ratio of shoot silhouette area to needle area in fertilized and unfertilized Norway spruce trees. Tree Physiol. 1995: 15; 705–712. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/</u> treephys/15.11.705 PMID: 14965988
- Leverenz JW, Hinckley TM. Shoot structure, leaf area index and productivity of evergreen conifer stands. Tree Physiol. 1990: 6; 135–149. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/6.2.135 PMID: 14972946
- 52. Schwertman NC, Owens MA, Adnan RA. Simple more general boxplot method for identifying outliers. Comput Statist Data Anal. 2004: 47; 165–174. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csda.2003.10.012
- R Core Team R. A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. https://www.R-project.org/.
- 54. Smith WK, Brewer CA. The adaptive importance of shoot and crown architecture in conifer trees. Am. Nat. 2002: 143; 528–532. https://doi.org/10.1086/285618
- 55. Monsi M, Saeki T. Über den lichtfaktor in den pflanzengesellschaften und seine bedeutung fur die stoffproduktion. J. Jap. Bot. 1953: 14; 22–52.
- Terashima I, Hikosaka K. Comparative ecophysiology of leaf and canopy photosynthesis. Plant, Cell Environ. 1995: 18; 1111–1128. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.1995.tb00623.x
- Tange K, Suzuki M, Kasuya S, Kasuya I. Photosynthetic activity and growth of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* seedlings before and after release from shade conditions. J. Jpn. For. Soc. 1991: 73; 288–292.
- Poorter H, Remkes C. Leaf area ratio and net assimilation rate of 24 wild species differing in relative growth rate. Oecologia 1990: 83; 553–559. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317209 PMID: 28313192
- Cornelissen JHC, Diez PC, Hunt R. Seedling growth, allocation and leaf attributes in a wide range of woody plant species and types. J. Ecol. 1996: 84; 755–765. https://doi.org/10.2307/2261337
- Atkin OK, Schortemeyer M, McFarlane N, Evans JR. Variation in the components of relative growth rate in 10 Acacia species from contrasting environments. Plant, Cell Environ. 1998: 21; 1007–1017. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.1998.00356.x
- **61.** Poorter H, Van der Werf A. Is inherent variation in RGR determined by LAR at low irradiance and by NAR at high irradiance? A review of herbaceous species. Inherent variation in plant growth. In: Lambers H, Poorter H, Van Vuuren M, editors. Physiological mechanisms and ecological consequences. Leiden: Backhuys Publishers; 1998. pp. 309–336.

- Reich PB, Ellsworth DS, Walters MB. Leaf structure (specific leaf area) modulates photosynthesis– nitrogen relations: evidence from within and across species and functional groups. Funct. Ecol. 1998: 12; 948–958. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.1998.00274.x
- 63. Wright IJ, Westoby M. Cross-species relationships between seedling relative growth rate, nitrogen productivity and root vs leaf function in 28 Australian woody species. Funct. Ecol. 2000: 14; 97–107. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2000.00393.x
- 64. Osone Y, Ishida A, Tateno M. Correlation between relative growth rate and specific leaf area requires associations of specific leaf area with nitrogen absorption rate of roots. New Phytol. 2008: 179; 417– 427. 2008. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2008.02476.x PMID: 19086290
- 65. Hunt R. Basic growth analysis. London: Unwin Hyman; 1990.
- Wright IJ, Reich PB, Westoby M, Ackerly DD, Baruch Z, Bongers F, et al. The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 2004: 428; 821–827. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02403 PMID: 15103368
- Kikuzawa K, Lechowicz MJ. Ecology of leaf longevity. Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media; 2011.
- Osone Y, Tateno M. Nitrogen absorption by roots as a cause of interspecific variations in leaf nitrogen concentration and photosynthetic capacity. Funct. Ecol. 2005: 19; 460–470. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1365-2435.2005.00970.x</u>
- Sokal RR, Rohlf FJ. Biometry. The principles and practice of statistics in biological research. 3rd ed. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company; 1995.
- Ma QW, Li CS, Li FL. Epidermal structures of Cryptomeria japonica and implications to the fossil record. Acta Palaeobot. 2007: 47; 281–289.
- Yazaki K, Tobita H, Kitaoka S, Hiraoka Y, Kitaoka M. Stem growth of six clones of elite tree of *Cryptomeria japonica* under elevated CO2 and ozone. Kanto J. For. Res. 2015: 66; 163–166.
- 72. Kim KW. Electron microscopic observations of stomata, epicuticular waxes, and papillae in Chamaecyparis obtusa: Reconsidering the traditional concept of Y-shaped white stomatal bands. Microsc. Res. Tech. 2018: 81; 716–723. https://doi.org/10.1002/jemt.23027 PMID: 29624793
- Kozlowski TT, Pallardy SG. Acclimation and adaptive responses of woody plants to environmental stresses. Bot. Rev. 2002: 68; 270–334. https://doi.org/10.1663/0006-8101(2002)068[0270: AAAROW]2.0.CO;2
- 74. Oren R, Sperry JS, Katul G, Pataki DE, Ewers BE, Phillips N, et al. Survey and synthesis of intra-and interspecific variation in stomatal sensitivity to vapour pressure deficit. Plant Cell Environ. 1999: 22; 1515–1526. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.1999.00513.x
- 75. Meinzer FC, Woodruff DR, Marias DE, Smith DD, McCulloh KA, Howard AR, et al. Mapping 'hydroscapes' along the iso- to anisohydric continuum of stomatal regulation of plant water status. Ecol. Lett. 2016: 19; 1343–1352. https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12670 PMID: 27604411
- 76. Kumagai T, Tateishi M, Shimizu T, Otsuki K. Transpiration and canopy conductance at two slope positions in a Japanese cedar forest watershed. Agric. For. Meteorol. 2008: 148; 1444–1455, <u>https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2008.04.010</u>
- 77. Tsuruta K, Yamamoto H, Kosugi Y, Makita N. Katsuyama M, Kosugi K, et al. Slope position and water use by trees in a headwater catchment dominated by Japanese cypress: Implications for catchmentscale transpiration estimates. Ecohydrology 2020: 13; 1–12. https://doi.org/10.1002/eco.2160 PMID: 32983317
- Kenzo T, Inoue Y, Araki MG, Kawasaki T, Kitaoka S, Tsurita T, et al. Effects of throughfall exclusion on photosynthetic traits in mature Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* (L. f.) D. Don.). Forests 2021: 12; 971. https://doi.org/10.3390/f12080971
- Scholander PF, Bradstreet ED, Hemmingsen EA, Hammel HT. Sap pressure in vascular plants: negative hydrostatic pressure can be measured in plants. Science 1965: 148; 339–346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1126/science.148.3668.339</u> PMID: 17832103
- Tyree MT, Jarvis PG. Water in tissues and cells. In Physiological Plant Ecology II. Berlin, Heidenberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; pp. 35–77.
- Tognetti R, Raschi A, Jones MB. Seasonal patterns of tissue water relations in three Mediterranean shrubs co-occurring at a natural CO2 spring. Plant, Cell Environ. 2000: 23; 1341–1351. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2000.00645.x</u>
- Baltzer JL, Davies SJ, Bunyavejchewin S, Noor NSM. The role of desiccation tolerance in determining tree species distributions along the Malay-Thai Peninsula. Funct. Ecol. 2008: 22; 221–231. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2007.01374.x
- Blackman CJ, Brodribb TJ, Jordan GJ. Leaf hydraulic vulnerability is related to conduit dimensions and drought resistance across a diverse range of woody angiosperms. New Phytol. 2010: 188; 1113– 1123. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2010.03439.x PMID: 20738785

- Zhu SD, Chen YJ, Ye Q, He PC, Liu H, Li RH. Leaf turgor loss point is correlated with drought tolerance and leaf carbon economics traits. Tree Physiol. 2018: 38; 658–663. https://doi.org/10.1093/ treephys/tpy013 PMID: 29474684
- Saito T, Tanaka T, Tanabe H, Matsumoto Y, Morikawa Y. Variations in transpiration rate and leaf cell turgor maintenance in saplings of deciduous broad-leaved tree species common in cool temperate forests in Japan. Tree Physiol. 23: 59–66. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/23.1.59 PMID: 12511305
- Mitchell PJ, Veneklaas EJ, Lambers H, Burgess SSO. Leaf water relations during summer water deficit: Differential responses in turgor maintenance and variation in leaf structure among different plant communities in south-western Australia. Plant, Cell Environ. 2008: 31; 1791–1802. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2008.01882.x PMID: 18761698</u>
- Niinemets Ü. Global-scale climatic controls of leaf dry mass per area, density, and thickness in trees and shrubs. Ecology 2001: 82; 453–469. <u>https://doi.org/10.1890/0012-9658(2001)082[0453:</u> GSCCOL]2.0.CO;2
- Valentini R, Mugnozza GS, Giordano E, Kuzminsky E. Influence of cold hardening on water relations of three *Eucalyptus* species. Tree Physiol. 2012: 6; 1–10. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/6.1.1
- **89.** Hara M, Norisada M, Suzuki M, Tange T, Yagi H. Changes in water relations with temperature decrease in *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Taiwania cryptomerioides*. Bull. Univ. Tokyo For. 1998: 99; 111–123.
- Scholz FG, Phillips NG, Bucci SJ, Meinzer FC, Goldstein G. Hydraulic capacitance: biophysics and functional significance of internal water sources in relation to tree size. In: Meinzer FC, Lachenbruch B, Dawson TE, editors. Size and Age-Related Changes in Tree Structure and Function. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2011. pp. 341–361.
- Zhang YJ, Bucci SJ, Arias NS, Scholz FG, Hao GU, Cao KF, et al.: Freezing resistance in Patagonian woody shrubs: the role of cell wall elasticity and stem vessel size. Tree Physiol 2016: 36; 1007–1018. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw036 PMID: 27217529
- 92. Sakai A. Freezing tolerance of plants and adaptation to the frigidity. Tokyo: Gakkai Shuppan Center; 1982 (In Japanese).
- Sakai A, Larcher W. Frost survival of plants: responses and adaptation to freezing stress (Vol. 62), Berlin: Springer Science & Business Media; 1987.
- Cheung YNS, Tyree MT, Dainty J. Water relations parameters on single leaves obtained in a pressure bomb and some ecological interpretations. Can. J. Bot. 1975: 53; 1342–1346. <u>https://doi.org/10.1139/</u> b75-162
- Lawlor DW, Cornic G. Photosynthetic carbon assimilation and associated. Plant Cell Environ. 2002: 25; 275–294. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.0016-8025.2001.00814.x PMID: 11841670
- Satoo T. Drought resistance of some conifers at the first summer after their emergence. Bull Univ. Tokyo For. 1956: 51; 1–108.
- Zimmermann MH. Hydraulic architecture of some diffuse-porous trees. Can J. Bot. 1978: 56; 2286– 2295. https://doi.org/10.1139/b78-274.
- Meinzer FC, Clearwater MJ, Goldstein G. Water transport in trees: Current perspectives, new insights and some controversies. Environ. Exp. Bot. 2001: 45; 239–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0098-8472 (01)00074-0 PMID: 11323032
- Tyree MT, Velez V, Dalling JW. Growth dynamics of root and shoot hydraulic conductance in seedlings of five neotropical tree species: scaling to show possible adaptation to differing light regimes. Oecologia 1998: 114; 293–298. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420050450 PMID: 28307771
- Nardini A, Tyree MT. Root and shoot hydraulic conductance of seven *Quercus* species. Ann. For. Sci. 1999: 56; 371–377. https://doi.org/10.1051/forest:19990502
- Nardini A, Pitt F. Drought resistance of *Quercus pubescens* as a function of root hydraulic conductance, xylem embolism and hydraulic architecture. New Phytol. 1999: 143; 485–493. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1046/j.1469-8137.1999.00476.x PMID: 33862892</u>
- 102. Stratton L, Goldstein G, Meinzer FC. Stem water storage capacity and efficiency of water transport: their functional significance in a Hawaiian dry forest. Plant, Cell Environ. 2000: 23; 99–106. <u>https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3040.2000.00533.x</u>
- 103. Meinzer FC, Johnson DM, Lachenbruch B, McCulloh KA, Woodruff DR. Xylem hydraulic safety margins in woody plants: Coordination of stomatal control of xylem tension with hydraulic capacitance. Funct. Ecol. 2009: 23; 922–930. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01577.x
- **104.** Ikeda T. Differences in cavitation sensitivity of cedar, cypress, and pine. Abstracts of the 113th Annual Meeting of Japanese Forestry Society 2002: 113; 259 (In Japanese with English summary).

- 105. Inoue Y, Kenzo T, Yazaki K, Ogasa M, Araki M, Saito S. Hydrauric vulnerability of branch xylem in mature *Cryptomeria japonica*. Kanto J. For. Res. 2020: 71; 225–228 (In Japanese with English summary).
- 106. Sparks JP, Black RA. Regulation of water loss in populations of *Populus trichocarpa*: The role of stomatal control in preventing xylem cavitation. Tree Physiol. 1999: 19; 453–459. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1093/treephys/19.7.453 PMID: 12651551</u>
- 107. Brodribb TJ, McAdam SAM, Jordan GJ, Martins SCV. Conifer species adapt to low-rainfall climates by following one of two divergent pathways. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2014: 111; 14489–14493. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407930111</u> PMID: 25246559
- 108. Domec J-C, Lachenbruch B, Meinzer FC, Woodruff DR, Warren JM, McCulloh KA. Maximum height in a conifer is associated with conflicting requirements for xylem design. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2008: 105; 12069–12074. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0710418105 PMID: 18695232
- 109. Johnson DM, Wortemann R, Mcculloh KA, Jordan-meille L, Ward E, Warren JM, et al. A test of the hydraulic vulnerability segmentationhypothesis in angiosperm and conifer tree species. Tree Physiol. 2016: 36; 983–993. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw031 PMID: 27146334
- Delzon S, Douthe C, Sala A, Cochard H. Mechanism of water-stress induced cavitation in conifers: bordered pit structure and function support the hypothesis of seal capillary-seeding. Plant, Cell Environ. 2010: 33; 2101–2111. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2010.02208.x PMID: 20636490
- 111. Jansen S, Lamy JB, Burlett R, Cochard H, Gasson P, Delzon, S. Plasmodesmatal pores in the torus of bordered pit membranes affect cavitation resistance of conifer xylem. Plant Cell Environ. 2012: 35; 1109–1120. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02476.x PMID: 22220551
- 112. Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Wheeler JK, Castro L. Scaling of angiosperm xylem structure with safety and efficiency. Tree Physiol. 2006: 26; 689–701. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/26.6.689</u> PMID: 16510385
- 113. Pittermann J, Sperry JS, Hacke UG, Wheeler JK, Sikkema EH. Inter-tracheid pitting and the hydraulic efficiency of conifer wood: The role of tracheid allometry and cavitation protection. Am. J. Bot. 2006: 93; 1265–1273. https://doi.org/10.3732/ajb.93.9.1265 PMID: 21642190
- 114. Hacke UG, Sperry JS, Pockman WT, Davis SD, McCulloh KA. Trends in wood density and structure are linked to prevention of xylem implosion by negative pressure. Oecologia 2001: 126; 457–461. https://doi.org/10.1007/s004420100628 PMID: 28547229
- 115. Pittermann J, Sperry JS, Wheeler JK, Hacke UG, Sikkema EH. Mechanical reinforcement of tracheids compromises the hydraulic efficiency of conifer xylem. Plant Cell Environ. 2006: 29; 1618–1628. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2006.01539.x PMID: 16898022
- Ogasa M, Miki NH, Murakami Y, Yoshikawa K. Recovery performance in xylem hydraulic conductivity is correlated with cavitation resistance for temperate deciduous tree species. Tree Physiol. 2013: 33; 335–344. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpt010 PMID: 23492871
- 117. Ellsworth DS, Reich PB. Canopy structure and vertical patterns of photosynthesis and related leaf traits in a deciduous forest. Oecologia 1993: 96; 169–178. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00317729</u> PMID: 28313412
- 118. Ryan MG, Yoder BJ. Hydraulic limits to tree height and tree growth. Bioscience 1997: 47; 235–242. https://doi.org/10.2307/1313077
- 119. Rijkers T, Pons TL, Bongers F. The effect of tree height and light availability on photosynthetic leaf traits of four neotropical species differing in shade tolerance. Funct Ecol. 2000: 14; 77–86. https://doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2435.2000.00395.x
- 120. Koch GW, Sillett SC, Jennings GM, Davis SD. The limits to tree height. Nature 2004: 428; 851–854. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature02417 PMID: 15103376
- 121. Kenzo T. Ichie T. Watanabe Y. Yoneda R. Ninomiya I, Koike T. Changes in photosynthesis and leaf characteristics with tree height in five dipterocarp species in a tropical rain forest. Tree Physiol. 2006: 26; 865–873. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/26.7.865 PMID: 16585032
- 122. Kenzo T, Inoue Y, Yoshimura M, Yamashita M, Tanaka-Oda A, Ichie T. Height-related changes in leaf photosynthetic traits in diverse Bornean tropical rain forest trees. Oecologia 2015: 177; 191–202. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-014-3126-0 PMID: 25362582
- 123. Kenzo T, Iida SI, Shimizu T, Tamai K, Kabeya N, Shimizu A, et al. Seasonal and height-related changes in leaf morphological and photosynthetic traits of two dipterocarp species in a dry deciduous forest in Cambodia. Plant Ecol. Div. 2016: 9; 505–520. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/17550874.2016</u>. 1262472
- 124. Ryan MG, Phillips N, Bond BJ. The hydraulic limitation hypothesis revisited. Plant, Cell Environ. 2006: 29; 367–381. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2005.01478.x PMID: 17080592

- 125. Azuma W, Ishii HR, Kuroda K, Kuroda K. Function and structure of leaves contributing to increasing water storage with height in the tallest *Cryptomeria japonica* trees of Japan. Trees 2016: 30; 141–152. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-015-1283-3
- 126. Chin AR, Sillett SC. Within-crown plasticity in leaf traits among the tallest conifers. Am. J. Bot. 2019: 106; 174–186. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1230 PMID: 30726576
- 127. Liu Z, Hikosaka K, Li F, Jin G. Variations in leaf economics spectrum traits for an evergreen coniferous species: Tree size dominates over environment factors. Funct. Ecol. 2020: 34; 458–467. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2435.13498
- Chen L, Deng Q, Yuan Z, Mu X, Kallenbach RL.: Age-related C:N:P stoichiometry in two plantation forests in the Loess Plateau of China, Ecol. Eng., 120, 14–22, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoleng.2018.05. 021, 2018.
- 129. Tanaka-Oda A., Kenzo T., Koretsune S., et al.: Ontogenetic changes in water-use efficiency (δ13C) and leaf traits differ among tree species growing in a semiarid region of the Loess Plateau, China, For. Ecol. Manage. 259, 953–957. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2009.11.037, 2010.
- 130. Clinton PW, Allen RB, Davis MR. Nitrogen storage and availability during stand development in a New Zealand Nothofagus forest. Can. J. For. Res. 2002: 32; 344–352. https://doi.org/10.1139/x01-188
- Givnish TJ, Wong SC, Stuart-Williams H, Holloway-Phillips M, Farquhar GD. Determinants of maximum tree height in Eucalyptus species along a rainfall gradient in Victoria Australia. Ecology 2014: 95; 2991–3007. https://doi.org/10.1890/14-0240.1
- Thomas SC, Winner W. Photosynthetic differences between saplings and adult trees: an integration of field results by meta-analysis. Tree Physiol. 2002: 22; 117–127. <u>https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/22.</u> 2-3.117 PMID: 11830408
- 133. Ohta S. Initial soil changes associated with afforestation with acacia auriculiformis and *Pinus kesiya* on denuded grasslands of the pantabangan area, central luzon, the philippines. Soil Sci Plant Nutr. 1990: 36; 633–643. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.1990.10416800
- Kleinman PJA, Pimentel D, and Bryant R. B.: The ecological sustainability of slash-and-burn agriculture, Agric. Ecosyst. Environ. 1995: 52; 235–249. https://doi.org/10.1016/0167-8809(94)00531-I
- 135. Hattori D, Kenzo T, Yamauchi N, Irino KO, Kendawang JJ, Ninomiya I, et al. Effects of environmental factors on growth and mortality of *Parashorea macrophylla* (*Dipterocarpaceae*) planted on slopes and valleys in a degraded tropical secondary forest in Sarawak, Malaysia. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr. 2013: 59; 218–228. https://doi.org/10.1080/00380768.2012.762895
- 136. Niinemets Ü. Distribution patterns of foliar carbon and nitrogen as affected by tree dimensions and relative light conditions in the canopy of *Picea abies*. Trees 1997: 11; 144–154. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/pl00009663</u>
- 137. Thomas SC. Photosynthetic capacity peaks at intermediate size in temperate deciduous trees. Tree Physiol. 2010: 30; 555–573. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpq005 PMID: 20335160
- 138. Kenzo T. Yoneda R. Sano M. Araki M. Shimizu A. Tanaka-Oda A, et al. Variations in leaf photosynthetic and morphological traits with tree height in various vree species in a cambodian tropical dry evergreen forest. Japan Agric. Res. Q. 2012: 46; 167–180. https://doi.org/10.6090/jarg.46.167.
- 139. Ishii HT, Jennings GM, Sillett SC, Koch GW. Hydrostatic constraints on morphological exploitation of light in tall *Sequoia sempervirens* trees. Oecologia 2008: 156; 751–763. <u>https://doi.org/10.1007/</u> s00442-008-1032-z PMID: 18392856
- 140. Sawata S, Kato H. Effect of forest on soil (Part 4) Factors concerning the base accumulation in Sugi and Hinoki soils. Japan J. Soil. Sci. Plant Nutr. 1993: 64; 196–302, 1993 (In Japanese with English summary).
- 141. Tanikawa T, Sobue A, Hirano Y. Acidification processes in soils with different acid buffering capacity in *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* forests over two decades. For. Ecol. Manage. 2014: 334; 284–292. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2014.08.036
- Koerselman W, Meuleman AFM. The vegetation N:P ratio: a new tool to detect the nature of nutrient limitation. J. Appl. Ecol. 1996: 33; 1441–1450. https://doi.org/10.2307/2404783
- 143. Fredericksen TS, Steiner KC, Skelly JM, Joyce BJ, Kolb TE, Kouterick KB, et al. Diel and seasonal patterns of leaf gas exchange and xylem water potentials of different-sized *Prunus serotina* Ehrh. trees. For. Sci. 1996: 42; 359–365. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestscience/42.3.359
- 144. McDowell NG, Phillips N, Lunch C, Bond BJ, Ryan MG: An investigation of hydraulic limitation and compensation in large, old Douglas-fir trees, Tree Physiol. 2002: 22; 763–774. <u>https://doi.org/10. 1093/treephys/22.11.763</u> PMID: 12184980
- 145. Woodruff DR, Bond BJ, Meinzer FC. Does turgor limit growth in tall trees? Plant, Cell Environ. 2004: 27; 229–236. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3040.2003.01141.x

- 146. Ambrose AR, Sillett SC, Dawson TE. Effects of tree height on branch hydraulics, leaf structure and gas exchange in California redwoods. Plant, Cell Environ. 2009: 32; 743–757. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1365-3040.2009.01950.x PMID: 19210642
- 147. Matsuzaki J, Norisada M, Kodaira J, Suzuki M, Tange T. Shoots grafted into the upper crowns of tall Japanese cedar (*Cryptomeria japonica* D. Don) show foliar gas exchange characteristics similar to those of intact shoots. Trees 2005: 19; 198–203. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-004-0382-3
- 148. Inoue Y, Ichie T, Kenzo T, Yoneyama A, Kumagai T, Nakashizuka T. Effects of rainfall exclusion on leaf gas exchange traits and osmotic adjustment in mature canopy trees of *Dryobalanops aromatica* (*Dipterocarpaceae*) in a Malaysian tropical rain forest. Tree Physiol. 2017: 37; 1301–1311. https://doi. org/10.1093/treephys/tpx053 PMID: 28541561
- 149. Mediavilla S, Escuderl A. Mature trees versus seedlings: Differences in leaf traits and gas exchange patterns in three co-occurring Mediterranean oaks. Ann. For. Sci. 2003: 60; 455–460. https://doi.org/ 10.1051/forest
- Coble AP, Autio A, Cavaleri MA, Binkley D, Ryan MG: Converging patterns of vertical variability in leaf morphology and nitrogen across seven Eucalyptus plantations in Brazil and Hawaii, USA. Trees 2014: 28; 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-013-0925-6
- 151. Shiraki A, Azuma W, Kuroda K, Ishii HR. Physiological and morphological acclimation to height in cupressoid leaves of 100-year-old *Chamaecyparis obtusa*. Tree Physiol. 2016: 37; 1327–1336. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/tpw096
- 152. Pittermann J, Stuart SA, Dawson TE, Moreau A. Cenozoic climate change shaped the evolutionary ecophysiology of the *Cupressaceae* conifers. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2012: 109; 9647–9652. <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1114378109</u> PMID: 22628565
- **153.** Gifford EM, Foster AS. Morphology and evolution of vascular plants. New York: W. H. Freeman and Company; 1989.
- 154. Gleason SM, Westoby M, Jansen S, Choat B, Hacke UG, Prat RB, et al. Weak tradeoff between xylem safety and xylem-specific hydraulic efficiency across the world's woody plant species. New Phytol. 2016: 209; 123–136. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13646 PMID: 26378984
- 155. Farjon A. Natural history of conifers. Portland: Timber Press; 2008.
- 156. Anderegg WRL. Spatial and temporal variation in plant hydraulic traits and their relevance for climate change impacts on vegetation. New Phytol. 2015: 205; 1008–1014. <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.</u> 12907 PMID: 25729797
- 157. Berzaghi F, Wright IJ, Kramer K, Oddou-Muratorio S, Bohn FJ, Reyer CPO, et al. Towards a New Generation of Trait-Flexible Vegetation Models. Trends Ecol. Evol. 2020: 35; 191–205. <u>https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.tree.2019.11.006 PMID: 31882280</u>
- **158.** Ogawa S. Drought damge of *Cryptomeria japonica* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* in Kyushu region of Japan. For. Pests 1996: 45; 62–69 (In Japanese with English summary).
- **159.** Sanui K. Drought damages on forest in 1994 at Kyushu region. Forest Pests 1998: 47; 2–9 (In Japanese with English summary).