

EDITORIAL

Taiwan Atrial Fibrillation Score: A New Clinical Tool for Predicting New Onset Atrial Fibrillation in Asian Populations

Mohamad El Moheb, MD; Marwan M. Refaat , MD

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most commonly sustained cardiac arrhythmia worldwide, affecting 1% to 2% of the overall population.¹ AF is associated with increased risk of stroke, coronary artery disease, heart failure, and all-cause death^{2,3}; however, it is often asymptomatic and paroxysmal and can therefore go undiagnosed. The diagnosis of AF is sometimes established after a thromboembolic event. Recent efforts have focused on developing strategies for early detection of AF so that interventions may be implemented to decrease the associated morbidity and devastating sequelae of the disease. Prospective cohort studies are helpful in addressing knowledge gaps in disease risks.⁴ Several risk scores have been derived to identify patients who are at high risk of developing AF and in whom frequent screening should be considered. Examples include the FHS (Framingham Heart Study) score,⁵ the ARIC (Atherosclerosis Risk In Communities Study) score,⁶ and the CHARGE-AF (Cohorts for Heart and Aging Research in Genomic Epidemiology- Atrial Fibrillation) score.⁷ However, existing models in the literature have focused on White and Black populations with little emphasis being placed on Asian individuals.

new clinical score—the Taiwan AF score—to identify patients at high risk of AF.⁸ The score was developed using the NHIRD (National Health Insurance Research Database), which is a large nationwide registry with detailed healthcare data on >99% of the Taiwanese population. Data from patients aged ≥ 40 years who do not have a history of cardiac arrhythmias were used for model derivation. Patients were followed up for 16 years and the time to occurrence of AF was recorded. A total of 438 930 patients were included and a backwards Cox regression model was developed to identify the independent predictors of AF. The variables included in the model were age, sex, and the following comorbidities: hypertension, diabetes mellitus, heart failure, history of stroke, coronary artery disease, peripheral artery disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, autoimmune disease, liver cirrhosis, cancer, hyperthyroidism, alcoholism, and gout. A point scoring system was developed ranging between -2 and 15 , and the incidence of AF after 1-, 3-, 5-, 7-, 10-, 12-, and 16-year follow-up was computed for each value. Patients with a score between -2 and 3 were classified as low risk and had an annual risk of AF incidence of 0.21% per year, those with a score between 4 and 9 were classified as intermediate risk and had an annual risk of AF incidence of 1.31% per year, and those with a score ≥ 10 were classified as high risk and had an annual risk of AF incidence of 3.37% per year. The models were all robust and had areas under the curves

See Article by Chao et al.

In this issue of the *Journal of the American Heart Association (JAHA)*, Chao and colleagues present a

Key Words: Editorials ■ atrial fibrillation ■ cardiac arrhythmias ■ cardiovascular diseases ■ heart diseases

The opinions expressed in this article are not necessarily those of the editors or of the American Heart Association.

Correspondence to: Marwan M. Refaat, MD, FACC, FAHA, FHRS, FASE, FESC, FACP, FAAMA, Department of Internal Medicine, Cardiovascular Medicine/ Cardiac Electrophysiology, Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics, American University of Beirut Faculty of Medicine and Medical Center, PO Box 11-0236, Riad El-Solh 1107 2020- Beirut, Lebanon. 3 Dag Hammarskjold Plaza, 8th Floor, New York, NY 10017. E-mail: mr48@aub.edu.lb

© 2021 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha

ranging between 0.756 for 16-year follow-up and 0.857 for 1-year follow-up.

The authors must be commended on this study that has important clinical applications. The Taiwan AF score will help physicians identify patients who are at high risk of developing AF and who warrant more frequent disease screening and more aggressive management of risk factors. Importantly, the score was specifically developed for Asian patients whose risk of AF may not be as reliably assessed as Western patients if computed using existing scores (eg, FHS, ARIC, CHARGE-AF). The comorbidity profile and risk factors for AF differ between Westerners and Asian patients. Asian patients have a higher prevalence of hypertension and stroke, and a lower prevalence of AF, heart disease, and obesity.^{9,10} In addition, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and alcohol excess are more important risk factors for new onset AF in Asian patients than in Westerners whereas hypertension and obesity are more important risk factors in Westerners than in Asian patients.¹¹ A few scores have been previously derived using data from Asian populations. The coronary artery disease or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, hypertension, elderly, systolic, thyroid score was developed using a large cohort of Chinese patients and was validated on an external cohort of Korean patients.¹² The authors demonstrated that their score outperforms that in the Korean study in predicting new onset AF, which highlights the nuances between different Asian populations since the incidence of AF is significantly lower in China and Korea than in Taiwan.^{13,14} The Suita score was derived using data from Japanese patients and can predict the 10-year risk of new onset AF.¹⁵ The hypertension, age >75 years, stroke or transient ischemic attack, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, and heart failure score was initially developed to estimate the risk of progression from paroxysmal to permanent AF using data from Taiwanese patients and was later validated as an accurate predictive tool for new onset AF.¹⁶ Further research is needed to compare the predictive accuracy of these scores to the Taiwan AF score. Another strength of the study is the simplicity of the score. It can be easily computed during general health examination and in outpatient clinics without the need for laboratory, electrocardiogram, or echocardiogram evaluation. The Suita score, in comparison, has a certain degree of complexity because information on high-density lipoprotein levels and cardiac murmurs is needed. Finally, the model leverages health insurance data from virtually all Taiwanese patients and the risk of selection bias is therefore very low.

Despite the utility of the findings, this study faces several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, well-established risk factors for AF such as body mass index and smoking were missing from the insurance registry used to derive the model. This also limited the

ability of the authors to compare their score to ARIC, FHS, and CHARGE-AF. Second, the model was internally validated using bootstrapping methodology without cross-validation in an external cohort. Therefore, the findings may not be generalizable to other Asian populations. Finally, the prevalence of AF is likely underreported in the database because the disease is often asymptomatic and can go undiagnosed for a long period of time.

The incidence of AF in Asian populations is steadily increasing with an estimated rate of 5.38 per 1000 patient years.¹⁷ The widespread application of the Taiwan AF tool may improve the detection rate of AF thereby mitigating the overall burden of the disease. Should the Taiwan AF score replace existing scores to predict new onset AF among Asian individuals? The score is simple and performs remarkably well in the cohort from which it was derived; however, further studies are needed to externally validate the tool in other Asian populations and to compare its predictive performance to that of other existing tools. Finally, further research is needed to assess whether the Taiwan AF score can accurately stratify the risk of thromboembolic events.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Affiliations

Division of Trauma Emergency Surgery and Surgical Critical Care, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA (M.E.M.); and Division of Cardiology, Department of Internal Medicine, American University of Beirut Medical Center, Beirut, Lebanon (M.M.R.).

REFERENCES

1. Chung MK, Refaat M, Shen W-K, Kutiyifa V, Cha Y-M, Di Biase L, Baranchuk A, Lampert R, Natale A, Fisher J, et al. Atrial fibrillation: JACC council perspectives. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2020;75:1689–1713. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2020.02.025.
2. Gómez-Outes A, Lagunar-Ruiz J, Terleira-Fernández A-I, Calvo-Rojas G, Suárez-Gea ML, Vargas-Castrillón E. Causes of death in anticoagulated patients with atrial fibrillation. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2016;68:2508–2521. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2016.09.944.
3. Lee HY, Yang P-S, Kim T-H, Uhm J-S, Pak H-N, Lee M-H, Joung B. Atrial fibrillation and the risk of myocardial infarction: a nation-wide propensity-matched study. *Sci Rep*. 2017;7:1–8. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-017-13061-4.
4. AlJaroudi WA, Refaat MM, Habib RH, Al-Shaar L, Singh M, Gutmann R, Bloom HL, Dudley SC, Ellinor PT, Saba SF, et al. Effect of angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors and receptor blockers on appropriate implantable cardiac defibrillator shock: insights from the GRADE Multicenter Registry. *Am J Cardiol*. 2015;115:924–931. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2015.01.020.
5. Schnabel RB, Sullivan LM, Levy D, Pencina MJ, Massaro JM, D'Agostino RB, Newton-Cheh C, Yamamoto JF, Magnani JW, Tadros TM, et al. Development of a risk score for atrial fibrillation (Framingham Heart Study): a community-based cohort study. *Lancet*. 2009;373:739–745. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(09)60443-8.
6. Chamberlain AM, Agarwal SK, Folsom AR, Soliman EZ, Chambless LE, Crow R, Ambrose M, Alonso A. A clinical risk score for atrial fibrillation in a biracial prospective cohort (from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities [ARIC] study). *Am J Cardiol*. 2011;107:85–91. DOI: 10.1016/j.amjcard.2010.08.049.

7. Alonso A, Krijthe BP, Aspelund T, Stepas KA, Pencina MJ, Moser CB, Sinner MF, Sotoodehnia N, Fontes JD, Janssens ACJW, et al. Simple risk model predicts incidence of atrial fibrillation in a racially and geographically diverse population: the CHARGE-AF consortium. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2013;2:e000102. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.112.000102.
8. Chao T-F, Chiang C-E, Chen T-J, Liao J-N, Tuan T-C, Chen S-A. Clinical Risk Score for the Prediction of Incident Atrial Fibrillation: Derivation in 7 220 654 Taiwan Patients With 438 930 Incident Atrial Fibrillations During a 16-Year Follow-Up. *J Am Heart Assoc.* 2021;10:e020194. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.120.020194.
9. Chugh SS, Havmoeller R, Narayanan K, Singh D, Rienstra M, Benjamin EJ, Gillum RF, Kim Y-H, McAnulty JH Jr, Zheng Z-J, et al. Worldwide epidemiology of atrial fibrillation: a Global Burden of Disease 2010 Study. *Circulation.* 2014;129:837–847. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.113.005119.
10. Emdin CA, Wong CX, Hsiao AJ, Altman DG, Peters SA, Woodward M, Odutayo AA. Atrial fibrillation as risk factor for cardiovascular disease and death in women compared with men: systematic review and meta-analysis of cohort studies. *BMJ.* 2016;352:h7013. DOI: 10.1136/bmj.h7013.
11. Li Y, Pastori D, Guo Y, Wang Y, Lip GY. Risk factors for new-onset atrial fibrillation: a focus on Asian populations. *Int J Cardiol.* 2018;261:92–98. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijcard.2018.02.051.
12. Li Y-G, Pastori D, Farcomeni A, Yang P-S, Jang E, Joung B, Wang Y-T, Guo Y-T, Lip GYH. A simple clinical risk score (C₂H₂EST) for predicting incident atrial fibrillation in Asian subjects: derivation in 471,446 Chinese subjects, with internal validation and external application in 451,199 Korean subjects. *Chest.* 2019;155:510–518. DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2018.09.011.
13. Chao T-F, Liu C-J, Tuan T-C, Chen T-J, Hsieh M-H, Lip GYH, Chen S-A. Lifetime risks, projected numbers, and adverse outcomes in Asian patients with atrial fibrillation: a report from the Taiwan nationwide AF cohort study. *Chest.* 2018;153:453–466. DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2017.10.001.
14. Kim D, Yang P-S, Jang E, Yu HT, Kim T-H, Uhm J-S, Kim J-Y, Pak H-N, Lee M-H, Joung B, et al. 10-year nationwide trends of the incidence, prevalence, and adverse outcomes of non-valvular atrial fibrillation nationwide health insurance data covering the entire Korean population. *Am Heart J.* 2018;202:20–26. DOI: 10.1016/j.ahj.2018.04.017.
15. Kokubo Y, Watanabe M, Higashiyama A, Nakao YM, Kusano K, Miyamoto Y. Development of a basic risk score for incident atrial fibrillation in a Japanese general population—the Suita study. *Circ J.* 2017;81:1580–1588. DOI: 10.1253/circj.CJ-17-0277.
16. Suenari K, Chao T-F, Liu C-J, Kihara Y, Chen T-J, Chen S-A. Usefulness of HATCH score in the prediction of new-onset atrial fibrillation for Asians. *Medicine.* 2017;96:e5597. DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000000005597.
17. Bai Y, Wang Y-L, Shantsila A, Lip GY. The global burden of atrial fibrillation and stroke: a systematic review of the clinical epidemiology of atrial fibrillation in Asia. *Chest.* 2017;152:810–820. DOI: 10.1016/j.chest.2017.03.048.