WŨ

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2021 December 7; 27(45): 7831-7843

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i45.7831

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Observational Study In-hospital mortality of hepatorenal syndrome in the United States: Nationwide inpatient sample

Wisit Kaewput, Charat Thongprayoon, Carissa Y Dumancas, Swetha R Kanduri, Karthik Kovvuru, Chalermrat Kaewput, Pattharawin Pattharanitima, Tananchai Petnak, Ploypin Lertjitbanjong, Boonphiphop Boonpheng, Karn Wijarnpreecha, Jose L Zabala Genovez, Saraschandra Vallabhajosyula, Caroline C Jadlowiec, Fawad Qureshi, Wisit Cheungpasitporn

ORCID number: Wisit Kaewput 0000-0003-2920-7235; Charat Thongprayoon 0000-0002-8313-3604; Carissa Y Dumancas 0000-0001-6932-5477; Swetha R Kanduri 0000-0002-7190-6665; Karthik Kovvuru 0000-0003-2640-724X; Chalermrat Kaewput 0000-0001-6981-545X; Pattharawin Pattharanitima 0000-0002-6010-0033; Tananchai Petnak 0000-0002-7633-4029; Ploypin Lertjitbanjong 0000-0002-5064-4483; Boonphiphop Boonpheng 0000-0002-3022-8861; Karn Wijarnpreecha 0000-0002-6232-6343; Jose L Zabala Genovez 0000-0002-8898-8134; Saraschandra Vallabhajosyula 0000-0002-1631-8238; Caroline C Jadlowiec 0000-0001-7860-9519; Fawad Qureshi 0000-0003-3387-4882; Wisit Cheungpasitporn 0000-0001-9954-9711.

Author contributions: Kaewput W was responsible for interpretation of data, revising the article, final approval; Thongprayoon C, Dumancas CY, Kanduri SR, Kovvuru K, Pattharanitima P, Boonpheng B, and Zabala Genovez JL were responsible for acquisition of data, drafting the article; Petnak T, Lertjitbanjong P, and Wijarnpreecha K, Vallabhajosyula S, Jadlowiec CC, and Qureshi F were responsible for interpretation Wisit Kaewput, Department of Military and Community Medicine, Phramongkutklao College of Medicine, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Charat Thongprayoon, Carissa Y Dumancas, Jose L Zabala Genovez, Fawad Qureshi, Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, United States

Swetha R Kanduri, Karthik Kovvuru, Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Ochsner Clinic Foundation, New Orleans, LA 70121, United States

Chalermrat Kaewput, Division of Nuclear Medicine, Department of Radiology, Faculty of Medicine Siriraj Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Pattharawin Pattharanitima, Department of Internal Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Thammasat University, Pathum Thani 12121, Thailand

Tananchai Petnak, Division of Pulmonary and Pulmonary Critical Care Medicine, Faculty of Medicine, Ramathibodi Hospital, Mahidol University, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

Ploypin Lertjitbanjong, Division of Pulmonary, Critical Care, and Sleep Medicine, University of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN 13326, United States

Boonphiphop Boonpheng, Division of Nephrology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, United States

Karn Wijarnpreecha, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, United States

Saraschandra Vallabhajosyula, Section of Cardiovascular Medicine, Department of Medicine, Wake Forest University School of Medicine, Winston-Salem, NC 27101, United States

Caroline C Jadlowiec, Division of Transplant Surgery, Mayo Clinic, Phoenix, AZ 85054, United States

Wisit Cheungpasitporn, Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN 55905, United States



of data, revising the article, final approval; Cheungpasitporn W performed the overall supervision and final approval.

Institutional review board

statement: The Mayo Clinic Institutional Review Board approved this study (IRB number 21-007353 and date of approval; July 27, 2021).

Informed consent statement: The

Mayo Clinic institutional review board approved this study and exempted the need for informed consent because the data in NIS database was publicly available and de-identified.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The

authors deny any conflict of interest.

Data sharing statement: We

conducted a retrospective cohort study of hospital admissions for HRS from 2005 to 2014 in the National Inpatient Sample (NIS) database (publicly available and de-identified).

STROBE statement: The authors have read the STROBE Statementchecklist of items, and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the STROBE Statement-checklist of items.

Country/Territory of origin: United States

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review:

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): A Grade B (Very good): B, B, B Grade C (Good): 0 Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external

Corresponding author: Wisit Cheungpasitporn, FACP, Associate Professor, Division of Nephrology and Hypertension, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, 200 First St. SW, Rochester, MN 55905, United States. wcheungpasitporn@gmail.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a life-threatening condition among patients with advanced liver disease. Data trends specific to hospital mortality and hospital admission resource utilization for HRS remain limited.

AIM

To assess the temporal trend in mortality and identify the predictors for mortality among hospital admissions for HRS in the United States.

METHODS

We used the National Inpatient Sample database to identify an unweighted sample of 4938 hospital admissions for HRS from 2005 to 2014 (weighted sample of 23973 admissions). The primary outcomes were temporal trends in mortality as well as predictors for hospital mortality. We estimated odds ratios from multilevel mixed effect logistic regression to identify patient characteristics and treatments associated with hospital mortality.

RESULTS

Overall hospital mortality was 32%. Hospital mortality decreased from 44% in 2005 to 24% in 2014 (P < 0.001), while there was an increase in the rate of liver transplantation (P = 0.02), renal replacement therapy (P < 0.001), length of hospital stay (P < 0.001), and hospitalization cost (P < 0.001). On multivariable analysis, older age, alcohol use, coagulopathy, neurological disorder, and need for mechanical ventilation predicted higher hospital mortality, whereas liver transplantation, transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt, and abdominal paracentesis were associated with lower hospital mortality.

CONCLUSION

Although there was an increase in resource utilizations, hospital mortality among patients admitted for HRS significantly improved. Several predictors for hospital mortality were identified.

Key Words: Hepatorenal syndrome; Liver transplantation; Mortality; Nationwide; Big data; Hospitalization; Outcomes; Predictors

©The Author(s) 2021. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: In this study, we utilized the national inpatient sample database to assess the temporal trend in mortality and identify predictors for mortality among hospital admissions for hepatorenal syndrome in the United States. We demonstrated that the overall hospital mortality was 32%. Hospital mortality decreased from 44% in 2005 to 24% in 2014. There was an increase in the rate of liver transplantation, renal replacement therapy, length of hospital stay, and hospitalization cost.

Citation: Kaewput W, Thongprayoon C, Dumancas CY, Kanduri SR, Kovvuru K, Kaewput C, Pattharanitima P, Petnak T, Lertjitbanjong P, Boonpheng B, Wijarnpreecha K, Zabala Genovez JL, Vallabhajosyula S, Jadlowiec CC, Qureshi F, Cheungpasitporn W. In-hospital mortality of hepatorenal syndrome in the United States: Nationwide inpatient sample. World J Gastroenterol 2021; 27(45): 7831-7843

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v27/i45/7831.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v27.i45.7831



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: htt p://creativecommons.org/License s/by-nc/4.0/

Received: September 4, 2021 Peer-review started: September 4, 2021

First decision: October 16, 2021 Revised: October 24, 2021 Accepted: November 25, 2021 Article in press: November 25, 2021 Published online: December 7, 2021

P-Reviewer: Kowalewski G, Kulkarni AV, Singh SA, Zaghloul MS S-Editor: Fan JR L-Editor: A P-Editor: Fan JR



INTRODUCTION

Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a serious complication of cirrhosis with an incidence as high as 32% among patients with advanced liver disease [1-7]. Previous studies have consistently demonstrated high morbidity, mortality, and resource utilizations[1,8-17]. Several factors have been associated with poor outcomes, including high model for end stage liver disease (MELD) score[18], degree of acute kidney injury (AKI)[11,19], extrahepatic organ failure^[20], and sepsis^[18,21].

In recent decades, there have been significant advances in knowledge, treatment, and optimal management of patients with HRS[1,7-17,22-24]. While terlipressin, a synthetic vasopressin analog with predominant vasopressin 1A receptor effect[25], has been used to treat HRS in many Asian and European countries, it is currently not yet available in the United States for the treatment of HRS[1,26]. Thus, currently available treatment options for HRS in the United States include albumin volume expansion, octreotide with or without midodrine, and intravenous cardiovascular medications like vasopressin and norepinephrine^[1]. Nevertheless, there have been improvements in the overall care for patients with HRS, including liver transplantation and renal replacement therapy. In addition, several studies have suggested the use of transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt (TIPS) for patients with HRS[27-29]. However, data specific to HRS, hospital mortality trends, and hospital admission resource utilization remain limited.

In this study, we aimed to evaluate patient characteristics, in-hospital treatments, mortality, and resource utilization during hospital admissions for HRS in the United States. We also assessed the temporal trend in mortality and identified the predictors for mortality.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study population

We conducted a retrospective cohort study of hospital admissions for HRS from 2005 to 2014 in the national inpatient sample (NIS) database. The detail of the NIS database was previously described [30]. We identified hospital admission with a primary discharge diagnosis using the international classification of disease-9 (ICD-9) diagnosis code of 572.4. The Mayo Clinic institutional review board approved this study (IRB number 21-007353 and date of approval; July 27, 2021) and exempted the need for informed consent because the data in NIS database was publicly available and deidentified.

Data collection

We abstracted patient and hospital characteristics, procedures, outcomes, and resource utilization from the database (Supplementary Table 1). Patient characteristics included age, sex, race, etiology of liver disease, medical comorbidity based on Elixhauser index [31], and admission day. Hospital characteristics included hospital size, ownership, location, teaching status, and region. Procedures included renal replacement therapy, liver transplantation, TIPS, abdominal paracentesis, and mechanical ventilation. Outcomes included hospital mortality, resource utilization, including length of hospital stay, and hospitalization cost. Since this study used data over 10 different calendar years, we adjusted hospitalization costs for inflation using the consumer price index and converted them to 2014 United States dollar equivalents.

Statistical analysis

The NIS database contains hospitalization data from a stratified sample of 20% of hospitals in the United States. As such, we used discharge weight provided by the Healthcare Cost and Utilization (HCUP) to estimate the total number of hospital admissions for HRS. We used descriptive statistics to summarize patient and hospital characteristics, procedures, outcomes, and resource use of HRS admission. We fitted logistic regression model for hospital mortality and liver transplantation, and standard least square linear regression for length of hospital stay, and hospitalization cost, using calendar years as the independent variable to assess the annual trend from 2005 through 2014. We estimated adjusted odds ratio (OR) for hospital mortality from multivariable multi-level mixed effect logistic regression, employing hospital identification number as random effect with patients-level characteristics clustered within hospital-level characteristics. We performed all statistical analyses using STATA, version 15 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, United States).



RESULTS

Patient characteristics, in-hospital treatments, outcomes, and resource use in hospital admission for HRS

There were 4938 hospital admissions with HRS as the primary diagnosis in the unweighted sample and 23973 admissions in the weighted sample. Table 1 shows patient and hospital characteristics of hospital admissions for HRS. The mean age was 58.8 ± 12.3 years, and the majority of patients were males (63%). Alcohol-related liver disease (46%) and viral hepatitis (25%) were the most common liver disease etiologies. Most patients were admitted to large urban teaching hospitals. Of those patients admitted for HRS, 21% received renal replacement therapy and 2% underwent liver transplant during their hospitalization. During this 10-year period, there was a 32% mortality observed for HRS admissions. The mean length of hospital stay was 8.8 d and the mean hospitalization cost was 73731 United States dollars.

Trends in hospital mortality, liver transplantation, length of stay, hospitalization cost in hospital admission for HRS

Table 2 showed the annual trend in hospital mortality, liver transplantation, length of hospital stay, and hospitalization cost in HRS admissions from 2005 to 2014.

There was a decreasing trend in hospital mortality from 44% in 2005 to 24% in 2014 among hospital admissions for HRS in the United States (OR: 0.92, 95%CI: 0.90-0.94 per year; *P* < 0.001) (Figure 1A).

Meanwhile, there was an increase in the rate of liver transplantation (OR: 1.11, 95% CI: 1.02-1.20 per year; P = 0.02) (Figure 1B) and renal replacement therapy (OR: 1.05, 95% CI: 1.02-1.08 per year; P < 0.001) (Figure 1C) performed in hospitalization for HRS.

There was an increasing trend in mean length of hospital stay (coefficient estimate 0.2 d per year; P < 0.001 (Figure 1D) and hospitalization cost (coefficient estimate 5778 United States dollars per year; P < 0.001) (Figure 1E) among hospitalization for HRS during 10-year period from 2005 to 2014.

Predictors for hospital mortality

In multivariable analysis (Table 3), older age (OR: 1.45 for 40-59 years, 1.77 for 60-79 years, 2.12 for \ge 80 years, compared to 18-39 years; all *P* < 0.001), alcohol use (OR: 1.35; P < 0.001), coagulopathy (OR: 1.15; P = 0.001), and presence of a neurological disorder (OR: 1.38; P < 0.001) predicted higher hospital mortality.

Need for mechanical ventilation (OR: 9.24; P < 0.001) was associated with higher mortality, whereas liver transplantation (OR: 0.15; P < 0.001) and TIPS (OR: 0.23; P < 0.001), and abdominal paracentesis (OR: 0.48; P < 0.001) were associated with lower hospital mortality. Renal replacement therapy was not significantly associated with mortality risk.

DISCUSSION

In this study based on a large United States database of hospitalizations, the mortality rate for hospitalized patients with HRS decreased by approximately 50% during the 10-year study period. During the same period, there was a 2-fold increase in the incidence of HRS patients receiving a liver transplant and the incidence of in-hospital renal replacement therapy increased by 60%. Notably, there were also increase in length of hospital stay and a 2-fold increase in the estimated hospital cost, which is likely related to higher utilization of healthcare resources. This highlights the high economic burden of chronic liver disease in the United States[32,33].

The marked improvement in the in-hospital mortality rate for HRS is likely reflective of changes in both medical and surgical management during the study period. Our study shows that there was an apparent increase in the number of liver transplants and renal replacement therapy around 2007 to 2008. This trend coincided with overall changes in clinical practice over the preceding years[34]. Although the unique pathophysiology of HRS has long been recognized as a functional renal failure occurring as a result of advanced liver disease[35], its treatment, including the initiation of in-hospital dialysis, and the role for liver transplantation have significantly evolved[36]. Historically, the initiation of renal replacement therapy in patients with HRS was felt to be controversial and futile. Increasing experience with liver transplantation in the setting of HRS as well as improved access to continuous renal



Table 1 Patient characteristics, in-hospital treatments, outcomes, and resource use in hospital admission for hepatorenal syndrome (mean ± SD)

	Unweighted, <i>n</i> (%)	Unweighted % ± SE	Weighted, <i>n</i> (%)	Weighted % ± SE
Total, <i>n</i> (%)	4938		23973	
Sex				
Male	3130	63.39 ± 0.68	15183	63.33 ± 0.31
Female	1808	36.61 ± 0.68	8790	36.67 ± 0.31
Age (yr)		58.8 ± 12.3		58.8 ± 12.3
18-39	266	5.39 ± 0.32	1299	5.42 ± 0.15
40-59	2461	49.84 ± 0.71	11933	49.77 ± 0.32
60-79	1927	39.02 ± 0.69	9365	39.06 ± 0.31
≥ 80	284	5.75 ± 0.33	1376	5.74 ± 0.15
Race				
White	3098	72.23 ± 0.68	15050	72.12 ± 0.31
Black	421	9.81 ± 0.45	2055	9.85 ± 0.21
Hispanic	511	11.91 ± 0.49	2495	11.95 ± 0.22
Asian/Pacific islander	81	1.89 ± 0.21	395	1.89 ± 0.09
Native American	57	1.33 ± 0.17	280	1.34 ± 0.07
Other	121	2.82 ± 0.25	593	2.84 ± 0.11
Admission day				
Weekday	3955	80.09 ± 0.57	19223	80.18 ± 0.26
Weekend	983	19.91 ± 0.57	4751	19.82 ± 0.26
Liver disease etiology				
Alcoholic liver disease	2249	45.54 ± 0.71	10935	45.61 ± 0.32
Viral hepatitis	1218	24.66 ± 0.61	5915	24.67 ± 0.28
Comorbidities				
Diabetes Mellitus	1260	25.52 ± 0.62	6132	25.58 ± 0.28
Hypertension	1937	39.23 ± 0.69	9437	39.36 ± 0.32
Fluid/electrolyte disorders	3548	71.85 ± 0.64	17233	71.88 ± 0.29
Coagulopathy	2115	42.83 ± 0.70	10286	42.90 ± 0.32
Anemia	1937	39.23 ± 0.69	9422	39.30 ± 0.31
Weight loss	872	17.66 ± 0.54	4255	17.75 ± 0.25
Cancer	658	13.32 ± 0.48	3198	13.34 ± 0.22
Congestive heart failure	630	12.76 ± 0.47	3042	12.69 ± 0.21
Chronic pulmonary disease	613	12.41 ± 0.47	2973	12.40 ± 0.21
Obesity	456	9.23 ± 0.41	2218	9.25 ± 0.19
Neurological disorders	234	4.74 ± 0.30	1147	4.78 ± 0.14
Pulmonary circulation disorders	176	3.56 ± 0.26	847	3.53 ± 0.12
Valvular disease	164	3.32 ± 0.25	795	3.32 ± 0.12
Peripheral vascular disorders	119	2.41 ± 0.22	587	2.45 ± 0.10
Depression	413	8.36 ± 0.39	2002	8.35 ± 0.18
HIV/AIDS	36	0.73 ± 0.12	173	0.72 ± 0.05
Substance use				



Kaewput W et al. HRS in the United States

Smoking	583	11.81 ± 0.46	2825	11.78 ± 0.21	
Alcohol	1930	39.08 ± 0.69	9394	39.19 ± 0.31	
Drug use	209	4.23 ± 0.29	1023	4.27 ± 0.13	
Bed size					
Small	611	12.30 ± 0.50	2898	12.09 ± 0.21	
Medium	1210	24.41 ± 0.66	5905	24.63 ± 0.28	
Large	3117	63.28 ± 0.74	15171	63.28 ± 0.31	
Location/Teaching status					
Rural	651	13.89 ± 0.53	3167	13.21 ± 0.22	
Urban, non-teaching	1723	36.76 ± 0.74	8320	34.70 ± 0.31	
Urban, teaching	2564	49.35 ± 0.77	12487	52.08 ± 0.32	
Hospital region					
Northeast	984	20.24 ± 0.62	4817	20.09 ± 0.26	
Midwest	1122	23.03 ± 0.65	5406	22.55 ± 0.27	
South	1699	34.03 ± 0.73	8261	34.46 ± 0.31	
West	1133	22.70 ± 0.64	5489	22.90 ± 0.27	
Medical procedures/interventions					
Renal replacement therapy	1018	20.61 ± 0.58	4929	20.56 ± 0.26	
Paracentesis	2226	45.08 ± 0.71	10843	45.23 ± 0.32	
Mechanical ventilation	499	10.10 ± 0.43	2412	10.06 ± 0.19	
TIPS	46	0.93 ± 0.14	218	0.91 ± 0.06	
Liver transplantation	85	1.68 ± 0.18	404	1.68 ± 0.08	
LTA	66	1.34 ± 0.16	321	1.34 ± 0.07	
SLKT	19	0.38 ± 0.09	93	0.39 ± 0.04	
Outcomes					
Mortality	1573	31.90 ± 0.66	7616	31.81 ± 0.30	
Length of hospital stay (d)		8.8 ± 10.9		8.8 ± 11.0	
Hospitalization cost (United States \$)		735701 ± 135526		73731 ± 135876	

SE: Standard error; HIV/AIDS: Human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; TIPS: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt; LTA: Liver transplant alone; SLKT: Simultaneous liver-kidney transplantation.

replacement have resulted in a change in practice and a decrease in mortality[37].

In 2007-2008, multiple randomized control trials on terlipressin were published and have influenced the medical management HRS as well as patient outcomes[9-11,14, 15]. Studies have shown potential beneficial effects of terlipressin, a potent selective splanchnic and extrarenal vasoconstrictor, on kidney function among patients with HRS[10,38,39]. Additionally, non-response to vasoconstrictors can also predict HRS mortality[40,41]. Unfortunately, as of 2020, the FDA has not yet approved the use of terlipressin for HRS in the United States. Results from the phase 3 trial terlipressin did not show any significant survival benefit and its use was associated with adverse events, such as respiratory failure [42,43]. Although terlipressin is currently not yet available in the United States[1,26], the observed findings of decreasing mortality trends for HRS in the Unites States are likely due to improvements in healthcare, increased access and acceptance of chronic intermittent hemodialysis for patients with liver disease as well as increased acceptance of liver transplantation for patients with acute decompensation[44].

In addition to liver transplantation, our study interestingly showed that TIPS and abdominal paracentesis were associated with lower hospital mortality among patients with HRS. Possible mechanisms underlying reduced mortality among patients who received paracentesis were that those who had abdominal paracentesis received more



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 The annual trend in hospital mortality, liver transplantation, renal replacement therapy, length of hospital stay, hospitalization cost in hepatorenal syndrome admission from 2005 to 2014 (mean ± SD)

Year	Unweighted sample¹	Weighted sample ¹	Hospital mortality weighted % ± SE	Liver transplantation weighted % ± SE	Renal replacement therapy weighted % ± SE	Length of stay (d)	Hospital cost (United States \$)
Total	4931	23941	31.8 ± 0.7	1.7 ± 0.2	20.6 ± 0.6	8.8 ± 11.0	73731 ± 135876
2005	312	1471	43.8 ± 2.8	0.7 ± 0.5	13.8 ± 2.0	8.2 ± 8.6	42857 ± 67978
2006	330	1551	40.8 ± 2.7	0.6 ± 0.4	13.6 ± 1.9	7.2 ± 7.6	41841 ± 67254
2007	287	1358	36.7 ± 2.9	1.1 ± 0.6	17.0 ± 2.2	8.0 ± 8.9	49879 ± 77833
2008	367	1737	37.1 ± 2.5	1.9 ± 0.7	21.7 ± 2.2	8.6 ± 9.4	65419 ± 109901
2009	486	2363	31.1 ± 2.1	2.2 ± 0.7	20.8 ± 1.8	8.9 ± 11.3	71737 ± 123006
2010	610	2973	31.1 ± 1.9	0.9 ± 0.4	21.3 ± 1.7	9.3 ± 13.7	69778 ± 106971
2011	628	2934	30.3 ± 1.9	1.9 ± 0.5	23.3 ± 1.7	8.6 ± 9.6	82917 ± 154746
2012	603	3015	31.2 ± 1.9	2.0 ± 0.6	21.9 ± 1.7	9.1 ± 13.5	74951 ± 113671
2013	622	3110	28.3 ± 1.8	2.7 ± 0.7	21.4 ± 1.6	9.4 ± 12.1	95671 ± 210352
2014	686	3430	24.1 ± 1.6	1.7 ± 0.5	22.4 ± 1.6	9.0 ± 9.0	90829 ± 149495
P value			< 0.001	0.02	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

¹Sample of hepatorenal syndrome patients having complete data on mortality status. SE: Standard error

> aggressive treatments such as albumin and vasopressors, TIPS, and liver transplantation than those who received palliative care. Furthermore, abdominal paracentesis may have led to the diagnosis and treatment for spontaneous bacterial peritonitis^[45]. The use of TIPS in patients with HRS remains controversial, although there is increasing data suggesting there may be benefit^[24,29]. According to current best practice recommendations, the presence of HRS is not an absolute contraindication for TIPS and the presence of other indications, such as ascites, should guide decision making[29]. Specific to this topic, there is a clear need for additional randomized controlled trials, however, in the interim, there are an increasing number of small studies demonstrating positive outcomes in select HRS patients receiving TIPS[24,46, 47]. Since mortality in patients with HRS undergoing TIPS is driven mainly by poor liver function it may be possible that there was a population selection bias and these patients had initially better liver function resulting in better survival.

> Our study also showed several risk factors associated with in-patient mortality for HRS. These factors include advanced age, history of alcohol use, coagulopathy and presence of a neurological disorder. It is well known that older age, coagulopathy, and neurological disorder are associated with poor outcomes in patients with HRS[11,18-21]. Hepatic encephalopathy is known to be associated with mortality [48], and thus this could be the underlying reason for association between neurological disorder and increased in-patient mortality for HRS. Although specific knowledge regarding the duration and timing of alcohol use prior to hospitalization is a limitation of this dataset, active alcohol use is a known decompensating event that can result in AKI and HRS. It is also possible that recent alcohol use prevented certain patients from being suitable for liver transplantation. In this foreseeable scenario, initiation of renal replacement therapy has increasingly been used as a bridge to liver transplant eligibility and liver compensation.

> There are several limitations in our study. The NIS is a hospitalized database. Thus, we did not evaluate the long-term outcomes of HRS following hospitalization. Although our study showed a decreasing trend of in-hospital mortality rates, it should not be generalized to the overall survival of patients with HRS. Estimates of inhospital mortality do not include deaths that occur after discharge. The database did not contain MELD score, which predicted mortality in HRS patients[48]. In addition, treatment of HRS was not assessed in this study [40,41]. Data on medications including midodrine, octreotide, vasopressor, albumin infusion were not available in the database. Thus, we could not assess the effects of these agents and the response to



Table 3 Clinical characteristics associated with in-hospital mortali

	Univariable analysis		Multivariable analysis		
Characteristics	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	P value	Adjusted OR (95%CI)	P value	
Female sex	0.96 (0.85-1.09)	0.52	0.91 (0.78-1.07)	0.27	
Age (yr)					
18-39	1 (ref)	-	1 (ref)	-	
40-59	1.21 (0.91-1.60)	0.19	1.45 (1.28-1.64)	< 0.001	
60-79	1.24 (0.93-1.65)	0.14	1.77 (1.68-1.87)	< 0.001	
≥ 80	1.68 (1.17-2.42)	0.005	2.12 (1.51-3.00)	< 0.001	
Race					
White	1 (ref)	-	1 (ref)	-	
Black	1.38 (1.11-1.71)	0.003	1.26 (0.91-1.75)	0.16	
Hispanic	1.05 (0.86-1.29)	0.61	1.12 (0.78-1.61)	0.53	
Asian/Pacific Islander	1.44 (0.91-2.27)	0.12	1.30 (0.98-1.73)	0.07	
Native American	1.04 (0.59-1.84)	0.88	1.11 (0.86-1.43)	0.43	
Other	0.88 (0.59-1.32)	0.55	0.93 (0.48-1.81)	0.84	
Weekend admission	1.14 (0.98-1.32)	0.08	1.05 (0.82-1.34)	0.69	
Liver disease etiology					
Alcohol-related	0.90 (0.80-1.01)	0.08	0.85 (0.71-1.01)	0.06	
Viral hepatitis	0.98 (0.86-1.13)	0.83	1.00 (0.81-1.24)	1.00	
Comorbidities					
Smoking	0.96 (0.79-1.16)	0.66	1.15 (0.83-1.60)	0.40	
Alcohol use	0.98 (0.87-1.11)	0.79	1.35 (1.26-1.45)	< 0.001	
Drug use	0.84 (0.62-1.14)	0.26	0.77 (0.55-1.08)	0.13	
HIV/AIDS	1.02 (0.51-2.07)	0.95	0.81 (0.58-1.13)	0.22	
Autoimmune arthritis	1.10 (0.64-1.91)	0.73	1.14 (0.54-2.41)	0.72	
Congestive heart failure	1.05 (0.88-1.26)	0.59	0.99 (0.87-1.12)	0.84	
Chronic pulmonary disease	1.00 (0.84-1.21)	0.96	0.95 (0.78-1.16)	0.63	
Coagulopathy	1.01 (0.90-1.15)	0.82	1.15 (1.16-1.25)	0.001	
Diabetes mellitus	0.78 (0.67-0.89)	< 0.001	0.87 (0.73-1.04)	0.12	
Hypertension	0.76 (0.67-0.86)	< 0.001	0.83 (0.70-1.01)	0.06	
Lymphoma	1.42 (0.68-2.96)	0.35	1.53 (0.42-5.60)	0.52	
Fluid/electrolyte disorders	0.85 (0.74-0.97)	0.02	0.87 (0.75-1.01)	0.07	
Cancer	1.34 (1.13-1.59)	0.001	1.40 (0.88-2.23)	0.15	
Neurological disorders	1.29 (0.98-1.70)	0.07	1.38 (1.21-1.58)	< 0.001	
Obesity	0.87 (0.70-1.07)	0.20	0.92 (0.62-1.38)	0.70	
Peripheral vascular disorders	0.78 (0.51-1.18)	0.23	0.78 (0.42-1.46)	0.44	
Psychoses	0.80 (0.55-1.16)	0.24	0.93 (0.78-1.12)	0.44	
Pulmonary circulation disorders	0.75 (0.53-1.05)	0.10	0.68 (0.43-1.08)	0.11	
Valvular disease	0.75 (0.53-1.07)	0.12	1.01 (0.64-1.60)	0.96	
Weight loss	0.91 (0.78-1.07)	0.27	1.05 (0.97-1.13)	0.21	
Medical procedure					
Renal replacement therapy	0.98 (0.85-1.14)	0.81	0.92 (0.68-1.25)	0.59	



Liver transplantation	0.33 (0.23-0.46)	< 0.001	0.15 (0.11-0.21)	< 0.001
TIPS	0.40 (0.18-0.90)	0.03	0.23 (0.12-0.43)	< 0.001
Paracentesis	0.46 (0.41-0.53)	< 0.001	0.48 (0.43-0.53)	< 0.001
Mechanical ventilation	6.97 (5.66-8.59)	< 0.001	9.24 (7.90-10.81)	< 0.001

HIV/AIDS: Human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome; TIPS: Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt; OR: Odds ratio; 95% CI: 95% confidence interval.



Figure 1 Data on admissions in the United States due to hepatorenal syndrome. A: Decreasing trend in hospital mortality among hospital admissions; B: Increase in the rate of liver transplantation among hospital admissions; C: Trend of renal replacement therapy among hospital admissions; D: Trend of mean length of hospital stay among hospital admissions; E: Trend of hospitalization cost among hospital admissions. NIS: National inpatient sample.

treatments on the outcomes of HRS. Lastly, HRS was identified by ICD-9 diagnosis code. Given definition of the HRS has changed over the years, these changes in definition may have affected the incidence of HRS in our study overtime.

CONCLUSION

In summary, our study showed a decreasing trend of in-hospital mortality rates in patients with HRS. These trends were likely related to advances in medicine, increased access and acceptance of renal replacement therapy, and increased utilization of liver transplantation which is the definitive treatment for HRS. Future studies are needed to

Baishideng®

WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

understand if these trends are impacted by other factors such as facility performance, patient care teams, health insurance reimbursement policies, or other factors.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Hepatorenal syndrome (HRS) is a serious complication of cirrhosis, associated with high morbidity, mortality, and resource utilizations. In recent decades, there have been significant advances in knowledge, treatment and optimal management of patients with HRS.

Research motivation

There has been improvement in overall care for patients with HRS. Data on trends of hospital mortality and resource utilization in hospital admissions for HRS were limited.

Research objectives

We aimed to evaluate patient characteristics, in-hospital treatments, mortality, resource use among hospital admissions for HRS s in the United States. We also assessed the temporal trend in mortality and identified the predictors for mortality.

Research methods

We used the national inpatient sample database to identify unweighted sample of 4938 hospital admissions primarily for HRS from 2005 to 2014 (weighted sample of 23973 admissions). The primary outcome was the temporal trend in and predictors for hospital mortality. We estimated odds ratio from multi-level mixed effect logistic regression to identify patient characteristics and treatments associated with hospital mortality.

Research results

The overall hospital mortality was 32%. Hospital mortality decreased from 44% in 2005 to 24% in 2014 (P < 0.001), while there was an increase in the rate of liver transplantation (P = 0.02), renal replacement therapy (P < 0.001), length of hospital stay (P < 0.001), and hospitalization cost (P < 0.001). Multivariable analysis older age, alcohol abuse, coagulopathy, neurological disorder, and need for mechanical ventilation predicted higher hospital mortality, whereas liver transplantation, TIPs, and abdominal paracentesis were associated with lower hospital mortality.

Research conclusions

Although there was an increase in resource utilizations, hospital mortality among hospital admissions for HRS significantly improved.

Research perspectives

These trends were likely related to increased utilization of liver transplantation which is the definitive treatment for HRS. Future studies are needed to understand if these trends are impacted by other factors such as facility performance, patient care teams, health insurance reimbursement policies, or other factors.

REFERENCES

- Simonetto DA, Gines P, Kamath PS. Hepatorenal syndrome: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and 1 management. BMJ 2020; 370: m2687 [PMID: 32928750 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.m2687]
- 2 Fagundes C, Barreto R, Guevara M, Garcia E, Solà E, Rodríguez E, Graupera I, Ariza X, Pereira G, Alfaro I, Cárdenas A, Fernández J, Poch E, Ginès P. A modified acute kidney injury classification for diagnosis and risk stratification of impairment of kidney function in cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2013; 59: 474-481 [PMID: 23669284 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.04.036]
- 3 Wu CC, Yeung LK, Tsai WS, Tseng CF, Chu P, Huang TY, Lin YF, Lu KC. Incidence and factors predictive of acute renal failure in patients with advanced liver cirrhosis. Clin Nephrol 2006; 65: 28-33 [PMID: 16429839 DOI: 10.5414/cnp65028]
- Piano S, Rosi S, Maresio G, Fasolato S, Cavallin M, Romano A, Morando F, Gola E, Frigo AC, Gatta A, Angeli P. Evaluation of the Acute Kidney Injury Network criteria in hospitalized patients with



cirrhosis and ascites. J Hepatol 2013; 59: 482-489 [PMID: 23665185 DOI: 10.1016/j.jhep.2013.03.039]

- Sujan R, Cruz-Lemini M, Altamirano J, Simonetto DA, Maiwall R, Axley P, Richardson T, Desai V, 5 Cabezas J, Vargas V, Kamath PS, Shah VH, Sarin SK, Bataller R, Singal AK. A Validated Score Predicts Acute Kidney Injury and Survival in Patients With Alcoholic Hepatitis. Liver Transpl 2018; 24: 1655-1664 [PMID: 30153377 DOI: 10.1002/lt.25328]
- Makar M, Reja D, Chouthai A, Kabaria S, Patel AV. The impact of acute kidney injury on mortality 6 and clinical outcomes in patients with alcoholic cirrhosis in the USA. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021; 33: 905-910 [PMID: 32976187 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.000000000001947]
- 7 Pant C, Jani BS, Desai M, Deshpande A, Pandya P, Taylor R, Gilroy R, Olyaee M. Hepatorenal syndrome in hospitalized patients with chronic liver disease: results from the Nationwide Inpatient Sample 2002-2012. J Investig Med 2016; 64: 33-38 [PMID: 26755811 DOI: 10.1136/jim-d-15-00181]
- Solanki P, Chawla A, Garg R, Gupta R, Jain M, Sarin SK. Beneficial effects of terlipressin in 8 hepatorenal syndrome: a prospective, randomized placebo-controlled clinical trial. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2003; 18: 152-156 [PMID: 12542598 DOI: 10.1046/j.1440-1746.2003.02934.x]
- Neri S, Pulvirenti D, Malaguarnera M, Cosimo BM, Bertino G, Ignaccolo L, Siringo S, Castellino P. Terlipressin and albumin in patients with cirrhosis and type I hepatorenal syndrome. Dig Dis Sci 2008; 53: 830-835 [PMID: 17939047 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-007-9919-9]
- Sanyal AJ, Boyer T, Garcia-Tsao G, Regenstein F, Rossaro L, Appenrodt B, Blei A, Gülberg V, 10 Sigal S, Teuber P; Terlipressin Study Group. A randomized, prospective, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial of terlipressin for type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. Gastroenterology 2008; 134: 1360-1368 [PMID: 18471513 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2008.02.014]
- Martín-Llahí M, Pépin MN, Guevara M, Díaz F, Torre A, Monescillo A, Soriano G, Terra C, 11 Fábrega E, Arroyo V, Rodés J, Ginès P; TAHRS Investigators. Terlipressin and albumin vs albumin in patients with cirrhosis and hepatorenal syndrome: a randomized study. *Gastroenterology* 2008; 134: 1352-1359 [PMID: 18471512 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2008.02.024]
- 12 He X, Su F, Taccone FS, Laporte R, Kjølbye AL, Zhang J, Xie K, Moussa MD, Reinheimer TM, Vincent JL. A Selective V(1A) Receptor Agonist, Selepressin, Is Superior to Arginine Vasopressin and to Norepinephrine in Ovine Septic Shock. Crit Care Med 2016; 44: 23-31 [PMID: 26496451 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.000000000013801
- Boyer TD, Sanyal AJ, Wong F, Frederick RT, Lake JR, O'Leary JG, Ganger D, Jamil K, Pappas SC; 13 REVERSE Study Investigators. Terlipressin Plus Albumin Is More Effective Than Albumin Alone in Improving Renal Function in Patients With Cirrhosis and Hepatorenal Syndrome Type 1. Gastroenterology 2016; 150: 1579-1589.e2 [PMID: 26896734 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2016.02.026]
- 14 Khemichian S, Francoz C, Nadim MK. Advances in management of hepatorenal syndrome. Curr Opin Nephrol Hypertens 2021; 30: 501-506 [PMID: 34397647 DOI: 10.1097/MNH.000000000000730]
- Sharma P, Kumar A, Shrama BC, Sarin SK. An open label, pilot, randomized controlled trial of 15 noradrenaline vs terlipressin in the treatment of type 1 hepatorenal syndrome and predictors of response. Am J Gastroenterol 2008; 103: 1689-1697 [DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2008.01828.x]
- 16 Mauro E, Garcia-Olveira L, Gadano A. End-stage liver disease: Management of hepatorenal syndrome. Liver Int 2021; 41 Suppl 1: 119-127 [PMID: 34155791 DOI: 10.1111/liv.14866]
- Cavallin M, Kamath PS, Merli M, Fasolato S, Toniutto P, Salerno F, Bernardi M, Romanelli RG, 17 Colletta C, Salinas F, Di Giacomo A, Ridola L, Fornasiere E, Caraceni P, Morando F, Piano S, Gatta A, Angeli P; Italian Association for the Study of the Liver Study Group on Hepatorenal Syndrome. Terlipressin plus albumin versus midodrine and octreotide plus albumin in the treatment of hepatorenal syndrome: A randomized trial. Hepatology 2015; 62: 567-574 [PMID: 25644760 DOI: 10.1002/hep.27709]
- 18 Wong F, Pappas SC, Boyer TD, Sanyal AJ, Bajaj JS, Escalante S, Jamil K; REVERSE Investigators. Terlipressin Improves Renal Function and Reverses Hepatorenal Syndrome in Patients With Systemic Inflammatory Response Syndrome. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; 15: 266-272.e1 [PMID: 27464593 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2016.07.016]
- 19 Wong F, Boyer TD, Sanyal AJ, Pappas SC, Escalante S, Jamil K. Reduction in acute kidney injury stage predicts survival in patients with type-1 hepatorenal syndrome. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2020; 35: 1554-1561 [PMID: 30887050 DOI: 10.1093/ndt/gfz048]
- 20 Piano S, Schmidt HH, Ariza X, Amoros A, Romano A, Hüsing-Kabar A, Solà E, Gerbes A, Bernardi M, Alessandria C, Scheiner B, Tonon M, Maschmeier M, Solè C, Trebicka J, Gustot T, Nevens F, Arroyo V, Gines P, Angeli P; EASL CLIF Consortium, European Foundation for the Study of Chronic Liver Failure (EF Clif). Association Between Grade of Acute on Chronic Liver Failure and Response to Terlipressin and Albumin in Patients With Hepatorenal Syndrome. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 16: 1792-1800.e3 [PMID: 29391267 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2018.01.035]
- 21 Solé C, Solà E, Huelin P, Carol M, Moreira R, Cereijo U, Mas JM, Graupera I, Pose E, Napoleone L, dePrada G, Juanola A, Fabrellas N, Torres F, Morales-Ruiz M, Farrés J, Jiménez W, Ginès P. Characterization of inflammatory response in hepatorenal syndrome: Relationship with kidney outcome and survival. Liver Int 2019; 39: 1246-1255 [PMID: 30597709 DOI: 10.1111/liv.14037]
- 22 European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines for the management of patients with decompensated cirrhosis. J Hepatol 2018; 69: 406-460 [DOI: 10.1007/s00125-016-3902-y
- 23 Nadim MK, Genyk YS, Tokin C, Fieber J, Ananthapanyasut W, Ye W, Selby R. Impact of the



etiology of acute kidney injury on outcomes following liver transplantation: acute tubular necrosis vs hepatorenal syndrome. Liver Transpl 2012; 18: 539-548 [DOI: 10.1002/lt.23384]

- 24 Charilaou P, Devani K, Petrosyan R, Reddy C, Pyrsopoulos N. Inpatient Mortality Benefit with Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunt for Hospitalized Hepatorenal Syndrome Patients. Dig Dis Sci 2020; 65: 3378-3388 [PMID: 32062714 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-020-06136-2]
- 25 Jamil K, Pappas SC, Devarakonda KR. In vitro binding and receptor-mediated activity of terlipressin at vasopressin receptors V₁ and V₂. J Exp Pharmacol 2018; 10: 1-7 [PMID: 29302194 DOI: 10.2147/JEP.S146034]
- 26 Wong F, Pappas SC, Curry MP, Reddy KR, Rubin RA, Porayko MK, Gonzalez SA, Mumtaz K, Lim N, Simonetto DA, Sharma P, Sanyal AJ, Mayo MJ, Frederick RT, Escalante S, Jamil K; CONFIRM Study Investigators. Terlipressin plus Albumin for the Treatment of Type 1 Hepatorenal Syndrome. N Engl J Med 2021; 384: 818-828 [PMID: 33657294 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2008290]
- Ponzo P, Campion D, Rizzo M, Roma M, Caviglia GP, Giovo I, Rizzi F, Bonetto S, Saracco GM, 27 Alessandria C. Transjugular intrahepatic porto-systemic shunt in cirrhotic patients with hepatorenal syndrome - chronic kidney disease: Impact on renal function. Dig Liver Dis 2021 [PMID: 34625366 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2021.09.008]
- Song T, Rössle M, He F, Liu F, Guo X, Qi X. Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt for 28 hepatorenal syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Dig Liver Dis 2018; 50: 323-330 [PMID: 29422242 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2018.01.123]
- Boike JR, Thornburg BG, Asrani SK, Fallon MB, Fortune BE, Izzy MJ, Verna EC, Abraldes JG, 29 Allegretti AS, Bajaj JS, Biggins SW, Darcy MD, Farr MA, Farsad K, Garcia-Tsao G, Hall SA, Jadlowiec CC, Krowka MJ, Laberge J, Lee EW, Mulligan DC, Nadim MK, Northup PG, Salem R, Shatzel JJ, Shaw CJ, Simonetto DA, Susman J, Kolli KP, VanWagner LB; Advancing Liver Therapeutic Approaches (ALTA) Consortium. North American Practice-Based Recommendations for Transjugular Intrahepatic Portosystemic Shunts in Portal Hypertension. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol 2021 [PMID: 34274511 DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh.2021.07.018]
- Thongprayoon C, Kaewput W, Petnak T, O'Corragain OA, Boonpheng B, Bathini T, 30 Vallabhajosyula S, Pattharanitima P, Lertjitbanjong P, Qureshi F, Cheungpasitporn W. Impact of Palliative Care Services on Treatment and Resource Utilization for Hepatorenal Syndrome in the United States. Medicines (Basel) 2021; 8 [PMID: 34065828 DOI: 10.3390/medicines8050021]
- Southern DA, Quan H, Ghali WA. Comparison of the Elixhauser and Charlson/Deyo methods of 31 comorbidity measurement in administrative data. Med Care 2004; 42: 355-360 [PMID: 15076812 DOI: 10.1097/01.mlr.0000118861.56848.ee]
- 32 Zou B, Yeo YH, Jeong D, Park H, Sheen E, Lee DH, Henry L, Garcia G, Ingelsson E, Cheung R, Nguyen MH. A Nationwide Study of Inpatient Admissions, Mortality, and Costs for Patients with Cirrhosis from 2005 to 2015 in the USA. Dig Dis Sci 2020; 65: 1520-1528 [PMID: 31598919 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-019-05869-z
- 33 Desai AP, Mohan P, Nokes B, Sheth D, Knapp S, Boustani M, Chalasani N, Fallon MB, Calhoun EA. Increasing Economic Burden in Hospitalized Patients With Cirrhosis: Analysis of a National Database. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 2019; 10: e00062 [PMID: 31343469 DOI: 10.14309/ctg.0000000000000062]
- Salerno F, Gerbes A, Ginès P, Wong F, Arroyo V. Diagnosis, prevention and treatment of 34 hepatorenal syndrome in cirrhosis. Gut 2007; 56: 1310-1318 [PMID: 17389705 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2006.107789]
- Suneja M, Tang F, Cavanaugh JE, Polgreen LA, Polgreen PM. Population Based Trends in the 35 Incidence of Hospital Admission for the Diagnosis of Hepatorenal Syndrome: 1998-2011. Int J Nephrol 2016; 2016: 8419719 [PMID: 27144022 DOI: 10.1155/2016/8419719]
- Witzke O, Baumann M, Patschan D, Patschan S, Mitchell A, Treichel U, Gerken G, Philipp T, 36 Kribben A. Which patients benefit from hemodialysis therapy in hepatorenal syndrome? J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2004; 19: 1369-1373 [PMID: 15610310 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2004.03471.x
- Boyer TD, Sanyal AJ, Garcia-Tsao G, Regenstein F, Rossaro L, Appenrodt B, Gülberg V, Sigal S, 37 Bexon AS, Teuber P; Terlipressin Study Group. Impact of liver transplantation on the survival of patients treated for hepatorenal syndrome type 1. Liver Transpl 2011; 17: 1328-1332 [PMID: 21837734 DOI: 10.1002/lt.22395]
- Magan AA, Khalil AA, Ahmed MH. Terlipressin and hepatorenal syndrome: what is important for 38 nephrologists and hepatologists. World J Gastroenterol 2010; 16: 5139-5147 [PMID: 21049548 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v16.i41.5139]
- Amin AA, Alabsawy EI, Jalan R, Davenport A. Epidemiology, Pathophysiology, and Management of 39 Hepatorenal Syndrome. Semin Nephrol 2019; 39: 17-30 [PMID: 30606404 DOI: 10.1016/i.semnephrol.2018.10.002
- 40 Maiwall R, Sarin SK, Moreau R. Acute kidney injury in acute on chronic liver failure. Hepatol Int 2016; 10: 245-257 [PMID: 26471272 DOI: 10.1007/s12072-015-9652-y]
- Kulkarni A, Sowmya T, Sharma M, Kumar P, Vasireddy S, Tevethia H, Padaki NR, Nageshwar 41 Reddy D. IDDF2020-ABS-0192 Terlipressin non-response predicts mortality in acute-on-chronic liver failure-a prospective cohort study. Gut 2020; A87 [DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2020-iddf.165]
- 42 Food and Drug Administration. Cardiovascular and Renal Drugs Advisory Committee Meeting. [cited 20 July 2020]. Available from: https://www.fda.gov/
- 43 Kulkarni AV, Arab JP, Premkumar M, Benítez C, Tirumalige Ravikumar S, Kumar P, Sharma M,



Reddy DN, Simonetto DA, Rao PN. Terlipressin has stood the test of time: Clinical overview in 2020 and future perspectives. Liver Int 2020; 40: 2888-2905 [PMID: 33065772 DOI: 10.1111/liv.14703]

- 44 Mathurin P, Moreno C, Samuel D, Dumortier J, Salleron J, Durand F, Castel H, Duhamel A, Pageaux GP, Leroy V, Dharancy S, Louvet A, Boleslawski E, Lucidi V, Gustot T, Francoz C, Letoublon C, Castaing D, Belghiti J, Donckier V, Pruvot FR, Duclos-Vallée JC. Early liver transplantation for severe alcoholic hepatitis. N Engl J Med 2011; 365: 1790-1800 [PMID: 22070476 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa1105703]
- Biggins SW, Angeli P, Garcia-Tsao G, Ginès P, Ling SC, Nadim MK, Wong F, Kim WR. Diagnosis, 45 Evaluation, and Management of Ascites, Spontaneous Bacterial Peritonitis and Hepatorenal Syndrome: 2021 Practice Guidance by the American Association for the Study of Liver Diseases. Hepatology 2021; 74: 1014-1048 [PMID: 33942342 DOI: 10.1002/hep.31884]
- 46 Wong F, Pantea L, Sniderman K. Midodrine, octreotide, albumin, and TIPS in selected patients with cirrhosis and type 1 hepatorenal syndrome. *Hepatology* 2004; 40: 55-64 [PMID: 15239086 DOI: 10.1002/hep.20262]
- Brensing KA, Textor J, Perz J, Schiedermaier P, Raab P, Strunk H, Klehr HU, Kramer HJ, Spengler 47 U, Schild H, Sauerbruch T. Long term outcome after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic stentshunt in non-transplant cirrhotics with hepatorenal syndrome: a phase II study. Gut 2000; 47: 288-295 [PMID: 10896924 DOI: 10.1136/gut.47.2.288]
- 48 Sheng XY, Lin FY, Wu J, Cao HC. Development and validation of a prognostic model for patients with hepatorenal syndrome: A retrospective cohort study. World J Gastroenterol 2021; 27: 2615-2629 [PMID: 34092979 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v27.i20.2615]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

