RESEARCH PAPER

Check for updates

A genetic variant alters the secondary structure of the IncRNA H19 and is associated with dilated cardiomyopathy

Leonie Martens ^(b)^a, Frank Rühle^a, Anika Witten^a, Benjamin Meder^{b,c,d}, Hugo A. Katus ^{(b),c}, Eloisa Arbustini ^(b)^e, Gerd Hasenfuß^{f,g}, Moritz F. Sinner^{h,i}, Stefan Kääb ^(b)^{h,i}, Sabine Pankuweitⁱ, Christiane Angermann^k, Erich Bornberg-Bauer ^(b), and Monika Stoll^{a,m}

^aDepartment of Genetic Epidemiology, Institute of Human Genetics, University of Münster, Münster, Germany; ^bGerman Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site Heidelberg/Mannheim, Heidelberg, Germany; ^cDepartment of Cardiology, Heidelberg University, Heidelberg, Germany; ^dGenome Technology Center Stanford, Department of Genetics, Stanford University, Stanford, United States; ^eCentre for Inherited Cardiovascular Diseases, IRCCS Foundation, University Hospital Policlinico San Matteo, Pavia, Italy; ^fDepartment of Cardiology and Pneumology, University Medical Center Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany; ^gGerman Center for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site, Göttingen, Germany; ^hDepartment of Cardiology, University Hospital, LMU Munich, Munich, Germany; ⁱGerman Centre for Cardiovascular Research (DZHK), Partner Site; Munich Heart Alliance, Munich, Germany; ^jDepartment of Cardiology, University Hospital Giessen and Marburg, Marburg, Germany; ^kComprehensive Heart Failure Center, University Hospital and University of Würzburg, Würzburg, Germany; ⁱInstitute for Evolution and Biodiversity, University of Münster, Münster, Germany; ^mDepartment of Biochemistry, Genetic Epidemiology and Statistical Genetics, CARIM School for Cardiovascular Diseases, Maastricht Center for Systems Biology (MaCSBio), Maastricht University, Maastricht, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

IncRNAs are at the core of many regulatory processes and have also been recognized to be involved in various complex diseases. They affect gene regulation through direct interactions with RNA, DNA or proteins. Accordingly, IncRNA structure is likely to be essential for their regulatory function. Point mutations, which manifest as SNPs (single nucleotide polymorphisms) in genome screens, can substantially alter their function and, subsequently, the expression of their downstream regulated genes. To test the effect of SNPs on structure, we investigated IncRNAs associated with dilated cardiomyopathy. Among 322 human candidate IncRNAs, we demonstrate first the significant association of an SNP located in IncRNA H19 using data from 1084 diseased and 751 control patients. H19 is generally highly expressed in the heart, with a complex expression pattern during heart development. Next, we used MFE (minimum free energy) folding to demonstrate a significant refolding in the secondary structure of this 861 nt long IncRNA. Since MFE folding may overlook the importance of sub-optimal structures, we showed that this refolding also manifests in the overall Boltzmann structure ensemble. There, the composition of structures is tremendously affected in their thermodynamic probabilities through the genetic variant. Finally, we confirmed these results experimentally, using SHAPE-Seg, corroborating that SNPs affecting such structures may explain hidden genetic variance not accounted for through genome wide association studies. Our results suggest that structural changes in IncRNAs, and IncRNA H19 in particular, affect regulatory processes and represent optimal targets for further in-depth studies probing their molecular interactions.

1 Introduction

LncRNAs are defined as RNA molecules which are >200 nucleotides (nt) long and, usually, not translated into proteins [1]. LncRNAs have garnered much attention over the last decade since comparative eukaryotic genomics and transcriptome analyses demonstrated that lncRNAs are abundant and often play important roles for gene regulation in general [2–4]. So far, lncRNAs have been implicated in several biological and developmental functions through regulation of gene transcription, post-transcriptional RNA processing and chromatin modification [5–9]. Naturally, several lncRNAs have also been linked to various pathological processes [10,11].

In the context of comparative human genomics, genomewide association studies (GWAS) have provided a wealth of

genetic associations for common complex diseases. Surprisingly, around one-third of all SNPs in the most recent version of the GWAS catalogue [12] are located in annotated lncRNAs. Accordingly, lncRNAs are emerging as prime candidates for explaining the missing heritability of complex traits. Several prominent lncRNAs have been described in the context of the cardiovascular system and its diseases [13-17] which account for the highest mortality in the developed world, exceeding by far the annual deaths from cancer [18,19]. However, in most cases, the functional and structural description of implicated lncRNAs is rudimentary at best and suitable functional assays are commonly lacking. Since lncRNAs do not code for a protein, their structure is assumed to be essential for their function. Certain RNAs can undergo strong structural changes upon single nucleotide substitutions

CONTACT Monika Stoll Stoll Stoll Content of Genetic Epidemiology, Institute of Human Genetics, University of Münster, Albert-Schweitzer-Campus 1, D3, Domagkstrasse 3, 48149 Münster, Germany

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2021 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 17 August 2020 Revised 25 June 2021 Accepted 4 July 2021

KEYWORDS

RNA structure; IncRNA; cardiovascular disease; RiboSNitch; Boltzmann ensemble; minimum free energy; SHAPE-Seq; H19; rs217727; SNP



[20-23] and it is likely that a group of SNPs affect lncRNA function accordingly. Indeed, a recent study investigating the transcriptome of a family trio (father, mother, child) [22] reported that 15% of the transcribed SNPs altered RNA secondary structure. Furthermore, structure-altering SNPs were linked to altered gene expression and a set of disease phenotypes. These SNPs are designated as RiboSNitches [23]. So far, there are only a few well-characterized functional examples of structure altering SNPs in regulatory RNAs. One such example was described for a RiboSNitch which, in the wake of an SNP, can no longer attach to a regulatory protein (IREBP, Iron Response Element Binding Protein). As a consequence, the FTL gene, which encodes a subunit of the Ferritin complex, can no longer store excess iron, which leads to hyperferritinemia cataract syndrome [24,25], causing clouded eye lenses at early ages.

Unfortunately, predicting and determining RNA structures remains notoriously difficult and almost impossible for long molecules such as lncRNAs. However, a recent surge in experimental techniques coupled with next-generation sequencing (NGS) has significantly improved predictions. These techniques comprise enzymatic and chemical approaches, depending on the reagent used to modify certain nucleotides before probing the structure. One prominent chemical approach is called SHAPE (selective 2-hydroxyl acylation by primer extension)-Seq [26], which binds to unpaired nucleotides and interrupts the reverse transcription process. Subsequent sequencing and analysis of the differences in read length compared to a control is used for computational structure prediction, significantly increasing the reliability [27].

Here, we set out to investigate the influence of dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) associated RiboSNitches on lncRNA structure and function. First, we identified 14 disease associated SNPs located in several lncRNA transcripts. Next, we investigated them for their structure through MFE structure prediction algorithms. To further support these results, we also compared the change in the suboptimal structure ensemble. Here, the lncRNAs Carmn, H19 and MLIP-AS1 demonstrated that the according SNP had a massive effect on the relative probabilities of likely sub-optimal structures. Finally, we used SHAPE-Seq analyses to experimentally confirm the *in silico* predictions of these potential RiboSNitches.

Indeed, we found the SNP rs217727, located in the lncRNA H19, to be disease associated and inducing a remarkable shift in the structural ensemble. This may impair lncRNA function, and thus contribute to the pathogenesis of complex diseases such as heart failure.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Association analysis

The samples for sequencing and genotyping were part of the German National Genome Research Network (NGFN) call "The genetics of heart failure – from populations to disease mechanisms". First, 96 DCM samples (average age 53 years [range 22–80]; 26 females (27%) were included) were screened for genetic variants in lncRNAs. Customized probes for the Illumina Nextera rapid capture enrichment protocol were

used for the lncRNA exonic regions. Reads were mapped to the hg19 build using BWA mem [28], followed by variant calling using GATK 3.7 [29]. Association analysis was then performed using Plink 1.7 [30] logistic regression assuming an additive model with sex as covariate and European controls (n = 259; 130 females (50%)) from the 1000 Genomes project [31]. Promising variants were subsequently confirmed by genotyping of a larger cohort comprising 1084 DCM cases and 751 disease-free controls (overall average age: 43 years [range 0-83 years]; 565 (31%) females) using TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assays and the 7900HT Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, ThermoFisher Scientific). The study was conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. All participants of the study gave written informed consent and the study was approved by the local ethics committees at the participating study centres.

2.2 Secondary structure predictions

RNA secondary structure prediction algorithms are based on thermodynamics and most often the minimum free energy (MFE) structure is determined. The MFE calculation is aiming to determine the predicted structure with the lowest free energy, since it is assumed that the lower the value, the more stable and likely the structure. For this, most algorithms are using the dynamic programming approach based on Zuker et al. [32]. Here, MFE structure predictions were performed using the ViennaRNA package RNAfold [33]. Since the MFE structure is not necessarily the only naturally occurring structure, we also considered suboptimal structures. For this, structures from the Boltzmann distribution were sampled with the ViennaRNA package RNAsub-opt [33]. The resulting structures were then transformed into a binary vector, followed by t-SNE for visualization (according to [25,34]).

2.3 In vitro SHAPE-Seq

Genetic variants that were significantly associated with our phenotype and were predicted to influence the structural properties of a lncRNA were subjected to validation through SHAPE-Seq structural probing. First, the RNA transcripts were generated in vitro from a ThermoFisher Gene Synthesis Plasmid with the T7 RNA polymerase. In vitro SHAPE-Seq was performed according to the protocol by Watters et al. [26] using the 1M7 reagent. The samples were sequenced 80 cycles in a paired-end mode with the NextSeq500 system and v2 chemistry. The resulting reads were analysed with the accompanying Spats software for generating a reactivity profile. The resulting reactivities were then incorporated as an additional constraint during secondary structure prediction. RNAStructure [35] uses the approach first suggested by Deigan et al. [36] which transforms the reactivity information into pseudo-free energy using the equation $\Delta G = m \ln[r + 1] + b$, where m and b are heuristically determined comparing probing data to crystallization structures, and r are the reactivity values. The SHAPE-probing information guided sampling of the structural ensemble was performed through the RNAStructure [35] pipeline consisting

of R sample, stochastic, and RsampleCluster. This pipeline is based on the algorithm by Ding and Lawrence [34,37] for sampling and centroid determination and diana [38] followed by Calinski–Harabasz index [39] for finding the optimal k for clustering. In order to visualize the structures, the t-SNE [40] algorithm was utilized for dimensional reduction through the R package Rtsne [41].

3 Results

Targeted sequencing in our screening sample of 96 DCM patients resulted in 4537 variants (supplementary Table 1). We investigated these variants for their potential as RiboSNitches by disease association in a population-based approach using matching samples from the 1000 Genomes project serving as controls. This resulted in a list of 14 associated SNPs ($p \le 0.05$, coverage ≥ 30 , Table 1) that overlapped with lncRNA transcripts (limited to 1000 nt length). We prioritized transcripts that were expressed in human mvocardium samples (SRR1957191, SRR3151752, SRR3151758) [42,43] and demonstrated a larger change in structural ensemble. Genotyping of selected the RiboSNitches (rs13158382 (Carmn), rs217727 (H19) and rs12527071 (MLIP-AS1)) in our confirmation case-control cohort, confirmed the association between DCM and rs217727, located in lncRNA H19 (Table 2).

3.1 Structural prediction

The MFE structure prediction of the H19 reference and rs217727 RiboSNitch sequences showed a local change in the structure located around the altered nucleotide (Figure. 1).

Because most RNAs can assume multiple or different structures from the MFE structure itself, these predictions are not completely accurate, particularly for long RNA structures. Therefore, we considered the ensemble of sub-optimal

Table 1. Disease associated SNPs (p \leq 0.05, coverage \geq 30) that overlap with lncRNA transcripts.

SNP ID	IncRNA	p value	OR [CI 95%]	MAF
rs12527071	MLIP-AS1	0.009344	2.01 [1.19–3.39]	0.1
rs13158382	CARMN	0.01318	0.16 [0.04-0.68]	0.05
rs217727	H19	0.02478	1.63 [1.06-2.51]	0.19
rs12479469	MIR1-1HG-AS1	0.025	0.65 [0.45-0.95]	0.34
rs2839703	H19	0.03024	0.67 [0.47-0.96]	0.37
rs2279442	Inc-HMBOX1-1	0.03214	0.68 [0.48-0.97]	0.44
rs2839704	H19	0.03284	0.68 [0.47-0.97]	0.37
rs1883422	Inc-CELA3A-5	0.03426	3.27 [1.1–9.78]	0.02
rs17132427	LINC00702	0.03441	3.49 [1.1–11.09]	0.02
rs2523852	Inc-TCF19-1	0.03829	1.45 [1.02-2.05]	0.42
rs12267578	LINC00702	0.04002	3.61 [1.06–12.28]	0.01
rs12240751	LINC00702	0.04002	3.61 [1.06–12.28]	0.01
rs12267527	LINC00702	0.04002	3.61 [1.06-12.28]	0.01
rs198361	NPPA-AS1	0.04192	1.61 [1.02–2.54]	0.14

 Table 2. Selected potential RiboSNitches were genotyped in a larger cohort. The

 SNP rs217727 was validated to be significantly associated with the phenotype of

 DCM.

SNP ID	IncRNA	p value	OR [CI 95%]	^{MAF} (A/U)
rs13158382	CARMEN	0.447	0.83 [0.52–1.33]	0.033/0.035
rs217727	H19	0.011	1.35 [1.07–1.7]	0.206/0.171
rs12527071	MLIP-AS1	0.352	1.15 [0.85–1.56]	0.111/0.09

RNA structures. The entire ensemble of structures, sampled from the Boltzmann distribution, shows a clear shift, which is another indicator of a potential RiboSNitch (supplementary Fig. S1).

3.2 Structural probing

Although computational prediction of secondary structures has been widely used, the accuracy is often insufficient [44] and decreases for longer sequences. Therefore, experimentally derived data is needed to guide prediction algorithms. After successfully establishing and following the SHAPE-Seq protocol for H19 with the wildtype and the minor allele of rs217727, we received between 9 and 19 million paired-end reads. This was sufficient for the 861 nucleotides long sequence of lncRNA H19 to reach an average coverage of 5186.54 (minimum coverage of 106.5). These resulting reactivities were then incorporated during structure prediction. When assessing the accuracy of the predicted MFE structure compared to the SHAPE-Seq aided prediction through the RNAstructure [35] scorer function, we found very low accordance (sensitivity 45.95%; positive predictive value (PPV) 41.98%). As a next step, we investigated the structural ensemble, while taking the SHAPE-seq data into account. In Figure. 2, suboptimal structures show clear differences between the reference structures and the RiboSNitch structures. Cluster analysis identified two and three clusters, respectively. Each cluster was assigned a centroid structure, which is the most representative structure.

4. Discussion

Here, we investigated the influence of genetic variants on the abundant group of functional lncRNAs. In particular, we were interested in SNPs affecting secondary structure of lncRNAs, potentially contributing to disease. DCM associated SNPs were investigated with respect to their potential as RiboSNitches. Since computationally predicted structures alone are not sufficiently reliable, we combined sophisticated computational and experimental methods. We found that the variant rs217727, located in the lncRNA H19 was not only significantly associated with the DCM disease phenotype, but also highly affected RNA secondary structures. In a previous study, H19 together with the genetic variant rs217727 was associated with an increased risk of coronary artery disease in a Chinese population [45]. The lncRNA H19 is evolutionary conserved across several species, highly expressed in the adult heart and located in an imprinted gene cluster, which also includes genes like IGF2. Whereas H19 is solely expressed from the

maternal allele, the growth factor IGF2 is expressed paternally. Despite their reciprocal expression, both genes are still able to regulate each other through the methylation machinery. For example, a mutation in the CTCF target site upstream of H19 has been shown to lead to loss of IGF2 imprinting [46] and such a loss of imprinting in murine embryos resulted in major cardiac abnormalities [47]. Furthermore, H19 has been found to be dysregulated in cardiac hypertrophy and heart failure [48,49]. In particular, it was shown that knock-down of H19 induced cardiomyocyte hypertrophy, whereas over-



Figure 1. Minimum Free Energy (MFE) structure of the IncRNA H19. Secondary structure prediction was done using RNAfold. **A** Is the reference structure and **B** is the structure changed through the potential RiboSNitch rs217727. Arrows indicate the changed nucleotides and identical colours highlight unaf- fected substructures. Reference structure free energy: -306.50 kcal/mol; RiboSNitch structure free energy: -308.50 kcal/mol.

expression reversed the effect [49]. H19 can also function as a microRNA-sponge in important regulatory mechanisms involved in cardiac fibrosis. It is competing through binding of miR-455 with connective tissue growth factor (CTGF) and knockdown of H19 confirmed the antifibrotic influences of miR-455 and CTGF amongst others [50]. Thus, in case of a conformational change of the RNA due to a genetic variant, the ability of acting as a microRNA-sponge would be impaired and the expression of the microRNA would consequently increase. This is in accordance with a previous study investigating the miRNOME by deep sequencing of the human heart, where miR-445 was upregulated in DCM patients compared to healthy controls [51]. Another direct target of H19 in the development of fibrosis was identified by Tao et al. [52]. H19 negatively regulates DUSP5 through epigenetic mechanisms and decreased DUSP5 levels lead to an increase in proliferation of cardiac fibroblasts via the MEK/ ERK signalling pathway. Additionally, H19 plays an important role in regulating apoptosis. Experiments in rat models for adriamycin-induced DCM showed that H19 is upregulated, whereas knockdown decreased cardiomyocyte apoptosis, resulting in improved left ventricular structure and function [53]. In contrast, Li et al. [54] reported that overexpression of H19 in diabetic cardiomyopathy improved left ventricular function by reducing oxidative stress, inflammation, and apoptosis. Fibrosis and apoptosis are relevant mechanisms in cardiac remodelling and are important processes with an impact on ventricular function in cardiac pathologic conditions [55]. In fact, genetic variants located in H19 and its host microRNA miR-675 have been shown to play a significant role in cardiac pathophysiology in previous studies, increasing the risk for developing hypertrophic cardiomyopathy [56] and coronary artery disease [45].

Since H19 is non-coding, the only way its variants may influence downstream regulation is through structural changes resulting in altered lncRNA function. For example, in rat models of diabetic cardiomyopathy, RNA-binding protein immunoprecipitation experiments were able to demonstrate the ability of H19 to bind directly to EZH2, which is part of the Polycomb Repressive Complex 2 (PRC2), affecting the epigenetic regulation of DIRAS3, a growth suppressor [57]. The murine and human H19 was shown to be able to act as an anti-hypertrophic lncRNA through interaction with PRC2, demonstrating its potential for gene therapy in cardiac hypertrophy [58]. In conclusion, we identified the secondary structure of H19, a lncRNA of importance for cardiovascular function and disease. Further, we demonstrated that a RiboSNitch, associated with the disease phenotype of DCM, significantly alters this structure. It is likely that through a structural change the essential region becomes



Figure 2. Visualization of the structural ensemble taking the SHAPE-seq data into account. For this 1000 structures were sampled from the Boltzmann distribution, that were coloured by their optimal cluster affiliation. t-SNE was used for dimensionality reduction and plotted in 2D. A Cluster analysis of the reference structures reveals two distinct clusters with different centroid structures. B Cluster analysis of the RiboSNitch structures reveals three distinct clusters with different centroid structures.

inaccessible for binding interactions, potentially contributing to a pathological outcome. Further validation of the genetic variant in vivo demonstrating the altered lncRNA interactions in animal models would be of great interest.

Acknowledgments

We would like to acknowledge the excellent technical assistance by the staff of the Core Facility Genomics of the Medical Faculty at the University Münster. This work was supported by the fund Innovative Medical Research of the University of Münster Medical School to F.R. (RÜ121510) and the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – 281125614/GRK 2220 to M.S., B.M. is supported by an Excellence Fellowship of the Else Kröner Fresenius Foundation (2018_EKES.38).

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

Funding

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft [281125614/GRK 2220]; Else Kröner-Fresenius-

Stiftung [No.: 2018_EKES.38]; Innovative Medical Research of the University of Münster Medical School [RÜ121510].

Data availability

All relevant data are accessible through Bio-Project ID PRJNA614999.

ORCID

Leonie Martens D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2164-6137 Hugo A. Katus D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2293-2314 Eloisa Arbustini D http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2948-7994 Stefan Kääb D http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8824-3581 Erich Bornberg-Bauer D http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1826-3576

References

- Derrien T, Johnson R, Bussotti G, et al. The gencode v7 catalog of human long noncoding RNAs: analysis of their gene structure, evolution, and expression. Genome Res. 2012;22(9):1775–1789.
- [2] Iyer MK, Niknafs YS, Malik R, et al. The landscape of long noncoding RNAs in the human transcriptome. Nature Genetics. 2015;47(3):199.
- [3] Ulitsky I, Bartel DP. lincrnas: genomics, evolution, and mechanisms. Cell. 2013;154(1):26-46.
- [4] Guttman M, Amit I, Garber M, et al. Chromatin signature reveals over a thousand highly conserved large non-coding RNAs in mammals. Nature. 2009;458(7235):223–227.
- [5] Mercer TR, Dinger ME, Mattick JS. Long non-coding RNAs: insights into functions. Nat Rev Genet. 2009;10(3):155.
- [6] Ballantyne MD, McDonald RA, Baker AH. Incrna/microrna interactions in the vasculature. Clin Pharmacol Ther. 2016;99(5):494–501.
- [7] Tsai M-C, Manor O, Wan Y, et al. Long non- coding RNA as modular scaffold of histone modification complexes. Science. 2010;329(5992):689–693.
- [8] Penny GD, Kay GF, Shear- Down SA, et al. Re- quirement for xist in x chromosome inactivation. Nature. 1996;379(6561):131.
- [9] Pandey RR, Mondal T, Mohammad F, et al. Kcnq1ot1 antisense noncoding RNA mediates lineage-specific transcriptional silencing through chromatin-level regulation. Mol Cell. 2008;32 (2):232–246.
- [10] Chen G, Wang Z, Wang D, et al. Lncr- nadisease: a database for long-non-coding RNA- associated diseases. Nucleic Acids Res. 2012;41(D1):D983–D986.
- [11] Gupta RA, Shah N, Wang KC, et al. Long non-coding RNA HOTAIR reprograms chromatin state to promote cancer metastasis. Nature. 2010;464(7291):1071–1076.
- [12] Buniello A, MacArthur JAL, Cerezo M, et al. The nhgri-ebi gwas catalog of published genome-wide association studies, targeted arrays and summary statistics 2019. Nucleic Acids Res. 2019;47 (D1):D1005–D1012.

- [13] Hermans-Beijnsberger S, van Bilsen M, Schroen B. Long non-coding RNAs in the failing heart and vasculature. Noncoding RNA research. 2018;3(3):118–130.
- [14] Uchida S, Dimmeler S. Long Noncoding RNAs in cardiovascular diseases. Circ Res. 2015;116(4):737–750.
- [15] Devaux Y, Zangrando J, Schroen B, et al. Long noncoding RNAs in cardiac development and ageing. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2015;12 (7):415.
- [16] Wang K, Liu F, Zhou L-Y, et al. The long noncoding RNA chrf regulates cardiac hypertrophy by targeting mir-489. Circ Res. 2014;114(9):1377-1388.
- [17] Kontaraki JE, Marketou ME, Kochi- Adakis GE, et al. The long non-coding RNAs mhrt, fendrr and carmen, their expression levels in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in patients with essential hypertension and their relation to heart hypertrophy. Clin Exp Pharmacol Physiol. 2018;45(11):1213–1217.
- [18] Townsend N, Wilson L, Bhatna- Gar P, et al. Cardiovascular disease in Europe: epidemiological update 2016. European Heart Journal. 2016;37(42):3232–3245.
- [19] Benjamin EJ, Muntner P, Bittencourt MS. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2019 update: a report from the American heart association. Circulation. 2019;139(10):e56-e528.
- [20] Yang X, Yang M, Deng H, et al. New era of studying RNA secondary structure and its influence on gene regulation in plants. Front Plant Sci. 2018;9:671.
- [21] Flores JK, Ataide SF. Structural changes of RNA in complex with proteins in the srp. Front Mol Biosci. 2018;5:7.
- [22] Wan Y, Kun Q, Zhang QC, et al. Landscape and variation of RNA sec- ondary structure across the human transcriptome. Nature. 2014;505(7485):706–709.
- [23] Halvorsen M, Martin JS, Broadaway S. Sam Broad- away, and Alain Laederach. Disease-associated mutations that alter the RNA structural ensemble. PLoS Genet. 2010;6(8):e1001074.
- [24] Solem AC, Halvorsen M, Ramos SBV, et al. The potential of the ribosnitch in personalized medicine. Wiley Inter disciplinary Reviews: RNA. 2015;6(5):517-532.
- [25] Martin JS, Halvorsen M, Davis-Neulander L, et al. Structural effects of linkage disequilibrium on the transcriptome. RNA. 2012;18(1):77–87.
- [26] Watters KE, Lucks JB Mapping RNA structure in vitro with shape chemistry and next-generation sequencing (shape-seq). In: Turner, DH, Mathews DH, editors, RNA structure determination. Springer; 2016. p. 135–162.
- [27] Loughrey D, Watters KE, Settle AH, et al. Shape-seq 2.0: systematic optimization and extension of high-throughput chemical probing of RNA secondary structure with next generation sequencing. Nucleic Acids Res. 2014;42(21):e165.
- [28] Heng L Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with bwa-mem. arXiv preprint arXiv:1303.3997v2, 2013.
- [29] McKenna A, Hanna M, Banks E, et al. The genome analysis toolkit: a mapreduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. Genome Res. 2010;20(9):1297–1303.
- [30] Purcell S, Neale B, Brown KT, et al. Plink: a tool set for whole-genome association and population- based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet. 2007;81(3):559–575.
- [31] 1000 Genomes Project Consortium, et al. An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. Nature. 2012;491 (7422):56.
- [32] Zuker M, Stiegler P. Optimal computer folding of large RNA sequences using thermodynamics and auxiliary information. Nucleic Acids Res. 1981;9(1):133–148.
- [33] Lorenz R, Bernhart SH, Zu Siederdissen CTH, et al. Viennarna package 2.0. Algorithms Mol Biol. 2011;6(1):26.
- [34] Ding YE, Chan CY, Lawrence CE. RNA secondary structure prediction by centroids in a Boltzmann weighted ensemble. RNA. 2005;11(8):1157–1166.
- [35] Reuter JS, Mathews DH. Rnastruc- ture: software for RNA secondary structure predic- tion and analysis. BMC Bioinformatics. 2010;11(1):129.

- [36] Deigan KE, Li TW, Mathews DH, et al. Accurate shape- directed RNA structure determination. Proc Nat Acad Sci. 2009;106 (1):97–102.
- [37] Ding Y, Lawrence CE. A statistical sampling algorithm for RNA secondary structure prediction. Nucleic Acids Res. 2003;31 (24):7280-7301.
- [38] Maechler M, Rousseeuw P, Struyf A, et al. Cluster: cluster analysis basics and extensions. R Package Version. 2012;1(2):56.
- [39] Tadeusz C, Harabasz J. A dendrite method for cluster analysis. Commun Stat Theory Methods. 1974;3(1):1–27.
- [40] van der Maaten L, Hinton G. Vi- sualizing data using t-sne. J Mach Learn Res. 2008;9(Nov):2579–2605.
- [41] Krijthe JH. Rtsne: t-distributed stochastic neighbor embedding using barnes-hut implementa- tion. R package version 0.13, https://github.com/jkrijthe/Rtsne, 2015.
- [42] Duff MO, Olson S, Wei X, et al. Genome-wide identification of zero nucleotide recursive splicing in drosophila. Nature. 2015;521 (7552):376–379.
- [43] Zheng Q, Bao C, Guo W, et al. Circular RNA pro- filing reveals an abundant circhipk3 that regulates cell growth by sponging multiple mirnas. Nat Commun. 2016;7(1):1–13.
- [44] Martens L, Rühle F, Stoll M. Lncrna secondary structure in the cardiovascular system. Non-coding RNA research. 2017;2(3– 4):137–142.
- [45] Gao W, Zhu M, Wang H, et al. Association of polymorphisms in long non-coding RNA h19 with coronary artery disease risk in a Chinese population. Mutat Res. 2015;772:15–22.
- [46] Pant V, Kurukuti S, Pu- gacheva E, et al. Mutation of a single ctcf target site within the h19 imprinting control region leads to loss of igf2 imprinting and complex patterns of de novo methylation upon maternal inheritance. Mol Cell Biol. 2004;24(8):3497–3504.
- [47] Lau MM, Stewart CE, Liu Z, et al. Loss of the imprinted igf2/cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor results in fetal overgrowth and perinatal lethality. Genes Dev. 1994;8(24):2953–2963.

- [48] Greco S, Zaccagnini G, Perfetti A, et al. Long noncoding RNA dysregulation in ischemic heart failure. J Transl Med. 2016;14 (1):183.
- [49] Liu L, Xiangbo A, Zhenhua L, et al. The h19 long non-coding RNA is a novel negative regulator of cardiomyocyte hypertrophy. Cardiovasc Res. 2016;111(1):56–65.
- [50] Huang Z-W, Tian L-H, Yang B, et al. Long noncoding RNA h19 acts as a competing endogenous RNA to mediate ctgf expression by sponging mir-455 in cardiac fibrosis. DNA Cell Biol. 2017;36 (9):759–766.
- [51] Leptidis S, el Azzouzi H, Lok SI, et al. A deep sequencing approach to uncover the mirnome in the human heart. PloS One. 2013;8(2): 10.1371/annotation/e33f9763-3385-42c7-b31ed433dc8e499a.
- [52] Tao H, Cao W, Yang -J-J, et al. Long noncoding RNA h19 controls dusp5/erk1/2 axis in cardiac fibroblast proliferation and fibrosis. Cardiovasc Pathol. 2016;25(5):381–389.
- [53] Zhang Y, Zhang M, Weiting X, et al. The long non-coding RNA h19 promotes cardiomyocyte apoptosis in dilated cardiomyopathy. Oncotarget. 2017;8(17):28588.
- [54] Xiangquan L, Wang H, Yao B, et al. Incrna h19/mir- 675 axis regulates cardiomyocyte apoptosis by targeting vdac1 in diabetic cardiomyopathy. Scientific Reports. 2016;6(1):36340.
- [55] Piek A, De Boer RA, Sillje HHW. The fibrosis-cell death axis in heart failure. Heart failure reviews. 2016;21(2):199–211.
- [56] Gomez J, Lorca R, Reguero JR, et al. Genetic variation at the long non- coding RNA h19 gene is associated with the risk of hypertrophic cardiomyopathy. Epigenomics. 10(7):865–873. 2018; .
- [57] Zhuo C, Jiang R, Lin X, et al. Lncrna h19 inhibits au- tophagy by epigenetically silencing of diras3 in diabetic cardiomyopathy. Oncotarget. 2017;8(1):1429.
- [58] Viereck J, Foinquinos A, Chatterjee S, et al. Tar- geting muscle-enriched long non-coding RNA h19 reverses pathological cardiac hypertrophy. Eur Heart J. 2020;41(36):3462–3474.