

**<https://doi.org/10.1093/hmg/ddab211>** Advance Access Publication Date: 23 July 2021 General Article

# **OXFORD**

# GENERAL ARTICLE

# **In-frame deletion of SPECC1L microtubule association domain results in gain-of-function phenotypes affecting embryonic tissue movement and fusion events**

Jeremy P. Goering $^{1,\ddagger}$ , Luke W. Wenger $^{1,\ddagger}$ , Marta Stetsiv $^{1,\ddagger}$ , Michael Moedritzer<sup>1</sup>, Everett G. Hall<sup>1,§</sup>, Dona Greta Isai<sup>1</sup>, Brittany M. Jack<sup>1</sup>, Zaid Umar<sup>1</sup>, Madison K. Rickabaugh<sup>1</sup>, Andras Czirok<sup>1,2</sup> and Irfan Saadi<sup>1,\*,†</sup>

1Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Kansas Medical Center, Kansas City, KS 66160, USA and 2Department of Biological Physics, Eotvos University, Budapest 1053, Hungary

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed at: Department of Anatomy and Cell Biology, University of Kansas Medical Center, 3901 Rainbow Blvd., Kansas City, KS 66160, USA. Tel: 913 5887667; Fax: 913 5885677; Email: isaadi@kumc.edu

## **Abstract**

Patients with autosomal dominant *SPECC1L* variants show syndromic malformations, including hypertelorism, cleft palate and omphalocele. These *SPECC1L* variants largely cluster in the second coiled-coil domain (CCD2), which facilitates association with microtubules. To study SPECC1L function in mice, we first generated a null allele (*Specc1lΔEx4*) lacking the entire SPECC1L protein. Homozygous mutants for these truncations died perinatally without cleft palate or omphalocele. Given the clustering of human variants in CCD2, we hypothesized that targeted perturbation of CCD2 may be required. Indeed, homozygotes for in-frame deletions involving CCD2 (*Specc1lΔCCD2*) resulted in exencephaly, cleft palate and ventral body wall closure defects (omphalocele). Interestingly, exencephaly and cleft palate were never observed in the same embryo. Further examination revealed a narrower oral cavity in exencephalic embryos, which allowed palatal shelves to elevate and fuse despite their defect. In the cell, wild-type SPECC1L was evenly distributed throughout the cytoplasm and colocalized with both microtubules and filamentous actin. In contrast, mutant SPECC1L-ACCD2 protein showed abnormal perinuclear accumulation with diminished overlap with microtubules, indicating that SPECC1L used microtubule association for trafficking in the cell. The perinuclear accumulation in the mutant also resulted in abnormally increased actin and non-muscle myosin II bundles dislocated to the cell periphery. Disrupted actomyosin cytoskeletal organization in SPECC1L CCD2 mutants would affect cell alignment and coordinated movement during neural tube, palate and ventral body wall closure. Thus, we show that perturbation of CCD2 in the context of full SPECC1L protein affects tissue fusion dynamics, indicating that human *SPECC1L* CCD2 variants are gain-of-function.

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press. All rights reserved. For Permissions, please email: journals.permissions@oup.com

<sup>†</sup>Irfan Saadi, http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6250-6651

<sup>‡</sup>Equal contribution

<sup>§</sup>Current address: Clinical Research Training Center, Institute of Clinical and Translational Sciences, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, USA **Received:** April 23, 2021. **Revised:** July 16, 2021. **Accepted:** July 19, 2021

Many embryonic tissue morphogenetic events require movement and fusion events. Examples include neural tube, palate, ventral body wall and optic fissure closure [\(1,](#page-12-0)[2\)](#page-12-1). These processes require a precise sequence of remodeling events in a narrow and time-sensitive window of embryogenesis. Incorrect movement or incomplete fusion in the three examples described above leads to structural birth defects of anencephaly/exencephaly (1/4000 live births), cleft palate (1/1700), omphalocele (1/4000) and coloboma (1/2000), respectively [\(3\)](#page-12-2).

We are studying the cytoskeletal protein sperm antigen with calponin homology and coiled-coil domains 1-like (SPECC1L) that is proposed to associate with both actin filaments and microtubules via its calponin homology domain (CHD) and coiled coil domain 2 (CCD2), respectively [\(4](#page-12-3)[–6\)](#page-12-4). We and others have shown that patients with autosomal dominant *SPECC1L* variants manifest a range of structural birth defects, including hypertelorism (97%), omphalocele (45%) and cleft palate (24%) [\(4](#page-12-3)[,7](#page-12-5)[–9\)](#page-12-6). Interestingly, all *SPECC1L* variants in these patients with syndromic manifestation cluster largely in CCD2 and to a lesser extent in CHD, indicating a critical role for these domains.

To understand the function of SPECC1L in the etiology of these structural defects, we generated and evaluated several different mouse alleles, including a C-terminal truncation that also removed the CHD (*Specc1lΔC510*) [\(6\)](#page-12-4) and null allele lacking any protein (*Specc1lΔEx4*, [Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0). Homozygous mutants for these alleles exhibited perinatal lethality but did not show cleft palate or omphalocele [\(6\)](#page-12-4). We did observe a delay in palatal shelf elevation in *Specc1lΔC510* compound heterozygotes with *Specc1l* genetrap alleles (*Specc1lgt/ΔC510*). These compound heterozygous mutants were also perinatal lethal and showed both palatal shelf epithelial [\(6\)](#page-12-4) and mesenchymal defects [\(10\)](#page-12-7). Thus, due to the lack of cleft palate or omphalocele occurrence in null mutants, we hypothesized that patient phenotypes of cleft palate and omphalocele were due to gain-of-function CCD2 variants.

To test this hypothesis, we targeted CCD2 with clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)-based genome editing. Here, we report two alleles with in-frame genomic deletions removing portions of CCD2 (collectively termed *Specc1lΔCCD2*). Homozygous mutants for both alleles showed either cleft palate or exencephaly and omphalocele at high penetrance. In contrast, homozygous mutants for *Specc1lΔEx4* null allele showed none at birth. In addition, we observed significantly higher penetrance of cleft palate in female *Specc1lΔCCD2/*<sup>+</sup> heterozygotes than male counterparts. We also provide cellular and molecular evidence for a role of SPECC1L in regulating actomyosin organization during tissue morphogenesis.

## **Results**

#### *Specc1l* **null mutants show perinatal lethality with no defects of fusion events**

We previously generated three different mouse alleles for *Specc1l* deficiency using a genetrap-based knockdown strategy [\(5](#page-12-8)[,6\)](#page-12-4). Homozygous mutants for all three genetrap alleles showed early embryonic lethality with a neural tube closure defect [\(5](#page-12-8)[,6\)](#page-12-4). We also generated an allele (*Specc1lΔC510*) with a C-terminal truncation that deleted 510 amino acids including the CHD [\(6\)](#page-12-4). Homozygous mutants for this allele were perinatal lethal but did not show exencephaly or cleft palate; however, the truncated protein was still expressed [\(6\)](#page-12-4). To determine the true *Specc1l* null phenotype, we have now used CRISPR guide RNAs (gRNAs) f lanking exon 4 [\(Fig. 1A and B\)](#page-2-0) to generate a knockout allele lacking exon 4 (*Specc1lΔEx4*, [Fig. 1C\)](#page-2-0) that does not show any SPECC1L protein product [\(Fig. 1F and G\)](#page-2-0). Homozygous mutants for *Specc1lΔEx4* are also perinatal lethal and do not show exencephaly or cleft palate [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). Thus, we hypothesized that the human CCD2 variants resulting in cleft palate and omphalocele were gain-of-function.

## *Specc1l* **mutants with in-frame deletion of CCD2 result in exencephaly, cleft palate and ventral body wall closure defects**

To test this hypothesis, we targeted CCD2 with a CRISPR guide RNA (CCD2 gRNA; [Fig. 1A and B\)](#page-2-0) and identified alleles with both out-of-frame and in-frame deletions. Two alleles with in-frame deletions (*Specc1lΔ234, Specc1lΔ<sup>576</sup>*) were further characterized. Genomic changes were confirmed [\(Fig. 1C\)](#page-2-0), and genotyping protocols were created [\(Fig. 1D and E\)](#page-2-0). Predicted protein level changes in  $\triangle CCD2$  proteins [\(Fig. 1F\)](#page-2-0) were validated for expression with western blot analysis using an N-terminal *α*-SPECC1L antibody [\(Fig. 1G\)](#page-2-0). Homozygous mutants for all alleles with out-of-frame deletions were perinatal lethal and showed no exencephaly, cleft palate or omphalocele at birth (data not shown), similarly to homozygous mutants for *Specc1lΔC510* [\(6\)](#page-12-4) and *Specc1lΔEx4* [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0). However, homozygous mutants for all alleles with an in-frame deletion in CCD2—*Specc1lΔ<sup>234</sup>* and *Specc1lΔ<sup>576</sup>* are collectively referred to as *Specc1lΔCCD2*—showed ∼ 43% anterior neural tube closure defect (exencephaly, [Fig. 2B,](#page-3-0) arrowheads; [Supplementary Material, Fig. S1A–H\)](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data), ∼ 43% cleft palate [\(Fig. 2G\)](#page-3-0) and ∼60% ventral body wall closure defect (omphalocele, [Fig. 2B and C,](#page-3-0) arrows; Supplementary Material, [Fig. S2A–F\). Embryonic penetrance values for all](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) *Specc1lΔCCD2* alleles are graphed collectively in [Fig. 2I,](#page-3-0) and the values for individual alleles and phenotypic combinations are provided in [Supplementary Material, Table S1.](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) Closer inspection of the embryos at E15.5 also showed coloboma or incomplete fusion of the optic fissure in *Specc1lΔCCD2* mutants (Supplementary Material, Fig. S3B and C versus [Supplementary Material, Fig. S3A and](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) [D; arrows\). Thus, in-frame perturbation of CCD2 in the context of](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) full-length SPECC1L was more detrimental to embryonic tissue movement and fusion events than complete loss of SPECC1L protein, indicating a gain-of-function mechanism.

## **Homozygous** *Specc1lΔCCD2* **mutant embryos did not simultaneously show exencephaly and cleft palate**

In our phenotypic analysis, we noted that exencephaly  $(n = 12)$ and cleft palate  $(n = 12)$  never simultaneously occurred in the same *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* embryo (Supplementary Material, Table [S1\). In contrast, ventral body wall closure defect accompanied](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) both exencephaly  $(n = 9/12, 75%)$  and cleft palate  $(n = 5/12,$ 42%). Histologic analysis of embryos showed that at E13.5, *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutants with exencephaly had a narrower oral cavity [\(Fig. 3B\)](#page-4-0) than either wild-type control [\(Fig. 3A\)](#page-4-0), homozygous *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutants without exencephaly [\(Fig. 3C\)](#page-4-0) or homozygous null *Specc1lΔEx4/ΔEx4* mutants [\(Fig. 3D\)](#page-4-0). In addition to the narrow oral cavity, the palatal shelves themselves were abnormally wide, deforming the shape of the tongue [\(Fig. 3B,](#page-4-0) E13.5, arrowheads). This narrowing of the upper part of the oral cavity in exencephalic *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutants was statistically significant when compared with either wildtype (WT) or non-exencephalic *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutants [\(Fig. 3E–G\)](#page-4-0). At E15.5, *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutants with exencephaly are able to complete palatal shelf elevation and fusion [\(Fig. 3B\)](#page-4-0), albeit the oral cavity remains narrower [\(Fig. 3B,](#page-4-0) E15.5, arrowheads) than those in wild-type [\(Fig. 3A\)](#page-4-0) or *Specc1lΔEx4/ΔEx4* mutants [\(Fig. 3D\)](#page-4-0). Most homozygous *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutants without



<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Generation of *Specc1l* null and CCD2 deletion alleles. (**A**) Schematic of *Specc1l* locus. The largest exon 4, which also encodes the coiled-coil domain 2 (CCD2), is highlighted. The locations of the two guide RNAs (5' and 3' gRNAs) used to delete exon 4 as well as the gRNA used to introduce deletions in CCD2 (CCD2 gRNA) are indicated. Also shown are the approximate locations of the sequencing primers used for genotyping in D and E. (**B**) Sequences and genomic locations of the gRNAs used in A. PAM, protospacer adjacent motif. (**C**) Schematic representation of the genomic deletions created by the two CCD2 in-frame (234, 576 base pairs) and the null exon 4 deletion (AEx4). (D) Genotyping analysis of the three alleles, showing heterozygous and homozygous occurrence of the slower migrating band corresponding to deletion. Please note that the third hybrid band visible in heterozygous samples with small deletions are heteroduplexes—an expected PCR artifact. Due to the large deletion in Ex4, wild-type and mutant alleles are genotyped separately. (**E**) Sequence for primer pairs used for genotyping shown in D. Primer locations are also shown in the schematic of exon 4 in A. (**F**) SPECC1L protein schematics resulting from the CCD2 deletions and the null allele. CCD, coiled-coil domain; CHD, calponin homology domain. (**G**) Western blot analysis of tissue from wild-type (WT) and homozygous mutants for the four alleles. WT SPECC1L band migrates at ∼150 KDa. For the two in-frame CCD2 deletion alleles ( $\Delta$ 234,  $\Delta$ 576), corresponding faster migrating bands are visible. There are no bands visible for the  $\Delta$ Ex4 null allele. Total protein and comparative ratio are shown as loading control.



<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 2.** Homozygous mutants with in-frame CCD2 deletions show omphalocele, cleft palate and exencephaly. (**A–D**) Gross images of wild-type (A, *Specc1l*+*/*+), Specc1l<sup>ACCD2/ACCD2</sup>, with (B) or without (C) exencephaly, and Specc1l<sup>AEx4/AEx4</sup> null embryos at embryonic day (E) 15.5. Exencephaly is indicated in B with arrowheads. Omphalocele is indicated in B and C with arrows. (**E–H**) The palates are shown for E15.5 embryos with the jaws removed for the genotypes in A–D. The *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant with exencephaly (F) and the Specc1l<sup>AEx4/AEx4</sup> null (H) embryos do not show cleft palate, whereas the Specc1l<sup>ACCD2/ACCD2</sup> mutant without exencephaly does (G, white arrow). (**I**) The prevalence (%) of omphalocele, cleft palate and exencephaly is shown in *Specc1lΔCCD2* and *Specc1lΔEx4* heterozygous and homozygous mutants. For this analysis, data from the two in-frame CCD2 deletion alleles ( $\Delta$ 234,  $\Delta$ 576) were combined as  $\Delta$ CCD2. The Specc1l<sup>+/+</sup>, Specc1l<sup> $\Delta$ Ex4/+</sup> and Specc1l<sup> $\Delta$ Ex4/+ and Specc1l<sup> $\Delta$ Ex4/+ and Specc1l<sup> $\Delta$ Ex4/+ and </sup></sup></sup> did not show any occurrence of omphalocele, cleft palate or exencephaly.

exencephaly showed permanent cleft palate [\(Fig. 3C,](#page-4-0) arrow). *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutants did not survive postnatally even those without exencephaly or cleft palate. All  $\triangle$ CCD2 mutants ( $n = 4$ ) showed subtle abnormalities in palatal shelf rugae formation at E18.5 [\(Fig. 3C,](#page-4-0) E18.5). Interestingly, *Specc1lΔEx4/ΔEx4* mutants (100%,  $n = 4$ ) showed the most drastic effect on palatal rugae formation, indicating an overall defect in palatogenesis [\(Fig. 3D,](#page-4-0) E18.5).

## **Heterozygotes for** *Specc1lΔCCD2* **show autosomal dominant phenotypes and sex differences**

The *Specc1lΔCCD2* alleles were generated on a mixed C57BL/6J and FVB/NJ background. As we backcrossed the alleles to the C57BL/6J background, we noticed an occurrence of ventral body wall closure defect (10%), cleft palate (6%) and exencephaly (6%)



<span id="page-4-0"></span>**Figure 3.** Exencephaly narrows the oral cavity allowing Specc1l<sup>ACCD2/ACCD2</sup> mutant palatal shelves to fuse. Wild-type **(A)**, Specc1l<sup>ACCD2/ACCD2</sup> mutant with exencephaly (B), Specc1l<sup>ACCD2/ACCD2</sup> mutant without exencephaly (C) and Specc1l<sup>AEx4/AEx4</sup> null (D) embryos at embryonic day (E) 13.5, 15.5 and 18.5 are shown. At E13.5 and E15.5, coronal sections through the oral cavity were stained with hematoxylin and eosin. In the *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant with exencephaly (B) at E13.5, it is clear to see a narrowing of the oral cavity and the tongue (B, E13.5, arrowheads). Even at E15.5, the exencephalic mutant shows a narrowed dome-shaped palate and tongue (B, E15.5, arrowheads). At E18.5, embryonic palates are shown with the lower jaw removed. In addition, palatal rugae pattern at E18.5 is shown schematically. Measurements of the upper and lower regions of the oral cavity (**E**) in coronally sectioned E13.5 embryos showed that exencephalic *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* embryos have significant narrowing of the upper region of the oral cavity (**F**). There was no significant change in the lower region (**G**), which serves as an internal size control. p, palatal shelf; t, tongue; ∗, missing palatal rugae.

in the heterozygotes [\(Fig. 2I;](#page-3-0) [Supplementary Material, Table S1\)](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data). This is consistent with the autosomal dominant manifestation of human *SPECC1L* CCD2 variants. Interestingly, so far we have only identified cleft palate in female *Specc1lΔCCD2/*<sup>+</sup> heterozygotes

[\(13%\) and not in male heterozygotes \(0%\) \(Supplementary Mate](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data)rial, Fig. S4). This sex difference among heterozygotes was borderline for ventral body wall closure defects (13% female versus 8% male) and for exencephaly (4 versus 8%) [\(Supplementary](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) [Material, Fig. S4\)](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data). Interestingly, as we further backcrossed the alleles onto C57BL/6J to ∼N6, we noticed that we were rarely getting any female heterozygotes at weaning (data not shown). We are therefore maintaining the colony on a mixed C57BL/6J and FVB/NJ background. We also looked at male to female ratios in homozygous *Specc1lΔCCD2* mutants and found a prevalence of cleft palate in male mutants (25% female versus 66% male; [Supplementary Material, Fig. S4\)](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) and of exencephaly in female mutants (50% female versus 33% male). Again, a difference was not observed in homozygous *Specc1lΔCCD2* mutants for ventral body wall closure defects (62% female versus 58% male). These results suggest an interplay between strain and sex differences along with autosomal dominance.

## **Abnormal cellular expression of SPECC1L-CCD2 protein in palatal shelf mesenchyme**

SPECC1L protein was broadly expressed in both the palatal shelf epithelium and mesenchyme. We have previously shown that SPECC1L expression was more prominent at cell–cell boundaries in epithelial cells [\(5](#page-12-8)[,6\)](#page-12-4). In *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant palatal shelf epithelium and periderm, we did not observe a striking difference in staining pattern or localization of SPECC1L, filamentous [actin \(F-actin\) or microtubules \(Supplementary Material, Fig.](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) S5). However, in the palatal mesenchyme, we observed that SPECC1L-ACCD2 protein was not diffusely expressed throughout the cell and was instead concentrated in various regions of cells [\(Fig. 4A and A'](#page-6-0) versus [Fig. 4F and F';](#page-6-0) arrows). We also previously showed that SPECC1L expression closely overlaps with F-actin staining [\(5](#page-12-8)[,6\)](#page-12-4). Consistently, both wild-type and SPECC1L- $\triangle$ CCD2 proteins showed co-localization with F-actin [\(Fig. 4E and E'](#page-6-0) versus [Fig. 4J and J'\)](#page-6-0). However, there are many more, thick F-actin bundles in *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant palatal mesenchyme [\(Fig. 4F' and J';](#page-6-0) arrows). Overall, the fluorogram showed a moderate decrease in overlap with a reduced Pearson correlation value [\(Fig. 4K and L;](#page-6-0) 0.66 versus 0.48). In contrast, SPECC1L-ACCD2 protein showed much less co-localization with microtubules [\(Fig. 4D and D'](#page-6-0) versus [Fig. 4I and I';](#page-6-0) arrows). This reduced overlap is also indicated by a bifurcated fluorogram for SPECC1L-ACCD2 and tubulin [\(Fig. 4K](#page-6-0) versus [Fig. 4L;](#page-6-0) arrows) and a reduced Pearson correlation value [\(Fig. 4K and L;](#page-6-0) 0.37 versus 0.24). Microtubule immunostaining in the mutant tissue [\(Fig. 4G and G'](#page-6-0) versus [Fig. 4B and B'\)](#page-6-0) appeared less intense; [however, overall tubulin levels \(Supplementary Material, Fig.](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) S6) and microtubule staining in cultured mesenchymal cells [\(Fig. 5\)](#page-7-0) did not show any differences. Reduced co-localization of  $\triangle$ CCD2 protein with SPECC1L is consistent with our previous assertion that SPECC1L CCD2 helps the protein associate with microtubules [\(4](#page-12-3)[,6](#page-12-4)[,7\)](#page-12-5). Thus, we argued that the gainof-function underlying at least the palate elevation defect in *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutants mainly affected palatal shelf mesenchyme.

#### **CCD2 is required for subcellular distribution of SPECC1L**

To further assess the subcellular mesenchymal effects of SPECC1L-ACCD2 protein, we isolated primary mouse embryonic palatal mesenchyme (MEPM) cells from E13.5 wild-type and *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant embryos [\(10\)](#page-12-7). Time-lapse imaging of wild-type and mutant MEPM cell cultures revealed that CCD2 mutant cells exhibited a statistically significant deficit in their ability to form streams, an attribute of collective cell motility [\(Supplementary Material, Fig. S7\)](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data). This deficit in collective cell motility was consistent with our previous studies in SPECC1L-deficient MEPM cells [\(10\)](#page-12-7). At the cellular

level, wild-type SPECC1L showed a diffuse punctate expression pattern [\(Fig. 5A\)](#page-7-0), which closely mimicked F-actin expression pattern [\(Fig. 5C\)](#page-7-0). In contrast, SPECC1L-ACCD2 protein showed an abnormal perinuclear aggregation [\(Fig. 5E\)](#page-7-0). This abnormal perinuclear aggregation was even more vivid in migrating MEPM [cells in a wound repair assay \(Supplementary Material, Fig.](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) S8). Although the mutant protein still co-localized with Factin [\(Fig. 5H\)](#page-7-0), there appeared to be a drastic decrease in Factin staining in the perinuclear region where the SPECC1L-  $\triangle$ CCD2 protein had aggregated [\(Fig. 5E, G, H\)](#page-7-0). We confirmed our observation through a series of line scans through the images [\(Fig. 5I and J;](#page-7-0) [Supplementary Material, Fig. S9\)](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data). The line scans through WT cells showed even distribution of both SPECC1L protein and actin filaments [\(Fig. 5I;](#page-7-0) Supplementary Material, [Fig. S9\), which we argue are in equilibrium. In contrast, mutant](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) SPECC1L-ACCD2 protein shows increased expression in the central region of the cell, whereas F-actin shows increased staining at the cell periphery [\(Fig. 5J;](#page-7-0) Supplementary Material, [Fig. S9\). Super-resolution stimulated emission depletion \(STED\)](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) imaging revealed a general association of both WT [\(Fig. 5M\)](#page-7-0) and mutant [\(Fig. 5Q\)](#page-7-0) SPECC1L expression and actin filaments with reduced intensity. The microtubule expression pattern in cultured wild-type and mutant MEPM cells did not appear altered [\(Fig. 5B](#page-7-0) versus [Fig. 5F;](#page-7-0) [Fig. 5N](#page-7-0) versus [Fig. 5R\)](#page-7-0). However, in contrast to WT MEPM cells [\(Fig. 5N\)](#page-7-0), there are clear regions of microtubules devoid of SPECC1L protein in mutant MEPM cells [\(Fig. 5R\)](#page-7-0). Thus, we propose that SPECC1L protein requires its CCD2-based association with microtubules for intracellular trafficking and distribution. Furthermore, abnormal subcellular aggregation of SPECC1L-ACCD2 protein largely affected the actin cytoskeleton organization.

## **Abnormal staining of non-muscle myosin II in** *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* **MEPM cells**

Non-muscle myosin II (NM-II) family consists of three types—A, B and C—that are distinguished by three heavy chains encoded by *Myh9* (NM-IIA), *Myh10* (NM-IIB) and *Myh14* (NM-IIC), respectively [\(11](#page-12-9)[,12\)](#page-12-10). Although NM-IIC is not expressed in the palate during development, both NM-IIA and NM-IIB have been implicated in palatogenesis [\(13\)](#page-12-11) and the etiology of cleft palate [\(14–](#page-12-12) [16\)](#page-13-0). We looked at expression of both MYH9 and MYH10 in wildtype and *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant MEPM cells. We observed that both MYH9 [\(Fig. 6A and B\)](#page-8-0) and MYH10 [\(Fig. 6D and E\)](#page-8-0) expression pattern was abnormal in mutant MEPM cells. There was a particularly increased localization of both NM-IIA and NM-IIB to cell periphery. There was also a change in cell shape, with many mutant MEPM cells being more circular [\(Fig. 6C and F\)](#page-8-0). We also extended our analysis to the palate mesenchyme (Supplemen[tary Material, Fig. S10\) and found a similarly altered expression](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) [to the cell periphery of both MYH9 \(Supplementary Material,](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) Fig. S10A versus [Supplementary Material, Fig. S10F\)](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) and MYH10 [\(Supplementary Material, Fig. S10K](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) versus Supplementary Mate[rial, Fig. S10P\). There also appeared to be reduced co-localization](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) [with microtubules of both NM-IIA \(Supplementary Material, Fig.](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) S10D versus [Supplementary Material, Fig. S10I;](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) arrows) and NM-IIB [\(Supplementary Material, Fig. S10N](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) versus Supplementary [Material, Fig. S10S; arrow\), which was also evident with less](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) diagonal pattern on the fluorograms and reduced Pearson correlation values [\(Supplementary Material, Fig. S10U and V\)](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data).

#### **NM-IIB co-immunoprecipitates with SPECC1L**

Given the altered expression pattern of NM-IIA and NM-IIB in *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant MEPM cells and tissue, we wanted



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 4.** Abnormal cellular expression of SPECC1L-CCD2 in E13.5 palatal shelf mesenchyme. (**A–J**) Wild-type *Specc1l*+*/*+ (A–E, A'–E') and *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant (F–J, F'–J') embryonic day (E) 13.5 cryosections were co-stained with antibodies against SPECC1L (A, A', F, F ) and *α*-tubulin (B, B', G, G'), and with phalloidin (C, C', H, H'). A'-J' are magnified regions that are boxed in the corresponding A-J. Wild-type SPECC1L (A, A') shows an evenly distributed subcellular expression that strongly overlaps with both microtubules (D, D') and filamentous actin (E, E'). In contrast, mutant SPECC1L-ACCD2 (F, F') shows an abnormal expression pattern that appears to be clustered in subcellular regions (arrows). This clustered expression of the mutant SPECC1L shows reduced co-localization with microtubules (I, I', increased red staining), and results in abnormal bundles of F-actin (J, J'). Scale bars = 10 μm. (**K-L**) Fluorograms (pixel distribution diagrams) depicting the frequency of pixels with various compositions of immunofluorescence (K, L). The immunofluorescence values are normalized to the intensity averaged over the entire field of view. The Pearson correlation coefficient (PC) characterizes the degree of co-localization of the corresponding sample. Wild-type SPECC1L immunof luorescence shows a tighter (diagonal) association with both F-actin and tubulin (K) compared with that of the CCD2 mutant (L). In particular, overlap of mutant SPECC1L-CCD2 protein with tubulin is drastically reduced (L, arrows). Ovals indicate the approximate area of the diagram corresponding to co-localized immunof luorescence, arrows point to groups of pixels where only one of the immunof luorescence is present.



<span id="page-7-0"></span>Figure 5. SPECC1L-ACCD2 shows abnormal perinuclear localization in primary mouse embryonic palatal mesenchyme cells. Primary mouse embryonic palatal mesenchyme (MEPM) cells were isolated from wild-type (**A–D**, **K–N**) and *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant (**E–H**, **O–R**) embryonic day (E) 13.5 embryos. Images A–H are maximum intensity projections of confocal z-stacks, whereas images K–R are confocal planes. MEPM cells were co-stained with antibodies against SPECC1L and *α*-tubulin, and with phalloidin as indicated. Merged images with DAPI are also shown (D, H). SPECC1L shows an evenly distributed subcellular expression pattern in wild-type MEPMs (A, D) that greatly overlaps with both microtubules (B, D) and actin filaments (F-actin; C, D). In contrast,  $\triangle CCD2$  protein in mutant MEPMs is clustered in a perinuclear region (E, H) with reduced overlap with either microtubules (F, H) or F-actin (G, H). The abnormal expression pattern of SPECC1L and F-actin in the mutant MEPMs was further confirmed using line scans through images in A and E. The line scan through wild-type MEPM cell (I) clearly showed similar pattern SPECC1L and F-actin expression, indicating an equilibrium. In contrast, the line scan through the mutant cell (J) shows clustering of the mutant protein in the middle of the cell, which resulted in an increase in F-actin at the outer edges of the cell. To better characterize the co-staining, super-resolution STED images were taken (L-N, P-R) of the boxed regions shown in K (wild-type) and O (ACCD2 mutant). STED images show a strong overlap between SPECC1L and F-actin (M, Q). Interestingly, regions of SPECC1L overlap in both wild-type and mutant cells show reduced F-actin staining. With microtubules, wild-type SPECC1L shows considerable overlap (N) compared with ΔCCD2 mutant (R). However, the main difference is an inability of mutant ΔCCD2 protein to distribute throughout the cytoplasm. Scale bars = 10 μm.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Figure 6.** Abnormal non-muscle myosin II expression in *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant primary mouse embryonic palatal mesenchyme cells. Wild-type (**A**, **D**) and *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant (**B**, **E**) primary mouse embryonic palatal mesenchyme (MEPM) cells were co-stained with antibodies against non-muscle myosin IIA (NM-IIA) component MYH9 (A, B) or with NM-IIB component MYH10 (D, E), and with phalloidin marking filamentous actin (F-actin). Merged images are shown with DAPI. Wild-type MYH9 (A) and MYH10 (D) show a uniform subcellular expression pattern that overlaps F-actin staining. In contrast, in mutant MEPM cells, both MYH9 (B) and MYH10 (E) show an abnormal clustered expression at the cell periphery along with F-actin. Compared with wild-type MEPM cells (A, D), the mutant MEPM cells also show a more rounded appearance (B, E). This circularity was measured as a ratio of length/width with one being circular or cuboidal (**C**, **F**). Compared with wild-type cells, the mutant cells showed much lower ratios (C, F), indicating increased circularity. Scale bars = 10 μm.

to know if wild-type or mutant SPECC1L associated with NM-II. We immunoprecipitated SPECC1L protein from wild-type, *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* and *Specc1lΔC510/ΔC510* embryonic tissue [\(Fig. 7\)](#page-9-0). Wild-type SPECC1L strongly co-immunoprecipitated NM-IIB [\(Fig. 7A\)](#page-9-0) as well as NM-IIA (not shown), showing an association *in vivo.* Interestingly, *Specc1lΔCCD2/ΔCCD2* mutant protein also co-immunoprecipitated NM-IIB [\(Fig. 7B\)](#page-9-0), indicating that its association with SPECC1L was not via CCD2. Therefore, we tested lysate from *Specc1lΔC510/ΔC510* mutants lacking the C-terminal CHD. The SPECC1L-AC510 protein also co-immunoprecipitated NM-IIB [\(Fig. 7C\)](#page-9-0), suggesting that there was a novel interaction domain for NM-IIB possibly in the N-terminal region of SPECC1L.

## **Discussion**

Patients with autosomal dominant *SPECC1L* variants show several structural birth defects including omphalocele and cleft palate [\(9\)](#page-12-6). Yet in mice, we showed that a loss of the C-terminal region with CHD [\(6\)](#page-12-4) or even a complete absence of SPECC1L protein [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0) did not result in these defects at birth. We hypothesized that these autosomal dominant variants that largely cluster in CCD2 are gain-of-function. We generated two mouse alleles with in-frame deletions in CCD2 [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0). Homozygous mutants for these in-frame  $\triangle$ CCD2 deletions showed highly penetrant omphalocele and cleft palate, confirming our hypothesis that CCD2 perturbations are gain-of-function.



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Figure 7.** SPECC1L associates with NM-IIB component MYH10. Wild-type **(A),**<br>Specc1l<sup>ACCD2/ACCD2</sup> mutant **(B)** and Specc1l<sup>ACS10/AC510</sup> mutant **(C)** tissue lysate was used in co-immunoprecipitation assays using *α*-SPECC1L N-term antibody or IgG negative control; 5% input is shown as control. MYH10 was coimmunoprecipitated from WT, ACCD2 (lacking CCD2 domain) mutant and AC510 (lacking C-terminal CHD) mutant lysates. Thus, SPECC1L interaction with NM-IIB is not via CCD2 or CHD, but rather via a novel, yet unidentified N-terminal domain. WB, western blot.

Furthermore, some  $\triangle$ CCD2 heterozygous mutants also showed cleft palate. Interestingly, all  $\triangle CCD2$  heterozygous mutants with cleft palate were female. This is important since, in humans, non-syndromic cleft palate only phenotype is observed more often in females (∼1.41 times) than in males [\(17](#page-13-1)[,18\)](#page-13-2). We also observed an effect of background strain on the survival of  $\triangle CCD2$  heterozygotes, especially females. Together, these results indicate that our mouse *Specc1lΔCCD2* alleles aptly model the human condition.

Homozygous in-frame ACCD2 mutants also showed highly penetrant exencephaly and coloboma. Although cleft palate and omphalocele have been frequently observed in known patients with *SPECC1L* variants, exencephaly and coloboma are not. Coloboma was only observed in a small subset of homozygous mutants, and not in heterozygous mutants, thus suggesting that optic fissure closure may not be sensitive to one copy of the CCD2 mutation. In contrast, the main reason for absence of exencephaly in the patients identified to-date is likely selection bias. The current patient cohort was initially identified based on *SPECC1L* association with craniofacial anomalies [\(4,](#page-12-3)[7\)](#page-12-5) and then with omphalocele [\(8\)](#page-12-13). Fetuses with exencephaly fail to survive postnatally and are not currently associated with *SPECC1L* variants.

SPECC1L appears to affect the efficiency of several embryonic tissue movement and fusion events. Several pieces of evidence for this efficiency argument come from our study of palatogenesis in various *Specc1l* alleles. First is the incomplete penetrance of the various defects of fusion events in  $\triangle$ CCD2 mutants. Another is that in SPECC1L truncation [\(6\)](#page-12-4) and null [\(Fig. 2\)](#page-3-0) alleles, there is no cleft palate at birth, indicating that SPECC1L is not required for the process, but there is a demonstrable delay in palatal shelf elevation [\(6\)](#page-12-4). We hypothesize that this elevation delay is more severe in in-frame  $\triangle$ CCD2 mutants, which results in cleft palate at birth. A good case in point for this hypothesis is the absence of cleft palate in  $\triangle$ CCD2 mutant embryos with exencephaly where the oral cavity is narrowed due to the loss of the cranium. According to our hypothesis, even with reduced efficiency in elevation, palatal shelves are able to fuse as they have a shorter distance to cover. An important corollary to this argument is that even subtle variants in *SPECC1L* may affect this efficiency enough to function as genetic modifiers. This is consistent with our identification of *SPECC1L* variants in patients with nonsyndromic cleft lip/palate with subtle functional consequences [\(6\)](#page-12-4) compared with variants identified in syndromic cases [\(4](#page-12-3)[,7\)](#page-12-5). We propose that the same would be true in other defective fusion events affecting the neural tube, ventral body wall and eye.

The basis for this reduced efficiency in the SPECC1L-deficient palate is likely poor vertical to horizontal remodeling during elevation [\(10\)](#page-12-7). Several cellular mechanisms for this mesenchymal remodeling have been proposed [\(19–](#page-13-3)[22\)](#page-13-4). Cell proliferation is required, but not sufficient [\(23–](#page-13-5)[25\)](#page-13-6); however, migratory properties, potentially guided by WNT5A and FGF10 chemotactic gradients [\(26\)](#page-13-7), may be needed for elevation to occur. We posited that, at the cellular level, SPECC1L deficiency leads to poor cell alignment and motility [\(10\)](#page-12-7), two key elements of coordinated movement that can affect this remodeling. At the molecular level, the ability of SPECC1L to associate with both microtubules and actin via CCD2 and CHD, respectively, underlies its function. We had hypothesized that CCD2 based association with microtubules was critical, as most human variants clustered in this domain; however, the significance of the microtubule association was not evident. Previous *in vitro* assays suggested an ability to promote acetylation or stability of a subset of microtubules [\(4](#page-12-3)[,6\)](#page-12-4). Our data now show that while there is some reduction or mislocalization of microtubules in  $\triangle$ CCD2 mutants, the main effect of CCD2 perturbation is an inability of SPECC1L to evenly distribute within the cell cytoplasm **(**[Fig. 8](#page-10-0)**)**. There can be several reasons for the mutant protein to cluster—for example, SPECC1L- $\triangle$ CCD2 protein may be tethered to the regions where it is expressed or degraded near the periphery of the cell. However, given the association of CCD2 with microtubules, we propose that SPECC1L is using microtubule-based trafficking to move throughout the cell potentially through association with kinesin motor proteins. Furthermore, the subcellular regions, where the mutant SPECC1L-ACCD2 protein aggregates, are conspicuously devoid of strongly staining actin filaments. Conversely, there is an increase in F-actin staining at the mutant cell periphery lacking the SPECC1L- $\triangle$ CCD2 protein. These observations are consistent with a role for SPECC1L in actin depolymerization or turnover, and with our previous reports of increased F-actin staining in SPECC1L-deficient cells [\(5](#page-12-8)[,6\)](#page-12-4).



<span id="page-10-0"></span>Figure 8. Model for SPECC1L-ACCD2 dysfunction. Wild-type SPECC1L protein (left) is expressed uniformly throughout the cytoplasm and is found associated with actin filaments that stain lightly with phalloidin, indicating a role in filamentous actin turnover. In contrast, SPECC1L-ACCD2 protein expression (right) is clustered in the perinuclear region. This accumulation leads to a severe reduction in filamentous actin staining in the perinuclear region and a drastic increase in actin filaments towards the cell periphery. Thus, our data suggest that SPECC1L requires association with the microtubules via CCD2 for trafficking within the cell and that clustered accumulation of SPECC1L-ACCD2 leads to a disorganized actin cytoskeleton affecting cell alignment and motility. Schematic created with [BioRender.com.](BioRender.com)

The subcellular misorganization of F-actin in  $\triangle$ CCD2 mutant cells also provides a cellular basis for the gain-of-function. We have previously shown that SPECC1L deficiency leads to increased actin filament staining [\(4](#page-12-3)[,5\)](#page-12-8). However, this increase is uniformly spread out in the mutant cell. In contrast, in  $\triangle$ CCD2 mutant cells, the clustered mutant protein is still able to turnover actin, which results in subcellular regions within the same cell with too much and too little actin filaments. This abnormal subcellular pattern with peripheral increase and perinuclear decrease in F-actin can be considered a toxic gain-of-function, compared even with *Specc1l* null cells. We do not yet have any conclusive evidence that SPECC1L functions as a dimer or multimer, but we hypothesize that this same toxic gain-of-function can sometimes result in phenotypes in heterozygotes.

Given our findings with actin cytoskeletal changes, we looked at NM-IIA and NM-IIB. NM-IIA (*MYH9*) has been implicated in association studies with non-syndromic CL/P [\(14](#page-12-12)[–16\)](#page-13-0) and in palatogenesis [\(13\)](#page-12-11). Interestingly, similarly to *Specc1l,* NM-IIB (*Myh10*) null allele did not show cleft palate or omphalocele [\(11\)](#page-12-9), whereas an *Myh10* allele with a point mutation showed cleft palate, omphalocele and other structural birth defects [\(16\)](#page-13-0). We observed an abnormal NM-IIA and IIB staining pattern towards the periphery of mutant MEPM cells, likely associated with branched actin filaments. We also observed a general rounding of mutant MEPM cells, which is consistent with a decrease in cytoplasmic association of NM-II with central long anti-parallel actin filaments. Most importantly, we show that SPECC1L physically associates with NM-II, independent of either the CCD2 (microtubule) or CHD (actin) domains. This result further establishes SPECC1L as a cytoskeletal scaffolding protein.

Our previous analysis with *Specc1l*-deficient alleles placed *Specc1l* downstream of Interferon Regulatory Factor 6 (*Irf6*) and showed oral epithelial defects including oral adhesions and ectopic apical misexpression of adhesion molecules in the periderm [\(6\)](#page-12-4). However, the defects in *Specc1l* deficient of null alleles are not limited to the oral epithelium.We recently showed that *Specc1l*-deficient primary MEPM cells have migration and directed motility defects [\(10\)](#page-12-7). The IRF6-Transcription Factor AP-2 Alpha (TFAP2A)-Grainyhead Like Transcription Factor 3 (GRHL3) pathway has been shown to play an important role in both neural tube and palate closure [\(27\)](#page-13-8). In addition, *Tfap2a* and GRHL family member *Grhl2* mutants show ventral body wall closure defects [\(28,](#page-13-9)[29\)](#page-13-10). Although the IRF6-TFAP2A-GRHL3 pathway functions primarily in the ectoderm, there is evidence that it also affects the mesoderm [\(30\)](#page-13-11). Consistent with this observation, in *Irf6* null palatal shelves, SPECC1L expression was reduced in both the epithelium and mesenchyme [\(6\)](#page-12-4). Thus, it is important to note that while our analysis of the palatal shelves in *Specc1lΔCCD2* alleles did not show any drastic oral epithelial changes, it does not mean that the ectoderm is not affected. As a first-order analysis, we have looked at F-actin [staining during both neural tube \(Supplementary Material, Fig.](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) [S1G–N\) and ventral body wall \(Supplementary Material, Fig.](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) S2G and H) closure. In both cases, WT embryos show strong supracellular alignment of actin cables, whereas the mutant embryos show diminished organization. Poor supracellular alignment of actin filaments has been implicated previously in neural tube closure defects [\(31](#page-13-12)[,32\)](#page-13-13). In conclusion, by organizing cellular actin and myosin, SPECC1L may participate in the global alignment of actomyosin-based forces that play a critical role in time-sensitive embryonic tissue movement and fusion events.

## **Materials and Methods**

#### **Generation of** *Specc1l* **alleles**

The general scheme for the CRISPR-based generation of *Specc1l* alleles is described in [Fig. 1.](#page-2-0) Brief ly, to generate the *ΔEx4* null allele, two gRNAs flanking exon 4 [\(Fig. 1A and B\)](#page-2-0) were introduced directly in F1 hybrid (C57Bl/6J:FVB/NJ) zygotes at 2–4 cell stage. Successful targeting was confirmed in the founders using polymerase chain reaction (PCR) based loss of exon 4. The CCD2 specific alleles were generated using a single gRNA located in CCD2 in exon 4 [\(Fig. 1A and B\)](#page-2-0). Progeny from founders were sequenced for in-frame deletions. Three of the in-frame deletions ( $\Delta$ 234,  $\Delta$ 576,  $\Delta$ 411 base pairs) were established as mouse lines and further analyzed [\(Fig. 1C–G\)](#page-2-0).

## **Mouse embryo processing and histological analysis**

Timed matings were set up overnight and checked for plugs the following morning. The embryos were classified as embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5) at noon on the day that the plug was identified. At the desired embryonic time point, the pregnant females were euthanized using methods approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC). Embryos were harvested, washed in  $1\times$  phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Prior to fixation, whole-embryo bright-field images were obtained using a Nikon SMZ 1500 stereomicroscope. Yolk sacs were taken at the time of harvesting for genotyping. Sex was determined by PCR using primers flanking an 84 bp deletion of the *Rbm31x* gene relative to its gametolog *Rbm31y* (Forward: CACCTTAAGAA-CAAGCCAATACA; Reverse: GGCTTGTCCTGAAAACATTTGG). A single product (269 bp) was amplified in female subjects and two products (269 bp; 353 bp) amplified in male subjects [\(33\)](#page-13-14). Whole-mount 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI) staining of the palate was achieved by decapitating fixed embryos, removing the lower jaw and incubating the exposed palates in 500–1000 nM DAPI solution overnight, then imaging on Nikon SMZ 1500 stereomicroscope. Fixed embryo heads were paraffin processed using Leica ASP300, embedded in paraffin blocks and coronally sectioned. Hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining was performed on these sections and imaged using the EVOS FL Auto microscope. For cryosectioning, fixed embryo heads were submerged in 20% sucrose until the tissue sank, embedded in optimal cutting temperature (OCT) media, coronally sectioned at a thickness of 10 μm, then stored at −80◦C until immunostained.

#### **MEPM isolation and culture**

MEPM isolation was performed as described previously [\(10\)](#page-12-7). Briefly, harvested E13.5 mouse embryos were decapitated, the lower jaw and tongue were removed, the palatal shelves were excised and incubated in 0.25% trypsin (ThermoFisher, 25200056) at 37◦C for 10 min. The resulting MEPM cells were resuspended in DMEM high glucose supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Corning, 35-010-CV), 40mM *L*-glutamine (Cytiva, SH30243.01) and 50 units/ml penicillin/streptomycin (Corning, 30-002-CI). MEPMs were plated into six-well plates and incubated at 37◦C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Culture media was changed daily until the cells reached confluency, whereupon they were cryopreserved. For immunostaining, cells were thawed and seeded at the appropriate density.

#### **Spontaneous collective motility assay**

For the analysis of stream formation and collective motility, MEPM cells were seeded at high (450–900/mm2) densities into selected areas of six-well plates, delimited by silicone insert rings (Ibidi, 80209). Cultures were live imaged every 10 min with a 4× phase contrast objective. The local spatial correlations of cell movements were characterized by the average flow field that surrounds moving cells as described in [\(10](#page-12-7)[,34,](#page-13-15)[35\)](#page-13-16). Brief ly, cell motility was estimated using a particle image velocimetry algorithm, with an initial window size of 50 μm [\(10,](#page-12-7)[36,](#page-13-17)[37\)](#page-13-18), resulting in velocity vectors at each frame and image location. A reference system was then aligned to each vector and adjacent vectors were registered in the appropriate bin (front, rear, etc.). The average velocity vector in each bin was used as a measure of spatial correlation. The calculated average co-movement vectors were fitted with an exponential function across the front–rear and left–right axes to obtain the correlation length: the characteristic distance local correlations in cell velocity disappear.

#### **Western blotting**

Protein was extracted by sonicating embryonic tissue in radioimmunoprecipitation buffer with Halt™ protease inhibitor cocktail (Thermo Scientific, 78440). Protein concentrations were quantified using Bicinchoninic Acid (BCA) assay (Thermo Scientific, 23227). Samples were electrophoresed using Mini-Protean TGX Stain-Free 4–15% gradient pre-cast polyacrylamide gels (Bio-RAD, #4568084). After electrophoresis, the stain-free gel was activated by exposing to ultraviolet light for 45 s using the ChemiDoc MP imaging system (BioRad). This allows for fluorescent detection of total protein, which was quantitated using ImageLab software (BioRad). The protein was then transferred to an Immobilon polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (EMD Millipore, IPVH00010). Membranes were blocked using Odyssey Blocking Buffer (Li-Cor, 927-5000) for 1–2 h at room temperature (RT), incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4◦C, secondary antibody (1:10 000; Cell Signaling Technologies) for 1 h at RT and developed using Femto Super Signal West ECL reagent (Thermo Scientific, 34095). Membranes were imaged and analyzed using ChemiDoc MP imaging system (BioRad) and Imagelab software (BioRad). Primary antibodies used SPECC1L (1:2000; Proteintech, 25 390-1-AP), MYH10 (1:1000; Sigma, M7939) and HRP-conjugated beta-actin (1:5000, UBPBio, Y1059).

#### **Immunof luorescence**

For MEPM immunostaining, cells were grown on poly-lysinecoated glass coverslips and fixed with 2% paraformaldehyde for 10 min at RT. Cells were permeabilized with 0.1% Trixon X-100 in 1 $\times$  PBS for 10 min, washed with PBS 3 $\times$  and blocked in 10% normal goat serum (NGS) (Thermo Fisher Scientific, 50062Z) for 2 h at RT. Primary antibodies were incubated overnight at 4℃, washed in  $1\times$  PBS, then incubated in secondary antibody for 2 h and DAPI and/or F-actin stain for 30 min at RT. Stained coverslips were mounted on slides with Prolong Gold Antifade Mounting medium (Thermo Fisher Scientific, P10144). For ΔNp63 and *β*catenin, an antigen retrieval protocol was followed by heating slides in sodium citrate buffer (10 mM sodium citrate, 0.05% Tween 20, pH 6.0) at 96℃ for 10 min. Slides were washed in  $H_2O$ and PBS, permeabilized using 0.5% Triton X-100 in  $1\times$  PBS for 30 min, washed in PBS again, then blocked in 10% NGS. Primary and secondary antibodies were incubated following the same method as described above. Images were acquired using either an EVOS FL Auto Inverted Imaging System or Leica SP8 STED 3 $\times$ white light laser (WLL) confocal microscope. Primary antibodies: SPECC1L N-terminus (1:500 cells, 1:250 tissue Proteintech, 25390- 1-AP), *α*-tubulin (1:100, 1:500 cells, 1:1000 tissue, Sigma, T9026), Np63 (1:100, Biolegend, 619001), *β*-catenin (1:500 Cell Signaling Technology; 2677), MYH9 NM-IIA (1:100 Proteintech, 11128-1-AP), MYH10 NM-IIB (1:100 Sigma, M7939). Secondary antibodies and stains: Goat anti-Rabbit Immunoglobulin G (IgG) (H + L) Alexa 488 and 594 (1:1000 cells, 1:500 tissue Invitrogen; A-11008, A-11012), Goat anti-Mouse IgG1 Alexa 488 (1:500 Invitrogen, A-21121), Goat anti-Mouse IgG1 Alexa 680 (Jackson Immuno, 1156255205), Actistain 555 phalloidin (1:140, Cytoskeleton, PHDH1-A), Actin-stain 670 phalloidin (1:140, Cytoskeleton, PHDN1-A), DAPI (5 μM).

#### **Immunoprecipitation**

Protein was extracted by sonicating embryonic tissue in a lysis buffer containing 20mM Tris–HCl, 1% NP-40, 131mM NaCl, 2mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and 10% glycerol. To prevent non-specific binding to the protein beads, the lysate was pre-cleared by incubating with Dynabeads Protein G (Invitrogen, 100-03D) for 4 h at 4◦C while rocking. For each sample, equal volume of lysate was incubated with 1 μg of either SPECC1L Nterminus antibody (Proteintech, 25390-1-AP) or Normal Rabbit IgG control antibody (Cell Signaling Technology, 2729S). Dynabeads Protein G were added to the lysate/antibody mixture and incubated overnight at 4◦C while rocking. The beads were

washed with ice cold lysis buffer three times. Lysis buffer containing 5× Laemmli buffer with 10% 2-Mercaptoethanol (Sigma, M3148) was added to the beads, and then electrophoresed on polyacrylamide gels as described above for western blotting.

#### **Image quantitation and analyses**

Oral cavity measurements were taken from E13.5 H&E- and DAPI-stained palatal sections. Points along the upper and lower portions of the medial aspect of the palatal shelves were manually selected, and then measured using the Measure tool in Fiji ImageJ. Distribution of immunofluorescence in MEPM cells was analyzed using Plot Profile plugin of ImageJ. Two independent lines were measured through each cell, and the normalized immunof luorescence was plotted on a graph. Cell circularity was determined by taking the ratio of cell length over width. Co-localization of cytoskeletal components was analyzed using JaCoP Plugin of ImageJ [\(38\)](#page-13-19) as well as by the Python Imaging Library. Statistical comparisons were performed using Prism GraphPad software and by the SciPy and Matplotlib Python packages.

#### **Supplementary Material**

[Supplementary Material](https://academic.oup.com/hmg/article-lookup/doi/10.1093/hmg/ddab211#supplementary-data) is available at *HMG* online.

## **Authors' Contributions**

J.P.G., L.W.W., M.S., A.C. and I.S. conceived and designed the experiments. J.P.G., L.W.W., M.S., M.M., E.G.H., D.G.I., B.M.J., Z.U. and M.K.R. performed the experiments. D.G.I. and A.C. performed the time-lapse imaging analysis. J.P.G., M.S., A.C. and I.S. wrote the paper. L.W.W., M.M., B.M.J. and M.K.R. edited the manuscript. All authors reviewed the manuscript.

*Conflict of Interest statement*. The authors do not have any competing financial interests pertaining to the studies presented here.

## **Funding**

This project was supported in part by the National Institute of Dental and Craniofacial Research (NIDCR) (grants DE026172 to I.S., F31DE027284 to E.H.) and the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (NIGMS) (grant GM102801 to A.C.). I.S. was also supported in part by the Center of Biomedical Research Excellence (COBRE) grant NIGMS P20 GM104936), Kansas IDeA Network for Biomedical Research Excellence grant (NIGMS P20 GM103418) and Kansas Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Research Center (KIDDRC) grant [U54 Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD), HD090216]. The Confocal Imaging Facility, the Integrated Imaging Core and the Transgenic and Gene Targeting Institutional Facility at the University of Kansas Medical Center are supported, in part, by NIH/NIGMS COBRE (grant P30GM122731) and by NIH/NICHD KIDDRC (grant U54HD090216). The Leica STED microscope was supported by NIH (1S10OD023625).

## **References**

- <span id="page-12-0"></span>1. Martin, P. and Wood, W. (2002) Epithelial fusions in the embryo. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.*, **14**, 569–574.
- <span id="page-12-1"></span>2. Ray, H.J. and Niswander, L. (2012) Mechanisms of tissue fusion during development. *Development*, **139**, 1701–1711.
- <span id="page-12-2"></span>3. Mai, C.T., Isenburg, J.L., Canfield, M.A., Meyer, R.E., Correa, A., Alverson, C.J., Lupo, P.J., Riehle-Colarusso, T., Cho, S.J., Aggarwal, D. *et al.* (2019) National population-based estimates for major birth defects, 2010-2014. *Birth Defects Res.*, **111**, 1420–1435.
- <span id="page-12-3"></span>4. Saadi, I., Alkuraya, F.S., Gisselbrecht, S.S., Goessling, W., Cavallesco, R., Turbe-Doan, A., Petrin, A.L., Harris, J., Siddiqui, U., Grix, A.W., Jr. *et al.* (2011) Deficiency of the cytoskeletal protein SPECC1L leads to oblique facial clefting. *Am. J. Hum. Genet.*, **89**, 44–55.
- <span id="page-12-8"></span>5. Wilson, N.R., Olm-Shipman, A.J., Acevedo, D.S., Palaniyandi, K., Hall, E.G., Kosa, E., Stumpff, K.M., Smith, G.J., Pitstick, L., Liao, E.C. *et al.* (2016) SPECC1L deficiency results in increased adherens junction stability and reduced cranial neural crest cell delamination. *Sci. Rep.*, **6**, 17735.
- <span id="page-12-4"></span>6. Hall, E.G., Wenger, L.W., Wilson, N.R., Undurty-Akella, S.S., Standley, J., Augustine-Akpan, E.A., Kousa, Y.A., Acevedo, D.S., Goering, J.P., Pitstick, L. *et al.* (2020) SPECC1L regulates palate development downstream of IRF6. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **29**, 845–858.
- <span id="page-12-5"></span>7. Kruszka, P., Li, D., Harr, M.H., Wilson, N.R., Swarr, D., McCormick, E.M., Chiavacci, R.M., Li, M., Martinez, A.F., Hart, R.A. *et al.* (2015) Mutations in SPECC1L, encoding sperm antigen with calponin homology and coiledcoil domains 1-like, are found in some cases of autosomal dominant Opitz G/BBB syndrome. *J. Med. Genet.*, **52**, 104–110.
- <span id="page-12-13"></span>8. Bhoj, E.J., Li, D., Harr, M.H., Tian, L., Wang, T., Zhao, Y., Qiu, H., Kim, C., Hoffman, J.D., Hakonarson, H. *et al.* (2015) Expanding the SPECC1L mutation phenotypic spectrum to include Teebi hypertelorism syndrome. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A*, **167A**, 2497–2502.
- <span id="page-12-6"></span>9. Bhoj, E.J., Haye, D., Toutain, A., Bonneau, D., Nielsen, I.K., Lund, I.B., Bogaard, P., Leenskjold, S., Karaer, K., Wild, K.T. *et al.* (2018) Phenotypic spectrum associated with SPECC1L pathogenic variants: new families and critical review of the nosology of Teebi, Opitz GBBB, and Baraitser-Winter syndromes. *Eur. J. Med. Genet.*, in press.
- <span id="page-12-7"></span>10. Goering, J.P., Isai, D.G., Hall, E.G., Wilson, N.R., Kosa, E., Wenger, L.W., Umar, Z., Yousaf, A., Czirok, A. and Saadi, I. (2021) SPECC1L-deficient primary mouse embryonic palatal mesenchyme cells show speed and directionality defects. *Sci. Rep.*, **11**, 1452.
- <span id="page-12-9"></span>11. Ma, X. and Adelstein, R.S. (2014) The role of vertebrate nonmuscle myosin II in development and human disease. *BioArchitecture*, **4**, 88–102.
- <span id="page-12-10"></span>12. Newell-Litwa, K.A., Horwitz, R. and Lamers, M.L. (2015) Nonmuscle myosin II in disease: mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities. *Dis. Model. Mech.*, **8**, 1495–1515.
- <span id="page-12-11"></span>13. Kim, S., Lewis, A.E., Singh, V., Ma, X., Adelstein, R. and Bush, J.O. (2015) Convergence and extrusion are required for normal fusion of the mammalian secondary palate. *PLoS Biol.*, **13**, e1002122.
- <span id="page-12-12"></span>14. Chiquet, B.T., Hashmi, S.S., Henry, R., Burt, A., Mulliken, J.B., Stal, S., Bray, M., Blanton, S.H. and Hecht, J.T. (2009) Genomic screening identifies novel linkages and provides further evidence for a role of MYH9 in nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate. *Eur. J. Hum. Genet.*, **17**, 195–204.
- 15. Birnbaum, S., Reutter, H., Mende, M., de Assis, N.A., Diaz-Lacava, A., Herms, S., Scheer, M., Lauster, C., Braumann, B., Schmidt, G. *et al.* (2009) Further evidence for the involvement of MYH9 in the etiology of non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate. *Eur. J. Oral Sci.*, **117**, 200–203.
- <span id="page-13-0"></span>16. Ma, X. and Adelstein, R.S. (2014) A point mutation in Myh10 causes major defects in heart development and body wall closure. *Circ. Cardiovasc. Genet.*, **7**, 257–265.
- <span id="page-13-1"></span>17. Michalski, A.M., Richardson, S.D., Browne, M.L., Carmichael, S.L., Canfield, M.A., VanZutphen, A.R., Anderka, M.T., Marshall, E.G. and Druschel, C.M. (2015) Sex ratios among infants with birth defects, National Birth Defects Prevention Study, 1997-2009. *Am. J. Med. Genet. A*, **167A**, 1071–1081.
- <span id="page-13-2"></span>18. Rittler, M., Lopez-Camelo, J. and Castilla, E.E. (2004) Sex ratio and associated risk factors for 50 congenital anomaly types: clues for causal heterogeneity. *Birth Defects Res. A Clin. Mol. Teratol.*, **70**, 13–19.
- <span id="page-13-3"></span>19. Bush, J.O. and Jiang, R. (2012) Palatogenesis: morphogenetic and molecular mechanisms of secondary palate development. *Development*, **139**, 231–243.
- 20. Lan, Y., Xu, J. and Jiang, R. (2015) Cellular and molecular mechanisms of palatogenesis. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.*, **115**, 59–84.
- 21. Li, C., Lan, Y., Krumlauf, R. and Jiang, R. (2017) Modulating Wnt signaling rescues palate morphogenesis in Pax9 mutant mice. *J. Dent. Res.*, **96**, 1273–1281.
- <span id="page-13-4"></span>22. Gritli-Linde, A. (2008) The etiopathogenesis of cleft lip and cleft palate: usefulness and caveats of mouse models. *Curr. Top. Dev. Biol.*, **84**, 37–138.
- <span id="page-13-5"></span>23. Jin, J.Z., Li, Q., Higashi, Y., Darling, D.S. and Ding, J. (2008) Analysis of Zfhx1a mutant mice reveals palatal shelf contactindependent medial edge epithelial differentiation during palate fusion. *Cell Tissue Res.*, **333**, 29–38.
- 24. Kouskoura, T., Kozlova, A., Alexiou, M., Blumer, S., Zouvelou, V., Katsaros, C., Chiquet, M., Mitsiadis, T.A. and Graf, D. (2013) The etiology of cleft palate formation in BMP7-deficient mice. *PLoS One*, **8**, e59463.
- <span id="page-13-6"></span>25. Lan, Y., Zhang, N., Liu, H., Xu, J. and Jiang, R. (2016) Golgb1 regulates protein glycosylation and is crucial for mammalian palate development. *Development*, **143**, 2344–2355.
- <span id="page-13-7"></span>26. He, F., Xiong, W., Yu, X., Espinoza-Lewis, R., Liu, C., Gu, S., Nishita, M., Suzuki, K., Yamada, G., Minami, Y. *et al.* (2008) Wnt5a regulates directional cell migration and cell proliferation via Ror2-mediated noncanonical pathway in mammalian palate development. *Development*, **135**, 3871–3879.
- <span id="page-13-8"></span>27. Kousa, Y.A., Zhu, H., Fakhouri, W.D., Lei, Y., Kinoshita, A., Roushangar, R.R., Patel, N.K., Agopian, A.J., Yang, W., Leslie, E.J. *et al.* (2019) The TFAP2A-IRF6-GRHL3 genetic pathway is conserved in neurulation. *Hum. Mol. Genet.*, **28**, 1726–1737.
- <span id="page-13-9"></span>28. Zhang, J., Hagopian-Donaldson, S., Serbedzija, G., Elsemore, J., Plehn-Dujowich, D., McMahon, A.P., Flavell, R.A. and Williams, T. (1996) Neural tube, skeletal and body wall defects in mice lacking transcription factor AP-2. *Nature*, **381**, 238–241.
- <span id="page-13-10"></span>29. Pyrgaki, C., Liu, A. and Niswander, L. (2011) Grainyheadlike 2 regulates neural tube closure and adhesion molecule expression during neural fold fusion. *Dev. Biol.*, **353**, 38–49.
- <span id="page-13-11"></span>30. Goudy, S., Angel, P., Jacobs, B., Hill, C., Mainini, V., Smith, A.L., Kousa, Y.A., Caprioli, R., Prince, L.S., Baldwin, S. *et al.* (2013) Cell-autonomous and non-cell-autonomous roles for IRF6 during development of the tongue. *PLoS One*, **8**, e56270.
- <span id="page-13-12"></span>31. Roper, K. (2013) Supracellular actomyosin assemblies during development. *BioArchitecture*, **3**, 45–49.
- <span id="page-13-13"></span>32. Nikolopoulou, E., Hirst, C.S., Galea, G., Venturini, C., Moulding, D., Marshall, A.R., Rolo, A., De Castro, S.C.P., Copp, A.J. and Greene, N.D.E. (2019) Spinal neural tube closure depends on regulation of surface ectoderm identity and biomechanics by Grhl2. *Nat. Commun.*, **10**, 2487.
- <span id="page-13-14"></span>33. Tunster, S.J. (2017) Genetic sex determination of mice by simplex PCR. *Biol. Sex Differ.*, **8**, 31.
- <span id="page-13-15"></span>34. Czirok, A., Varga, K., Mehes, E. and Szabo, A. (2013) Collective cell streams in epithelial monolayers depend on cell adhesion. *New J. Phys.*, **15**, 75006.
- <span id="page-13-16"></span>35. Szabo, A., Unnep, R., Mehes, E., Twal, W.O., Argraves, W.S., Cao, Y. and Czirok, A. (2010) Collective cell motion in endothelial monolayers. *Phys. Biol.*, **7**, 046007.
- <span id="page-13-17"></span>36. Zamir, E.A., Czirok, A., Rongish, B.J. and Little, C.D. (2005) A digital image-based method for computational tissue fate mapping during early avian morphogenesis. *Annals Biomed. Eng.*, **33**, 854–865.
- <span id="page-13-18"></span>37. Czirok, A., Isai, D.G., Kosa, E., Rajasingh, S., Kinsey, W., Neufeld, Z. and Rajasingh, J. (2017) Optical-flow based noninvasive analysis of cardiomyocyte contractility. *Sci. Rep.*, **7**, 10404.
- <span id="page-13-19"></span>38. Bolte, S. and Cordelieres, F.P. (2006) A guided tour into subcellular colocalization analysis in light microscopy. *J. Microsc.*, **224**, 213–232.