

1 **A Pan-Respiratory Antiviral Chemotype Targeting a Host Multi-Protein Complex**

- 23 10 Onipro LLC., Kensington, CA, USA.
- 24 ¹¹ University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA.
- ¹² Viral Special Pathogens Branch, US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA, USA
- 26 $*$ To whom correspondence should be addressed: vlingappa@prosetta.com
-
-
-
-

Abstract

 We present a novel small molecule antiviral chemotype that was identified by an unconventional cell- free protein synthesis and assembly-based phenotypic screen for modulation of viral capsid assembly. Activity of PAV-431, a representative compound from the series, has been validated against infectious virus in multiple cell culture models for all six families of viruses causing most respiratory disease in humans. In animals this chemotype has been demonstrated efficacious for Porcine Epidemic Diarrhea Virus (a coronavirus) and Respiratory Syncytial Virus (a paramyxovirus). PAV-431 is shown to bind to the protein 14-3-3, a known allosteric modulator. However, it only appears to target the small subset of 14- 3-3 which is present in a dynamic multi-protein complex whose components include proteins implicated in viral lifecycles and in innate immunity. The composition of this target multi-protein complex appears to be modified upon viral infection and largely restored by PAV-431 treatment. Our findings suggest a new paradigm for understanding, and drugging, the host-virus interface, which leads to a new clinical therapeutic strategy for treatment of respiratory viral disease.

Background

 The current SARS-CoV-2 pandemic has been characterized by waves of infection. Emerging mutants, with varying degrees of resistance to current vaccines and waning immune responses within the population, have contributed to the seemingly-unending surges of disease (1,2). Furthermore, the risk of a new pandemic, from avian influenza, respiratory syncytial virus (RSV), or another virulent pathogen known to exist in animal reservoirs, is ever present (3). Given how rapidly SARS-CoV-2 spread across the globe once it had been transmitted to humans, concern about highly pathogenic respiratory viruses should not be considered as an abstract, hypothetical threat (4). A technical solution is needed which can account for the degrees of uncertainty and variation inherent to pandemic preparedness and response efforts. Otherwise, antiviral countermeasures will continue to aim at an ever-moving target and always be one step behind. In this paper we will propose a novel solution—one small molecule compound with potent activity against all six families of viruses that cause most respiratory viral disease in humans.

 Viruses in *Adenoviridae*, *Coronaviridae*, *Herpesviridae*, *Orthomyxoviridae*, *Paramyxoviridae*, and *Picornaviridae* families cause over 95% of respiratory disease in humans (5). Diversity between these viral families, which include both DNA and RNA viruses, and viruses that are both enveloped and not, is extremely broad (5). The drugs which are available to treat some of these viruses target the varying proteins encoded by the different viral genomes (6–8). Oseltamivir (Tamiflu) and zanamivir (Relenza) work on influenza by inhibiting neuraminidase, a viral enzyme that propagates infection by facilitating the spread of viral particles throughout the host (8). Acyclovir, a treatment for herpes simplex virus, inhibits viral DNA polymerase (6). Paxlovid, the new drug for SARS-CoV-2, is a protease inhibitor that blocks viral enzymes responsible for catalyzing critical maturation steps within the virus's lifecycle (7). But since any one of these viral families represents a small minority of respiratory viral cases, a diagnosis

 must be made before potentially effective treatment is initiated. Yet considerable evidence suggests that the earlier the treatment, the greater is the efficacy (9).

 Host-targeted antiviral drugs have been proposed as a new strategy for antiviral drug development (10–14). Viruses can only reproduce successfully if they are able to redirect host machinery to suit viral needs (e.g. by building its capsid, blocking immune response, etc.) rather than the needs of the host, which is to maintain homeostasis (15). The viral generation time is several orders of magnitude shorter than the host's, making it likely that the host-virus interactome has been highly selected by viral evolution to provide the best way to reprogram host machinery (16,17). While viruses employ a range of strategies for hijacking host machinery, "high value" sites of host-viral interface are likely to be exploited by more than one family of virus. Those sites would make ideal targets for pan-family antiviral drugs, but identifying them is a challenge.

 We hypothesized that it would be possible to identify these high-value host-viral interface sites, 80 and develop drugs which target them, using cell free protein synthesis and assembly (CFPSA) systems (13,18,19). Cell free systems have been used to observe and understand critical molecular-level processes since 1897 when Eduard Buchner demonstrated that cell-free extracts could carry out the same fermentation reactions as living cells (20). More recently, cell-free protein synthesis has been a critical tool used to decipher the genetic code, deconvolute protein trafficking, and functionally reconstitute the transient virus-host-protein interactions that culminate in viral capsid formation (21– 25). The last of these applications, which gave rise to the observation that viral capsid assembly in the 87 cell-free system is dependent on both host machinery and metabolic energy, and thus cannot be due to 88 spontaneous self-assembly, provided the rationale for developing our antiviral drug screen. Our hypothesis was that if viral capsid assembly is a host-catalyzed process, then antiviral therapeutics could be developed by inhibiting the critical host enzymes co-opted by a virus to catalyze assembly of its

 capsid. To test this hypothesis, we set up a phenotypic screen for compounds that could block viral capsid formation in the CFPSA system, without inhibiting protein synthesis (13,19).

 There are several advantages of a CFPSA-based drug screen. First, it uniquely serves to magnify early events in protein biogenesis that would otherwise be obscured by events in the rest of a protein's life within the cell. Second, it recreates the reality of protein heterogeneity, including with respect to post-translational modifications (PTMs, (26–28) and multi-protein complex formation (29–31). Finally, it exploits the recent appreciation that critical events in protein-protein interactions may occur co- translationally, that is, while a protein is nascent (32–36). While in principle such a screen could detect direct binders of the translated viral protein(s), we suspected that the effect of binding a catalytic host target would be much greater, since blocking one enzyme affects many substrate molecules and in this case, the viral capsid monomer would effectively be the substrate for catalyzed capsid assembly. There is an presumption that drugs which target host proteins pose an inherent risk of toxicity (14). However, one implication of the burgeoning literature in favor of "moonlighting" functions of proteins is that only a small subset of any given protein participates in any particular MPC (37–39). Once a hit compound was identified by the CFPSA screen it should then be possible to drive its structure- activity relationship to selectivity for the relevant subset of the target protein. We therefore anticipated the need to defer full assessment of toxicity until after structure-activity relationship (SAR) advancement

of initial hits. Thus, once an antiviral compound targeting the host were identified by CFPSA, it could

subsequently be advanced, first for efficacy, and then to moderate toxicity. This could be achieved

either by virtue of the target being a small subset of the full complement of that protein in the cell, or if

the virus modified the host target for its needs, SAR might be selectively tuned to the form of the target

needed by the virus.

 The results, to be provided in this paper, focus on the advancement of one novel chemical series identified as a viral assembly modulator in the CFPSA screen, that appears to show pan-family antiviral efficacy in cells and animals. Experiments were performed to advance the potency of this antiviral 116 chemical series and better understand its target and mechanism of action, to provide an understanding of this new host-viral interface. Results *Identification and assessment of early assembly-modulating hit compounds PAV-773 and PAV-835* A cell-free protein synthesis and assembly (CFPSA) based phenotypic screen was established for influenza (FLUV) analogous to what has been done for rabies, HIV, and other viruses (13,19,40,41). Unlike conventional phenotypic screens, this screen was carried out in cellular extracts rather than in living cells. The phenotype being screened was the ability of newly synthesized viral capsid protein for form multimers. In the CFPSA system, faithful formation of multimeric capsid protein complexes is a quantifiable, functional endpoint (see diagram in **Figure 1A**). From a library of 150,000 drug-like small molecules, 30,400 compounds were screened and compounds that interfere with the biochemical pathways of host-catalyzed FLUV capsid assembly were identified as hits. PAV-773 and PAV-835 were early compounds from a chemical series identified in the screen as inhibitors of FLUV capsid assembly (see **Figures 1B** and **1C** for their respective chemical structures). Both compounds blocked assembly of FLUV nucleoprotein into a completed capsid in a dose-dependent manner, relative to control (see **Figures 1D** and **1E** for their respective activity against FLUV capsid assembly).

- The FLUV antiviral activity of PAV-773 and PAV-835 was validated against infectious virus in
- MDCK cells by TCID50 determination (see **Figures 2A**). The effective concentration for half maximal
- activity (EC50) against infectious FLUV for both PAV-773 and PAV-835 were lower than 1uM (see **Figure**
- **2A**).

 The emergence of viral resistance is a common challenge for the development of effective antiviral therapeutics (42). Oseltamivir (Tamiflu), an antiviral small molecule targeting FLUV neuraminidase, is known to select for viral resistance mutants (43). To assess the propensity for FLUV to gain resistance to our chemotype, MDCK cells were infected with serial passages of FLUV in the presence of PAV-835. With each passage, the infected media was used to infect fresh MDCK cells. Higher concentrations of compound were added with each passage to drive resistance (93.5nM to 3uM). After 7 passages with compound, PAV-835 retained the same activity against FLUV as it did against a naive strain which had been passaged for 7 times without compound, demonstrating a barrier to the development of resistance (see **Figure 2B**). In parallel, the same experiment was conducted using Oseltamivir (ranging from 935nM to 30uM) , antiviral resistance developed and the compound lost activity by passage 7 (see **Figure 2B**).

 $\overline{}$

 Figure 3. Validation of PAV-773 and PAV-835 antiviral activity in pigs. Pigs were randomized into control and treatment groups then infected with PEDV, a pig coronavirus. **Figure 3A** Left is shown the percent survival for all animals in the study. The p-value was calculated on GraphPad Prism using Fisher's exact test. Right is shown the percent survival in the subset of litters in which all animals in the randomized control (vehicle) treatment group died. As can be seen, compound treatment is equally efficacious in this severe disease subset. **Figure 3B** shows the breakdown of survival for PAV-773 and PAV-835.

Characterizing the antiviral activity of PAV-431, a more advanced analog from the Pan-Respiratory

Assembly Modulator chemical series

deaths

 A structure-activity-relationship (SAR) was pursued to advance the pan-respiratory assembly modulator chemical series emerging from the early hits, and to understand how changes to the chemical structure altered activity against infectious FLUV (see **Supplemental Figure 1**). PAV-431 was identified as a chemical analog with improved efficacy (see **Figure 4A** for its chemical structure and Supplemental **Figure 2A** for its synthetic scheme).

²²⁸ G

extracts were applied to the PAV-431 resin or a control resin containing an Affi-gel matrix bound to

A

S

 D 120

band density 80

 100

 $\overline{2}$

290

291 **Figure 5. Protein composition of the PAV-431 eluate.** eDRAC experiments were performed where 292 uninfected, infected, or infected/PAV-431 treated cellular extract was incubated on a resin coupled to 293 either PAV-431 or a 4% agarose matrix lacking the covalently bound drug. **Figure 5A** shows silver stain of a

 SDS-PAGE gel comparing protein composition of the starting cellular extract and the PAV-431 eluate for uninfected, FLUV infected and BoCOV infected MRC5 cells. **Figure 5B** shows MSMS analysis indicating protein composition and comparing log2 fold change and p-values in protein in triplicate repeated uninfected, FLUV infected, and FLUV/PAV-431 treated conditions. **Figure 5C** shows MSMS analysis indicating protein composition and comparing log 2 fold change in protein in triplicate-repeated uninfected, BoCoV infected, and BoCoV infected/PAV-431 treated conditions. Green indicates log2 fold change >1. Yellow indicates log2fold change between -1 and 1 (no change). Red indicates log2 fold change 301 >-1. P values indicate significance of the findings. Where the gene product has been listed in bold font, indicates the protein is implicated in the literature as part of the host-virus interactome. Where the gene product has been listed in italic font, indicates the protein is implicated in the literature as related to innate immune system function. **Figure 5D** shows quantitation of the protein band detected by western blot analysis of the uninfected, infected, and FLUV infected/PAV-431 treated eluates for the protein p62/SQSTM1. **Figure 5E** shows quantitation of the protein band detected by western blot analysis of eDRAC from pig lung extract where starting extract and eluate were compared side-by-side and the amount of protein in the eluate is graphed as a percentage of the total amount of that protein present in the cell extract. Approximately 2% of the cellular VCP, 3% of the cellular CAPN2, 2.5% of the cellular 14-3- 3 and 0.5% of the cellular p62 was found in the PAV-431 eluate.

 Triplicate-repeat samples of eDRAC eluates generated from MRC-5 cell extract were sent for analysis by tandem mass spectrometry (MS-MS) to determine their protein composition. To analyze the data, LFQ intensity values for proteins identified in each condition were measured and compared against each other to generate log2 fold change values for each protein and each combination of conditions to provide a clear description of the differences observed under treatment conditions. Of 64 proteins identified by LFQ as increased in eluates upon FLUV infection, 41 are restored to uninfected levels after treatment with PAV-431 (see **Figure 5B**). All 13 proteins lost from eluates upon FLUV

 infection are restored to uninfected levels after treatment with PAV-431 (see **Figure 5B**). Of 56 proteins found increased in eluates upon BoCoV infection, 51 are restored to uninfected levels after treatment with PAV-431 (See **Figure 5C**). Of 7 proteins lost from eluates with BoCoV infection, 5 are restored to the uninfected levels after treatment with PAV-431 (See **Figure 5C**).

Proteins found to be significantly enriched or depleted by infection and/or treatment were

searched in databases for known virus-host interactions and implication in the innate immune system

interactome and many such proteins were identified (See **Figures 5B**-**5D**) (46–51). P62/SQSTM1, a

regulator of innate immunity, was identified in the PAV-431 eluate by western blot. As with changes in

protein composition observed by MS-MS, the amount of P62 decreased with FLUV infection but was

```
328 restored with PAV-431 treatment (See Figure 5D).
```
 The eDRAC protocol was also conducted with extract prepared from uninfected pig lung homogenate, rather than MRC-5 cells, and samples were analyzed by western blot. When analyzed side- by-side with an aliquot of the total starting material, it was determined that for particular proteins found in the eluate including VCP, CAPN2, 14-3-3, and P62, only a single digit percent, or less, of the total amount of specific proteins present in the extract was found in the PAV-431 eluate (See **Figure 5E**). The large majority of the component proteins did not bind to the resin, or bound nonspecifically such that they were removed with washing, with no significant further binding of drug resin flowthrough applied to a second copy of the drug resin.

 To determine the relationship the proteins identified in the eluate had to one another, and to the compound, the eDRAC protocol was modified for photocrosslinking. An analog of PAV-431 was synthesized with diazirine and biotin moieties added to the same position at which the resin had previously been attached (See **Supplemental Figure 2C** for synthetic scheme and chemical structure of photocrosslinker analog). The photocrosslinker analogs were designed so that after an incubation with

 cell extract that would allow the compound to bind its target, exposure to ultraviolet light would form a covalent bond between the diazirine moiety of the compound and the nearest protein neighbor (52). The sample could then be solubilized and precipitated with streptavidin beads (which bind biotin with extremely high affinity) to identify the covalently crosslinked drug-binding proteins. The streptavidin precipitation (SAP) could be done using a native sample, which would pick up the direct drug binding protein(s) and with it, co-associated proteins that were part of an MPC. Alternatively, the SAP could be done using a crosslinked sample that was then denatured by treatment with SDS to 1% and DTT to 1mM with heating to 100oC for 3 minutes to denature all proteins, after which excess 1% Triton-X-100 buffer was added to take free SDS into Triton micelles. Use of this material for SAP would, by virtue of the covalent bond to the biotin containing diazirine-drug conjugate, identify only the direct drug-binding protein(s), with all other associated proteins lost upon denaturation and washing. Uninfected pig lung was incubated on the PAV-431 resin under eDRAC conditions, washed 100x, eluted with the PAV-431 crosslinker analog, then exposed to ultraviolet light. The samples were then divided into two equal parts where one was left native and the other denatured, then both were adjusted to non-denaturing conditions and incubated with streptavidin beads. Blots of the SAP samples for VCP, CAPN2, and P62 showed those proteins in the native but not denatured samples, indicating that they were non-covalently co-associated with the compound, and therefore were not its direct binding

partner (see **Figures 6A, B,** and **D**). Blots of the SAP samples for 14-3-3 showed nearly equal amounts of

protein in both the native and denatured conditions, indicating that PAV-431 directly binds to 14-3-3

(See **Figure 6C**).

Discussion

 The antiviral chemotype studied here exhibits several notable features. These include activity across a broad range of respiratory viral families, a demonstrated barrier to development of viral drug resistance, different forms of the target present in uninfected vs infected cells, and substantial restoration of the target to the uninfected form with drug treatment. In all cases, a subset of the host protein 14-3-3 appears to be the direct drug-binding protein and is present within a large multiprotein 375 complex notable for its transience and energy-dependence. PAV-431 binds both forms of the target, i.e. that present in uninfected cells and that present in infected cells, roughly equally well. Elsewhere a more advanced compound will be described that appears to be selective for the form present only in infected cells which includes, for SARS-CoV-2, the viral nucleoprotein, which is subsequently lost from the target upon drug treatment (53, 54).

 Two general approaches can be taken for discovery of chemical compounds with therapeutic potential— target-based and phenotypic methods (55). Target-based methods of drug discovery involve

 screens that measure a small molecule's interaction with a particular disease-implicated protein. Phenotypic methods involve screens that monitor how small molecules affect particular biochemical or physiologic readouts within model systems without requiring any prior knowledge of the protein target. It has recently been observed that most drugs have been discovered by variations on phenotypic screening (56). Most phenotypic screens involve whole cell assays (57). Such screens, while often successful, face significant drawbacks. The presence of confounding events in the complex milieu of a living cell can mask detection of potentially interesting targets. Moreover, feedback effects are typically complex and multifaceted (58–63). This can create a signal-to-noise problem for detection of potential contributors to a particular phenotypic effect. If multiple contributors are involved in creating a phenotype it may be hard to de-convolute the relationship of any given one to the behavior of the compound.

 By contrast, the CFPSA-based phenotypic screening approach taken here focuses attention on those events set into motion early in protein biogenesis (during and immediately after protein synthesis). This results in an improved signal-to-noise ration by excluding much of the rest of the lifecycle of most proteins, for both viruses and cells, as confounding variables. A growing literature supports the notion that protein assembly is co-translational (32,64). Thus, CFPSA reveals aspects of the viral lifecycle not easily discernable by other methods.

 14-3-3, the protein identified as PAV-431's direct target (see **Figure 6**) is known to regulate multiple signaling pathways, including cell cycle progression, apoptosis, autophagy, and glucose metabolism, through protein-protein interactions (65–69). However, it has been difficult to convert these insights on 14-3-3 biology into therapeutic successes, perhaps because of this "promiscuity" of 14- 3-3 (67–75).

- cells are treated with PAV-431, the reverse happens and the protein composition of the multi-protein
- complex appears to be largely restored to what was observed in uninfected cells.

 The significance of 14-3-3 as the direct binding partner of PAV-431 may be found in its known roles as an allosteric modulator of protein-protein interactions (67) and in its known participation in host anti-viral defenses (**Figure 7B)** (76). This may also, at least in part, account for the antiviral activity observed for PAV-431 against six diverse families of viruses causing human respiratory disease. The drug-binding site within 14-3-3 may represent a 'high value' site which multiple viruses have found and exploited over deep evolutionary time. The relationship between particular proteins which comprise the targeted MPC and 14-3-3 as the direct drug-binding partner is unknown besides the evidence that they are transiently co-associated, and the observation that many of these proteins are implicated in the literature as being part of disease-relevant protein-protein interactomes (46–49). While more data is needed, the potential significance of these early results involving the PAV-431 drug target is underscored by the loss upon viral infection, and return upon drug-treatment of infected cells, of p62, a known regulator of autophagy (50,51,66). An inability to trigger innate immune responses after viral infection would be to the virus's benefit and the host's detriment. Conversely, restoration of this 454 function would bolster the host's ability to fend of infection. Thus these compounds appear to have a dual mechanism of action: blockade of viral replication (capsid assembly) and restoration of autophagy, a branch of the innate immune system.

 While the identification of the pan-respiratory assembly modulating chemotype was achieved through unconventional methods, and its novel mechanism of action remains poorly understood, the antiviral activity of compounds from the series have been validated against infectious viruses in both cell culture and animals (see **Figures 2-4**). Cell culture studies, including in primary bronchial epithelial cells cultured at an air-liquid interface and infected with SARS-CoV-2, a model considered as the gold standard for translatability into human therapeutics (77), confirmed antiviral potency of these compounds (see **Figure 4**). Animal studies validated efficacy for survival in an actual pig coronavirus

Supplemental Figures

 Supplemental Figure 3. **Supplemental Figure 3A** shows the drug-like properties of PAV-431 including in vivo and in vitro assessments of toxicity as well as pharmacokinetic properties. Maximum tolerated dose (MTD) studies in mice were conducted using female Balb/c mice where randomized groups containing 3 mice were dosed with a single dose of vehicle or compound and monitored for 48 hours for symptoms of toxicity. Pharmacokinetic (PK) studies were conducted in male Sprague Dawley rats where randomixed groups of four animals were administered compound and plasma was collected before dosing then after 5 minutes, 15 minutes, 30 minutes, 1 hour, 2 hours, 4 hours, 8 hours, 12 hours, and 24 hours to determine concentration of the compound in plasma over time. In the uptake studies, animals were euthanized after 30 minutes or 2 hours to determine concentration of the compound in the lung and brain. **Supplemental Figure 3B** shows the results of PAV-431 in an in vitro Cerep panel, a commercial screen for potential to bind to a broad panel of receptors, enzymes, and ion channels, reported as percent inhibition of control specific binding. PAV-431 was tested at 50uM, a concentration ~500x higher than antiviral EC50. Data shown are the averages of replicates, error bars indicate standard error.

Materials and methods

Lead contact and Materials Availability

- Further information and requests for resources and reagents should be directed to and will be fulfilled
- by the Lead Contact Vishwanath R. Lingappa (vlingappa@prosetta.com).
- Use of unique compound PAV-431 may be available upon request by the Lead Contact if sought for
- experimental purposes under a valid completed Materials Transfer Agreement.
- The number of replicates carried out for each experiment is described in the figure/table legends.

Chemical Synthesis (see **Supplemental Figure 2**)

Synthesis of PAV-431

 Synthetic schemes are illustrated in Figure S6. To a solution of 2-methoxy-3-trifluoromethoxy- benzaldehyde 1 (2.14 g, 9.71 mmol, 1.0 eq) in toluene (20 mL) was added 2,4-dimethoxybenzyl amine 2 (1.78 g, 10.68 mmol, 1.1 eq) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. Toluene was removed to give a residue, which was taken in MeOH (20 mL) and then NaBH4 (735 mg, 19.42 mmol, 2.0 eq) was added slowly. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 6 hours. The solvent was removed and the residue was extracted in ethyl acetate and stirred with saturated aq NaHCO3 for 1 hour. The organic layer was collected, dried, and the solvent was removed to give the crude amine 3, which was used in the next step without further purification. To a solution of the crude amine 3 (4.86 mmol, 1.0 eq) in DMF (20 mL) were added the acid 4 (888 mg, 5.35 mmol, 1.1 eq), DIEA (3.13 g, 24.3 mmol, 5eq) and HBTU (2.22 g, 5.83 mmol, 1.2 eq) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was then diluted with ethyl acetate (75 mL) and washed with 10% aq HCl (1 x 50 mL), sat NaHCO3 (1 x 50 mL) and water (4 x 50 mL). The organic layer

 was collected, dried (MgSO4) and evaporated to give a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc:Hexane 25%:75%)) to give the amide 5, which was directly used in the next step. The amide 5 was treated with 95% TFA:H2O for 12 hours. TFA was removed and azeotroped with toluene to give a residue, which was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc:Hexane 10%:50%) to give PAV-431 (985 mg, > 95% purity).

Synthesis of PAV-431 Resin

 To a solution of amine 3 (5.85 g, 15.77 mmol, 1.0 eq) in DMF (30 mL) were added the acid 6 (2.38 g, 15.77 mmol, 1.0 eq), DIEA (10.2 g, 78.85 mmol, 5eq) and HBTU (7.17 g, 18.92 mmol, 1.2 eq) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 hours. The reaction mixture was then 549 diluted with ethyl acetate (75 mL) and washed with 10% aq HCl (1 x 50 mL), sat NaHCO3 (1 x 50 mL) and water (4 x 50 mL). The organic layer was collected, dried (MgSO4) and evaporated to give a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/Hexane) to give compound 7. To a stirred solution compound 7 (0.8 g, 1.77 mmol, 1.0 eq) and cesium carbonate (1.15 g, 3.54 mmol, 2.0 eq) in DMF (10 mL) was added chloride 8 (0.55 g, 2.66 mmol, 1.5 eq) and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 24 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate and washed with water (4x) and aq NaCl solution. The organic layer was collected, dried (MgSO4) and evaporated to give a crude product, which was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/Hexane) to give compound 9. The amide 9 (1.0 g, 1.6 mmol) was taken in 95% TFA: H2O and the reaction mixture was for 12 hours. TFA was removed and azeotroped with toluene to give a residue. The residue was taken in DCM and sat. NaHCO3 solution added and stirred for 30 min. The aqueous layer was washed with DCM (2x) and the combined organic layer, dried (MgSO4) and evaporated to give a crude amine, which was used in the next step without purification. To a solution of the crude amine (1.6 mmol, 1.0 eq) and DIEA (412.8 mg,

Synthesis of PAV-431 Photocrosslinker

 To 6-(tert-Butoxycarbonylamino)-2-(9H-fluoren-9-ylmethoxycarbonylamino)hexanoic acid 12 [468mg (1mmol)] in a 40ml screw top vial was added 4N HCl in Dioxane (3ml). The vial was sealed and gently agitated for 20 minutes at room temperature. The mix was then rotary evaporated to dryness and the residue placed under high vacuum overnight. The dried residue was taken up into 4ml of DMF (anhydrous) and then sequentially treated with 3-(3-Methyldiazirin-3-yl)propanoic acid [128mg (1mmol)](42), and DIEA [695ul (4mmol)]. With rapid stirring, under Argon atmosphere, was added dropwise HATU [380mg (1mmol)] dissolved in 1ml of DMF. After stirring for 30 minutes the mixture was quenched with 10ml of sat. NH4Cl solution and then extracted 2 x with 10ml of EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were washed once with sat. NaCl, dried (Mg2SO4) and then rotary evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, using a gradient of Ethyl acetate and

Hexane, affording 2-(9H-fluoren-9-ylmethoxycarbonylamino)-6-[3-(3-methyldiazirin-3-

- yl)propanoylamino]hexanoic acid 13 (293mg) in 61% yield.
- To tert-Butyl N-[3-[3-cyclopropyl-5-[[2-methoxy-3-
- (trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]methylcarbamoyl]pyrazol-1-yl]propyl]-N-methyl-carbamate 14 [16mg (0.03

mmol)] in a 40ml screw top vial was added 4N HCl in Dioxane (0.5ml). The vial was sealed and gently

agitated for 20min at room temperature. The mix was then rotary evaporated to dryness and the

residue placed on high vacuum overnight. The dried residue was taken up into 1ml of DMF (anhydrous)

- and then sequentially treated with compound 13 [14.5mg (0.03mmol)], and DIEA [32ul (0.18mmol)].
- With rapid stirring, under Argon atmosphere, was added dropwise HATU [14.6mg (0.038mmol)]

dissolved in 300ul of DMF. After stirring for 30 min the mixture was quenched with 5ml of sat. NH4Cl

- solution and then extracted 2 x with 5ml of EtOAc.
- The combined organic extracts were washed once with sat. NaCl, dried (Mg2SO4) and then rotary evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by flash chromatography, using a gradient of
- Ethyl acetate and Hexane, affording 9H-fluoren-9-ylmethyl N-[1-[3-[3-cyclopropyl-5-[[2-methoxy-3-

(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]methylcarbamoyl]pyrazol-1-yl]propyl-methyl-carbamoyl]-5-[3-(3-

methyldiazirin-3-yl)propanoylamino]pentyl]carbamate 15 (28mg) in quantitative yield.

 To compound 15 [28mg (0.03 mmol)] in a 40ml screw top vial was added 50/50 Diethylamine / DMF (0.5ml). The vial was sealed and gently agitated for 60min at room temperature. The mix was then rotary evaporated to dryness and the residue placed on high vacuum overnight. The residue was triturated 2 x with 3ml of Hexane to remove the Dibenzofulvene amine adduct. The residue was again briefly placed on high vacuum to remove traces of Hexane. The dried residue was taken up into 1ml of DMF (anhydrous) and then treated with Biotin-PEG2-NHS [15mg (0.03mmol)] (purchased from ChemPep), and DIEA [16ul (0.09mmol)] and then purged with Argon. After stirring overnight at room

- temperature, the mixture was rotary evaporated to dryness. The residue was purified by reverse phase
- prep chromatography, using a gradient of 0.1% TFA water and Acetonitrile, affording 5-cyclopropyl-N-
- [[2-methoxy-3-(trifluoromethoxy)phenyl]methyl]-2-[3-[methyl-[6-[3-(3-methyldiazirin-3-
- yl)propanoylamino]-2-[3-[2-[2-[5-(2-oxo-1,3,3a,4,6,6a-hexahydrothieno[3,4-d]imidazol-4-
- yl)pentanoylamino]ethoxy]ethoxy]propanoylamino]hexanoyl]amino]propyl]pyrazole-3-carboxamide
- (26mg) in 80% yield. All compounds were confirmed by LCMS.
-

Method and Analysis Details

- *In vitro* **studies**
- **CFPSA screen**

 Coding regions of interest were engineered behind the SP6 bacteriophage promoter and the Xenopus globin 5ʹ UTR63. DNA was amplified by PCR and then transcribed in vitro to generate mRNA encoding each full-length protein. Translations were carried out in wheat germ extracts supplemented with energy and amino acids, as previously described(7). Moderate-throughput small molecule screening was carried out in 384-well plate format by translation of eGFP and FLUV NP and M mRNA in the presence of small molecules from the Prosetta compound collection (Figure S2). Reactions were run at 26°C for 1-2 hours for synthesis, followed by assembly at 34°C for 2 hours. eGFP fluorescent readout was measured at 488/515 nm (excitation/emission) to assess protein synthesis. Assembly products were captured on a second 384-well plate precoated with affinity-purified FLUV NP antibody. Plates were washed with PBS containing 1% Triton X-100, decorated with biotinylated affinity-purified FLUV NP antibody, washed, detected by NeutraAvidin HRP, washed again, and then incubated with a fluorogenic HRP substrate Quanta Blue for 1 hour. FLUV assembly fluorescent readout was measured at 330/425 nm (excitation/emission).

FLUV assay in MDCK cells

 HRT-18G cells were seeded at 3x104 cells/well in Dulbecco's modified Eagle medium (DMEM) in a 96-well plate and incubated overnight at 37°C. The next day, cells were infected with BoCoV BRCV-OK- 0514-2 (ATCC VR-2460) at an MOI of 1 for 2 hours, after which the virus containing media was removed, cells were washed with PBS, and fresh media containing dilutions of compound or DMSO as a vehicle control was added to the cells. After 42-48 hours, media was removed, cells were washed with PBS, and fresh media was added for a 4 hour incubation and then collected for TCID50 determination. Infection experiments were conducted in a BSL2 laboratory.

HRV assay in H1-HeLa cells

- vehicle control.
-
- **SARS-CoV-2 (delta) assay in Calu-3 cells**
- Calu-3 cells were seeded at a density of 3x104 cells/well in DMEM in 96-well plates and
- incubated overnight at 37°C. The next day, cells were pre-incubated with compounds for 4 hours before
- 680 they were infected with SARS-CoV-2 delta SL102 (EPI ISL 4471559) at a MOI of 0.01-0.05. After 24
- 681 hours the viruses within 50 μ of the supernatants were lysed with 200 μ L AVL-buffer (Qiagen) and 200
- µL 100% ethanol was added for complete inactivation. RNA was extracted from 200 µL of the lysates
- using the EZ1 Virus Mini-Kit (Qiagen), and analyzed by qPCR as described(39). Infection experiments
- were conducted in a BSL3 laboratory. Data shown are the averages of three biological replicates; error
- bars indicate standard error; DMSO is included as the vehicle control.
-

Recombinant ZsGreen-expressing Nipah virus infection

 HSAEC1-KT cells were seeded at 10,000 cells per well the day prior to infection in 96-well black plates with clear bottoms (Costar 3603). The following day, cells were infected with recombinant Nipah virus expressing ZsGreen fluorescence protein (rNiV-ZsG) (Lo et al., 2014, 2018, 2020 AVR: Welch et al., 2020 JID) at multiplicity of infection 0.01 with ~ 100 50% tissue culture infectious dose (TCID50). Levels of rNiV-ZsG replication were measured at 72 hour post-infection based on mean ZsGreen fluorescence signal intensity (418ex/518em) using a Biotek HD1 Synergy instrument (Aglilent). Fluorescence signal intensity assayed in DMSO-treated, virus-infected cells were set as 100% ZsGreen fluorescence. Data points and error bars for all reporter assays indicate the mean value and standard deviation of 4

for 1 minute in PBS with antibiotics and 5mM dithiothreitol to wash and remove mucus. After 3 washes,

 the tissue was placed in DMEM with 0.1% protease and antibiotics overnight at 4°C. The next day the solution was agitated and remaining tissue removed. Cells were centrifuged at 300g/4°C for 5 minutes, then resuspended in 0.05% trypsin-EDTA and incubated for 5 minutes at 37°C. The trypsinization reaction was neutralized with 10% FBS in DMEM, then cells were filtered through a cell strainer and 722 centrifuged at 300g/4°C for 5 minutes. The cell pellet was resuspended in 10% FBS in DMEM and a 10uL aliquot was stained with trypan-blue and counted on a hemocytometer. 7.5x104 cells were plated onto each 6mm/0.4mm FNC-coated Transwell air-liquid interface (ALI) insert. 10% FBS in DMEM and ALI media were added in equal volumes to each basal compartment and cultures were incubated at 37°C/5% CO2. The next day, media was removed and both compartments were washed with PBS and antibiotics. ALI media was then added to each basal compartment and changed every 3 days until cells were ready for use at day 28.

 All studies involving SARS-CoV-2 infection of primary airway epithelial cells were conducted in the Vitalant Research Institute BSL3 High-Containment Facility. 6 hours prior to infection, ALI medium containing dilutions of drugs (100nM) or DMSO was added to the basal compartment. For infection, ALI medium containing drugs was removed, and SARS-CoV-2 diluted in ALI-culture medium containing drugs 733 (100nM, MOI=0.1) was added on to the apical chamber of inserts (250 µl) and the basal compartment (500 µl). The cultures were incubated for 2 hours at 37℃/5% CO2 to allow for virus entry, then washed, 735 and 500 µl of fresh ALI medium containing drugs (100 nM) was added to the basal compartment. Drugs were maintained in the medium for the duration of the experiment. Cells were incubated at 37℃/5% CO2 and harvested for analysis at 36 hours post-infection.

 Total RNA was extracted from mock and SARS-CoV-2-infected primary airway epithelial cells with or without drug treatment lysed in Trizol (Thermo Fisher Scientific) using the chloroform- isopropanol-ethanol method. 500 ng of RNA was reversed transcribed into cDNA in 20 uL reaction volume using RevertAid First Strand cDNA Synthesis kit (Thermo Fisher) in accordance to the

Cell lysate preparation

 Cells or tissues were extracted with PB buffer (10 mM Tris pH 7.6, 10 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 0.35% Triton X-100), and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 10 min. The supernatants were collected and flash frozen.

Energy-dependent drug resin affinity chromatography (eDRAC)

 Drug resin was prepared by coupling compound PAV-431 to an Affi-gel resin at a concentration of 10 µM via the pyrazole nitrogen (Figure S6, synthetic chemistry described below), or position 4 of the phenyl group. Control resin was prepared by blocking the Affi-gel matrix without drug. Resins were equilibrated with column buffer (50 mM HEPES, pH 7.6, 100 mM KAc, 6 mM MgAc, 1 mM EDTA, 4 mM 771 TGA) prior to any DRAC experiments. 30 μ L of cell extract supplemented with energy (1 mM ATP, GTP, 772 CTP and UTP with 4 mM creatine phosphate, and in some cases 5 µg/ml rabbit creatine kinase) was applied to resin columns. The columns were clamped and incubated at 22°C for 1 hour for binding, and flow through was collected. The columns were then washed with 100 bed volumes of column buffer. For 775 elution of bound complexes, 100 µL of column buffer containing free drug at a final concentration of 100 μ M – 1 mM (approaching its maximum solubility in water) and supplemented with energy was added, the column was clamped for 1 hour, and serial eluates were collected. Eluates were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and WB. or later use.

Western blotting

 SDS-PAGE gels were transferred in Towbin buffer to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane. Membranes were then blocked in 1% BSA, incubated for 1 hour at room temperature in a 1:1000 dilution of 100 μg/mL affinity-purified primary antibody, washed three times in PBS with 0.1% Tween- 20, incubated for 1 hour in a 1:5000 dilution of secondary anti-rabbit or anti-mouse antibody coupled to alkaline phosphatase, washed further, and incubated in developer solution prepared from 100 μL of 7.5

 mg/mL 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl phosphate dissolved in 60% dimethyl formamide (DMF) in water and 100 μL of 15 mg/mL nitro blue tetrazolium dissolved in 70% DMF in water, adjusted to 50 mL with 0.1 M Tris (pH 9.5)/0.1 mM magnesium chloride.

MS-MS analysis

Samples were processed by SDS-PAGE using a 10% Bis-Tris NuPAGE gel (Invitrogen) with the

MES buffer system. The mobility region was excised and processed by in-gel digestion with trypsin using

a ProGest robot (Digilab) with the protocol outlined below. Washed with 25 mM ammonium

bicarbonate followed by acetonitrile. Reduced with 10 mM dithiothreitol at 60°C followed by alkylation

with 50 mM iodoacetamide at room temperature. Digested with trypsin (Promega) at 37°C for 4 hours.

Quenched with formic acid, lyophilized, and reconstituted in 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid.

Half of each digested sample was analyzed by nano LC-MS/MS with a Waters M-Class HPLC

system interfaced to a ThermoFisher Fusion Lumos mass spectrometer. Peptides were loaded on a

trapping column and eluted over a 75 μm analytical column at 350 nL/min; both columns were packed

with Luna C18 resin (Phenomenex). The mass spectrometer was operated in data-dependent mode,

with the Orbitrap operating at 60,000 FWHM and 15,000 FWHM for MS and MS/MS respectively. APD

was enabled and the instrument was run with a 3 s cycle for MS and MS/MS.

 Data were searched using a local copy of Mascot (Matrix Science) with the following parameters: Enzyme: Trypsin/P; Database: SwissProt Human plus the custom sequences* (concatenated forward and reverse plus common contaminants); Fixed modification: Carbamidomethyl (C)Variable modifications: Oxidation (M), Acetyl (N-term), Pyro-Glu (N-term Q), Deamidation (N/Q)Mass values: Monoisotopic; Peptide Mass Tolerance: 10 ppm; Fragment Mass Tolerance: 0.02 Da; Max Missed Cleavages: 2. The data was analyzed by label free quantitation (LFQ) methods(40). LFQ intensity values

Photocrosslinking and streptavidin precipitation

818 eDRAC columns were eluted with 100µM PAV-431 photocross-linker at 22oC. Eluates were crosslinked by exposure to UV light for 3 minutes. Crosslinked products were subjected to treatments 820 that maintained protein-protein associations (native) or which reduced and denatured all proteins (denatured). Native conditions were maintained by diluting an aliquot of the product 20x with 1% Triton-X-100 column buffer. Denaturation was achieved by adjusting an aliquot to 1% SDS and 10mM 823 DTT and heating to 100oC/10 minutes prior to 20x dilution with 1% Triton-X-100 column buffer. 824 Streptavidin Sepharose beads were added to both native and denatured samples and mixed for 1 hr to capture all biotinylated proteins, with and without co-associated proteins in the native and denatured cases respectively, then washed 3x with 1% Triton-containing column buffer. Washed beads were resuspended in 20µl of SDS loading buffer and analyzed by SDS-PAGE and WB.

In vivo **studies**

830 **PEDV pig study**

843 hours after the morning treatment dose. Back titration of the viral stock and diluted inoculum was

844 performed to confirm the titer of the RSV stock used for infection. All inoculations were performed

845 while the animals were under the influence of inhalant anesthesia. All animals were euthanized on day 5

846 and the lungs were processed for determination of RSV titers by plaque assay.

847

848 **Acknowledgements:**

849 We thank Alfredo Calayag, Lisa Tucker, Caleb Declouette, Yvonne Dickschen, and Björn Wefers for 850 excellent technical assistance, David Hanzel and Homer Boushey for careful reading and improvement of

- the manuscript, and Dmitry Temnikov for IT support. We are indebted to the late Guenter Blobel for
- advice, inspiration, and encouragement.

Competing interests:

- Vishwanath R. Lingappa is CEO of Prosetta Biosciences.
-
- References
- 1. Aleem A, Akbar Samad AB, Slenker AK. Emerging Variants of SARS-CoV-2 And Novel Therapeutics Against Coronavirus (COVID-19). In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022 [cited 2023 Jan 30]. Available from: http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK570580/
- 2. Cele S, Jackson L, Khoury DS, Khan K, Moyo-Gwete T, Tegally H, et al. Omicron extensively but incompletely escapes Pfizer BNT162b2 neutralization. Nature. 2022 Feb 24;602(7898):654–6.

 3. European Food Safety Authority, European Centre for Disease Prevention, Control, European Union Reference Laboratory for Avian Influenza, Adlhoch C, Fusaro A, Gonzales JL, Kuiken T, Marangon S, et 865 al. Avian influenza overview September – December 2021. EFSA J [Internet]. 2021 Dec [cited 2023 Jan 30];19(12). Available from: https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2021.7108

- 4. Singh S, McNab C, Olson RM, Bristol N, Nolan C, Bergstrøm E, et al. How an outbreak became a pandemic: a chronological analysis of crucial junctures and international obligations in the early months of the COVID-19 pandemic. The Lancet. 2021 Dec;398(10316):2109–24.
- 5. Mackie PL. The classification of viruses infecting the respiratory tract. Paediatr Respir Rev. 2003 Jun;4(2):84–90.
- 6. Foster SA, Cerny J, Cheng YC. Herpes simplex virus-specified DNA polymerase is the target for the 873 antiviral action of 9-(2-phosphonylmethoxyethyl)adenine. J Biol Chem. 1991 Jan 5;266(1):238–44.
- 7. Marzi M, Vakil MK, Bahmanyar M, Zarenezhad E. Paxlovid: Mechanism of Action, Synthesis, and In Silico Study. Wani TA, editor. BioMed Res Int. 2022 Jul 7;2022:1–16.
- 8. Moscona A. Neuraminidase Inhibitors for Influenza. N Engl J Med. 2005 Sep 29;353(13):1363–73.
- 9. Aoki FY, Macleod MD, Paggiaro P, Carewicz O, El Sawy A, Wat C, et al. Early administration of oral oseltamivir increases the benefits of influenza treatment. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2003 Jan;51(1):123–9.
- 10. Chen N, Zhang B, Deng L, Liang B, Ping J. Virus-host interaction networks as new antiviral drug 881 targets for IAV and SARS-CoV-2. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2022 Dec 31;11(1):1371–89.
- 11. Kaufmann SHE, Dorhoi A, Hotchkiss RS, Bartenschlager R. Host-directed therapies for bacterial and viral infections. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2018 Jan;17(1):35–56.
- 884 12. Kumar N, Sharma S, Kumar R, Tripathi BN, Barua S, Ly H, et al. Host-Directed Antiviral Therapy. Clin Microbiol Rev. 2020 Jun 17;33(3):e00168-19.
- 886 13. Lingappa UF, Wu X, Macieik A, Yu SF, Atuegbu A, Corpuz M, et al. Host-rabies virus protein- protein interactions as druggable antiviral targets. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2013 Mar 5 [cited 2022 May 13];110(10). Available from: https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1210198110
- 889 14. Pawlotsky JM. What are the pros and cons of the use of host-targeted agents against hepatitis C? Antiviral Res. 2014 May;105:22–5.
- 891 15. Goodwin CM, Xu S, Munger J. Stealing the Keys to the Kitchen: Viral Manipulation of the Host Cell Metabolic Network. Trends Microbiol. 2015 Dec;23(12):789–98.
- 16. Koonin EV, Dolja VV, Krupovic M. Origins and evolution of viruses of eukaryotes: The ultimate modularity. Virology. 2015 May;479–480:2–25.
- 17. Krupovic M, Koonin EV. Multiple origins of viral capsid proteins from cellular ancestors. Proc Natl Acad Sci [Internet]. 2017 Mar 21 [cited 2023 Jan 27];114(12). Available from: https://pnas.org/doi/full/10.1073/pnas.1621061114
- 18. Lingappa V, Hurt C, Garvey E. Capsid Assembly as a Point of Intervention for Novel Anti-viral Therapeutics. Curr Pharm Biotechnol. 2013 Nov 31;14(5):513–23.
- 19. Reed JC, Solas D, Kitaygorodskyy A, Freeman B, Ressler DTB, Phuong DJ, et al. Identification of an Antiretroviral Small Molecule That Appears To Be a Host-Targeting Inhibitor of HIV-1 Assembly. Simon V, editor. J Virol. 2021 Jan 13;95(3):e00883-20.
- 20. Heckmann CM, Paradisi F. Looking Back: A Short History of the Discovery of Enzymes and How They Became Powerful Chemical Tools. ChemCatChem. 2020 Dec 16;12(24):6082–102.
- 21. Blobel G. Protein targeting (Nobel lecture). Chembiochem Eur J Chem Biol. 2000 Aug 18;1(2):86– 102.
- 22. Lingappa JR, Hill RL, Wong ML, Hegde RS. A Multistep, ATP-dependent Pathway for Assembly of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Capsids in a Cell-free System. J Cell Biol. 1997 Feb 10;136(3):567–81.
- 23. Lingappa JR, Martin RL, Wong ML, Ganem D, Welch WJ, Lingappa VR. A eukaryotic cytosolic chaperonin is associated with a high molecular weight intermediate in the assembly of hepatitis B virus capsid, a multimeric particle. J Cell Biol. 1994 Apr;125(1):99–111.
- 24. Lingappa VR, Lingappa JR. Recent insights into biological regulation from cell-free protein-synthesizing systems. Mt Sinai J Med N Y. 2005 May;72(3):141–60.
- 25. Nirenberg M. Historical review: Deciphering the genetic code a personal account. Trends Biochem Sci. 2004 Jan;29(1):46–54.
- 26. Huang KY, Su MG, Kao HJ, Hsieh YC, Jhong JH, Cheng KH, et al. dbPTM 2016: 10-year anniversary of a resource for post-translational modification of proteins. Nucleic Acids Res. 2016 Jan 918 4;44(D1):D435-46.
- 27. Su MG, Weng JTY, Hsu JBK, Huang KY, Chi YH, Lee TY. Investigation and identification of functional post-translational modification sites associated with drug binding and protein-protein interactions. BMC Syst Biol. 2017 Dec;11(S7):132.
- 28. Wold F. In Vivo Chemical Modification of Proteins (Post-Translational Modification). Annu Rev Biochem. 1981 Jun;50(1):783–814.
- 29. Alberts B. The Cell as a Collection of Protein Machines: Preparing the Next Generation of Molecular Biologists. Cell. 1998 Feb;92(3):291–4.
- 30. De Las Rivas J, Fontanillo C. Protein-protein interaction networks: unraveling the wiring of molecular machines within the cell. Brief Funct Genomics. 2012 Nov 1;11(6):489–96.
- 31. Wan C, Borgeson B, Phanse S, Tu F, Drew K, Clark G, et al. Panorama of ancient metazoan macromolecular complexes. Nature. 2015 Sep 17;525(7569):339–44.
- 32. Williams NK, Dichtl B. Co-translational control of protein complex formation: a fundamental pathway of cellular organization? Biochem Soc Trans. 2018 Feb 19;46(1):197–206.
- 33. Fischer M, Joppe M, Mulinacci B, Vollrath R, Konstantinidis K, Kötter P, et al. Analysis of the co-translational assembly of the fungal fatty acid synthase (FAS). Sci Rep. 2020 Jan 21;10(1):895.
- 34. Kamenova I, Mukherjee P, Conic S, Mueller F, El-Saafin F, Bardot P, et al. Co-translational assembly of mammalian nuclear multisubunit complexes. Nat Commun. 2019 Dec;10(1):1740.
- 35. Panasenko OO, Somasekharan SP, Villanyi Z, Zagatti M, Bezrukov F, Rashpa R, et al. Co- translational assembly of proteasome subunits in NOT1-containing assemblysomes. Nat Struct Mol Biol. 2019 Feb;26(2):110–20.
- 36. Lautier O, Penzo A, Rouvière JO, Chevreux G, Collet L, Loïodice I, et al. Co-translational assembly and localized translation of nucleoporins in nuclear pore complex biogenesis. Mol Cell. 2021 Jun 941 3;81(11):2417-2427.e5.
- 37. Copley SD. Moonlighting is mainstream: paradigm adjustment required. BioEssays News Rev Mol Cell Dev Biol. 2012 Jul;34(7):578–88.
- 38. Farache D, Antine SP, Lee ASY. Moonlighting translation factors: multifunctionality drives diverse gene regulation. Trends Cell Biol. 2022 Sep;32(9):762–72.
- 39. Jeffery CJ. Multitalented actors inside and outside the cell: recent discoveries add to the number of moonlighting proteins. Biochem Soc Trans. 2019 20;47(6):1941–8.

 40. Broce S, Hensley L, Sato T, Lehrer-Graiwer J, Essrich C, Edwards KJ, et al. Biochemical and biophysical characterization of cell-free synthesized Rift Valley fever virus nucleoprotein capsids enables in vitro screening to identify novel antivirals. Biol Direct. 2016 Dec;11(1):25.

 41. Petsch B, Hurt CR, Freeman B, Zirdum E, Ganesh A, Schörg A, et al. Discovery of Novel Small Molecule Inhibitors of Multiple Influenza Strains in Cell Culture. Antiviral Res. 2010 Apr;86(1):A42.

 42. Nijhuis M, van Maarseveen NM, Boucher CAB. Antiviral Resistance and Impact on Viral Replication Capacity: Evolution of Viruses Under Antiviral Pressure Occurs in Three Phases. In: Kräusslich HG, Bartenschlager R, editors. Antiviral Strategies [Internet]. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2009 [cited 2023 Jan 30]. p. 299–320. (Handbook of Experimental Pharmacology; vol. 189). Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-540-79086-0_11

 43. McKimm-Breschkin JL. Influenza neuraminidase inhibitors: antiviral action and mechanisms of resistance: Resistance to influenza neuraminidase inhibitors. Influenza Other Respir Viruses. 2013 Jan;7:25–36.

961 44. Jung K, Saif LJ. Porcine epidemic diarrhea virus infection: Etiology, epidemiology, pathogenesis and immunoprophylaxis. Vet J. 2015 May;204(2):134–43.

- 45. Selvarajah S, Lingappa AF, Michon M, Du L, Deiter F, Yu SF, et al. From COVID-19 to the Common Cold: Novel Host-Targeted, Pan-Respiratory Antiviral Small Molecule Therapeutics [Internet]. Biochemistry; 2021 Jan [cited 2021 Dec 19]. Available from: http://biorxiv.org/lookup/doi/10.1101/2021.01.17.426875
- 46. Watanabe T, Kawakami E, Shoemaker JE, Lopes TJS, Matsuoka Y, Tomita Y, et al. Influenza virus- host interactome screen as a platform for antiviral drug development. Cell Host Microbe. 2014 Dec 969 10;16(6):795–805.
- 47. Calderone A, Licata L, Cesareni G. VirusMentha: a new resource for virus-host protein interactions. Nucleic Acids Res. 2015 Jan 28;43(D1):D588–92.
- 48. Gordon DE, Hiatt J, Bouhaddou M, Rezelj VV, Ulferts S, Braberg H, et al. Comparative host- coronavirus protein interaction networks reveal pan-viral disease mechanisms. Science. 2020 Dec 4;370(6521):eabe9403.
- 49. Perrin-Cocon L, Diaz O, Jacquemin C, Barthel V, Ogire E, Ramière C, et al. The current landscape 976 of coronavirus-host protein–protein interactions. J Transl Med. 2020 Dec;18(1):319.
- 977 50. Zhao Z, Lu K, Mao B, Liu S, Trilling M, Huang A, et al. The interplay between emerging human coronavirus infections and autophagy. Emerg Microbes Infect. 2021 Jan 1;10(1):196–205.
- 51. Mao J, Lin E, He L, Yu J, Tan P, Zhou Y. Autophagy and Viral Infection. In: Cui J, editor. Autophagy Regulation of Innate Immunity [Internet]. Singapore: Springer Singapore; 2019 [cited 2022 Jul 7]. p. 55–78. (Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology; vol. 1209). Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-981-15-0606-2_5
- 52. MacKinnon AL, Taunton J. Target Identification by Diazirine Photo-Cross-Linking and Click 984 Chemistry. Curr Protoc Chem Biol. 2009 Dec;1(1):55-73.
- 53. Li Du, Fred Deiter, Mohamed S. Bouzidi, Jean-Noel Billaud, Graham Simmons, Prerna Dabral, et 986 al. TARGETING THE HOST-VIRUS INTERFACE TO BLOCK SARS-COV-2 ASSEMBLY IN AIRWAY CELLS. In.
- 54. Du L, Deiter F, Bouzidi M, Billaud JN, Graham S, Prerna D, et al. A Novel Viral Assembly Inhibitor Blocks SARS-CoV-2 Replication in Airway Epithelial Cells [Internet]. In Review; 2023 May [cited 2023 Jun 26]. Available from: https://www.researchsquare.com/article/rs-2887435/v1
- 55. Eder J, Sedrani R, Wiesmann C. The discovery of first-in-class drugs: origins and evolution. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2014 Aug;13(8):577–87.
- 56. Sadri A. Is Target-Based Drug Discovery Efficient? Discovery and "Off-Target" Mechanisms of All Drugs. J Med Chem. 2023 Sep 6;acs.jmedchem.2c01737.
- 57. Lee JA, Berg EL. Neoclassic Drug Discovery: The Case for Lead Generation Using Phenotypic and Functional Approaches. SLAS Discov. 2013 Dec;18(10):1143–55.
- 58. Heinricher MM. Pain Modulation and the Transition from Acute to Chronic Pain. In: Ma C, Huang Y, editors. Translational Research in Pain and Itch [Internet]. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands; 2016 [cited 2023 Feb 3]. p. 105–15. (Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology; vol. 904). Available from: http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-94-017-7537-3_8
- 59. Hurley JM, Loros JJ, Dunlap JC. Circadian Oscillators: Around the Transcription–Translation Feedback Loop and on to Output. Trends Biochem Sci. 2016 Oct;41(10):834–46.
- 60. Ivan M, Kaelin WG. The EGLN-HIF O 2 -Sensing System: Multiple Inputs and Feedbacks. Mol Cell. 2017 Jun;66(6):772–9.
- 61. Karsdal MA, Nielsen SH, Leeming DJ, Langholm LL, Nielsen MJ, Manon-Jensen T, et al. The good and the bad collagens of fibrosis – Their role in signaling and organ function. Adv Drug Deliv Rev. 2017 Nov;121:43–56.
- 62. Rizzino A, Wuebben EL. Sox2/Oct4: A delicately balanced partnership in pluripotent stem cells and embryogenesis. Biochim Biophys Acta BBA - Gene Regul Mech. 2016 Jun;1859(6):780–91.
- 63. Versteeg HH, Heemskerk JWM, Levi M, Reitsma PH. New Fundamentals in Hemostasis. Physiol Rev. 2013 Jan;93(1):327–58.
- 64. Kamenova I, Mukherjee P, Conic S, Mueller F, El-Saafin F, Bardot P, et al. Co-translational assembly of mammalian nuclear multisubunit complexes. Nat Commun. 2019 Apr 15;10(1):1740.
- 65. Fu H, Subramanian RR, Masters SC. 14-3-3 Proteins: Structure, Function, and Regulation. Annu Rev Pharmacol Toxicol. 2000 Apr;40(1):617–47.
- 66. Jia H, Liang Z, Zhang X, Wang J, Xu W, Qian H. 14-3-3 proteins: an important regulator of autophagy in diseases. Am J Transl Res. 2017;9(11):4738–46.
- 67. Obsilova V, Obsil T. The 14-3-3 Proteins as Important Allosteric Regulators of Protein Kinases. Int J Mol Sci. 2020 Nov 21;21(22):8824.

 68. Pennington K, Chan T, Torres M, Andersen J. The dynamic and stress-adaptive signaling hub of 14-3-3: emerging mechanisms of regulation and context-dependent protein–protein interactions. Oncogene. 2018 Oct;37(42):5587–604.

- 69. Stevers LM, Sijbesma E, Botta M, MacKintosh C, Obsil T, Landrieu I, et al. Modulators of 14-3-3 Protein–Protein Interactions. J Med Chem. 2018 May 10;61(9):3755–78.
- 70. Aghazadeh Y, Papadopoulos V. The role of the 14-3-3 protein family in health, disease, and drug development. Drug Discov Today. 2016 Feb;21(2):278–87.
- 71. Corradi V, Mancini M, Santucci MA, Carlomagno T, Sanfelice D, Mori M, et al. Computational techniques are valuable tools for the discovery of protein–protein interaction inhibitors: The 14-3-3σ case. Bioorg Med Chem Lett. 2011 Nov;21(22):6867–71.
- 72. Hartman AM, Hirsch AKH. Molecular insight into specific 14-3-3 modulators: Inhibitors and stabilisers of protein–protein interactions of 14-3-3. Eur J Med Chem. 2017 Aug;136:573–84.
- 73. Mori M, Vignaroli G, Cau Y, Dinić J, Hill R, Rossi M, et al. Discovery of 14-3-3 Protein-Protein Interaction Inhibitors that Sensitize Multidrug-Resistant Cancer Cells to Doxorubicin and the Akt Inhibitor GSK690693. ChemMedChem. 2014 May;9(5):973–83.
- 74. Ottmann C. Small-molecule modulators of 14-3-3 protein–protein interactions. Bioorg Med Chem. 2013 Jul;21(14):4058–62.
- 75. Zhao J, Du Y, Horton JR, Upadhyay AK, Lou B, Bai Y, et al. Discovery and structural characterization of a small molecule 14-3-3 protein-protein interaction inhibitor. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2011 Sep 27;108(39):16212–6.
- 76. Liu J, Cao S, Ding G, Wang B, Li Y, Zhao Y, et al. The role of 14-3-3 proteins in cell signalling pathways and virus infection. J Cell Mol Med. 2021 May;25(9):4173–82.

 77. Michi AN, Proud D. A toolbox for studying respiratory viral infections using air-liquid interface cultures of human airway epithelial cells. Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol. 2021 Jul 1;321(1):L263– 80.