Analytical Sensitivity, Reproducibility of Results, and Clinical Performance of Five PCR Assays for Detecting *Chlamydia pneumoniae* DNA in Peripheral Blood Mononuclear Cells

J. B. MAHONY,^{1,2*} S. CHONG,¹ B. K. COOMBES,¹ M. SMIEJA,¹ AND A. PETRICH^{1,2}

*Hamilton Regional Laboratory Medicine Program, St. Joseph's Hospital,*¹ *and Department of Pathology and Molecular Medicine, McMaster University,*² *Hamilton, Ontario, Canada*

Received 18 January 2000/Returned for modification 31 March 2000/Accepted 4 May 2000

Chlamydia pneumoniae **has been associated with atherosclerosis and coronary artery disease (CAD), and its DNA has been detected in atheromatous lesions of the aorta, carotid, and coronary arteries by a variety of PCR assays. The objective of this study was to compare the performances of five published PCR assays in the detection of** *C. pneumoniae* **in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) from patients with coronary artery disease. The assays included two conventional PCRs, one targeting a cloned** *Pst***I fragment and one targeting the 16S rRNA gene; two nested PCRs, one targeting the 16S rRNA gene and one targeting** *ompA***; and a touchdown enzyme time release (TETR) PCR, targeting the 16S rRNA gene. All PCRs had similar analytical sensitivities and detected a minimum of 0.005 inclusion-forming units (IFU) of** *C. pneumoniae***; the** *ompA* **nested PCR and the TETR PCR were slightly more sensitive and detected 0.001 IFU. Assay reproducibility was examined by testing 10 replicates of** *C. pneumoniae* **DNA by each assay. All five assays showed excellent reproducibility at high levels of DNA, with scores of 10 out of 10 for 0.01 IFU, but exhibited decreased reproducibility for smaller numbers of** *C. pneumoniae* **IFU for all tests. Pairwise comparison of test results indicated that there was a significant difference between tests (Cochran** $Q = 32.0$ **,** $P < 0.001$ **), with the PstI fragment** ($P < 0.001$) and 16S rRNA ($P = 0.002$) assays having lower reproducibility than the nested *ompA* and **TETR assays. To further analyze assay sensitivity,** *C. pneumoniae***-infected U-937 mononuclear cells were added to whole blood, and extracted mononuclear-cell DNA was tested by each assay. All five assays showed similar sensitivities, detecting 15 infected cells; three assays detected 3 infected cells, while all assays were negative at the next dilution (1.5 infected cells). A striking difference in performance of the five assays was seen, however, when PBMCs from CAD patients were tested for** *C. pneumoniae* **DNA. The** *ompA* **nested PCR detected** *C. pneumoniae* **DNA in 11 of 148 (7.4%) specimens, the 16S rRNA nested PCR detected 2 positives among the 148 specimens (1.4%) (***P* **< 0.001), and the other 3 assays detected no positive specimens (***P* **< 0.001, compared with the** *ompA* **assay). These results indicate that analytical sensitivity alone does not predict the ability of an assay to detect** *C. pneumoniae* **in whole-blood-derived PBMCs. Before standardized assays can be used in wide-scale epidemiological studies, further characterization of these assays will be required to improve our understanding of their performance in the detection of** *C. pneumoniae* **in clinical material.**

Chlamydia pneumoniae has been associated with coronary artery disease (CAD) and myocardial infarction in several serological and pathological studies (6, 10, 20, 34, 37). *C. pneumoniae* has been detected in atherosclerotic plaques by immunocytochemical staining and PCR, and in limited studies it has been isolated in culture from atheromas (16, 18, 20, 33), providing evidence for an association between *C. pneumoniae* and atherogenesis without, however, demonstrating causality. Furthermore, animal models strongly implicate *C. pneumoniae* in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis $(8, 11, 12, 26, 28, 30)$. Although it has been shown that *C. pneumoniae* can replicate in human macrophages, endothelial cells, and smooth muscle cells (15), the exact role of *C. pneumoniae* in the pathogenesis of atherosclerosis is not known.

Chlamydiae are unique intracellular pathogens with a biphasic developmental cycle consisting of metabolically inactive and infectious elementary bodies and metabolically active but noninfectious reticulate bodies (29). Under certain conditions, including exposure to gamma interferon or antibiotics, *chlamydia*

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Regional Virology and Chlamydiology Laboratory, St. Joseph's Hospital, 50 Charlton Ave. E., Hamilton, Ontario L8N 4A6, Canada. Phone: (905) 521-6021. Fax: (905) 521-6083. E-mail: mahonyj@fhs.mcmaster.ca.

can become dormant and reside inside cells in a nonreplicating form that may escape immune detection and persist for long periods of time (1, 2). Induction of indoleamine-2,3-dioxygenase activity by gamma interferon and tryptophan catabolism is thought to be a mechanism for inducing persistence (2, 25). Persistently infected mononuclear cells and macrophages may facilitate the hematogenous dissemination of chlamydiae to other organ systems in an infected animal and may be important in atherogenesis (8, 27). There is increasing evidence that chronically infected mononuclear cells may play an important role in the development of chronic diseases such as atherosclerosis and coronary heart disease. In both the mouse and rabbit models of *C. pneumoniae*-induced atherosclerosis, *C. pneumoniae* has been detected in the blood prior to its appearance in atheromatous lesions of major blood vessels, including the aorta and coronary arteries (27; J. B. Mahony, I. W. Fong, E. Viira, and D. Jang, unpublished data).

C. pneumoniae infections have been difficult to diagnose either by serological methods or by isolation of the organism from infected tissue. Serological assays for *C. pneumoniae* have not yet been well standardized, and the use of methods such as the microimmunofluorescence test for large-scale epidemiological studies has been hampered by the poor correlation between serological results and tissue detection of this organism as well as by interlaboratory variation (20). *C. pneumoniae* has been difficult to recover from tissues, with special tissue culture techniques being required for its isolation (19, 32). Although numerous studies involving large numbers of patients have been performed, there are only three reports describing the isolation of *C. pneumoniae* from patients with vascular artery disease (16, 20, 33). Nucleic acid amplification (NAA) tests have therefore emerged as an important method of detecting *C. pneumoniae* in diseased tissues and have contributed significantly to the determination of an association of *C. pneumoniae* with atherosclerotic heart disease. *C. pneumoniae* DNA has been detected in large vessels, including the aortic, coronary, carotid, and femoral arteries, as well as in circulating mononuclear cells from a variety of patients with vascular diseases such as CAD, aortic aneurysm, and stroke (3, 6, 17, 31). Several different targets for amplification have been used, including a cloned *Pst*I fragment (7), the gene encoding the major outer membrane protein OmpA (36), and the 16S rRNA gene (13, 14). These assays have involved a variety of formats, including conventional (nonnested) and nested PCR assays as well as a novel touchdown enzyme time release (TETR) PCR. Madico et al. recently reported that the clinical sensitivity of the TETR PCR equals that of the nested PCR for the 16S rRNA gene (21). As yet there are no commercially available NAA tests for *C. pneumoniae*. Although several publications document the use of these various assays, to our knowledge there have been no studies that have compared their performances on clinical material.

We report here a comparison of the performances of five different PCR assays, including two nested PCRs, two nonnested PCRs, and a TETR PCR, in the detection of *C. pneumoniae* DNA. Assay performances were compared by (i) determining the analytical sensitivity for detecting *C. pneumoniae* DNA, (ii) determining the reproducibility of the assays by testing replicates of serial DNA dilutions, (iii) testing peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) spiked with *C. pneumoniae*-infected human mononuclear U-937 cells, and (iv) testing PBMCs from patients undergoing coronary angiography. Although these assays have similar analytical sensitivities, their abilities to detect *C. pneumoniae* in PBMCs differ significantly.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell culture. HEp2 cells (ATCC CCL-23) were maintained in minimal essential medium (Gibco BRL, Gaithersburg, Md.) containing Earle's salts and supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (Gibco BRL) and 2 mM L-glutamine (Gibco BRL). HEp2 cells were grown in 25-cm² culture flasks at 37° C and in a 5% CO₂ atmosphere.

The promonocytic cell line U-937 was provided by Davis Taub (National Institute on Aging, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md.) and maintained in RPMI 1640 medium (Gibco BRL) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum. U-937 cells were grown in 75-cm² culture flasks at 37°C in a 5% $CO₂$ atmosphere. Prior to infection, U-937 cells were harvested by centrifugation, counted, and seeded into 25-cm² flasks to achieve the desired cell concentration.

C. pneumoniae **propagation.** *C. pneumoniae* VR-1310 (ATCC 1310-VR) was propagated in HEp2 cells as described by Roblin et al. (33a) with slight modifications. *C. pneumoniae* was inoculated onto preformed HEp2 cell monolayers in 25-cm² flasks, which were centrifuged for $\dot{60}$ min at 1,000 $\times g$ and 25°C and then incubated at 37°C for 1 h. The inoculum was removed and replaced with growth medium consisting of minimal essential medium containing 1μ g of cycloheximide/ml. Infected cultures were incubated 72 h at 37°C in a 5% $CO₂$ atmosphere. *C. pneumoniae* was harvested by disrupting HEp2 cells with glass beads followed by sonication and then centrifugation at $250 \times g$ to remove cellular debris. Supernatants containing *C. pneumoniae* were aliquoted and frozen at 270°C. *C. pneumoniae* titrations were performed on frozen stocks in duplicate, and titers were expressed as inclusion-forming units (IFU) per ml.

Infection of U-937 cells. U-937 cells were infected with *C. pneumoniae* in 25-cm² flasks at a multiplicity of infection of 1. Briefly, 5×10^6 cells were suspended in 3 ml of RPMI 1640 medium and seeded into 25-cm² flasks. Cells were infected by incubating them with *C. pneumoniae* for 40 to 48 h at 37°C in a 5% $CO₂$ atmosphere. Following incubation, infected U-937 cells were harvested by centrifugation, washed twice in Hanks balanced salt solution (Gibco BRL), and counted in a Neubauer chamber.

Preparation of the mock-infected blood sample. U-937 cells from *C. pneumoniae*-infected cultures (7×10^6 cells in a 0.5-ml volume) were added to 8 ml of whole blood collected into a Vacutainer CPT Cell Preparation Tube (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, N.J.). CPT tubes were centrifuged according to the manufacturer's instructions, and mononuclear cells were collected from the monocyte cell layer for DNA purification.

Staining *C. pneumoniae***-infected U-937 cells.** Infected U-937 cells were serially diluted in phosphate-buffered saline, and duplicate $35-\mu l$ volumes of each dilution were spotted onto flat-bottomed wells of glass microscope slides. Samples were dried at 37°C for 30 min and then fixed for 10 min in absolute ethanol. Cells were stained with a fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled *Chlamydia* genus-specific monoclonal antibody (Pathfinder culture confirmation tube; Kallestad, Chaska, Minn.), and the number of infected U-937 cells from each dilution was determined by using an Olympus BH2-RFCA microscope equipped with an epifluorescence attachment.

Specimens. Whole-blood specimens (8 ml each) from patients undergoing coronary angiography or angioplasty procedures between July and October 1999 were collected into monocyte preparation (CPT) tubes containing sodium citrate (Becton Dickinson, Franklin Lakes, N.J.). Within 2 h of collection, the blood samples were centrifuged at a relative centrifugal force of 1,500 to 1,800 for 30 min and transported to the laboratory. Upon arrival at the laboratory, specimens were remixed by gently inverting the tube 8 to 10 times immediately prior to recentrifugation. With a Pasteur pipette, the resulting mononuclear cell layer was transferred to a viral for extraction of DNA.

DNA extraction. *C. pneumoniae* DNA was extracted from purified elementary bodies by proteinase K digestion followed by chloroform-phenol extraction as described previously (24). Mononuclear cells (200 μ l) from CPT tubes were pelleted by centrifugation at 500 \times *g*, and their DNA was extracted by using a Qiagen DNA Mini-kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. DNA was eluted in a final volume of 100 μ l, aliquoted, and stored at -20°C.

PCR. Five separate assays—three targeting the 16S rRNA gene, one targeting the *ompA* gene, and one targeting the *Pst*I cloned gene fragment—were used for the detection of *C. pneumoniae*. In all cases, the amplification reactions were performed in a volume of $25 \mu l$ containing $2.5 \mu l$ of extracted DNA, 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 8.3), 50 mM KCl, and a 200μ M concentration of four deoxynucleoside triphosphates. For all five assays, the ratio of volume of template DNA to volume of reaction mix was as indicated in the original publication (7, 13, 14, 21, 36). Concentrations of *Taq* polymerase (AmpliTaq Gold; Perkin-Elmer, Branchburg, N.J.), primers, and $MgCl₂$ as well as the cycling conditions on an MJ Research PTC-200 thermocycler differed with each PCR. The PCR primer sets that were tested included HL1-HR1 (7), CpnA-CpnB (13), CpnA-CpnB with nested TW50-TW51 (14), CP1-CP2 with nested CPC-CPD (36), and CPN90-CPN91 (21). The sequences of these primers are shown in Table 1. All PCRs were performed according to published protocols. Briefly, the CpnA-CpnB PCR used a 0.5 μ M concentration of each primer, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, and 0.75 U of *Taq* polymerase and involved 40 cycles of 15 s at 94°C, 15 s at 55°C, and 1.1 min at 72°C. For the PCR using CP1-CP2 with nested primer pair TW50-TW51, both amplification rounds employed 2.5 mM MgCl₂, a 0.5 μ M concentration of each primer, and 0.75 U of *Taq* polymerase. For the first amplification, reactions were run for 20 cycles of 15 s at 94°C, 15 s at 65°C minus 1°C per cycle, and 1.1 min at 72°C plus an additional 20 cycles of 15 s at 94°C, 15 s at 45°C, and 1.1 min at 72°C. The inner amplification consisted of 30 cycles of 15 s at 94°C, 15 s at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C. The HL1-HR1 PCR employed 2.5 mM MgCl₂, a 0.5 μ M concentration of each primer, and 0.75 U of *Taq* polymerase and involved 40 cycles of 30 s at 94°C, 30 s at 57°C, and 1 min at 72°C. For the PCR using CP1-CP2 with nested primer pair CPC-CPD, the conditions were as follows: the first round of amplification employed 1.5 mM $MgCl₂$, 0.4 μ M primers, and 0.625 U of *Taq* polymerase and involved 20 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 65°C minus 0.5°C per cycle, and 1 min at 72°C plus an additional 20 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 55°C, and 1 min at 72°C. The PCR products amplified by the outer primers (CP1-CP2) were diluted 1:10, and a volume of 2.5 μ l was added to a new 25-µl PCR mixture for a second amplification with nested primer pair CPC-CPD. The second round of amplification employed 3 mM $MgCl₂$, 1 μ M primers, and 0.625 U of *Taq* polymerase and involved 30 cycles of 1 min at 94°C, 1 min at 50°C, and 1 min at 72°C. For the TETR PCR, the CPN90-CPN91 primer pair was used with 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 μ M primers, and 0.5 U of *Taq* polymerase. This touchdown PCR applied an enzyme time release protocol (21). Cycling consisted of 75 s at 95°C followed by 60 cycles of 45 s at 94°C, 45 s beginning at 62°C and ending at 52°C, and 1 min at 72°C. The annealing temperature was lowered 1°C every four cycles until reaching 52°C, at which point it was kept constant until the end of the cycling process. All amplification products were analyzed by agarose gel electrophoresis followed by ethidium bromide staining.

Exhaustive measures were applied for all PCR assays to ensure that carryover contamination of specimens by preamplified product did not occur (24). These included the use of (i) separate biosafety containment hoods for preparing specimens, setting up PCRs, and analyzing products; (ii) plugged pipette tips or positive-displacement pipettors; (iii) several negative controls interspersed with clinical specimens; and (iv) periodic swabbing of work areas to detect amplified DNA.

Assay	Target	Assay Primers format		Amplicon size (bp)	No. of amplifi- cation cycles	Reference
	Cloned PstI	Standard	HL1 5' GTT GTT CAT GAA GGC CTA CT 3' HR1 5' TGC ATA ACC TAC GGT GTG TT 3'	437	40	
	16S rRNA	Standard	CpnA 5' TGA CAA CTG TAG AAA TAC AGC 3'	463	40	13
3	16S rRNA	Nested	CpnB 5'CGC CTC TCT CCT ATA AAT 3' CpnA 5' TGA CAA CTG TAG AAA TAC AGC 3'	463	40	14
			CpnB 5' CGC CTC TCT CCT ATA AAT 3' TW50 5' AGT CCC GCA ACG AGC GCA 3'	270	30	
	ompA	Nested	TW51 5' GCT GAC ACG CCA TTA CTA 3' CP1 5' TTA CAA GCC TTG CCT GTA GG 3'	333	40	36
			CP ₂ 5' GCG ATC CCA AAT GTT TAA GGC 3' CPC 5' TTA TTA ATT GAT GGT ACA ATA 3'	207	30	
	16S rRNA	TETR	CPD 5' ATC TAC GGC AGT AGT ATA GTT 3' CPN90 5' GGT CTC AAC CCC ATC CGT GTC GG 3' CPN91 5' TGC GGA AAG CTG TAT TTC TAC AGT T 3'	197	60	21

TABLE 1. Comparison of five PCR assays for detection of *C. pneumoniae* DNA

Data analysis. SPSS version 10.0 for Windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, Ill.) was used for statistical testing. All PCR results were dichotomized as positive or negative. For comparing diagnostic assays, the Cochran Q test statistic for binary related variables was used. For comparisons of results of pairs of assays, the two highest-ranking diagnostic assays were compared with all others by using McNemar's test of two related variables (for a total of seven comparisons). For the Cochran Q test, which was used to compare the results of all five diagnostic assays simultaneously, an alpha level of 0.05 was set as the level of significance. For pairwise comparisons, an alpha level of 0.01 was set to account for multiplecomparison testing.

RESULTS

We compared the performances of five different PCR assays, including two nonnested assays, two nested assays, and a novel TETR assay, targeting three different genes, in detecting *C. pneumoniae* DNA. The nonnested assays targeted either the cloned *Pst*I fragment or the 16S rRNA gene, the nested assays targeted either the 16S rRNA gene or the *ompA* gene, and the TETR assay targeted the 16S rRNA gene (Table 1). All five assays were performed according to their original published protocols, with the only change being a reduced reaction volume of 25 μ l containing 2.5 μ l of sample DNA. Both nonnested PCRs used 40 cycles of amplification, while both nested PCRs employed 40 cycles for the first and 30 cycles for the second round of amplification; the TETR PCR involved a total of 60 cycles of amplification (Table 1). Typical results for these five PCR assays are shown in Fig. 1. All assays gave amplification products of the expected size (Table 1) with virtually no mispriming when done under these conditions.

The analytical sensitivity of each assay was determined by testing serial dilutions of a *C. pneumoniae* stock, containing from 0.1 to 0.0005 IFU. The five PCRs had similar sensitivities, with all assays being able to detect 0.005 IFU. The *ompA* nested PCR and the TETR PCR were slightly more sensitive and could detect 0.001 IFU (Table 2). The reproducibility of each assay was examined by testing a dilution series of *C. pneumoniae* elementary bodies in replicates of 10 for each dilution. The dilution series was prepared from a freshly harvested culture (48 h), and replicate aliquots were titrated, without freezing, on HEp-2 cell cultures to determine their infectious titers. The PCR results for the replicate dilutions containing from 0.01 to 0.0001 IFU of *C. pneumoniae* are shown in Table 3. All five assays had reproducibility scores of 10 for 10 for 0.01 IFU, but scores decreased for smaller numbers of IFU. The total numbers of positive results for all dilutions were combined for each assay, and the five PCR protocols were compared. There was a significant difference between tests (Cochran $Q = 32.0, P < 0.001$). Pairwise com-

parisons with test 5, which identified 37 of 60 samples, were as follows: test 1, $P < 0.001$; test 2, $P = 0.002$; test 3, $P = 0.146$; and test 4, $P = 1.0$. Against test 4, which identified 36 of 60 samples, the results were as follows: test 1, $P < 0.001$; test 2, $P = 0.004$; and test 3, $P = 0.125$. Other comparisons were not significant at the $P = 0.01$ level. These results showed that reproducibility decreased with decreasing numbers of bacteria and that no single assay demonstrated a reproducibility that was statistically higher than that of any of the other four assays.

To further address the question of sensitivity, a mock-infected blood specimen was prepared by spiking a *C. pneumoniae* DNA-negative whole-blood sample in a Vacutainer CPT tube with 7×10^6 *C. pneumoniae*-infected U-937 cells. Mono-

FIG. 1. Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of PCR products of five PCR assays. Gels were stained with ethidium bromide and photographed under UV light at 305 nm. PCR assay products are as follows: lane 1, nonnested *Pst*I target, amplicon size 437 bp; lane 2, nonnested 16S rRNA gene target, amplicon size 463 bp; lanes 3 and 4, nested 16S rRNA gene target, amplicon sizes 463 bp (for the first round of amplification) (lane 3) and 270 bp (for the second round) (lane 4); lanes 5 and 6, nested *ompA* target, amplicon sizes 333 bp (for first round of amplification) (lane 5) and 207 bp (for the second round) (lane 6); and lane 7, TETR PCR, 16S rRNA gene target, amplicon size 197 bp. M, molecular size standards.

^a A 1-ml aliquot of *C. pneumoniae* seed strain VR1310, containing 10⁶ IFU, was extracted with a Qiagen DNA Mini-kit, and 2.5-µl aliquots of eluted DNA were tested in duplicate by five PCR assays as shown in Table 1 and described in Materials and Methods.

nuclear cells were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions, their DNA was extracted, and duplicate aliquots were tested in each PCR assay. Infected mononuclear cells were counted following direct fluorescent antibody (DFA) staining with a monoclonal antibody to *C. pneumoniae*. All PCRs detected 15 *C. pneumoniae*-infected cells; three of the five assays—the nonnested 16S rRNA PCR, the nested 16S rRNA PCR, and the TETR PCR—detected the next dilution, containing 3 infected cells, and all assays were negative for 1.5 infected cells (Table 4).

To compare the clinical performances of the five PCR assays, 148 CPT specimens collected from patients attending a coronary angiography clinic were tested. All specimens were tested by the five PCR assays, and all positive specimens were first reextracted (equal aliquot) and then reamplified in triplicate. Only specimens that were positive after repeat extraction and amplification were considered positive for purposes of comparison. There were striking differences in the performances of the five assays in terms of their abilities to detect *C. pneumoniae* DNA (Table 5). The *ompA* nested PCR detected *C. pneumoniae* DNA in 11 of 148 (7.4%) specimens, while the 16S rRNA nested assay detected *C. pneumoniae* DNA in only 2 of 148 (1.4%) specimens ($P < 0.001$). The other three assays all failed to detect even a single positive (0 of 148; $P < 0.001$). All 11 positives detected by the nested *ompA* PCR were confirmed positive by repeat PCR testing of a reextracted sample and by hybridization with an internal oligonucleotide probe. There was a significant difference found when all five tests were compared with one another simultaneously (Cochran $Q = 32.0, P < 0.001$). Pairwise comparisons with test 4 (nested *ompA*) revealed statistically significant differences: for

TABLE 3. Reproducibility of five PCR assays for detecting *C. pneumoniaea*

No. of	No. of aliquots testing positive for PCR assay:					
IFU		7	\mathcal{Z}			
0.01	10	10	10	10	10	
0.005		6			10	
0.002						
0.001					9	
0.0004		3				
0.0001						
Total	23			36		

^a Ten replicate aliquots of a serial dilution of *C. pneumoniae* DNA were tested by each of five PCR assays described in Table 1. DNA was purified from a 1-ml stock *C. pneumoniae* strain VR1310 as described in the footnote for Table 2.

TABLE 4. Sensitivities of five PCR assays for detecting *C. pneumoniae*-infected U-937 mononuclear cells*^a*

No. of infected	PCR result for assay ^b :					
U-937 cells			3			
30	$++$	$++$	$++$	$++$	$+ +$	
15	$++$	$++$	$++$	$++$	\pm \pm	
3		- -	$+ -$		\pm	

 a^a A 25-cm² flask containing 7×10^6 U-937 cells was inoculated with *C. pneumoniae*, and the infected cells were spiked into 8 ml of whole blood in a Vacutainer CPT tube. Mononuclear cells were collected, DNA was extracted by using a Qiagen DNA Mini-kit and eluted in 0.1 ml of elution buffer, and aliquots (2.5μ) of DNA were tested in duplicate by five PCR assays. The number of infected cells was calculated from the total number of cells that stained by DFA with a fluorescein isothiocyanate-labeled anti-*C. pneumoniae* monoclonal antibody, assuming a CPT tube cell recovery rate of 71% as indicated by the

manufacturer.
^b PCR assays as described in Table 1.

test 1, $P = 0.000$; for test 2, $P = 0.004$; for test 5, $P = 1.0$; and for test 3, $P = 0.125$. In addition, the magnitude of the increased sensitivity of test 4 is likely clinically important (11 positives, versus 2 or 0 for the other tests). Test 3 (nested 16S rRNA gene) detected two, but this was not a statistically significant increase from detecting zero positive specimens $(P = 0.500)$.

DISCUSSION

To our knowledge, this is the first study to compare the abilities of different PCR assays to detect *C. pneumoniae* DNA in blood specimens of patients with CAD. We compared the performances of five different PCR assays for detecting *C. pneumoniae* DNA and found that analytical sensitivity does not predict an assay's ability to detect *C. pneumoniae* DNA in PBMCs. In comparing the assays, we used the same primer concentrations, cycling profiles, and magnesium chloride concentrations as were described in the original publication; the only changes in the assays were a reduction in the volume of the reaction mixture and the use of AmpliTaq Gold in all of the assays. In our hands, all five assays gave robust products of the expected size with little or no mispriming (Fig. 1).

The analytical sensitivities of the five assays were remarkably similar. In two separate experiments, all five PCRs detected between 0.005 and 0.0004 IFU (Tables 2 and 3). In our hands, no one assay was significantly more sensitive than the others. The minimal amount of *C. pneumoniae* that could be reproducibly detected by all five assays (10 of 10 testing positive) was

TABLE 5. Detection of *C. pneumoniae* in PBMCs from patients undergoing coronary angioplasty, using five PCR assays*^a*

	PCR results	
Assay^b	No. positive/no. tested	% Positive
	0/148	
	0/148	
3	2/148	1.4
	11/148	7.4
	0/148	

^a An 8-ml CPT tube specimen was collected from individual patients undergoing a coronary angioplasty procedure. Mononuclear cells were prepared according to the manufacturer's instructions, and DNA was extracted by using a Qiagen DNA Mini-kit. Aliquots of eluted DNA $(2.5 \mu l)$ were tested by five PCR assays, and positives were confirmed by repeat PCR and hybridization with an internal oligonucleotide probe as described in Materials and Methods. *^b* Assays are as indicated in Table 1.

0.01 IFU. All assays detected 0.0004 IFU at frequencies between 1 and 6 of 10 when 10 replicates were tested (Table 3). These sensitivities compare favorably with sensitivities reported by others. Campbell et al. reported that the nonnested *Pst*I PCR had a sensitivity of 0.5 IFU (7), and Gaydos et al. reported a sensitivity of 0.4 IFU for the nonnested 16S rRNA PCR (13). The sensitivity of the nested *ompA* PCR was originally reported as ≤ 1 elementary body by DFA staining by Tong and Sillis (36). Boman et al., who used this assay to detect *C. pneumoniae* DNA in PBMCs of angiography patients, did not present any data on the assay's sensitivity (4, 6). Gaydos et al. used the 16S rRNA nested PCR to detect *C. pneumoniae* in bronchoalveolar lavage specimens from immunocompromised patients but did not present data on the sensitivity of this assay (14). In the only report to date comparing the sensitivities of various PCRs for detecting *C. pneumoniae*, Gaydos et al. recently showed that a novel TETR PCR was more sensitive than two nested PCR assays (C. A. Gaydos, G. E. Madico, K. Crotchfelt, and T. C. Quinn, Abstr. 99th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., abstr. C-491, 1999). The TETR PCR had a sensitivity of 0.004 IFU for *C. pneumoniae* strain A-03, compared with 0.06 IFU for the nested *ompA* PCR, 1 IFU for the nested 16S rRNA PCR, and 4 IFU for the nonnested *Pst*I PCR.

In their study, Gaydos et al. determined sensitivities by testing a dilution series of *C. pneumoniae* in duplicate by four different PCRs, while we tested 10 replicates for each dilution. Gaydos et al. found that the smallest amount of *C. pneumoniae* that could be detected by all four assays was 4 IFU (Gaydos et al., Abstr. 99th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol., abstr. C-491, 1999). In our hands, the smallest amount of the bacterium that all five assays could reproducibly detect (10 of 10 times) was 0.01 IFU (Table 3). Differences in sensitivities between the two studies could be due to several factors, such as the use of different DNA extraction methods, differences in the ramping times of the different thermal cyclers used in the two studies, or differences in the number and/or homogeneity of replicates used in the two studies. Differences in DNA extraction efficiencies, clumping of elementary bodies, or differences in culture sensitivity would markedly affect sensitivity levels. Indeed, sensitivities of less than 1 IFU probably indicate the technical limitations of determining the sensitivity of PCR or other NAA tests based on IFU. Sensitivity differences among these assays should not be due to differences in target copy numbers, since only a single *ompA* gene, presumably a single copy of the *Pst*I fragment, and only two rRNA operons are present in the *C. pneumoniae* genome (35).

The differences in the endpoint sensitivities of 0.01 IFU (Table 3) and 15 infected cells (Table 4), although not directly comparable, may reflect the presence of interfering factors in human blood which reduce the sensitivity of all PCR assays. In an unselected sample of specimens from patients attending an angiography clinic, we found 11 of 148 (7.4%) PBMC specimens to have *C. pneumoniae* DNA by one PCR assay while 2 were positive by a second PCR assay and 3 other assays detected no positives. Our criterion for positivity was a specimen that was repeat positive by PCR. The prevalence of *C. pneumoniae* DNA in other studies of PBMCs has ranged from a low of 8.8% to a high of 69% (6, 37). The wide range of positivity could be due to many factors, including differences in population studies, but might also be explained by differences in the clinical performances of PCR assays, as we have shown in the present study. The reason for the difference in sensitivities of the assays is not known; however, amplification inhibitors present in PBMC specimens that exert differential inhibition for various PCR primers may explain these differences. Differences in the sensitivities of various PCRs for detecting *Chla-* *mydia trachomatis* in clinical specimens have been observed (23). Furthermore, we have recently reported that different NAA tests for *C. trachomatis* detection show different susceptibilities to endogenous inhibitors in clinical specimens (22). Therefore, further studies, using a variety of clinical specimens, will be required to explain the difference in assays for detecting *C. pneumoniae*.

The significant differences in performance of the five assays for detecting *C. pneumoniae* DNA in PBMCs from patients with CAD indicate the need for additional studies to assess the performance of these assays in different laboratories. One study, investigating the interlaboratory agreement of PCR results by using a blinded panel of specimens, reported that results from different laboratories were extremely variable and that there was little overall agreement in terms of which specimens tested positive (5; J. Boman et al., unpublished data). The differences in PCR performance between laboratories have not been adequately explained and require further study. In addition, there is a need for improved assays for the detection of *C. pneumoniae* nucleic acid in PBMCs by PCR or alternative amplification methods. With this in mind, we have recently developed a sensitive assay for the detection and quantitation of *ompA* RNA transcripts by nucleic acid sequence-based amplification that may be useful for studying *C. pneumoniae* persistence in vascular tissues (9). Interlaboratory standardization of NAA assays and/or development of commercially available assays will be absolutely required to precisely elucidate the etiologic role of *C. pneumoniae* in vascular disease.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Charlotte Gaydos and Guillermo Madico for providing details of their TETR PCR assay, M. Natarajen for recruitment of patients, Lynne Rainen (Becton Dickinson) for providing Vacutainer CPT tubes and Qiagen DNA Mini-kits, and Charles Goldsmith for statistical advice.

M. Smieja is a Research Fellow of the Heart and Stroke Foundation of Canada. B. K. Coombes is the recipient of a scholarship from the Father Sean O'Sullivan Research Centre, St. Joseph's Hospital, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada.

REFERENCES

- 1. **Beatty, W. L., R. P. Morrison, and G. I. Byrne.** 1994. Persistent chlamydiae: from cell culture to a paradigm for chlamydial pathogenesis. Microbiol. Rev. **58:**686–699.
- 2. **Beatty, W. L., T. A. Belanger, A. A. Desai, R. P. Morrison, and G. I. Byrne.** 1994. Tryptophan depletion as a mechanism of gamma interferon-mediated chlamydial persistence. Infect. Immun. **62:**3705–3711.
- 3. **Blasi, F., J. Boman, G. Esposito, G. Melissano, R. Chiesa, R. Cosentini, P. Tarsia, Y. Tshomba, M. Betti, M. Alessi, N. Morelli, and L. Allegra.** 1999. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* DNA detection in peripheral blood mononuclear cells is predictive of vascular infection. J. Infect. Dis. **180:**2074–2076.
- 4. **Boman, J., A. Allard, K. Persson, M. Lundborg, P. Juto, and G. Wadell.** 1997. Rapid diagnosis of respiratory *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection by nested touchdown polymerase chain reaction compared with culture and antigen detection by EIA. J. Infect. Dis. **175:**1523–1526.
- 5. **Boman, J., C. A. Gaydos, and T. C. Quinn.** 1999. Molecular diagnosis of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection. J. Clin. Microbiol. **37:**3791–3799.
- 6. **Boman, J., S. Soderberg, J. Forsberg, L. S. Birgander, A. Allard, K. Persson, E. Jidell, U. Kumlin, P. Juto, A. Waldenstrom, and G. Wadell.** 1998. High prevalence of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* DNA in peripheral blood mononuclear cells in patients with cardiovascular disease and in middle-aged blood donors. J. Infect. Dis. **178:**274–277.
- 7. **Campbell, L. A., M. P. Melgosa, D. J. Hamilton, C.-C. Kuo, and J. T. Grayston.** 1992. Detection of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* by polymerase chain reaction. J. Clin. Microbiol. **30:**434–439.
- 8. **Campbell, L. A., and C.-C. Kuo.** 1999. Mouse models of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection and atherosclerosis. Am. Heart J. **138:**S516–S518.
- 9. **Coombes, B. K., and J. B. Mahony.** Nucleic acid sequence based amplification (NASBA) of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* major outer membrane protein *ompA* mRNA with bioluminescent detection. Combinatorial Chem. High Throughput Screening, in press.
- 10. **Esposito, G., F. Blasi, L. Allegra, R. Chiesa, G. Melissano, R. Cosentini, P. Tarsia, L. Dordoni, C. Cantoni, C. Arosio, and L. Fagetti.** 1999. Demonstration of viable *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in atherosclerotic plaques of carotid arteries by reverse transcriptase polymerase chain reaction. Ann. Vasc. Surg. **13:**421–425.
- 11. **Fong, I. W., B. Chiu, E. Viira, M. W. Fong, D. Jang, and J. Mahony.** 1997. Rabbit model for *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection. J. Clin. Microbiol. **35:** 48–52.
- 12. **Fong, I. W., B. Chiu, E. Viira, D. Jang, and J. B. Mahony.** 1999. De novo induction of atherosclerosis by *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in a rabbit model. Infect. Immun. **67:**6048–6055.
- 13. **Gaydos, C. A., T. C. Quinn, and J. J. Eiden.** 1992. Identification of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* by DNA amplification of the 16S rRNA gene. J. Clin. Microbiol. **30:**796–800.
- 14. **Gaydos, C. A., C. L. Fowler, V. J. Gill, J. J. Eiden, and T. C. Quinn.** 1993. Detection of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* by polymerase chain reaction-enzyme immunoassay in an immunocompromised population. Clin. Infect. Dis. **17:** 718–723.
- 15. **Gaydos, C. A., J. T. Summersgill, N. N. Sahney, J. A. Ramirez, and T. C. Quinn.** 1996. Replication of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in vitro in human macrophages, endothelial cells, and aortic artery smooth muscle cells. Infect. Immun. **64:**1614–1620.
- 16. **Jackson, L. A., L. A. Campbell, C.-C. Kuo, D. I. Rodriquez, A. Lee, and J. T. Grayston.** 1997. Isolation of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* from a carotid endarterectomy specimen. J. Infect. Dis. **176:**292–295.
- 17. **Juvonen, J., T. Juvonen, A. Laurila, H. Alakarppa, K. Lounatmaa, H. M. Surcel, M. Leinonen, M. I. Kairaluoma, and P. Saikku.** 1997. Demonstration of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in the walls of abdominal aortic aneurysms. J. Vasc. Surg. **25:**499–505.
- 18. **Kuo, C.-C., A. M. Gown, E. P. Benditt, and T. J. Grayston.** 1993. Detection of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in aortic lesions of atherosclerosis by immunocytochemical stain. Arterioscler. Thromb. **13:**1500–1504.
- 19. **Maass, M., and K. Dalhoff.** 1995. Transport and storage conditions for cultural recovery of *Chlamydia pneumoniae*. J. Clin. Microbiol. **33:**1793– 1796.
- 20. **Maass, M., S. C. Bartels, P. M. Engel, U. Momat, and H. H. Sievers.** 1998. Endovascular presence of viable *Chlamydia pneumoniae* is a common phenomenon in coronary artery disease. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. **31:**827–832.
- 21. **Madico, G., T. C. Quinn, J. Boman, and C. A. Gaydos.** 2000. Touchdown enzyme time release-PCR for detection and identification of *Chlamydia trachomatis*, *C. pneumoniae*, and *C. psittaci* using the 16S and 16S-23S spacer rRNA genes. J. Clin. Microbiol. **38:**1085–1093.
- 22. **Mahony, J., S. Chong, D. Jang, K. Luinstra, M. Faught, D. Dalby, J. Sellors, and M. Chernesky.** 1998. Urine specimens from pregnant and nonpregnant women inhibitory to amplification of *Chlamydia trachomatis* nucleic acid by PCR, ligase chain reaction, and transcription-mediated amplification: identification of urinary substances associated with inhibition and removal of inhibitory activity. J. Clin. Microbiol. **36:**3122–3126.
- 23. **Mahony, J. B., K. E. Luinstra, J. W. Sellors, and M. A. Chernesky.** 1993. Comparison of plasmid- and chromosome-based polymerase chain reaction

assays for detecting *Chlamydia trachomatis* nucleic acids. J. Clin. Microbiol. **31:**1753–1758.

- 24. **Mahony, J. B., K. E. Luinstra, J. W. Sellors, D. Jang, and M. A. Chernesky.** 1992. Confirmatory polymerase chain reaction testing for *Chlamydia trachomatis* in first-void urine from asymptomatic and symptomatic men. J. Clin. Microbiol. **30:**2241–2245.
- 25. **Mehta, S. J., R. D. Miller, J. A. Ramirez, and J. T. Summersgill.** 1998. Inhibition of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* replication in HEp-2 cells by interferongamma: role of tryptophan catabolism. J. Infect. Dis. **177:**1326–1331.
- 26. **Moazed, T. C., C.-C. Kuo, D. Patton, J. T. Grayston, and L. A. Campbell.** 1996. Experimental rabbit models of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection. Am. J. Pathol. **148:**667–676.
- 27. **Moazed, T. C., C.-C. Kuo, J. T. Grayston, and L. A. Campbell.** 1998. Evidence of systemic dissemination of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* via macrophages in the mouse. J. Infect. Dis. **177:**1322–1325.
- 28. **Moazed, T. C., C.-C. Kuo, J. T. Grayston, and L. A. Campbell.** 1997. Murine models of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* infection and atherosclerosis. J. Infect. Dis. **175:**883–890.
- 29. **Moulder, J. W.** 1991. Interaction of chlamydiae and host cells in vitro. Microbiol. Rev. **55:**143–190.
- 30. **Muhlestein, J. B., J. L. Anderson, E. H. Hammond, L. Zhao, S. Trehan, E. P. Schwabe, and J. F. Carlquist.** 1998. Infection with *Chlamydia pneumoniae* accelerates the development of atherosclerosis and the treatment with azithromycin prevents it in a rabbit model. Circulation **97:**633–636.
- 31. **Petersen, E., J. Boman, K. Persson, C. Arnerlov, G. Wadell, P. Juto, A. Eriksson, G. Dahlen, and K. A. Angquist.** 1998. *Chlamydia pneumoniae* in human abdominal aortic aneurysms. Eur. J. Vasc. Endovasc. Surg. **15:**138– 142.
- 32. **Pruckler, J. M., N. Masse, V. A. Stevens, L. Gang, Y. Yang, E. R. Zell, S. F. Dowell, and B. S. Fields.** 1999. Optimizing culture of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* by using multiple centrifugations. J. Clin. Microbiol. **37:**3399–3401.
- 33. **Ramirez, J. A., and the** *Chlamydia pneumoniae***-Atherosclerosis Study Group.** 1996. Isolation of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* from the coronary artery of a patient with coronary atherosclerosis. Ann. Intern. Med. **125:**979–982.
- 33a.**Roblin, P. M., W. Dumornay, and M. R. Hammerschlag.** 1992. Use of HEp-2 cells for improved isolation and passage of *Chlamydia pneumoniae.* J. Clin. Microbiol. **30:** 1968–1971.
- 34. **Saikku, P., K. Matilla, M. S. Nieminen, P. J. Makela, J. K. Huttunen, and V. Valtonen.** 1988. Serological evidence of an association of a novel chlamydia, TWAR, with chronic coronary heart disease and acute myocardial infarction. Lancet **ii:**983–986.
- 35. **Stephens, R. S., S. Kalman, C. Lammel, J. Fan, R. Marathe, L. Aravind, W. Mitchell, L. Olinger, R. L. Tatusov, Q. Zhao, E. V. Koonin, and R. W. Davis.** 1998. Genome sequence of an obligate intracellular pathogen of humans: *Chlamydia trachomatis*. Science **282:**754–759.
- 36. **Tong, C. Y. W., and M. Sillis.** 1993. Detection of *Chlamydia pneumoniae* and *Chlamydia psittaci* in sputum samples by PCR. J. Clin. Pathol. **46:**313–317.
- 37. **Wong, Y.-K., K. D. Dawkins, and M. E. Ward.** 1999. Circulating *Chlamydia pneumoniae* DNA as a predictor of coronary artery disease. J. Am. Coll. Cardiol. **34:**1435–1439.