



Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

Neutralising antibody titres as predictors of protection against SARS-CoV-2 variants

We read with interest Deborah Cromer and colleagues¹ meta-analysis of in-vitro neutralisation titres as correlates of protection against a range of current SARS-CoV-2 variants of concern.¹ Models that can accurately predict waning post-vaccine immunity and vaccine efficacy against variants of concern as they emerge have the potential to be a powerful tool in tackling this pandemic.¹

Cromer and colleagues are candid about the limitations in compositing data from multiple studies.¹ We especially recognise difficulties in accessing demographic and clinical patient histories. However, as immunity is the synergistic result of antigen and host immune response, we believe that for any model to have a substantial effect host factors must be considered. This is particularly important in older adults, who are often poorly represented in studies that employ health-care worker sampling, where there is concern over attenuated neutralising responses,² as well as in the context of increasingly widespread use of immunomodulatory therapies.

Interleukin (IL)-6 inhibitors have been widely used to treat SARS-CoV-2 infection requiring hospital admission in the UK. IL-6 production appears integral to the early differentiation of antiviral follicular helper T cells and the development of potent neutralising antibodies.^{3,4} Recent data suggest a significant reduction in neutralising activity in the convalescent period after IL-6 or IL-1 (upstream in the inflammatory cascade) delivery that could affect a sizeable population.⁴ In August, 2021, anti-SARS-CoV-2 combination monoclonal antibodies were approved in the UK for use in the prevention and treatment of COVID-19. Any potential effect on the

development of long-term immunity remains unclear.⁴ If provision is extended to community-based patients, a substantial proportion of the population in countries with a high infection burden will receive immunomodulation therapy, highlighting the importance of studies across specific population subsets to help guide targeted public health policy and vaccine strategy.

Considering the effect of age, our previous work suggested older adults were capable of mounting a neutralising antibody response to both symptomatic and asymptomatic infection.⁵ However, preliminary findings from our own ongoing longitudinal study (280 total participants; median age 83 years, IQR 77–89) in a long-term care facility show the presence of high-titre neutralising antibody activity in only 10 (5%) of 205 patients after natural infection, rising to 139 (72%) of 192 patients available for testing after two-dose vaccination, despite advanced age. Those with previous infection showed substantially higher neutralising activity, identifying key populations for booster programmes. Cromer and colleagues' modelling in such established longitudinal studies might help identify priority individuals for three-dose vaccine prime regimens in real-time as variants of concern emerge.

Although we support the suggestion that such models could benefit future vaccine efficacy studies, we strongly encourage study designs to provide appropriate context (including participant immunomodulation before sampling) to allow effective interpretation of results to relevant demographic groups. This strategy will be vital if such models are to be available to substantially impact the public health response to emergent variants of concern when needed.

LSPM has consulted for bioMerieux (2013–20), DNAelectronics (2015–18), Dairy Crest (2017–18), Umovis Lab (2020), and Pfizer (2018–20), received speaker fees from Profile Pharma (2018), received

research grants from the National Institute of Health Research (NIHR; 2013–20), CW+ Charity (2018–19), and Leo Pharma (2016), and received educational support from Eumedica (2016–18). SJCP has received a research grant from the Scientific Exploration Society. All other authors declare no competing interests. LSPM acknowledges support from the NIHR Imperial Biomedical Research Centre and the NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare Associated Infection and Antimicrobial Resistance at Imperial College London in partnership with Public Health England. The views expressed in this publication are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the UK National Health Service, the NIHR, or the UK Department of Health.

Copyright © 2021 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an Open Access article under the CC BY-NC-ND 4.0 license.

**Scott J C Pallett, Joseph Heskin, Elisabetta Groppelli, Andrea Mazzella, Luke S P Moore*
scott.pallett@nhs.net

Chelsea and Westminster Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, London SW10 9NH, UK (SJCP, JH, LSPM); Centre of Defence Pathology, Royal Centre for Defence Medicine, Birmingham, UK (SJCP); Infection Care Group, St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK (SJCP); Clinical Academic Group in Infection and Immunity, Institute for Infection and Immunity, St George's University of London, London, UK (EG, AM); Imperial College London, NIHR Health Protection Research Unit in Healthcare Associated Infections and Antimicrobial Resistance, London, UK (LSPM); North West London Pathology, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust, London, UK (LSPM)

- Cromer D, Steain M, Reynaldi A, et al. Neutralising antibody titres as predictors of protection against SARS-CoV-2 variants and the impact of boosting: a meta-analysis. *Lancet Microbe* 2021; published online Nov 15. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247\(21\)00267-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00267-6).
- Bartleson JM, Radenkovic D, Covarrubias AJ, Furman D, Winer DA, Verdin E. SARS-CoV-2, COVID-19 and the aging immune system. *Nat Aging* 2021; **1**: 769–82.
- De Giovanni M, Cuttillo V, Giladi A, et al. Spatiotemporal regulation of type I interferon expression determines the antiviral polarization of CD4⁺ T cells. *Nat Immunol* 2020; **21**: 321–30.
- Della-Torre E, Criscuolo E, Lanzillotta M, et al. IL-1 and IL-6 inhibition affects the neutralising activity of anti-SARS-CoV-2 antibodies in patients with COVID-19. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2021; **3**: e829–31.
- Pallett SJ, Jones R, Abdulaal A, et al. Variability in detection of SARS-CoV-2 specific antibody responses following mild-moderate infection: a prospective multi-centre cross-sectional study London, United Kingdom, 17 April to 17 July 2020. *Eurosurveillance* 2021 (in press).



Published Online
December 23, 2021
[https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247\(21\)00337-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2666-5247(21)00337-2)