

Improving the quality of pediatric clinical practice guidelines in China: a long way to go

Ya-Li Liu¹, Hong-Mei Cui², Gang Che³, Yuan Ma⁴, Yu-Jie Xiao⁵, Jiang-Hua He⁶, Shu Wang⁷

¹Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Evidence-based Medicine, Beijing Children's Hospital, Capital Medical University, National Center for Children's Health, Beijing 100045, China;

²Department of Obstetrics, Gansu Provincial Maternity and Child-Care Hospital, Lanzhou, Gansu 730050, China;

³Department of Cardiology, The First People's Hospital of Jiayuguan, Jiayuguan, Gansu 735100, China;

⁴Respiratory Division of Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University, Shanghai Respiratory Research Institute, Shanghai 200032, China;

⁵Department of Anesthesiology, Central South University Xiangya Hospital 410000 Changsha, China;

⁶Department of Gastroenterology, Zhongnan Hospital of Wuhan University, Wuhan, Hubei 430071, China;

⁷Department of Neurosurgery, SanBo Brain Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100093, China.

Children's health is one of the key health issues receiving increasing attention worldwide and it is also an important measure of a country's social progress and economic development.^[1] However, the development of pediatrics in China also faces many problems, such as the enormous contradiction between medical health resources and medical needs and the unbalanced development in various regions.^[2] Although clinical practice guidelines (CPGs) play an important and positive role in solving medical health problems,^[3] previous studies suggested that the methodological quality of some CPGs might be inadequate. It is important and necessary to investigate the current status of the pediatric CPGs in China and systematically evaluate their methodological quality. This paper investigated and analyzed the current status of the CPGs for pediatric in China, using the Appraisal of Guidelines for REsearch & Evaluation II (AGREE II) instrument to evaluate the methodological quality of evidence-based guidelines for pediatrics in China. The aim of this study was to appraise the methodological quality of the evidence-based guidelines for pediatrics published in China from 2010 to 2017 using the AGREE II instrument to provide a reference and guidance for guideline developers and users.

We systematically searched the following databases: Chinese BioMedical Literature Database, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, Wanfang Database, and China Science and Technology Journal Database. The Baidu Scholar and Google Scholar search engines were also

included in. The main search terms were "clinical practice guideline," "adolescent," "children," "pediatric," "guideline," "guidance," "recommendation," and "consensus."

The AGREE II instrument was used to assess the methodological quality of the included CPGs. It contains 23 key items of six domains, such as scope and purpose, stakeholder involvement, rigor of development, clarity of presentation, applicability, editorial independence, and overall guideline assessment. Each item was assigned with a score of 1–7, with 1 being "strongly disagree" and 7 being "strongly agree." Each domain was calculated by summing up all the scores of its items and then it was standardized as follows: (obtained score – minimal possible score)/(maximal possible score – minimal possible score).^[4]

A total of 4820 records were retrieved through a search of a comprehensive list of databases, and 22 records were identified through Baidu (www.baidu.com) and Google (www.Google.com) search engines. Finally, 44 CPGs that meet the requirements were included.

AGREE II scores in different domains of CPGs with different characteristics (types, institutions, and categories) are shown in Table 1.

As a clinical guidance document, the CPGs play an important role in standardizing the medical behavior of medical professionals, promoting rational, fair, and efficient use of medical resources, and improving the

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:

www.cmj.org

DOI:

10.1097/CM9.0000000000001747

Ya-Li Liu and Hong-Mei Cui contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence to: Ya-Li Liu, Center for Clinical Epidemiology and Evidence-based Medicine, Beijing Children's Hospital, Capital Medical University, National Center for Children's Health, No. 56, Nanlishi Road, Xicheng District, Beijing 100045, China
E-Mail: ljl_201006@126.com

Copyright © 2021 The Chinese Medical Association, produced by Wolters Kluwer, Inc. under the CC-BY-NC-ND license. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives License 4.0 (CCBY-NC-ND), where it is permissible to download and share the work provided it is properly cited. The work cannot be changed in any way or used commercially without permission from the journal.

Chinese Medical Journal 2021;134(24)

Received: 28-11-2020 Edited by: Jing Ni

Table 1: AGREE II scores in different domains of CPGs with different characteristics.

Subgroup	N	Domain (%)					
		Scope and purpose	Stakeholder involvement	Rigor of development	Clarity of presentation	Applicability	Editorial independence
Type of CPGs							
Diagnosis and treatment	33	76.3	36.7	29.7	65.1	31.9	78.6
Technology	3	46.3	13.6	14.8	49.4	16.2	22.2
Treatment	2	72.3	36.1	44.1	62.0	22.2	44.5
Diagnosis	1	88.9	46.3	71.5	77.8	12.5	91.7
Comprehensive*	1	79.6	22.2	11.1	72.2	25.0	0.0
Other†	4	40.8	11.6	17.7	40.7	13.5	18.8
Formulating institutions							
Society	27	77.1	42.7	37.1	64.0	33.3	77.3
Committee	2	78.7	7.45	29.5	67.6	18.2	87.5
Personal	3	79.0	38.3	23.6	54.9	13.4	29.6
Association	1	25.9	20.4	12.5	51.9	13.9	0.0
Working group	1	88.9	46.3	71.5	77.8	12.5	91.7
Comprehensive‡	10	51.5	18.5	20.8	54.6	18.1	33.6
Category of CPGs							
TCM	23	80.8	44.0	38.1	66.5	36.4	87.3
Western medicine	21	59.4	24.8	26.0	55.9	16.4	36.6

* More than three types. † It does not belong to the above types, such as management, rehabilitation, etc. ‡ More than two types of formulating institution. AGREE II: Appraisal of Guidelines for REsearch & Evaluation II; CPGs: Clinical practice guidelines; TCM: Traditional Chinese medicine.

quality of health services. However, if the CPGs are not properly developed, they may lead to unreliable, misleading, or even conflicting recommendations.^[5] This study used the AGREE II scale to evaluate the formulation and process quality of CPGs. The results showed that the AGREE II scores of pediatric evidence-based guidelines in China were all <50% in the participants (field 2), the severity (field 3), and the applicability (field 5) domains. The study also found that there was a lack of reporting on specific duties, affiliation, and regional information by the participants in the development of the CPGs. Most CPGs did not take into account the preferences and values of patients and guardians in the process of guidance development. In the CPGs for diagnosis and treatment of pediatric diseases in traditional Chinese medicine, it is mentioned that CPGs will be promoted through training courses and academic conferences, and for implementation, reference manuals, which are worth learning and using for reference in other guide-developing organizations, will be made available. High-quality CPGs should be based on the evidence of systematic reviews and the optimal guidance put forward through comprehensively in-balancing advantages and disadvantages of each alternative intervention. At the same time, the following recommendations are pointed out: the guideline development group should reflect the multidisciplinary characteristics, the recommendations should consider preferences of subgroups and patients or their guardians, the process of development CPGs is supposed to be more transparent, and bias and conflicts of interest should be minimized, including the quality of the evidence and strength of the recommendations; and recommendations should be timely updated when new evidence emerges.^[6] The quality of the CPGs for pediatrics in China needs to be further developed and promoted to provide a reliable reference for guiding clinical practice.

Suggestions for improving CPGs for pediatric in China are as follows: (1) Pay attention to the methodological quality and reporting quality of the CPGs. The development of the guideline is a rigorous, systematic, and transparent process. Thus, to improve the quality of the guideline, more attention should be paid to guide the development of the relevant norms and standards. At the same time, the study also found that lack of standardization in the writing of the report of the CPGs is also an urgent problem to be solved. Besides the methodological quality of the CPGs, the reporting standards of the guidelines should also be of concern. (2) Enhancement of organizational cooperation is of great importance to improve the quality of the guideline. Also, the application and promotion of the guideline may require additional funds; thus, increased funding is important for the practical application of the guideline. In the course of developing the guidelines, we should strengthen cooperation among different disciplines and strive to integrate the resources and funds.

In summary, the methodological quality of the evidence-based guidelines for pediatrics published in Chinese journals in China from 2010 to 2017 is not adequate. The developers of CPGs should attach importance and refer to the international scientific approach to ensure the development of high-quality CPGs, and refer to the standard development and reporting CPGs of the AGREE II instrument and the reporting items for practice guidelines in healthcare statement (RIGHT) checklist during the development.

Funding

The work is supported by a grant from the Beijing Municipal Administration of Hospitals Incubating Program (No.PZ2019030).

Conflicts of interest

None.

References

1. Zhang LL, Zeng LN, Li YP. Pediatric guidelines on medication: status, challenge and opportunities (in Chinese). *Chin J Evid Based Med* 2015;15:2-3. doi: 10.7507/1672-2531.20140247.
2. Ren W, Hasenbieke N, Liu Y, Qiu Y, Zhou ZN, Mao XY, *et al*. Motivations and training needs of general practitioner preceptors. *Chin Med J* 2017;130:1689-1693. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.209894.
3. Yaolong C, Chen W, Hongcai S, Yang K, Norris SI. Clinical practice guidelines in China. *BMJ* 2018;360:j5158. doi: 10.1136/bmj.j5158.
4. Liu Y, Zhang Y, Wang S, Liu L, Che G, Niu J, *et al*. Quality of pediatric clinical practice guidelines. *BMC Pediatr* 2021;21:223. doi: 10.1186/s12887-021-02693-1.
5. Wei ML, Liu M. Analysis of clinical guidelines developed based on evidence in China. *Chin J Evid Based Med* 2013;13:927-932. doi: 10.7507/1672-2531.20130162.
6. Qaseem A, Forland F, Macbeth F, Ollenschläger G, Phillips S, van der Wees P, *et al*. Guidelines international network: toward international standards for clinical practice guidelines. *Ann Intern Med* 2012;156:525-531. doi: 10.7326/0003-4819-156-7-201204030-00009.

How to cite this article: Liu YL, Cui HM, Che G, Ma Y, Xiao YJ, He JH, Wang S. Improving the quality of pediatric clinical practice guidelines in China: a long way to go. *Chin Med J* 2021;134:2962-2964. doi: 10.1097/CM9.0000000000001747