

Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](https://www.sciencedirect.com)

Annals of Medicine and Surgery

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/amsu

Editorial

STROCSS 2021 guidelines: What is new?



Adhering to good reporting standards enables readers to meaningfully assess research, making the research worthwhile [1]. Improvement in reporting quality has been noted among various types of studies, with the existence of reporting guidelines and compulsory implementation of these guidelines by journals [2–4].

Poor reporting quality has been noted among observational studies in surgery [5]. In order to improve the reporting quality of observational studies in surgery, Strengthening The Reporting Of Cohort Studies in Surgery (STROCSS) guidelines were composed in 2017 and updated in 2019; STROCSS guidelines have received tremendous acceptance within the surgical research community, having been cited over 1000 times since inception [6,7]. In order to maintain relevance and continue endorsing good reporting quality among surgical observational studies, we aimed to update STROCSS 2019 guidelines by forming a steering group who came up with proposals for improvement which were then put to an expert panel of researchers for scrutiny and consensus using the Delphi technique [8]. A high level of agreement was noted with the proposed changes to all the items, among the 42 Delphi group members [9,10]. This article aims to highlight the key updates to note in STROCSS 2021 guidelines.

Although STROCSS guidelines aimed to improve the reporting quality of all surgical observational studies, including cohort, cross-sectional and case-control studies, the title “Strengthening The Reporting Of Cohort Studies in Surgery” implied that they applied to cohort studies only. In order to highlight the relevance of STROCSS guidelines to other observational studies in surgery, such as cross-sectional and case-control studies, as well as cohort studies, the title has been modified to read “Strengthening The Reporting of Cohort, Cross-sectional and Case-control Studies in Surgery”. Additionally, items 1, 2b and 5a have been modified to highlight the relevance of STROCSS guidelines to all surgical observational studies (i.e. cohort, cross-sectional and case-control studies).

Item 3 has been modified to urge authors to provide reference to key literature within their introduction section, in addition to describing the background and scientific rationale for their study, to allow readers to better contextualise the research.

In the methods section, item 4a was modified to prompt authors to state if their research was retrospectively registered. Although prospective research registration may be the gold standard as per the Declaration of Helsinki, research conducted by Harriman and Patel showed that 67% of clinical trials, published in the BMC series over the course of 2013, that they studied were retrospectively registered; they highlighted the importance of avoiding non-publication of research involving humans and recommended authors to declare if their research has been retrospectively registered [11–13]. In keeping with this

outlook, we have modified item 4a to not only prompt authors to register their research but also declare if research registration has been done retrospectively.

Increasingly, patient and public involvement (PPI) in research is being noted and there is growing evidence on the benefits of PPI in research [14]. However, poor reporting of PPI has been noted within surgical research [15]. Hence, item 4d in the methods section was modified to improve reporting quality of PPI among surgical observational studies. Additionally, a new item 17c in the declarations section calls for transparent reporting of contributorship by acknowledging PPI in research and disclosing the extent of involvement of each contributor.

Items 6a and 6b in the methods section have been modified to provide examples of sources of participant recruitment and methods of recruitment to each patient group, respectively, in order to improve clarity and enable authors to easily distinguish between the two.

Further modifications have been made to item 6b such as recommending authors to declare any monetary incentivisation of patients for recruitment/retention and clarifying the nature of incentives provided as well as recommending authors to declare the nature of informed consent. Providing financial incentives to research participants can encourage research participation and retention; however, with concerns surrounding the ethics and the trustworthiness of outcomes where research participants have been financially incentivised, the former modification has been made to item 6b [16]. The latter modification to item 6b, regarding informed consent, has been made in line with the recommendations provided in the declaration of Helsinki [11].

In the results section, item 10a has been modified to prompt authors to provide a figure to illustrate the flow of participants while item 12 has been modified to encourage authors to display a table showing research findings and statistical analyses with significance. Inclusion of such figures and tables allows readers to better engage with the research paper [17].

In the discussion section, item 14 has been modified to urge authors to declare any deviations from the protocol with reasons; deviations from the protocol may have an impact on the trustworthiness of the data as well as potentially compromising the safety, rights and welfare of the research participants [18].

In addition to the key changes described in detail above, numerous other changes have been made to improve the clarity and readability of the guidelines. [Table 1](#) presents both STROCSS 2021 and STROCSS 2019 guidelines side by side for comparison.

Conflicts of interest

None declared - the authors have no financial, consultative,

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amsu.2021.103121>

Available online 27 November 2021

2049-0801/© 2021 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Ltd on behalf of IJS Publishing Group Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license

(<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Table 1
STROCSS 2021 and STROCSS 2019 guidelines side by side for comparison.

STROCSS Guideline	
Item no.	Item description
	STROCSS 2021
TITLE	
1	<p>Title</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The word cohort or cross-sectional or case-control is included* Temporal design of study is stated (e.g. retrospective or prospective) The focus of the research study is mentioned (e.g. population, setting, disease, exposure/intervention, outcome etc.) <p>*STROCSS 2021 guidelines apply to cohort studies as well as other observational studies (e.g. cross-sectional, case-control etc.)</p>
	<p>Title:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> The word cohort or cross-sectional or case-controlled is included The area of focus is described (e.g. disease, exposure/intervention, outcome) Key elements of study design are stated (e.g. retrospective or prospective)
ABSTRACT	
2a	<p>Introduction – briefly describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Background Scientific rationale for this study Aims and objectives
2b	<p>Methods - briefly describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type of study design (e.g. cohort, case-control, cross-sectional etc.) Other key elements of study design (e.g. retro-/prospective, single/multi-centred etc.) Patient populations and/or groups, including control group, if applicable Exposure/interventions (e.g. type, operators, recipients, timeframes etc.) Outcome measures – state primary and secondary outcome(s)
2c	<p>Results - briefly describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Summary data with qualitative descriptions and statistical relevance, where appropriate
2d	<p>Conclusion - briefly describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Key conclusions Implications for clinical practice Need for and direction of future research
INTRODUCTION	
3	<p>Introduction – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Relevant background and scientific rationale for study with reference to key literature Research question and hypotheses, where appropriate Aims and objectives
METHODS	
4a	<p>Registration</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> In accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki*, state the research registration number and where it was registered, with a hyperlink to the registry entry

Table 1 (continued)

STROCSS Guideline	
Item no.	Item description
	STROCSS 2021
	<p>(this can be obtained from ResearchRegistry.com, ClinicalTrials.gov, ISRCTN etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All retrospective studies should be registered before submission; it should be stated that the research was retrospectively registered <p>* "Every research study involving human subjects must be registered in a publicly accessible database before recruitment of the first subject"</p>
4b	<p>Ethical approval</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Reason(s) why ethical approval was needed Name of body giving ethical approval and approval number Where ethical approval wasn't necessary, reason(s) are provided
4c	<p>Protocol</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Give details of protocol (a priori or otherwise) including how to access it (e.g. web address, protocol registration number etc.) If published in a journal, cite and provide full reference
4d	<p>Patient and public involvement in research</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Declare any patient and public involvement in research State the stages of the research process where patients and the public were involved (e.g. patient recruitment, defining research outcomes, dissemination of results etc.) and describe the extent to which they were involved.
5a	<p>Study design</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> State type of study design used (e.g. cohort, cross-sectional, case-control etc.) Describe other key elements of study design (e.g. retro-/prospective, single/multi-centred etc.)
5b	<p>Setting and timeframe of research – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Geographical location Nature of institution (e.g. primary/secondary/tertiary care setting, district general hospital/teaching hospital, public/private, low-resource setting etc.) Dates (e.g. recruitment, exposure, follow-up, data collection etc.)
5c	<p>Study groups</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Total number of participants Number of groups
	<p>STROCSS 2019</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> All studies (including retrospective) should be registered before submission "Every research study involving human subjects must be registered in a publicly accessible database before recruitment of the first subject" (this can be obtained from: ResearchRegistry.com or ClinicalTrials.gov or ISRCTN) <p>Ethical Approval: the following areas are described in full</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Necessity for ethical approval Ethical approval, with relevant judgement reference from ethics committees Where ethics was unnecessary, reasons are provided <p>Protocol: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Protocol (a priori or otherwise) details, with access directions If published, journal mentioned with the reference provided <p>Patient Involvement in Research</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describe how, if at all, patients were involved in study design e.g. were they involved on the study steering committee, did they provide input on outcome selection, etc. <p>Study Design: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 'Cohort' study is mentioned Design (e.g. retro-/prospective, single/multi-centred) <p>Setting: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Geographical location Nature of institution (e.g. academic/community, public/private) Dates (recruitment, exposure, follow-up, data collection) <p>Cohort Groups: the following areas are described in full</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number of groups

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

STROCCS Guideline		
Item no.	Item description	
	STROCCS 2021	STROCCS 2019
5d	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Detail exposure/intervention allocated to each group Number of participants in each group <p>Subgroup analysis – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planned subgroup analyses Methods used to examine subgroups and their interactions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Division of intervention between groups <p>Subgroup Analysis: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Planned subgroup analyses Methods used to examine subgroups and their interactions
6a	<p>Participants – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Inclusion and exclusion criteria with clear definitions Sources of recruitment (e.g. physician referral, study website, social media, posters etc.) Length, frequency and methods of follow-up (e.g. mail, telephone etc.) 	<p>Participants: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Eligibility criteria Recruitment sources Length and methods of follow-up
6b	<p>Recruitment – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Methods of recruitment to each patient group (e.g. all at once, in batches, continuously till desired sample size is reached etc.) Any monetary incentivisation of patients for recruitment and retention should be declared; clarify the nature of any incentives provided Nature of informed consent (e.g. written, verbal etc.) Period of recruitment 	<p>Recruitment: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Methods of recruitment to each patient group Period of recruitment
6c	<p>Sample size – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Analysis to determine optimal sample size for study accounting for population/effect size Power calculations, where appropriate Margin of error calculation 	<p>Sample Size: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Margin of error calculation Analysis to determine study population Power calculations, where appropriate
METHODS - INTERVENTION AND CONSIDERATIONS		
7a	<p>Pre-intervention considerations – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Preoperative patient optimisation (e.g. weight loss, smoking cessation, glycaemic control etc.) Pre-intervention treatment (e.g. medication review, bowel preparation, correcting hypothermia/-volemia/-tension, mitigating bleeding risk, ICU care etc.) 	<p>Pre-intervention Considerations: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Patient optimisation (pre-surgical measures) Pre-intervention treatment (hypothermia/-volaemia/-tension; ICU care; bleeding problems; medications)
7b	<p>Intervention – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type of intervention and reasoning (e.g. pharmacological, surgical, physiotherapy, psychological etc.) Aim of intervention (preventative/therapeutic) 	<p>Intervention: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Type of intervention and reasoning (e.g. pharmacological, surgical, physiotherapy, psychological) Aim of intervention (preventative/therapeutic)

Table 1 (continued)

STROCCS Guideline		
Item no.	Item description	
	STROCCS 2021	STROCCS 2019
7c	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concurrent treatments (e.g. antibiotics, analgesia, anti-emetics, VTE prophylaxis etc.) Manufacturer and model details, where applicable <p>Intra-intervention considerations – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Details pertaining to administration of intervention (e.g. anaesthetic, positioning, location, preparation, equipment needed, devices, sutures, operative techniques, operative time etc.) Details of pharmacological therapies used, including formulation, dosages, routes, and durations Figures and other media are used to illustrate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Concurrent treatments (antibiotics, analgesia, anti-emetics, NBM, VTE prophylaxis) Manufacturer and model details where applicable <p>Intra-Intervention Considerations: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Administration of intervention (location, surgical details, anaesthetic, positioning, equipment needed, preparation, devices, sutures, operative time) Pharmacological therapies include formulation, dosages, routes and durations Figures and other media are used to illustrate
7d	<p>Operator details – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Requirement for additional training Learning curve for technique Relevant training, specialisation and operator's experience (e.g. average number of the relevant procedures performed annually) 	<p>Operator Details: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Training needed Learning curve for technique Specialisation and relevant training
7e	<p>Quality control – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures taken to reduce inter-operator variability Measures taken to ensure consistency in other aspects of intervention delivery Measures taken to ensure quality in intervention delivery 	<p>Quality Control: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Measures taken to reduce variation Measures taken to ensure quality and consistency in intervention delivery
7f	<p>Post-intervention considerations – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Post-operative instructions (e.g. avoid heavy lifting) and care Follow-up measures Future surveillance requirements (e.g. blood tests, imaging etc.) 	<p>Post-Intervention Considerations: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Post-operative instructions and care Follow-up measures Future surveillance requirements (e.g. imaging, blood tests)
8	<p>Outcomes – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary outcomes, including validation, where applicable Secondary outcomes, where appropriate Definition of outcomes If any validated outcome measurement tools are used, give full reference Follow-up period for outcome assessment, divided by group 	<p>Outcomes: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary outcomes, including validation, where applicable Definitions of outcomes Secondary outcomes, where appropriate Follow-up period for outcome assessment, divided by group
9	<p>Statistics – comprehensively describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statistical tests and statistical package(s)/software used 	<p>Statistics: the following areas are described comprehensively</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Statistical tests, packages/software used, and interpretation of significance

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

STROCCS Guideline		
Item no.	Item description	
	STROCCS 2021	STROCCS 2019
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confounders and their control, if known • Analysis approach (e.g. intention to treat/per protocol) • Any sub-group analyses • Level of statistical significance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Confounders and their control, if known • Analysis approach (e.g. intention to treat/per protocol) • Sub-group analysis, if any
RESULTS		
10a	Participants – comprehensively describe:	Participants: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flow of participants (recruitment, non-participation, cross-over and withdrawal, with reasons). Use figure to illustrate. • Population demographics (e.g. age, gender, relevant socioeconomic features, prognostic features etc.) • Any significant numerical differences should be highlighted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Flow of participants (recruitment, non-participation, cross-over and withdrawal, with reasons) • Population demographics (prognostic features, relevant socioeconomic features, and significant numerical differences)
10b	Participant comparison	Participant Comparison: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Include table comparing baseline characteristics of cohort groups • Give differences, with statistical relevance • Describe any group matching, with methods 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Table comparing demographics included • Differences, with statistical relevance • Any group matching, with methods
10c	Intervention – comprehensively describe:	Intervention: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Degree of novelty of intervention • Learning required for interventions • Any changes to interventions, with rationale and diagram, if appropriate 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes to interventions, with rationale and diagram, if appropriate • Learning required for interventions • Degree of novelty for intervention
11a	Outcomes – comprehensively describe:	Outcomes: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group • Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable • Any confounding factors and state which ones are adjusted 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Clinician-assessed and patient-reported outcomes for each group • Relevant photographs and imaging are desirable • Confounders to outcomes and which are adjusted
11b	Tolerance – comprehensively describe:	Tolerance: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment of tolerability of exposure/intervention • Cross-over with explanation • Loss to follow-up (fraction and percentage), with reasons 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Assessment of tolerance • Loss to follow up, with reasons (percentage and fraction) • Cross-over with explanation
11c	Complications – comprehensively describe:	Complications: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adverse events and classify according to Clavien-Dindo classification* • Timing of adverse events • Mitigation for adverse events (e.g. blood transfusion, wound care, revision surgery etc.) <p>*Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien P-A. Classification of Surgical Complications. A New Proposal</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adverse events described • Classified according to Clavien-Dindo classification* • Mitigation for adverse events (blood loss, wound care, revision surgery should be specified) <p>*Dindo D, Demartines N, Clavien P-A. Classification of Surgical Complications. A New Proposal with Evaluation in a Cohort of 6336</p>

Table 1 (continued)

STROCCS Guideline		
Item no.	Item description	
	STROCCS 2021	STROCCS 2019
12	with Evaluation in a Cohort of 6336 Patients and Results of a Survey. Ann Surg. 2004; 240(2): 205-213 Key results – comprehensively describe:	Patients and Results of a Survey. Ann Surg. 2004; 240(2): 205-213 Key Results: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key results with relevant raw data • Statistical analyses with significance • Include table showing research findings and statistical analyses with significance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key results, including relevant raw data • Statistical analyses with significance
DISCUSSION		
13	Discussion – comprehensively describe:	Discussion: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conclusions and rationale • Reference to relevant literature • Implications for clinical practice • Comparison to current gold standard of care • Relevant hypothesis generation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conclusions and rationale • Reference to relevant literature • Implications to clinical practice • Comparison to current gold standard of care • Relevant hypothesis generation
14	Strengths and limitations – comprehensively describe:	Strengths and Limitations: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths of the study • Weaknesses and limitations of the study and potential impact on results and their interpretation • Assessment and management of bias • Deviations from protocol, with reasons 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Strengths of the study • Limitations and potential impact on results • Assessment of bias and management
15	Relevance and implications – comprehensively describe:	Implications and Relevance: the following areas are described comprehensively
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevance of findings and potential implications for clinical practice • Need for and direction of future research, with optimal study designs mentioned 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Relevance of findings and potential implications to clinical practice are detailed • Future research that is needed is described, with study designs detailed
CONCLUSION		
16	Conclusions	Conclusions:
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summarise key conclusions • Outline key directions for future research 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Key conclusions are summarised • Key directions for future research are summarised
DECLARATIONS		
17a	Conflicts of interest	Conflicts of interest
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conflicts of interest, if any, are described 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conflicts of interest, if any, are described
17b	Funding	Funding
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sources of funding (e.g. grant details), if any, are clearly stated • Role of funder 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Sources of funding (e.g. grant details), if any, are clearly stated
17c	Contributorship	
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Acknowledge patient and public involvement in research; report the extent of involvement of each contributor 	

institutional, and other relationships that might lead to bias or conflict of interest.

Sources of funding

None.

Ethical approval

Not applicable.

Research registration Unique Identifying number (UIN)

1. Name of the registry: Not applicable
2. Unique Identifying number or registration ID: Not applicable
3. Hyperlink to your specific registration (must be publicly accessible and will be checked): Not applicable

Author contribution

RA: concept, drafting, revision and approval of final manuscript. GM: drafting, revision and approval of final manuscript.

Guarantor

Riaz Agha

References

- [1] E. von Elm, D. Altman, M. Egger, S. Pocock, P. Gøtzsche, J. Vandenbroucke, Strengthening the reporting of observational studies in epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies, *PLoS Med.* 4 (10) (2007) 296.
- [2] R. Agha, R. Farwana, M. Borrelli, T. Tickunas, T. Kusu-Orkar, M. Millip, R. Thavayogan, J. Garner, D. Orgill, Impact of the SCARE guideline on the reporting of surgical case reports: a before and after study, *Int. J. Surg.* 45 (2017) 144–148.
- [3] R. Agha, M. Borrelli, R. Farwana, T. Kusu-Orkar, M. Millip, R. Thavayogan, J. Garner, N. Darhouse, D. Orgill, Impact of the PROCESS guideline on the reporting of surgical case series: a before and after study, *Int. J. Surg.* 45 (2017) 92–97.
- [4] R. Agha, A. Fowler, C. Limb, K. Whitehurst, R. Coe, H. Sagoo, D. Jafree, C. Chandrakumar, B. Gundogan, Impact of the mandatory implementation of reporting guidelines on reporting quality in a surgical journal: a before and after study, *Int. J. Surg.* 30 (2016) 169–172.
- [5] R. Agha, S. Lee, K. Jeong, A. Fowler, D. Orgill, Reporting quality of observational studies in plastic surgery needs improvement, *Ann. Plast. Surg.* 76 (5) (2016) 585–589.
- [6] R. Agha, M. Borrelli, M. Vella-Baldacchino, R. Thavayogan, D. Orgill, Strocass Group, The STROCCS statement: strengthening the reporting of cohort studies in surgery, *Int. J. Surg.* 46 (2017) 198–202.
- [7] R. Agha, A. Abdall-Razak, E. Crossley, N. Dowlut, C. Iosifidis, G. Mathew, Strocass Group, STROCCS 2019 guideline: strengthening the reporting of cohort studies in surgery, *Int. J. Surg.* 72 (2019) 156–165.
- [8] J. Pill, The Delphi method: substance, context, a critique and an annotated bibliography, *Soc. Econ. Plann. Sci.* 5 (1) (1971) 57–71.
- [9] G. Mathew, R. Agha, Strocass Group, Strocass 2021: Strengthening the reporting of cohort, cross-sectional and case-control studies in surgery, *Int. J. Surg.* 96 (2021) 106165.
- [10] G. Mathew, R. Agha, Strocass Group, Strocass 2021: Strengthening the reporting of cohort, cross-sectional and case-control studies in surgery, *Ann. Med.* 72 (2021) 103026.
- [11] World Medical Association, World medical association declaration of Helsinki: ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects, *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* 310 (20) (2013) 2191.
- [12] S. Harriman, J. Patel, When are clinical trials registered? An analysis of prospective versus retrospective registration, *Trials* 17 (187) (2016).
- [13] S. Boughton, Retrospectively Registered Trials: the Editors' Dilemma', *Research in Progress Blog*, 2016. <https://blogs.biomedcentral.com/bmcblog/2016/04/15/retr-rospectively-registered-trials-editors-dilemma/>.
- [14] J. Jones, M. Cowe, S. Marks, T. McAllister, A. Mendoza, C. Ponniah, H. Wythe, E. Mathie, Reporting on patient and public involvement (PPI) in research publications: using the GRIPP2 checklists with lay co-researchers, *Res. Invol. Engag.* 7 (1) (2021).
- [15] E. Jones, B. Williams-Yesson, R. Hackett, S. Staniszewska, D. Evans, N. Francis, Quality of reporting on patient and public involvement within surgical research, *Ann. Surg.* 261 (2) (2015) 243–250.
- [16] J. Bentley, P. Thacker, The influence of risk and monetary payment on the research participation decision making process, *J. Med. Ethics* 30 (3) (2004) 293–298.
- [17] A. Tuncel, A. Atan, How to clearly articulate results and construct tables and figures in a scientific paper? *Turkish J. Urol.* 39 (Suppl 1) (2013) 16–19.
- [18] S. Jalgaonkar, S. Bhide, R. Tripathi, Y. Shetty, P. Marathe, J. Katkar, U. Thatte, An audit of protocol deviations submitted to an institutional ethics committee of a tertiary care hospital, *PLoS One* 11 (1) (2016), e0146334.

Ginimol Mathew*

York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, York, United Kingdom

Riaz Agha

Harley Clinic, London, United Kingdom

* Corresponding author. York and Scarborough Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, York Hospital, Wigginton Road, York, YO31 8HE, United Kingdom.

E-mail address: ginimol.mathew.13@ucl.ac.uk (G. Mathew).