

# *Lycium barbarum* **polysaccharides alleviate LPS-induced inflammatory responses through PPAR**γ**/MAPK/NF-**κ**B pathway in bovine mammary epithelial cells**

**Tianle Xu, [†](#page-0-0)[,‡](#page-0-1)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8479-3372) Run Liu, [†](#page-0-0) Xubin Lu, [†](#page-0-0) Xinyue Wu, [†](#page-0-0),[‡](#page-0-1) Petr Heneberg, [§](#page-0-2) Yongjiang Mao, [†](#page-0-0) Qianming Jiang, [||](#page-0-0)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9522-4856) Juan Loor, [||](#page-0-0)[,](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1586-4365) and Zhangping Yang[†](#page-0-0)[,‡,](#page-0-1)[1](#page-0-3)**

<span id="page-0-0"></span>† Joint International Research Laboratory of Agriculture and Agri-product Safety of Ministry of Education of China, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225009, PR China

<span id="page-0-1"></span>‡ College of Animal Science and Technology, Yangzhou University, Yangzhou 225009, PR China

<span id="page-0-2"></span>§ Third Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague, Czechia

<span id="page-0-3"></span>||Mammalian NutriPhysioGenomics, Department of Animal Sciences and Division of Nutritional Sciences, University of Illinois, Urbana 61801 <sup>1</sup>Corresponding author: <mark>yzp@yzu.edu.cn</mark>

#### **Abstract**

As the main component of the Gram-negative bacterial cell wall, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) is well documented as an inducer of inflammation in bovine mammary cells. *Lycium barbarum* (goji) polysaccharides (LBP) have been used in nonruminants as prebiotics to improve growth performance, immune ability, and antioxidant capacity. We aimed to investigate the underlying effects of LBPs on proinflammatory responses in LPS-stimulated primary bovine mammary epithelial cells (bMECs). Cells were isolated from mammary tissue of three lactating Holstein cows without clinical disease (30.26 ± 3.1 kg/d of milk yield; 175 ± 6 DIM). For the pre-experimental treatment, bMECs were precultured with serum-free medium for 12 h. Treatments were as follows: pretreatment with culture medium devoid of LPS or LBP for 30 h (CON); CON for 24 h followed by challenge with 2 μg/mL LPS for 6 h (LPS); pretreatment with 100 or 300 μg/mL LBP for 24 h followed by LPS challenge (2 μg/mL) for 6 h (LBP(100)+LPS; LBP(300)+LPS). To further determine if the effect of LBP on immuneregulation is peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) activation dependent, an inhibitor of PPARγ, GW9662, at a concentration of 1 μM was used. Cells treated with LBP at 100, 300, and 500 μg/mL had upregulated protein abundance of PPARγ, while PGC1α had a higher expression only at 300 μg/mL of LBP treatment. Compared with CON, cells pretreated with LBP at 100 and 300 μg/mL had greater protein abundance of SCD1 and SREBP1. 5-Ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) staining and cell wound healing assays showed that the negative effect of LPS alone on cell proliferation was reversed by pretreatment with LBP at both 100 and 300 μg/mL. Upregulation of gene and protein abundance of proinflammatory factors and cytokines (COX-2, NLRP3, TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6) induced by LPS stimulation were alleviated by LBP pretreatment at 300 μg/mL (more than 2-fold decrease). Compared with LPS challenge alone, phosphorylation of proteins involved in NF-κB (IκBα and p65) and MAPK (p38, JNK, and ERK) pathways was downregulated following LBP treatment. Additionally, inhibition of PPARγ by GW9662 weakened the protective effect of LBP on LPS-induced protein abundance of phosphorylated p65, COX-2, IL-1β, and TNF-α. These results indicated that the protective effect of LBP on LPS-induced bMECs inflammatory responses is PPARγ activation-dependent. As such, this knowledge might help design strategies for intervening against the detrimental effects of bovine mastitis.

**Interpretive summary:** Current research examined *Lycium barbarum* polysaccharides (LBP) for combating LPS-induced inflammatory responses in primary bovine mammary epithelial cells. We uncovered a preventive role of LBP in reducing detrimental effects induced by LPS including inhibition of NF-κB and MAPK along with peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) activation. The decrease in cell proliferation due to LPS was curtailed by pretreatment with LBP. Moreover, the effect of LBP on regulation of inflammatory responses in bovine mammary epithelial cell was PPARγ dependent. Collectively, data suggest that LBP reverses LPS-induced inflammatory response via MAPK/NF-κB signaling in a PPARγ-activation-dependent manner. Thus, the study provides new insights into therapeutic strategies for combating mastitis using LBP and highlighted the link between PPARγ and regulation of mammary cell inflammation.

**Key words:** *Lycium barbarum* polysaccharides, bovine mammary cells, PPARγ, inflammatory responses, NF-κB signaling pathway

**Abbreviations:** COX, cyclooxygenase; EdU, 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine; ERK, extracellular regulated protein kinases; GAPDH, glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; IL, interleukin; IκB, inhibitor of NF-κB; JNK, c-Jun N-terminal kinase; LBP, *Lycium barbarum* (goji) polysaccharides; MAPK, mitogen-activated protein kinase; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; PGC1α, peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ coactlvator-1α; PPAR, peroxisome proliferators-activated receptors; RPS9, ribosomal protein S9; RT-qPCR, real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction; TNF, tumor necrosis factor; UXT, ubiquitously expressed transcript

## **Introduction**

Infectious bovine mastitis is characterized by bacterial invasion of the mammary gland and threatens milk production, which results in economic losses ([De Vliegher et al., 2012](#page-10-0)). Primary bovine mammary epithelial cells (bMECs) are a useful resource for studies of mechanisms associated with bac-

terial infection of the mammary gland [\(Thomas et al., 2016](#page-11-0); [Chen et al., 2020b\)](#page-10-1). For example, invasion of Gram-negative bacteria such as *Escherichia coli* can be mimicked by addition of lipopolysaccharide (LPS) in vitro or in vivo [\(Giovannini](#page-10-2)  [et al., 2017](#page-10-2); [Carl-Fredrik et al., 2018\)](#page-10-3).

**Received:** September 23, 2021. **Accepted:** November 12, 2021

<sup>©</sup> The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the American Society of Animal Science. All rights reserved. For permissions, please e-mail: journals.permissions@oup.com.

Challenge of mammary cells with LPS stimulates the innate immunity response, including toll-like receptor 4, NFκB, and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling ([Takeda et al., 2003](#page-11-1); [Shizuo et al., 2006\)](#page-11-2). During an LPS challenge, NF-κB and MAPK signaling are triggered by the activation of myeloid differentiation factor 88 (MyD88) and c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK), respectively [\(Li et al., 2013](#page-10-4); [Fu et al., 2014;](#page-10-5) [Jiang et al., 2020](#page-10-6)). Challenge with LPS also increases abundance of TNF-α, IL-1β, and IL-6 in bovine mammary tissue [\(Wellnitz and Kerr, 2004](#page-11-3); [Wang et al., 2016b\)](#page-11-4), which has led to the suggestion that targeting NF-κB would be an effective therapeutic option against mastitis when aiming to suppress the proinflammatory cytokine response of mammary cells [\(Loor et al., 2011](#page-11-5)).

Recent studies have revealed that dietary supplementation with selenium or methionine hastens LPS-induced inflammatory responses through the inhibition of NF-κB translocation and MAPK signaling in bMEC [\(Wang et al., 2018;](#page-11-6) [Dai et al.,](#page-10-7)  [2020a\)](#page-10-7). Thymol and stevioside have also been shown to possess anti-inflammatory properties in LPS-stimulated mouse mammary epithelial cells through the regulation of NF-κB and MAPK signaling pathways [\(Liang et al., 2014](#page-10-8); [Wang](#page-11-7)  [et al., 2014\)](#page-11-7). A negative effect of bovine mammary inflammation due to mastitis on peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-γ (PPARγ) signaling and inhibition of lipogenesis was first reported more than 10 yr ago [\(Moyes et al., 2009\)](#page-11-8). More recent work has also underscored the relevance of PPAR $\gamma$  in the regulation of inflammation induced by  $H_2O_2$ through MAPK/NF-κB pathway in skeletal muscle cells (Kim [et al., 2017](#page-10-9)). Because the PPAR pathway in ruminants can be manipulated by nutrients ([Bionaz et al., 2013\)](#page-10-10), it is a logical target for interventions designed to counteract the negative effects of localized inflammation within mammary cells.

*Lycium barbarum* polysaccharides (LBPs) extracted from Chinese wolfberry (goji) are known as a prebiotic dietary supplement in China ([Liu et al., 2015\)](#page-11-9). Along with its multiple positive biological functions against cancer development, viral infections, aging, cardiovascular problems, and immune function in humans, the benefits of LBP also extend to livestock including broilers and piglets (Yu et al., 2005; [Cheng et al., 2015;](#page-10-11) [Chen](#page-10-12)  [et al., 2018](#page-10-12)[, 2020a](#page-10-13)). Dietary LBP supplementation at 2,000 mg/ kg improved growth performance, antioxidant capacity, and immune function in broilers ([Long et al., 2020\)](#page-11-10). In piglets, LBP led to downregulation of *E. coli* and Firmicutes numbers in the ileum and cecum and enhanced antioxidant defenses [\(Chen et al.,](#page-10-13)  [2020a](#page-10-13)). Although LBP has shown promise as an alternative to antibiotic additives [\(Hao et al., 2021](#page-10-14)), whether LBP could suppress or hasten the mammary cell inflammatory response when exposed to LPS remains unknown. Thus, the present study aimed to investigate the effect of LBP on LPS-stimulated bMEC on the MAPK/PPARγ/NF-κB signaling pathway.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Chemicals**

LBP with a purity of more than 80% was purchased from Solarbio (P7850, Solarbio Life Sciences, Beijing, China). Polysaccharide fractions were identified by high-performance anion-exchange chromatography as methods indicated in Supplementary Figure S1. The LPS used in all experiments was from *E. coli* O111:B4 lyophilized powder (L2630, Sigma, St. Louis, MO).

#### **Ethics**

All experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Experiment Committee of Yangzhou University (YZU202003-321) in accordance with the Regulations for the Administration of Affairs Concerning Experimental Animals published by the Ministry of Science and Technology, China in 2004. All experimental protocols were performed in accordance with approved guidelines and regulations.

#### Cell culture conditions

Culture of primary bMEC was performed as described previously [\(Chen et al., 2019;](#page-10-15) [Ma et al., 2019\)](#page-11-11). Briefly, mammary tissue obtained from three mid-lactating Holstein cows  $(30.26 \pm 3.1 \text{ kg/d of milk yield}, 2.67 \text{ parity}, \text{and } 175 \pm 6 \text{ DIM})$ without incidence of clinical disease was used for cell isolation and purification. Cells from each cow were pooled into a single sample. All experiments were performed with cells at the fourth to sixth passage. Cells  $(2 \times 10^5)$  were seeded in sixwell plates with overnight incubation in DMEM with 10% heat inactivated fetal bovine serum (DMEM/F-12, 31330095 Gibco, CA) and antibiotics (penicillin 100 IU/mL; streptomycin 100 μg/mL). All media supplements were purchased from Gibco (Thermo Fisher Scientific, CA). Cells were maintained at 37 °C in a humidified 5%  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  incubator.

#### Experimental design

The inflammation model was tested and optimized with re-spect to LPS concentration as described previously [\(Xu et al.,](#page-11-12) [2021b\)](#page-11-12). For the pre-experimental treatment, the bMECs were precultured with serum-fasting medium (DMEM/F-12) for 12 h. Treatments were as follows: pretreatment with culture media (DMEM/F-12 with 10% heat inactivated FBS) devoid of LPS or LBP for 30 h (CON), CON for 24 h followed by challenge with 2 μg/mL LPS for 6 h (LPS), pretreatment with 100 or 300 μg/mL LBP for 24 h followed by LPS challenge (2 μg/ mL) for 6 h (LBP(100)+LPS; LBP(300)+LPS). Additionally, to verify that the effect of LBP on immune-modulation is PPARγ activation dependent, the inhibitor of PPARγ, GW9662, at a concentration of 1 μM was applied.

#### Flow cytometry

The bMECs were seeded into six-well plates  $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ cells})$ well). Cells were maintained in medium with 10% (v/v) fetal bovine serum and the various treatments selected for this study. Cells were treated with LBP at concentrations of 0, 25, 100, 300, 500, and 1,000 μg/mL. The apoptotic effect of LBP on differentiating bMECs was evaluated using an Annexin V staining kit (#11858777001, Sigma-Aldrich). Cells were collected via trypsin, stained, and analyzed by flow cytometry using the FACSCalibur (BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ).

#### Wound healing assay

Cells were seeded in six-well plates  $(2 \times 10^5 \text{ cells/well})$  and wounded by scraping with a micropipette tip after treatments had been applied. The spreading of wound closure was observed after 12 h. Images were captured using a phase-contrast microscope (Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) either immediately or 12 h after wounding. Wound closure rate was determined using image J software (LOCI).

#### RNA extraction and quantitative real-time PCR analysis

Total RNA was isolated with RNA isolater (No.: R401-01, Vazyme, Nanjing, China) according to the manufacturer's instructions (https://v1.cecdn.yun300.cn/100001\_20052050 05%2FR401-%20%E8%AF%B4%E6%98%8E% E4%B9%A6.pdf). cDNA was synthesized using HiScript III RT SuperMix (R323-01, Vazyme) and then purified with a purification kit (Axygen, Tewksbury, MA). qRT-PCR was performed using HiScript II One Step qRT-PCR SYBR Green Kit (Vazyme) on an Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 5 Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) according to a previous study [\(Xu et al., 2018](#page-11-13)). Primers were designed with Premier 6.0 software (Premier Biosoft International, Palo Alto, CA) as reported in previous publications[\(Xu et al., 2015](#page-11-14)[, 2017](#page-11-15)). The genes *GAPDH*, *RPS9*, and *UXT* were used as internal controls, and their geometric mean used to normalize target gene expression data. The validity of the selected internal control genes as references for normalizing gene expression in mammary samples has been previously reported (Zhou et al., 2018). The 2−ΔΔCt method was used for relative quantification [\(Pfaffl, 2001](#page-11-16)).

#### EdU detection

Following the manufacturer's protocols, the BeyoClick 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) cell proliferation kit with Alexa Fluor 555 (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) was used to measure the ability of bMEC to proliferate under different treatments. Cells were incubated with 10 μM EdU solution for 2 h, subsequently fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 20 min at room temperature (RT), and permeabilized with 0.3% Triton X-100 in PBS for 15 min at RT. For nuclear staining, cells were incubated with Hoechst for 10 min at RT protected from light. Finally, cells were imaged with a fluorescence microscope DMi8 Microsystems GmbH (Leica, Wetzlar, Germany) at 200x magnification.

#### Western blotting

Western blot was performed using protocols described previously ([Chen et al., 2019](#page-10-15)). Briefly, equal amounts of protein isolated from bMEC by RIPA lysis buffer (Beyotime, Shanghai, China) were separated on 4% to 20% SDS polyacrylamide gels. Proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes (Millipore, Billerica, MA), which were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C. After washing six times, blots were incubated with horseradish peroxidasecoupled secondary antibodies. Differences in protein transfer efficiency between blots were normalized with GAPDH quantification. The gray values of the bands of each target protein were quantified with Bio-Rad image system analysis software (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA). Primary antibodies for p-P65, p65, p-IκBα, IκBα, p-p38 (Thr180/Tyr182), p38, p-JNK (Thr183/ Tyr185), JNK, p-ERK (Thr202/Tyr204), ERK, COX-2, IL-1β, TNF-α, NLRP3, PPARγ, PGC1α, and SCD1 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology (Danvers, MA; #3033, #8242, #2859, #4812, #4511, #8690, #4668, #9252, #4370, #9102, #12282, #12703, #6945, #15101, #2435, #2178, #2794), and were diluted 1:1,000 for incubation. Primary antibodies for SREBP1c and GAPDH were purchased from Abcam Corporation (ab28481 and ab8245) and were diluted 1:5,000 for incubation.

#### Immunofluorescence

Immunofluorescence was performed using protocols de-scribed previously [\(Xu et al., 2018\)](#page-11-13). Mammary cells  $(2 \times 10^4$ cells/well) were plated onto 12-well plates, fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde for 15 min, then washed three times with PBS and incubated with 0.3% or 0.5% Triton X-100 for 15 min at RT to increase the permeability. Cells were washed three times with PBS, incubated for 1 h with 5% BSA at 37 °C, and then incubated at 4 °C overnight with primary antibody (the same as that used in the Western blot analysis) in PBS containing 1% BSA and 0.3 Triton X-100 (T9284, Sigma-Aldrich). After PBS washes, cells were stained for 1 h with FITC labeled goat antirabbit FITC secondary antibody in a dark 37 °C room and then washed three times with PBS. DAPI (1 μg/mL; D8417, Sigma-Aldrich) was used for nuclear counterstaining for 5 min, and then cells were washed three times. Cells were imaged using a DMi8 Microsystems GmbH (Leica).

#### **Statistics**

Statistical analyses were performed in a similar fashion as described in a previous publication(Xu et al., 2021a). Briefly, abundance data were log-2 transformed prior to analysis to fit normal distribution of residuals. The resulting LS means were log-2 back-transformed for ease of interpretation and reported in figures. In the dose–response experiments, contrast analysis was performed to assess the linear, quadratic, and cubic effects of LBP on all response criteria. All data were analyzed using one-way ANOVA with Dunnett's post-test by SAS Statistics (v 9.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Data are expressed as the means ± standard error of the means (mean ± SEM). Differences with *P*-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Experiments were performed in triplicate, with three replicates in each experiment.

## **Results**

#### Cytotoxicity of LBP in bMEC

There was no significant effect of LBP dose on cell apoptosis. The doses of LBP used in the present study did not change apoptotic rate of bMEC during the experiments [\(Figure 1\)](#page-3-0).

#### LBP activates PPARγ in a dose-dependent manner

To indicate a trend across doses and a plateau, less frequently a nonmonotonic relationship or a steep change in slope, data were analyzed using linear, quadratic, and cubic contrasts to assess "linear", "quadratic", or "cubic" effects of dose in this study. Compared with cells treated with 0 μg/ mL of LBP, concentrations of 100, 300, and 500 μg/mL upregulated protein abundance of PPARγ (quadratic, *P* < 0.05; cubic, *P* < 0.05; [Figure 2A](#page-4-0) and [B\)](#page-4-0). However, among cells treated with LBP from 0 to 1,000 μg/mL, protein abundance of PGC1α in cells supplemented with LBP at 300 μg/mL was the greatest  $(P < 0.05)$ . Furthermore, compared with the control group, supplementation of LBP at 1,000 μg/mL downregulated PGC1α protein abundance (*P* < 0.05). Stimulation with LPS downregulated protein abundance of PPARγ as compared with control cells (*P* < 0.05). However, protein abundance of SCD1 and SREBP1 was not affected by LPS challenge alone  $(P > 0.05)$ . In contrast, supplementation with LBP at 100 and 300 μg/mL reversed the downregulation of PPARγ induced by LPS. It is noteworthy



## LBP concentration (µg/mL)

<span id="page-3-0"></span>**Figure 1.** Flow cytometry analysis of bMEC treated with doses ranging from 0 to 1,000 μg/mL LBP. Cells were treated with LBP for 24 h at doses of 0, 25, 100, 300, 500, and 1,000 μg/mL. (A) *X*-axis indicates the absorbance of FITC-A; *Y*-axis indicates the absorbance of fluorescein isothiocyanate− Annexin V. (B) Apoptosis percentages in cultures exposed to doses ranging from 0 to 10 mM of metformin. Results are expressed as means ± SEM. \**P* < 0.05 vs. the NC group. These data are representative of three independent experiments.

that, compared with the LPS group, SCD1 and SREBP1 protein abundance were also upregulated in cells stimulated with LPS and supplemented with LBP at 100 and 300 μg/mL (*P* < 0.05) [\(Figure 2C](#page-4-0) and [D\)](#page-4-0).

#### Effect of LBP on proliferation and wound healing of LPS-challenged bMEC

Measurements of proliferation and wound healing of bMECs were conducted to assess the cells activity in LPS stimulation and pretreatment of LBP.



**Figure 2.** Activation of PPARγ in cells supplemented with LBP in a dose-dependent way. Results are expressed as means ± SEM. (A, B) Immunoblotting and intensity from the respective blots. Cells were treated with LBP for 24 h at concentrations of 0, 25, 100, 300, 500, and 1,000 μg/mL. \**P* < 0.05 vs. the cell without treatment with LBP at 0 μg/mL. (C, D) Immunoblotting and intensity from the respective blots. Cells were pretreated with

or without LBP at doses of 100 or 300 μg/mL for 24 h, followed by the induction of 2 μg/mL LPS for 6 h. Letters in superscript indicate that the difference between groups was significant (*P* < 0.05). Protein abundance was normalized by the respective abundance of GAPDH.

Compared with the control, EdU staining of LPSstimulated cells decreased markedly [\(Figure 3\)](#page-5-0). However, supplementation with LBP at 100 and 300 μg/mL blocked the inhibitory effect on cell proliferation in LPSprimed cells. As shown in results of the wound healing experiment, compared with the control group, LPS had an inhibitory effect on cell migration with respect to the enlarged wound gap after 12 h. In contrast, supplementation of LBP closed the wound at both 100 and 300 μg/mL.

## LBP suppresses LPS-induced pro-inflammatory gene and protein abundance

Upregulation of genes related to inflammation (*TNFA*, *IL6*, and *IL1B*) was detected after challenge with LPS ([Figure 4](#page-6-0)). Compared with LPS alone, supplementation with LBP at

<span id="page-4-0"></span>100 and 300 μg/mL downregulated *IL6* and *IL1B* mRNAs  $(P < 0.05)$ . In addition, compared with LPS alone, LBP at 300 μg/mL downregulated abundance of *TNFA* (*P* < 0.05), whereas 100 μg/mL of LBP did not change *TNFA* abundance (*P* > 0.05). LPS also upregulated *COX-2* mRNA abundance, while pretreatment with LBP reversed this effect  $(P < 0.05)$ . Similarly, pretreatment with LBP inhibited the LPS upregulation of *MYD88* mRNA abundance especially at 300 μg/mL (*P* < 0.05).

There was a consistent upregulation of pro-inflammatory protein abundance in response to LPS stimulation, whereas pretreatment with LBP following LPS challenge downregulated protein abundance of TNF-α and IL-1β compared with LPS alone (*P* < 0.05). Furthermore, LBP supplementation at concentrations of 100 and 300 μg/mL reversed upregulation of COX-2 and NLRP3 protein abundance induced by LPS stimulation.



<span id="page-5-0"></span>Figure 3. Proliferation of cells pretreated with LBP followed by LPS stimulation using 5-ethynyl-2′-deoxyuridine (EdU) and wound healing assay. (A) EdU assay for determination of cell proliferation. Cells were pretreated with or without LBP at doses of 100 or 300 μg/mL for 24 h, followed by the induction of 2 μg/mL LPS for 6 h. (B) Wound healing assay was performed for the migration ability of cells pretreated with LBP at dosses of 100 or 300 μg/mL for 24 h, followed by the induction of 2 μg/mL LPS for 6 h. Results are expressed as means ± SEM. Letters in superscript indicate that the difference between groups was significant (*P* < 0.05).

## LBP inhibits the LPS-induced activation of the MAPK pathway

Challenge with LPS activated the MAPK pathway in bMEC, which was manifested in the increased ratio of phosphorylated p38 (Thr180/Tyr182) to total p38, phosphorylated JNK (Thr183/Tyr185) to total JNK and phosphorylated ERK

(Thr202/Tyr204) to total ERK (*P* < 0.05; [Figure 5](#page-7-0)). Although LBP at a concentration of 100 μg/mL had no effects, LBP at 300 μg/mL downregulated the ratio of phosphorylated p38 to total p38, phosphorylated JNK to total JNK and phosphorylated ERK to total ERK compared to the LPS group (*P* < 0.05).



<span id="page-6-0"></span>**Figure 4.** LBP reversed the genes and proteins expression of proinflammatory factors induced by LPS challenge. (A) Abundance of genes associated with pro-inflammation, normalized by the geometric mean of the internal control genes (GAPDH, UXT, and RPS9). Abundance of genes in CON group was set as 1.0. (B, C) Protein levels of proinflammatory factors in each group of bMEC. Results are expressed as means ± SEM. Letters in superscript indicate that the difference between groups was significant (*P* < 0.05).

## Effect of LBP on LPS-induced activation of the NF-κB pathway

Compared with the control, protein abundance of phosphorylated IκBα and NF-κB subunit p65 was upregulated by LPS challenge ( $P < 0.05$ ; [Figure 6](#page-8-0)). However, compared with the LPS group, a decrease of phosphorylated IκBα and p65 was observed in cells pretreated with LBP at 100 and 300 μg/mL  $(P < 0.05)$ . In addition, there was translocation of p65 into the nucleus in cells treated with LPS [\(Figure 6A](#page-8-0)). However, pretreatment with LBP inhibited this LPS-induced NF-κB nuclear translocation.

#### PPARγ activation is involved in the LBP-mediated anti-inflammatory response

Challenge with LPS led to lower staining of bMEC when compared with the control group [\(Figure 7A](#page-9-0)). A strong staining of PPARγ was observed in cells pretreated with LBP at 300 μg/mL following LPS stimulation. However, the antagonist of PPARγ GW 9664 inhibited staining of the cells pretreated with LBP at 300 μg/mL.

As indicated by upregulation ( $P < 0.05$ ) of TNF- $\alpha$ , IL-1 $\beta$ , and COX-2 in bMEC pretreated with LBP and the PPARγ inhibitor following LPS challenge, the supplementation with LBP failed to reduce the pro-inflammatory response when PPARγ was blocked. In addition to the interdiction of sup-



**Figure 5.** The suppressive effect of LBP on the LPS-induced activation of MAPK signaling pathway. Immunoblotting and intensity from the respective blots represent for the phosphorylated p38, phosphorylated JNK, and phosphorylated ERK. Cells were pretreated with or without LBP at doses of 100 or 300 μg/mL for 24 h, followed by the induction of 2 μg/mL LPS for 6 h. Results are expressed as means ± SEM. Letters in superscript indicate that the difference between groups was significant (*P* < 0.05).

pressive effect of LBP on the expression of proinflammatory factors, the phosphorylation of p65 was also not decreased due to pretreatment with the PPARγ inhibitor ([Figure 7B](#page-9-0) and [C](#page-9-0)).

## **Discussion**

Alternatives to antibiotics have been proposed as one of the mosteffective ways to reduce drug resistance and counteract detrimental effects of inflammation during bacterial infection[\(Liu et al.,](#page-11-18)  [2020](#page-11-18)). Work with many cell types has underscored that PPARγ signaling serves an important anti-inflammatory function (Are [et al., 2008](#page-10-16); Zenhom et al., 2011; [Kundu et al., 2014;](#page-10-17) [Hasan](#page-10-18)  [et al., 2019\)](#page-10-18). However, the mechanisms driving the protective effects of LBP on the pro-inflammatory responses in bMEC remain unknown. The present study indicated that PPARγ is involved in the anti-inflammatory function of LBP through the inhibition of the MAPK/NF-κB pathway in bMEC.

Regulatory effects of PPARs have been reported at both cellular and whole body levels and especially in the context of lipid metabolism ([Lamichane et al., 2018;](#page-10-19) [Hong et al., 2019\)](#page-10-20). The fact that protein abundance of PPARγ and PGC1α was upregulated in response to LBP at 100 and 300 μg/mL suggested that this compound might be able to trigger biological effects in vivo. PPARγ targets the transcription of lipogenic genes including *SCD1* and *SREBP1c* in bMEC ([Kadegowda](#page-10-21)  [et al., 2009\)](#page-10-21). Thus, the upregulation of SCD1 and SREBP1

<span id="page-7-0"></span>protein abundance in this study was consistent with activation of PPARγ driven by LBP supplementation, and also indicated this compound can activate fatty acid synthesis in bovine mammary cells. In addition to these metabolic effects, results from EdU assay and scratch test both appeared to indicate that LBP might have a positive effect on mammary cell proliferation as reported in nonruminant cells (Zhang et al., 2019). Clearly, these metabolic and cellular effects associated with the supply of LBP merit further investigation in vivo.

The anti-inflammatory effect of LBP has been reported previously in rodent models([Xiao et al., 2012](#page-11-19)). Cytokines such as TNF-α, IL-6, and IL-1β are released during stimulation with endotoxins and mastitis pathogens [\(Nakajima et al., 1997;](#page-11-20) [Blum et al., 2017\)](#page-10-22). Thus, the downregulation of mRNA and protein abundance of *TNFA*, *IL6*, and *IL1B* in response to LBP after LPS stimulation provides evidence of an anti-inflammatory effect of LBP in bovine cells. In monocytes and macrophages, the enzyme COX-2 responds to inflammation through the synthesis of prostaglandins [\(Hinson et al., 1996\)](#page-10-23). The fact that LBP attenuated the LPS-induced upregulation of COX-2 in bMEC as well as the inflammasome NLRP3 is further evidence of its anti-inflammatory effect. Clearly, LBP can help dampen inflammatory responses in bovine cells, but the underlying mechanisms for its effects could involve multiple molecules and encompass different forms of regulation.

From a mechanism standpoint, our data suggested that MAPK and NF-κB signaling pathways are closely involved in the LBP-mediated inhibition of inflammatory responses.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Figure 6.** The inhibitory effect of LBP pretreatment on the NF-κB signaling pathway. (A) Immunofluorescence for detecting p65 activity. After fixation and permealization steps, cells were stained with antibody against subunit p65 of NF-κB (Cy3-labeled secondary antibody) and counterstained with DAPI (blue) for nuclear staining. (B, C) Immunoblotting and intensity from the respective blots represent for the phosphorylated p65 and phosphorylated IκBα. Cells were pretreated with or without LBP at doses of 100 or 300 μg/mL for 24 h, followed by the induction of 2 μg/mL LPS for 6 h. Results are expressed as means ± SEM. Letters in superscript indicate that the difference between groups was significant (*P* < 0.05).

The suppression of phosphorylated subtypes (p38, JNK, and ERK) of MAPK in response to LBP suggested an important immune-modulatory effect. Although the interaction of activated MAPKs and transcription factors such as NF-κB are unknown in bovine mammary epithelial cells, available lines of evidence indicate that the LPS-induced upregulation of cytokines is under control of both signaling pathways[\(Kang](#page-10-24) [et al., 2004;](#page-10-24) [Wang et al., 2016a](#page-11-21); [Dai et al., 2020b](#page-10-25)). The NFκB complex of transcription factors acts as a master switch in regulating a number of proinflammatory genes, and its activation relies on disengaging from IκB and translocating

from the cytoplasm to the nucleus([Hayden and Ghosh, 2011](#page-10-26)). Thus, the fact that LBP inhibited the degradation of  $I\kappa B\alpha$  and phosphorylation of NF-κB subunit p65 induced by LPS along with the reduction in translocation of NF-κB into the nucleus suggested that this compound effectively suppressed the LPS stimulated proinflammatory responses.

In addition to the role in regulating lipid metabolism, accumulating evidence indicates that PPARγ is also involved in the modulation of *E. coli-* or LPS-induced inflammatory responses in bMEC ([He et al., 2017;](#page-10-27) [Ma et al., 2019](#page-11-11)). Those data led us to examine the relevance of this nuclear recep-



<span id="page-9-0"></span>**Figure 7.** PPARy is required for the LBP-mediated inhibition of proinflammatory proteins expression. (A) Immunofluorescence for detecting PPARy activity. After fixation and permealization steps, cells were stained with antibody against PPARγ (Cy3-labeled secondary antibody) and counterstained with DAPI (blue) for nuclear staining. GW9662 was represented for the inhibitory effect of PPARγ activity. (Β, C) Immunoblotting and intensity from the respective blots. Cells were pretreated with or without LBP at dose of 300 μg/mL for 24 h, followed by the induction of 2 μg/mL LPS for 6 h. GW9662 was represented for the inhibitory effect of PPARγ activity. Results are expressed as means ± SEM. Letters in superscript indicate that the difference between groups was significant (*P* < 0.05).

tor on the anti-inflammatory effects of LBP. The fact that the PPARγ antagonist GW9662 blocked the positive effects of LBP on inflammation and PPARγ protein abundance during LPS challenge suggested that this compound is able to trigger PPAR signaling. This idea is also supported by the reversal of the PPARγ-mediated suppression of COX-2, IL-1β, and TNF- $\alpha$  abundance when cells were incubated with GW9662. Although potential interactions between PPARγ with regulators of the NF-κB complex were not determined in the current study, the direct impact of PPARγ on NF-κB has been linked with its enzymatic properties in an epigenetic fashion (Chen et al., 2003; Hou et al., 2012). Hence, the mechanisms whereby PPARγ elicits epigenetic regulation of immune molecules in bMEC remains to be determined. In the current study, we clarified that upregulation of PPARγ led to inactivation of NF-κB, while the contribution of epigenetic regulation needs to be further studied in bMEC.

In conclusion, LPS-induced inflammatory reactions in bovine mammary epithelial cells are closely regulated by immune molecules under the control of NF-κB and MAPK complexes. The LBP molecule can activate PPARγ and inhibit core elements of the inflammatory reaction under the control of NFκB and MAPK complexes. These findings allow for the development of therapeutic approaches for the treatment of bovine mastitis using LBP.

#### **Supplementary Data**

Supplementary data are available at *Journal of Animal Science* online.

#### **Conflict of Interest Statement**

The authors declare no real or perceived conflicts of interest.

#### **Funding**

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant nos. 32102731, 31872324).

## **Authors' Contributions**

X.T., L.J., and M.Y. analyzed and interpreted the data. L.R., L.X., and W.X. performed the experiment, and X.T., H.P., and Y.Z. were major contributor in writing the manuscript. Y.Z. supports to the financial cost throughout the experiment and generate the ideas. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## **Literature Cited**

- <span id="page-10-16"></span>Are, A., L. Aronsson, S. Wang, G. Greicius, Y. K. Lee, J. A. Gustafsson, S. Pettersson, and V. Arulampalam. 2008. *Enterococcus faecalis* from newborn babies regulate endogenous PPARgamma activity and IL-10 levels in colonic epithelial cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 105:1943–1948. doi:10.1073/pnas.0711734105.
- <span id="page-10-10"></span>Bionaz, M., S. Chen, M. J. Khan, and J. J. Loor. 2013. Functional role of PPARs in ruminants: potential targets for fine-tuning metabolism during growth and lactation. *PPAR Res*. 2013:684159. doi:10.1155/2013/684159.
- <span id="page-10-22"></span>Blum, S. E., E. D. Heller, S. Jacoby, O. Krifucks, and G. Leitner. 2017. Comparison of the immune responses associated with experimental bovine mastitis caused by different strains of Escherichia coli. *J. Dairy Res*. 84:190–197. doi:10.1017/S0022029917000206.
- <span id="page-10-3"></span>Carl-Fredrik, J., D. Josef, G. Ann-Marie, W. Ida, A. A. Moazzami, s. Karin, and P. Gunnar. 2018. The effect of lipopolysaccharide-induced experimental bovine mastitis on clinical parameters, inflammatory markers, and the metabolome: a kinetic approach. *Front. Immunol*. 9:1487. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.01487
- <span id="page-10-15"></span>Chen, Z., S. Chu, X. Wang, Y. Sun, T. Xu, Y. Mao, J. J. Loor, and Z. Yang. 2019. MiR-16a regulates milk fat metabolism by targeting large tumor suppressor kinase 1 (LATS1) in bovine mammary epithelial cells. *J. Agric. Food Chem*. 67:11167–11178. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.9b04883.
- <span id="page-10-12"></span>Chen, L., W. Li, D. Qi, and D. Wang. 2018. *Lycium barbarum* polysaccharide protects against LPS-induced ARDS by inhibiting apoptosis, oxidative stress, and inflammation in pulmonary endothelial cells. *Free Radic. Res*. 52:480–490. doi:10.1080/10715762.2018.1447105.
- <span id="page-10-13"></span>Chen, J., L. Long, Q. Jiang, B. Kang, Y. Li, and J. Yin. 2020a. Effects of dietary supplementation of *Lycium barbarum* polysaccharides on growth performance, immune status, antioxidant capacity and selected microbial populations of weaned piglets. *J. Anim. Physiol. Anim. Nutr. (Berl.)*. 104:1106–1115. doi:10.1111/jpn.13247.
- <span id="page-10-28"></span>Chen, F., M. Wang, J. P. O'Connor, M. He, T. Tripathi, and L. E. Harrison. 2003. Phosphorylation of PPARgamma via active ERK1/2 leads to its physical association with p65 and inhibition of NF-kappabeta. *J. Cell. Biochem*. 90:732–744. doi:10.1002/jcb.10668.
- <span id="page-10-1"></span>Chen, Z., Y. Zhang, J. Zhou, L. Lu, X. Wang, Y. Liang, J. J. Loor, D. Gou, H. Xu, and Z. Yang. 2020b. Tea tree oil prevents mastitis-associated inflammation in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated bovine mammary epithelial cells. *Front. Vet. Sci*. 7:496. doi:10.3389/fvets.2020.00496.
- <span id="page-10-11"></span>Cheng, J., Z. W. Zhou, H. P. Sheng, L. J. He, X. W. Fan, Z. X. He, T. Sun, X. Zhang, R. J. Zhao, L. Gu, *et al.* 2015. An evidence-based update on the pharmacological activities and possible molecular targets of *Lycium barbarum* polysaccharides. *Drug Des. Dev. Ther*. 9:33–78. doi:10.2147/DDDT.S72892.
- <span id="page-10-7"></span>Dai, H., D. N. Coleman, L. Hu, I. Martinez-Cortés, M. Wang, C. Parys, X. Shen, and J. J. Loor. 2020a. Methionine and arginine supplementation alter inflammatory and oxidative stress responses during lipopolysaccharide challenge in bovine mammary epithelial cells in vitro. *J. Dairy Sci*. 103:676–689. doi:10.3168/jds.2019-16631.
- <span id="page-10-25"></span>Dai, H., G. Wei, Y. Wang, N. Ma, G. Chang, and X. Shen. 2020b. Sodium butyrate promotes lipopolysaccharide-induced innate immune responses by enhancing mitogen-activated protein kinase activation and histone acetylation in bovine mammary epithelial cells. *J. Dairy Sci*. 103:11636–11652. doi:10.3168/jds.2020- 18198.
- <span id="page-10-0"></span>De Vliegher, S., L. K. Fox, S. Piepers, S. McDougall, and H. W. Barkema. 2012. Invited review: Mastitis in dairy heifers: nature of the disease, potential impact, prevention, and control. *J. Dairy Sci*. 95: 1025–1040. doi:10.3168/jds.2010-4074.
- <span id="page-10-5"></span>Fu, Y., R. Gao, Y. Cao, M. Guo, Z. Wei, E. Zhou, Y. Li, M. Yao, Z. Yang, and N. Zhang. 2014. Curcumin attenuates inflammatory responses by suppressing TLR4-mediated NF-κB signaling pathway in lipopolysaccharide-induced mastitis

in mice. *Int. Immunopharmacol*. 20:54–58. doi:10.1016/j. intimp.2014.01.024.

- <span id="page-10-2"></span>Giovannini, A. E. J., B. H. P. van den Borne, S. K. Wall, O. Wellnitz, R. M. Bruckmaier, and C. Spadavecchia. 2017. Experimentally induced subclinical mastitis: are lipopolysaccharide and lipoteichoic acid eliciting similar pain responses? *Acta Vet. Scand*. 59:40. doi:10.1186/s13028-017-0306-z.
- <span id="page-10-14"></span>Hao, Z., Z. Li, J. Huo, J. Li, F. Liu, and P. Yin. 2021. Effects of Chinese wolfberry and Astragalus extract on the antioxidant capacity of Tibetan pig liver. *PLoS One* 16:e0245749. doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0245749.
- <span id="page-10-18"></span>Hasan, A. U., A. Rahman, and H. Kobori. 2019. Interactions between host PPARs and gut microbiota in health and disease. *Int. J. Mol. Sci*. 20:387. doi: 10.3390/ijms20020387
- <span id="page-10-26"></span>Hayden, M. S., and S. Ghosh. 2011. NF-κB in immunobiology. *Cell Res*. 21:223–244. doi:10.1038/cr.2011.13.
- <span id="page-10-27"></span>He, X., W. Liu, M. Shi, Z. Yang, X. Zhang, and P. Gong. 2017. Docosahexaenoic acid attenuates LPS-stimulated inflammatory response by regulating the PPARγ/NF-κB pathways in primary bovine mammary epithelial cells. *Res. Vet. Sci*. 112:7–12. doi:10.1016/j. rvsc.2016.12.011.
- <span id="page-10-23"></span>Hinson, R. M., J. A. Williams, and E. Shacter. 1996. Elevated interleukin 6 is induced by prostaglandin E2 in a murine model of inflammation: possible role of cyclooxygenase-2. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*. 93:4885–4890. doi:10.1073/pnas.93.10.4885.
- <span id="page-10-20"></span>Hong, F., S. Pan, Y. Guo, P. Xu, and Y. Zhai. 2019. PPARs as nuclear receptors for nutrient and energy metabolism. *Molecules* 24:2545. doi: 10.3390/molecules24142545
- <span id="page-10-29"></span>Hou, Y., F. Moreau, and K. Chadee. 2012. PPARγ is an E3 ligase that induces the degradation of NFκB/p65. *Nat. Commun*. 3:1300. doi:10.1038/ncomms2270.
- <span id="page-10-6"></span>Jiang, A., Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, D. Wu, Z. Liu, S. Li, X. Liu, Z. Han, C. Wang, J. Wang, *et al.* 2020. Morin alleviates LPS-induced mastitis by inhibiting the PI3K/AKT, MAPK, NF-κB and NLRP3 signaling pathway and protecting the integrity of blood-milk barrier. *Int. Immunopharmacol*. 78:105972. doi:10.1016/j. intimp.2019.105972.
- <span id="page-10-21"></span>Kadegowda, A. K., M. Bionaz, L. S. Piperova, R. A. Erdman, and J. J. Loor. 2009. Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor-gamma activation and long-chain fatty acids alter lipogenic gene networks in bovine mammary epithelial cells to various extents. *J. Dairy Sci*. 92:4276–4289. doi:10.3168/jds.2008-1932.
- <span id="page-10-24"></span>Kang, J. S., Y. D. Yoon, K. H. Lee, S. K. Park, and H. M. Kim. 2004. Costunolide inhibits interleukin-1beta expression by downregulation of AP-1 and MAPK activity in LPS-stimulated RAW 264.7 cells. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun*. 313:171–177. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2003.11.109.
- <span id="page-10-9"></span>Kim, J. S., Y. H. Lee, Y. U. Chang, and H. K. Yi. 2017. PPARγ regulates inflammatory reaction by inhibiting the MAPK/NF-κB pathway in C2C12 skeletal muscle cells. *J. Physiol. Biochem*. 73:49–57. doi:10.1007/s13105-016-0523-3.
- <span id="page-10-17"></span>Kundu, P., T. W. Ling, A. Korecka, Y. Li, R. D'Arienzo, R. M. Bunte, T. Berger, V. Arulampalam, P. Chambon, T. W. Mak, *et al.* 2014. Absence of intestinal PPARγ aggravates acute infectious colitis in mice through a lipocalin-2-dependent pathway. *PLoS Pathog*. 10:e1003887. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003887.
- <span id="page-10-19"></span>Lamichane, S., B. Dahal Lamichane, and S. M. Kwon. 2018. Pivotal roles of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) and their signal cascade for cellular and whole-body energy homeostasis. *Int. J. Mol. Sci*. 19:949. doi: 10.3390/ijms19040949
- <span id="page-10-4"></span>Li, D., Y. Fu, W. Zhang, G. Su, B. Liu, M. Guo, F. Li, D. Liang, Z. Liu, X. Zhang, *et al.* 2013. Salidroside attenuates inflammatory responses by suppressing nuclear factor-κB and mitogen activated protein kinases activation in lipopolysaccharide-induced mastitis in mice. *Inflamm. Res*. 62:9–15. doi:10.1007/s00011-012-0545-4.
- <span id="page-10-8"></span>Liang, D., F. Li, Y. Fu, Y. Cao, X. Song, T. Wang, W. Wang, M. Guo, E. Zhou, D. Li, *et al.* 2014. Thymol inhibits LPS-stimulated inflammatory response via down-regulation of NF-κB and MAPK

signaling pathways in mouse mammary epithelial cells. *Inflammation* 37:214–222. doi:10.1007/s10753-013-9732-x.

- <span id="page-11-18"></span>Liu, Y., Y. Jia, K. Yang, R. Li, X. Xiao, K. Zhu, and Z. Wang. 2020. Metformin restores tetracyclines susceptibility against multidrug resistant bacteria. *Adv. Sci. (Weinh)*. 7:1902227. doi:10.1002/ advs.201902227.
- <span id="page-11-9"></span>Liu, W., J. Xu, R. Zhu, Y. Zhu, Y. Zhao, P. Chen, C. Pan, W. Yao, and X. Gao. 2015. Fingerprinting profile of polysaccharides from *Lycium barbarum* using multiplex approaches and chemometrics. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol*. 78:230–237. doi:10.1016/j. ijbiomac.2015.03.062.
- <span id="page-11-10"></span>Long, L. N., B. J. Kang, Q. Jiang, and J. S. Chen. 2020. Effects of dietary *Lycium barbarum* polysaccharides on growth performance, digestive enzyme activities, antioxidant status, and immunity of broiler chickens. *Poult. Sci*. 99:744–751. doi:10.1016/j.psj.2019.10.043.
- <span id="page-11-5"></span>Loor, J. J., K. M. Moyes, and M. Bionaz. 2011. Functional adaptations of the transcriptome to mastitis-causing pathogens: the mammary gland and beyond. *J. Mammary Gland Biol. Neoplasia* 16:305– 322. doi:10.1007/s10911-011-9232-2.
- <span id="page-11-11"></span>Ma, N., G. Chang, J. Huang, Y. Wang, Q. Gao, X. Cheng, J. Liu, and X. Shen. 2019. cis-9, trans-11-Conjugated linoleic acid exerts an anti-inflammatory effect in bovine mammary epithelial cells after *Escherichia coli* stimulation through NF-κB signaling pathway. *J. Agric. Food Chem*. 67:193–200. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.8b05500.
- <span id="page-11-8"></span>Moyes, K. M., J. K. Drackley, D. E. Morin, M. Bionaz, S. L. Rodriguez-Zas, R. E. Everts, H. A. Lewin, and J. J. Loor. 2009. Gene network and pathway analysis of bovine mammary tissue challenged with Streptococcus uberis reveals induction of cell proliferation and inhibition of PPARgamma signaling as potential mechanism for the negative relationships between immune response and lipid metabolism. *BMC Genomics* 10:542. doi:10.1186/1471-2164-10-542.
- <span id="page-11-20"></span>Nakajima, Y., O. Mikami, M. Yoshioka, Y. Motoi, T. Ito, Y. Ishikawa, M. Fuse, K. Nakano, and K. Yasukawa. 1997. Elevated levels of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-alpha) and interleukin-6 (IL-6) activities in the sera and milk of cows with naturally occurring coliform mastitis. *Res. Vet. Sci*. 62:297–298. doi:10.1016/s0034- 5288(97)90209-5.
- <span id="page-11-16"></span>Pfaffl, M. W. 2001. A new mathematical model for relative quantification in real-time RT-PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 29:e45. doi:10.1093/ nar/29.9.e45.
- <span id="page-11-2"></span>Shizuo, A., U. Satoshi, and T. Osamu. 2006. Pathogen recognition and innate immunity. *Cell* 124:783–801. doi: 10.1016/j. cell.2006.02.015
- <span id="page-11-1"></span>Takeda, K., T. Kaisho, and S. Akira. 2003. Toll-like receptors. *Annu. Rev. Immunol*. 21:335–376. doi:10.1146/annurev. immunol.21.120601.141126.
- <span id="page-11-0"></span>Thomas, F. C., W. Mullen, R. Tassi, A. Ramírez-Torres, M. Mudaliar, T. N. McNeilly, R. N. Zadoks, R. Burchmore, and P. David Eckersall. 2016. Mastitomics, the integrated omics of bovine milk in an experimental model of Streptococcus uberis mastitis: 1. High abundance proteins, acute phase proteins and peptidomics. *Mol. Biosyst*. 12:2735–2747. doi:10.1039/c6mb00239k.
- <span id="page-11-6"></span>Wang, H., C. Bi, Y. Wang, J. Sun, X. Meng, and J. Li. 2018. Selenium ameliorates Staphylococcus aureus-induced inflammation in bovine mammary epithelial cells by inhibiting activation of TLR2, NF-κB and MAPK signaling pathways. *BMC Vet. Res*. 14:197. doi:10.1186/s12917-018-1508-y.
- <span id="page-11-7"></span>Wang, T., M. Guo, X. Song, Z. Zhang, H. Jiang, W. Wang, Y. Fu, Y. Cao, L. Zhu, and N. Zhang. 2014. Stevioside plays an anti-inflammatory role by regulating the NF-κB and MAPK pathways in *S. aureus*infected mouse mammary glands. *Inflammation* 37:1837–1846. doi:10.1007/s10753-014-9915-0.
- <span id="page-11-21"></span>Wang, J., C. Guo, Z. Wei, X. He, J. Kou, E. Zhou, Z. Yang, and Y. Fu. 2016a. Morin suppresses inflammatory cytokine expression by downregulation of nuclear factor-κB and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling pathways in lipopolysaccharidestimulated primary bovine mammary epithelial cells. *J. Dairy Sci*. 99:3016–3022. doi:10.3168/jds.2015-10330.
- <span id="page-11-4"></span>Wang, X. G., Z. H. Ju, M. H. Hou, Q. Jiang, C. H. Yang, Y. Zhang, Y. Sun, R. L. Li, C. F. Wang, J. F. Zhong, *et al.* 2016b. Correction: deciphering transcriptome and complex alternative splicing transcripts in mammary gland tissues from cows naturally infected with *Staphylococcus aureus* mastitis. *PLoS One* 11:e0167666. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0167666.
- <span id="page-11-3"></span>Wellnitz, O., and D. E. Kerr. 2004. Cryopreserved bovine mammary cells to model epithelial response to infection. *Vet. Immunol. Immunopathol*. 101:191–202. doi:10.1016/j.vetimm.2004.04.019.
- <span id="page-11-19"></span>Xiao, J., E. C. Liong, Y. P. Ching, R. C. Chang, K. F. So, M. L. Fung, and G. L. Tipoe. 2012. *Lycium barbarum* polysaccharides protect mice liver from carbon tetrachloride-induced oxidative stress and necroinflammation. *J. Ethnopharmacol*. 139:462–470. doi:10.1016/j.jep.2011.11.033.
- <span id="page-11-17"></span>Xu, T., X. Lu, A. A. I. Arbab, X. Wu, Y. Mao, J. J. Loor, and Z. Yang. 2021a. Metformin acts to suppress β-hydroxybutyric acidmediated inflammatory responses through activation of AMPK signaling in bovine hepatocytes. *J. Anim. Sci*. 99. doi: 10.1093/ jas/skab153
- <span id="page-11-13"></span>Xu, T., N. Ma, Y. Wang, X. Shi, G. Chang, J. J. Loor, and X. Shen. 2018. Sodium butyrate supplementation alleviates the adaptive response to inflammation and modulates fatty acid metabolism in lipopolysaccharide-stimulated bovine hepatocytes. *J. Agric. Food Chem*. 66:6281–6290. doi:10.1021/acs.jafc.8b01439.
- <span id="page-11-15"></span>Xu, T. L., H. M. Seyfert, and X. Z. Shen. 2017. Epigenetic mechanisms contribute to decrease stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 expression in the liver of dairy cows after prolonged feeding of high-concentrate diet. *J. Dairy Sci*. 101:2506–2518. doi:10.3168/jds.2017-12878.
- <span id="page-11-14"></span>Xu, T., H. Tao, G. Chang, K. Zhang, L. Xu, and X. Shen. 2015. Lipopolysaccharide derived from the rumen down-regulates stearoyl-CoA desaturase 1 expression and alters fatty acid composition in the liver of dairy cows fed a high-concentrate diet. *BMC Vet. Res*. 11:52. doi:10.1186/s12917-015-0360-6.
- <span id="page-11-12"></span>Xu, T., X. Wu, X. Lu, Y. Liang, Y. Mao, J. J. Loor, and Z. Yang. 2021b. Metformin activated AMPK signaling contributes to the alleviation of LPS-induced inflammatory responses in bovine mammary