

Erratum

## Erratum to: Elevated Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) Score is Associated with Poor Long-term Survival in Patients with Low-grade Soft-tissue Sarcomas Treated with Surgical Resection

Yao Liang MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Tao Hou MD, PhD<sup>3</sup>, Yi Que MD, PhD<sup>1,4</sup>, Baiwei Zhao MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>, Wei Xiao MD, PhD<sup>1,4</sup>, Xing Zhang MD, PhD<sup>1,4</sup>, Zhiwei Zhou MD, PhD<sup>1,2</sup>

Received: 24 September 2021 / Accepted: 30 September 2021 / Published online: 10 November 2021  
Copyright © 2021 by the Association of Bone and Joint Surgeons

Four tables were not included in the published study, “Elevated Controlling Nutritional Status (CONUT) Score is Associated with Poor Long-term Survival in Patients with Low-grade Soft-tissue Sarcomas Treated with Surgical Resection”.

Table 1, below, corresponded with data in Patients and Methods section under the subheads, Patients and Tumor

Characteristics and Clinical Data Collection and the CONUT Score

Table 2, below, corresponded with data in the Results section under the subhead, Correlation Between the CONUT Score and Clinicopathologic Characteristics.

Tables 3 and 4, both below, corresponded with data in the Results section under the subhead, Survival Analysis.

*CORR* apologizes for the error.

---

Erratum to: *Clin Orthop Relat Res* DOI: [10.1097/CORR.000000000000767](https://doi.org/10.1097/CORR.000000000000767).

The online version of this article can be found under DOI: [10.1097/CORR.000000000000767](https://doi.org/10.1097/CORR.000000000000767).

---

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Oncology in South China, Collaborative Innovation Center for Cancer Medicine, Guangzhou, P.R. China;

<sup>2</sup>Department of Gastric Surgery, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, P.R. China;

<sup>3</sup>Department of Oncology, the Second Xiangya Hospital, Central South University, Changsha, P.R. China

<sup>4</sup>Department of Medical Melanoma and Sarcoma, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, P.R. China

Z. Zhou MD, PhD ✉, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, 651 Dongfeng Road East Guangzhou 510060, Guangdong, China, Email: [zhouzhw@sysucc.org.cn](mailto:zhouzhw@sysucc.org.cn)

**Table 1.** Baseline characteristics of all patients

Variable	Characteristics	Number	%
Gender	Men	393	60
	Women	265	40
Age (years)	< 50	437	66
	≥ 50	221	34
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	< 18.5	80	12
	18.5 to 25.0	430	65
	≥ 25.0	148	23
Pathological types	Fibrosarcoma	151	23
	Liposarcoma	91	14
	Undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma	149	23
	Leiomyosarcoma	27	4
	Synovial sarcoma	77	12
	Rhabdomyosarcoma	38	6
	Alveolar soft part sarcoma	12	2
	Epithelioid sarcoma	15	2
	Angiosarcoma	13	2
	Malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor	38	6
	Mesenchymal chondrosarcoma	20	3
	Others	27	4
	Tumor size	< 5 cm	322
≥ 5 cm		336	51
Tumor site	Upper extremity	82	13
	Lower extremity	216	33
	Thoracic/trunk/abdominal wall	191	29
	Intra-abdominal	71	11
	Head/neck	80	12
Tumor depth	Others*	18	3
	Superficial	235	36
	Deep	423	64
Tumor grade	G1	130	20
	G2	304	46
	G3	201	31
	GX	23	4
AJCC stage	IA	88	13
	IB	45	7
	II	233	35
	IIIA	132	20
	IIIB	75	11
	IV	12	2
	Unknown	73	11
	NLR	< 2.51	453
	≥ 2.51	205	31
PLR	< 164.5	516	78
	≥ 164.5	142	22
HS-mGPS	0	311	62

Table 1. continued

Variable	Characteristics	Number	%
CONUT	1-2	194	38
	0	227	35
	1	208	32
	2-12	223	34
Albumin score	0	606	92
	2/4/6	52	8
Total lymphocyte score	0	327	50
	1	253	38
	2/3	78	12
Total cholesterol score	0	477	73
	1	118	18
	2/3	63	10
Endpoint	Alive	484	74
	Dead	174	26
Recurrence	Yes	250	38
	No	408	62
Metastasis	Yes	94	14
	No	564	86
Adjuvant therapy	None	467	71
	Chemotherapy	41	6
	Radiotherapy	44	7
	Combined chemoradiotherapy	11	2
	Unknown	95	14

\*Including the perineum, crissum, pleural cavity, and pulmonary system  
 HS-mGPS = high-sensitivity modified Glasgow prognostic score

**Table 2.** Relationships between the CONUT score and patient characteristics

Characteristics	CONUT score		p value	OR (95% CI)
	Low (n = 435), (%)	High (n = 223), (%)		
Gender			0.761	
Men	177 (41)	88 (40)		
Women	258 (59)	135 (60)		1.05 (0.76-1.46)
Age at operation (years)			0.848	
< 50	290 (67)	147 (66)		
≥ 50	145 (33)	76 (34)		1.03 (0.74-1.45)
Tumor diameter (cm)			0.020	
< 5 cm	227 (52)	95 (43)		
≥ 5 cm	208 (48)	128 (57)		1.47 (1.06-2.04)
Tumor depth			0.004	
Superficial	172 (40)	63 (28)		
Deep	263 (60)	160 (72)		1.66 (1.17-2.36)
Tumor grade			0.001	
G1	99 (23)	31 (14)		
G2	209 (48)	95 (43)		1.45 (0.91-2.32)
G3	112 (26)	89 (40)		2.54 (1.56-4.14)
Missing data	15 (3)	8 (3)		1.70 (0.66-4.40)
AJCC stage			< 0.001	
IA + IB	102 (23)	31 (14)		
II	161 (37)	72 (33)		1.47 (0.90-2.40)
II + IV	140 (33)	79 (35)		1.86 (1.14-3.02)
Unknown	32 (7)	41 (18)		4.22 (2.29-7.78)
NLR			< 0.001	
< 2.51	354 (81)	99 (44)		
≥ 2.51	81 (19)	124 (56)		5.48 (3.83-7.83)
PLR			< 0.001	
< 164.5	390 (90)	126 (57)		
≥ 164.5	45 (10)	97 (43)		6.67 (4.44-10.02)
Endpoint			< 0.001	
Alive	352 (81)	132 (59)		
Dead	83 (19)	91 (41)		2.92 (2.04-4.18)
Recurrence			< 0.001	
No	294 (68)	114 (51)		
Yes	141 (32)	109 (49)		1.99 (1.43-2.78)
Metastasis			< 0.001	
No	391 (90)	173 (78)		
Yes	44 (10)	50 (22)		2.57 (1.65-4.00)

**Table 3.** Multivariate analyses of risk factors associated with OS in patients with STS

Variable	HR (95% CI)	p value
Tumor depth		0.017
Superficial	1 (reference)	
Deep	1.71 (1.10-2.65)	
Tumor grade		< 0.001
G1	1 (reference)	
G2	3.19 (0.46-22.06)	
G3	8.97 (1.28-62.73)	
AJCC stage		0.009
IA + IB	1 (reference)	
II	0.38 (0.06-2.47)	
III + IV	0.69 (0.11-4.34)	
CONUT score		< 0.001
Low	1 (reference)	
High	1.86 (1.32-2.61)	

**Table 4.** Multivariate analyses of risk factors associated with DFS in patients with STS

Variable	HR (95% CI)	p value
Tumor depth		< 0.001
Superficial	1 (reference)	
Deep	2.03 (1.50-2.73)	
Tumor grade		< 0.001
G1	1 (reference)	
G2	1.94 (1.28-2.96)	0.002
G3	2.71 (1.75-4.20)	< 0.001
CONUT score		< 0.001
Low	1 (reference)	
High	1.63 (1.26-2.11)	