

Beating a benchmark: Boron neutron capture therapy for recurrent and refractory meningiomas

Martin C. Tom^o and Rupesh Kotecha^o

Department of Radiation Oncology, Miami Cancer Institute, Baptist Health South Florida, Miami, Florida, USA (M.C.T., R.K.); Herbert Wertheim College of Medicine, Florida International University, Miami, Florida, USA (M.C.T., R.K.)

Corresponding Author: Martin C. Tom, MD, Department of Radiation Oncology, Miami Cancer Institute, Baptist Health South Florida, Office 1R207, Miami, FL 33176, USA (martinto@baptisthealth.net).

The majority of meningiomas are World Health Organization (WHO) grade 1 and have favorable outcomes when managed with surgery or radiotherapy. However, approximately 18% of meningiomas are grade 2 and 2% are grade 3.¹ Even with aggressive multi-modal management for high-grade meningiomas, outcomes remain modest. The high-risk arm of RTOG 0539 included WHO grade 2 meningiomas which were subtotally resected or recurrent after surgery alone, and any WHO grade 3 meningiomas, regardless of the extent of resection.² After fractionated external beam photon radiotherapy to a dose of 60 Gy in 30 fractions, the progression-free survival (PFS) at 3 years was just 59%. Post hoc analysis demonstrated that recurrent grade 2 meningiomas had worse PFS than newly diagnosed grade 3 meningiomas (5-year PFS 30% vs 58.2%), suggesting that recurrent high-grade meningiomas represent a particularly aggressive entity. Furthermore, grade 2 and 3 meningiomas which recur following multimodality therapy with surgery and radiotherapy are even more difficult to manage with an estimated 6-month PFS of just 26%.³ Multiple systemic agents, such as cytotoxic chemotherapies, targeted therapies,⁴ and more recently immune checkpoint inhibitor therapies,⁵ have failed to improve outcomes over these historical estimates, leading to a critical and unmet need to evaluate innovative treatment approaches for this patient population.

Local therapy is the mainstay of meningioma treatment. However, treatment-refractory patients have often exhausted their ability to receive repeat surgery, and have either received multiple prior radiotherapy courses which preclude the safe delivery of re-irradiation and/or have large volumes of tumor recurrence which are not amenable to stereotactic re-irradiation techniques. As such, particle therapy has been used as a re-irradiation strategy owing to its physical advantages over photon therapy which limits the volume of normal tissue receiving radiation.⁶

Miyatake and colleagues recently updated their outcomes of 44 patients with recurrent, treatment-refractory, grade 2 and 3 meningiomas treated with reactor-based boron neutron capture therapy (BNCT).⁷ Briefly, BNCT is

delivered by infusing a ¹⁰B compound which is selectively taken up by tumor cells. Subsequently, the target is irradiated with low-energy thermal neutrons, which react with the localized ¹⁰B, producing high linear energy transfer particles which travel only the diameter of a cell, and thus selectively destroy tumor cells while sparing adjacent normal tissue cells. While BNCT has been the subject of research for several decades, widespread adoption has been limited by the high cost of developing BNCT centers and the lack of prospective data.

The patient cohort was composed of high-grade (45% with grade 2 and 55% with grade 3 meningiomas) multiply recurrent (mean 3 prior surgeries and 2 prior courses of radiotherapy) and treatment-refractory patients. At the time of BNCT, recurrences were large with mean and median BNCT treatment volumes of 42.7 cm³ and 24.5 cm³, respectively. Despite the aggressive characteristics of this cohort, the median PFS after BNCT was 13.7 months among the 36 patients available for analysis. Furthermore, the median overall survival after BNCT was favorable at 29.6 months, and grade 3 radiation necrosis occurred in 13.6% of patients. In addition to this study, several other series using particle re-irradiation have reported their outcomes and are summarized in [Table 1](#). Although direct comparisons between series are challenging given the heterogeneous patient cohorts, particle re-irradiation with BNCT appears to be an efficacious treatment strategy for well-selected patients with refractory meningiomas treated at experienced centers. To put these outcomes into perspective, in 2014, the response assessment in neuro-oncology (RANO) group performed a pooled analysis of refractory meningiomas treated with medical therapy and recommended that studies of experimental therapies demonstrating a 6-month PFS greater than 35% may be worthy of further study.³ In the current study with its heavily pre-treated cohort, it is likely that most of these patients would have been considered for inclusion on systemic therapy trials, and the median PFS of

Table 1 Selected Series Using Particle Re-Irradiation for Treatment-Refractory Grade 2 and 3 Meningiomas

| Series | Technique | WHO Grade (n, %) | Median PFS |
|------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Miyatake et al ⁷ | BNCT | Grade 2 and 3 (44, 100%) | Grade 2 and 3 ^a : 13.7 mo |
| | | Grade 2 (20, 45%) | Grade 2: 24.3 mo |
| | | Grade 3 (24, 55%) | Grade 3: 9.4 mo |
| El Shafie et al ⁸ | Proton or carbon | Grade 2 and 3 (31, 100%) | Grade 2 and 3: 25.7 mo |
| | | Grade 2 (25, 81%) | Grade 2: 34.3 mo |
| | | Grade 3 (6, 19%) | Grade 3: 10.2 mo |
| Imber et al ⁹ | Proton | Grade 2 and 3 (12, 100%) | Grade 2 and 3: ~13 mo |
| | | Grade 2 (8, 66%) | Grade 2: NR |
| | | Grade 3 (4, 33%) | Grade 3: NR |

Abbreviations: BNCT, boron neutron capture therapy; NR, not reported; PFS, progression-free survival.

^aOnly 36 of the 44 patients were available for PFS analysis.

13.7 months with BNCT should be viewed favorably relative to the RANO-proposed benchmark.

As newer accelerator-based BNCT systems are developed for clinical use around the world, prospective data from innovative clinical trials will be crucial to advance our understanding of the clinical utility of BNCT, both in treatment-refractory and treatment-naïve patients. To this end, the Japanese group should be commended for supporting this effort and launching a randomized trial of BNCT compared to best supportive care for recurrent high-grade meningiomas with a primary endpoint of PFS (jRCT2051190044; <https://jrcr.niph.go.jp/>). Furthermore, the development of novel compounds which more selectively accumulate in target tissues represents an exciting means of further improving the therapeutic ratio of radiotherapy by biologically targeting specific tumors with particle therapy.

Acknowledgments

The text is the sole product of the authors and no third party had input or gave support to its writing.

Conflict of interest statement. M.C.T.: Honoraria from ViewRay Inc. Institutional research funding from Blue Earth Diagnostics Ltd. R.K.: Honoraria from Accuray Inc., Elekta AB, ViewRay Inc., Novocure Inc., Elsevier Inc., and Brainlab. Institutional research funding from Medtronic Inc., Blue Earth Diagnostics Ltd., Novocure Inc., GT Medical Technologies, AstraZeneca, Exelixis, ViewRay Inc., and Brainlab.

References

- Ostrom QT, Patil N, Cioffi G, Waite K, Kruchko C, Barnholtz-Sloan JS. CBTRUS statistical report: primary brain and other central nervous system tumors diagnosed in the United States in 2013-2017. *Neuro Oncol.* 2020;22(12 Suppl 2):iv1–iv96.
- Rogers CL, Won M, Vogelbaum MA, et al. High-risk meningioma: initial outcomes from NRG Oncology/RTOG 0539. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys.* 2020;106(4):790–799.
- Kaley T, Barani I, Chamberlain M, et al. Historical benchmarks for medical therapy trials in surgery- and radiation-refractory meningioma: a RANO review. *Neuro Oncol.* 2014;16(6):829–840.
- Kotecha R, Tonse R, Appel H, et al. Regression of intracranial meningiomas following treatment with cabozantinib. *Curr Oncol.* 2021;28(2):1537–1543.
- Bi WL, Nayak L, Meredith DM, et al. Activity of PD-1 blockade with Nivolumab among patients with recurrent atypical/anaplastic meningioma: phase II trial results. *Neuro Oncol.* 2022;24(1):101–113.
- Chiek Quah DS, Chen YW, Wu YH. Dosimetric comparison of boron neutron capture therapy, proton therapy and volumetric modulated arc therapy for recurrent anaplastic meningioma. *Appl Radiat Isot.* 2020;166:109301.
- Takai S, Wanibuchi M, Kawabata S, et al. Reactor-based boron neutron capture therapy for 44 cases of recurrent and refractory high-grade meningiomas with long-term follow-up. *Neuro Oncol.* 2022;24(1):90–98.
- El Shafie RA, Czech M, Kessel KA, et al. Evaluation of particle radiotherapy for the re-irradiation of recurrent intracranial meningioma. *Radiat Oncol.* 2018;13(1):86.
- Imber BS, Neal B, Casey DL, et al. Clinical outcomes of recurrent intracranial meningiomas treated with proton beam reirradiation. *Int J Part Ther.* 2019;5(4):11–22.