## Evaluation of the Alexon-Trend ProSpecT Campylobacter Microplate Assay

RITA TOLCIN,<sup>1</sup> MARGARET M. LASALVIA,<sup>1</sup> BARBARA A. KIRKLEY,<sup>1</sup> EMILY A. VETTER,<sup>2</sup> FRANKLIN R. COCKERILL III,<sup>2</sup> and GARY W. PROCOP<sup>1\*</sup>

The Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, Ohio,<sup>1</sup> and The Mayo Clinic Foundation, Rochester, Minnesota<sup>2</sup>

Received 15 February 2000/Returned for modification 31 May 2000/Accepted 17 July 2000

We evaluated stool specimens known to contain or be free of *Campylobacter* by traditional culture, using the ProSpecT *Campylobacter* microplate assay (Alexon-Trend, Ramsey, Minn.). This rapid enzyme immunoassay for the detection of *Campylobacter*-specific antigens demonstrated 96% sensitivity and 99% specificity and is an acceptable alternative method of *Campylobacter* detection.

Food- and water-borne bacteria cause gastroenteritis that affects millions of people each year in the United States, which costs billions of U.S. dollars and results in thousands of deaths (2, 15, 24). *Campylobacter jejuni* is the most common cause of bacterial gastroenteritis in the United States, surpassing disease caused by *Salmonella* and *Shigella* spp. combined (1, 8–13, 20, 21, 25, 33, 36, 42). The appropriate identification of the etiologic agent of infectious gastroenteritis is important, since there are differences in treatment; the possibilities of refractory disease and postinfectious sequelae also make identification of the etiologic agent important (3, 20, 32, 35, 38, 40, 43).

*Campylobacter* species are microaerophilic gram-negative, curved bacilli that may be detected in stool by direct microscopy, but more commonly are cultured using selective medium or stool filtration (5–7, 14, 17, 18, 22, 24, 28, 30, 33, 37, 39, 41). More recently, nucleic acid amplification methods and enzyme-linked immunoassays (EIA) have been used to detect these bacteria (16, 19, 26, 27, 31, 37, 44, 45; A. B. John and Y. A. Lue, Program Abstr. 98th Gen. Meet. Am. Soc. Microbiol. 1998, abstr. C-263).

We evaluated the ability of the ProSpecT *Campylobacter* microplate assay (Alexon-Trend, Ramsey, Minn.) to detect *Campylobacter* spp. in clinical stool specimens that were known to contain or be free of *Campylobacter* spp. by traditional culture. Clinical stool specimens were collected and frozen from three institutions; 50 *Campylobacter* culture-positive and 114 *Campylobacter* culture-negative stools were collected simultaneously.

*Campylobacter* species were detected and identified by standard methods (34). Fifteen of the 114 *Campylobacter* culturenegative stool specimens contained the following other bacterial enteric pathogens: six *Salmonella* spp., three *Shigella* spp., three *Yersinia enterocolitica*, and three *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 strains. All of the stool specimens in this study represented samples from individual patients; no duplicate specimens were tested. Seventy-seven of the stool specimens were received in transport medium. The remaining 87 stool samples were received fresh and frozen immediately after culture.

The 164 stool specimens were evaluated for the presence of *Campylobacter* using the the ProSpecT *Campylobacter* microplate assay (Alexon-Trend) according to the manufacturer's

instructions. Stool specimens received in transport medium were not diluted. For the fresh-frozen stool specimens, 0.5 ml of stool was mixed with the diluent provided to obtain the four drops necessary for testing. A positive and negative control well were also prepared using the positive and negative control reagents, respectively. The reactions were read both visually and spectrophotometrically in a single-wavelength spectrophotometer at 450 nm. The validity of each test run was based on appropriate reactions in the positive and negative control wells. These interpretations were performed in accordance with the manufacturer's guidelines. Each stool specimen was tested in duplicate by different medical technologists, who were blinded to the culture results. Each medical technologist recorded a visual interpretation prior to recording the spectrophotometric interpretation. The agreement between the two independent visual interpretations and the two independent spectrophotometric interpretations was determined. The agreement was also determined between the manual and spectrophotometric interpretations. All indeterminate results were repeated. Repetitively indeterminate specimens were recorded as such and considered negatives in calculations, since the EIA was unable to generate a positive result. Upon completion of the study, specimens with discordant culture and EIA results were retested by EIA, and a review of the patient's medical record was performed.

The ProSpecT Campylobacter microplate assay correctly characterized 48 of 50 Campylobacter culture-positive stool specimens. Two culture-positive stools (one received in transport medium and one fresh-frozen) were characterized as negative by EIA. Repeat EIA testing of these two specimens demonstrated one negative result and one positive result. These specimens were both considered false-negatives, since repeat testing would not have been routinely performed. One hundred and twelve of the 114 Campylobacter culture-negative stool specimens were characterized by the ProSpecT Campy*lobacter* microplate assay as negative on initial testing. Of the remaining two Campylobacter culture-negative stool specimens, one was repeatedly positive and one was initially indeterminate but negative upon repeat testing; these were characterized as false-positive and true-negative, respectively. In this analysis, the ProSpecT Campylobacter microplate assay demonstrated 96% sensitivity and 99% specificity. Review of the medical record, however, revealed that the one "falsepositive" EIA was from a patient diagnosed with infectious enteritis that may have been campylobacteriosis. It is possible that this could represent a true-positive EIA and a false-neg-

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Mailing address: Clinical Microbiology/ L40, 9500 Euclid Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44195. Phone: (216) 444-5879. Fax: (216) 445-6984. E-mail: procopg@ccf.org.

ative stool culture, since viable organisms are not necessary for detection with the EIA. In this case, the sensitivity and specificity of the ProSpecT *Campylobacter* microplate assay would be increased to 96.1 and 100%, respectively. There was excellent interobserver agreement in both the visual and spectrophotometric test interpretations. Similarly, there was excellent agreement between the visual and spectrophotometric measurements.

The ProSpecT Campylobacter microplate assay is an EIA that recognizes a Campylobacter surface antigen which is shared by C. jejuni and Campylobacter coli (10, 42). This EIA demonstrated at least 96% sensitivity and 99% specificity using Campylobacter culture-positive and culture-negative specimens as the reference standard. It was rapid and easy to use, with excellent agreement between duplicate manual and duplicate spectrophotometric interpretations. There was no apparent difference between stool samples received in transport media and those that were received fresh. Excellent agreement was also found between the manual and spectrophotometric interpretations. Because one stool specimen was negative on initial testing but positive on repeat testing, we recommend that stool specimens be thoroughly mixed prior to testing. We also suggest that specimens that generate an indeterminate result be retested. Repetitively indeterminate results were never encountered in this assessment. The ProSpecT Campylobacter microplate assay appears to be a reliable method for the detection of C. jejuni and C. coli.

This work would not have been possible without the technical assistance of Amanda Fares, Margaret LaSalvia, Suzanne Schroeder, Parul Shah, Kathiann Smith, and Rita Tolcin. Their dedication and hard work on this project are greatly appreciated.

## REFERENCES

- Altekruse, S. F., N. J. Stern, P. I. Fields, and D. L. Swerdlow. 1999. Campylobacter jejuni—an emerging foodborne pathogen. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 5:28– 35.
- Altekruse, S. F., M. L. Cohen, and D. L. Swerdlow. 1997. Emerging foodborne diseases. Emerg. Infect. Dis. 3:285–293.
- Blaser, M. J. 2000. Campylobacter jejuni and related species, p. 2276–2285. In Principles and practice of infectious diseases, 5th ed. Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Blaser, M. J., J. G. Wells, R. A. Feldman, R. A. Pollard, J. R. Allen, and the Collaborative Diarrheal Disease Study Group. 1983. *Campylobacter* enteritis in the United States: a multicenter study. Ann. Intern. Med. 98:360–365.
- Bolton, F. J., and L. Robertson. 1982. A selective medium for isolating Campylobacter jejuni/coli. J. Clin. Pathol. 35:462–467.
- Bolton, F. J., D. Coates, P. M. Hinchliffe, and L. Robertson. 1983. Comparison of selective media for isolation of *Campylobacter jejuni/coli*. J. Clin. Pathol. 36:78–83.
- Bolton, F. J., and D. Coates. 1983. A comparison of microaerobic systems for the culture of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *Campylobacter coli*. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2:105–110.
- Centers for Disease Control. 1998. Outbreak of *Campylobacter* enteritis associated with cross-contamination of food—Oklahoma, 1996. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 47:129–131.
- Centers for Disease Control. 1986. Epidemiologic notes and reports: Campylobacter outbreak associated with raw milk provided on a dairy tour—California. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 35:311–312.
- Centers for Disease Control. 1988. Campylobacter isolates in the United States, 1982–1986. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 37(SS-2):1–13.
- Centers for Disease Control. 1983. Campylobacteriosis associated with raw milk consumption—Pennsylvania. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 32:337–338.
- Centers for Disease Control. 1996. Surveillance for waterborne-disease outbreaks—United States, 1993–1994. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 45(SS):1–33.
- Centers for Disease Control. 1984. Premature labor and neonatal sepsis caused by *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *fetus*—Ontario. Morb. Mortal. Wkly. Rep. 33:483–484.
- Chan, R., B. Hannan, and R. Munro. 1985. Use of a selective enrichment broth for isolation of *Campylobacter* species from human feces. Pathology 17:640–641.

- 15. Council for Agricultural Science and Technology. 1994. Foodborne pathogens: risks and consequences. Task Force Report No. 122. Council for Agricultural Science and Technology, Ames, Iowa.
- Fermer, C., and E. O. Engvall. 1999. Specific PCR identification and differentiation of the thermophilic campylobacters *Campylobacter jejuni*, *C. coli*, *C. lari*, and *C. upsaliensis*. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37:3370–3373.
- Gilchrist, M. J. R., C. M. Grewell, and J. A. Washington II. 1981. Evaluation of media for isolation of *Campylobacter fetus* subsp. *jejuni* from fecal specimens. J. Clin. Microbiol. 14:393–395.
- Goossens, H., M. De Boeck, and J. P. Butzler. 1983. A new selective medium for the isolation of *Campylobacter jejuni* from human faeces. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. 2:389–394.
- Griffiths, P. L., G. S. Moreno, and R. W. Park. 1992. Differentiation between thermophilic *Campylobacter* species by species-specific antibodies. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 72:467–474.
- Guerrant, R. L., and A. A. M. Lima. 2000. Inflammatory enteritides, p. 1126–1136. *In Principles and practice of infectious diseases*, 5th ed. Churchill Livingstone, Philadelphia, Pa.
- Guerrant, R. L., and D. A. Bobak. 1991. Bacterial and protozoal gastroenteritis. N. Engl. J. Med. 325:327–340.
- Guerrant, R. L., et al. 1985. Evaluation and diagnosis of acute infectious diarrhea. Am. J. Med. 78:91–98.
- Helmick, C. G., P. M. Griffin, D. G. Addiss, R. V. Tauxe, and D. D. Juranek. 1994. Infectious diarrheas, p. 85–120. *In J. E. Everhart (ed.)*, Digestive diseases in the United States: epidemiology and impact. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Md.
- Ho, D. D., M. J. Ault, M. A. Ault, and G. H. Murata. 1982. Campylobacter enteritis: early diagnosis with Gram's stain. Arch. Intern. Med. 142:1858– 1860.
- Hopkins, R. S., and A. S. Scott. 1983. Handling raw chicken as a source for sporadic *Campylobacter jejuni* infections. J. Infect. Dis. 148:770.
- Lawson, A. J., M. S. Shafi, K. Pathak, and J. Stanley. 1998. Detection of *Campylobacter* in gastroenteritis: comparison of direct PCR assay of faecal samples with selective culture. Epidemiol. Infect. 121:547–553.
- Linton, D., R. J. Owen, and J. Stanley. 1996. Rapid identification by PCR of the genus *Campylobacter* and of five *Campylobacter* species enteropathogenic for man and animals. Res. Microbiol. 147:707–718.
- Lopez, L., F. J. Castillo, A. Clavel, and M. C. Rubio. 1998. Use of a selective medium and a membrane filter method for isolation of *Campylobacter* species from Spanish paediatric patients. Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. 17:489–492.
- Lu, P., B. W. Brooks, R. H. Robertson, K. H. Nielsen, and M. M. Garcia. 1997. Characterization of monoclonal antibodies for the rapid detection of foodborne campylobacters. Int. J. Food Microbiol. 37:87–91.
- Mathewson, J. J., B. H. Keswick, and H. L. DuPont. 1983. Evaluation of filters for recovery of *Campylobacter jejuni* from water. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 46:985–987.
- Metherell, L. A., J. M. Logan, and J. Stanley. 1999. PCR-enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay for detection and identification of *Campylobacter* species: application to isolates and stool samples. J. Clin. Microbiol. 37:433–435.
- Mishu, B., and M. J. Blaser. 1993. Role of infection due to Campylobacter jejuni in the initiation of Guillain-Barre syndrome. Clin. Infect. Dis. 17:104– 108.
- Mitchell, J. E., and M. M. Skelton. 1988. Diarrheal infections. Am. Fam. Physician 37:195–207.
- Nachamkin, I. 1999. Campylobacter and Arcobacter, p. 716–726. In P. R. Murray, E. J. Baron, M. A. Pfaller, F. C. Tenover, and R. H. Yolken (ed.), Manual of clinical microbiology, 7th ed. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.
- Nachamkin, I., B. M. Allos, and T. Ho. 1998. Campylobacter species and Guillain-Barré syndrome. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 11:555–567.
- Norkrans, G., and A. Svedhem. 1982. Epidemiologic aspects of Campylobacter jejuni enteritis. J. Hyg. 89:163–70.
- On, S. L. W. 1996. Identification methods for campylobacters, helicobacters, and related organisms. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 9:405–422.
- Perlman, D. J., N. M. Ampel, R. B. Schifman, D. L. Cohn, C. M. Patton, M. L. Aguirre, et al. 1988. Persistent *Campylobacter jejuni* infections in patients infected with the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Ann. Intern. Med. 108:540–546.
- Rollins, D. M., J. C. Coolbaugh, R. I. Walker, and E. Weiss. 1983. Biphasic culture system for rapid *Campylobacter* cultivation. Appl. Environ. Microbiol. 45:284–289.
- Sorvillo, F. J., L. E. Lieb, and S. H. Waterman. 1991. Incidence of campylobacteriosis among patients with AIDS in Los Angeles County. J. Acquir. Immune Defic. Syndr. Hum. Retrovirol. 4:598–602.
- Steele, T. W., and S. N. McDermott. 1984. The use of membrane filters applied directly to the surface of agar plates for the isolation of *Campylobacter jejuni* from feces. Pathology 16:263–265.
- 42. Tauxe, R. V. 1992. Epidemiology of *Campylobacter jejuni* infections in the United States and other industrial nations, p. 9–12. *In* I. Nachamkin, M. J. Blaser, and L. S. Tompkins (ed.), *Campylobacter jejuni*: current and future trends—1992. American Society for Microbiology, Washington, D.C.

- Totten, P. A., C. L. Fennell, F. C. Tenover, et al. 1985. Campylobacter cinaedi and Campylobacter fennelliae: two new Campylobacter species associated with enteric disease in homosexual men. J. Infect. Dis. 151:131–139.
- 44. van Doorn, L. J., A. Verschuuren-van Haperen, A. Burnens, M. Huysmans, M. Vandamme, B. A. Giesendorf, M. J. Blaser, and W. G. Quint. 1999. Rapid identification of thermotolerant *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Campylobacter coli*,

 Vanniasinkam, T., J. A. Lanser, and M. D. Barton. 1999. PCR for the detection of *Campylobacter* spp. in clinical specimens. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 28:52–56.