

Since January 2020 Elsevier has created a COVID-19 resource centre with free information in English and Mandarin on the novel coronavirus COVID-19. The COVID-19 resource centre is hosted on Elsevier Connect, the company's public news and information website.

Elsevier hereby grants permission to make all its COVID-19-related research that is available on the COVID-19 resource centre - including this research content - immediately available in PubMed Central and other publicly funded repositories, such as the WHO COVID database with rights for unrestricted research re-use and analyses in any form or by any means with acknowledgement of the original source. These permissions are granted for free by Elsevier for as long as the COVID-19 resource centre remains active.

ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Journal of Psychiatric Research

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jpsychires





# Individual obsessive-compulsive traits are associated with poorer adjustment to the easing of COVID-19 restrictions

Naomi A. Fineberg <sup>a,b,c</sup>, Luca Pellegrini <sup>a,b,d,\*</sup>, Julius Burkauskas <sup>e</sup>, Aaron Clarke <sup>a</sup>, Keith R. Laws <sup>a</sup>

- <sup>a</sup> School of Life and Medical Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, United Kingdom
- <sup>b</sup> Hertfordshire Partnership University NHS Foundation Trust, Welwyn Garden City, United Kingdom
- University of Cambridge School of Clinical Medicine, Cambridge, United Kingdom
- <sup>d</sup> Department of Biomedical and Neuromotor Sciences, University of Bologna, Italy
- <sup>e</sup> Laboratory of Behavioral Medicine, Neuroscience Institute, Lithuanian University of Health Sciences, Palanga, Lithuania

#### ARTICLE INFO

#### Keywords: COVID-19 Mental-health Obsessive-compulsive personality traits Pandemic Lockdown release

#### ABSTRACT

Background: As COVID-19 restrictions ease, the public are expected to relinquish previously enforced safety behaviors and resume a more normal lifestyle. Despite these aims, our recent survey of 438 adults from the general population, during a temporary release of lockdown in the United Kingdom (July–November 2020), showed that 25% of the public find re-adjustment problematic. This was especially the case in those with a history of mental disorder and obsessive-compulsive (OC) traits and symptoms, including rigidity as measured by a neurocognitive test of attentional flexibility. To aid in identifying those most at risk, we performed a secondary analysis on the data to determine which specific OC traits were related to specific aspects of behavioral adjustment.

Methods: Correlational and multiple regression analyses were performed to determine associations between the eight individual personality traits constituting DSM-5 Obsessive-Compulsive Personality Disorder (OCPD), as measured by the self-rated Compulsive Personality Assessment Scale (CPAS) and a range of self-rated Post-Pandemic Adjustment Questionnaire items.

*Results*: Three items on the Post-Pandemic Adjustment Questionnaire correlated with individual CPAS items: 'General difficulties adjusting' correlated with perfectionism, preoccupation with details, over-conscientiousness and need for control; 'social avoidance' correlated with perfectionism and preoccupation with details; and 'disinfecting behaviors' correlated with preoccupation with details and miserliness (Pearson's r - all p < .001). Intriguingly, none of the adjustment items correlated significantly with self-rated rigidity.

Conclusions: Several OCPD traits predict post-pandemic adjustment difficulties, but perfectionism and preoccupation-with-details showed the most robust correlations. These traits constitute a platform for the development of new screening and interventional strategies aimed at restoring public mental health and wellbeing. Cognitive rigidity may be more reliably evaluated using an objective form of assessment.

## 1. Background

July 19th, 2021 saw the lifting of legal enforcements concerning the majority of United Kingdom restrictions mandated by the Government during the height of the COVID-19 pandemic (BBC, 2021). Although unpredictable changes in levels of restriction and control are still expected for the foreseeable future (The Guardian, A & B, 2021), the UK public is gradually being incentivized to return to the work-place, based on a need to reinstate vital public services such as health and education,

support the UK economy, and restore public mental health and psychosocial wellbeing (Chadha, 2021). Nevertheless, the ease with which the public adjust to more normal behavior patterns, such as sharing closed spaces with others on public transport or in the office environment, remains to be seen.

Converging evidence suggests that the COVID-19 pandemic has had a major negative impact on overall public mental wellbeing (Knolle et al., 2021). Population surveys have, for example, identified moderate rates of adjustment reaction to the onset of the pandemic, ranging from 7

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. School of Life and Medical Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, United Kingdom. E-mail address: luca.pellegrini@nhs.net (L. Pellegrini).

to 14% (Tian et al., 2020; McGinty et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2020). The effect of the easing of restrictions on mental health and wellbeing however has not been well studied. Lack of clarity about the safety regulations has been cited as causing difficulties for the public, in terms of adherence to the rules in the early stages of the pandemic and latterly in terms of adjusting to their relaxation (The Guardian, B, 2021).

Our recently published study (Fineberg et al., 2021) conducted between July and November 2020, as the first wave of easing of restrictions was implemented in the UK, is the only published study to date investigating mental health difficulties experienced by the public in response to the easing of lockdown restrictions. We surveyed a large adult UK population-based sample online, timed to coincide with changes in social-distancing rules (July–Sep 2020). We obtained cross-sectional measures of the frequency and severity of adjustment difficulties and associations with specific obsessive-compulsive (OC) traits and symptoms, finding that one-in-four reported significant adjustment difficulties.

On mediation analysis, we showed that both OC symptoms (measured using the Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory Revised; OCI-R (Foa et al., 2002)) and OC personality traits (measured using the Compulsive Personality Assessment Scale; CPAS (Fineberg et al., 2007)) acted as indirect predictor variables of adjustment, though in different ways: OC symptoms significantly predicted adjustment acting via depressive, anxious and stress symptoms (measured through the Depressive, Anxiety, Stress Scale 21 (DASS-21) (Lovibond and Lovibond, 1995) and via Covid-related anxiety (Covid Anxiety Scale (Chandu and Pachava, 2020)), whereas OC personality traits significantly predicted adjustment via depressive, anxious and stress symptoms only.

'Poor-adjusters' also showed evidence of greater cognitive inflexibility on the intra-extra-dimensional set-shift task (Intra-Extra Dimensional Set Shift (IED) task: Robbins et al., 1998). Moreover, higher than expected rates of OC symptomatology were found in study participants with no prior history of mental disorder. Taken together, these findings expose mental health inequalities among the public in terms of their ability to flexibly adapt and return to a more normal lifestyle. While many members of the wider public are likely to be affected, those whose psychiatric conditions (OC related) have been exacerbated by the pandemic and show increased levels of rigidity, will struggle more than most as pandemic restrictions ease.

Several factors indicate that individuals with OC personality traits (cautious, rule-bound, habitual, rigid), representing around 6% of the general population (Marincowitz et al., 2021; Burkauskas and Fineberg, 2020), might be expected to find adjustment particularly difficult during this transition phase, especially considering the ongoing uncertainty about the risk of infection at an individual level. People with obsessive compulsive personality disorder (OCPD) are defined by rigid and stubborn behaviours and show cognitive inflexibility on objective neurocognitive testing (Fineberg et al., 2015). Indeed, the disorder is characterized by a pervasive preoccupation with orderliness, perfectionism and control of a degree that impairs psychosocial functioning. As the official rules are relaxed, and members of the public start to behave in more idiosyncratic ways, we might expect people with OCPD, who are likely to have followed the rules conscientiously during the lockdown, would experience stress-related symptoms. Indeed, based on the clinical experience of working in a UK NHS service treating patients with OCPD, some of the authors (NF, LP) have come across several such patients describing greater difficulty leaving home now the rules have been relaxed, owing to various factors including disagreement with and rejection of the decision to change the rules and uncertainty about how they and others should behave.

Diagnostic efficiency statistics (sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive power) suggest that four of the eight available DSM-5 OCPD traits, comprising perfectionism, reluctance to delegate, preoccupation with details and rigidity may represent the most reliable indicators of the disorder, though some debate remains (Haigler and Widiger, 2001; De Fruyt et al., 2006; Fineberg et al., 2007). As OCPD as a

construct is judged to be relatively stable across the lifespan (Fineberg et al., 2007), these traits carry the potential for predictive value, compared to state makers such as OC symptoms. Considering our prior work, we hypothesized that these core OCPD traits would be disproportionately associated with difficulties flexibly re-adjusting.

## 1.1. Aims and objectives

By identifying the specific OC traits most associated with adjustment difficulties among adult members of the general public, we aimed to establish a platform for the development of new screening and interventional strategies, as a step toward restoring public mental health and wellbeing.

#### 2. Methods

This secondary analysis interrogates data collected in our published study conducted during the summer of 2020 (Fineberg et al., 2021). The protocol and study objectives were pre-registered on July 15, 2020 (Open Science Framework; <a href="https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/GS8J2">https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/GS8J2</a>). Ethics approval was granted from the University of Hertfordshire Health, Science, Engineering and Technology Ethics Committee with Delegated Authority (Ethics number: aLMS/SF/UH/04219).

For full methodological details, please see Fineberg et al. (2021). In sum, an online survey including questionnaires about lifestyle, Covid-19 safety behaviors and OC traits was completed by a broad spectrum of the general population aged 18 years or over, recruited via advertisement on the Internet. The study ran from July 16, 2020 to October 13, 2020, during which period pandemic restrictions were partially eased; schools, universities and high street shops re-opened and people were allowed to travel and mix socially, albeit with some limitations. Diverse groups were targeted including those living with anxiety and OCD, to facilitate appropriate representation of minority and neglected groups disproportionately affected by the pandemic. No reward was offered to participants.

## 3. Measured variables

The survey gathered demographic and clinical details: age, gender, racial-ethnic group, education level, occupation, living status, whether they (or family members) had contracted Covid-19, whether someone close had died of COVID-related illness, the extent to which the participants followed government guidelines for COVID-19.

We also obtained a subjective measure of the extent to which the person was experiencing adjustment difficulties to the release of lock-down and lifting of restrictions, using the Post-Pandemic Adjustment Questionnaire - a series of seven likert-type statements (see Table 1). The Post-Pandemic Adjustment Questionnaire is a 7-item self-rated tool developed by our group specifically for this study as no other template for this purpose exists. The scale is first described in the initial report of this study (Fineberg et al., 2021, Table 1), where it was shown to significantly correlate with a validated measure of depressive/anxious/stress symptoms (DASS-21), as well as OCD symptoms (OCI-R), OCPD traits (CPAS) and a past history or family history of mental disorder. The scale is currently undergoing further evaluation by our group, including in a replication study (Open Science Framework registration: https://doi.org/10.17605/OSF.IO/XD5WZ).

OCPD traits were assessed with the self-rated version of the CPAS, which is an 8-item self-rated (or observer-rated) instrument measuring the severity of individual traits of DSM-5 OCPD. The CPAS has been found to differentiate individuals with OCPD both in a university student sample (Fineberg et al., 2015), where it was validated against an objective measure of cognitive inflexibility (ID-ED task), and among various clinical groups of patients (Gecaite-Stonciene et al., 2020; Gadelkarim et al., 2019).

Table 1

Post-pandemic adjustment questionnaire - a series of seven likert-type statements describing the presence and severity of experienced adjustment difficulties.

- 1. I am having great difficulty adjusting to the easing of the Covid-19 pandemic restrictions
- 2. I am finding it harder to manage my fears about COVID now that the Covid-19 pandemic restrictions are easing than I did when the restrictions were fully in force.
- 3. I am finding it very stressful going out of the house now that the Covid-19 pandemic restrictions are easing.
- 4. I am thinking too much about contracting or spreading Coronavirus now that the Covid-19 pandemic restrictions are easing.
- 5. I am thinking too much about other risks to my or others' physical health now that the Covid-19 pandemic restrictions are easing.
- 6. I am finding it hard to stop physical distancing or avoiding contact with people now that the Covid-19 pandemic restrictions are easing.
- 7. I am finding it hard to stop disinfecting behaviours (e.g. handwashing, use of sterile wipes, use of gloves, masks, etc.) that are no longer officially recommended now that the Covid-19 pandemic restrictions are easing.

Participants were asked to choose one of the following 5 alternative responses for each statement: Completely disagree, disagree, neither agree nor disagree, agree, completely agree. Scores on the responses were allocated from completely disagree =1 to completely agree =5).

## 4. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 27.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, N.Y., USA). The means and frequencies were calculated for socio-demographic information, COVID-19 related data, CPAS, adjustment. Expression of N (%) and mean  $\pm$  SD were used for qualitative and quantitative data respectively. For all variables, we performed normality tests, including skewness, kurtosis, and one-sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests, and found no violations of the normal distribution.

As per Fineberg et al. (2021), poor-adjusters to the COVID-19 pandemic restrictions (n = 124) were defined as those who *agreed* or *completely agreed* with the Post-Pandemic Adjustment Questionnaire statement "I am having great difficulty adjusting to the easing of the Covid-19 pandemic restrictions", while good-adjusters (n = 219) were identified as those who disagreed or completely disagreed with the same item. Ninety-five individuals endorsed 'neither agree nor disagree' and were designated 'indeterminate-responders' and were excluded from the comparative analyses – see Table 2 below).

First, using two-tailed Student's t-test for continuous variables and Fisher's  $\chi 2$  test for categorical and nominal variables, we compared poor adjusters vs. good adjusters on the measured socio-demographic characteristics and total scores on the CPAS. This comparative analysis was conducted in order to investigate possible significant differences between the two groups and identify those variables that might play a role in re-adjustment.

Next, Pearson correlation analysis was used to examine associations between individual CPAS items with all the different items on the Post-Pandemic Adjustment Questionnaire. All variables found to be statistically significant (p < .001) at this stage of analysis were then included in a series of multiple regression analyses, performed to determine if the sum of the specific CPAS items that were previously found to show a significant correlation in the Pearson correlational analysis (independent variables or predictors), predicted adjustment problems (dependent variables or outcomes) more precisely compared to the total score of the scale. We examined scatterplots of residuals to check the assumptions of the regression analysis: normality, linearity, and homoscedasticity. The variance inflation factor (all <1.2) and tolerance statistic indicated no problem with multicollinearity.

**Table 2** Characteristics of the sample.

	Total	Having great difficulty adjusting to the easing of the COVID-19 pandemic restrictions		t/χ²	p
	N = 438	Disagree n = 219	$\frac{Agree}{n = 124}$		
Age, mean $\pm$ SD	37.27 ± 13.87	$38.65 \pm \\14.97$	$34.83 \pm \\11.75$	t = 2.612	0.009
Gender, N(%) Male	113	63	25	3.074	0.080
Male	(25.8%)	(28.8%)	(20.2%)		
Female	325	156	99		
	(74.2%)	(71.2%)	(79.8%)		
Ethnicity, N(%)	076	101	105	3.143	0.694
White	376 (85.8%)	191 (87.2%)	105 (84.7%)		
Mixed	16	6(2.7%)	4(3.2%)		
Asian or Asian	(3.7%) 24	13(5.9%)	6(4.8%)		
British	(5.5%)	10(0.770)	0(7.070)		
Black or Black	7(1.6%)	2(0.9%)	3(2.4%)		
British Other	0(2 10/)	2(1,404)	A(2 204)		
Other Prefer not to say	9(2.1%) 6(1.4%)	3(1.4%) 4(1.8%)	4(3.2%) 2(1.6%)		
Education, N(%)	0(1.170)	.(2.070)	=(1.070)	2.873	0.726
GCSEs	13 (3.0%)	4(1.8%)	3(2.4%)		
A Level	50	29	13		
	(11.4%)	(13.2%)	(10.5%)		
Bachelor's Degree	146	68	46		
Master's Degree	(33.3%) 150	(31.1%) 75	(37.1%) 43		
Waster's Degree	(34.2%)	(34.2%)	(34.7%)		
Ph.D. or higher	49	29	11		
0.1	(11.2%)	(13.2%)	(8.9%)		
Other	30 (6.8%)	14(6.4%)	8(6.5%)		
Occupation, N(%)	(0.070)			11.632	0.064
Employed	286	148	71		
	(65.3%)	(67.6%)	(57.3%)		
Unemployed	(7.5%)	10(4.6%)	16		
Furloughed	(7.5%) 26	9(4.1%)	(12.9%) 8(6.5%)		
	(5.9%)	- ( 112 /0)	2(3.070)		
Retired	12 (2.7%)	7(3.2%)	3(2.4%)		
Frontline NHS	18	9(4.1%)	7(5.6%)		
For adding Arro	(4.1%)	7(0.00/)	1(0.00()		
Frontline NHS working with	8(1.8%)	7(3.2%)	1(0.8%)		
COVID patients Student	55	29	18		
Juncill	(12.6%)	(13.2%)	(14.5%)		
Living status, N(%)				7.706	0.095
Alone	59	30	11		
TATIOL Colored .	(13.5%)	(13.7%)	(8.9%)		
With friends/ roommates	62 (14.2%)	31 (14.2%)	19 (15.3%)		
Other	39	14(6.4%)	17		
	(8.9%)		(13.7%)		
With own family	216	115	56		
TATith Come!! C	(49.3%)	(52.5%)	(45.2%)		
With family of birth	62 (14.2%)	29 (13.2%)	21 (16.9%)		
Contracted COVID-	(17.470)	(10.470)	(10.770)	1.247	0.536
19, N(%)					
Yes	64	29	14		
N.	(14.6%)	(13.2%)	(11.3%)		
No	319 (72.8%)	162 (74.0%)	89 (71.8%)		
Unsure	(72.8%) 55	(74.0%)	(71.8%)		
	(12.6%)	(12.8%)	(16.9%)		

(continued on next page)

Table 2 (continued)

	Total	Having great difficulty adjusting to the easing of the COVID-19 pandemic restrictions		t/χ²	p
		Disagree	Agree		
	N = 438	n = 219	n = 124		
Death of family member related to COVID-19, N(%)				0.494	0.482
No	395	201	111		
	(90.2%)	(91.8%)	(89.5%)		
Yes	43	18(8.2%)	13		
	(9.8%)		(10.5%)		
Complied to government				23.028	< 0.001
guidance, N(%)					
Extremely well	171	74	67		
	(39.0%)	(33.8%)	(54.0%)		
Very well	181	95	42		
	(41.3%)	(43.4%)	(33.9%)		
Moderately well	62	36	11		
	(14.2%)	(16.4%)	(8.9%)		
Slightly well	15	12(5.5%)	0(0.0%)		
	(3.4%)				
Not well at all	9(2.1%)	2(0.9%)	4(3.2%)		
CPAS total score	10.64 ±	9.57 ±	$12.83 \pm$	-5.052	< 0.001
	5.58	5.00	6.13		

#### 5. Results

Characteristics of the 438 participants are displayed in Table 2. The majority of the participants (n = 325: 74%) were women; most were either employed (n = 338; 77.2%) or studying (n = 55; 12.6%). The mean age was 37 years (SD = 14). Compared to good-adjusters, pooradjusters were younger (p < .01), had a higher degree of adherence to the government rules (p < .001) and had higher CPAS total scores (p < .001).

Pearson correlation analyses showed that several CPAS items correlated significantly with the following specific items on the Post-Pandemic Adjustment Questionnaire: general difficulties in adjustment; avoidance; disinfecting behaviors (Pearson's r, all p's < 0.001) (Table 3).

General difficulties adjusting correlated (Pearson's r, all p's < 0.001) with perfectionism, preoccupation with details, over-conscientiousness and need for control (CPAS items 2, 1, 4 and 5, respectively); social avoidance correlated with perfectionism and preoccupation with details (CPAS 1 and 2); disinfecting behaviors correlated with preoccupation with details and miserliness (CPAS items 2 and 7).

No significant correlation was found between any other CPAS items and any other measures on the Post-Pandemic Adjustment Questionnaire. No significant correlation emerged between adherence to

**Table 4**Multiple regressions investigating the association of total score and selected individual items of the CPAS with adjustment problems.

	Adjustment	Avoidance	Disinfecting behaviours
CPAS total score	Items 1 to 8	Items 1 to 8	Items 1 to 8
	R <sup>2</sup> ( <b>p</b> ): .053 (<.001) β( <b>p</b> ): .198(<.001) B = .040–95%CI: [.021 .059] Cohen's f <sup>2</sup> = 0.06	R <sup>2</sup> ( <b>p</b> ): .046 (<.001) β( <b>p</b> ): .175(<.001) B = .038–95%CI: [.017 .058] Cohen's f <sup>2</sup> = 0.05	$\mathbf{R}^2$ ( <b>p</b> ): .049 (<.001) <b>β</b> ( <b>p</b> ): .212(<.001) <b>B</b> = .048–95%CI: [.027 .070] Cohen's $\mathbf{f}^2$ = 0.05
CPAS individual items	items 2, 4, 6	items 1, 2	items 1, 7
	R <sup>2</sup> ( <b>p</b> ): .057 (<.001) β( <b>p</b> ): .207(<.001) B = .093–95%CI: [.051 .135] Cohen's f <sup>2</sup> = 0.06	R <sup>2</sup> ( <b>p</b> ): .043 (<.001) β( <b>p</b> ): .166(.001) B = .113 - 95%CI: [.047 .178] Cohen's f <sup>2</sup> = 0.04	$R^2$ (p): .057 (<.001) $\beta$ (p): .231(<.001) B = .176 - 95%CI: [.104 .248] Cohen's $f^2 = 0.06$

Note:  $\beta\left(p\right)=Adjusted~\beta\text{-weights}$  (and p-values) obtained by multiple regression analyses (enter method) computed for the association between CPAS and adjustment behaviors, while controlling for age;  $R^2=$  coefficient of determination.

government guidance and any CPAS items.

Multiple regression analyses (Table 4) showed how the models (adjusted  $\beta\text{-weights}$  and p-values) including only the scores of the specific CPAS items showing a significant correlation on the Pearson analysis (independent variables) explained a significant amount of the variance (R²) and had a strong relationship (adjusted  $\beta\text{-weights})$  with the adjustment problems. N.B. In running these regression models, we controlled for age, as the only sociodemographic factor statistically significantly differentiating between poor-adjusters and good adjusters in the initial categorical analysis, and therefore as another potential factor affecting adjustment.

## 6. Discussion

Our findings describe the impact of individual OC traits on specific aspects of post-lockdown adjustment. Our a priori hypothesis was validated in so far as three of the four core OCPD traits were identified as risk factors for impaired adjustment. Of these, perfectionism and preoccupation with details were the traits showing the strongest relationship with adjustment, as they each significantly correlated with more than one item on the Post-Pandemic Adjustment Questionnaire. Perfectionism was associated with general difficulties in adjustment and avoidance, while preoccupation with details was related to avoidance and disinfecting behaviors. Individuals with perfectionism might be expected to show difficulty tolerating the relaxation of societal rules governing safety and continue to avoid social activities owing to the

**Table 3**Pearson correlations between total score and individual items of the CPAS and adjustment behaviors.

	Adjustment	Avoidance	Disinfecting behaviors
CPAS Total score	.22(<.001)	.20(<.001)	.22(<.001)
CPAS1 – Preoccupation with details	.15(.002)	.17(<.001)	.18(<.001)
CPAS2 – Perfectionism	.19(<.001)	.16(.001)	.11(.022)
CPAS3 – Workaholism	.14(.003)	.05(.251)	.08(.079)
CPAS4 – Over-conscientiousness	.17(<.001)	.14(.004)	.15(.002)
CPAS5 – Hoarding	.13(.007)	.14(.002)	.14(.002)
CPAS6 – Need for control	.16(.001)	.13(.006)	.14(.003)
CPAS7 – Miserliness	.13(.005)	.14(.004)	.21(<.001)
CPAS8 - Rigidity	.05(.265)	.11(.022)	.14(.003)

Note: Pearson's r (p); significance set at <0.001.

ongoing uncertainty and the perceived incompleteness and inconsistency of the information they have received about risks. In contrast, those with preoccupation with details, rules, lists and so on, possibly reflecting poor "central coherence" (Gadelkarim et al., 2019), might be expected to value more and therefore hold onto, previously reinforced rules around safety-behaviours, such as washing and disinfecting.

Other OC traits bearing a relationship with one aspect of adjustment included over-conscientiousness and need for control, which were also associated with general adjustment difficulties. Individuals with these traits might be expected to struggle as they feel a strong sense of duty to act well and thoroughly; and are sensitized to and unduly distressed by any inconsistency or inadequacy in the ways other people behave and over which, they are unable to exert personal control. Interestingly, however, whereas we might have expected those with conscientiousness or rule-bound traits to adhere more thoroughly to government guidance during the pandemic, our analysis did not confirm this relationship. Therefore, whereas adjustment was associated with rule-adherence across the whole study sample, adherence did not appear to explain the specific relationship between OCPD and adjustment. The absence of a relationship between OCPD and adherence to government guidance is to some extent a counterintuitive finding, as it might be expected that perfectionist, detail-focused traits would result in stricter adherence to statutory guidance, and thereby confer adaptive advantage in terms of greater protection against infection during the pandemic itself. Our findings raise the intriguing possibility that OCPD traits do not in fact confer such an advantage or an adaptive profile for adherence to government guidance and COVID-19 rules.

Intriguingly, miserliness, a somewhat controversial diagnostic criterion for OCPD (Fineberg et al., 2007), was significantly associated with the maintenance of disinfecting behaviors. Miserliness may represent an alternative and 'literal' behavioral marker of inflexible ways of thinking and behaving, and therefore may be easily recognized and endorsed by participants with rigid behavioral styles. However, unexpectedly, rigidity was not among those personality traits associated with adjustment problems. This was unexpected, given that we (Fineberg et al., 2021) had previously found that poor adjustment was linked to rigidity as assessed using an objective cognitive task (IDED task, Robbins at al., 1995). A failure in meta-cognition associated with lack of personal insight into being rigid or stubborn has been reported in people with OCPD (Oltmanns et al., 2005). Therefore, one possibility is that people may have had difficulty recognizing the trait of cognitive rigidity in themselves and underscored this item on the self-rated version of the CPAS. Our results suggest that in future studies, rigidity might be better assessed using either clinician-rated scales or objective cognitive tasks rather than self-assessment.

As around one quarter of the adult public are struggling to adjust (Fineberg et al., 2021), these findings are likely to have public health implications. Our findings suggest that personality traits play an important role in determining who will develop adjustment problems, regardless of the degree of prior adherence to the safety rules. Greater awareness of the difficulties that some sections of the public are experiencing in adjusting and the health inequalities underpinning these difficulties is important, considering the expectation that many sections of the public will have to return to in-person activities at some point (BDBF, 2021). These OCPD traits may therefore constitute a platform for the development of new screening and interventional strategies aimed at restoring public mental health and wellbeing as we recover from this pandemic. Moreover, as lifelong traits, they are likely to carry predictive value for adjustment in the case of future similar critical life events.

By recognizing and identifying those individuals most at risk, public and occupational health policy may be adapted, and timely interventional strategies developed and adopted, e.g., psychoeducation, guided self-help, reasonable workplace adjustments such as graduated return, etc., before adjustment problems become chronic and entrenched. Employees routinely undergo psychological assessment to detect traits of relevance to occupational performance. As in-person working is re-

established, employers could pay attention to the presence of these specific OCPD traits to identify those employees likely to find it harder to re-adjust to previous working habits, and who could therefore benefit from specific assistance and support. However, it should be pointed out that OCPD has to date received relatively little research attention and no evidence-based treatment exists. Therefore, this work also draws attention to the need for new investigation of interventional strategies for OCPD (Marincowitz et al., 2021).

## 7. Limitations

Admittedly, only a modest proportion of the variance in adjustment can be attributed to the OCPD traits – around 4–6%; however, this is contextualized by the fact that any variance can be explained using so few items to predict very specific single item adjustment outcomes. Indeed, while the amount of variance explained might on the face of it seem quite small, the regression values correspond to Cohen's d values of somewhere between 0.40 and 0.50. In considering the clinical importance of these effect sizes, it should be recognized that sometimes even small effects can have significant implications. It may be, for example, that such an effect accumulates with (or interacts with) other factors not yet tested. Moreover, it is thought likely that the overall tendency to adjust well or not will be multifactorial and consist of many small cognitive and behavioral 'nudges' (none necessarily large). This finding suggests that existing OCD-like traits represent one such 'nudge'. Replication of this finding in another study would be welcome.

Importantly, these traits are not likely to occur as a consequence of the Covid-19 pandemic, but instead represent relatively stable, pre-existing risk factors and thus may not be readily or immediately amenable to simple educational interventions in the opposite direction (e.g., by governments and advisors offering health advice).

We nevertheless believe that it could be useful and feasible to screen for these OCPD traits (though our study is not designed to address this point), as the CPAS scale consists only of 8 items and can be used as a self-rated instrument. For whom and in which contexts screening should take place, is a very interesting question that would need careful consideration and to be based on empirical evidence. For example, assessment for OCPD could possibly be readily incorporated into occupational health assessment for those struggling to return to work.

Another limitation of our cross-sectional design is that we are unable to confirm the direction of causality i.e., whether OCPD traits result in problems adjusting. Although OCPD as a construct is thought to be reasonably stable across adulthood, there is also evidence that specific traits may change over time (Nestadt et al., 2010). It is therefore possible that the stress of the pandemic and the current post-lockdown situation might have triggered or exacerbated OCPD traits, that only became evident on testing afterward.

## 8. Conclusion

Of the wide range of OCPD traits predicting problems adjusting postpandemic, perfectionism and preoccupation with details showed the most robust correlations. These traits constitute a platform for the development of new screening and interventional strategies aimed at restoring public mental health and wellbeing. Cognitive rigidity may be more reliably evaluated using an objective form of assessment.

#### Role of funding source

The European Social Fund under the No 09.3.3-LMT-K-712-19-0127 "Development of Competences of Scientists, other Researchers and Students through Practical Research Activities" funded Dr. Julius Burkauskas' work.

#### Author statement

All persons who meet authorship criteria are listed as authors, and all authors certify that they have participated sufficiently in the work to take public responsibility for the content, including participation in the concept, design, analysis, writing, or revision of the manuscript.

#### Disclosure

Prof. Naomi A. Fineberg declares that in the past 3 years she has held research or networking grants from the UK NIHR, EU H2020, Wellcome Trust; she has accepted travel and/or hospitality expenses from the BAP, ECNP, RCPsych, CINP, International Forum of Mood and Anxiety Disorders, World Psychiatric Association, Indian Association for Biological Psychiatry; she has received payment from Elsevier for editorial duties. Previously, she has accepted paid speaking engagements in various industry supported symposia and has recruited patients for various industry sponsored studies in the field of OCD treatment. She leads an NHS treatment service for OCD. She holds Board membership for various registered charities linked to OCD. She gives expert advice on psychopharmacology to the UK MHRA. In the past several years Dr. Julius Burkauskas has been serving as a consultant to Cogstate, Ltd.

Dr. Luca Pellegrini, Dr. Aaron Clarke and Prof. Keith R. Laws report no financial relationships with commercial interests.

## Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to acknowledge the International College of Obsessive-Compulsive Spectrum Disorders (www.ICOCS.org) and the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology (ECNP) Obsessive-Compulsive and Related Disorders Research Network (OCRN), who have contributed to the development of this article through network opportunities. This publication is based upon work from COST Action CA16207 European Network for Problematic Usage of the Internet, supported by COST (European Cooperation in Science and Technology), www.cost.eu.

## References

- BBC News, 2021. Newspaper Headlines: "Freedom Day Farce" and PM's Isolation "Flip Flopping.
- BDBF, 2021. Will Employees Really Be Given the Right to Work from Home Forever? [WWW Document]. BDBF LLP. URL. https://www.bdbf.co.uk/will-employees-really-be-given-the-right-to-work-from-home-forever/ (accessed 7.24.21).
- Burkauskas, J., Fineberg, N.A., 2020. History and epidemiology of OCPD (Chapter 1). In: Grant, Jon E., Pinto, Anthony, Chamberlain, Samuel R. (Eds.), Obsessive-compulsive Personality Disorder/. American Psychiatric Association Publishing, Washington, DC, 2020
- Chadha, J., 2021. How Will the UK Economy Emerge from the Shadow of Covid-19? the Guardian [WWW Document]. http://www.theguardian.com/business/2021/jun/30/how-will-the-uk-economy-emerge-from-the-shadow-of-covid-19 (accessed 7.20.21).
- Chandu, V., Pachava, S., 2020. Development and initial validation of coronavirus disease (COVID-19) anxiety scale. Indian journal of public health. Combating the COVID-19 crisis: emerging issues and challenges special issue on the COVID-19 pandemic. Indian J. Publ. Health 64, 201–204. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijph.JPH 492 20.
- Fineberg, N.A., Sharma, P., Sivakumaran, T., Sahakian, B., Chamberlain, S., 2007. Does obsessive-compulsive personality disorder belong within the obsessive-compulsive

- spectrum? CNS Spectr. 12, 467–482. https://doi.org/10.1017/
- Fineberg, N.A., Day, G.A., Koenigswarter, N. de, Reghunandanan, S., Kolli, S., Jefferies-Sewell, K., Hranov, G., Laws, K.R., 2015. The neuropsychology of obsessive-compulsive personality disorder: a new analysis. CNS Spectr. 20, 490–499. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852914000662.
- Fineberg, N.A., Pellegrini, L., Wellsted, D., Hall, N., Corazza, O., Giorgetti, V., Cicconcelli, D., Theofanous, E., Sireau, N., Adam, D., Chamberlain, S.R., Laws, K.R., 2021. Facing the "new normal": how adjusting to the easing of COVID-19 lockdown restrictions exposes mental health inequalities. J. Psychiatr. Res. 141, 276–286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.07.001.
- Foa, E.B., Huppert, J.D., Leiberg, S., Langner, R., Kichic, R., Hajcak, G., Salkovskis, P.M., 2002. The Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory: development and validation of a short version. Psychol. Assess. 14, 485–496. https://doi.org/10.1037/1040-3590 .14.4.485.
- De Fruyt, F., De Clercq, B.J., van de Wiele, L., Van Heeringen, K., 2006. The validity of Cloninger's psychobiological model versus the five-factor model to predict DSM-IV personality disorders in a heterogeneous psychiatric sample: domain facet and residualized facet descriptions. J. Pers. 74 (2), 479–510. https://doi.org/10.1111/j. 1467-6494.2006.00382.x.
- Gadelkarim, W., Shahper, S., Reid, J., Wikramanayake, M., Kaur, S., Kolli, S., Osman, S., Fineberg, N.A., 2019. Overlap of obsessive-compulsive personality disorder and autism spectrum disorder traits among OCD outpatients: an exploratory study. Int. J. Psychiatr. Clin. Pract. 23, 297–306. https://doi.org/10.1080/ 12651501.2010.1622020
- Gecaite-Stonciene, J., Fineberg, N.A., Podlipskyte, A., Neverauskas, J., Juskiene, A., Mickuviene, N., Burkauskas, J., 2020. Mental fatigue, but not other fatigue characteristics, as a candidate feature of obsessive compulsive personality disorder in patients with anxiety and Mood disorders—an exploratory study. Int. J. Environ. Res. Publ. Health 17 (21), 8132. https://doi.org/10.3390/jjerph17218132.
- Haigler, E.D., Widiger, T.A., 2001. Experimental manipulation of NEO-PI-R items.
  J. Pers. Assess. 77 (2), 339–358. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327752JPA7702\_14.
- Knolle, F., Ronan, L., Murray, G.K., 2021. The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on mental health in the general population: a comparison between Germany and the UK. BMC Psychology 9, 60. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-021-00565-y.
- Liu, N., Zhang, F., Wei, C., Jia, Y., Shang, Z., Sun, L., et al., 2020. Prevalence and predictors of PTSS during COVID-19 outbreak in China hardest-hit areas: gender differences matter. Psychiatr. Res. 287, 112921. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. psychres.2020.112921.
- Lovibond, S.H., Lovibond, P.F., 1995. The structure of negative emotional states: comparison of the depression anxiety stress scales (DASS) with the beck depression and anxiety inventories. Behav. Res. Ther. 33, 335–343. https://doi. org/10.1016/0005-7967(94)00075-u.
- Marincowitz, C., Lochner, C., Stein, D.J., 2021. The neurobiology of obsessivecompulsive personality disorder: a systematic review. CNS Spectr. 1–39. https://doi. org/10.1017/S1092852921000754.
- McGinty, E.E., Presskreischer, R., Han, H., Barry, C.L., 2020. Psychological distress and loneliness reported by US adults in 2018 and april 2020. JAMA. https://doi.org/ 10.1001/jama.2020.9740.
- Nestadt, G., Di, C., Samuels, J.F., Bienvenu, O.J., Reti, I.M., Costa, P., Eaton, W.W., Bandeen-Roche, K., 2010. The stability of DSM personality disorders over twelve to eighteen years. J. Psychiatr. Res. 44, 1. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jpsychires.2009.06.009.
- Oltmanns, T.F., Gleason, M.E.J., Klonsky, E.D., Turkheimer, E., 2005. Meta-perception for pathological personality traits: do we know when others think that we are difficult? Conscious. Cognit. 14, 739–751. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. coppor. 2005.07.001
- Robbins, T.W., James, M., Owen, A.M., Sahakian, B.J., Lawrence, A.D., McInnes, L., et al., 1998. A study of performance on tests from the CANTAB battery sensitive to frontal lobe dysfunction in a large sample of normal volunteers: implications for theories of executive functioning and cognitive aging. Cambridge Neuropsychological Test Automated Battery. J. Int. Neuropsychol. Soc. 4, 474–490. https://doi.org/10.1017/s1355617798455073.
- The Guardian, A., 2021a. Covid Freedom Day Is Stressful when We Are Left to Make All the Decisions [WWW Document]. http://www.theguardian.com/world/202 1/jul/17/to-mask-or-not-to-mask-that-is-the-question-now-in-bishops-stortford (accessed 7.20.21).A.
- The Guardian, B., 2021b. Tell Us: How Are You Dealing with Uncertainty Related to the Pandemic? [WWW Document]. http://www.theguardian.com/world/2021/ma y/13/tell-us-how-are-you-dealing-with-uncertainty-related-to-the-covid-pandemic (accessed 7.22.21).
- Tian, F., Li, H., Tian, S., Yang, J., Shao, J., Tian, C., 2020. Psychological symptoms of ordinary Chinese citizens based on SCL-90 during the level I emergency response to COVID-19. Psychiatr. Res. 288, 112992 https://doi.org/10.1016/j. psychres.2020.112992.