

Characterization of Squamosa-Promoter Binding [Protein-Box Family Genes Reveals](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021.775690/full) the Critical Role of MsSPL20 in Alfalfa Flowering Time Regulation

OPEN ACCESS

Edited by:

Chris Helliwell, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation (CSIRO), Australia

Reviewed by:

Touming Liu, Institute of Bast Fiber Crops, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences (CAAS), China Hongmin Hou, Qingdao Agricultural University, China

*Correspondence:

Yongzhen Pang pangyongzhen@caas.cn Xuemin Wang wangxuemin@caas.cn †These authors have contributed equally to this work and share first authorship

Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Development and EvoDevo, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 14 September 2021 Accepted: 14 December 2021 Published: 05 January 2022

Citation:

Ma L, Liu X, Liu W, Wen H, Zhang Y, Pang Y and Wang X (2022) Characterization of Squamosa-Promoter Binding Protein-Box Family Genes Reveals the Critical Role of MsSPL20 in Alfalfa Flowering Time Regulation. Front. Plant Sci. 12:775690. doi: [10.3389/fpls.2021.775690](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.775690) Lin Ma $^{\textit{1}\dagger},$ Xiqiang Liu $^{\textit{2}\dagger},$ Wenhui Liu $^{\textit{3}},$ Hongyu Wen $^{\textit{1}},$ Yongchao Zhang $^{\textit{3}},$ Yongzhen Pang $^{\textit{1}\dagger}$ and Xuemin Wang¹ *

¹ Institute of Animal Science, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Beijing, China, ² College of Grassland Science and Technology, China Agricultural University, Beijing, China, ³ Key Laboratory of Superior Forage Germplasm in the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, Qinghai Academy of Animal Science and Veterinary Medicine, Qinghai University, Xining, China

SQUAMOSA Promoter-binding protein-Like (SPL) genes affect a broad range of plant biological processes and show potential application in crop improvement by genetic modification. As the most widely planted forage crop in the world, biomass and abiotic stresses tolerance are important breeding targets for alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). Nevertheless, the systematic analysis of SPL genes in alfalfa genome remains lacking. In the present study, we characterized 22 putative non-redundant SPL genes in alfalfa genome and uncovered the abundant structural variation among MsSPL genes. The phylogenetic analysis of plant SPL proteins separated them into 10 clades and clade J was an alfalfa-specific clade, suggesting SPL genes in alfalfa might have experienced gene duplication and functional differentiation within the genome. Meanwhile, 11 MsSPL genes with perfect matches to miRNA response elements (MREs) could be degraded by miR156, and the cleavage sites were gene specific. In addition, we investigated the temporal and spatial expression patterns of MsSPL genes and their expression patterns in response to multiple treatments, characterizing candidate SPL genes in alfalfa development and abiotic stress tolerant regulation. More importantly, overexpression of the alfalfa-specific SPL gene (MsSPL20) showed stable delayed flowering time, as well as increased biomass. Further studies indicated that MsSPL20 delayed flowering time by regulating the expression of genes involved in floret development, including HD3A, FTIP1, TEM1, and HST1. Together, our findings provide valuable information for future research and utilization of SPL genes in alfalfa and elucidate a possibly alfalfa-specific flowering time regulation, thereby supplying candidate genes for alfalfa molecular-assisted breeding.

Keywords: alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.), expression patterns, MsSPL20, flowering time, SPL family genes

INTRODUCTION

Over the past two decades, SQUAMOSA Promoter-binding protein-Like (SPL) genes, which encode a class of plantspecific transcription factors, have been shown to affect a broad range of plant biological processes [\(Chen et al.,](#page-12-0) [2010\)](#page-12-0). These processes include the timing of vegetative phase changes and floral induction, the rate of leaf initiation, shoot regeneration and branching, anthocyanin and trichome production, stress responses, carotenoid biosynthesis, and lateral root development [\(Gou et al.,](#page-13-0) [2011;](#page-13-0) [Jung et al.,](#page-13-1) [2012;](#page-13-1) [Cui et al.,](#page-12-1) [2015;](#page-12-1) [Zhang](#page-14-0) [et al.,](#page-14-0) [2015;](#page-14-0) [Ioannidi et al.,](#page-13-2) [2016;](#page-13-2) [Preston et al.,](#page-13-3) [2016\)](#page-13-3). SPL proteins are characterized by the presence of a highly conserved SQUAMOSA-PROMOTER BINDING PROTEIN (SBP) domain which is consisted of approximately 78 amino acid residues containing a nuclear localization signal (NLS) motif and two Zn finger-like structural motifs [\(Yang et al.,](#page-14-1) [2008\)](#page-14-1). SPL genes regulate the transcription of downstream genes through the binding of the SBP domain to GTAC core motif, thereby participating in the regulation of plant growth and development [\(Birkenbihl et al.,](#page-12-2) [2005\)](#page-12-2). In addition, most SPL genes can be degraded by miRNAs and the miRNA Responsive Element (MRE) lie downstream of the conserved SBP domain [\(Gandikota et al.,](#page-13-4) [2007;](#page-13-4) [Molnar et al.,](#page-13-5) [2007\)](#page-13-5).

Since the cloning and investigation of the first two SPL genes, AmSBP1 and AmSBP2, in Antirrhinum majus [\(Klein et al.,](#page-13-6) [1996\)](#page-13-6), SPL genes have been identified and characterized from various plant species, including Arabidopsis, rice, wheat, maize, cotton, soybean, etc. [\(Cardon et al.,](#page-12-3) [1999;](#page-12-3) [Xie et al.,](#page-13-7) [2006;](#page-13-7) [Zhang et al.,](#page-14-2) [2014;](#page-14-2) [Tripathi et al.,](#page-13-8) [2017;](#page-13-8) [Cai et al.,](#page-12-4) [2018;](#page-12-4) [Wei et al.,](#page-13-9) [2018\)](#page-13-9). The classification of the SPL family has been contentious, with six to nine major clades identified by various researchers through neighbor-joining phylogenetic analysis [\(Guo et al.,](#page-13-10) [2008\)](#page-13-10). Nevertheless, phylogenetic evidence supports the derivation of multiple SPL paralogs from the ancestral SPL genes following gene duplication and speciation, which have been maintained in the genome by positive Darwinian selection [\(Preston and](#page-13-11) [Hileman,](#page-13-11) [2013;](#page-13-11) [Zhong et al.,](#page-14-3) [2019\)](#page-14-3). These findings indicate the vital roles of SPL genes in plants, as positive Darwinian selection reflects adaptation to novel ecological conditions.

Recent studies have suggested that SPL genes participate in the regulation of multiple agronomic traits and demonstrated their potential application for crop genetic modification [\(Wang](#page-13-12) [and Wang,](#page-13-12) [2015\)](#page-13-12). The most elucidated SPL genes, OsSPL14/IPA1 (Ideal Plant Architecture1), have been found to directly activate the expression of OsTB1 (Teosinte Branched1) and OsDEP1 (Dense and Erect Panicle1), which regulate rice tilling and panicle morphology, respectively [\(Wang J. et al.,](#page-13-13) [2017\)](#page-13-13). Moreover, OsSPL14 acts on OsD53 (Dwarf53) via a feedback regulatory mechanism to mediate strigolactone (SL)-regulated tiller development [\(Song et al.,](#page-13-14) [2017\)](#page-13-14). On the other hand, SPL genes play critical roles in the regulation of plant biotic and abiotic stress tolerance. SPL9 has been found to balance reproduction and survival by directly regulating the biosynthesis of anthocyanin through PAP1 (production of anthocyanin pigments1) and DFR (dihydroflavonol-4-reductase)

[\(Cui et al.,](#page-12-1) [2015\)](#page-12-1). Over-expressing of BpSPL9 in birch (Betula platyphylla Suk.) improves the scavenging of ROS under abiotic stress, thus indicating the strong contribution of this gene to salt and drought resistance [\(Ning et al.,](#page-13-15) [2017\)](#page-13-15).

Alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.) is the most important, widely grown forage plant in the world because of its high biomass, notable adaptability, exceptional nutritive value, and remarkable biological nitrogen fixation capacity [\(Russelle et al.,](#page-13-16) [2007;](#page-13-16) [Gou](#page-13-17) [et al.,](#page-13-17) [2018\)](#page-13-17). Given the critical roles of SPL genes in plants, several SPL genes in alfalfa have been reported to participate in the regulation of multiple developmental processes and abiotic stress tolerance [\(Gao et al.,](#page-13-18) [2018;](#page-13-18) [Gou et al.,](#page-13-17) [2018;](#page-13-17) [Feyissa](#page-12-5) [et al.,](#page-12-5) [2019,](#page-12-5) [2021;](#page-12-6) [Lorenzo et al.,](#page-13-19) [2019\)](#page-13-19). For instance, transgenic alfalfa silencing MsSPL13 displays more lateral branches and delayed flowering time, and the shoot branching genes were significantly down-regulated in SPL13 RNAi plants [\(Gao et al.,](#page-13-18) [2018\)](#page-13-18). In shaded alfalfa plants, the expression level of MsSPL3 is significantly down-regulated; and overexpression of MsSPL3 in Arabidopsis resulted in an early flowering phenotype [\(Lorenzo](#page-13-19) [et al.,](#page-13-19) [2019\)](#page-13-19). Research on an enhanced branching mutant of Medicago truncatula reveals that the loss of function of spl8 increases biomass, while the over-expression of SPL8 inhibits branching by suppressing axillary bud formation; the latter is also true for MsSPL8 in alfalfa [\(Gou et al.,](#page-13-17) [2018\)](#page-13-17). Despite all of factors, a systematic analysis of SPL genes in alfalfa genome still remains lacking. As an important trait in alfalfa, the timing of flowering (TOF) guides the determination of harvesting time since farmers often cut alfalfa at the early bloom stage, which helps to balance forage quality and biomass [\(Adhikari et al.,](#page-12-7) [2019\)](#page-12-7). Recently, information on the genome of autotetraploid alfalfa has been made public, allowing us to perform a detailed systematic analysis of SPL genes in alfalfa and helping us to understand the genetic and genomic basis of alfalfa flowering time regulation [\(Chen et al.,](#page-12-8) [2020;](#page-12-8) [Shen et al.,](#page-13-20) [2020\)](#page-13-20).

In the present study, we characterized 22 putative nonredundant SPL genes in alfalfa. We uncovered abundant structural variation among the 22 MsSPL genes, and a phylogenetic analysis of plant SPL proteins separated them into 10 clades with an alfalfa-specific clade (J). Moreover, we found that 11 MsSPL genes with perfect matches to MRE could be degraded by miR156, and the cleavage sites were gene specific. We also investigated the temporal and spatial expression patterns of MsSPL genes. In addition, the expression patterns of MsSPL genes under normal growth conditions and in response to multiple treatments were also measured. More importantly, transgenic alfalfa over-expressing MsSPL20 (a alfalfa-specific SPL gene) showed a stable delayed flowering time phenotype, as well as increased biomass. Further RNAseq analysis demonstrated the possible molecular mechanism of MsSPL20 in alfalfa flowering time regulation. The detailed results presented here provide valuable information for future research and utilization of SPL genes in alfalfa, and contribute to elucidating the genetic basis of flowering time regulation in alfalfa, thereby supplying candidate genes for alfalfa molecularassisted breeding.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant Materials and Treatments

Alfalfa seeds (Medicago sativa L. cultivar Zhongmu No. 1) were surface sterilized with 70% (v/v) ethanol for 5 min, swilled with ddH₂O several times, and then sown in pots with soil. The seedlings were grown at 25◦C (14 h/10 h of light/dark) in a greenhouse and watered every 5 days. The investigated tissues, including root, stem, head, leaf, flower, and seedpod, in various growing stages were sampled for tissue-specific expression analysis.

The seeds of Zhongmu No. 1 were surface sterilized and germinated on filter paper for 7 days; then, seedlings with a primary root length of 1.5 cm were transferred to a hydroponics system with 1/2 MS nutrient solution and grown in a greenhouse until the third compound leaf unfolded. The seedlings were, respectively, watered with 200 mM NaCl, 18% (w/v) PEG 6000, and exposed to 4◦C for simulating saline, drought, and cold treatments. For plant hormone treatments, GA3, GR24, IAA, and ABA at 0.1 mM concentration were added to the culture solution. The seedlings in each treatment were collected at 0, 2, 12, and 24 h. All samples were immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at –80◦C for subsequent RNA extraction.

RNA Extraction and Gene Expression Analyses

Total RNA was extracted using an EastepTM Super Total RNA Extraction Kit (Promega; code LS1040) and the firststrand cDNA synthesis was performed with TransScript One-Step gDNA Removal and cDNA Synthesis SuperMix (TransGen; code AT311) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantitative real-time PCR was conducted on an ABI QuantStuio 7 Flex RT-PCR instrument with SYBR Premix Ex Taq (Tokoya; code RR820A) according to the manufactures' instructions. The relative expression levels of target genes were calculated using the $-2^{\triangle\triangle C T}$ method. The specific primers used for qRT-PCR are listed in **[Supplementary Table 1](#page-12-9)**.

Identification and Gene Structure Analyses of SQUAMOSA Promoter-Binding Protein-Like Family Members in Alfalfa

Two strategies were used to search for the members of the SPL family genes in XinJiangDaYe genome.^{[1](#page-2-0)} First, the SBP domain (PF03110) protein sequence was used as query sequence to carry out BLASTP search with an E-value cutoff of 1E−⁴ . Additionally, SPL genes from other species were used as query sequences to perform BLASTN searches to find out SPL genes in alfalfa. The redundant sequences were subsequently removed from the obtained sequences. Finally, domain analysis programs in SMART[2](#page-2-1) were applied to confirm that if the obtained sequences were likely to be SPL proteins. The molecular weight (MW) and isoelectric point (PI) of each protein were calculated using

¹<https://alfalfatoolbox.org/blast/>

²<http://smart.embl-heidelberg.de/>

ExPASy.^{[3](#page-2-2)} The exon/intron structure of SPL genes was determined based on the alignment of the open reading frame (ORF) sequences with their corresponding genomic sequences, and the corresponding structure diagrams were acquired by using the Gene Structure Server (GSDS 2.0).[4](#page-2-3)

Chromosomal Distribution and Collinearity Analyses

The schematic diagram of chromosomal distributions of MsSPL genes were drawn by using MG2C software, based on their location information and chromosomal length of alfalfa.^{[5](#page-2-4)} Collinearity analysis of the SPL genes in alfalfa genome was performed by using multiple collinear scanning toolkits (MCScan X) with an E-value set to 10^{-5} [\(Wang Y. P. et al.,](#page-13-21) [2012\)](#page-13-21).

Phylogenetic and Conserved Motif Analyses of SQUAMOSA Promoter-Binding Protein-Like Proteins

A total of 57 SPL proteins of related plants, including 19 from rice [\(Xie et al.,](#page-13-7) [2006\)](#page-13-7), 16 from Arabidopsis [\(Cardon et al.,](#page-12-3) [1999\)](#page-12-3), and 22 from alfalfa, were selected for phylogenetic analysis. An un-rooted phylogenetic tree was constructed by using MEGA 7.0[6](#page-2-5) followed by multiple sequence alignments via the neighborjoining method (NJ), and the bootstrap analysis was conducted using 1,000 replicates and gaps/missing data were treated by complete deletion. MEME Suite Version 4.12.0[7](#page-2-6) was used to detect the conserved domains of SPL proteins with the following parameters: width of each motif was 20–200 amino acid residues; the maximum number of motifs was 3; and other parameters were set to default values.

Validation of miRNA Cleavage Site by RNA Ligase-Mediated 5'RACE Assay

A previously reported RNA ligase-mediated 5'RACE (RLM-5'-RACE) assay was employed to validate miRNA cleavage sites by using a modified RLM-RACE kit (Invitrogen; code D315) in alfalfa [\(Li et al.,](#page-13-22) [2015\)](#page-13-22). Briefly, approximately 2 μ g of total RNA was used for the ligation of an RNA oligo adaptor without calf intestinal phosphatase treatment. For the first round of PCR, the 5'RACE outer primer together with gene-specific outer primer was used, and a nested PCR amplification was then carried out using the 5'RACE inner primer together with gene-specific inner primer. The obtained PCR products were then cloned into vector for sequencing.

Subcellular Localization and Trans-Activation Activity Assay

The full-length coding sequence of MsSPL20 without the stop codon was fused upstream of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) under the control of the CaMV 35S promoter to generate

³http://web.expasy.org/compute_pi/

⁴<http://gsds.cbi.pku.edu.cn/>

⁵http://mg2c.iask.in/mg2c_v2.0/

⁶<https://megasoftware.net>

⁷<http://meme-suite.org/tools/meme>

pCAMBIA1302:MsSPL20-GFP. The recombinant plasmid was confirmed by sequencing and transferred into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 using the freezing/heat-shock method. The subcellular localization of the fusion protein was investigated with a tobacco transient expression system [\(Liu et al.,](#page-13-23) [2017\)](#page-13-23). For transactivation activity assays, full-length MsSPL20 was cloned into the pGBKT7 vector to construct BD-MsSPL20. The detailed assay was performed according to a previous report [\(Ma et al.,](#page-13-24) [2019\)](#page-13-24).

Transformation and Transcriptome Assays

For transformation assay, the coding sequence of MsSPL20 was obtained through PCR amplification using MsSPL20-F and MsSPL20-R and inserted into the modified pBI121 vector (GUS deletion) through seamless cloning (Aidlai, Lot: CV1901). The verified construct pBI121:MsSPL20 was transferred into Agrobacterium strain GV3101 using the freezing/heatshock method. Transgenic alfalfa plants were obtained by Agrobacterium-mediated transformation as previously reported [\(Wang X. M. et al.,](#page-13-25) [2017\)](#page-13-25).

For transcriptome assay, the selected transgenic alfalfa and control plants were propagated using shoot cuttings. Total RNA samples from three biological replicated of OE and control plants were isolated from the mixed leaves and heads tissues which were cultured as consistent as possible. RNA-seq and the following data analysis were completed by Genedenovo Biotechnology Co., Ltd. (Guangzhou, China).

RESULTS

Genome-Wide Identification and Bioinformatic Analyses of MsSPL Genes

Through BLAST searches and domain conformation analyses, we identified 84 putative SPL gene sequences in XinJiangDaYe genome (see text footnote 1). After confirming the SBP domain in SMART, 72 of them contained SBP domain and distributed in alfalfa chromosomes except Chr1.4, 4.3, 5.2, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, and 6.4 (**[Supplementary Figure 1](#page-12-9)**). We subsequently clustered these 72 putative SPL genes and obtained 22 non-redundant MsSPL genes, including their CDSs and genomic sequences in alfalfa (**[Supplementary Figure 2](#page-12-9)**). These 22 MsSPL genes were randomly distributed on 7 chromosomal groups and were designated as MsSPL1–MsSPL22 on the basis of their chromosomal locations (**[Figure 1](#page-4-0)**). The molecular weight of these 22 MsSPL proteins ranged from 16.58 kD (MsSPL8) to 130.58 kD (MsSPL7), and their isoelectric points varied from 5.66 (MsSPL3) to 9.23 (MsSPL17) (**[Supplementary Table 2](#page-12-9)**).

Exon/intron and conserved motif analyses showed that MsSPL genes varied in gene structure and motifs. Ten MsSPL genes (MsSPL1, 5, 11, 12, 15, 17–20, and 22) had the classical SPL gene structure, namely, three exons and two introns, while the remaining genes contained 2 (MsSPL3, 4, 8, 9 and 21), 4 (MsSPL10 and 14), 9 (MsSPL13), 10 (MsSPL6, 7 and 16), and 11 (MsSPL2) exons (**[Supplementary Figure 3](#page-12-9)**). Moreover, we detected three conserved motifs among 22 MsSPL proteins.

Motif I corresponded to the conserved SBP domain, which was commonly existed in all MsSPL proteins. In particular, MsSPL13 contained two independent SBP domains (Motif I). Motif II, a type of transmembrane region, was detected in MsSPL2, 6, and 16. Motif III was the conserved ANK domain, which presented in MsSPL2 and MsSPL16 (**[Supplementary Figure 4](#page-12-9)**).

Phylogenetic Analyses of the SQUAMOSA Promoter-Binding Protein-Like Gene Family

To evaluate the evolutionary relationships of plant SPL genes, we constructed the phylogenetic tree based on the alignment of amino acid sequences of 57 SPL proteins. The 57 SPL proteins included 22 MsSPL proteins as well as SPL proteins from the representative dicot Arabidopsis (16) and monocot rice (19). In the phylogenetic tree, the 57 SPL proteins were divided into ten clades: A (9 members), B (5), C (2), D (6), E (2), F (8), G (10), H (3), I (10), and J (2) (**[Figure 2](#page-5-0)**). SPL proteins from dicotyledonous and monocotyledonous plants were found in all groups except for clade J, indicating that SPL genes existed before the divergence of dicots from monocots and then evolved independently. Moreover, clade J was consisted solely of two SPL proteins from alfalfa suggesting that MsSPL5 and MsSPL20 were alfalfa-specific SPL genes. These results demonstrate that SPL genes in alfalfa might have experienced gene duplication and functional differentiation within the genome (**[Figure 2](#page-5-0)**).

Furthermore, we constructed another phylogenetic tree of MsSPL genes based on the alignment of the CDSs of 22 MsSPL genes followed by multiple sequence alignment via the neighborjoining method in MEGA 7.0. The inferred evolutionary relationships of the 22 MsSPL genes were consistent with the results of the phylogenetic analysis of the 57 SPL proteins (**[Supplementary Figure 3](#page-12-9)**). Taking the results of the gene structural analysis into additional consideration, and assuming that SPL genes with similar gene structures and conserved motifs have similar functions, we hypothesize that the specific motifs harbored by SPL genes are likely the main reason for the variations in functions observed among members of this gene family.

Prediction and Validation of miRNA Cleavage Sites in MsSPL Genes

Since small RNAs and their targets are evolutionarily conserved among plant species, we predicted potential MREs in MsSPL genes using psRNATarget.^{[8](#page-3-0)} As a result, 13 MsSPL genes were predicted to be degraded by miR156. Among these predicted targets, MsSPL14 and MsSPL18 showed one and two mismatches with the middle portion of miR156a sequence, respectively. Ten MsSPL genes (MsSPL1, 3, 5, 10–13, 15, 17, and 20) had sequences consistent with that of miR156a; and the remaining MsSPL19 was predicted to be degraded by miR156e (**[Supplementary Table 3](#page-12-9)**).

To verify in vitro that miR156 mediates the cleavage of its target MsSPL genes, we performed the RLM-5'-RACE assay modified for use in alfalfa. Total RNA was extracted from a

⁸<http://plantgrn.noble.org/psRNATarget/>

mixture of alfalfa tissues, and gene-specific outer and inner

primers were designed for 13 putative miR156-targeted MsSPL genes (**[Supplementary Table 3](#page-12-9)**). After sequencing 10 positive clones obtained by nested PCR amplification, we determined that the 11 MsSPL genes with perfect matches to miR156 could be degraded by miR156 and that the cleavage sites were gene specific (**[Figure 3](#page-6-0)**). In contrast, we were unable to detect the predicted miR156 target cleavage fragments in MsSPL14 and MsSPL18, which had one and two mismatches to miR156, respectively, suggesting that these two SPL genes are not degraded by miR156.

Temporal and Spatial Expression Patterns of MsSPL Genes in Alfalfa

To clarify the roles of MsSPL genes in alfalfa growth and development, we used qRT-PCR to investigate the expression profiles of these genes in 25 different alfalfa tissues: stems, heads, and leaves at different developmental stages (seedling, re-greening, branching, squaring, and flowering stages); roots at seedling and re-greening stages; neck at the seedling stage; stem nodes at the branching, squaring and flowering stages; inflorescences at flowering stages; and seedpods at 0, 3 and 5

d after fertilization (**[Supplementary Table 4](#page-12-9)**). According to the qRT-PCR analysis, all MsSPL genes were constitutively expressed in all 25 tissues with distinct expression patterns (**[Figure 4](#page-7-0)**).

The expression patterns of these 22 MsSPL genes could be clustered into three types. In detail, three genes (MsSPL4, 7, and 14) were particularly highly expressed in one or two tissues, suggesting their specific roles in these tissues (**[Figure 4](#page-7-0)**). Eight genes (MsSPL8, 9, 11, 12, 17, 20, 21, and 21) were highly expressed in most tissues, and several of them showed consistently high expression during specific developmental stages. For instance, the transcript abundances of MsSPL9, 11, and 17 exhibited high levels at seedling, branching, and squaring stages; while MsSPL8, 12, 20, 21, and 22 exhibited significantly higher transcript abundances in tissues experiencing active cell proliferation, such as apical meristem tissue at the branching, squaring, flowering stages and seedpods at 0, 3, and 5 d after fertilization (**[Figure 4](#page-7-0)**). The remaining 11 genes (MsSPL1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 10, 13, 15, 16, 18, and 19) showed another expression pattern, which was highly expressed in several tissues, but lowly expressed in most tissues. Among these genes, MsSPL18 was predominantly expressed in leaves at different stages; interestingly, all of the genes had their highest transcription levels in roots at the re-greening stage (**[Figure 4](#page-7-0)**). The various expression patterns of the 22 MsSPL genes suggest that they harbor multiple functions during alfalfa

growth and development. In particular, the expression patterns of the two alfalfa-specific SPL genes were distinct. Among them, MsSPL5 was highly expressed in heads at various stages, while MsSPL20 was predominantly expressed in several tissues with active cell proliferation, suggesting the extensive function in alfalfa of the latter.

Expression Profiles of MsSPL Genes in Response to Abiotic Stress

Abiotic stresses always induce gene expression to protect plant cells from abiotic injury. To decipher the roles of MsSPL genes in response to abiotic stresses, we analyzed the expression profiles of 22 *MsSPL* genes upon NaCl, 4[°]C and PEG treatment to simulate saline, cold, and drought conditions, respectively, as well as ABA treatment (**[Supplementary Table 4](#page-12-9)**). All SPL genes except for MsSPL5–7, 10, 17, 19, and 22 were dramatically up-regulated (more than three times) under NaCl treatment. Most of them (except for MsSPL2, 14, and 18) reached their peak transcript levels at 12 h. Moreover, the transcript abundances of MsSPL1, 13, 14, 20, and 21 under salinity stress were more than 18 times higher than those in untreated alfalfa (**[Figure 5A](#page-8-0)**). In regard to cold stress, 11 genes (MsSPL1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, and 22) were dramatically up-regulated (more than two times), while four genes (MsSPL7, 19, 20, and 21) were down-regulated. Three genes (MsSPL2, 6, and 22) reached their peak transcript levels at 2 h, and six genes (MsSPL1, 4, 9, 13, 14, and 15) had their maximum expression at 24 h after cold stress treatment

(**[Figure 5B](#page-8-0)**). Under PEG treatment, MsSPL2, 5, 8, 14, and 21 were significantly sequentially up-regulated in 24 h, while *MsSPL1*, 3, 20, and 22 were significantly down-regulated. Additionally, MsSPL7, 9, 10, and 13 showed their peak transcript levels at 2 h, after which they decreased sharply; In particular, the expression level of MsSPL14 was decreased at 2 h and dramatically increased at 24 h (**[Figure 5C](#page-8-0)**). Under ABA treatment conditions, most SPL genes (MsSPL1, 2, 4–6, 8, 9, 11–13, 15–17, 19, and 22) reached their maximum transcript levels at 2 h and then decreased. In contrast, two genes (MsSPL10 and 18) showed steadily increased expression for 12 h after treatment, while two genes (MsSPL20 and 21) were significantly decreased at 2 h and then increased sharply or slightly (**[Figure 5D](#page-8-0)**).

In addition, we compared the significant changes of SPL genes induced by NaCl, PEG, and ABA treatment. We found that several critical SPL genes (MsSPL2, 8, 13, and 15) were simultaneously induced by salinity, drought, and ABA. Five (MsSPL2, 8, 13, 15, and 21), four (MsSPL2, 8, 13, and 15), and twelve (MsSPL1, 2, 4, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, and 22) genes were induced by various combinations of two of these stresses (**[Supplementary Figure 5](#page-12-9)**). Moreover, different stresses induced unique SPL genes. For instance, MsSPL3, 18, and 20 were only induced by salinity; MsSPL7 was only induced by drought; and MsSPL5, 6, 17, and 19 were only induced by ABA treatment (**[Supplementary Figure 5](#page-12-9)**). The significantly different transcript abundances of MsSPL genes in response to abiotic stress suggest their vital regulatory roles in the prevention of abiotic injury in alfalfa.

stage; stem, stem node, head, inflorescence, and leaf at flowering stage; seedpods at 0, 3, and 5 days after fertilization. The heatmap was constructed by relative expression data measured by qRT-PCR.

Response Patterns of MsSPL Genes to Different Plant Hormones

Plant hormones extensively participate in a variety of plant growth and developmental processes. Studying the expression patterns of MsSPL genes under hormone treatments should thus help elucidate the functions of MsSPL genes. We investigated the transcript abundances of MsSPL genes in response to GA3,

GR24, IAA, and MeJA treatment (**[Supplementary Table 4](#page-12-9)**). We found that 19 (MsSPL1–3, 6–9, 11–21, and 22) and 2 (MsSPL4 and 5) SPL genes were significantly up- and down-regulated, respectively, in 12 h after treatment with GA3. Specifically, the transcript abundances of five genes (MsSPL8, 9, 12, 14, and 20) at 2 h were more than 7 times higher than those in untreated alfalfa (**[Figure 6A](#page-8-1)**). As for the GR24 treatment, the transcript levels of 16 genes (MsSPL2, 4, 6–8, 10–19, and 20) reached their

peak in 12 h; and the expression of three genes (MsSPL4, 9, and 10) were significantly increased (more than 9 times) after GR24 treatment. Additionally, four genes (MsSPL1, 5, 21, and 22) were significantly down-regulated at 24 h (**[Figure 6B](#page-8-1)**). In IAA-treated alfalfa, transcript abundances of nine genes (MsSPL1, 3–7, 10, 13, and 21) were decreased at 2 h and then steadily increased to their maximum levels at 24 h; while four genes (MsSPL8, 9, 15, and 19) reached their peak expression at 2 h after treatment. In addition, the expression levels of MsSPL14, 18, 20, and 22 were significantly decreased after IAA treatment (**[Figure 6C](#page-8-1)**). Under MeJA treatment condition, ten genes (MsSPL1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 15–17, 19, and 21) and six genes (MsSPL5, 6, 9, 13, 14, and 20) were dramatically up- and down-regulated (more than two

times). In particular, five genes (MsSPL4, 7, 8, 15, and 21) had transcript levels four times higher than control, and the transcript levels of others were slightly changed (**[Figure 6D](#page-8-1)**). These results demonstrate that MsSPL genes are involved in the plant hormone regulatory network that controls alfalfa growth and development.

Overexpression of MsSPL20 Delayed Flowering Time in Alfalfa

According to the above results from phylogenetic analysis and expression profiles in various tissues, we hypothesized that MsSPL20 might be involved in an alfalfa-specific regulation pathway. Thus MsSPL20 was selected as the target for further gene functional research. We obtained six clonally transgenic

alfalfa plants over-expressing MsSPL20 via Agrobacteriummediated transformation. The qRT-PCR analysis showed that the transcript levels of MsSPL20 in six transgenic alfalfa plants were 90 (OE1), 47 (OE2), 38 (OE3), 18 (OE4), 15 (OE8), and 58 (OE9) times greater than that in control. Among them, OE1 and OE9 consistently showed 5–10 days delayed in flowering time compared with control plants. Furthermore, we measured the biomass of OE1 and OE9 by clipping them when they were at the early bloom stage. The results showed that the transgenic plants exhibited significantly 17–21% increased biomass compared with control plants. In addition, the forage quality was also determined and both plants showed no significant differences (**[Supplementary Figure 6](#page-12-9)**).

To perform further characterization, OE1, which showed a more than 90-fold increase in MsSPL20 transcript levels was propagated using shoot cuttings. These propagated transgenic plants exhibited significant delays in the flowering time of 7– 10 days (**[Figures 7A–C](#page-9-0)**). Moreover, we calculated the biomass of transgenic and control plants by clipping them four times once they were flowering to simulate the biomass in a harvest season in a year. The results indicated that the biomass of the transgenic plants was significantly higher than that of the control plants by approximately 20%, as was their dry biomass (**[Figure 7D](#page-9-0)** and **[Supplementary Table 4](#page-12-9)**). The biomass data collection time for control plant was 125 days, which was much shorter than that for the transgenic lines (157 days). Moreover, we compared the biomass from each clipping and found that the individual clipping contributed to 21.3, 22.6, 22.8, and 33.3% increased biomass, respectively. These results suggest that the increased biomass mostly results from the longer vegetative growth period. Unsurprisingly, the forage quality was not significantly different between control plants and transgenic plants (**[Supplementary](#page-12-9) [Table 5](#page-12-9)**). These results strongly demonstrate that MsSPL20 regulates flowering time and forage biomass yield without affecting forage quality in alfalfa.

MsSPL20 Regulates Flowering Time by Delaying Floret Development

As the typical transcriptional factor, SPL proteins located in nucleus and bind to cis-elements (GTAC box) in the promoters of downstream genes to regulate their transcription [\(Birkenbihl](#page-12-2) [et al.,](#page-12-2) [2005\)](#page-12-2). The sub-cellular localization analysis in tobacco epidermal cells showed that MsSPL20-GFP accumulated only in nucleus, whereas the GFP alone was present throughout the cell, indicating that MsSPL20 functions in nucleus (**[Figure 8A](#page-10-0)**). The trans-activation activity assays showed that MsSPL20 possessed strong transcriptional activation activity in yeast, suggesting its potential roles in downstream gene regulation (**[Figure 8B](#page-10-0)**). To further elucidate the molecular basis of MsSPL20 in alfalfa flowering time regulation, we conducted RNA-seq and deeply studied the differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between control and transgenic plants. OE1, which showed 90 times higher MsSPL20 transcript levels than control plants, was used for RNA-seq. The comparative transcriptome analysis revealed that 129 and 342 genes were respectively, up- and down-regulated in transgenic plants relative to control plants.

Moreover, the change degree of down-regulation genes was much higher than that of up-regulation genes (**[Supplementary](#page-12-9) [Figure 7](#page-12-9)**). GO analysis demonstrated that DEGs were enriched in terms including developmental process, cellular component assembly, pollen development, gametophyte development, etc., implying that MsSPL20 was involved in floral organ development (**[Supplementary Figure 8](#page-12-9)**).

If these DEGs are indeed the direct targets of MsSPL20, their promoters should contain the conserved GTAC box. In support of our hypothesis, we scanned the promoters of DEGs and found that the conserved cis-elements (GTAC box) were existed in the promoters of almost all of the DEGs (471). Among these DEGs, 38 were predicted to be involved in floret development (**[Supplementary Table 6](#page-12-9)**). Twelve DEGs were selected for further qRT-PCR analysis, and the results showed that the transcript levels of the 12 genes were consistent with the RNAseq results, indicating the reliability of transcriptome profiles (**[Supplementary Figure 9](#page-12-9)** and **[Supplementary Table 7](#page-12-9)**). Two florigen related genes, HD3A (Heading date 3A, Ms.gene51913, the homolog of FT) and FTIP1 (FT-INTERACTION PROTEIN 1, Ms.gene017959, the essential regulator for florigen transport), were down-regulated in transgenic plants, suggesting the

delaying formation and transportation of florigen, which is the most important signal of floret development in plants [\(Komiya](#page-13-26) [et al.,](#page-13-26) [2008;](#page-13-26) [Liu et al.,](#page-13-27) [2012\)](#page-13-27). In addition, several flowering delay factors were up-regulated in transgenic plants. For instance, Ms.gene005871 (TEMPRANILLO 1, TEM1) was reported to repress the production of FT and gibberellins, leading to delaying in flowering time [\(Hu et al.,](#page-13-28) [2021\)](#page-13-28); Ms.gene055550 (HASTYlike Protein 1, HST1) extends the vegetative phase by repressing the FPIs, also resulting to delaying flowering [\(Matsoukas et al.,](#page-13-29) [2013\)](#page-13-29). Overall, these results suggested that MsSPL20 might regulate alfalfa flowering time by regulating gene involved in floret development regulation through directly binding to the promoters of floret development-related factors.

DISCUSSION

Functional Diversity of SQUAMOSA Promoter-Binding Protein-Like Family Genes in Plants

As an extensively elucidated gene family, SPL genes are widespread in all green plants, including algae, mosses,

gymnosperms, and angiosperms [\(Preston and Hileman,](#page-13-11) [2013\)](#page-13-11). More and more studies demonstrated that SPL genes participate in a broad range of plant biological processes [\(Chen et al.,](#page-12-0) [2010;](#page-12-0) [Wei et al.,](#page-13-9) [2018\)](#page-13-9). In Arabidopsis, there are 16 SPL genes which groups into two subfamilies based on their size and sequence similarity [\(Guo et al.,](#page-13-10) [2008\)](#page-13-10). The large group consists of 5 SPL genes (SPL1, SPL6, SPL12, SPL14, and SPL16), whereas, the remaining 11 SPL are addressed as the small group [\(Xing](#page-13-30) [et al.,](#page-13-30) [2010\)](#page-13-30). Except SPL8, SPL genes in small group could be targeted by miR156; and showed multiply functions in plants, such as developmental phase transition, shooting branching, anthocyanin biosynthesis, abiotic stress tolerance, etc. [\(Gou et al.,](#page-13-0) [2011;](#page-13-0) [Cui et al.,](#page-12-1) [2015;](#page-12-1) [Zhang et al.,](#page-14-0) [2015\)](#page-14-0). SPL genes in large group are proved to participate in plant thermotolerance, innate immunity, architecture regulation, etc. [\(Padmanabhan et al.,](#page-13-31) [2013;](#page-13-31) [Chao et al.,](#page-12-10) [2017\)](#page-12-10).

Evidence is increasing that SPL genes are multifunctional in plant growth and development and showed potential application for crop genetic modification [\(Wang and Wang,](#page-13-12) [2015\)](#page-13-12). In rice, SPL genes associated with tiller/branching number (OsSPL7 and OsSPL14), plant height (OsSPL7), grain number (OsSPL2 and OsSPL17), grain size (OsSPL13 and OsSPL16), heading date, and grain quality (OsSPL16) have been identified; and their molecular mechanisms have been extensively illustrated [\(Jiao et al.,](#page-13-32) [2010;](#page-13-32) [Miura et al.,](#page-13-33) [2010;](#page-13-33) [Wang S. et al.,](#page-13-34) [2012;](#page-13-34) [Si et al.,](#page-13-35) [2016;](#page-13-35) [Yue](#page-14-4) [et al.,](#page-14-4) [2017;](#page-14-4) [Dai et al.,](#page-12-11) [2018\)](#page-12-11). In maize, SPL genes are proved to regulate flowering time (ZmSPL25), plant/ear height and tiller (UB2 and UB3), leaf angle (LG1), tassel and ear architecture (UB3 and LG1), and grain size and shape (TGA1) [\(Chuck](#page-12-12) [et al.,](#page-12-12) [2014;](#page-12-12) [Du et al.,](#page-12-13) [2017;](#page-12-13) [Wei et al.,](#page-13-9) [2018\)](#page-13-9). Additionally, SPL genes also play vital roles in the regulation of plant biotic and abiotic stress tolerance. The Nicotiana SPL gene NbSPL6 is essential for N-mediated resistance to tobacco mosaic virus, and its Arabidopsis ortholog, AtSPL6, is required for TIR-NB-LRRmediated resistance against Pseudomonas syringae carrying the avrRps4 effector [\(Padmanabhan et al.,](#page-13-31) [2013\)](#page-13-31).

In our study, we identified 22 MsSPL genes in alfalfa genome and conducted phylogenetic analysis of SPL protein to investigate the evolutionary relationships of SPL genes (**[Figures 1](#page-4-0)**, **[2](#page-5-0)**). Interestingly, we found that each AtSPL gene had one or three orthologous genes in alfalfa, as well as two alfalfa-specific SPL genes (MsSPL5 and MsSPL20), indicating the gene duplication in this species. Combined with the results from RLM-5'-RACE, we noticed possible gene functional differentiation between orthologous genes. Evidence came from the different posttranscriptional regulation between SPL3/SPL4 and MsSPL8/9. AtSPL3, and AtSPL4 are targeted by miR156, whereas their orthologous genes in alfalfa (MsSPL8 and MsSPL9) cannot be targeted by miR156 (**[Figure 3](#page-6-0)**). Previous researches indicated that SPL genes in the same clade had similar functions. For instance, AtSPL13, OsSPL16, and MsSPL12 in clade F were proved to participate in flowering time regulation in different plants [\(Martin et al.,](#page-13-36) [2010;](#page-13-36) [Wang S. et al.,](#page-13-34) [2012;](#page-13-34) [Gao et al.,](#page-13-18) [2018\)](#page-13-18). Nevertheless, we cannot always predict the function of an SPL gene based on its orthologous gene, as neo-functionalization is widespread during speciation. For example, AtSPL3 in clade A primarily promotes floral induction and/or floral meristem identity; however, its ortholog in rice, OsSPL13, positively regulates grain size by influencing cell proliferation [\(Cardon](#page-12-3) [et al.,](#page-12-3) [1999;](#page-12-3) [Si et al.,](#page-13-35) [2016\)](#page-13-35). Given the diverse functions of the SPL genes in plants, the biological function of SPL genes in alfalfa should thus be explored individually.

Furthermore, the alfalfa-specific SPL gene, MsSPL20, was deeply functional characterized. Transgenic alfalfa plants over-expressing MsSPL20 showed delayed flowering time and increased biomass without affecting forage quality (**[Supplementary Figure 6](#page-12-9)** and **[Supplementary Table 5](#page-12-9)**). Further researches showed that the majority effect on biomass was caused by the extended vegetative growth period (**[Figure 7](#page-9-0)**). The subsequent transcriptome analysis illustrated that MsSPL20 delayed flowering time by regulating the expression of genes involved in floret development, such as HD3A, FTIP1, TEM1, and HST1. Among these four candidate genes, the expression of HD3A and FTIP1 were down-regulated; while, TEM1 and HST1 were up-regulated in transgenic plants (**[Supplementary](#page-12-9) [Figure 9](#page-12-9)**). Previous studies have shown that the first two genes positively regulate flowering time, and the regulations of the latter two are negative [\(Komiya et al.,](#page-13-26) [2008;](#page-13-26) [Liu et al.,](#page-13-27) [2012;](#page-13-27) [Matsoukas](#page-13-29) [et al.,](#page-13-29) [2013;](#page-13-29) [Hu et al.,](#page-13-28) [2021\)](#page-13-28). Since several GTAC-box exists in the promoters of these four genes, we inferred that MsSPL20 could

directly bind to these promoters to regulate flowering time in alfalfa, which should be validated in further study.

Potential Application of MsSPL Genes in Alfalfa Molecular Breeding

Over the past two decades, candidate gene-related markers for molecular marker-assisted breeding have been rapidly developed in major crops, and the concept of breeding by design has gradually become reality [\(Peleman and van der Voort,](#page-13-37) [2003;](#page-13-37) [Xu](#page-14-5) [et al.,](#page-14-5) [2021\)](#page-14-5). The rice cultivar Zhongke 804, which possesses several favorable alleles (e.g., IPA4, Qsw5, GS3, Ghd8, TAC1, SSII-1, DEP1, and SBE1) promoting the ideal plant architecture, high yield, superior quality, and strong resistance to rice blast disease, has recently become popular in northern China [\(Zeng et al.,](#page-14-6) [2017;](#page-14-6) [Li et al.,](#page-13-38) [2019\)](#page-13-38). This successful application of moleculardesigned breeding in rice also demonstrates that rational design is a powerful strategy for meeting the challenges of future crop breeding, particularly the pyramiding of multiple complex traits. Given that alfalfa is the most important and widely planted forage crop in the world, the exploration of candidate genes for molecular marker-assisted breeding is urgently needed to meet the increased demands associated with this crop.

During the past few years, SPL genes have been shown to influence forage biomass by controlling shoot branching, delaying flowering time, and increasing tolerance to abiotic stresses. The transgenic alfalfa over-expressing miR156 exhibits elevated biomass and improved drought tolerance resulting from the down-regulation of three SPL genes, indicating that miR156- SPL module is a promising tool for alfalfa improvement [\(Aung](#page-12-14) [et al.,](#page-12-14) [2015\)](#page-12-14). Transgenic alfalfa silencing MsSPL13 displays more lateral branches and delayed flowering time [\(Gao et al.,](#page-13-18) [2018\)](#page-13-18). Additionally, Over-expression of MsSPL8 inhibits branching by suppressing axillary bud formation, and down-regulation of MsSPL8 has been found to enhance salt and drought tolerance in alfalfa [\(Gou et al.,](#page-13-17) [2018\)](#page-13-17). These studies have revealed the vital roles of known SPL genes in forage biomass development and stress tolerance.

In this study, the expression profiling of MsSPL genes, including their temporal and spatial expression patterns and response to abiotic stresses and various phytohormones, provided a referable basis for MsSPL gene functional research in alfalfa (**[Figures 4–6](#page-7-0)**). For instance, the expression of MsSPL12 (SPL13 described by [Gao et al.,](#page-13-18) [2018\)](#page-13-18) was significantly elevated after treatment with GR24, an important hormone in plant branching, and silencing of this gene resulted in an increased number of lateral branches. Moreover, the expression level of MsSPL22 (SPL8 by [Gou et al.,](#page-13-17) [2018\)](#page-13-17) was significantly changed under abiotic stress. Functional research on this gene suggested that the down-regulation of SPL8 expression improved abiotic stress tolerance in alfalfa. The transcript abundances of the previously reported genes in our study explained the observed phenotypes of transgenic plants to some extent; therefore, we can infer the functions of MsSPL genes in alfalfa from the expression profiling we recorded.

To verify the hypothesis, MsSPL20 (an alfalfa-specific SPL gene), which is predominantly expressed predominantly in

several tissues with active cell proliferation, was selected as a candidate gene for functional analysis. As expected, transgenic alfalfa over-expressing MsSPL20 altered flowering time and biomass (**[Figure 7](#page-9-0)**). Nevertheless, some MsSPL genes with markedly changed transcript abundances in our study remain to be investigated. For example, the expression levels of MsSPL1, 6, 7, 11, 12, 13, 15, and 17 were sharply increased under NaCl treatment, suggesting that these genes might be involved in alfalfa salinity tolerance regulation (**[Figure 5](#page-8-0)**). Expression profiling of MsSPL genes in response to abiotic stresses is an effective tool for the application of these genes to alfalfa abiotic stresses tolerance breeding. In addition, the transcript abundances of MsSPL6, 10, and 13, which peaked at the branching stage, were significantly changed under GA3 and GR24 treatments could be investigated as the candidate genes of alfalfa branching regulation (**[Figures 4](#page-7-0)**, **[6](#page-8-1)**). Overall, the expression profiling of MsSPL genes in alfalfa provided the basic perspective on the biological functions of MsSPL genes; and has supplied several candidate SPL genes for alfalfa high-yield and abiotic stresses tolerance breeding.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we charactered 22 MsSPL genes in alfalfa genome and found that 11 MsSPL genes with perfect matches to miRNA response elements (MREs) could be degraded by miR156. Meanwhile, we investigated the temporal and spatial expression patterns of MsSPL genes and their expression profiling in response to multiple treatments. More importantly, a candidate gene, MsSPL20, was proved to delay flowering time and increase biomass by regulating genes involved in floret development. This study provides valuable information for future research of SPL genes in alfalfa and supplies candidate genes for alfalfa molecularassisted breeding utilization.

REFERENCES

- Adhikari, L., Makaju, S. O., and Missaoui, A. M. (2019). QTL mapping of flowering time and biomass yield in tetraploid alfalfa (Medicago sativa L.). BMC Plant Biol. 19:359. [doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-1946-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-1946-0)
- Aung, B., Gruber, M. Y., Amyot, L., Omari, K., Bertrand, A., and Hannoufa, A. (2015). MicroRNA156 as a promising tool for alfalfa improvement. Plant Biotechnol. J. 13, 779–790. [doi: 10.1111/pbi.12308](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12308)
- Birkenbihl, R. P., Jach, G., Saedler, H., and Huijser, P. (2005). Functional dissection of the plant-specific SBP-domain: overlap of the DNA-binding and nuclear localization domains. J. Mol. Biol. 352, 585–596. [doi: 10.1016/j.jmb.2005.07.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmb.2005.07.013)
- Cai, C. P., Guo, W. Z., and Zhang, B. H. (2018). Genome-wide identification and characterization of SPL transcription factor family and their evolution and expression profiling analysis in cotton. Sci. Rep. 8:762. [doi: 10.1038/s41598-017-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18673-4) [18673-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18673-4)
- Cardon, G., Hohmann, S., Klein, J., Nettesheim, K., Saedler, H., and Huijser, P. (1999). Molecular characterisation of the Arabidopsis SBP-box genes. Gene 237, 91–104. [doi: 10.1016/s0378-1119\(99\)00308-x](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-1119(99)00308-x)
- Chao, L. M., Liu, Y. Q., Chen, D. Y., Xue, X. Y., Mao, Y. B., and Chen, X. Y. (2017). Arabidopsis transcription factors SPL1 and SPL12 confer plant thermotolerance at reproductive stage. Mol. Plant 10, 735–748. [doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2017.03.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2017.03.010)
- Chen, H., Zeng, Y., Yang, Y., Huang, L., Tang, B., Zhang, H., et al. (2020). Alleleaware chromosome-level genome assembly and efficient transgene-free genome editing for the autotetraploid cultivated alfalfa. Nat. Commun. 11:2494. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16338-x) [10.1038/s41467-020-16338-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16338-x)

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The original contributions presented in this study are included in the article/**[Supplementary Material](#page-12-9)**, further inquiries can be directed to the corresponding authors. The raw data obtained by RNA-seq were deposited in the short read archive (SRA) databank and are available under the accession number PRJNA773924 [\(https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA773924/) [gov/bioproject/PRJNA773924/\)](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/PRJNA773924/).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

LM, XW, and YP conceived and designed the experiments. LM, WL, and XL performed the experiments. HW and YZ performed the transformation assays. LM and XL processed the data analysis. LM drafted the manuscript. XW and YP reviewed the manuscript. All authors approved the final version of the study.

FUNDING

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (31802118, U1906201, and 31872410), the earmarked fund for the China Agricultural Research System (CARS34), and the Key Laboratory of Superior Forage Germplasm in the Qinghai–Tibetan Plateau (2020-ZJ-Y03).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The Supplementary Material for this article can be found online at: [https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021.](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021.775690/full#supplementary-material) [775690/full#supplementary-material](https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2021.775690/full#supplementary-material)

- Chen, X. B., Zhang, Z. L., Liu, D. M., Zhang, K., Li, A. L., and Mao, L. (2010). SQUAMOSA promoter-binding protein-like transcription factors: star players for plant growth and development. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 52, 946–951. [doi: 10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00987.x) [1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00987.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2010.00987.x)
- Chuck, G. S., Brown, P. J., Meeley, R., and Hake, S. (2014). Maize SBP-box transcription factors unbranched2 and unbranched3 affect yield traits by regulating the rate of lateral primordia initiation. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 111, 18775–18780. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.1407401112](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1407401112)
- Cui, L. G., Shan, J. X., Shi, M., Gao, J. P., and Lin, H. X. (2015). The miR156-SPL9- DFR pathway coordinates the relationship between development and abiotic stress tolerance in plants. Plant J. 82, 901–901. [doi: 10.1111/tpj.12712](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12712)
- Dai, Z. Y., Wang, J., Yang, X. F., Lu, H., Miao, X. X., and Shi, Z. Y. (2018). Modulation of plant architecture by the miR156f-OsSPL7-OsGH3.8 pathway in rice. J. Exp. Bot. 69, 5117–5130. [doi: 10.1093/jxb/ery273](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery273)
- Du, Y. F., Liu, L., Li, M. F., Fang, S., Shen, X. M., Chu, J. F., et al. (2017). UNBRANCHED3 regulates branching by modulating cytokinin biosynthesis and signaling in maize and rice. New Phytol. 214, 721–733. [doi: 10.1111/nph.](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14391) [14391](https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14391)
- Feyissa, B. A., Amyot, L., Nasrollahi, V., Papadopoulos, Y., Kohalmi, S. E., and Hannoufa, A. (2021). Involvement of the miR156/SPL module in flooding response in Medicago sativa. Sci. Rep. 11:3243. [doi: 10.1038/s41598-021-82](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82450-7) [450-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-82450-7)
- Feyissa, B. A., Arshad, M., Gruber, M. Y., Kohalmi, S. E., and Hannoufa, A. (2019). The interplay between miR156/SPL13 and DFR/WD40-1 regulate drought tolerance in alfalfa. BMC Plant Biol. 19:434. [doi: 10.1186/s12870-019-2059-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12870-019-2059-5)
- Gandikota, M., Birkenbihl, R. P., Höhmann, S., Cardon, G. H., Saedler, H., and Huijser, P. (2007). The miRNA156/157 recognition element in the 3['] UTR of the Arabidopsis SBP box gene SPL3 prevents early flowering by translational inhibition in seedlings. Plant J. 49, 683–693. [doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02983.x) 02983 x
- Gao, R., Gruber, M. Y., Amyot, L., and Hannoufa, A. (2018). SPL13 regulates shoot branching and flowering time in Medicago sativa. Plant Mol. Biol. 96, 119–133. [doi: 10.1007/s11103-017-0683-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-017-0683-8)
- Gou, J. Q., Debnath, S., Sun, L., Flanagan, A., Tang, Y. H., Jiang, Q. Z., et al. (2018). From model to crop: functional characterization of SPL8 in M. truncatula led to genetic improvement of biomass yield and abiotic stress tolerance in alfalfa. Plant Biotechnol. J. 16, 951–962. [doi: 10.1111/pbi.12841](https://doi.org/10.1111/pbi.12841)
- Gou, J. Y., Felippes, F. F., Liu, C. J., Weigel, D., and Wang, J. W. (2011). Negative regulation of anthocyanin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis by a miR156-targeted SPL transcription factor. Plant Cell 23, 1512–1522. [doi: 10.1105/tpc.111.084525](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.111.084525)
- Guo, A. Y., Zhu, Q. H., Gu, X. C., Ge, S., Yang, J., and Luo, J. C. (2008). Genomewide identification and evolutionary analysis of the plant specific SBP-box transcription factor family. Gene 418, 1–8. [doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2008.03.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2008.03.016)
- Hu, H. M., Tian, S., Xie, G. H., Liu, R., Wang, N. N., Li, S. S., et al. (2021). TEM1 combinatorially binds to FLOWERING LOCUS T and recruits a Polycomb factor to repress the floral transition in Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 118:e2103895118. [doi: 10.1073/pnas.2103895118](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2103895118)
- Ioannidi, E., Rigas, S., Tsitsekian, D., Daras, G., Alatzas, A., Makris, A., et al. (2016). Trichome patterning control involves TTG1 interaction with SPL transcription factors. Plant Mol. Biol. 92, 675–687. [doi: 10.1007/s11103-016-0538-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-016-0538-8)
- Jiao, Y., Wang, Y., Xue, D., Wang, J., Yan, M., Liu, G., et al. (2010). Regulation of OsSPL14 by OsmiR156 defines ideal plant architecture in rice. Nat. Genet. 42, 541–544. [doi: 10.1038/ng.591](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.591)
- Jung, J. H., Ju, Y., Seo, P. J., Lee, J. H., and Park, C. M. (2012). The SOC1-SPL module integrates photoperiod and gibberellic acid signals to control flowering time in Arabidopsis. Plant J. 69, 577–588. [doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04813.x) [813.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2011.04813.x)
- Klein, J., Saedler, H., and Huijser, P. (1996). A new family of DNA binding proteins includes putative transcriptional regulators of the Antirrhinum majus floral meristem identity gene SQUAMOSA. Mol. Gen. Genet. 250, 7–16. [doi: 10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02191820) [BF02191820](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02191820)
- Komiya, R., Ikegami, A., Tamaki, S., Yokoi, S., and Shimamoto, K. (2008). Hd3a and RFT1 are essential for flowering in rice. Development 135, 767–774. [doi:](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.008631) [10.1242/dev.008631](https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.008631)
- Li, T., Ma, L., Geng, Y. K., Hao, C. Y., Chen, X. H., and Zhang, X. Y. (2015). Small RNA and degradome sequencing reveal complex roles of miRNAs and their targets in developing wheat grains. PLoS One 10:e0139658. [doi: 10.1371/journal.](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139658) [pone.0139658](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139658)
- Li, W. T., Chern, M. S., Yin, J. J., Wang, J., and Chen, X. W. (2019). Recent advances in broad-spectrum resistance to the rice blast disease. Curr. Opin. Plant Biol. 50, 114–120. [doi: 10.1016/j.pbi.2019.03.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2019.03.015)
- Liu, J., Cheng, X. L., Liu, P., and Sun, J. Q. (2017). miR156-targeted SBPbox transcription factors interact with DWARF53 to regulate TEOSINTE BRANCHED1 and BARREN STALK1 expression in bread wheat. Plant Physiol. 174, 1931–1948. [doi: 10.1104/pp.17.00445](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.17.00445)
- Liu, L., Liu, C., Hou, X. L., Xi, W. Y., Shen, L. S., Tao, Z., et al. (2012). FTIP1 is an essential regulator required for florigen transport. PLoS Biol. 10:e1001313. [doi: 10.1371/journal.pbio.1001313](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1001313)
- Lorenzo, C. D., Iserte, J. A., Lamas, M. S., Antonietti, M. S., Gagliardi, P. G., Hernando, C. E., et al. (2019). Shade delays flowering in Medicago sativa. Plant J. 99, 7–22. [doi: 10.1111/tpj.14333](https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.14333)
- Ma, L., Hao, C. Y., Liu, H. X., Hou, J., Li, T., and Zhang, X. Y. (2019). Diversity and sub-functionalization of TaGW8 homoeologs hold potential for genetic yield improvement in wheat. Crop J. 7, 830–844. [doi: 10.1016/j.cj.2019.09.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cj.2019.09.006)
- Martin, R. C., Asahina, M., Liu, P. P., Kristof, J. R., Coppersmith, J. L., Pluskota, W. E., et al. (2010). The regulation of post-germinative transition from the cotyledon- to vegetative-leaf stages by microRNA-targeted SQUAMOSA PROMOTER-BINDING PROTEIN LIKE13 in Arabidopsis. Seed Sci. Res. 20, 89–96. [doi: 10.1017/s0960258510000073](https://doi.org/10.1017/s0960258510000073)
- Matsoukas, I. G., Massiah, A. J., and Thomas, B. (2013). Starch metabolism and antiflorigenic signals modulate the juvenile-to-adult phase transition in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell Environ. 36, 1802–1811. [doi: 10.1111/pce.12088](https://doi.org/10.1111/pce.12088)
- Miura, K., Ikeda, M., Matsubara, A., Song, X. J., Ito, M., Asano, K., et al. (2010). OsSPL14 promotes panicle branching and higher grain productivity in rice. Nat. Genet. 42, 545–549. [doi: 10.1038/ng.592](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.592)
- Molnar, A., Schwach, F., Studholme, D. J., Thuenemann, E. C., and Baulcombe, D. C. (2007). miRNAs control gene expression in the single-cell alga Chlamydomonas reinhardtii. Nature 447, 1126–1129. [doi: 10.1038/nature05903](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature05903)
- Ning, K., Chen, S., Huang, H. J., Jiang, J., Yuan, H. M., and Li, H. Y. (2017). Molecular characterization and expression analysis of the SPL gene family with BpSPL9 transgenic lines found to confer tolerance to abiotic stress in Betula platyphylla Suk. Plant Cell Tissue Organ Cult. 130, 469–481. [doi: 10.1007/](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-017-1226-3) [s11240-017-1226-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11240-017-1226-3)
- Padmanabhan, M. S., Ma, S. S., Burch-Smith, T. M., Czymmek, K., Huijser, P., and Dinesh-Kumar, S. P. (2013). Novel positive regulatory role for the SPL6 transcription factor in the N TIR-NB-LRR receptor-mediated plant innate immunity. PLoS Pathog. 9:e1003235. [doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003235](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003235)
- Peleman, J. D., and van der Voort, J. R. (2003). Breeding by design. Trends Plant Sci. 8, 330–334.
- Preston, J. C., and Hileman, L. C. (2013). Functional evolution in the plant SQUAMOSA-promoter binding protein-like (SPL) gene family. Front. Plant Sci. 4:80. [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00080](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2013.00080)
- Preston, J. C., Jorgensen, S. A., Orozco, R., and Hileman, L. C. (2016). Paralogous SQUAMOSA promoter binding protein-like (SPL) genes differentially regulate leaf initiation and reproductive phase change in petunia. Planta 243, 429–440. [doi: 10.1007/s00425-015-2413-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00425-015-2413-2)
- Russelle, M. P., Lamb, J. F. S., Turyk, N. B., Shaw, B. H., and Pearson, B. (2007). Managing nitrogen contaminated soils: benefits of N-2-fixing alfalfa. Agron. J. 99, 738–746. [doi: 10.2134/agronj2005.0325](https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2005.0325)
- Shen, C., Du, H., Chen, Z., Lu, H., Zhu, F., Chen, H., et al. (2020). The chromosome-level genome sequence of the autotetraploid alfalfa and resequencing of core germplasms provide genomic resources for alfalfa research. Mol. Plant 13, 1250–1261. [doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2020.07.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2020.07.003)
- Si, L., Chen, J., Huang, X., Gong, H., Luo, J., Hou, Q., et al. (2016). OsSPL13 controls grain size in cultivated rice. Nat. Genet. 48, 447–456. [doi: 10.1038/ng.3518](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3518)
- Song, X. G., Lu, Z. F., Yu, H., Shao, G. N., Xiong, J. S., Meng, X. B., et al. (2017). IPA1 functions as a downstream transcription factor repressed by D53 in strigolactone signaling in rice. Cell Res. 27, 1128–1141. [doi: 10.1038/cr.2017.102](https://doi.org/10.1038/cr.2017.102)
- Tripathi, R. K., Goel, R., Kumari, S., and Dahuja, A. (2017). Genomic organization, phylogenetic comparison, and expression profiles of the SPL family genes and their regulation in soybean. Dev. Genes Evol. 227, 101–119. [doi: 10.1007/s00427-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-017-0574-7) [017-0574-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-017-0574-7)
- Wang, H., and Wang, H. (2015). The miR156/SPL module, a regulatory hub and versatile toolbox, gears up crops for enhanced agronomic traits. Mol. Plant 8, 677–688. [doi: 10.1016/j.molp.2015.01.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.molp.2015.01.008)
- Wang, J., Yu, H., Xiong, G. S., Lu, Z. F., Jiao, Y. Q., Meng, X. B., et al. (2017). Tissue-specific ubiquitination by IPA1 INTERACTING PROTEIN1 modulates IPA1 protein levels to regulate plant architecture in rice. Plant Cell 29, 697–707. [doi: 10.1105/tpc.16.00879](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.16.00879)
- Wang, S., Wu, K., Yuan, Q., Liu, X., Liu, Z., Lin, X., et al. (2012). Control of grain size, shape and quality by OsSPL16 in rice. Nat. Genet. 44, 950–954. [doi: 10.1038/ng.2327](https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.2327)
- Wang, X. M., Li, J., Ban, L. P., Wu, Y. D., Wu, X. M., Wang, Y. Q., et al. (2017). Functional characterization of a gibberellin receptor and its application in alfalfa biomass improvement. Sci. Rep. 7:41296. [doi: 10.1038/srep41296](https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41296)
- Wang, Y. P., Tang, H. B., Debarry, J. D., Tan, X., Li, J. P., Wang, X. Y., et al. (2012). MCScanX: a toolkit for detection and evolutionary analysis of gene synteny and collinearity. Nucleic Acids Res. 40:e49. [doi: 10.1093/nar/gkr1293](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1293)
- Wei, H. B., Zhao, Y. P., Xie, Y. R., and Wang, H. Y. (2018). Exploiting SPL genes to improve maize plant architecture tailored for high-density planting. J. Exp. Bot. 69, 4675–4688. [doi: 10.1093/jxb/ery258](https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ery258)
- Xie, K. B., Wu, C. Q., and Xiong, L. Z. (2006). Genomic organization, differential expression, and interaction of SQUAMOSA promoter-binding-like transcription factors and microRNA156 in rice. Plant Physiol. 142, 280–293. [doi: 10.1104/pp.106.084475](https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.106.084475)
- Xing, S. P., Salinas, M., Hohmann, S., Berndtgen, R., and Huijser, P. (2010). miR156-targeted and non-targeted SBP-Box transcription factors act in concert to secure male fertility in Arabidopsis. Plant Cell 22, 3935–3950. [doi: 10.1105/](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.110.079343) [tpc.110.079343](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.110.079343)
- Xu, J. L., Xing, Y. Z., Xu, Y. B., and Wan, J. M. (2021). Breeding by design for future rice: genes and genome technologies. Crop J. 9, 491–496.
- Yang, Z. F., Wang, X. F., Gu, S. L., Hu, Z. Q., Xu, H., and Xu, C. W. (2008). Comparative study of SBP-box gene family in Arabidopsis and rice. Gene 407, 1–11. [doi: 10.1016/j.gene.2007.02.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2007.02.034)
- Yue, E. K., Li, C., Li, Y., Liu, Z., and Xu, J. H. (2017). MiR529a modulates panicle architecture through regulating SQUAMOSA promoter binding-like genes in rice (Oryza sativa). Plant Mol. Biol. 94, 469–480. [doi: 10.1007/s11103-017-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-017-0618-4) [0618-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-017-0618-4)
- Zeng, D. L., Tian, Z. X., Rao, Y. C., Dong, G. J., Yang, Y. L., Huang, L. C., et al. (2017). Rational design of high-yield and superior-quality rice. Nat. Plants 3:17031. [doi: 10.1038/nplants.2017.31](https://doi.org/10.1038/nplants.2017.31)
- Zhang, B., Liu, X., Zhao, G. Y., Mao, X. G., Li, A., and Jing, R. L. (2014). Molecular characterization and expression analysis of Triticum aestivum SQUAMOSA-promoter binding protein-box genes involved in ear development. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 56, 571–581. [doi: 10.1111/jipb.1](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12153) [2153](https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12153)
- Zhang, T. Q., Lian, H., Tang, H. B., Dolezal, K., Zhou, E. M., Yu, S., et al. (2015). An intrinsic microRNA timer regulates progressive decline in shoot regenerative capacity in plants. Plant Cell 27, 349–360. [doi: 10.1105/tpc.114.13](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.135186) [5186](https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.114.135186)

Zhong, H., Kong, W., Gong, Z., Fang, X., Deng, X., Liu, C., et al. (2019). Evolutionary analyses reveal diverged patterns of SQUAMOSA promoter binding protein-like (SPL) gene family in Oryza genus. Front. Plant Sci. 10:565. [doi: 10.3389/fpls.2019.00565](https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2019.00565)

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Publisher's Note: All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

Copyright © 2022 Ma, Liu, Liu, Wen, Zhang, Pang and Wang. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Commons](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [\(CC BY\).](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.