Beyond Panel-Based Testing: Exome Analysis Increases Sensitivity for Diagnosis of Genetic Kidney Disease

Parker C. Wilson,¹ Latisha Love-Gregory,¹ Meagan Corliss,² Samantha McNulty,² Jonathan W. Heusel,^{2,3} and Joseph P. Gaut^{1,4}

Abstract

Background Next-generation sequencing (NGS) is a useful tool for evaluating patients with suspected genetic kidney disease. Clinical practice relies on the use of targeted gene panels that are ordered based on patient presentation. We compare the diagnostic yield of clinical panel-based testing to exome analysis.

Methods In total, 324 consecutive patients underwent physician-ordered, panel-based NGS testing between December 2014 and October 2018. Gene panels were available for four clinical phenotypes, including atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (n=224), nephrotic syndrome (n=56), cystic kidney disease (n=26), and Alport syndrome (n=13). Variants were analyzed and clinical reports were signed out by a pathologist or clinical geneticist at the time of testing. Subsequently, all patients underwent retrospective exome analysis to detect additional clinically significant variants in kidney disease genes that were not analyzed as part of the initial clinical gene panel. Resulting variants were classified according to the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics 2015 guidelines.

Results In the initial physician-ordered gene panels, we identified clinically significant pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in 13% of patients (n=42/324). *CFHR3-CFHR1* homozygous deletion was detected in an additional 13 patients with aHUS without a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant. Diagnostic yield of the initial physician-ordered gene panel was 20% and varied between groups. Retrospective exome analysis identified 18 patients with a previously unknown pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant in a kidney disease gene and eight patients with a high-risk *APOL1* genotype. Overall, retrospective exome analysis increased the diagnostic yield of panel-based testing from 20% to 30%.

Conclusions These results highlight the importance of a broad and collaborative approach between the clinical laboratory and their physician clients that employs additional analysis when a targeted panel of kidney disease–causing genes does not return a clinically meaningful result.

KIDNEY360 1: 772–780, 2020. doi: https://doi.org/10.34067/KID.0001342020

Introduction

Next-generation sequencing (NGS) is as an important diagnostic tool for the characterization of kidney disease (1). Targeted gene panels aid in the diagnosis of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome (NS) (2), nephronophthisis (3), autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (4), atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS) (5,6), and congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract (7). Renal biopsy is useful for evaluating patients with suspected genetic kidney disease, but it may reveal nonspecific findings. A genetic diagnosis is critical for assessing risk in family members and may provide therapeutic options for otherwise untreatable diseases (8–13).

Recent efforts have explored the utility of exome sequencing in large populations of adults with CKD, however, these studies were done retrospectively on selected populations (8,14). This is an important distinction because this approach significantly differs from physician-ordered testing performed in real time in an accredited clinical laboratory. With these caveats in mind, Groopman *et al.* (8) reported that 9% of adults with CKD have a monogenic cause, encompassing 66 different disorders. Similarly, Lata *et al.* (14) identified a genetic cause for CKD in 24% of patients after selecting for individuals with CKD of unknown cause or family history of kidney disease. Interestingly, the majority of monogenic disorders were detected as singletons, which underscores the importance of a broad approach to genetic evaluation of kidney disease.

The selection of a genetic test is based on clinical assessment and family history. However, many kidney diseases have overlapping clinical and pathologic

Correspondence: Dr. Parker C. Wilson, Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, 660 S. Euclid Avenue, CB 8118, St Louis, MO 63110. Email: parkerw@wustl.edu

¹Division of Anatomic and Molecular Pathology, Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

²Division of Laboratory and Genomic Medicine, Department of Pathology and Immunology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

³Department of Genetics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

⁴Division of Nephrology, Department of Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

phenotypes, complicating selection of the appropriate panelbased test. Fortunately, many NGS-based targeted gene sets are built on an exome backbone. This approach allows the laboratory to use a single process for library preparation and sequencing followed by a customized informatics workflow that extracts a subset of genes for clinical reporting. In the event an initial gene set returns no pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants, additional clinically relevant gene sets can be "unmasked" and interrogated (5). Although there is an abundance of archived genetic data that may or may not have implications for the patients' health, there are currently no guidelines on which patients should undergo additional review, which genes should be reviewed, or how frequently this process should be repeated. Furthermore, new genes are implicated in renal disease on a regular basis (15–18).

Materials and Methods

Clinical Laboratory Workflow

This study was approved by the Washington University School of Medicine Institutional Review Board and adheres to the Declaration of Helsinki. All patients provided informed consent before clinical genetic testing. Clinical gene sets (Table 1) were ordered by licensed physicians and performed in a College of American Pathologists (CAP)accredited and Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendmentscertified laboratory. The data represent consecutive samples submitted to Genomics and Pathology Services at Washington University in Saint Louis between December 1, 2014 and October 31, 2018. Library preparation for the NGS assay began by fragmenting DNA to approximately 200 bp by ultrasonication, followed by end repair, A-tailing, and ligation to sequencing adapters. Libraries were prepared using the Agilent SureSelect XT Clinical Research Exome reagent, and sequenced using an Illumina (San Diego, CA) HiSeq2500 in 2×101-bp paired-end configuration. Analytic sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility were established per the CAP NGS-testing checklist (19). Alignment was performed with Novoalign (version 2.08.02) and duplicates were marked

T I I				
Lahle		(linical	gene	Sets
Tuble	••	Cinica	Sche	3013

Clinical Gene Sets

Atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome, thrombotic microangiopathy, and C3 glomerulopathy gene set (13 genes and CFHR3-CFHR1 deletion status) ADAMTS13, C3, CD46, CFB, CFH, CFHR1, CFHR2, CFHR3, CFHR4, CFHR5, CFI, DGKE, and THBD; CFHR3-CFHR1 deletion by multiplex ligation-dependent probe

amplification Alport syndrome gene set (3 genes)

COL4A3, COL4A4, and COL4A5 Cystic disease and nephronophthisis gene set (23 genes)

AHI1, CEP290, GLIS2, INVS, IQCB1, NEK8, NPHP1, NPHP3, NPHP4, RPGRIP1L, TMEM67, TTC21B, XPNPEP3, BICC1, CRB2, EYA1, HNF1B, PAX2, PKD1, PKD2, PKHD1, SIX5, and UMOD.

Nephrotic syndrome and FSGS gene set (34 genes) ACTN4, ADCK4 (COQ8B), ANLN, APOL1, ARHGAP24, ARHGDIA, CD2AP, COL4A3, COL4A4, COL4A5, COQ2, COQ6, CRB2, CUBN, EMP2, INF2, ITGA3, ITGB4, LAMB2, LMX1B, MEFV, MYH9, MYO1E, NEIL1, NPHS1, NPHS2, PDSS2, PLCE1, PTPRO, SCARB2, SMARCAL1, TRPC6, TTC21B, and WT1. with Picard (version 1.53). Single nucleotide variants were called with samtools (version 0.1.19) and insertions and deletions up to 21 bp were called using Unified Genotyper (GATK version 1.2) and pindel (version 0.2.4). *In silico* functional prediction was performed with SIFT (version 5.0.2) and polyPhen-2 (version 2.2.2). Patients referred for aHUS genetic testing underwent additional multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification to detect deletion of *CFHR1-CFHR3* at Cincinnati Children's Hospital as previously described (5).

Variant Interpretation and Reporting

Variant classifications were based on standards and guidelines published by the American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics (ACMG) and the Association of Molecular Pathology (20). Variant calls were reported using Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature (http:// www.hgvs.org/mutnomen) and variant attributes were examined using population databases, including the Exome Aggregation Consortium (ExAC version 0.3.1), Genome Aggregation Database (gnomAD version 2.1.0), the National Center for Biotechnology Information's short genetic variations database (dbSNP version 135), the FH aHUS mutation database (http://www.fh-hus.org/v3.0), ClinVar (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/clinvar/), the Human Gene Mutation Database public resource (http://www.hgmd.cf. ac.uk/ac/index.php access 6/3/2018), and an internally curated clinical-grade database of variants and interpretations housed in the Clinical Genomics Workspace (PierianDx, St. Louis, MO) (20,21). All results were reviewed by a pathologist with subspecialty boards in molecular genetics from the American Board of Pathology, or a clinical laboratory geneticist certified in clinical molecular genetics and clinical cytogenetics from the American Board of Medical Genetics and Genomics before release to the patient's medical record. High-risk APOL1 genotype was defined as the presence of a G1G1, G1G2, or G2G2 genotype (22). Diagnostic yield was defined as the presence of a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant, APOL1 high-risk genotype, or CFHR3-CFHR1 homozygous deletion in patients referred for aHUS testing.

Retrospective Review of Extended Renal Gene Set

We performed retrospective exome reanalysis of the variant call files for all patients using a curated list of genes implicated in renal disease (extended gene set in Supplemental Table 1) (8,14). Additional filtering criteria based on minor allele frequency in the gnomAD exome database, location of the variant within the gene, annotation based on comparison with clinical databases, and predicted *in silico* effects were used to enrich the data set for variants more likely to be causative of disease (Supplemental Figure 1). Selected variants outside of the clinically validated gene lists were confirmed by Sanger sequencing before returning results to the patient record.

Results

Patient Characteristics and Diagnostic Yield of Initial Panel-Based NGS Testing for Suspected Genetic Kidney Disease

In total, 324 patients received clinical, panel-based NGS testing for suspected genetic kidney disease between December 1, 2014 and October 31, 2018 (Table 2). Among

patients with available clinical history, 78 had ESKD and 48 had undergone kidney transplant at the time of referral (additional clinical information is provided in Supplemental Table 2).

The initial panel-based tests returned a clinically significant pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant in 42 patients (13%). An additional 101 (31%) patients had a variant of uncertain significance (VUS) with no pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant. The proportion of patients with a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant was lowest in the aHUS group (6%) and significantly higher in the NS/FSGS (14%), cystic disease and nephronophthisis (46%), and Alport syndrome (30%) groups (Figure 1).

Genetic Variants in Patients Referred for aHUS Testing

aHUS is characterized by thrombotic microangiopathy and mutations in complement pathway genes. In total, 224 patients were referred for aHUS panel-based testing. A total of 18 patients had a clinically significant pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant detected in the initial aHUS gene set (Table 3). The genes most commonly affected were CFH (n=6), C3 (n=4), and CD46 (n=4). A total of 24 patients had homozygous loss of CFHR3-CFHR1 detected by multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification. We identified two novel variants not previously reported in aHUS. CFH p.D1119E was identified in a 9-month-old boy with anemia, thrombocytopenia, bloody diarrhea, and an Escherichia colipositive stool sample. Similar variants (p.D1119G and p.D1119N) have been reported in aHUS (23,24), and the p.D1119G variant impairs the ability of CFH to bind C3b and C3d (25,26). ADAMTS13 p.Q725* was identified in a 30year-old man with isolated thrombocytopenia. Heterozygous nonsense variants in ADAMTS13 have been reported in parents of patients with congenital thrombocytopenic purpura and are associated with decreased ADAMTS13 activity (27).

Exome reanalysis identified a previously unknown pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant in 12 patients initially referred for panel-based aHUS testing (Table 4). These data resulted in a new genetic diagnosis in eight patients and confirmed the genetic cause of an existing clinical diagnosis of autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (n=3) or Laurence–Moon–Biedl syndrome (n=1) in four patients. Two patients that received a new genetic diagnosis were newborns under evaluation for potential neonatal aHUS. In the first patient, exome reanalysis identified a previously reported homozygous nonsense mutation in *REN* (p.43^{*}), which leads to absent renin expression at the protein and RNA level (28). In the second patient, exome reanalysis identified previously reported compound heterozygous mutations in *SLC26A1* (p.S358L, p.T185M) associated with ARF and calcium oxalate nephrolithiasis (29).

Exome reanalysis detected an additional seven patients with a high-risk *APOL1* genotype in the absence of a pathogenic, likely pathogenic, or VUS. *APOL1* high-risk genotype confers increased risk for ESKD and FSGS, but has not been previously associated with aHUS (30). All seven patients were black and the three patients with available clinical history had ESKD.

Genetic Variants in Patients Referred for NS, FSGS, and Alport Syndrome Testing

Genetic mutations in glomerular basement membrane proteins can lead to NS, FSGS, and Alport syndrome. In total, 56 patients were referred for the NS/FSGS gene set and 13 patients were referred for the Alport gene set. Initial panel-based NGS testing for the NS/FSGS and Alport gene sets identified 12 patients with a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant (Table 3). The genes most commonly affected were *NPHS1* (n=3), *COL4A5* (n=3), and *NPHS2* (n=2). We identified a previously unreported pathogenic *NPHS1* frameshift variant (p.L16Gfs*3) in a 1-month-old girl with NS that is expected to result in loss of function.

Exome reanalysis identified previously unknown pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants that resulted in a new genetic diagnosis in five patients (Table 4). Two of these patients were diagnosed with autosomal dominant conditions that have important implications for family members. The first patient had a strong family history of kidney disease and a previously reported variant in *UMOD* (p.H175_R185del) that causes autosomal dominant tubulointerstitial kidney disease. The second patient was a 37year-old man with ESKD and biopsy specimen–proven FSGS that was presumed secondary to obesity. He had a strong family history of kidney disease and a novel heterozygous variant in *PAX2* (p.G76R) that is located at the same position as a variant previously described in the context of renal coloboma syndrome (31).

Genetic Variants in Patients Referred for Cystic Kidney Disease and Nephronophthisis Testing

Cystic kidney disease and nephronophthisis are a spectrum of disorders that can have phenotypic overlap with congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract. In total, 26 patients were referred for the cystic kidney disease

Table 2. Patient demographics								
Gene Set	Ν	Age in Years (SD)	Gender	Race and Ethnicity				
aHUS NS/FSGS NPHP Alport Custom Total	224 56 26 13 5 324	36.0 (19.1) 15.9 (15.1) 20.4 (22.7) 29.9 (24.0) 40.2 (17.9) 31.1 (20.6)	81 M, 143 F 31 M, 25 F 13 M, 13 F 5 M, 8 F 3 M, 2 F 133 M, 191 F	W, 139; B, 34; AS, 7; HIS, 21; OTH, 23 W, 24; B, 11; AS, 1; HIS, 5; OTH, 15 W, 11; B, 6; HIS, 1; OTH, 8 W,8; B, 1; OTH, 4 W, 3; B, 2 W, 185; B, 53; AS, 8; HIS, 27; OTH, 50				

aHUS, atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome; M, male; F, female; W, white; B, black; AS, Asian; HIS, Hispanic; OTH, other; NS, nephrotic syndrome; NPHP, cystic renal disease and nephronophthisis.



Figure 1. | **Expanding the gene panel increases sensitivity for detection of a clinically-significant variant.** Clinical next-generation sequencing (NGS) for renal disorders: physician-ordered, panel-based clinical testing for suspected genetic kidney disease was performed on 324 consecutive patients for the following indications: (1) atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome (aHUS), thrombotic microangiopathy, and C3 glomerulopathy; (2) nephrotic syndrome and FSGS; (3) nephronophthisis and cystic kidney disease; (4) Alport syndrome; and (5) other. Clinical reports were generated for the initial gene panel and submitted to the patient medical record. Retrospective exome analysis identified additional clinically significant pathogenic and likely pathogenic variants defined by American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics criteria. P, pathogenic; LP, likely pathogenic; VUS, variants of uncertain significance. *Risk, homozygous deletion of *CFHR3-CFHR1* are displayed as gene (assignment: number of patients) with "/" representing the presence of multiple variants (20).

and nephronophthisis gene set. Initial panel-based testing identified 13 patients with a pathogenic or likely pathogenic variant (Table 3). The majority of these patients had pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in *PKD1* (n=9).

Exome reanalysis identified three patients with previously unknown pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants resulting in a new genetic diagnosis. The first patient was a 1-month-old girl with congenital echogenic kidneys and compound heterozygosity for a novel frameshift (p.L434fs) and previously reported splicing variant (c.1973-3C>G) in *ANKS6* (32). The second patient was a 5-month-old boy with bilateral renal dysplasia and a heterozygous nonsense variant in *PBX1* (p.Q48*). The third patient was a 3-year-old boy with congenital absence of the left kidney and compound heterozygosity for a novel frameshift variant (p.L92*) and previously reported missense variant (p.N273D) in *WDR19* (33).

Discussion

In this study, we report our 4-year experience with NGS panel-based testing for suspected genetic kidney disease in a clinically validated laboratory. The initial physicianordered clinical gene sets resulted in a genetic diagnosis in 64 of 324 patients. These results include pathogenic (n=23) and likely pathogenic (n=19) variants in addition to *CFHR3-CFHR1* homozygous deletion in patients with aHUS (n=22). Retrospective exome reanalysis of kidney disease–related genes resulted in a clinically meaningful genetic diagnosis in an additional 26 patients. The retrospective results included additional pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants in kidney disease genes (n=18) and eight patients with a high-risk *APOL1* genotype. Our exome reanalysis indicates that affected patients are likely to have pathogenic variants in genes that rarely cause kidney

Table 3.	e 3. Pathogenic and likely pathogenic variants identified in physician-ordered gene set							
Patient	Gene	Variant	Genomic Coordinates (hg37)	ACMG	Novel	Gene Set	Family History ^a	
4	C3	p.R161W	chr19:g.6718128G>A	LP	Yes	aHUS	No	
66	C3	p.R1042L	chr19:g.6694471C>A	LP	Yes	aHUS	No	
123	C3	p.K65Q	chr19:g.6719296T>G	Р	Yes	aHUS	No	
295	C3	p.K65Q	chr19:g.6719296T>G	Р	Yes	aHUS	No	
2	CD46	p.C35Y	chr1:g.207930365G>A	LP	Yes	aHUS	No	
9	CD46	c.287-2A>G	chr1:g.207930883A>G	Р	Yes	aHUS	No	
23	CD46	c.1127+2T>G	chr1:g.207959029T>G	Р	Yes	aHUS	No	
35	CD46	c.287-2A>G	chr1:g.207930883A>G	Р	Yes	aHUS	No	
313	CFB	p.K323E	chr6:g.31916220A>G	LP	Yes	aHUS	No	
113	CFH	p.D1119E	chr1:g.196714993C>G	LP	No	aHUS	No	
166	CFH	p.R1210C	chr1:g.196716375C>T	LP	Yes	aHUS	No	
234	CFH	p.R53H	chr1:g.196642207G>A	LP	Yes	aHUS	No	
298	CFH	p.T956M	chr1:g.196709833C>T	LP	Yes	aHUS	No	
267	CFH	c.3493+1G>A	chr1:g.196715130G>A	Р	Yes	aHUS	No	
18	CFH	c.619+1G>A	chr1:g.196646798G>A	Р	Yes	aHUS	No	
71	CFI	p.I370N	chr4:g.110670413A>T	LP	Yes	aHUS	No	
304	CFI	p.R474*	chr4:g.110667387G>A	Р	Yes	aHUS	No	
85	DGKE	p.W322*,p.W322*	chr17:g.54926134G>A	Р	Yes	aHUS	No	
102	COL4A3	p.G695R	chr2:g.228142227G>A	LP	Yes	AS	Yes	
280	COL4A4	p.G478E	chr2:g.227954610C>T	LP	Yes	AS	Yes	
271	COL4A5	c.231+1G>A	chrX:g.107802384G>A	Р	Yes	AS	Yes	
264	COL4A5	c.4315+1G>A	chrX:g.107929360G>A	Р	Yes	NPHP	No	
188	NPHP4	p.K424Rfs*7	chr1:g.5993238delT	LP	Yes	NPHP	Yes	
244	PKD1	p.G3326D	chr16:g.2149718C>T	LP	Yes	NPHP	Yes	
252	PKD1	p.G1433R	chr16:g.2160661C>T	LP	Yes	NPHP	Yes	
250	PKD1	c.9712+1G>A	chr16:g.2150166C>T	Р	Yes	NPHP	Yes	
273	PKD1	p.O1203*	chr16:g.2161561G>A	Р	Yes	NPHP	No	
176	PKD1	p.V2569Rfs*43	chr16:g.2143887 2143888insG	Р	Yes	NPHP	No	
190	PKD1	p.A3082Cfs*96	chr16:g.2152218 2152219delAT	Р	Yes	NPHP	Yes	
225	PKD1	p.L3834Cfs*111	chr16:g.2141819delG	Р	No	NPHP	Yes	
245	PKD1	p.O2900*	chr16:g.2153360G>A	Р	Yes	NPHP	No	
248	PKD1	p.L3999Wfs*40	chr16:g.2140892delA	Р	No	NPHP	No	
207	PKHD1	p.V1741M	chr6:g.51889387C>T	LP	Yes	NPHP	No	
233	RPGRIP1L	p.D596Efs*5	chr16:	LP	No	NPHP	No	
		I	g.53686809 53686823delinsAC					
102	COL4A3	p.G695R	chr2:g.228142227G>A	LP	Yes	NS/	Yes	
		I	8			FSGS		
98	INF2	p.R177C	chr14:g.105169653C>T	LP	Yes	NS/	Yes	
		r ······				FSGS		
161	INF2	p.R177C	chr14:g.105169653C>T	LP	Yes	NS/	No	
		1	8			FSGS		
277	NPHS1	p.R743C	chr19:g.36334481G>A	LP	Yes	NS/	No	
		r ···· ···				FSGS		
24	NPHS1	p.T847Rfs*57	chr19:	Р	Yes	NS/	No	
	1111101	p.11011/100 07	g.36333146_36333149delTTAG	-	100	FSGS	110	
213	NPHS1	n L16Gfs*3	chr19.9 36342694 36342695insCC	Р	No	NS/	No	
210	1111101	p.Liodib b	en119.5.000 1209 1_000 12090115CC	1	140	ESGS	110	
193	NPHS2	n R1380	chr1.g 179530462C>T	Р	Yes	NS/	Yes	
170	1 11 1102	L.11100X	CH11.G.17 /000102C/ 1	Ŧ	105	FSCS	100	
238	NPHS?	n R291W	chr1.g 179521740G>A	Р	Yes	NS/	No	
200	1 11 1102	L.177114	Ciu 1. 6.17 70217 100 / A	Ŧ	100	ESGS	1 10	
216	WT1	p.H445R	chr11:g.32414217T>C	р	Yes	NS/	No	
		г		-	1.00	FSGS		

Variant pathogenicity assignments based upon American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics guidelines (20). ACMG, American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics; chr, chromosome; LP, likely pathogenic; aHUS, atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome; P, pathogenic; AS, Alport syndrome; NPHP, cystic renal disease and nephronophthisis; del, deletion; ins, insertion; NS, nephrotic syndrome.

^aFirst or second-degree relative with CKD or kidney transplant.

disease, because no two patients had a variant in the same gene. These data highlight the difficulty in designing a disease-focused gene set for renal disorders that often have overlapping clinical and pathologic phenotypes.

In light of these findings, we are in the process of reviewing our testing paradigm that reflexes to an expanded panel in cases where pathogenic or likely pathogenic variants are not identified in the initial, clinically indicated gene set. Furthermore, as new genes are added to our clinical gene sets, all patients that were tested before the addition of the new gene will be interrogated for pathogenic variants. Although there are currently no consensus guidelines, we

NGS
Testing
for
Genetic
Kidney
Disease,
Wilson
et
al.
777

Table 4.	Table 4. Pathogenic and likely pathogenic variants identified in exome reanalysis								
Patient	Age (yr)	Gene	Variant	Genomic Coordinates (hg37)	Clinical Diagnosis/Indication	Initial Ordered Gene Set	Family History ^a		
138	75	ADAMTS13	p.D187H ^b	chr9:g.136291338G>C	aHUS	aHUS	No		
302	30	ADAMTS13	p.Q725* ^b	chr9:g.136307803C>T	Thrombocytopenia	aHUS	No		
318	19	COL4A4	p.R989fs p.R989fs	chr2:g.227917021_227917022delCT chr2:g.227917021_227917022delCT	ESKD and thrombotic microangiopathy	aHUS	No		
79	26	EYA1	p.Y226*	chr1:g.248813510A>G	ESKD due to membranoproliferative GN	aHUS	No		
231	19	PKD1	p.R3277C	chr16:g.2149956G>A	ESKD due to C3 glomerulopathy and cvstic kidnevs.	aHUS	No		
152	74	PKD1	p.A2375V ^c	chr16:g.2156891G>A	ADPKD rule out aHUS	aHUS	No		
198	33	PKD2	p.R213* ^c	chr4:g.88940651C>T	ADPKD rule out aHUS	aHUS	Yes		
219	58	PKD2	p.R213* ^c	chr4:g.88940651C>T	ADPKD rule out aHUS	aHUS	Yes		
195	<1	REN	p.R43* p.R43*	chr1:g.204131263G>A chr1:g.204131263G>A	Congenital ARF	aHUS	No		
69	<1	SLC26A1	p.S358L p.T185M	chr4:g.983654G>A chr4:g.984938G>A	Neonatal aHUS	aHUS	No		
52	35	USH2A	p.R4935* ^c	chr1:g.215814065G>A	Laurence-Moon-Biedl syndrome	aHUS	No		
206	9	WT1	p.R462W	chr11:g.32413566G>A	aHUS	aHUS	No		
119	17	LAMB2	p.C1058fs	chr3:g.49161980_49161981delCA	Gross hematuria	AS	Yes		
		NPHS2	p.R138Q	chr1:g.179530462C>T					
264	15	TRPC6	p.N125S	chr11:g.101375326T>C	Collapsing FSGS and thin basement membranes	AS	No		
212	<1	ANKS6	p.L434fs c.1973-3C>G	chr9:g.101542538delA chr9:g.101530535G>C	Congenital renal dysplasia, heart abnormalities, and hepatosplenomegaly	NPHP	No		
268	<1	PBX1	p.Q48*	chr1:g.164529201C>T	Congenital renal dysplasia	NPHP	No		
168	3	WDR19	p.L92* p.N273D	chr4:g.39191386T>G chr4:g.39207283A>G	Congenital absence left kidney and enlarged, dysplastic right kidney	NPHP	No		
96	9	COL4A5	c.2042-2A>T	chrX:107845113A>T	Nephrotic syndrome	NS/FSGS	Yes		
197	37	PAX2	p.G107R	chr10:102510464G>C	Adult-onset FSGS	NS/FSGS	Yes		
281	53	UMOD	p.H177 R185del	chr16:g.20360068 20360094del	FSGS	NS/FSGS	Yes		
67	64	EYA1	p.L513P	chr8:g.72127681A>G	Congenital renal dysplasia and hearing loss	GRHPR	No		
134	10	<i>BBS10</i> CEP104	p.Y559* p.Q335*	chr12:g.76740088G>T chr1:g.3753972G>A	Cystic renal disease	NS/FSGS, NPHP	No		

Variant pathogenicity assignments based upon American College of Medical Genetics and Genomics guidelines (20). chr, chromosome; aHUS, atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome; ADPKD, autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease; AS, Alport syndrome; NPHP, cystic renal disease and nephronophthisis; NS, nephrotic syndrome. ^aFirst or second-degree relative with CKD or kidney transplant. ^bVariants were identified in patients that underwent testing before the addition of ADAMTS13. ^cVariants are associated with an established clinical diagnosis.

believe that good laboratory practices should include retrospective review of negative cases on a semiannual to yearly basis

There is a wide spectrum of genetic testing options that range from single gene to panel-based and whole-exome sequencing for both somatic and constitutional disorders (34,35). Nephrology was a late adopter of clinical NGS testing and, as a result, relatively little is known about the optimal approach to diagnosis of genetic kidney disease. We can draw from the experience of other specialties to better understand how different modalities apply to diagnosis, prognosis, and precision medicine (34). To date, disease-focused panels have been the preferred approach for clinical applications; however, there are challenges to this approach that include development and validation of separate panels for each disease process, constant updating of existing panels, and adherence to changing medical guidelines. These challenges have raised the question of whether clinical testing should use a more comprehensive approach like whole-exome or whole-genome sequencing (11,34). However, the benefits of a comprehensive approach have to be weighed against their increased cost and turnaround time, in addition to the increased likelihood of discovering VUS that may or may not be related to the patient's disease process. Currently, the majority of clinical laboratories rely on panel-based approaches for the diagnosis of genetic kidney disease. An important caveat is that laboratories often use an "exome backbone" for their clinical panels. This approach simplifies laboratory workflow by employing a single library preparation, sequencing, and bioinformatics process for all patient samples, and is made possible by the decreasing cost of exome sequencing. The end result is that there is a large amount of unanalyzed genetic data that may contain clinically meaningful information. A simple compromise might be to establish a workflow where the remaining genetic data is unmasked and analyzed when the first disease-focused panel is negative.

Remaining challenges in the diagnosis of genetic kidney disease include difficulty in establishing the pathogenicity of VUS and the detection of structural variants, which represent a minority of inherited kidney disease (2). The difficulty with interpreting VUS will be partly resolved as clinical databases increase in size to include new patient populations and pathogenic variants.

However, there is a role for use of *in silico* computational prediction tools for assessing variant pathogenicity. In our study, we used multiple *in silico* tools to predict the functional consequence of variants encountered in exome reanalysis. By limiting our investigation to variants with a predicted damaging or deleterious effect, we were able to increase the likelihood that variants were assigned a likely pathogenic or pathogenic assertion under ACMG criteria (36). One of the drawbacks of our approach is that we likely missed clinically significant variants with a benign functional prediction. *In silico* analysis alone is insufficient to assign pathogenicity and is lacking in sensitivity and specificity (35,37). A potential solution could be to design clinically validated functional assays to provide evidence for pathogenicity.

Recently, at least one group has published a case series describing a comprehensive panel-based approach (approximately 200 genes) in a series of 127 patients (38). Diagnostic yield ranged from 30% to 54%, depending on disease category, and was higher in younger patients (0-14 years), which comprised the majority of their population. An important finding was that among the 54 patients with a genetic diagnosis, 13 patients had a change in clinical diagnosis due to genetic testing. These data raise the possibility that had these patients initially received a narrow, disease-focused panel; it is possible that their genetic diagnosis would have been missed. Together with our data, these findings argue for a comprehensive approach and highlight the benefits of clinical NGS for kidney disease. NGS-based testing will continue to be an important component of the diagnostic workup in patients with a suspected genetic component to their kidney disease and this study demonstrates the utility of variant reanalysis to improve diagnostic yield .

Disclosures

J. Gaut reports personal fees from BioLegend, grants from National Institutes of Health, and grants from Mid America Transplant Foundation, outside the submitted work. All remaining authors have nothing to disclose.

Funding

None.

Acknowledgment

The results presented in this paper have not been published previously in whole or part, except in abstract format.

Author Contributions

M. Corliss, J. Gaut, L. Love-Gregory, S. McNulty, and P. Wilson reviewed and edited the manuscript and were responsible for data curation, investigation, and methodology; J. Gaut, L. Love-Gregory, S. McNulty, and P. Wilson were responsible for formal analysis and software; J. Gaut, L. Love-Gregory, and P. Wilson conceptualized the study; J. Heusel, J. Gaut, L. Love-Gregory, and P. Wilson provided supervision; L. Love-Gregory and P. Wilson were responsible for visualization; P. Wilson wrote the original draft; and all authors were responsible for project administration, resources, and validation.

Supplemental Material

This article contains supplemental material online at http://kid-ney360.asnjournals.org/lookup/suppl/doi:10.34067/KID.2020000134/-/DCSupplemental.

Supplemental Table 1. Extended renal gene set.

Supplemental Table 2. Patient demographics and clinical history. Supplemental Figure 1. Filtering strategy.

References

- 1. Renkema KY, Stokman MF, Giles RH, Knoers NV: Nextgeneration sequencing for research and diagnostics in kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 10: 433–444, 2014
- 2. Sen ES, Dean P, Yarram-Smith L, Bierzynska A, Woodward G, Buxton C, Dennis G, Welsh GI, Williams M, Saleem MA: Clinical genetic testing using a custom-designed steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome gene panel: Analysis and recommendations. *J Med Genet* 54: 795–804, 2017
- Schueler M, Halbritter J, Phelps IG, Braun DA, Otto EA, Porath JD, Gee HY, Shendure J, O'Roak BJ, Lawson JA, Nabhan MM, Soliman NA, Doherty D, Hildebrandt F: Large-scale targeted sequencing comparison highlights extreme genetic heterogeneity

in nephronophthisis-related ciliopathies. *J Med Genet* 53: 208–214, 2016

- Rossetti S, Hopp K, Sikkink RA, Sundsbak JL, Lee YK, Kubly V, Eckloff BW, Ward CJ, Winearls CG, Torres VE, Harris PC: Identification of gene mutations in autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease through targeted resequencing. J Am Soc Nephrol 23: 915–933, 2012
- Gaut JP, Jain S, Pfeifer JD, Vigh-Conrad KA, Corliss M, Sharma MK, Heusel JW, Cottrell CE: Routine use of clinical exome-based nextgeneration sequencing for evaluation of patients with thrombotic microangiopathies. *Mod Pathol* 30: 1739–1747, 2017
- Bu F, Zhang Y, Wang K, Borsa NG, Jones MB, Taylor AO, Takanami E, Meyer NC, Frees K, Thomas CP, Nester C, Smith RJH: Genetic analysis of 400 patients refines understanding and implicates a new gene in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome. J Am Soc Nephrol 29: 2809–2819, 2018
- Hwang DY, Dworschak GC, Kohl S, Saisawat P, Vivante A, Hilger AC, Reutter HM, Soliman NA, Bogdanovic R, Kehinde EO, Tasic V, Hildebrandt F: Mutations in 12 known dominant diseasecausing genes clarify many congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract. *Kidney Int* 85: 1429–1433, 2014
- Groopman EE, Marasa M, Cameron-Christie S, Petrovski S, Aggarwal VS, Milo-Rasouly H, Li Y, Zhang J, Nestor J, Krithivasan P, Lam WY, Mitrotti A, Piva S, Kil BH, Chatterjee D, Reingold R, Bradbury D, DiVecchia M, Snyder H, Mu X, Mehl K, Balderes O, Fasel DA, Weng C, Radhakrishnan J, Canetta P, Appel GB, Bomback AS, Ahn W, Uy NS, Alam S, Cohen DJ, Crew RJ, Dube GK, Rao MK, Kamalakaran S, Copeland B, Ren Z, Bridgers J, Malone CD, Mebane CM, Dagaonkar N, Fellström BC, Haefliger C, Mohan S, Sanna-Cherchi S, Kiryluk K, Fleckner J, March R, Platt A, Goldstein DB, Gharavi AG: Diagnostic utility of exome sequencing for kidney disease. N Engl J Med 380: 142–151, 2019
- Mann N, Braun DA, Ámann K, Tan W, Shril S, Connaughton DM, Nakayama M, Schneider R, Kitzler TM, van der Ven AT, Chen J, Ityel H, Vivante A, Majmundar AJ, Daga A, Warejko JK, Lovric S, Ashraf S, Jobst-Schwan T, Widmeier E, Hugo H, Mane SM, Spaneas L, Somers MJG, Ferguson MA, Traum AZ, Stein DR, Baum MA, Daouk GH, Lifton RP, Manzi S, Vakili K, Kim HB, Rodig NM, Hildebrandt F: Whole-exome sequencing enables a precision medicine approach for kidney transplant recipients. J Am Soc Nephrol 30: 201–215, 2019
- 10. van der Ven ÅT, Connaughton DM, Ityel H, Mann N, Nakayama M, Chen J, Vivante A, Hwang DY, Schulz J, Braun DA, Schmidt JM, Schapiro D, Schneider R, Warejko JK, Daga A, Majmundar AJ, Tan W, Jobst-Schwan T, Hermle T, Widmeier E, Ashraf S, Amar A, Hoogstraaten CA, Hugo H, Kitzler TM, Kause F, Kolvenbach CM, Dai R, Spaneas L, Amann K, Stein DR, Baum MA, Somers MJG, Rodig NM, Ferguson MA, Traum AZ, Daouk GH, Bogdanović R, Stajić N, Soliman NA, Kari JA, El Desoky S, Fathy HM, Milosevic D, Al-Saffar M, Awad HS, Eid LA, Selvin A, Senguttuvan P, Sanna-Cherchi S, Rehm HL, MacArthur DG, Lek M, Laricchia KM, Wilson MW, Mane SM, Lifton RP, Lee RS, Bauer SB, Lu W, Reutter HM, Tasic V, Shril S, Hildebrandt F: Whole-exome sequencing identifies causative mutations in families with congenital anomalies of the kidney and urinary tract. J Am Soc Nephrol 29: 2348–2361, 2018
- 11. Vivante A, Hildebrandt F: Exploring the genetic basis of early-onset chronic kidney disease. *Nat Rev Nephrol* 12: 133–146, 2016
- 12. Braun DA, Schueler M, Halbritter J, Gee HY, Porath JD, Lawson JA, Airik R, Shril S, Allen SJ, Stein D, Al Kindy A, Beck BB, Cengiz N, Moorani KN, Ozaltin F, Hashmi S, Sayer JA, Bockenhauer D, Soliman NA, Otto EA, Lifton RP, Hildebrandt F: Whole exome sequencing identifies causative mutations in the majority of consanguineous or familial cases with childhood-onset increased renal echogenicity. *Kidney Int* 89: 468–475, 2016
- Garg N, Zhang Y, Nicholson-Weller A, Khankin EV, Borsa NG, Meyer NC, McDermott S, Stillman IE, Rennke HG, Smith RJ, Pavlakis M: C3 glomerulonephritis secondary to mutations in factors H and I: Rapid recurrence in deceased donor kidney transplant effectively treated with eculizumab. *Nephrol Dial Transplant* 33: 2260–2265, 2018
- Lata S, Marasa M, Li Y, Fasel DA, Groopman E, Jobanputra V, Rasouly H, Mitrotti A, Westland R, Verbitsky M, Nestor J, Slater LM, D'Agati V, Zaniew M, Materna-Kiryluk A, Lugani F, Caridi G, Rampoldi L, Mattoo A, Newton CA, Rao MK, Radhakrishnan J,

Ahn W, Canetta PA, Bomback AS, Appel GB, Antignac C, Markowitz GS, Garcia CK, Kiryluk K, Sanna-Cherchi S, Gharavi AG: Whole-exome sequencing in adults with chronic kidney disease: A pilot study [published correction appears in *Ann Intern Med* 168: 308, 2018]. *Ann Intern Med* 168: 100–109, 2018

- Gee HY, Zhang F, Ashraf S, Kohl S, Sadowski CE, Vega-Warner V, Zhou W, Lovric S, Fang H, Nettleton M, Zhu JY, Hoefele J, Weber LT, Podracka L, Boor A, Fehrenbach H, Innis JW, Washburn J, Levy S, Lifton RP, Otto EA, Han Z, Hildebrandt F: KANK deficiency leads to podocyte dysfunction and nephrotic syndrome. J Clin Invest 125: 2375–2384, 2015
- Bierzynska A, Soderquest K, Dean P, Colby E, Rollason R, Jones C, Inward CD, McCarthy HJ, Simpson MA, Lord GM, Williams M, Welsh GI, Koziell AB, Saleem MA; NephroS; UK study of Nephrotic Syndrome: *MAGI2* mutations cause congenital nephrotic syndrome. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 28: 1614–1621, 2017
- 17. Braun DA, Sadowski CE, Kohl S, Lovric S, Astrinidis SA, Pabst WL, Gee HY, Ashraf S, Lawson JA, Shril S, Airik M, Tan W, Schapiro D, Rao J, Choi WI, Hermle T, Kemper MJ, Pohl M, Ozaltin F, Konrad M, Bogdanovic R, Büscher R, Helmchen U, Serdaroglu E, Lifton RP, Antonin W, Hildebrandt F: Mutations in nuclear pore genes NUP93, NUP205 and XPO5 cause steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. *Nat Genet* 48: 457–465, 2016
- Vodopiutz J, Seidl R, Prayer D, Khan MI, Mayr JA, Streubel B, Steiß JO, Hahn A, Csaicsich D, Castro C, Assoum M, Müller T, Wieczorek D, Mancini GM, Sadowski CE, Lévy N, Mégarbané A, Godbole K, Schanze D, Hildebrandt F, Delague V, Janecke AR, Zenker M: WDR73 mutations cause infantile neurodegeneration and variable glomerular kidney disease. *Hum Mutat* 36: 1021–1028, 2015
- Aziz N, Zhao Q, Bry L, Driscoll DK, Funke B, Gibson JS, Grody WW, Hegde MR, Hoeltge GA, Leonard DG, Merker JD, Nagarajan R, Palicki LA, Robetorye RS, Schrijver I, Weck KE, Voelkerding KV: College of American Pathologists' laboratory standards for next-generation sequencing clinical tests. *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 139: 481–493, 2015
- Richards S, Aziz N, Bale S, Bick D, Das S, Gastier-Foster J, Grody WW, Hegde M, Lyon E, Spector E, Voelkerding K, Rehm HL; ACMG Laboratory Quality Assurance Committee: Standards and guidelines for the interpretation of sequence variants: A joint consensus recommendation of the American College of medical genetics and Genomics and the association for molecular Pathology. *Genet Med* 17: 405–424, 2015
- Sharma MK, Phillips J, Agarwal S, Wiggins WS, Shrivastava S, Koul SB, Bhattacharjee M, Houchins CD, Kalakota RR, George B, Meyer RR, Spencer DH, Lockwood CM, Nguyen TT, Duncavage EJ, Al-Kateb H, Cottrell CE, Godala S, Lokineni R, Sawant SM, Chatti V, Surampudi S, Sunkishala RR, Darbha R, Macharla S, Milbrandt JD, Virgin HW, Mitra RD, Head RD, Kulkarni S, Bredemeyer A, Pfeifer JD, Seibert K, Nagarajan R: Clinical genomicist workstation. AMIA Jt Summits Transl Sci Proc 2013: 156–157, 2013
- 22. Lek M, Karczewski KJ, Minikel EV, Samocha KE, Banks E, Fennell T, O'Donnell-Luria AH, Ware JS, Hill AJ, Cummings BB, Tukiainen T, Birnbaum DP, Kosmicki JA, Duncan LE, Estrada K, Zhao F, Zou J, Pierce-Hoffman E, Berghout J, Cooper DN, Deflaux N, DePristo M, Do R, Flannick J, Fromer M, Gauthier L, Goldstein J, Gupta N, Howrigan D, Kiezun A, Kurki MI, Moonshine AL, Natarajan P, Orozco L, Peloso GM, Poplin R, Rivas MA, Ruano-Rubio V, Rose SA, Ruderfer DM, Shakir K, Stenson PD, Stevens C, Thomas BP, Tiao G, Tusie-Luna MT, Weisburd B, Won HH, Yu D, Altshuler DM, Ardissino D, Boehnke M, Danesh J, Donnelly S, Elosua R, Florez JC, Gabriel SB, Getz G, Glatt SJ, Hultman CM, Kathiresan S, Laakso M, McCarroll S, McCarthy MI, McGovern D, McPherson R, Neale BM, Palotie A, Purcell SM, Saleheen D, Scharf JM, Sklar P, Sullivan PF, Tuomilehto J, Tsuang MT, Watkins HC, Wilson JG, Daly MJ, MacArthur DG; Exome Aggregation Consortium: Analysis of protein-coding genetic variation in 60,706 humans. Nature 536: 285-291, 2016
- Genovese G, Friedman DJ, Ross MD, Lecordier L, Uzureau P, Freedman BI, Bowden DW, Langefeld CD, Oleksyk TK, Uscinski Knob AL, Bernhardy AJ, Hicks PJ, Nelson GW, Vanhollebeke B, Winkler CA, Kopp JB, Pays E, Pollak MR: Association of trypanolytic ApoL1 variants with kidney disease in African Americans. *Science* 329: 841–845, 2010

- Richards A, Buddles MR, Donne RL, Kaplan BS, Kirk E, Venning MC, Tielemans CL, Goodship JA, Goodship TH: Factor H mutations in hemolytic uremic syndrome cluster in exons 18-20, a domain important for host cell recognition. *Am J Hum Genet* 68: 485–490, 2001
- 25. Bresin E, Rurali E, Caprioli J, Sanchez-Corral P, Fremeaux-Bacchi V, Rodriguez de Cordoba S, Pinto S, Goodship TH, Alberti M, Ribes D, Valoti E, Remuzzi G, Noris M; European Working Party on Complement Genetics in Renal Diseases: Combined complement gene mutations in atypical hemolytic uremic syndrome influence clinical phenotype. J Am Soc Nephrol 24: 475–486, 2013
- Jokiranta TS, Jaakola VP, Lehtinen MJ, Pärepalo M, Meri S, Goldman A: Structure of complement factor H carboxyl-terminus reveals molecular basis of atypical haemolytic uremic syndrome. *EMBO J* 25: 1784–1794, 2006
- 27. Kajander T, Lehtinen MJ, Hyvärinen S, Bhattacharjee A, Leung E, Isenman DE, Meri S, Goldman A, Jokiranta TS: Dual interaction of factor H with C3d and glycosaminoglycans in host-nonhost discrimination by complement. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108: 2897–2902, 2011
- Lotta LA, Garagiola I, Palla R, Cairo A, Peyvandi F: ADAMTS13 mutations and polymorphisms in congenital thrombotic thrombocytopenic purpura. *Hum Mutat* 31: 11–19, 2010
- Gribouval O, Gonzales M, Neuhaus T, Aziza J, Bieth E, Laurent N, Bouton JM, Feuillet F, Makni S, Ben Amar H, Laube G, Delezoide AL, Bouvier R, Dijoud F, Ollagnon-Roman E, Roume J, Joubert M, Antignac C, Gubler MC: Mutations in genes in the renin-angiotensin system are associated with autosomal recessive renal tubular dysgenesis. *Nat Genet* 37: 964–968, 2005
- Gee HY, Jun I, Braun DA, Lawson JA, Halbritter J, Shril S, Nelson CP, Tan W, Stein D, Wassner AJ, Ferguson MA, Gucev Z, Sayer JA, Milosevic D, Baum M, Tasic V, Lee MG, Hildebrandt F: Mutations in SLC26A1 cause nephrolithiasis. *Am J Hum Genet* 98: 1228–1234, 2016
- Estrella MM, Parekh RS: The expanding role of APOL1 risk in chronic kidney disease and cardiovascular disease. Semin Nephrol 37: 520–529, 2017
- 32. Sadowski CE, Lovric S, Ashraf S, Pabst WL, Gee HY, Kohl S, Engelmann S, Vega-Warner V, Fang H, Halbritter J, Somers MJ, Tan W, Shril S, Fessi I, Lifton RP, Bockenhauer D, El-Desoky S, Kari JA, Zenker M, Kemper MJ, Mueller D, Fathy HM, Soliman NA, Hildebrandt F; SRNS Study Group: A single-gene cause in

29.5% of cases of steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome. *J Am Soc Nephrol* 26: 1279–1289, 2015

- 33. Devriendt K, Matthijs G, Van Damme B, Van Caesbroeck D, Eccles M, Vanrenterghem Y, Fryns JP, Leys A: Missense mutation and hexanucleotide duplication in the PAX2 gene in two unrelated families with renal-coloboma syndrome (MIM 120330). *Hum Genet* 103: 149–153, 1998
- Hart TC, Gorry MC, Hart PS, Woodard AS, Shihabi Z, Sandhu J, Shirts B, Xu L, Zhu H, Barmada MM, Bleyer AJ: Mutations of the UMOD gene are responsible for medullary cystic kidney disease 2 and familial juvenile hyperuricaemic nephropathy. *J Med Genet* 39: 882–892, 2002
- 35. Gigante M, Caridi G, Montemurno E, Soccio M, d'Apolito M, Cerullo G, Aucella F, Schirinzi A, Emma F, Massella L, Messina G, De Palo T, Ranieri E, Ghiggeri GM, Gesualdo L: TRPC6 mutations in children with steroid-resistant nephrotic syndrome and atypical phenotype. *Clin J Am Soc Nephrol* 6: 1626–1634, 2011
- 36. Hoff S, Halbritter J, Epting D, Frank V, Nguyen TM, van Reeuwijk J, Boehlke C, Schell C, Yasunaga T, Helmstädter M, Mergen M, Filhol E, Boldt K, Horn N, Ueffing M, Otto EA, Eisenberger T, Elting MW, van Wijk JA, Bockenhauer D, Sebire NJ, Rittig S, Vyberg M, Ring T, Pohl M, Pape L, Neuhaus TJ, Elshakhs NA, Koon SJ, Harris PC, Grahammer F, Huber TB, Kuehn EW, Kramer-Zucker A, Bolz HJ, Roepman R, Saunier S, Walz G, Hildebrandt F, Bergmann C, Lienkamp SS: ANKS6 is a central component of a nephronophthisis module linking NEK8 to INVS and NPHP3. *Nat Genet* 45: 951–956, 2013
- 37. Zhang W, Taylor SP, Ennis HA, Forlenza KN, Duran I, Li B, Sanchez JAO, Nevarez L, Nickerson DA, Bamshad M, Lachman RS, Krakow D, Cohn DH; University of Washington Center for Mendelian Genomics: Expanding the genetic architecture and phenotypic spectrum in the skeletal ciliopathies. *Hum Mutat* 39: 152–166, 2018
- Patrick AN, Cabrera JH, Smith AL, Chen XS, Ford HL, Zhao R: Structure-function analyses of the human SIX1-EYA2 complex reveal insights into metastasis and BOR syndrome. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 20: 447–453, 2013

Received: March 16, 2020 Accepted: May 12, 2020

See related editorial, "Genetic Analysis in Kidney Disease: Advancing Clinical Diagnosis and Research Discovery" on pages 720–723.