



OPEN

Disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) due to the direct health impact of COVID-19 in India, 2020

Balbir B. Singh^{1,2,3✉}, Brecht Devleesschauwer^{4,5}, Mehar S. Khatkar^{6,7}, Mark Lowerison^{6,7}, Baljit Singh^{6,8}, Navneet K. Dhand^{6,9} & Herman W. Barkema^{6,7,9}

COVID-19 has affected all countries. Its containment represents a unique challenge for India due to a large population (> 1.38 billion) across a wide range of population densities. Assessment of the COVID-19 disease burden is required to put the disease impact into context and support future pandemic policy development. Here, we present the national-level burden of COVID-19 in India in 2020 that accounts for differences across urban and rural regions and across age groups. Input data were collected from official records or published literature. The proportion of excess COVID-19 deaths was estimated using the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Washington data. Disability-adjusted life years (DALY) due to COVID-19 were estimated in the Indian population in 2020, comprised of years of life lost (YLL) and years lived with disability (YLD). YLL was estimated by multiplying the number of deaths due to COVID-19 by the residual standard life expectancy at the age of death due to the disease. YLD was calculated as a product of the number of incident cases of COVID-19, disease duration and disability weight. Scenario analyses were conducted to account for excess deaths not recorded in the official data and for reported COVID-19 deaths. The direct impact of COVID-19 in 2020 in India was responsible for 14,100,422 (95% uncertainty interval [UI] 14,030,129–14,213,231) DALYs, consisting of 99.2% (95% UI 98.47–99.64%) YLLs and 0.80% (95% UI 0.36–1.53) YLDs. DALYs were higher in urban (56%; 95% UI 56–57%) than rural areas (44%; 95% UI 43.4–43.6) and in men (64%) than women (36%). In absolute terms, the highest DALYs occurred in the 51–60-year-old age group (28%) but the highest DALYs per 100,000 persons were estimated for the 71–80 years old age group (5481; 95% UI 5464–5500 years). There were 4,815,908 (95% UI 4,760,908–4,924,307) DALYs after considering reported COVID-19 deaths only. The DALY estimations have direct and immediate implications not only for public policy in India, but also internationally given that India represents one sixth of the world's population.

The coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic¹ originated in Wuhan, China in December 2019² and eventually worldwide. The disease is caused by the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) virus that infects humans³. As of 30 July 2021, 196,553,009 cumulative cases and 4,200,412 cumulative deaths have been reported worldwide⁴. The virus spreads via respiratory droplets, and aerosols shed from infected individuals^{5,6} or directly through contaminated hands⁷. Important symptoms include fever, respiratory tract symptoms such as cough, pneumonia, shortness of breath and can progress to serious illness and even death^{8,9}. In addition, long or post-acute COVID-19 syndrome with symptoms and abnormalities after 12 weeks following the onset of acute COVID-19 infections has also been recognised¹⁰.

The first case of COVID-19 in India was reported on 30 January 2020. The government of India implemented staged lockdowns and intense contact mitigation to overcome this challenge; however, these interventions were

¹Centre for One Health, Guru Angad Dev Veterinary and Animal Sciences University, Ludhiana, Punjab 141004, India. ²Sydney School of Veterinary Science, The University of Sydney, 425 Werombi Road, Camden 2570 NSW, Australia. ³Department of Veterinary Microbiology, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, Canada. ⁴Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, Sciensano, Rue Juliette Wytsman 14, 1050 Brussels, Belgium. ⁵Department of Translational Physiology, Infectiology, and Public Health, Ghent University, Salisburylaan 133, 9820 Merelbeke, Belgium. ⁶Department of Community Health Sciences, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary, AB T2N 4N1, Canada. ⁷One Health at UCalgary, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary T2N 4N1, Canada. ⁸Department of Veterinary Biomedical Sciences, University of Saskatchewan, Saskatoon, SK, Canada. ⁹Department of Production Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Calgary, 3330 Hospital Drive NW, Calgary T2N 4N1, Canada. ✉email: bbsdhalwal@gmail.com

only able to slow but did not halt the spread of COVID-19 in India¹¹. As of 30 July 2021, 31,572,344 cumulative cases and 423,217 cumulative deaths have been reported in India⁴. The adjusted case fatality risk (aCFR) due to COVID-19 in India has been reported to be 1.4 and 3.0% using random- and fixed-effects models, respectively¹². At the end of the first wave (15 February 2021), the cumulative aCFR declined to 1.2% using random and 1.6% using fixed-effects models¹². The aCFR in India was associated with co-morbidities such as the incidence of diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, hypertension, and acute respiratory infections¹².

The health impact of COVID-19 is being investigated across the globe. A recent study reported 1,279,866 deaths due to COVID-19 in 81 countries with an average of 16 years of life lost (YLL) per death resulting in 20,507,518 YLL¹³. In the Kerala state of India, 709.2 DALYs per 100,000 population have been estimated from the inception of the pandemic to 20 August 2020¹⁴. However, despite being the second most populous country in the world, no comprehensive assessment of the disease burden of COVID-19 in India has been undertaken. In this study, we estimated DALYs due to the direct health impact of COVID-19 in India from 30 January 2020 (the first case reported) to 31 December 2020. Domain, gender, and age-specific DALY estimates are provided to understand health impacts of COVID-19 in India.

Methods

COVID-19 case and death data. The COVID-19 cumulative case and death data till 31 December 2020 were extracted using the Government of India Ministry of Health and Family Welfare (MoHFW) COVID-19 tracker on 18 January 2021, 8:00 AM¹⁵. Age-, gender- and domain-specific distributions of COVID-19 cases as provided by the National Centre for Disease Control, Government of India (as of 18 January 2021) were used in the analysis¹⁶. The National Centre for Disease Control data on the proportion of deaths in various age groups as of 2 September 2020 were recorded from the published literature¹⁷. Gender-specific mortality risk (64% in men and 36% in women) reported by the MoHFW were also recorded as of 21 May 2020¹⁸. Domain-specific COVID-19 death data (rural vs urban) were obtained from the news item published online (<https://www.downtoearth.org.in/news/health/more-than-half-of-india-s-april-covid-19-deaths-were-in-rural-districts-76782>) indicating 56% deaths in urban and 44% deaths in rural districts during March 2020–April 2021.

Several studies indicate 48.5%¹⁹, 52.5%²⁰, and 57.8%²¹ of SARS-CoV-2 infected individuals remained asymptomatic in the country. A systematic review and meta-analysis conducted using the studies conducted worldwide till 10 June 2020 indicated 31% (95% CI 26–37%) of infected people to be asymptomatic²². Based on all these studies, we assumed that 31–57.8% of infected people to be asymptomatic in the country.

As of 17 July 2020, the MoHFW reported that there were 2.8% cases on oxygen beds, 1.9% cases in intensive care units (ICUs), and 0.35% cases on ventilators²³. As of September 4, 2020, the MoHFW reported updated estimates of 3.5% cases on oxygen beds, 2.0% cases in ICUs, and 0.50% cases on ventilators²³. Based on both estimates, we assumed 4.8–5.5% of severe (patients in ICU plus those needing oxygen support), and 0.35–0.5% of critical (patients on ventilators) cases in the country. The proportion of cases that were not asymptomatic, severe or critical were assumed to be mild/moderate cases.

No data were available for the proportion of cases having post-acute COVID-19 syndrome (PCS) from India. A UK COVID symptom study indicates that approximately 10% of COVID-19 patients suffer from PCS^{24,25}. Other studies report that 51% of the patients in Spain²⁶ and 32.5% of patients in the United states²⁷ suffer from PCS. Based on the above-mentioned findings, we assumed that 32.5% of the mild/moderate, severe or critical cases would suffer from PCS in India. Details of COVID-19 cases and death input data have been presented in Table S1.

COVID-19 severity data. COVID-19 outcomes model and value of health loss as described by Wyper, et al.²⁸ were used in the current estimations^{29,30}. For COVID-19 infections, disability weight(s) of 0.051 (0.032–0.074) for mild/moderate, 0.133 (0.088–0.190) for severe, 0.655 (0.579–0.727) for critical, and 0.219 (0.148–0.308) for post-acute consequences cases were used. Per definition, the disability weight for asymptomatic cases equalled zero.

A mean duration of 7.79 days (6.20–9.64 days) has been estimated using meta-analysis for lower respiratory infections (LRI)³¹. A study from India reported the interval to resolution of COVID-19 symptoms to be a median of 7.0 days (2.0–9.5 days)³². Similarly, another study reported a median moderate COVID-19 duration of 6.0 days (3–11 days)³³. Based on all these estimates, we used a median of 7 days (2–11 days) as the disease duration for mild/moderate COVID-19 in India.

A study from India reported 12 days duration of COVID-19 for hospitalized patients³⁴. Another study from Germany also reported an overall hospitalization of 12 (7–20) days for COVID-19 patients needing oxygen supplementation outside the ICU³⁵. For critical patients, duration in the ICU for 9 (7–11) days has been reported³⁶. A Belgian study reported the time between symptom onset and hospitalization to vary from 3–10.4 days³⁷. Therefore, we used 18 (10–30.4) days as the disease duration for severe and critical COVID-19 (symptom onset to hospitalization duration plus hospitalization duration) in India.

A recent review on PCS indicated the duration of PCS in various countries varied from 1 to 6 months post-symptom onset, with a 2-month duration commonly reported¹⁰. Therefore, we used a median of 60 days (30–180 days) as the disease duration for PCS in India. Details of COVID-19 severity-related input data have been presented in Table S1.

Demographic data. The human population in India for 2020 was projected to be 1,380,004,390³⁸. However, age-, domain- and gender-specific proportions of the population were not available for the year 2020. Therefore, we used the age-, domain- and gender-specific proportion of the population from the 2011 human population census data³⁹ assuming it to be constant for 2011 and 2020 population data. Life expectancy was derived as per

	Median YLL	95% UI	Median YLD	95% UI	Median DALY	95% UI
Total	13,992,709	13,958,073–14,027,485	105,784	50,002–217,970	14,100,422	14,030,129–14,213,231
Domain						
Rural	6,102,220	6,087,116–6,117,386	31,253	14,773–64,397	6,134,167	6,109,995–6,168,716
Urban	7,890,488	7,870,957–7,910,099	74,530	35,229–153,573	7,966,143	7,919,750–8,045,000
Gender						
Men	8,955,334	8,933,167–8,977,590	68,275	32,273–140,681	9,024,833	8,979,611–9,097,629
Women	5,037,375	5,024,906–5,049,895	37,508	17,729–77,289	5,075,566	5,050,493–5,115,612
	Median YLL/100,000 person years	95% UI	Median YLD/100,000 person years	95% UI	Median DALY/100,000 person years	95% UI
Total	1,014	1,011–1,016	8	4–16	1,022	1,017–1,030
Domain						
Rural	642	641–644	3	2–7	646	643–649
Urban	1,836	1,831–1,840	17	8–36	1,854	1,842–1,872
Gender						
Men	1,261	1,258–1,264	10	5–20	1,270	1,264–1,281
Women	752	750–754	6	3–12	758	754–764

Table 1. Estimated annual disability adjusted life years (DALYs) due to excess COVID-19 deaths in 2020 in India. 95% UI = 2.5–97.5th percentile.

the standard life expectancy table of Global Burden of Disease Study 2019⁴⁰. Details of demographic data have been presented in Table S1.

COVID-19 deaths. Excess deaths due to COVID-19 in India for the year 2020 were estimated (Table S1) using COVID-19 mortality estimates from March 2020 to May 2021 generated by the Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation, Washington⁴¹. Excess deaths due to COVID-19 were officially reported deaths plus unrecorded COVID-19 deaths predicted using six drivers of all-cause mortality⁴¹. Using the IHME data, the confidence interval of the proportion of the reported COVID-19 deaths to the excess deaths was estimated⁴². We assumed that there will be a similar proportion of excess deaths during the year 2020 as reported from March 2020 to May 2021. As age, domain, and gender-specific proportion of excess deaths were unavailable, we assumed that the proportion of excess deaths will be similar to those reported for COVID-19 deaths.

Calculation of disability-adjusted life years. DALYs were estimated as the sum of YLDs and YLLs. YLL was estimated by multiplying the number of deaths due to COVID-19 by the residual standard life expectancy at the age of death due to the disease. YLD was calculated as a product of the number of incident cases of COVID-19, disease duration and disability weight. Domain-, gender- and age-specific DALYs were estimated as per Devleeschauwer et al.^{43,44}. We assumed that cases were sick before dying; therefore, both YLDs and YLLs were estimated for COVID-19 deaths.

Scenario analyses were conducted using a) excess COVID-19 deaths reported by IHME⁴¹, and b) officially reported deaths¹⁵ to estimate DALYs associated with COVID-19 in India.

Uncertainty was propagated using 10,000 Monte Carlo simulations. Uniform probability distributions were applied for the proportion of patients having mild/moderate, severe, or critical symptoms. Triangular distribution(s) were applied to disability weights and COVID-19 disease duration associated with various COVID-19 outcomes. A triangular distribution was also applied to excess COVID-19 deaths during the calendar year 2020.

The resulting uncertainty distributions were summarized by their mean and a 95% uncertainty interval (UI) defined as the distribution's 2.5th and 97.5th percentile.

All analyses were conducted using R version 3.6.3 (R Development Core Team, <http://www.r-project.org>).

Ethical statement. Informed consent for collection of epidemiological data was not required, as these data were already coded and available in the public domain. No identifiable personal information was used in this study.

Results

Disability-adjusted life years. The direct impact of COVID-19 was responsible for 14,100,422 DALYs consisting of 13,992,709 (99.2%; 95% UI 98.47–99.64%) YLLs and 105,784 (0.80%; 95% UI 0.36–1.53%) YLDs in 2020 in India (Table 1). There were 7,890,488 DALYs in urban (56%) as compared to 6,102,220 in rural (44%) areas (Table 1). There were 8,955,334 DALYs in men (64.0%) as compared to 5,037,375 DALYs in women (36.0%) (Table 1). The highest DALYs were reported in persons 51–60 years (3,921,906; 28%, Table 2). Mortality contributed towards almost all (99.2%) DALYs due to the direct impact of COVID-19 in India. There were 31.67 YLLs per COVID-19 death in the country. PCS contributed towards 89.61% (95% UI 79.1–95.62%) of the YLDs.

Age (years)	Median DALYs	95% UI	Median DALY per 100,000 person-years	95% UI
Total	14,100,422	14,030,129–14,213,231	1022	1,017–1,030
0–10	189,636	187,389–194,019	61	61–63
11–20	237,600	233,106–246,611	83	81–86
21–30	760,728	748,089–785,869	311	306–322
31–40	1,485,568	1,472,653–1,509,745	758.4	752–771
41–50	2,652,051	2,639,491–2,671,686	1,822	1,814–1,836
51–60	3,921,906	3,908,098–3,939,808	4,069	4,055–4,088
61–70	3,265,799	3,255,655–3,277,308	5,001	4,986–5,019
71–80	1,326,741	1,322,679–1,331,288	5,481	5,464–5,499
81–90	245,109	244,293–246,096	3,805	3,793–3,821
> 90 years	15,072	14,996–15,194	714	710–720

Table 2. Estimated annual disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) due to excess COVID-19 deaths in various age groups in 2020 in India. 95% UI = 2.5–97.5th percentile.

Disability-adjusted life years per 100,000 people. There were 1,022 DALYs, 1,014 YLLs, and 8 median YLDs per 100,000 persons per year in the country (Table 1). The median DALYs per 100,000 persons per year were higher in urban as compared to rural (1854 vs 646) and in men as compared to women (1270 vs 758) in India. The highest DALYs per 100,000 persons per year were at 5,481 (95% UI 5464–5500) reported in persons belonging to the age group of 71–80 years in India (Table 2).

Scenario analyses. The DALYs, YLLs and YLDs were approximately 3 times lower after considering reported COVID-19 deaths only (Table S2). There were 4,815,908 DALYs, 4,710,106 YLLs and 105,803 YLDs due to reported COVID-19 deaths. There were 349 DALYs, 341 YLLs and 8 YLDs per 100,000 persons per year due to reported COVID-19 deaths in the country (Table S2). A proportional decrease in age-wise DALYs and YLLs after excluding excess COVID-19 deaths is also presented in Table S3.

Discussion

We estimated DALYs due to the direct impact of COVID-19 in 2020 in India. Furthermore, we also accounted for excess COVID-19 deaths (not officially reported) for accurate DALY estimates. As far as we are aware, DALY estimations for COVID-19 for the full 2020 year have not been reported from India. Therefore, the current study will provide valuable insights into health impacts of COVID-19 in 2020 in India.

We believe IHME excess COVID-19 deaths prediction to be accurate, as it was based on models using week-by-week measurements of excess death rate during the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Furthermore, 6 drivers of all-cause mortality, including: (a) the excess COVID-19 death rate, (b) excess mortality due to delayed or deferred health care, (c) excess mortality due to mental health disorders, (d) reductions in mortality due to decrease in injuries, (e) decrease in mortality due to other viruses, and (f) and decrease in mortality due to certain chronic conditions, were also taken into account to accurately predict excess COVID-19 deaths nationally and various regions globally⁴¹.

There was a loss of 1022 healthy years of life per 100,000 person-years and 14 million DALYs due to the direct impact of COVID-19 in 2020 in India. In 2019, there were overall 468 million DALYs in India⁴⁵ indicating that the DALYs due to COVID-19 could account for almost 3% of the total DALYs in India. In 2017, the top 15 causes of DALYs per 1000 person-years in India ranges from ischaemic heart disease (35.0) to fever of unknown origin (9.0)⁴⁶. Therefore, if excess deaths due to COVID-19 were accounted, the direct impact of COVID-19 would be among the top 15 causes but not among the top 10 of DALYs in 2020 in India. These estimates of direct impact of COVID-19 are lower than ischaemic heart disease, nutritional deficiencies, chronic respiratory diseases, neuropsychiatric conditions, diarrhoea, vision and other sensory loss, respiratory infections, cancers, stroke, road traffic accidents, tuberculosis, liver and alcohol-related conditions, but higher than musculoskeletal disorders and fever of unknown origin.

For communicable diseases, the World Health Organisation in 2019 estimated that tuberculosis was responsible for 18.17, lower respiratory infections for 11.41, HIV/AIDS for 1.69, upper respiratory infections for 0.68 DALYs per 1,000 population in India⁴⁷. Therefore, the DALYs due to the direct impact of COVID-19 (10.22) are lower than tuberculosis, close to other lower respiratory infections and higher than those for HIV/AIDS and upper respiratory infections.

Influenza lower respiratory tract episodes in 2017 have been responsible for 26,000 deaths leading to 1.8 death per 100,000 population in India⁴⁸. The number of deaths due to influenza is much less as compared to 441,874 COVID-19 deaths in 2020 estimated in the current study after accounting for unrecorded COVID-19 deaths in India.

There are considerable variations among countries in estimated DALYs. For example, there were 1,767–1,981 DALYs per 100,000 person-years due to COVID-19 during 2020 in Scotland⁴⁹, 4.9 (20 January to 24 April 2020) in Korea⁵⁰, and 368 in Germany in 2020⁵¹. There were 1200 YLLs per 100,000 capita during the first year of the pandemic in USA⁵². Based on the reported COVID-19 death data, the DALYs per 100,000 person-years were

approximately similar to those reported for Germany. However, after accounting for excess COVID-19 deaths, the DALYs per 100,000 person-years were much closer to those reported from the USA.

Median DALYs were higher in urban areas (56%) compared to rural areas. Similarly, urban–rural differences in exposures and outcomes of COVID-19 have been reported in the USA⁵³. This is because a high number of cases and deaths were reported from urban as compared to rural areas. Perhaps contact patterns are different in urban areas and rural areas. For example, a social contact rate of 17.0 in rural⁵⁴, 28.3 in urban developed centres and 67.4 in urban slum areas⁵⁵ per person per day was recorded in various domains in India.

Median DALYs were higher in men (64.0%) as compared to women (36.0%). Similar trends have been observed globally. Out of 121,449 DALYs in Italy, 82,020 were in men and 39,429 in women⁵⁶. Higher YLLs have been reported in men in 30 high incidence countries⁵⁷. Gender-specific DALY differences are due to a difference in proportion in cases and deaths among men and women. Furthermore, women have fewer contacts than men outside the home⁵⁴. In addition, being a man has been identified as a risk factor for death and ICU admission due to COVID-19⁵⁸.

Mortality contributed (99.61%) towards almost all the DALYs due to the direct impact of COVID-19 in India, in line with other studies^{51,56,59}. Similarly, 38,348 DALYs consisting of 822 YLD (15.05%) and 32,575 YLL (84.95%) have been reported due to the first wave of COVID-19 in China⁵⁹. In Germany, YLL accounted for 99.3% of the burden of COVID-19⁵¹. YLLs contributed 99.48% of the DALYs in Italy⁵⁶.

There is limited information on the DALYs due to COVID-19 in India. A study from India reports 2.18 million YLLs due to COVID-19 by the middle of October 2020 and predicted 4 million YLLs for the full year 2020¹⁷. We also estimated 4.7 million YLLs for the year 2020 when the official COVID-19 deaths were used in the analyses. However, our results were different after accounting for excess deaths (not officially reported) using IHME COVID-19 excess death data. In Kerala state of India, COVID-19 has been reported to be associated with 24,592 DALYs until 15 November 2020 and 140,481 DALYs until 10 June 2021¹⁴. Similarly, Fan and colleagues⁶⁰ estimated 1.6 million COVID-19 associated DALYs from Jan 2020 to April 2021 in India. Our DALY estimates for the year 2020 are high due to accounting of unrecorded COVID-19 deaths in the current study. Our findings will provide valuable information on the DALY estimations in India for the full year 2020.

There was a median of 31.67 YLLs per COVID-19 death in India. This is because highest median YLLs were estimated for the population in the 51–60 year (3,906,065) and 61–70 year (3,256,690) age-groups, respectively. A study from two Indian states also reported that cases and deaths are concentrated in younger cohorts in the country as compared to high-income countries⁶¹. Our DALY estimations were derived using the standard life expectancy table of Global Burden of Disease Study 2019⁴⁰. In Germany, 9.6 YLL per death have been reported; however, a higher YLL per death of 25.2 have been reported for persons under 70 years of age⁵¹. YLL per COVID-19 death ranged from 15.3 to 15.5 in Scotland⁶². However, these estimates could not be compared due to different life expectancy tables used in the analysis.

There are uncertainties surrounding these estimates. Undercounting or underreporting of COVID-19 cases and deaths is a serious concern and is more relevant in low- and middle-income countries such as India⁶³. We used IHME excess COVID-19 deaths and officially reported data to drive our estimates. As exact data on the total number of deaths during 2020 remain unavailable (India's Civil Registration System data), DALYs after accounting for the exact number of excess deaths could not be estimated. Grey literature indicates that there were 13,297 more deaths during March–September 2020 as compared to the same period in 2019 and 14,944 as compared in 2018 in Mumbai, India (<https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/mumbai/13k-more-deaths-in-city-this-year-between-march-sept/articleshow/78920631.cms>). Conversely, the Kerala state of India reported an 11.1% reduction in all-cause mortality during 2020 as compared to 2019⁶⁴ possibly due to high reduction in other cause mortality as compared to COVID-19 associated excess mortality. Globally, comparative analyses using COVID-19 attributable and excess death approaches indicate that YLL estimations using attributable deaths maybe 3 times lower than those accounting for excess deaths; however, these estimates maybe even 12 times lower in some countries¹³. To overcome this issue, we used excess mortality due to COVID-19 from March 2020 to May 2021 as estimated by the IHME, Washington⁴¹. In addition, no data were available on age- and domain-specific proportions of excess deaths. We assumed this proportion to be similar to reported deaths. Therefore, the availability of all-cause mortality data in future will further refine COVID-19 associated DALY estimations in India. Furthermore, no data were available on the proportion of post-acute covid manifestations and was derived from other studies. This might have resulted in over or under estimation in the presented DALY. Lastly, indirect impact of COVID-19 especially due to disruption of health services could not be estimated.

Overall, direct impact of COVID-19 could be among the top 15 causes of DALYs in India. However, the estimated impact of COVID-19 would be substantially higher if indirect impacts of COVID-19 were to be accounted. Health impacts of COVID-19 varies in substantially in urban and rural areas. The current study will help to understand health impacts of COVID-19 in India and in other regions and countries.

Data availability

The analysed data are available along with the manuscript. Sources of the raw data used in the analysis have been cited.

Received: 22 August 2021; Accepted: 24 January 2022

Published online: 14 February 2022

References

1. WHO. Listings of WHO's response to COVID-19. (2020). <<https://www.who.int/news/item/29-06-2020-covidtimeline>>.
2. Zhou, P. *et al.* A pneumonia outbreak associated with a new coronavirus of probable bat origin. *Nature* **579**, 270–273. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2012-7> (2020).

3. Andersen, K. G., Rambaut, A., Lipkin, W. I., Holmes, E. C. & Garry, R. F. The proximal origin of SARS-CoV-2. *Nat. Med.* **26**, 450–452. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-020-0820-9> (2020).
4. WHO. *WHO Coronavirus (COVID-19) dashboard*, <<https://covid19.who.int/region/searo/country/in>> (2021).
5. Lai, C.-C., Shih, T.-P., Ko, W.-C., Tang, H.-J. & Hsueh, P.-R. Severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) and corona virus disease-2019 (COVID-19): the epidemic and the challenges. *Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents* **55**, 105924. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2020.105924> (2020).
6. Somsen, G. A., van Rijn, C., Kooij, S., Bem, R. A. & Bonn, D. Small droplet aerosols in poorly ventilated spaces and SARS-CoV-2 transmission. *Lancet Respir Med* **8**, 658–659. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(20\)30245-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(20)30245-9) (2020).
7. Johnson, G. *et al.* Modality of human expired aerosol size distributions. *J. Aerosol Sci.* **42**, 839–851 (2011).
8. Chen, N. *et al.* Epidemiological and clinical characteristics of 99 cases of 2019 novel coronavirus pneumonia in Wuhan, China: A descriptive study. *Lancet* **395**, 507–513. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30211-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30211-7) (2020).
9. Wang, D. *et al.* Clinical characteristics of 138 hospitalized patients with 2019 novel coronavirus-infected pneumonia in Wuhan, China. *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **323**, 1061–1069. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2020.1585> (2020).
10. Nalbandian, A. *et al.* Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. *Nat. Med.* **27**, 601–615. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-021-01283-z> (2021).
11. Singh, B. B. *et al.* Public health interventions slowed but did not halt the spread of COVID-19 in India. *Transboundary Emerg. Dis.* **68**, 2171–2187. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13868> (2020).
12. Singh, B. B. *et al.* Meta-analysis and adjusted estimation of COVID-19 case fatality risk in India and its association with the underlying comorbidities. *One Health* <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.onehlt.2021.100283> (2021).
13. Pifarré, I. *et al.* Years of life lost to COVID-19 in 81 countries. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 3504. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-83040-3> (2021).
14. John, D., Narassima, M. S., Jaideep, C. M. & Guru Rajesh, J. Estimation of the economic burden of COVID-19 using disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) and productivity losses in Kerala, India: a model-based analysis. *BMJ Open* **11**(8), e049619. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-049619> (2021).
15. MIB. *COVID 19 Tracker. Ministry of Information and Broadcasting, Government of India.*, <<https://twitter.com/covidnewsbymib/status/1344505201332350977?lang=en>> (2020).
16. NCDC. *National Centre for Disease Control. COVID-19 Dashboard.*, <<https://ncdc.gov.in/dashboard.php>> (2021).
17. Indrayan, A., Mishra, A. & Pathak, M. Preliminary estimates of years of life lost (YLL) due to COVID-19 in India. *MedRxiv* <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.24.20218693> (2020).
18. PIB. *Press Information Bureau, Government of India. Updates on COVID-19. Release ID: 1625744.*, <<https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1625744>> (2020).
19. Krishnasamy, N. *et al.* Clinical outcomes among asymptomatic or mildly symptomatic COVID-19 patients in an isolation facility in Chennai, India. *Am. J. Tropical Med. Hyg.* **104**, 85–90. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.20-1096> (2021).
20. Saurabh, S. *et al.* Tobacco, alcohol use and other risk factors for developing symptomatic COVID-19 vs asymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infection: A case-control study from western Rajasthan, India. *Trans. R. Soc. Trop. Med. Hyg.* **115**, 820–831. <https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/traal172> (2021).
21. Soni, S. L. *et al.* Demographic & clinical profile of patients with COVID-19 at a tertiary care hospital in north India. *Indian J. Med. Res.* **153**, 115–125. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmr.IJMR_2311_20 (2021).
22. Buitrago-Garcia, D. *et al.* Occurrence and transmission potential of asymptomatic and presymptomatic SARS-CoV-2 infections: A living systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS Med.* **17**, e1003346. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1003346> (2020).
23. PIB. *Press Information Bureau, Government of India. Home/All Press Release. Others. PIB'S daily bulletin on COVID-19.*, <<https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1639305>; <https://pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=1651364>> (2020).
24. Greenhalgh, T., Knight, M., A'Court, C., Buxton, M. & Husain, L. Management of post-acute COVID-19 in primary care. *BMJ* **370**, m3026. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m3026> (2020).
25. CSS. *COVID symptom study. How long does COVID-19 last?* Kings College London, 2020., <https://covid19.joinzoe.com/post/covid-long-term?fbclid=IwAR1RxIcmmDL-EFjh_aI-> (2020).
26. Moreno-Pérez, O. *et al.* Post-acute COVID-19 syndrome. Incidence and risk factors: A Mediterranean cohort study. *J Infect* **82**, 378–383. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jinf.2021.01.004> (2021).
27. Logue, J. K. *et al.* Sequelae in adults at 6 months after COVID-19 Infection. *JAMA Netw. Open* **4**, e210830–e210830. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2021.0830> (2021).
28. Wyper, G. M. A. *et al.* Burden of disease methods: A guide to calculate COVID-19 disability-adjusted life years. *Int. J. Public Health* **66**, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.3389/ijph.2021.619011> (2021).
29. Haagsma, J. A. *et al.* Assessing disability weights based on the responses of 30,660 people from four European countries. *Population Health Metrics* **13**, 10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12963-015-0042-4> (2015).
30. Salomon, J. A. *et al.* Disability weights for the global burden of disease 2013 study. *Lancet Global Health* **3**, e712–e723. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(15\)00069-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(15)00069-8) (2015).
31. James, S. L. *et al.* Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet* **392**, 1789–1858. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(18\)32279-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(18)32279-7) (2018).
32. Kirtana, J. *et al.* Mild COVID-19 infection-predicting symptomatic phase and outcome: A study from AIIMS, New Delhi. *J. Family Med. Prim. Care* **9**, 5360–5365. https://doi.org/10.4103/jfmppc.jfmppc_1610_20 (2020).
33. Agarwal, A. *et al.* Convalescent plasma in the management of moderate covid-19 in adults in India: open label phase II multicentre randomised controlled trial (PLACID Trial). *BMJ* **371**, m3939. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m3939> (2020).
34. Pannu, A. *et al.* Severe acute respiratory infection surveillance during the initial phase of the COVID-19 outbreak in North India: A comparison of COVID-19 to other SARI causes. *Indian J. Crit. Care Med.* **25**, 761–767. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23882> (2021).
35. Daher, A. *et al.* Clinical course of COVID-19 patients needing supplemental oxygen outside the intensive care unit. *Sci. Rep.* **11**, 2256. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-021-81444-9> (2021).
36. Shukla, U., Chavali, S., Mukta, P., Mapari, A. & Vyas, A. Initial experience of critically ill patients with COVID-19 in Western India: A case series. *Indian J. Crit. Care Med* **24**, 509–513. <https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23477> (2020).
37. Faes, C. *et al.* Time between symptom onset, hospitalisation and recovery or death: Statistical analysis of Belgian COVID-19 patients. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **17**, 7560. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17207560> (2020).
38. WorldBank. *Population, total - India.*, <<https://data.worldbank.org/indicator/SP.POP.TOTL?locations=IN>> (2020).
39. COI. *Census of India 2011. Population enumeration data (Final population)*, <http://censusindia.gov.in/2011census/population_enumeration.html; <http://censusindia.gov.in/2011-prov-results/indiaatglance.html>> (2011).
40. GBDCN. *Global Burden of Disease Collaborative Network. Global Burden of Disease Study 2019 (GBD 2019) Reference Life Table. Seattle, United States of America: Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation (IHME).*, <<https://doi.org/10.6069/ID4Y-YQ37>> (2021).
41. IHME. *Institute for Health Metrics and Evaluation. Estimation of total mortality due to COVID-19.*, <<http://www.healthdata.org/special-analysis/estimation-excess-mortality-due-covid-19-and-scalars-reported-covid-19-deaths>> (2021).
42. CIFPC. *Confidence Interval For Proportions Calculator.* (2021). <<https://stats.libretexts.org/@go/page/8347>>.

43. Devleeschauwer, B. *et al.* Calculating disability-adjusted life years to quantify burden of disease. *Int. J. Public Health* **59**, 565–569. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-014-0552-z> (2014).
44. Devleeschauwer, B. *et al.* DALY calculation in practice: A stepwise approach. *Int. J. Public Health* **59**, 571–574. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00038-014-0553-y> (2014).
45. Vos, T. *et al.* Global burden of 369 diseases and injuries in 204 countries and territories, 1990–2019: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2019. *Lancet* **396**, 1204–1222. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30925-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30925-9) (2020).
46. Menon, G. R. *et al.* National Burden Estimates of healthy life lost in India, 2017: An analysis using direct mortality data and indirect disability data. *Lancet Global Health* **7**, e1675–e1684. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X\(19\)30451-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2214-109X(19)30451-6) (2019).
47. WHO. The global health observatory. Explore a world of health data. Global health estimates: Leading causes of DALYs. Disease burden, 2000–2019. Deaths, DALY, and population in India for both sexes aged all ages (2019). (2021). <<https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/themes/mortality-and-global-health-estimates/global-health-estimates-leading-causes-of-dalys>>.
48. Troeger, C. *et al.* Estimates of the global, regional, and national morbidity, mortality, and aetiologies of lower respiratory infections in 195 countries, 1990–2016: A systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. *Lancet. Infect. Dis* **18**, 1191–1210. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(18\)30310-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(18)30310-4) (2018).
49. Wyper, G. *et al.* Inequalities in population health loss by multiple deprivation: COVID-19 and pre-pandemic all-cause disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) in Scotland. *Soc.* <https://doi.org/10.31219/osf.io/js3h6> (2021).
50. Jo, M. W. *et al.* The burden of disease due to COVID-19 in Korea using disability-adjusted life years. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* **35**, e199–e199. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e199> (2020).
51. Rommel, A. *et al.* The COVID-19 disease burden in Germany in 2020. *Dtsch Arztebl Int.* **118**, 145–151 (2021).
52. Quast, T., Andel, R., Gregory, S. & Storch, E. A. Years of life lost associated with COVID-19 deaths in the USA during the first year of the pandemic. *J. Public Health* <https://doi.org/10.1093/pubmed/fdab123> (2021).
53. Huang, Q. *et al.* Urban-rural differences in COVID-19 exposures and outcomes in the South: A preliminary analysis of South Carolina. *PLoS ONE* **16**, e0246548. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0246548> (2021).
54. Kumar, S. *et al.* Who interacts with whom? Social mixing insights from a rural population in India. *PLoS ONE* **13**, e0209039. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0209039> (2018).
55. Chen, J. *et al.* Effect of modelling slum populations on influenza spread in Delhi. *BMJ open* **6**, e011699–e011699. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-011699> (2016).
56. Nurchis, M. C. *et al.* Impact of the burden of COVID-19 in Italy: Results of disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) and productivity loss. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **17**, 4233. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17124233> (2020).
57. Oh, I. H. *et al.* Years of life lost attributable to COVID-19 in high-incidence countries. *J. Korean Med. Sci.* **35**, e300–e300. <https://doi.org/10.3346/jkms.2020.35.e300> (2020).
58. Peckham, H. *et al.* Male sex identified by global COVID-19 meta-analysis as a risk factor for death and ICU admission. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 6317. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-19741-6> (2020).
59. Zhao, J. *et al.* Disease burden attributable to the first wave of COVID-19 in China and the effect of timing on the cost-effectiveness of movement restriction policies. *Value Health* **24**, 615–624. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jval.2020.12.009> (2021).
60. Fan, C.-Y. *et al.* Estimating global burden of COVID-19 with disability-adjusted life years and value of statistical life metrics. *J. Formos. Med. Assoc.* **120**, S106–S117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfma.2021.05.019> (2021).
61. Laxminarayan, R. *et al.* Epidemiology and transmission dynamics of COVID-19 in two Indian states. *Science* **370**, 691. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.abd7672> (2020).
62. Wyper, G. *et al.* Measuring the direct population impact of COVID-19 in Scotland, 2020: Estimating disability-adjusted life years (DALYs) during the first full calendar year. *Soc.* <https://doi.org/10.31235/osf.io/ey36d> (2021).
63. Li, Y. & Nair, H. How reliable are COVID-19 burden estimates for India?. *Lancet. Infect. Dis* [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(21\)00422-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00422-9) (2021).
64. DHFW. *Technical paper COVID 19. Reduction in all-cause mortality in Kerala during COVID-19 pandemic.*, <<https://health.kerala.gov.in/pdf/Technical-paper-All-Cause-Mortality-Kerala.pdf>> (2021).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge India's National and State Health departments for collecting daily COVID-19 epidemic data and releasing it in the public domain.

Author contributions

B.B.S. conceived the study. N.K.D., M.S.K. and B.D. contributed to the conceptual model development. B.B.S. build the model and conducted the analyses. B.B.S. prepared the first draft of the paper which was reviewed and edited by N.K.D., M.S.K., H.W.B., M.L., B.S. and B.D. H.W.B. and N.K.D. coordinated the project and preparation of the manuscript.

Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Additional information

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-06505-z>.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to B.B.S.

Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

Publisher's note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

© The Author(s) 2022