

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

The effect of inotropes on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow (Review)

Osborn DA, Paradisis M, Evans NJ

Osborn DA, Paradisis M, Evans NJ. The effect of inotropes on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2007, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD005090. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005090.pub2.

www.cochranelibrary.com

The effect of inotropes on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow (Review)

WILEY

Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	3
OBJECTIVES	3
METHODS	4
RESULTS	6
DISCUSSION	8
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	9
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	9
REFERENCES	10
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	12
DATA AND ANALYSES	14
Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 1 Mortality before discharge.	16
Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 2 Mortality before follow up.	16
Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 3 Any peri / intraventricular haemorrhage.	17
Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 4 Late peri / intraventricular haemorrhage.	17
Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 5 Peri / intraventricular haemorrhage grade 3 or 4.	17
Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 6 Necrotising enterocolitis.	18
Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 7 Cerebral palsy at 3 years in survivors assessed.	18
Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 8 Deafness at 3 years in survivors assessed.	18
Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 9 Blind at 3 years survivors assessed.	18
Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 10 Griffith's general Ouotient >2sd below norm at 3 years in survivors assessed.	19
Analysis 1.11. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 11 Disability at 3 years in survivors assessed.	19
Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 12 Death or disability at 3 years.	19
Analysis 1.13. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 13 Death or disability at latest follow up.	20
Analysis 1.14. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 14 Griffith's Mental Scales of Development General Quotient at 3 years in survivors assessed.	20
Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 15 Periventricular leucomalacia in survivors.	20
Analysis 1.16. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 16 Renal impairment (creatinine >=120 mmol/l).	20
Analysis 1.17. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 17 Pulmonary haemorrhage.	21
Analysis 1.18. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 18 Chronic lung disease (oxygen at 28 days) in survivors.	21
Analysis 1.19. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 19 Chronic lung disease (oxygen or respiratory support at 36 weeks PMA) in survivors.	21
Analysis 1.20. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 20 Retinopathy of prematurity in survivors examined.	22
Analysis 1.21. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 21 Failed treatment (did not maintain SVC flow >=41ml/kg/min in 1st 24 hours).	22



Analysis 1.22. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 22 Change mean BP at 10mcg/kg/min.	22
Analysis 1.23. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 23 Change mean BP at 20mcg/kg/min.	22
Analysis 1.24. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 24 Change mean BP at highest dose reached.	23
Analysis 1.25. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 25 Change SVC flow at 10mcg/kg/min.	23
Analysis 1.26. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 26 Change SVC flow at 20mcg/kg/min.	23
Analysis 1.27. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 27 Change SVC flow at highest reached.	24
Analysis 1.28. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 28 Change RVO at 10mcg/kg/min.	24
Analysis 1.29. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 29 Change RVO at 20mcg/kg/min.	24
Analysis 1.30. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 30 Change RVO at highest dose reached.	24
WHAT'S NEW	25
HISTORY	25
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	25
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	25
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	25
INDEX TERMS	25

[Intervention Review]

The effect of inotropes on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow

David A Osborn¹, Mary Paradisis², Nicholas J Evans³

¹Royal Prince Alfred Newborn Care, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, Australia. ²Pediatrics and Child Health, Royal North Shore Hospital, The University of Sydney, Sydney, Australia. ³Neonatal Medicine, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Camperdown, Australia

Contact: David A Osborn, Royal Prince Alfred Newborn Care, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Missenden Road, Camperdown, New South Wales, 2050, Australia. david.osborn@email.cs.nsw.gov.au.

Editorial group: Cochrane Neonatal Group. **Publication status and date:** New search for studies and content updated (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 7, 2010.

Citation: Osborn DA, Paradisis M, Evans NJ. The effect of inotropes on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 1. Art. No.: CD005090. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005090.pub2.

Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Low systemic blood flow (SBF) is common in extremely premature infants in the first day after birth and has been associated with peri / intraventricular haemorrhage (PIVH), necrotising enterocolitis (NEC), mortality and developmental impairment.

Objectives

To determine the effect of specific inotropes on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic blood flow

Search methods

Updated searches were made of CENTRAL (The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2010), MEDLINE (1966 to May 2010), EMBASE (1980 to May 2010) and CINAHL (1982 to May 2010), supplemented by searches of abstracts of conference proceedings, citations of reviews and expert informants.

Selection criteria

Random and quasi-random controlled trials of inotropes enrolling preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow in the neonatal period.

Data collection and analysis

Independent assessment of trial eligibility, quality and data extraction by each review author.

Main results

No new studies were found in updated search. No studies that compared an inotrope to no treatment in preterm infants with low SBF were found. One study compared dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow. The study was of adequate methodology. No significant difference was reported in mortality to discharge, PIVH, grade 3 or 4 PIVH or NEC. At three years, there was no significant difference in cerebral palsy, deafness, developmental quotient > 2 sd below norm or combined disability. Surviving infants treated with dobutamine had a significantly higher development quotient. There was no significant difference in death or disability at the latest time reported (RR 0.95, 95% CI 0.66, 1.38). For secondary outcomes, there was no significant difference in periventricular leucomalacia, renal impairment, pulmonary haemorrhage, retinopathy of prematurity or CLD at 36 weeks. There was no significant difference in treatment failure. Dobutamine produced a significantly greater increase in SVC flow at the highest dose reached, whereas dopamine produced a significantly greater increase in BP.



Authors' conclusions

In preterm infants with low systemic blood flow, there is some evidence that dobutamine is better than dopamine at increasing and maintaining systemic blood flow. The only eligible trial did not demonstrate any consistent differences in clinical outcomes. However, this study was not sufficiently powered to prove or disprove effects on clinical outcomes. It is unclear what is the most effective strategy for improving the cardiovascular status of immature infants in the first day. Further trials are needed to determine effective strategies for preventing and improving low systemic and organ blood flow.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

The effect of inotropes on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow

Low systemic blood flow is common in extremely premature infants and has been associated with brain and intestinal injury, death and developmental impairment. It is unclear what is the best strategy to prevent or treat this. The usual strategy for supporting the cardiovascular system of the preterm infant is to treat infants with low blood pressure with agents (inotropes) aimed at increasing blood pressure. However, many of infants with low blood flow have normal blood pressure. One trial was found that examined the effect of inotropes in infants with low systemic blood flow. The trial found that many infants failed to respond to the two commonly used inotropes (dobutamine and dopamine) and neither was better at improving outcomes of very preterm babies. Further research is needed to determine the best strategy for preventing or treating low systemic or organ blood flow in these very immature babies.



BACKGROUND

Description of the condition

Cardiovascular interventions have most frequently been given to preterm infants on the basis of hypotension, poor peripheral tissue perfusion as measured by increased capillary refill time, or evidence of a developing acidosis or renal impairment. Commonly used criteria for hypotension and cardiovascular intervention have included a mean blood pressure (BP) \leq 30 mm Hg, which was associated with increased mortality and cerebral injury in a cohort study (Miall-Allen 1987). Other studies have defined hypotension as below the range (usually the 10th centile) seen in population studies of preterm infants. A commonly used bedside approximation of the 10th centile is a mean BP less than the gestational age in weeks or a systolic BP \leq 40 mmHg (Subhedar 2003). However, there are several substantial limitations to using hypotension as the criteria for cardiovascular support in preterm infants. There is a poor correlation between BP and systemic blood flow (SBF) in the first days after birth (Kluckow 1996; Osborn 2004a). This is because BP is the product of blood flow and systemic vascular resistance. Using hypotension as a criteria for cardiovascular intervention in the first day after birth results in a considerable delay in treatment of many infants, with many infants with low SBF not receiving treatment for many hours or missing treatment altogether (Kluckow 2000). Infants who have persisting inotrope requirements because of hypotension after the first days after birth frequently have normal or high SBF pointing to low systemic vascular resistance as the problem. Finally, studies of cardiovascular support in preterm infants that have used hypotension as the criterion for treatment and correction of hypotension as the goal of treatment are yet to demonstrate any improvements in morbidity or mortality (Subhedar 2003).

Low systemic and/or organ blood flow occurs in a variety of situations in preterm infants including extremely preterm infants in the first day after birth (Kluckow 2000; Osborn 2002a), infants with perinatal asphyxia, severe respiratory problems and pulmonary hypertension (Evans 1996), sepsis or necrotising enterocolitis. Low SBF is common in the first day after birth and is associated with decreasing gestation, mechanical ventilation, a large diameter ductus arteriosus, high pulmonary and systemic vascular resistances (Kluckow 2000; Osborn 2002a) and poor myocardial contractility (Osborn 2002b). Normally, SBF is measured using echocardiographic measurements of ventricular outputs. However, in the first day after birth, large shunts across the ductus arteriosus and foramen ovale are common and result in the ventricular outputs overestimating SBF by up to 100% (Evans 1996). Alternatives include using echocardiography to measure ventricular inputs such as superior vena cava (SVC) flow (Kluckow 2000b) or using techniques such as near infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) or xenon clearance to measure organ blood flows, particularly cerebral blood flow. In analyses adjusted for potential perinatal confounders, low SVC (Kluckow 2000; Osborn 2002a) and low cerebral blood flows (Meek 1999) in the first day after birth have been independently shown to precede intraventricular haemorrhage in preterm infants. Infants with early low SVC flows are also at increased risk of low urine output and hyperkalaemia (Kluckow 2001), mortality (Osborn 2002a) and poor neurodevelopmental outcome (Hunt 2001).

Description of the intervention

Strategies that have been used to provide cardiovascular support to preterm infants have included the use of volume expansion (see Cochrane reviews: Osborn 2004c; Osborn 2001), inotropes, nitric oxide and corticosteroids. Most studies comparing these interventions enrolled infants with hypotension, not with documented low systemic or organ blood flow. This review will focus on the use of inotropes to provide cardiovascular support for infants with demonstrated low systemic and/or organ blood flows. Inotropes used in preterm infants have included dopamine, dobutamine, isoprenaline (isoproterenol), adrenaline (epinephrine), noradrenaline (norepinephrine) and milrinone.

How the intervention might work

In selecting an inotrope for treatment in preterm infants, it is likely to be important to consider the underlying pathophysiology of the cardiovascular compromise as well as the pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of the inotrope (for reviews see Osborn 2004b; Seri 2001). Dopamine at low doses stimulates dopamine and betaadrenergic receptors with little effect on vascular resistance and increases in organ blood flows. At high doses (above 10 µg/kg/ min), alpha-adrenergic effects occur resulting in increases in both pulmonary and systemic vascular resistance and BP. Dobutamine produces predominately beta-adrenergic effects with resulting inotropy and a reduction in pulmonary and systemic vascular resistance. Isoprenaline is almost purely a beta-agonist and has a pronounced chronotropic effect and produces pulmonary and systemic vasodilatation. There have been concerns regarding its potential to increase myocardial oxygen consumption. Adrenaline has both alpha and beta adrenergic effects, with beta adrenergic effects predominating at lower doses, producing increases in $cardiac\ output,\ but\ alpha\ effects\ becoming\ important\ at\ high\ doses$ resulting in substantial increases in systemic vascular resistance and reduction in cardiac outputs. Pulmonary vascular resistance is increased to a lesser extent. Noradrenaline has both alpha and beta effects with alpha effects predominant and resulting in an increase in vascular resistance. Milrinone, a selective phosphodiesterase inhibitor, is inotropic and a vasodilator (an 'inodilator'). It is used in infants undergoing cardiac surgery to treat of prevent low cardiac output syndrome associated with poor myocardial contractility, a situation similar to the low SBF that occurs in extremely preterm infants in the first day after birth.

Why it is important to do this review

The primary outcomes of this review that might be expected to be improved by the treatment of low SBF with inotropes are those that have been strongly associated with low SBF in the preterm infant, including mortality, late PIVH and subsequent neurodevelopmental disability including motor impairments and cerebral palsy. There is some data to support necrotising enterocolitis being associated with low SBF (Osborn 2002a). Secondary outcomes for which there is evidence of association include renal impairments and hyperkalaemia. There are no data to date associating periventricular white matter injury with low SBF.

OBJECTIVES

The objective was to determine the effect of specific inotropes versus no treatment or another inotrope on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low SBF. Secondary objectives included:

The effect of inotropes on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

- 1. Determining the evidence for use of a specific inotrope compared to a different inotrope
- 2. Determining whether there is a difference in effect from inotropes that lower vascular resistance (e.g. dobutamine, isoprenaline, milrinone) or those that increase vascular resistance (e.g. noradrenaline, higher dose dopamine and adrenaline)
- 3. Determine the evidence for use of inotropes according to clinical indication including immature infants in the first day after birth, infants with asphyxia or infants with sepsis

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

Randomised and quasi-randomised controlled trials. Trials that use crossover design were eligible for inclusion of data for short term outcomes with the original treatment allocation used for data extraction.

Types of participants

Preterm infants (< 37 weeks gestational age) with low SBF or organ blood flow in the neonatal period. Low SBF may be determined on the basis of echocardiographically measured ventricular outputs or surrogates for SBF such as SVC flow. Low organ blood flow may be determined on the basis of techniques including ultrasound Doppler, near infrared spectroscopy or xenon clearance techniques where there is evidence in the literature that the measurement is associated with substantial clinical outcomes and/or actual organ blood flow. The review does not include studies that include surrogates of flow such as blood pressure, ultrasound Doppler measured velocities, pulsatility or resistive indices.

Types of interventions

Inotropes including adrenaline, dobutamine, dopamine, isoprenaline, noradrenaline and milrinone used to treat infants with low SBF or organ blood flow. Eligible comparisons included no treatment or placebo, or another inotrope.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

- · Mortality including neonatal or to near term corrected age
- Peri / intraventricular haemorrhage (PIVH) including all and late occurring PIVH (late = not present on an initial head ultrasound in the first hours after birth)
- Proven necrotising enterocolitis (pneumatosis on x-ray, or at surgery or postmortem)
- Developmental disabilities including motor impairments and cerebral palsy. Emphasis will be placed on assessments that are blinded to intervention, neurological status assessed by physician, assessments that are made later (e.g. three years for assessment of cerebral palsy status) and assessments that use a validated screening tool that has been shown to predict long term neurodevelopment.

Secondary outcomes

• Failure to correct low SBF or organ blood flow. Low SBFs included ventricular outputs or SVC flows below the range

normally seen in preterm infants (e.g. RVO or LVO < 150 ml/kg/ min; SVC flow < 40 ml/kg/min). Data will be recorded where studies report outcomes that are approximate to these criteria

- Persistent patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) after study enrolment
- Chronic lung disease at near term equivalent age (defined as need for oxygen or ventilatory support)
- Impaired renal function (e.g. creatinine ≥ 120 mmol/l or oliguria ≤ 0.5 ml/kg/hr)
- Hyperkalaemia (potassium ≥ 6.5 mmol/l)
- Pulmonary haemorrhage
- Periventricular leucomalacia and / or periventricular white matter abnormalities on ultrasound or MRI
- Retinopathy of prematurity, any or severe (≥ stage 3)
- Increase in ventricular output when cardiac shunts excluded (either absolute or as a percentage of baseline)
- Increase in organ blood flow (either absolute or as a percentage of baseline)
- Increase in BP (either absolute or as a percentage of baseline)
- Side effects of inotropes such as excessive tachycardia requiring dose reduction (e.g. heart rates > 180 bpm), hypotension requiring treatment (e.g. mean or systolic BP below 10th centile for gestational age) and arrhythmias

Search methods for identification of studies

See: Cochrane Neonatal Group search strategy.

Electronic searches

The standard search strategy of the Cochrane Neonatal Review Group was used. This included electronic searches of the The Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL, The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2006), MEDLINE (1966 to April 2006), EMBASE (1980 to April 2006) and CINAHL (1982 to April 2006).The search strategy was adapted for individual databases and included a search on all fields for [infant, newborn OR infant, newborn, diseases OR neonat*] AND [inotrope OR cardiotonic agents OR dopamine OR dobutamine OR epinephrine OR adrenaline OR noradrenaline OR isoprenaline OR isoproterenol OR milrinone OR amrinone OR phosphodiesterase inhibitors] AND [randomized controlled trial OR clinical trial OR random* OR trial* OR comparative study OR controlled study] was conducted. No language restrictions were used.

The search was updated in May 2010 with additional searches of the Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL, The Cochrane Library, Issue 1, 2010), MEDLINE (1966 to May 2010), PREMEDLINE (to May 2010), EMBASE (1988 to May 2010).

Searching other resources

References in studies identified as potentially relevant and references in previous reviews were searched. Additionally, the published abstracts of conference proceedings including the Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand 1998 to 2005 and the Pediatric Academic Societies and Societies for Pediatric Research Annual Meetings 2000 to 2005 were searched. Expert informants were contacted.

The search was updated in May 2010 with additional searches of cross references of all new studies cited, abstracts and conference proceedings (American Pediatric Society/Society for Pediatric

Research Annual Meetings 2005 to 2010; Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand Annual Meetings 2005 to 2010).

Data collection and analysis

Standard methods of the Cochrane Collaboration and its Neonatal Review Group were used.

Selection of studies

All review authors independently assessed trials for eligibility for inclusion. Differences between reviewer authors were resolved by consensus.

Data extraction and management

Data was extracted from the included study independently by each reviewer author. Revman 4.2 was used for data management in the original review. This has been updated to Revman 5 format.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

All three review authors were to independently assess risk of bias for each study using the criteria outlined in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2008). There was no disagreement between the review authors. We planned, had there been trials identified for inclusion, to assess the following.

(1) Sequence generation (checking for possible selection bias)

We planned to describe the method used to generate the allocation sequence in sufficient detail to allow an assessment of whether it should produce comparable groups.

We planned to assess the method as:

- adequate (any truly random process, e.g. random number table; computer random number generator);
- inadequate (any non random process, e.g. odd or even date of birth; hospital or clinic record number); or
- unclear.

(2) Allocation concealment (checking for possible selection bias)

We planned to describe for each included study the method used to conceal the allocation sequence in sufficient detail and determine whether intervention allocation could have been foreseen in advance of, or during recruitment, or changed after assignment.

We planned to assess the methods as:

- adequate (e.g. telephone or central randomisation; consecutively numbered sealed opaque envelopes);
- inadequate (open random allocation; unsealed or non-opaque envelopes, alternation; date of birth); or
- unclear.

(3) Blinding (checking for possible performance bias)

We planned to describe for each included study the methods used, if any, to blind study participants and personnel from knowledge of which intervention a participant received. We planned to judge studies to be at low risk of bias if they were blinded, or if we judge that the lack of blinding could not have affected the results. We planned to assess blinding separately for different outcomes or classes of outcomes. We planned to assess the methods as:

- adequate, inadequate or unclear for participants;
- adequate, inadequate or unclear for personnel;
- adequate, inadequate or unclear for outcome assessors.

(4) Incomplete outcome data (checking for possible attrition bias through withdrawals, dropouts, protocol deviations)

We planned to describe for each included study, and for each outcome or class of outcomes, the completeness of data including attrition and exclusions from the analysis. We planned to state whether attrition and exclusions were reported, the numbers included in the analysis at each stage (compared with the total randomised participants), reasons for attrition or exclusion where reported, and whether missing data were balanced across groups or were related to outcomes. Where sufficient information is reported, or can be supplied by the trial authors, we planned to re-include missing data in the analyses which we undertake. We planned to assess methods as:

- adequate (less than 20% missing data);
- inadequate;
- unclear.

(5) Selective reporting bias

We planned to describe for each included study how we investigated the possibility of selective outcome reporting bias and what we found.

We planned to assess the methods as:

- adequate (where it is clear that all of the study's pre-specified outcomes and all expected outcomes of interest to the review have been reported);
- inadequate (where not all the study's pre-specified outcomes have been reported; one or more reported primary outcomes were not pre-specified; outcomes of interest are reported incompletely and so cannot be used; study fails to include results of a key outcome that would have been expected to have been reported);
- unclear.

(6) Other sources of bias

We planned to describe for each included study any important concerns we have about other possible sources of bias (e.g. early termination of trial due to data-dependant process, extreme baseline imbalance, etc). We planned to assess whether each study was free of other problems that could put it at risk of bias. We will assess other sources of bias as:

- yes;
- no;
- unclear.

(7) Overall risk of bias

We planned to make explicit judgements about whether studies are at high risk of bias, according to the criteria given in the *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions* (Higgins 2008). With reference to (1) to (6) above, we planned to assess the likely magnitude and direction of the bias and whether we consider it is

likely to impact on the findings. We planned to explore the impact of the level of bias through undertaking sensitivity analyses - *see* Sensitivity analysis.

Measures of treatment effect

Standard methods of the Neonatal Review Group were used to synthesise data.

Dichotomous data

For dichotomous data, we planned to present results as risk ratios and risk differences (RD) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). If there is a statistically significant reduction in RD then we planned to calculate the number needed to treat and associated 95% CI.

Continuous data

For continuous data, we planned to use the mean difference if outcomes are measured in the same way between trials. We planned to use the standardised mean difference to combine trials that measure the same outcome, but use different methods.

Unit of analysis issues

The unit of randomisation (individual infant) is the unit of analysis.

Dealing with missing data

The primary analysis was planned to be an intention-to-treat analysis reporting only available data (excluding cases where data are missing). For included studies, we planned to note levels of attrition. We planned to obtain missing data from the authors when possible. Where data remains missing, we planned to conduct sensitivity analysis to determine if results are sensitive to assumptions regarding losses, using imputation (both best and worst scenarios) method and last observation carried forward to the final assessment (LOCF) for dichotomous and continuous outcome data respectively.

Assessment of heterogeneity

Heterogeneity was looked for both within the forest plots and statistically using the chi-square test for heterogeneity. Had we identified substantial heterogeneity, we planned to explore it by pre-specified subgroup analysis. We planned to grade the degree of heterogeneity as 0 to 30% (might not be important), 31% to 50% (moderate heterogeneity); 51% to 75% (substantial heterogeneity); 76% to 100% (considerable heterogeneity).

Assessment of reporting biases

We planned to investigate reporting and publication bias by examining the degree of asymmetry of a funnel plot. Where we suspect reporting bias (see 'Selective reporting bias' above), we will attempt to contact study authors asking them to provide missing outcome data. Where this is not possible, and the missing data are thought to introduce serious bias, we will explore the impact of including such studies in the overall assessment of results by a Sensitivity analysis.

Data synthesis

The fixed effects model was used using RevMan 4.2 for metaanalysis.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

Studies enrolling infants to treatment with different inotropes were not be combined in an overall analysis except where they fulfil criteria for analysis of expected effect on vascular resistance. The following comparisons were prespecified:

1. Individual inotrope versus no inotrope (no treatment or placebo) including separate comparisons of:

- adrenaline versus no inotrope
- · dobutamine versus no inotrope
- · dopamine versus no inotrope
- isoprenaline versus no inotrope
- milrinone versus no inotrope
- noradrenaline versus no inotrope

2. Individual inotrope (as above) versus a different inotrope.

3. The effect of inotrope according to the expected effect on systemic vascular resistance (see background), including separate comparisons of:

- inotropes likely to lower vascular resistance at any dose (e.g. dobutamine, isoprenaline, milrinone)
- inotropes at doses likely to raise vascular resistance (e.g. noradrenaline at any dose, dopamine ≥ 10 μg/kg/min, adrenaline ≥ 0.375 μg/kg/min)
- inotropes likely to lower vascular resistance (e.g. dobutamine, isoprenaline, milrinone at any dose) versus inotropes at doses likely to raise vascular resistance (e.g. noradrenaline at any dose, dopamine ≥10 µg/kg/min, adrenaline ≥ 0.375 µg/kg/min)

Within each comparison, we planned subgroup analyses according to individual inotrope and dose range.

4. The effect of inotrope according to type of infant enrolled in trials including separate comparisons of the following types of infants:

- immature infants in the first day after birth
- · infants with sepsis
- infants with perinatal asphyxia (trials that enrol infants on the basis of a low Apgar score or cord arterial blood gas acidosis)

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis was prespecified on the basis of methodological quality. Studies of adequate methodology were defined as those with adequate randomisation and allocation concealment and with < 10% losses to follow up analysed on an intention to treat basis.

RESULTS

Description of studies

Results of the search

No studies were found that compared inotropes to no treatment in preterm infants with low SBF. One study (Osborn 2002a) was found that compared volume and dobutamine versus volume and dopamine in preterm infants with low systemic blood flow.



Included studies

In the 2010 update, no additional eligible studies were found. One study (Osborn 2002a) met inclusion criteria that compared different inotropes. See 'Characteristics of included studies' table.

Osborn 2002a enrolled infants < 30 weeks gestation and < 12 hours after birth with low SVC flow (< 41 ml/kg/min). Forty-two infants with low SVC flow were given volume (normal saline 10 ml/ kg) over 20 minutes and then randomly allocated to dobutamine or dopamine. Infusions were commenced at 10 µg/kg/min. If at any time in the first 24 hours the infant failed to maintain SVC flow > 40 ml/kg/min, the dose was increased to 20 μ g/kg/ min. Infants crossed over to the other inotrope if SVC flow was still not maintained > 40 ml/kg/min. The primary outcome was maintenance of SVC flow > 40 ml/kg/min. SVC flow and RVO were measured echocardiographically 30 minutes after each change of dose of inotrope and 10 and 24 hours after birth. Head ultrasounds were performed at seven and 28 days. Neonatal morbidity and mortality were reported to discharge. Developmental assessment was performed at one and three years corrected age and included paediatrician examination and the Griffiths Mental Development Scales. A Griffiths development quotient at three years < 75 represents a delay > 2 sd below population norms.

Excluded studies

In the 2010 update, an additional three reports of studies that were excluded were found (Pederson 2009; Paradisis 2009; Pellicer 2005). Nineteen reports of excluded studies and reasons for exclusion are reported in the 'Characteristics of excluded studies' table.

Risk of bias in included studies

Osborn 2002a reported adequate randomisation, allocation concealment and blinding of intervention with identical syringes prepared in pharmacy allocated according to a computer generated random number sequence. Echocardiographic measures, neonatal outcomes and one and three years corrected age developmental assessments were also blinded by use of identical syringes with treatment allocation concealed until analysis performed. Four additional infants who were allocated treatment on the basis of hypotension were excluded from analysis as they did not meet prespecified enrolment criteria (SVC flow < 41 ml/kg/min). One surviving infant was not examined for retinopathy of prematurity. Five of 18 surviving infants were not assessed at three years of age. Follow up was complete for all other outcomes.

Allocation

Osborn 2002a reported adequate randomisation and allocation concealment with identical syringes prepared in pharmacy allocated according to a computer generated random number sequence.

Blinding

Osborn 2002a reported adequate blinding of intervention using identical syringes prepared in pharmacy. Echocardiographic measures, neonatal outcomes and one and three years corrected age developmental assessments were also blinded by use of identical syringes with treatment allocation concealed until analysis performed.

Incomplete outcome data

Osborn 2002a reported 4 additional infants who were allocated treatment on the basis of hypotension were excluded from analysis as they did not meet prespecified enrolment criteria (SVC flow < 41 ml/kg/min). One surviving infant was not examined for retinopathy of prematurity. Five of 18 surviving infants were not assessed at three years of age. Follow up was complete for all other outcomes.

Selective reporting

Osborn 2002a prespecified primary and secondary outcomes.

Other potential sources of bias

Osborn 2002a had no other apparent sources of bias including no interim analyses.

Effects of interventions

Inotrope versus no treatment:

no study found.

Comparison 01: Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow:

One study eligible study (Osborn 2002a) was included.

Primary outcomes:

Osborn 2002a reported no significant difference in mortality to discharge (RR 1.41, 95% CI 0.79, 2.52), mortality before follow up (RR 1.27, 95% CI 0.74, 2.18), all PIVH (RR 1.01, 95% 0.52, 1.97), late PIVH (RR 0.57, 95% CI 0.22, 1.45), grade 3 or 4 PIVH (RR 0.39, 95% CI 0.12, 1.31) or necrotising enterocolitis (RR 2.73, 95% CI 0.31, 24.14). At 3 years, there was no significant difference in cerebral palsy (RR 0.16, 95% CI 0.01, 2.64) or deafness (RR 0.16, 95% CI 0.01, 2.64), no blind infant in either group and no difference in Developmental quotient > sd below norm (RR 0.16, 95% CI 0.01, 2.64). There was no significant difference in disability (RR 0.10, 95% CI 0.01, 1.56) defined as a development quotient > sd below norm, cerebral palsy, blind or deaf, or death and disability (RR 0.79, 95% CI 0.57, 1.11). Surviving infants treated with dobutamine had a significantly higher Griffiths quotient (MD 35.00, 95% CI 17.68, 52.32). There was no significant difference in death or disability at the latest time reported (RR 0.95, 95% CI 0.66, 1.38).

Secondary outcomes:

Osborn 2002a reported no significant difference in periventricular leucomalacia (RR 6.00, 95% CI 0.34, 104.89), renal impairment (RR 0.55, 95% CI 0.15, 2.00), pulmonary haemorrhage (RR 0.61, 95% CI 0.11, 3.26) or retinopathy of prematurity in survivors examined (RR 0.80, 95% CI 0.30, 2.13). There was a reduction of borderline significance in CLD defined as oxygen at 28 days (RR 0.55, 95% CI 0.30, 1.00), but not at 36 weeks (RR 0.83, 95% CI 0.27, 2.49). There was no significant difference in treatment failure (RR 0.58, 95% CI 0.28, 1.20). Dopamine produced a significantly greater increase in mean BP at 10 µg/kg/min (MD -4.50 mmHg, 95% CI -8.06, -0.94), 20 $\mu g/kg/min$ (MD -4.60, 95% CI -8.74, -0.46) and at the highest dose reached (MD -7.20, 95% CI -11.41, -2.99). There was no significant difference in SVC flow at 10 μ g/kg/min (MD 4.70 ml/kg/min, 95% CI -4.02, 13.42) or 20 µg/kg/min (MD 9.70, 95% CI -3.93, 23.33), but dobutamine produced a significantly greater increase in SVC flow at the highest dose reached (MD 13.10, 95% CI 2.87, 23.33). There was no significant difference in RVO at 10 $\mu g/kg/in$ (MD 4.00, 95% CI

-19.27, 27.27) or 20 $\mu g/kg/min$ (MD 1.20, 95% CI -25.35, 27.75) or the highest dose reached (MD 10.70, 95% CI -19.16, 40.56).

Subgroup analyses:

The only eligible trial compared dobutamine versus dopamine, compared inotropes likely to lower vascular resistance (dobutamine at any dose) versus inotropes at doses likely to raise vascular resistance (dopamine $\geq 10 \ \mu g/kg/min$) and enrolled immature infants in the first day after birth.

Sensitivity analysis:

The only eligible trial meets inclusion criteria for studies of adequate methodology.

DISCUSSION

Summary of main results

The single small study included in this review enrolled preterm infants on the basis of a surrogate for low systemic blood flow (SVC flow) that is not confounded by shunts across the adapting heart, had adequate randomisation and allocation concealment, and blinded treatment and measurement. The study was designed and powered to explore haemodynamic rather than clinical outcomes. However, there are several observations that can be made from this study. Infants treated with dopamine had a significantly greater increase in mean BP at both 10 and 20 µg/kg/min, but little change in SVC flow or RVO. Infants treated with dobutamine had little change in mean BP, but had a significantly greater increase in SVC flow at the highest dose reached with trends to increased SVC flow at both 10 and 20 µg/kg/min. However, treatment failure (defined as failure to increase and maintain normal SVC flows) was substantial on either inotrope, with 40% of the all babies enrolled not improving or maintaining SVC flow within the normal range. No consistent differences were reported in neonatal morbidity (including renal impairment, PIVH, periventricular leucomalacia or necrotising enterocolitis) that we hypothesised may be affected by interventions aimed at improving blood flow. There was no significant difference in mortality or combined death and disability at the latest time measured, although infants treated with dobutamine had significantly higher Griffiths quotients at three years. It is possible that the additional infants who survived in the dopamine group did so with impaired development.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

Several methodological issues affect the interpretation of the only study eligible for this review. Most infants enrolled were immature, ventilated preterm infants. However, infants with asphyxia and sepsis were not excluded. The applicability to infants with different reasons for cardiovascular compromise is unclear. All infants were given volume expansion prior to inotrope randomisation. Infants who failed to maintain SVC flow on the highest dose of inotrope crossed over to the other inotrope, so that a substantial proportion of infants were exposed to both inotropes. Cerebral and other organ blood flows were not measured. The dose of inotropes used included relatively high dose dopamine (20 µg/kg/min). This is a dose that some authors may caution using due to the substantial alpha effects that produce substantial increases in vascular resistance. However, it is within the dose range commonly reported in trials (Subhedar 2003). In addition, dopamine is usually titrated to achieve a BP above the minimally accepted pressure on gestation or birth weight criteria, a strategy that was not used in this study.

The inclusion of a single small study enrolling infants in the first day precludes strong conclusions to be made about the use of inotropes in preterm infants. No trial was found that compared inotrope use to another strategy of cardiovascular support in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flows. The only comparison able to be made was for dobutamine versus dopamine.

The findings of this review suggest that further research is needed to determine the most effective strategies for providing cardiovascular support for extremely premature infants. Independent research groups considering replicating the trial included in this review should consider using another agent (e.g. low dose adrenaline) in infants who fail to respond to inotrope rather than a blinded crossover to the other agent. The trial should be adequately powered to detect important clinical differences. A trial comparing a strategy of targeting infants with hypotension with dopamine versus targeting infants with low SBF with dobutamine is needed. An alternative strategy that needs evaluation includes one aimed at preventing low SBF. Given that low SBF is associated with high systemic vascular resistance and poor myocardial contractility, researchers conducting trials aimed at preventing low SBF should consider using inotropes that have the potential to lower systemic vascular resistance and improve myocardial contractility, such as dobutamine or milrinone. Future trials should measure the effects of interventions on systemic and organ blood flows using validated measures. Doppler velocity indices of flow are not validated measures of actual organ blood flows. Ventricular outputs have been validated as measures of SBF after the first day, but are confounded by shunts across the adapting heart in the first day. Superior vena cava flow has been validated clinically as a surrogate measure of SBF in the first day. NIRS and xenon measurements are also potential techniques for determining the effect of cardiovascular interventions on organ blood flows in preterm infants.

Quality of the evidence

The single small study included in this review was of adequate methodology and reported outcomes prespecified in the protocol.

Potential biases in the review process

The review has been performed by the research team who conducted the only eligible study.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

It is unclear from this study and previous reviews of inotropes for treatment of hypotension (Subhedar 2003) what the optimal strategy is for improving the cardiovascular status of the immature infant. Dopamine has been reported to lead to greater increases in BP in hypotensive infants (Subhedar 2003) and infants with low SBF (Osborn 2002a), but dobutamine increased SBF better than dopamine in infants with low SBF (Osborn 2002a). Neither inotrope has been shown to consistently improve any clinical outcome when given for hypotension or low SBF, and many infants with initial low SBF fail to maintain flows on either inotrope.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

There were no eligible studies that compared use of an inotrope to no treatment in preterm infants with low SBF. In preterm infants with low SBF, there is some evidence that dobutamine is better than dopamine at increasing and maintaining SBF. The only eligible trial did not demonstrate any consistent differences in clinical outcomes. However, this study was not sufficiently powered to prove or disprove effects on clinical outcomes. It is unclear what is the most effective strategy for improving the cardiovascular status of immature infants in the first day.

Implications for research

Further trials are needed to determine effective strategies for preventing and improving low systemic and organ blood flows in the first day after birth in extremely immature infants. A trial comparing a strategy of treating hypotension with dopamine versus treating low SBF with dobutamine in preterm infants in the first day is warranted.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Cochrane Neonatal Review Group has been funded in part with Federal funds from the Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development National Institutes of Health, Department of Health and Human Services, USA, under Contract No. HHSN267200603418C.

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Osborn 2002a {published and unpublished data}

Osborn DA. Randomized trial of dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low systemic blood flow - 3 year follow up. *Pediatric Research* 2005;**57**:A.

Osborn DA, Evans N, Kluckow M. Dopamine but not dobutamine increases left ventricular (LV) stress, but neither improves LV contractility in very preterm infants. *Pediatric Research* 2002;**51**:386A.

Osborn DA, Evans N, Kluckow M. Dopamine but not dobutamine increases left ventricular (LV) stress, but neither improves LV contractility in very preterm infants. Proceedings Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand 6th Annual Congress. 2002:A145.

Osborn DA, Evans N, Kluckow M. Left ventricular contractility in extremely premature infants in the first day and response to inotropes. *Pediatric Research* 2007;**61**:335-40.

* Osborn DA, Evans N, Kluckow M. Randomized trial of dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low systemic blood flow. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2002;**140**:183-91.

Osborn DA, Evans N, Kluckow M, Bowen JR, Rieger I. Low superior vena cava flow and effect of inotropes on neurodevelopment to 3 years in preterm infants. *Pediatrics* 2007;**120**:372-80.

Osborn DA, Kluckow M, Evans N. Randomized trial of dobutamine and dopamine in preterm infants with low systemic blood flow. *Pediatric Research* 2000;**47**:422A.

Osborn DA, Kluckow M, Evans N. Randomized trial of dobutamine and dopamine in preterm infants with low systemic blood flow. Proceedings Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand 4th Annual Congress. 2000:85.

Osborn DA, Kluckow M, Evans N. Randomized trial of dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low systemic blood flow - 3 year follow up. Proceedings Perinatal Society of Australia and New Zealand 9th Annual Congress. 2005:All.

References to studies excluded from this review

Bourchier 1997 {published data only}

Bourchier D, Weston PJ. Randomised trial of dopamine compared with hydrocortisone for the treatment of hypotensive very low birthweight infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal Neonatal Ed* 1997;**76**:F174-8.

Cason 1999 {published data only}

Cason DL, Amaker D, Carter D, Sutherland D, Bhatia J. Randomized double-blind trial of dopamine versus epinephrine for treatment of hypotension in premature infants with respiratory distress syndrome. *Journal of Investigative Medicine* 1999;**47**:119A.

DiSessa 1981 {published data only}

* DiSessa TG, Leitner M, Ti CC, Gluck L, Coen R, Friedman WF. The cardiovascular effects of dopamine in the severely asphyxiated neonate. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1981;**99**:772-6.

Leitner MJ, DiSessa TG, Coen RW, Ti C. Cardiovascular effects of low dose dopamine in the severely asphyxiated infant. *Pediatric Research* 1980;**14**:447A.

Gill 1993 {published data only}

* Gill AB, Weindling AM. Randomised controlled trial of plasma protein fraction versus dopamine in hypotensive very low birthweight infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1993;**69**:284-7.

Gill B, Weindling M. Randomised controlled trial to compare plasma protein fraction (PPF) and dopamine in the hypotensive very low birthweight (VLBW) infant. *Pediatric Research* 1994;**35**:273 (Abstract A84).

Greenough 1993 {published data only}

Greenough A, Emery EF. Randomized trial comparing dopamine and dobutamine in preterm infants. *European Journal of Pediatrics* 1993;**152**:925-7.

Hentschel 1995 {published data only}

Hentschel R, Hensel D, Brune T, Rabe H, Jorch G. Impact on blood pressure and intestinal perfusion of dobutamine or dopamine in hypotensive preterm infants. *Biology of the Neonate* 1995;**68**:318-24.

Kawczynski 1996 {published data only}

Kawczynski P, Piotrowski A. Circulatory and diuretic effects of dopexamine infusion in low-birth-weight infants with respiratory failure. *Intensive Care Medicine* 1996;**22**:65-70.

Klarr 1994 {published data only}

Klarr JM, Faix RG, Pryce CJ, Bhatt-Mehta V. Randomized, blind trial of dopamine versus dobutamine for treatment of hypotension in preterm infants with respiratory distress syndrome. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1994;**125**:117-22.

Lundstrom 2000 {published and unpublished data}

* Lundstrom K, Pryds O, Greisen G. The haemodynamic effects of dopamine and volume expansion in sick preterm infants. *Early Human Development* 2000;**57**:157-63.

Lundstrom KE. A randomized, controlled study of the influence of dopamine and volume expansion on CBF, LVO and MABP in hypotensive, preterm infants. *Proceedings of 14th European Congress of Perinatal Medicine, Helsinki, Finland* 1994:500.

Padbury 1990 {published data only}

Padbury JF, Agata Y, Baylen BG, Ludlow JK, Polk D, Habib DM, Martinez AM. Pharmacokinetics of dopamine in critically ill newborn infants. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1990;**117**:472-6.

Paradisis 2009 {published data only}

Paradisis M, Evans N, Kluckow M, Osborn D. Randomized trial of milrinone versus placebo for prevention of low systemic blood flow in very preterm infants. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2009;**154**:189-95.

Paradisis M, Jiang X, McLachlan AJ, Evans N, Kluckow M, Osborn D. Population pharmacokinetics and dosing regimen design of milrinone in preterm infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood. Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 2007;**92**:F204-9.

Pederson 2009 {published data only}

Pedersen P, Avlund OL, Pedersen BL, Pryds O. Intramuscular adrenaline does not reduce the incidence of respiratory distress and hypoglycaemia in neonates delivered by elective caesarean section at term. *Archives of Disease in Childhood. Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 2009;**94**:F164-7.

Pellicer 2005 {published and unpublished data}

Pellicer A, Bravo MC, Madero R, Salas S, Quero J, Cabanas F. Early systemic hypotension and vasopressor support in low birth weight infants: impact on neurodevelopment. *Pediatrics* 2009;**123**:1369-76.

Pellicer A, Valverde E, Elorza MD, Madero R, Gaya F, Quero J, Cababnas F. Randomized blinded controlled trial on the effects on brain hemodynamics of dopamine v epinephrine for inotropic support in preterm infants. *Pediatric Research* 2003;**53**:Abstract 2364.

* Pellicer A, Valverde E, Elorza MD, Madero R, Gaya F, Quero J, et al. Cardiovascular support for low birth weight infants and cerebral hemodynamics: a randomized, blinded, clinical trial. *Pediatrics* 2005;**115**:1501-12.

Valverde E, Pellicer A, Madero R, Elorza D, Quero J, Cabañas F. Dopamine versus epinephrine for cardiovascular support in low birth weight infants: analysis of systemic effects and neonatal clinical outcomes. *Pediatrics* 2006;**117**:e1213-22.

Phillipos 1996 {published data only}

Phillipos EZ, Barrington KJ, Robertson MA. Dopamine versus epinephrine for inotropic support in the neonate: A randomized double blinded controlled trial. *Pediatric Research* 1996;**39**:238A.

Phillipos 2000 {published data only}

Phillipos EZ, Robertson MA. A randomized double blinded controlled trial of dopamine versus epinephrine for inotropic support in premature infants <1750 grams. *Pediatric Research* 2000;**47**:425A.

Rennie 1989 {published data only}

Rennie JM. Cerebral blood flow velocity variability after cardiovascular support in premature babies. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1989;**64**:897-901.

Repetto 1999 {published data only}

Repetto JE, Eyal FG, McHargue L, Alpan G. High dose dopamine for the treatment of neonatal hypotension. *Pediatric Research* 1999;**45**:221A.

Roze 1993 {published data only}

Roze JC, Tohier C, Maingueneau C, Lefevre M, Mouzard A. Response to dobutamine and dopamine in the hypotensive very preterm infant. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1993;**69**:59-63.

Wong 2009 {published data only}

Wong FY, Barfield CP, Horne RS, Walker AM. Dopamine therapy promotes cerebral flow-metabolism coupling in preterm infants. *Intensive Care Medicine* 2009;**35**:1777-82.

Additional references

Evans 1996

Evans N, Kluckow M. Early determinants of right and left ventricular output in ventilated preterm infants. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 1996;**74**:F88-94.

Higgins 2008

Higgins JPT, Green S, editors. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions Version 5.0.1 [updated September 2008]. The Cochrane Collaboration, 2008. Available from www.cochrane-handbook.org.

Hunt 2001

Hunt RW, Evans NJ, Rieger I, Kluckow MR. Low superior vena cava flow in the first 24 hours of life and 3 year neurodevelopmental outcome. *Pediatric Research* 2001;**49**:336A.

Kluckow 1996

Kluckow M, Evans N. Relationship between blood pressure and cardiac output in preterm infants requiring mechanical ventilation. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1996;**129**:506-12.

Kluckow 2000

Kluckow M, Evans N. Low superior vena cava flow and intraventricular haemorrhage in preterm infants. *Archives* of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition 2000;**82**:F188-94.

Kluckow 2000b

Kluckow M, Evans N. Superior vena cava flow in newborn infants: a novel marker of systemic blood flow. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 2000;**82**:F182-7.

Kluckow 2001

Kluckow M, Evans N. Low systemic blood flow and hyperkalemia in preterm infants. *Journal of Pediatrics* 2001;**139**:227-32.

Lopez 1997

Lopez SL, Leighton JO, Walther FJ. Supranormal cardiac output in the dopamine- and dobutamine-dependent preterm infant. *Pediatric Cardiology* 1997;**18**:292-6.

Meek 1999

Meek JH, Tyszczuk L, Elwell CE, Wyatt JS. Low cerebral blood flow is a risk factor for severe intraventricular haemorrhage. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 1999;**81**:F15-8.

Miall-Allen 1987

Miall-Allen VM, de Vries LS, Whitelaw AG. Mean arterial blood pressure and neonatal cerebral lesions. *Archives of Disease in Childhood* 1987;**62**:1068-9.

Osborn 2001

Osborn DA, Evans N. Early volume expansion versus inotrope for prevention of morbidity and mortality in very preterm infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2001, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002056]

Osborn 2002b

Osborn D, Evans N, Kluckow M. Left ventricular (LV) contractility and wall stress in very preterm infants in the first day of life. *Pediatric Research* 2002;**51**:386A.

Osborn 2003

Osborn DA, Evans N, Kluckow M. Hemodynamic and antecedent risk factors of early and late peri/intraventricular hemorrhage in premature infants. *Pediatrics* 2003;**112**:33-9.

Osborn 2004a

Osborn DA, Evans N, Kluckow M. Clinical detection of low upper body blood flow in very premature infants using blood pressure, capillary refill time, and central-peripheral temperature difference. *Archives of Disease in Childhood Fetal and Neonatal Edition* 2004;**89**:F168-73.

Osborn 2004b

Osborn D, Evans N, Kluckow M. Diagnosis and treatment of low systemic blood flow in preterm infants. *NeoReviews* 2004;**5**:109-21.

Osborn 2004c

Osborn DA, Evans N. Early volume expansion for prevention of morbidity and mortality in very preterm infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2004, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD002055.pub2]

Padbury 1987

Padbury JF, Agata Y, Baylen BG, Ludlow JK, Polk DH, Goldblatt E, Pescetti J. Dopamine pharmacokinetics in critically ill newborn infants. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1987;**110**:293-8.

Seri 1998

Seri I, Abbasi S, Wood DC, Gerdes JS. Regional hemodynamic effects of dopamine in the sick preterm neonate. *Journal of Pediatrics* 1998;**133**:728-34.

Seri 2001

Seri I. Circulatory support of the sick preterm infant. *Seminars in Neonatology* 2001;**6**:85-95.

Subhedar 2003

Subhedar NV, Shaw NJ. Dopamine versus dobutamine for hypotensive preterm infants. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001242]

References to other published versions of this review

Osborn 2007

Osborn DA, Paradisis M, Evans NJ. The effect of inotropes on morbidity and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2007, Issue 1. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD005090.pub2]

* Indicates the major publication for the study

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Osborn 2002a

Methods	Randomised controlled trial, two centre.
Participants	Inclusion criteria: <30 weeks gestation, <12 hours age, SVC flow <41 ml/kg/min. Exclusion criteria: structural heart disease, considered by treating physician to be unlikely to survive, previous inotropes. Mean (+/-sd) gestation (weeks): Dobutamine: 26.0 (2.1); Dopamine: 25.7 (1.5). Mean +/-sd birth weight (g): Dobutamine: 982 (324); Dopamine: 859 (190).
Interventions	Normal saline 10 ml/kg over 20 minutes, then randomised to: Dobutamine 10 mcg/kg/min titrated up 20mcg/kg/min to maintain SVC flow >41 ml/kg/min (n = 22); or Dopamine 10mcg/kg/min titrated up 20 mcg/kg/min to maintain SVC flow >41 ml/kg/min (n = 20). If failed first inotrope, changed to other syringe.
Outcomes	Primary outcome: change in SVC flow ml/kg/min. Secondary outcomes: late P/IVH, all PIVH, grade 3 or 4 P/IVH, periventricular leucomalacia, mortality to discharge, necrotising enterocolitis, chronic lung disease (oxygen at 36 weeks postmenstrual age), cerebral palsy at 3 years, Griffith's Mental Development Scales at 1 year corrected age and 3 years age,

Osborn 2002a (Continued)

deaf (hearing aids), blind (visual acuity <6/60), disability (deaf, blind, Griffith's quotient <=70, cerebral palsy).

Notes

Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Adequate sequence gener- ation?	Low risk	Used computer generated random number sequence to allocate syringes. Stratified by centre.
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	
Blinding? Cardiovascular measure- ment	Low risk	Used identical labelled and appearing syringes.
Blinding? Neonatal outcomes	Low risk	Used identical labelled and appearing syringes.
Blinding? Long term outcomes	Low risk	Used identical labelled and appearing syringes.
Blinding? Intervention	Low risk	Used identical labelled and appearing syringes.
Incomplete outcome data addressed? All outcomes	Low risk	4 infants (4/46 = 9%) with hypotension allocated treatment but excluded from analysis as not eligible (did not have low flow). One surviving infant was not examined for ROP. Five of 18 surviving infants were not assessed at 3 years of age. Follow up was complete for all other outcomes.
Free of selective report- ing?	Low risk	Prespecified primary and secondary outcomes.
Free of other bias?	Low risk	No interim analyses.

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Bourchier 1997	Enrolled hypotensive preterm infants. Cardiac outputs not reported.
Cason 1999	Enrolled hypotensive infants ≤34 weeks with RDS.
DiSessa 1981	Enrolled asphyxiated term or near term infants with mean BP >50 mmHg.
Gill 1993	Enrolled hypotensive preterm infants. Cardiac outputs not reported.
Greenough 1993	Enrolled hypotensive preterm infants. Cardiac outputs not reported.
Hentschel 1995	Enrolled hypotensive preterm infants. Cardiac outputs not reported. Reported mesenteric artery blood flow velocities.

Study	Reason for exclusion
Kawczynski 1996	Observational study. Enrolled hypotensive preterm infants with oliguria and acidosis. Cardiac outputs not reported.
Klarr 1994	Enrolled preterm infants with hypotension. Cardiac outputs not reported.
Lundstrom 2000	Enrolled normotensive (mean BP 29-40 mmHg) preterm infants. Reported cardiac outputs.
Padbury 1990	Observational study exploring pharmacokinetics of dopamine in sick neonates.
Paradisis 2009	Enrolled preterm infants with the goal of preventing low systemic blood flow. Incidence of low sys- temic blood flow developing approximately 20%.
Pederson 2009	Enrolled term infants after elective caesarean section to subcutaneous adrenaline versus placebo for prevention of respiratory distress and hypoglycemia.
Pellicer 2005	Enrolled hypotensive preterm infants in first day. Reported changes and not absolute cerebral blood flow using NIRS.
Phillipos 1996	Enrolled hypotensive preterm infants.
Phillipos 2000	Enrolled hypotensive preterm infants.
Rennie 1989	Observational study in hypotensive preterm infants.
Repetto 1999	Observational study in hypotensive preterm infants.
Roze 1993	Enrolled hypotensive preterm infants. Reported left ventricular outputs. Mean cardiac outputs in normal range.
Wong 2009	Observational study of dopamine in preterm infants with hypotension compared to control infants without hypotension.

DATA AND ANALYSES

Comparison 1. Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Mortality before discharge	1	42	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.41 [0.79, 2.52]
2 Mortality before follow up	1	42	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.27 [0.74, 2.18]
3 Any peri / intraventricular haemor- rhage	1	42	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.52, 1.97]
4 Late peri / intraventricular haemor- rhage	1	42	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)	0.57 [0.22, 1.45]

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
5 Peri / intraventricular haemorrhage grade 3 or 4	1	42	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.39 [0.12, 1.31]
6 Necrotising enterocolitis	1	42	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.73 [0.31, 24.14]
7 Cerebral palsy at 3 years in sur- vivors assessed	1	13	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.16 [0.01, 2.64]
8 Deafness at 3 years in survivors as- sessed	1	13	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.16 [0.01, 2.64]
9 Blind at 3 years survivors assessed	1	13	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
10 Griffith's general Quotient >2sd below norm at 3 years in survivors as- sessed	1	13	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.16 [0.01, 2.64]
11 Disability at 3 years in survivors as- sessed	1	13	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.10 [0.01, 1.56]
12 Death or disability at 3 years	1	37	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.79 [0.57, 1.11]
13 Death or disability at latest follow up	1	41	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.66, 1.38]
14 Griffith's Mental Scales of Develop- ment General Quotient at 3 years in survivors assessed	1	13	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	35.0 [17.68, 52.32]
15 Periventricular leucomalacia in survivors	1	24	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	6.0 [0.34, 104.89]
16 Renal impairment (creatinine >=120 mmol/l)	1	42	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.55 [0.15, 2.00]
17 Pulmonary haemorrhage	1	42	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.61 [0.11, 3.26]
18 Chronic lung disease (oxygen at 28 days) in survivors	1	23	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.55 [0.30, 1.00]
19 Chronic lung disease (oxygen or respiratory support at 36 weeks PMA) in survivors	1	19	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.27, 2.49]
20 Retinopathy of prematurity in sur- vivors examined	1	20	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.8 [0.30, 2.13]
21 Failed treatment (did not main- tain SVC flow >=41ml/kg/min in 1st 24 hours)	1	42	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.58 [0.28, 1.20]

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
22 Change mean BP at 10mcg/kg/min	1	42	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-4.5 [-8.06, -0.94]
23 Change mean BP at 20mcg/kg/min	1	23	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-4.6 [-8.74, -0.46]
24 Change mean BP at highest dose reached	1	42	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-7.20 [-11.41, -2.99]
25 Change SVC flow at 10mcg/kg/min	1	42	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	4.7 [-4.02, 13.42]
26 Change SVC flow at 20mcg/kg/min	1	23	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	9.70 [-3.93, 23.33]
27 Change SVC flow at highest reached	1	42	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	13.10 [2.87, 23.33]
28 Change RVO at 10mcg/kg/min	1	42	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	4.0 [-19.27, 27.27]
29 Change RVO at 20mcg/kg/min	1	23	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.20 [-25.35, 27.75]
30 Change RVO at highest dose reached	1	42	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	10.7 [-19.16, 40.56]

Analysis 1.1. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 1 Mortality before discharge.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	14/22	9/20						100%	1.41[0.79,2.52]
Total (95% CI)	22	20						100%	1.41[0.79,2.52]
Total events: 14 (Dobutamine), 9 (Dop	pamine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.17(P=0.24)						1			
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.2. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 2 Mortality before follow up.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 9	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	14/22	10/20						100%	1.27[0.74,2.18]
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	Favours dopamine	

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine n/N	Dopamine n/N		R M-H, I	isk Rat Fixed, 9	io 95% CI		Weight	Risk Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total (95% CI)	22	20						100%	1.27[0.74,2.18]
Total events: 14 (Dobutamine), 10 (De	opamine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.87(P=0.38)						1			
	Fav	ours dobutamine	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.3. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 3 Any peri / intraventricular haemorrhage.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine	Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fi	ixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	10/22	9/20			-			100%	1.01[0.52,1.97]
					T				
Total (95% CI)	22	20						100%	1.01[0.52,1.97]
Total events: 10 (Dobutamine), 9 (Do	pamine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.03(P=0.98)				1					
	Fav	ours dobutamine	0.5	0.7	1	1.5	2	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.4. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 4 Late peri / intraventricular haemorrhage.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine	Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio		
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fi	ced , 959	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	5/22	8/20						100%	0.57[0.22,1.45]
Total (95% CI)	22	20						100%	0.57[0.22,1.45]
Total events: 5 (Dobutamine), 8 (Dop	amine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.18(P=0.24))								
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.5. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 5 Peri / intraventricular haemorrhage grade 3 or 4.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine			Ri	sk Ra	tio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N			М-Н, F	ixed,	95% CI				M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	3/22	7/20			-		-			100%	0.39[0.12,1.31]
Total (95% CI)	22	20					-			100%	0.39[0.12,1.31]
Total events: 3 (Dobutamine), 7 (Dop	amine)										
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.53(P=0.13))										
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.6. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 6 Necrotising enterocolitis.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine	Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio		
	n/N	n/N		М-Н,	Fixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	3/22	1/20		-				100%	2.73[0.31,24.14]
Total (95% CI)	22	20		-				100%	2.73[0.31,24.14]
Total events: 3 (Dobutamine), 1 (Dopa	amine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.9(P=0.37)									
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.7. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 7 Cerebral palsy at 3 years in survivors assessed.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine	Risk Ra	tio	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Fixed,	95% CI		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	0/6	3/7			100%	0.16[0.01,2.64]
Total (95% CI)	6	7			100%	0.16[0.01,2.64]
Total events: 0 (Dobutamine), 3 (Do	opamine)					
Heterogeneity: Not applicable						
Test for overall effect: Z=1.28(P=0.2	2)					
	Ferr		0.01 0.1 1	10 100	Faure de service e	

Favours dobutamine Favours dopamine

Analysis 1.8. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 8 Deafness at 3 years in survivors assessed.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio	
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 95%	CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	0/6	3/7		-				100%	0.16[0.01,2.64]
Total (95% CI)	6	7						100%	0.16[0.01,2.64]
Total events: 0 (Dobutamine), 3 (Dopa	mine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.28(P=0.2)									
	Fav	ours dobutamine	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours donamine	

Favours dobutamine Favours dopamine

Analysis 1.9. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 9 Blind at 3 years survivors assessed.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		м-н,	Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	0/6	0/7		1		1			Not estimable
	Fav	ours dobutamine	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours dopamine	

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine			Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 95	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Total (95% CI)	6	7							Not estimable
Total events: 0 (Dobutamine), 0 (Dop	amine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Not applicable				1					
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.10. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 10 Griffith's general Quotient >2sd below norm at 3 years in survivors assessed.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk Rat	io	Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixed,	95% CI		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	0/6	3/7			_	100%	0.16[0.01,2.64]
				_			
Total (95% CI)	6	7			-	100%	0.16[0.01,2.64]
Total events: 0 (Dobutamine), 3 (Dop	oamine)						
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=1.28(P=0.2)							
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.01 0	.1 1	10 1	¹⁰⁰ Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.11. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 11 Disability at 3 years in survivors assessed.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% C	I		M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	0/6	5/7			+		100%	0.1[0.01,1.56]
Total (95% CI)	6	7			+		100%	0.1[0.01,1.56]
Total events: 0 (Dobutamine), 5 (Dopa	amine)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.64(P=0.1)								
	Fav	ours dobutamine	0.001	0.1	1 10	1000	Favours dopamine	

Favours dobutamine

Analysis 1.12. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 12 Death or disability at 3 years.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk Ratio				Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fiz	ked, 95% Cl				M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	14/20	15/17						100%	0.79[0.57,1.11]
Total (95% CI)	20	17						100%	0.79[0.57,1.11]
Total events: 14 (Dobutamine), 15 (D	opamine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.35(P=0.18))								
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.5	0.7	1	1.5	2	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.13. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 13 Death or disability at latest follow up.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Ris	k Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fi	xed, 95% C	I			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	15/21	15/20			-	-		100%	0.95[0.66,1.38]
Total (95% CI)	21	20				-		100%	0.95[0.66,1.38]
Total events: 15 (Dobutamine), 15 (Do	opamine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.26(P=0.8)									
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.5	0.7	1	1.5	2	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.14. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 14 Griffith's Mental Scales of Development General Quotient at 3 years in survivors assessed.

Study or subgroup	Dob	outamine	Dopamine			Me	an Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	6	104.2 (16.9)	7	69.2 (14.6)				100%	35[17.68,52.32]
Total ***	6		7				•	100%	35[17.68,52.32]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=3.96(P<0.000	1)							I	
			Favo	urs dopamine	-100	-50	0 50	¹⁰⁰ Favours do	butamine

Analysis 1.15. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 15 Periventricular leucomalacia in survivors.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Ri	sk Rat	io		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, F	ixed, 9	95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	3/13	0/11		-		-	-	100%	6[0.34,104.89]
Total (95% CI)	13	11			-		-	100%	6[0.34,104.89]
Total events: 3 (Dobutamine), 0 (Dopa	amine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.23(P=0.22)									
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.001	0.1	1	10	1000	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.16. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 16 Renal impairment (creatinine >=120 mmol/l).

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk Ratio						Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N			M-H, F	ixed,	95% CI				M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	3/22	5/20			<mark></mark>			1		100%	0.55[0.15,2]
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours dopamine	

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk Ratio			Weight	Risk Ratio			
	n/N	n/N			M-H, Fiz	xed,	95% CI				M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total (95% CI)	22	20								100%	0.55[0.15,2]
Total events: 3 (Dobutamine), 5 (Dop	amine)										
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.92(P=0.36)					1				1		
	Fav	ours dobutamine	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.17. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 17 Pulmonary haemorrhage.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine	Risk Ratio							Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N			M-H, Fi	ixed, 9	95% CI				M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	2/22	3/20								100%	0.61[0.11,3.26]
Total (95% CI)	22	20	_							100%	0.61[0.11,3.26]
Total events: 2 (Dobutamine), 3 (Dop	amine)										
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.58(P=0.56)	1										
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.18. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 18 Chronic lung disease (oxygen at 28 days) in survivors.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Ris	sk Rati	0		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fi	ixed, 9	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	6/12	10/11			_			100%	0.55[0.3,1]
Total (95% CI)	12	11						100%	0.55[0.3,1]
Total events: 6 (Dobutamine), 10 (Do	pamine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.97(P=0.05))			1		I	1		
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.19. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 19 Chronic lung disease (oxygen or respiratory support at 36 weeks PMA) in survivors.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Risk R	atio		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixed	, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Osborn 2002a	3/8	5/11					100%	0.83[0.27,2.49]
Total (95% CI)	8	11					100%	0.83[0.27,2.49]
Total events: 3 (Dobutamine), 5 (Dop	amine)							
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.34(P=0.73))			.				
	Fav	ours dobutamine	0.2	0.5 1	2	5	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.20. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 20 Retinopathy of prematurity in survivors examined.

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	outamine Dopamine		R	isk Rati	D		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		М-Н, Р	ixed, 9	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	4/10	5/10	_		-			100%	0.8[0.3,2.13]
Total (95% CI)	10	10	-					100%	0.8[0.3,2.13]
Total events: 4 (Dobutamine), 5 (Dopa	amine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.45(P=0.66)									
	Favo	urs dobutamine	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	Favours dopamine	

Analysis 1.21. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 21 Failed treatment (did not maintain SVC flow >=41ml/kg/min in 1st 24 hours).

Study or subgroup	Dobutamine	Dopamine		Ris	k Ratio	5		Weight	Risk Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fi	xed, 9	5% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	7/22	11/20	_	-	+			100%	0.58[0.28,1.2]
Total (95% CI)	22	20	-					100%	0.58[0.28,1.2]
Total events: 7 (Dobutamine), 11 (Do	pamine)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.47(P=0.14)									
	Favo	ours dobutamine	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	Favours dopamine	

Favours dobutamine 0.2

Analysis 1.22. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 22 Change mean BP at 10mcg/kg/min.

Study or subgroup	Dob	outamine	Do	pamine	Mean Difference	Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% CI		Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	22	0.6 (4.3)	20	5.1 (7)		100%	-4.5[-8.06,-0.94]
Total ***	22		20			100%	-4.5[-8.06,-0.94]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable							
Test for overall effect: Z=2.48(P=0.01)							
			F	and the second second	-10 -5 0 5	10 5	

Favours dopamine -10 -5 0 10 Favours dobutamine

Analysis 1.23. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 23 Change mean BP at 20mcg/kg/min.

Study or subgroup	Dob	outamine	Dopamine		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	11	0.9 (3.2)	12	5.5 (6.5)		_				100%	-4.6[-8.74,-0.46]
			Favo	urs dopamine	pamine ⁻¹⁰		0	5	10	Favours dob	utamine

Study or subgroup	Dob	utamine	Dop	oamine		Mea	n Differ	ence		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fiz	xed, 95%	6 CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Total ***	11		12							100%	-4.6[-8.74,-0.46]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.18(P=0.03)					1						
			Favou	rs dopamine	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours do	butamine

Analysis 1.24. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 24 Change mean BP at highest dose reached.

Study or subgroup	Dob	utamine	Do	pamine		Me	ean Differend	e		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% Cl				Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	22	1 (4.7)	20	8.2 (8.5)			+			100%	-7.2[-11.41,-2.99]
Total ***	22		20				•			100%	-7.2[-11.41,-2.99]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=3.35(P=0)											
			Favou	urs dopamine	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours dob	utamine

Analysis 1.25. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 25 Change SVC flow at 10mcg/kg/min.

Study or subgroup	Dob	outamine	Do	pamine		Me	an Differenc	e		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	22	5 (11.8)	20	0.3 (16.4)						100%	4.7[-4.02,13.42]
Total ***	22		20				•			100%	4.7[-4.02,13.42]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.06(P=0.29)											
			Favo	urs dopamine	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours dobu	tamine

Analysis 1.26. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 26 Change SVC flow at 20mcg/kg/min.

Study or subgroup	Dob	outamine	Do	pamine		Me	an Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	11	10.4 (20)	12	0.7 (12)					100%	9.7[-3.93,23.33]
Total ***	11		12				•		100%	9.7[-3.93,23.33]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=1.39(P=0.16)										
			Eavo	ure donamino	-100	-50	0 50	100	Eavours dok	utamino

Favours dopamine Favours dobutamine

Analysis 1.27. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 27 Change SVC flow at highest reached.

Study or subgroup	Dob	outamine	Do	pamine		Ме	an Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	22	9.9 (16.2)	20	-3.2 (17.5)					100%	13.1[2.87,23.33]
Total ***	22		20				•		100%	13.1[2.87,23.33]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=2.51(P=0.01)										
			Favoi	urs dopamine	-100	-50	0 50	100	Favours dol	outamine

Analysis 1.28. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 28 Change RVO at 10mcg/kg/min.

Study or subgroup	Dob	outamine	Dopamine			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	22	6.2 (34.6)	20	2.2 (41.6)						100%	4[-19.27,27.27]
Total ***	22		20				-			100%	4[-19.27,27.27]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.34(P=0.74)						i					
			Favou	urs dopamine	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours dobut	tamine

Analysis 1.29. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 29 Change RVO at 20mcg/kg/min.

Study or subgroup	Dob	outamine	Do	pamine		М	ean Difference	2		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	11	3.8 (23.5)	12	2.6 (40)						100%	1.2[-25.35,27.75]
Total ***	11		12							100%	1.2[-25.35,27.75]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.09(P=0.93)					1						
			Favou	urs dopamine	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours dobu	tamine

Analysis 1.30. Comparison 1 Dobutamine versus dopamine in preterm infants with low SVC flow, Outcome 30 Change RVO at highest dose reached.

Study or subgroup	Dob	outamine	Do	pamine		Me	an Differen	ice		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ixed, 95% C	3			Fixed, 95% CI
Osborn 2002a	22	6.1 (38)	20	-4.6 (57.7)						100%	10.7[-19.16,40.56]
Total ***	22		20							100%	10.7[-19.16,40.56]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.7(P=0.48)											
			Favou	ırs dopamine	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours dob	utamine

WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
20 May 2010	New search has been performed	This updates the review "The effect of inotropes on morbidi- ty and mortality in preterm infants with low systemic or organ blood flow" published in The Cochrane Library (Osborn 2007).
		No new trials identified in updated search.

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 1, 2005 Review first published: Issue 1, 2007

Date	Event	Description
11 September 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format.
16 October 2006	New citation required and conclusions have changed	Substantive amendment

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

DO wrote the protocol and review. All reviewers independently assessed studies for eligibility, assessed study quality and extracted data. All reviewers contributed to the writing of the final version.

DO performed the updated search for the 2010 update and updated the review to Revman 5 format. NE and MP performed searches and checked the review update.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The reviewer authors conducted the only included trial of inotropes in infants with low SBF. No funding was received from pharmaceutical companies.

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• RPA Newborn Care, Royal Prince Alfred Hospital, Australia.

External sources

• No sources of support supplied

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

*Blood Volume; Blood Circulation [*physiology]; Cardiotonic Agents [*therapeutic use]; Dobutamine [therapeutic use]; Dopamine [therapeutic use]; Infant, Premature [*physiology]; Morbidity; Regional Blood Flow [physiology]

MeSH check words

Humans; Infant, Newborn