

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Int J Obes (Lond). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 March 01.

Published in final edited form as:

Int J Obes (Lond). 2022 March ; 46(3): 555–563. doi:10.1038/s41366-021-01019-6.

Effectiveness of Anti-Obesity Medications Approved for Longterm Use in a Multidisciplinary Weight Management Program: A Multi-Center Clinical Experience

Gerardo Calderon^{1,*}, Daniel Gonzalez-Izundegui^{1,*}, Kuangda L. Shan^{2,*}, Oscar A. Garcia-Valencia¹, Lizeth Cifuentes¹, Alejandro Campos¹, Maria L. Collazo-Clavell³, Meera Shah³, Daniel L. Hurley³, Haitham S. Abu Lebdeh³, Mayank Sharma¹, Kristine Schmitz³, Matthew M. Clark^{3,4}, Karen Grothe^{3,4}, Manpreet S. Mundi³, Michael Camilleri¹, Barham K. Abu Dayyeh¹, Maria D. Hurtado Andrade^{1,5,^}, Mohamad A. Mokadem^{2,^}, Andres Acosta^{1,^}

¹Precision Medicine for Obesity Program, Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Medicine, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

²Division of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Department of Internal Medicine, and Fraternal Order of Eagles Diabetes Research Center, University of Iowa Carver College of Medicine, Iowa City, IA, USA.

³Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Metabolism & Nutrition, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

⁴Department of Psychiatry and Psychology, Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN, USA

⁵Division of Endocrinology, Diabetes, Metabolism & Nutrition, Mayo Clinic Health System, La Crosse, WI, USA

Abstract

Background & Aims: Randomized clinical trials have proven the efficacy and safety of Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved anti-obesity medications (AOMs) for long-term use. It is unclear whether these outcomes can be replicated in real-world clinical practice where clinical complexities arise. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effectiveness and side effects of these medications in real-world multidisciplinary clinical practice settings.

Methods: We reviewed the electronic medical records (EMR) of patients with obesity who were prescribed an FDA approved AOM for long-term use in academic and community multidisciplinary weight loss programs between January 2016 and January 2020.

Intervention: We assessed percentage total body weight loss (%TBWL), metabolic outcomes, and side effect profile up to 24 months after AOM initiation.

Results: The full cohort consisted of 304 patients (76% women, 95.2% White, median age of 50 years old [IQR, 39–58]). The median follow-up time was 9.1 months [IQR, 4.2–14.1] with a median number of 3 visits [IQR, 2–4]. The most prescribed medication was phentermine/

Correspondence: Andres Acosta, M.D. PhD, Mayo Clinic, Charlton 8-142, 200 First Street SW, Rochester, MN 55905, acosta.andres@mayo.edu, Tel: 507-266-6931.

Contributed equally for first authorship

[^]Corresponding senior authors

topiramate extended-release (ER) (51 %), followed by liraglutide (26.3 %), bupropion/naltrexone sustained-release (SR) (16.5 %), and lorcaserin (6.2 %). %TBWL was 5.0%, 6.8%, 9.3%, 10.3%, and 10.5% at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months. 60.2% of the entire cohort achieved at least 5% TBWL. Overall, phentermine/topiramate-ER had the most robust weight loss response during follow up, with the highest %TBWL at 12 months of 12.0%. Adverse events were reported in 22.4% of patients. Only 9% of patients discontinued the medication due to side effects.

Conclusions: AOMs resulted in significant long-term weight loss, that was comparable to outcomes previously reported in clinical trials.

Keywords

Obesity; pharmacotherapy; phentermine-topiramate-ER; lorcaserin; liraglutide; naltrexonebupropion-SR

INTRODUCTION

Obesity has become a major public health problem. More than one-third of adults in the U.S. meet criteria for obesity, defined as a body mass index (BMI) $30 \text{ kg/m}^2.(1, 2)$ Obesity is a major risk factor for developing metabolic, mechanical, and mental health diseases, in addition to a myriad of cancers. Furthermore, obesity represents a socio-economic burden with an estimated annual medical cost of \$480 billion.(2) A recent meta-analysis also demonstrated that the annual medical cost for people with obesity was 42% or \$3,429 higher than the annual medical cost for people with a normal BMI.(3, 4)

While behavioral modification based on increased exercise and caloric restriction remains the cornerstone of weight management, the success rates and long-term durability of this intervention are inconsistent.(5, 6) Anti-obesity medications (AOMs) can improve adherence to a low-calorie diet by decreasing appetite, increasing satiation, enhancing satiety, and modulating hedonic regulation of food intake. Several obesity management guidelines recommend the use of AOMs as an adjunct to behavior modification in patients with a BMI 30 kg/m² and in those with a BMI 27 kg/m² with weight-related comorbidities.(6–8)

The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved the following AOMs for longterm use: phentermine/topiramate-ER, bupropion/naltrexone-SR, liraglutide, and orlistat. Lorcaserin, a medication initially approved for long-term use, was withdrawn from the market in 2020 after its use was associated with an increased cancer occurrence.(9) Recently, the FDA approved the use of semaglutide for chronic weight management(10). Phentermine and other noradrenergic sympathomimetic drugs have been approved for shortterm use only (<12 weeks). Among these, only phentermine has safety data, although retrospective, supporting its use for longer than 12 weeks.(11)

Multiple clinical trials have shown that the use of AOMs approved for long-term use leads to greater total body weight loss (TBWL) in the short- and long-term when compared to placebo, with TBWL ranging from 3.6 to 11%.(12–19) Furthermore, a systematic review and network meta-analysis showed that the use of orlistat, lorcaserin, bupropion/naltrexone-SR, phentermine/topiramate-ER, and liraglutide led to a greater proportion of patients

achieving at least 5% and 10% TBWL compared with placebo.(20) The same study showed that of all FDA-approved AOMs, phentermine/topiramate-ER had the highest odds ratio (OR) of achieving clinically significant weight loss compared to placebo. As with most medications, all AOMs have been associated with adverse events.(20)

To date, most of the information on the efficacy of AOMs derives from FDA-guided randomized clinical trials (RCTs). Although, RCTs are considered the gold standard for testing the efficacy and the safety of medical interventions, their outcomes are not consistently replicated in the clinical practice as a result of their strict eligibility criteria, specific study regulations and relatively short duration.(21) For instance, many clinical trials exclude individuals with complex medical histories including psychiatric diseases, conditions that are highly prevalent in patients with obesity.(22, 23) Furthermore, it is challenging to replicate the interventions of RCTs in a pragmatic way, mostly because of the costly resources that are usually involved.

Real-world data offers the opportunity to evaluate the efficacy of AOMs in the general population. There have been a few studies to date reporting weight loss outcomes of AOMs approved for long-term use in a real-world clinical setting, most reporting no more than 12-month weight loss outcomes, some focusing on medication adherence.(24–32) No study to date has assessed the effect of all the currently AOMs approved for long-term use in a less controlled clinical setting. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess weight loss outcomes and side effects in patients with obesity receiving any of the AOMs approved by the FDA for long-term use, as an adjunct to lifestyle recommendations in a multidisciplinary clinical practice setting.

METHODS

Design and Eligibility Criteria

This was a retrospective multi-site study. Three multidisciplinary weight management clinics were included. The Institutional Review Board of each site approved the study and waived the need for informed consent due to its minimal-risk nature. We included patients evaluated between January 1, 2016, and January 31, 2020. Patients were selected through integrated medical record query tools (ACE-Advanced Cohort Explorer- and i2b2-Informatics for Integrating Biology and the Bedside) based on the following inclusion criteria: 1) patients with a BMI 27 kg/m² with weight-related comorbidities or patients with BMI 30 kg/m^2 with or without weight-related comorbidities; 2) patients prescribed AOMs approved for long-term use by the FDA; 3) follow-up of at least 3 months; and, 4) two or more face-toface visits with a weight management provider. We excluded all patients who: 1) had prior major gastrointestinal surgery; 2) had prior endoscopic weight loss intervention; 3) did not fill the medication prescription due to health insurance coverage denial and/or high drug cost that could not be afforded out-of-pocket, and 4) were taking FDA-approved AOMs prior to their first visit to the weight management program. All the information was collected from providers' medical documentation and vital signs collected during their in-person clinic visits. All this information is part of the patients' electronic medical records (EMR). Further information on the systematic approach to the EMR review process is provided in the supplementary document.

Weight Management Programs

The weight management programs of each institution practice obesity management based on the principles outlined in the multi-societal, multi-disciplinary Practice Guide on Obesity and Weight Management, Education, and Resources (POWER) program(6). The POWER program provides physicians with a comprehensive, multidisciplinary process to guide and personalize innovative obesity care for safe and effective weight management. This program incorporated previously published societies-approved guidelines for best care of patients with obesity.

Mayo Clinic Rochester and La Crosse Weight Management Programs

The Mayo Clinic Weight Management programs in Rochester and La Crosse involve a multi-disciplinary team that includes obesity medicine physicians, registered dietitians, advanced practice providers (Physician Assistants and Nurse Practitioners), and behavioral psychologists. Upon initial evaluation, patients are encouraged but not obligated to meet with a dietitian and the behavioral psychology team. All patients are encouraged but not obligated to participate in a standardized behavioral program. The general recommendations are to: 1) reduce dietary intake to 1200-1500 calories per day for women and 1500-1800 calories per day for men, 2) achieve a goal of 10,000 steps or more per day and 150 minutes or more of moderate intensity activity per week, and 3) limit the consumption of liquid calories (e.g., sodas, juices, alcohol). Calorie restriction and counseling on activity might vary widely based on weight-related comorbidities and functional capacity. Some patients are prescribed AOMs. All AOMs were included in the analysis if they were prescribed for weight loss, regardless of the dose achieved. Patients were encouraged to return for follow-up visits 4–6 weeks after starting the medication and every 3 months thereafter. During each visit, providers recorded information on body weight and weightrelated comorbidities, gathered information on medication adherence based on patients' report and pharmacy data on prescriptions filled, and side effects.

University of Iowa Hospitals and Clinics Weight Management Program

The University of Iowa weight management program consists of a multidisciplinary team that assesses patients on a screening visit to ensure they are proper candidates for this kind of intervention. Patients are encouraged to meet with the dietitian team and are screened and referred to psychology/psychiatry services if they have a history suggestive of eating disorders or other warranted indications. The general recommendations are to: 1) reduce dietary caloric intake to 500 kcal less than their basal metabolic rate as measured by the Mifflin St. Jeor equation or indirect calorimetry, 2) achieve a physical activity goal of at least 150 minutes per week of moderate to high intensity exercise, and 3) limit the consumption of high calorie liquids. After patients are prescribed AOMs, at each follow-up visit, information on body weight, adherence to medications and recommendations, and side effects was collected.

Study Endpoints

The primary endpoint was the percentage total body weight loss %TBWL during the first 2 years of follow-up for patients prescribed AOMs approved for long-term use. Secondary endpoints included: 1) the proportion of patients who had a reduction from the baseline body weight of 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20%; 2) health status changes from baseline including: lipids [total cholesterol, low density lipoprotein (LDL) cholesterol, high- density lipoprotein (HDL) cholesterol and triglycerides], glycemic variables [fasting glucose and glycated hemoglobin (HbA1c)], and blood pressure (systolic and diastolic blood pressures); 3) weight regain after medication discontinuation at 3, 6 and 12 months from stop date.

Statistical analysis

All continuous data are summarized as medians and interquartile ranges (IQR). Categorical data are presented as frequencies and percentages. We used Pearson χ^2 and Wilcoxon test for between-group comparisons for baseline categorical and continuous variables, respectively. We used paired t-test to compare %TBWL and all secondary endpoints at follow-up with their respective baseline values. Wilcoxon test was used to compare %TBWL between medications at each time-point. To estimate the contribution of biological and clinical variables on the %TBWL at each time point, we conducted a multiple regression analysis and summarized the results based on parameter estimates (PE) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) and significance values. We used logistic regression and summarized the results as OR and 95% CI to assess the impact of the same variables on >5%, >10%, >15%, and >20% TBWL. All P-values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics and Study Disposition

Between January 1, 2016, and January 31, 2020, a total of 433 patients were prescribed long-term FDA-approved AOMs. One-hundred and twenty-nine patients were excluded because they did not meet the inclusion criteria (prescription not filled by the patient, prescription coverage denied and patients were not able to afford the cost of the medication [n=107], and previous or concomitant endoscopic/surgical bariatric procedure [n=22]).

The evaluated study cohort consisted of 304 patients: 76% women, 99% White, median age 50 years [IQR, 39–58], median BMI 41.5 kg/m² [IQR, 36.5–47.7]) (Table 1A). Most patients had obesity class III (61.2%). During the first 12 months, 99% of patients had at least 1 follow-up visit, and between months 13th and 24th, 45.4% of patients had at least 1 follow-up visit with an obesity medicine provider after the initial evaluation. The median time of follow-up for the entire cohort was 9.1 months (IQR, 4.2–14.1), with a median number of visits of 3 (IQR, 2–4). Fifty four percent of patients had at least one dietitian visit and 33.5% had at least one psychology visit. There was no significant difference in the length of follow-up, number of provider visits, and percentage of patients having at least one visit with a dietitian among the different medications. Patients taking naltrexone/bupropion combination were more likely to have a psychology visit compared to the rest of the cohort (Table 1C).

Figure 1 summarizes the cohort's follow-up. From our 304 patients included, 194 patients had medical information relevant to this study in their EMR for at least 24 months after AOMs were prescribed. From those, only 52, were on AOMs for the duration of the 24 months. The remaining were lost to follow-up (n= 76), discontinued the medication due to switching to another AOMs (n=15), side effects (n=26), achieving the desired weight loss (n=3), insurance renewal denial (n=12), having a bariatric endoscopic procedure (n=2), and having bariatric surgery (n=8).

Weight-related Comorbidities

The most common diagnosed weight-related comorbidities present at the initiation of pharmacotherapy were dyslipidemia (53.0%) and hypertension (50.3%), followed by obstructive sleep apnea (35.5%), type 2 diabetes mellitus (32.9%), degenerative joint disease (29.9%), gastroesophageal reflux disease (25.0%) and nonalcoholic fatty liver (12.8%). The median number of medications per patient for diabetes, hypertension, and dyslipidemia were 1 (IQR, 0–2), 1 (IQR, 0–1), and 0 (IQR, 0–1), respectively (Table 1B). Mean values of glucose, HbA1c, LDL, HDL, total cholesterol, and triglycerides at baseline are presented in Table 1B. Among the treatment groups, there was a significant difference in age, sex, and prevalence of hypertension, dyslipidemia, and diabetes. The prevalence of diabetes, dyslipidemia, and hypertension were higher in the liraglutide group compared to the other medication groups; diabetes: 77.5% vs. 15.5% (p<0.0001); dyslipidemia 71.3% vs 43.7% (p=0.003); hypertension 68.7% vs 42.8% (p=0.0002).

Prescribed Medications

Phentermine/topiramate-ER was the most prescribed AOM (51%), followed by liraglutide (26.3%), bupropion/naltrexone-SR (16.5%), and lorcaserin (6.2%). Phentermine/topiramate-ER was prescribed at 7.5–46 mg daily in 54% of patients, while 43% received 15–92 mg and 3% received 3.75–23 mg. A total of 80 patients were prescribed and approved liraglutide as a weight loss therapy. Full 3 mg daily dose, weight loss dose of liraglutide, was only achieved in 15% of patients with liraglutide. Lorcaserin was prescribed at 10 mg twice daily in 63.2% of patients. All patients taking bupropion/naltrexone received 16–180 mg twice daily.

Post-treatment Total Body Weight Loss

Among those who participated in follow-up visits at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, percentage total body weight losses were 5.0%, (95% CI [-4.2 to -5.7], n=138), 6.8% (95% CI [-6.1 to -7.5], n=213), 9.3% (95% CI [-8.2 to -10.5], n=146), 10.3% (95% CI [-8.8 to -11.7], n=121), and 10.5% (95% CI [-8.2 to -12.8], n=52), respectively (Figure 2A). Figure 2B–E shows %TBWL of each medication individually at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months. Overall, phentermine/topiramate-ER had the most robust weight loss response during follow up, with the highest %TBWL at 12 months of 12.0% (Figure 2C). At 24 months, the highest %TBWL was achieved with naltrexone/bupropion-SW, with 12% TBWL (Figure 2D).

The percentage of participants who at the last clinic visit had a TBWL of at least 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% was 60.2% (n=183/304), 31.6% (n=96/304), 15.1% (n=46/304) and 8.2% (n=25/304), respectively. The percentages of these responses for each drug are shown in

Since most patients taking liraglutide received 1.8 mg daily, we performed a secondary analysis to compare 1.8 mg vs. 3 mg daily doses in the patients receiving this medication (n=80). The median time of follow-up was not different among both groups (10.5 vs. 11 months, p = 0.1). There was no significant difference in weight loss with the 3 mg compared with the 1.8 mg dose at 3, 6, 12, or 18 months.

Percentage of Weight Regain after medication discontinuation

One-hundred and forty patients of our cohort had EMR weight information after discontinuing the AOM. The %TBWL in these patients was 4.6% [IQR (-9.8; -0.3)] at the time of medication discontinuation. The weight regain % from TBWL at 3, 6, and 12 months were 95.8% [IQR (91.7 – 100.5), n=83]; 97.2% [IQR (90.5 – 101.3), n=72]; and 96.3% [IQR (92.4 – 103.5), n=82]; respectively.

Multiple Regression and Logistic Regression Analyses

We used the following variables to perform multiple regression and logistic regression analyses: age, sex, BMI at baseline, and visits with a dietitian and a behavioral psychologist during follow-up. Multiple regression analysis showed that for %TBWL at 3 months, having at least one visit with a dietitian was the only variable associated with greater weight loss (PE [95% CI]: 1.6 [0.06–3.2], p=0.04). For %TBWL at 12 months, older age was the only variable associated with greater weight loss (PE [95% CI]: 0.18 [0.06–0.31]; p=0.001).

Logistic regression analysis showed that age was the only statistically significant predictor of attaining >15% and >20% TBWL at the last follow up (OR [95% CI]: 1.03 [1.01-1.06], p=0.02 and OR 1.04 [1.01-1.09], p=0.01). None of the variables studied was associated with attaining >5% or >10% TBWL at the last follow-up.

Secondary Endpoints

The results of secondary endpoints are summarized in Table 2. To study secondary endpoints, we used a paired t-test, as only a minority of patients had blood work at baseline and again while on treatment. Patients treated with AOMs approved for long-term use showed significant improvement in HbA1c compared to baseline (change HbA1C -1.1%, p=0.0003). This effect was mainly driven by patients with diabetes and treated with liraglutide (HbA1C decline from 7.2 to 6.3, p=0.004). There were clinically significant improvements in glucose, LDL, and total cholesterol, however, none of these observations were statistically significant.

Adverse Events

Bupropion/naltrexone-SR was the medication with the highest number of documented adverse events (30.0%), followed closely by liraglutide (23.7%) and phentermine/topiramate ER (20.6%). Adverse events in all groups were most frequently gastrointestinal symptoms, with nausea being the most common. Treatment was suspended in 26 patients in the cohort (8.6%), at a median time of 2.6 months (IQR 1.9–3.5) after starting the medication, with

the highest proportion coming from bupropion/naltrexone-SR users (9/50 or 18%). All documented adverse events are reported in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we showed that the use of AOMs approved for long-term use in a real-world multidisciplinary weight management practice promotes significant weight loss at 3, 6, 12, 18, and 24 months, with a maximum %TBWL of -10.5% at 24 months. When the medication was discontinued, we observe a weight regain of 96% of TBWL after 12 months. Phentermine/topiramate-ER was the most used medication and was associated with the highest %TBWL at 3, 6, 12, and 18 months (-6%, 8%, 11%, and 12%, respectively). There is a highly variable response to treatment: 60.2% of patients lost at least 5% of their total body weight, 31.6% lost at least 10%, 15.1% lost at least 15%, and 8.2% lost at least 20% at their last follow-up. Older age and visiting a dietitian were associated with greater weight loss and greater chances of achieving a higher %TBWL. However, the clinical significance of these findings requires further studies. No other demographic or clinical variables predicted weight loss outcomes. Adverse events were common with all medications, particularly with bupropion/naltrexone-SR. Lorcaserin had the lowest incidence of side effects. The rate of drug discontinuation due to adverse events was low, at 9%. About half of our patients had obesity with weight-related comorbidities, including type 2 diabetes, dyslipidemia, hypertension, and/or obstructive sleep apnea. We observed a significant improvement in diabetes and hypertension. Importantly, the rate of loss to follow-up is 25% in our cohort. Based on available information, the reasons for this high rate are unknown but some of the factors that may contribute include the elevated cost of AOMs and the lack of insurance coverage.

Weight loss outcomes in this study are comparable to those seen in large RCTs. The greatest weight loss with AOMs compared with placebo has been observed with once-weekly subcutaneous semaglutide 2.4mg where patients achieved 14.9% TBWL.(33) For other AOMs, TBWL varied from 6.5–8.1% with lorcaserin versus 9.5% in our study(13, 34); 6.7-9.2% with liraglutide versus 5.6% in our study(15, 16), 6.7-14.4% with phentermine/ topiramate-ER versus 11% in our study(12, 19), and 6.7–11.5% with bupropion/naltrexone-SR versus 7.6% in our study(14, 35, 36). Real-world studies to date have assessed weight loss outcomes of liraglutide 3 mg daily and orlistat.(25-28) Compared to these studies, % TBWL and the percentage of patients achieving >5%, >10%, and >15% TBWL with liraglutide in our cohort were slightly lower. Although we included patients prescribed liraglutide for weight loss, not all these patients were able to get up to 3.0mg daily due to the lack of insurance coverage or development of side effects. This may explain the difference in weight loss outcome compared to these other studies. Our study did not include patients on Orlistat; however, it is the first study to compare weight loss outcomes among several weight loss medications approved for long-term use in a real-world setting multidisciplinary clinical practice. Similar to weight loss, the improvement in metabolic outcomes paralleled what has been reported in large RCTs and other real-world studies.(13–15, 19, 25–28, 34–37) It is important to note that our weight management programs follow standard dietetic and behavioral therapies recommended by current obesity management guidelines.(6, 7) In our practices, we aim to incorporate a multi-disciplinary team approach by integrating clinical

dietetics and bariatric psychology to mimic what is done in RCTs. Although patients are encouraged to meet with these teams, only 50% of patients met with a dietitian and only a third had a psychologic evaluation. This suggests that AOMs can be effective in less structured weight loss programs as long as obesity medicine healthcare providers spend adequate time counseling patients about dietary and behavioral changes before prescribing these drugs.

Another important contribution of this study is that it assesses the incidence of side effects of the approved AOMs in clinical practice. We found that these drugs had a higher frequency of side effects compared to clinical trials. The most common adverse events were gastrointestinal. Phentermine/topiramate-ER use was the most likely to achieve 5% TBWL (76.3%) but also carried a high probability of adverse events (20.6%). Conversely, lorcaserin was associated with a lower rate of side effects but was the least effective for weight loss, with only 36.8% achieving 5% TBWL. These findings are comparable to the network meta-analysis by Khera et al.(20) where the combination of phentermine/topiramate-ER was the most effective for weight loss but was the third most likely to be associated with side effects (after liraglutide and bupropion/naltrexone-SR) and where lorcaserin had the least documented adverse events.

Finally, we found that 12 months after discontinuing the medication, patients had regained up to 95% of the TBWL. This emphasizes the need for longer-term treatment, which is often limited by changes in drug availability (e.g., lorcaserin no longer available), insurance coverage, and long-term safety data. Long-term safety has been evaluated in clinical trials for liraglutide, phentermine/topiramate, and naltrexone/bupropion, and in real-world observational studies for phentermine and phentermine/topiramate.(38, 39)

This study has several limitations. First, by definition, this study included only patients who were prescribed AOMs for at least 3 months. In the United States, the cost of weight loss medications is high, and if not covered by insurance companies, their cost can be prohibitive. In our practices, 24% of the prescriptions written for AOMs approved for long-term use were denied by insurance companies and not filled by patients. Furthermore, most insurance companies require that these prescriptions go through a preauthorization process, an additional bureaucratic barrier that leads to reluctance among physicians to prescribe these medications. For this reason, providers often opt to prescribe off-label AOMs such as metformin, topiramate or bupropion either alone or in combination with phentermine, currently approved for short-term use only. As a result, although we demonstrate that AOMs approved by the FDA for long-term use are effective and overall safe in clinical practice, access to these pharmacologic interventions may negatively impact the role of pharmacologic weight management interventions in the real-world setting.

Second, this was a retrospective study with no control group as in RCTs. However, our results mirror what has been reported in other studies around the world, suggesting that AOMs do indeed lead to clinically relevant weight loss in clinical practice. Importantly, obesity-directed pharmacotherapy should be evaluated in a prospective pragmatic controlled trial where control patients are exposed to the same overall management minus AOMs.

Larger sample sizes with longer term follow-up are also needed to better understand the outcomes of AOMs in clinical practice.

Third, AOMs were prescribed based on the prescriber's perception that a specific drug may prove more beneficial than others for each patient. In other words, the selection of a specific AOM was not random. This is demonstrated by the fact that patients with hyperglycemia or established diabetes were predominantly prescribed liraglutide. Therefore, the results do not represent an unbiased sample, but they presumably reflect the prescriber's perception of the best suited medication for a specific patient.

Fourth, given the nature of the study, results are dependent on EMR documentation. Because EMR documentation is imperfect and varies from provider to provider, there is a risk of misreporting, particularly relevant when it comes to side effects.

Fifth, eating behaviors were not assessed. It is unknown if loss of control over eating, or binge eating episodes had an impact on outcomes.

Lastly, it is conceivable that the non-pharmacological components of our multidisciplinary weight loss programs (i.e. dietetic and behavioral modification counseling) could have impacted the results. However, as demonstrated in univariate analyses, these two factors in our study did not affect weight loss outcomes. This is particularly important as visits with a dietitian and behavioral psychologist are encouraged but not mandatory.

CONCLUSION

Our findings demonstrate that AOM approved by the FDA for long-term treatment of obesity can result in significant long-term weight loss over the course of two years in the clinical practice. These outcomes are comparable to the ones reported in randomized clinical trials. Providers prescribing AOMs should monitor for side effects carefully, given their high incidence. In the future, focused research efforts should be made to enhance efficacy and, possibly, tolerance of AOMs.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements:

We would like to thank Dr. Michael Jensen for his critical feedback on the reporting of the findings and the discussion.

Competing Interests

Dr. Mundi has research grants from Fresenius Kabi, Nestle, Realfood Blends, and VectivBio and is a consultant for Baxter.

Dr. Acosta reports other from Gila Therapeutics, other from Phenomix Sciences, personal fees from Rhythm Pharmaceuticals, personal fees from General Mills, outside the submitted work.

Dr. Abu Dayyeh has served as a consultant for Boston Scientific, Metamodix, BFKW, DyaMx, and USGI Medical, has received research support for Boston Scientific, Apollo Endosurgery, USGI, Spatz Medical, GI Dynamics,

Caim Diagnostics, Aspire Bariatrics, and Medtronic, and has been a speaker for Johnson & Johnson, Endogastric Solutions, and Olympus.

Dr. Camilleri is a stockholder in Phenomix Sciences and Enterin and serves as a consultant to Takeda, Allergan, Rhythm, Kallyope, and Arena with compensation to his employer, Mayo Clinic.

Other authors declare no conflict of interest.

Grant Support:

No external funding for this manuscript.

Abbreviations:

AOMs	anti-obesity medications
BMI	body mass index
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
HDL	high- density lipoprotein
IQR	interquartile ranges
ITT	intention-to-treat
LDL	low density lipoprotein
POWER	Practice Guide on Obesity and Weight Management, Education and Resources
RCTs	randomized clinical trials
TBWL	total body weight loss
LOCF	last observation carried forward

References

- 1. Ogden CL, Carroll MD, Fryar CD, Flegal KM. Prevalence of Obesity Among Adults and Youth: United States, 2011–2014. NCHS data brief. 2015(219):1–8.
- 2. Waters H, Graf M. America's Obesity Crisis. Milken Institute; 2018.
- Su W, Huang J, Chen F, Iacobucci W, Mocarski M, Dall TM, et al. Modeling the clinical and economic implications of obesity using microsimulation. Journal of Medical Economics. 2015;18(11):886–97. [PubMed: 26057567]
- Kim DD, Basu A. Estimating the Medical Care Costs of Obesity in the United States: Systematic Review, Meta-Analysis, and Empirical Analysis. Value in Health. 2016;19(5):602–13. [PubMed: 27565277]
- Heymsfield SB, Wadden TA. Mechanisms, Pathophysiology, and Management of Obesity. N Engl J Med. 2017;376(15):1492. [PubMed: 28402780]
- Acosta A, Streett S, Kroh MD, Cheskin LJ, Saunders KH, Kurian M, et al. White Paper AGA: POWER - Practice Guide on Obesity and Weight Management, Education, and Resources. Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol. 2017;15(5):631–49 e10. [PubMed: 28242319]
- Jensen MD, Ryan DH, Apovian CM, Ard JD, Comuzzie AG, Donato KA, et al. 2013 AHA/ACC/TOS Guideline for the Management of Overweight and Obesity in Adults: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice

Guidelines and The Obesity Society. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2014;63(25, Part B):2985–3023. [PubMed: 24239920]

- Apovian CM, Aronne LJ, Bessesen DH, McDonnell ME, Murad MH, Pagotto U, et al. Pharmacological management of obesity: an endocrine Society clinical practice guideline. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2015;100(2):342–62. [PubMed: 25590212]
- Sharretts J, Galescu O, Gomatam S, Andraca-Carrera E, Hampp C, Yanoff L. Cancer Risk Associated with Lorcaserin - The FDA's Review of the CAMELLIA-TIMI 61 Trial. N Engl J Med. 2020;383(11):1000–2. [PubMed: 32905671]
- CllinicalTrials.gov. A Research Study of NNC0165-1562 and Semaglutide in People Who Are Overweight or Obese 2020 [cited 2020 12/22/2020]. Available from: https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/ show/NCT03574584.
- Lewis KH, Fischer H, Ard J, Barton L, Bessesen DH, Daley MF, et al. Safety and Effectiveness of Longer-Term Phentermine Use: Clinical Outcomes from an Electronic Health Record Cohort. Obesity. 2019;27(4):591–602. [PubMed: 30900410]
- Gadde KM, Allison DB, Ryan DH, Peterson CA, Troupin B, Schwiers ML, et al. Effects of low-dose, controlled-release, phentermine plus topiramate combination on weight and associated comorbidities in overweight and obese adults (CONQUER): a randomised, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2011;377(9774):1341–52. [PubMed: 21481449]
- Smith SR, Weissman NJ, Anderson CM, Sanchez M, Chuang E, Stubbe S, et al. Multicenter, placebo-controlled trial of lorcaserin for weight management. N Engl J Med. 2010;363(3):245–56. [PubMed: 20647200]
- Greenway FL, Fujioka K, Plodkowski RA, Mudaliar S, Guttadauria M, Erickson J, et al. Effect of naltrexone plus bupropion on weight loss in overweight and obese adults (COR-I): a multicentre, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 3 trial. Lancet. 2010;376(9741):595–605. [PubMed: 20673995]
- Pi-Sunyer X, Astrup A, Fujioka K, Greenway F, Halpern A, Krempf M, et al. A Randomized, Controlled Trial of 3.0 mg of Liraglutide in Weight Management. N Engl J Med. 2015;373(1):11– 22. [PubMed: 26132939]
- 16. Wadden TA, Hollander P, Klein S, Niswender K, Woo V, Hale PM, et al. Weight maintenance and additional weight loss with liraglutide after low-calorie-diet-induced weight loss: the SCALE Maintenance randomized study. Int J Obes (Lond). 2013;37(11):1443–51. [PubMed: 23812094]
- Astrup A, Carraro R, Finer N, Harper A, Kunesova M, Lean ME, et al. Safety, tolerability and sustained weight loss over 2 years with the once-daily human GLP-1 analog, liraglutide. Int J Obes (Lond). 2012;36(6):843–54. [PubMed: 21844879]
- Garvey WT, Ryan DH, Look M, Gadde KM, Allison DB, Peterson CA, et al. Two-year sustained weight loss and metabolic benefits with controlled-release phentermine/topiramate in obese and overweight adults (SEQUEL): a randomized, placebo-controlled, phase 3 extension study. Am J Clin Nutr. 2012;95(2):297–308. [PubMed: 22158731]
- Allison DB, Gadde KM, Garvey WT, Peterson CA, Schwiers ML, Najarian T, et al. Controlledrelease phentermine/topiramate in severely obese adults: a randomized controlled trial (EQUIP). Obesity (Silver Spring). 2012;20(2):330–42. [PubMed: 22051941]
- Khera R, Murad MH, Chandar AK, Dulai PS, Wang Z, Prokop LJ, et al. Association of Pharmacological Treatments for Obesity With Weight Loss and Adverse Events: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. Jama. 2016;315(22):2424–34. [PubMed: 27299618]
- Carey TA, Stiles WB. Some Problems with Randomized Controlled Trials and Some Viable Alternatives. Clinical Psychology & Psychotherapy. 2016;23(1):87–95. [PubMed: 25601435]
- Grudell AB, Sweetser S, Camilleri M, Eckert DJ, Vazquez-Roque MI, Carlson PJ, et al. A controlled pharmacogenetic trial of sibutramine on weight loss and body composition in obese or overweight adults. Gastroenterology. 2008;135(4):1142–54. [PubMed: 18725220]
- Holgerson AA, Clark MM, Ames GE, Collazo-Clavell ML, Kellogg TA, Graszer KM, et al. Association of Adverse Childhood Experiences and Food Addiction to Bariatric Surgery Completion and Weight Loss Outcome. Obes Surg. 2018;28(11):3386–92. [PubMed: 29982973]

- 24. Gorgojo-Martínez JJ, Basagoiti-Carreño B, Sanz-Velasco A, Serrano-Moreno C, Almodóvar-Ruiz F. Effectiveness and tolerability of orlistat and liraglutide in patients with obesity in a real-world setting: The XENSOR Study. Int J Clin Pract. 2019;73(11):e13399. [PubMed: 31397946]
- 25. Park JH, Kim JY, Choi JH, Park HS, Shin H-Y, Lee JM, et al. Effectiveness of liraglutide 3 mg for the treatment of obesity in a real-world setting without intensive lifestyle intervention. International Journal of Obesity. 2021.
- 26. Piantanida E, Gallo D, Tanda ML. Liraglutide is an effective drug for the treatment of obesity also in real life. Journal of Endocrinological Investigation. 2020;43(12):1827–8. [PubMed: 32613571]
- Wharton S, Liu A, Pakseresht A, Nørtoft E, Haase CL, Mancini J, et al. Real-World Clinical Effectiveness of Liraglutide 3.0 mg for Weight Management in Canada. Obesity. 2019;27(6):917– 24. [PubMed: 31062937]
- Ferrari F, Fierabracci P, Salvetti G, Jaccheri R, Vitti J, Scartabelli G, et al. Weight loss effect of liraglutide in real-life: the experience of a single Italian obesity center. Journal of Endocrinological Investigation. 2020;43(12):1779–85. [PubMed: 32594453]
- Fakhreddine AY, Bagsic S, Fujioka K, Frenette CT. Safety and efficacy of pharmacologic weight loss in patients with cirrhosis. Obesity Science & Practice. 2021;7(2):159–67. [PubMed: 33841885]
- Ganguly R, Tian Y, Kong SX, Hersloev M, Hobbs T, Smolarz BG, et al. Persistence of newer anti-obesity medications in a real-world setting. Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice. 2018;143:348–56. [PubMed: 30009937]
- Shibuya K, Ali KF, Ji X, Milinoivh A, Bauman J, Kattan MW, et al. The Benefit of Short-Term Weight Loss with Anti-Obesity Medications in Real-World Clinical Practice. Endocrine Practice. 2019;25(10):1022–8. [PubMed: 31241358]
- 32. Elangovan A, Shah R, Smith ZL. Pharmacotherapy for Obesity—Trends Using a Population Level National Database. Obesity Surgery. 2021;31(3):1105–12. [PubMed: 32986169]
- Wilding JPH, Batterham RL, Calanna S, Davies M, Van Gaal LF, Lingvay I, et al. Once-Weekly Semaglutide in Adults with Overweight or Obesity. New England Journal of Medicine. 2021;384(11):989–1002.
- 34. Fidler MC, Sanchez M, Raether B, Weissman NJ, Smith SR, Shanahan WR, et al. A one-year randomized trial of lorcaserin for weight loss in obese and overweight adults: the BLOSSOM trial. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2011;96(10):3067–77. [PubMed: 21795446]
- 35. Wadden TA, Foreyt JP, Foster GD, Hill JO, Klein S, O'Neil PM, et al. Weight loss with naltrexone SR/bupropion SR combination therapy as an adjunct to behavior modification: the COR-BMOD trial. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2011;19(1):110–20. [PubMed: 20559296]
- Apovian CM, Aronne L, Rubino D, Still C, Wyatt H, Burns C, et al. A randomized, phase 3 trial of naltrexone SR/bupropion SR on weight and obesity-related risk factors (COR-II). Obesity (Silver Spring). 2013;21(5):935–43. [PubMed: 23408728]
- 37. Wadden TA, Hollander P, Klein S, Niswender K, Woo V, Hale PM, et al. Weight maintenance and additional weight loss with liraglutide after low-calorie-diet-induced weight loss: the SCALE Maintenance randomized study. Int J Obes (Lond). 2013;37(11):1443–51. [PubMed: 23812094]
- Lei XG, Ruan JQ, Lai C, Sun Z, Yang X. Efficacy and Safety of Phentermine/Topiramate in Adults with Overweight or Obesity: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2021;29(6):985–94. [PubMed: 33864346]
- Lewis KH, Fischer H, Ard J, Barton L, Bessesen DH, Daley MF, et al. Safety and Effectiveness of Longer-Term Phentermine Use: Clinical Outcomes from an Electronic Health Record Cohort. Obesity (Silver Spring). 2019;27(4):591–602. [PubMed: 30900410]



Figure 1. Follow-up flowchart.

Calderon et al.



Figure 2.

Weight loss outcomes after antiobesity medication initiation. Change in body weight from baseline (%TBWL) at each visit over the course of 24 months. A) All, b) Lorcaserin c) phentermine/topiramate-ER, d) Bupropion/naltrexone-SR, e) Liraglutide, and; f) Percentage of participants who at the last clinic visit had lost at least 5%, 10%, 15%, and 20% of their total body weight compared to baseline weight for all patients and by individual medication. Data presented in means+/–SE and as percentages. Paired-t test was used to make comparisons to baseline, *p<0.005, **p<0.005, ***p<0.0001

Author Manuscript	
Author Manuscr	

Table 1.

Baseline Demographic and Clinical Characteristics, and Follow Up Information of the Entire Cohort and by Anti-Obesity Medication

	All Patients	Phentermine/ Topiramate	Liraglutide	Lorcaserin	Bupropion/ Naltrexone	ANOVA P
		A. Baseline Demographic infor	mation			
N, %	304	n=155 (51.0%)	n=80 (26.3%)	n=19 (6.2%)	n=50 (16.5%)	
Age, Years	50(39–57.8)	47 (39–56)	54 (42–63)	54 (49–63)	47.5 (38–53)	0.0009
Sex, Female	230 (75.7%)	126 (81.2%)	48 (60%)	9 (47.4%)	47 (94%)	<0.0001
Race, White	290 (99.0%)	148 (99.3%)	76 (97.4%)	19 (100%)	47 (100%)	0.7
		B. Baseline Clinical Informa	ation			
Weight, Kg	118 (98.2–139.5)	114.3 (97.5–139.3)	119.5 (104.0–139.5)	142.3 (104.4–156.8)	113.6 (5.7–133.6)	0.1
BMI, kg/m ²	41.5 (36.5–47.7)	41.2 (34.8–47.7)	42.2 (37.2–47.5)	44.0 (37.5–50.7)	41.5 (37.1–48.2)	0.7
BMI (Class I)	62 (20.4%)	39 (25.2%)	12 (15.0%)	1 (10.5%)	9~(18.0%)	
BMI (Class II)	56 (18.4%)	25 (16.1%)	16 (20.0%)	5 (26.3%)	10 (20.0%)	0.5
BMI (Class III)	186 (61.2%)	91 (58.7%)	52 (65.0%)	12 (63.1%)	31 (62.0%)	
SBP, mmHg	128 (121–137)	128 (121–136)	129 (122.8–138.5)	131 (114–143)	130 (121–136)	6.0
DBP, mmHg	79 (70–86)	81.5 (73.8–87.2)	78 (69–85.5)	(26–62) 68	77 (73–83)	0.27
Glucose, mg/dL (n=149)	105 (93–129)	100 (92.3–113)	131 (105–170)	105 (90–135)	97 (91–113)	<0.0001
HbA1c, % (n=147)	6 (5.4–7.2)	5.5 (5.2–6)	7.2 (6.2–8.4)	5.5 (5.1–7.1)	5.6 (5.1–6.1)	<0.0001
LDL, mg/dL (n=155)	97 (74–115)	109 (90.8–123.3)	81 (60–102)	82.5 (73.8–95.8)	97 (80–130)	<0.0001
HDL, mg/dL (n=161)	46 (39–54.5)	47 (40.5–62)	41 (35.5–49)	34 (30–49)	52 (44–60)	0.001
Total Cholesterol, mg/dL (n=161)	170 (150.5–200.5)	189 (169–208.8)	160 (130–192)	149 (132–160.5)	175 (155.8–222)	0.0001
Triglycerides, mg/dL (n=163)	142 (105–207)	124 (95–177)	168 (119.3–229)	98 (81–257)	157 (89–218)	0.1
Dyslipidemia	161 (53.0%)	78 (50.3%)	57 (71.3%)	6 (31.6%)	20 (40.0%)	0.004
Obstructive sleep apnea	108 (35.5%)	52 (33.5%)	36 (45.0%)	6 (31.6%)	14 (28.0%)	0.2
Hypertension	153 (50.3%)	63 (40.6%)	55 (68.7%)	12 (63.2%)	21 (42.0%)	0.0002
Degenerative joint disease	91 (29.9%)	51 (32.9%)	24 (30.0%)	4 (21.0%)	12 (24.0%)	0.5
Diabetes Mellitus	100 (32.9%)	22 (14.2%)	62 (77.5%)	5 (26.3%)	11 (22.0%)	<0.0001
GERD	76 (25.0)	39 (25.2%)	20 (25.0%)	5 (26.3%)	12 (24.0%)	0.9
NAFLD	39 (12.8%)	17 (11.0%)	14 (17.5%)	4 (21%)	4 (8.0%)	0.2
# Diabetes meds (n=100)	1 (0–2)	0 (0-0.1.5)	1 (0–2)	0	0 (0–1)	0.06

	All Patients	Phentermine/ Topiramate	Liraglutide	Lorcaserin	Bupropion/Naltrexone	A NOVA P
# Blood pressure meds (n=153)	1 (0–1)	0 (0–1)	1 (0–2)	0 (0–1.5)	0 (0–1.5)	0.004
# Dyslipidemia meds (n=161)	0 (0–1)	0 (0)	1 (0–1)	0	0 (0–1)	0.0004
		C. Follow up Information				
Follow-up, Months	9.1 (4.2–14.7)	9.9 (4.2–14.1)	10.4(4.9-16.3)	4.4 (3.3–14.2)	7.6 (3.2–13.5)	0.3
Number of follow-up Visits	3 (2-4)	3 (2-4)	3 (2-4)	3 (2–4)	3 (2-4)	0.2
Patients with >1 follow-up visit during year 1 with an obesity specialist	301 (99.0%)	153 (98.7%)	80 (100%)	19 (100%)	49 (98.0%)	9.0
Patients with > 1 follow-up visit during year 2 with an obesity specialist	138 (45.4%)	70 (45.1%)	40 (50.0%)	7 (36.8%)	21 (42.0%)	9.0
Patients with 1 dietitian visit	163 (53.6%)	73 (47.1%)	47 (58.7%)	12 (63.2%)	31 (62.0%)	0.1
Patients with 1 psychology visit	68 (33.5%)	25 (23.1%)	23 (42.6%)	3 (33.3%)	17 (53.1%)	0.005

Continuous data are summarized as median and interquartile ranges. Categorical data are presented as frequencies and percentages.

All P-values <0.05 were considered significant.

Int J Obes (Lond). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 March 01.

Abbreviations used: BMI, body mass index; DBP, diastolic blood pressure; GERD, gastroesophageal reflux disease; HbA1c; glycated hemoglobin A1c; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; NAFLD, non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Table 2.

	9	2
	÷	1
	d.)
	2	1
	đ	j
	2	1
	۲	
	t	5
	3	1
	۲	
	à	j
		•
6	1	
-	-	1
	С	2
	č	1
	7	٢
	ч	1
	۰.	
	U	2
	đ)
	-	1
	^	•
	9	
_		1
- 7	,	١
		J
	-	
-	_	1
	9	4
	2	
	à	ł
	•,	
	٩	Ś
	۴	
	t	5
	Ξ	1
	ê	•
	2	1
	4	ł
	Q.)
	¢	1
1	5	ľ
	-	
	_	
	C	J
	7	ξ
	5	
	С	2
	-	1
- 2		
	T	1
		•
	-	
	⊆	
	5	
	5	5
:	1 S L	2
÷		
;		
;		
;		
-	15h 0 15h	
-	tabo len	
-	etabolish	V LUUV UIUU
	Petabolish	
	VIetabolish	VICUUV UNIV
	V PT 2 D 1 S D 1 S D	INTO DO DO DO DO
	VIPTSholish	
	e Vietabolish	
	se Vietabolish	SC INICUUDIN
	Dee Vietaholien	360 M CCUDO 161
	Versholish	Solo Michael Shi
	COSP Netabolish	COSC INTOLICUTION
	UPPORE VIETADOIISN	
	UICOCE VIETADOIICU	
	rincose Metabolish	
	TINCOSE METSHOLISH	
	· (illicose Metabolish	· Oldeoor Michael
	o (illionse Metsholish	J. Oldeoold Michaelon
	in (flucose Metabolish	U. Oldeone Michabolini
	110. (flucose Metabolish	up: Oldeose Mictary
	VIID (FILLORE VIETADOLICH	ab: Oldeood Michaelolian
	With (Filloce Metabolich	
	W/IID. (FILCOSE METSHOLISH	
	Wetsholten Vetsholten	W/ up. Olucose Michael
	OW/IID. (FILCORE VIETSDOLISH	
	IIOW/IID. (TITCOSE METSHOLISH	
	DILOW/IID (TILCOSE METSHOLISH	
		VIIVW/ up: Oldeood Michael
	tollow/ind. (throas Metabolish	TOTIOW/ dD: OldCOBC MICTORN
	tollow/mb. (threase Metabolish	
	at tollow/mp. (threase Metabolish	
	at tollow/up: (thicose Metabolish	at tonow ap. Olacos Michael
	s at tollow/up: (thicose Metabolish	at tollow/ db. Oldcoby Michael
	te at tollow/ind. (thicose Metabolish	a dt tollow dp. Oldcost Michael
	its at tollow/ind. (thicose Metabolish	Its at tollow due olacose interaction
	nts at tollow/up. (incose Metabolish	The at 10110W/ aD. Oldeose Mictary Hol
	ants at tollow/up. (threase Metabolish	THE at TOTIOW UP. OTDOOD MICTUON
	ounts at tollow/line. (Allicose Metabolish	onnes de romowy dp. Ordeose Michael
	ounts at tollow/up. (thicose Metabolish	WILLS AL TOTION AD. CIACOSC MICHAUDIN
	points at tollow/up. (thicose Metabolish	DOILING AL TOTION AD. OLACOPO MICTUON
	dhointe at tollow/iin. (diiroce Metabolien	a voltes de tottow/ dp. Oldeose Mietavolist
	id not the attollow/ind. (this or Netabolish	a come at romow ap, oraçõe pretavinan
	ndhointe at tollow/jin: (vijicose Metabolish	HUDOILES AL TOILOW/ AD. OLACOSC MICTUVIISH
	Pudpoints at tollow/iib. (throad Metabolish	Shu bollits at tollow/ aD. Olacos Mictarylish
	endmonte at tollow/up. (throse Metabolish	
	i endnointe af tollow/inb. (jliicoee Metaholien	v churbhilte at tollow/ ab. Olacoso Motabolish
	V endmonts at tollow/inp. (thicose Metabolish	V CIRCIDATING AL TOTION/ AD. CIRCOSC MICTUON
	ry endnoints at tollow/iin. (Hijcose Metabolish	T V VIIGENVIILE AL TOILOW AD. OLACOSC MICHAUDIS
	ary endpoints at tollow/iip. (Flippee Metabolish	d y churchilles at tollow, and ollacose pretavolish
	dary endpoints at tollow/line (vilicose Metabolish	
	dary endnoints at tollow/iin. (thicose Metabolish	and volume at 10110W/ aD. Olacos Michael
	ndary endpoints at tollow/inp. (thicose Metabolish	Huar V Churchine at TOHOW and Olacose Microso
	ondary endnoints at tollow/int. (thicose Metabolish	MIGHT V VIIGIONILIS AL IVIIOW/ AD. OLAVOSO INICADONISI
	ondary endpoints at tollow/iin. (Hincose Metabolish	VIILUAL V VIILUVVIILUS AL IVIILVVV AD. OLAVOSU IVIVLAUVIUSI
	condary endpoints at tollow/iin. (tilicose Metabolish	COLLULY CITUDATILS AL TOTION/ AD. CITUCOS MICHAUSTI
	Prondery endpoints at tollow/inp. (Hincose Metabolish	COLLULY CITUDATILS AL TOTION/ AD. CITUONS MICHAUOLISI
	econdary endpoints at tollow/line (thirease Metabolish	COULDER VOILE AL TOILOW/ HD. OLDCORY MICTION

	z	Baseline	Last Follow-up	Mean Difference (95% Confidence Interval)	Р
Glucose					
ALL	(n=39)	117 (97 – 157)	107 (94 – 142)	-10.4 (-26.5 to 5.8)	0.2
Liraglutide	(n=9)	$130.5\ (105-188)$	135.5 (105.8 – 169)	-18.3 (-45.4 to -8.8)	0.2
HbA1C					
ALL	(n=42)	7.3 (6.2 – 8.3)	6.2 (5.7 – 7.7)	-0.75 (-1.1 to -0.4)	0.0003
Liraglutide	(n=31)	7.2 (6.3 – 8.5)	6.3(5.7 - 8.1)	-0.7 (-1. to -0.2)	0.004
SBP	(n=168)	128 (120.7 – 137)	125 (117 – 133.7)	-2.4 (-5.4 to 0.4)	0.09
DBP	(n=168)	78 (71 – 85)	78 (71 – 86)	-0.2 (-2.5 to 2.1)	0.85
LDL	(n=85)	97 (74 – 115)	90 (72 - 116.5)	1.5 (-7.9 to 10.9)	0.75
HDL	(n=85)	46 (39 – 54.5)	47 (39 – 60)	3.19 (-1.1 to 7.5)	0.1
Total Cholesterol	(n=85)	170 (150.5 – 200.5)	167 (146.5 – 198.5)	1.6 (-9.3 to 12.4)	0.7
Triglycerides	(n=85)	142.5 (105.3 – 207)	140 (92.5 – 192.5)	-19.7 (-42.3 to 2.9)	0.08

Continuous data are summarized as median and interquartile ranges.

Int J Obes (Lond). Author manuscript; available in PMC 2022 March 01.

All P-values <0.05 were considered significant.

Abbreviations used: DBP, diastolic blood pressure; HbA1c; glycated hemoglobin A1c; HDL, high density lipoprotein; LDL, low density lipoprotein; SBP, systolic blood pressure.

Table 3.

Documented Adverse Events

	Incidence (n=304 per year)	Treatment Suspended	Adverse Events	
Total	68 (22.4%)	26 (8.6%)	Treatment was suspended in 9% of the subjects due to side effects. None were severe enough to prompt hospitalization.	
Phentermine/ Topiramate-ER	32/155 (20.6%)	10 (6.4%)	Insomnia (4.3%), paresthesia (3.6%). Dry mouth (2.9%), constipation (1.4%), Nausea (2.2%), Restlessness (1.4%), Anxiety (2.9), Others (3.6%)	
Liraglutide	19/80 (23.7%)	4 (5.0%)	Nausea (14.3%), Diarrhea (7.1%) Vomiting (4.3%), dyspepsia (2.9%) Constipation (1.4%), mood change (1.4%), others (7.1%)	
Lorcaserin	2/19 (10.5%)	2 (10.5%)	Dizziness (10.5%)	
Bupropion/ Naltrexone-SR	15/50 (30.0%)	9 (18.0%)	Nausea (18.8%) Mood changes (6.3%), Vomiting (2%), Constipation (4.1%). Other (10.4%)	

Table 4.

Weight Loss Outcomes by Anti-obesity Medication in the Current Study at 1 year Compared to RCTs Outcomes

Anti-obesity Medication	Total Body Weight Loss	Reference
All Drugs	9.3%	Current study
	9.5%	Current study
Lorcaserin	6.5–7.9%	Fidler et al. ¹⁸
	8.1%	Smith et al. ¹¹
	11%	Current study
Phentermine/Topiramate-ER	6.7–14.4%	Allison et al. ¹⁹
	9.6–12.4%	Gadde et al. ¹⁰
	5.6%	Current study
Liraglutide	6.7%	Wadden et al. ²¹
	9.2%	Pi-Sunyer et al. ¹³
	7.6%	Current study
D	6.7-8.1%	Greenway et al.12
Bupropion/Naitrexone-SR	11.5%	Wadden et al. ²⁰
	8.2%	Apovian et al 22