# **Isolation of a** *CONSTANS* **Ortholog from** *Pharbitis nil* **and Its Role in Flowering<sup>1</sup>**

## **Jiayou Liu<sup>2</sup> , Jianping Yu, Lee McIntosh, Hans Kende, and Jan A.D. Zeevaart\***

Departments of Energy Plant Research Laboratory (J.L., J.Y., H.K., L.M., J.A.D.Z.), Biochemistry and Molecular Biology (L.M.), and Plant Biology (H.K., J.A.D.Z.), Michigan State University, East Lansing, Michigan 48824–1312

The short-day plant *Pharbitis nil* is a model plant for the study of photoperiodic control of floral initiation. Flower formation can be induced at the cotyledon stage by a single long night of at least 14 h in duration. Using differential display of mRNA we identified a *P. nil* ortholog of the Arabidopsis *CONSTANS* (*CO*) gene, which will be referred to as *PnCO*. Expression of *PnCO* was high after a 14-h night, but low when the dark period was 12 h or less. Our results indicate that the level of the *PnCO* transcript is photoperiodically regulated. After transfer from continuous light to darkness, *PnCO* showed a circadian pattern of expression. Expression of the *CAB* gene, which is a molecular marker for the circadian clock, exhibited a different pattern of expression than did *PnCO* and was not subject to the same photoperiodic control. A major portion of the *PnCO* transcripts contained an unspliced intron. Only the intron-free *PnCO* was able to complement the *co* mutant of Arabidopsis by shortening the time to flower.

The classical studies on photoperiodic control of flowering have shown that the leaf perceives the inductive photoperiod, whereas the flower primordia are formed in the apical meristem. This observation implies the movement of a signal, the floral stimulus, or florigen from the leaf to the shoot apex. Grafting experiments between different photoperiodic response types have provided evidence that the floral stimulus is exchangeable, and thus very similar or identical in short-day plants (SDP) and long-day plants (LDP; Lang, 1965; Zeevaart, 1976). The nature of the inductive processes in the leaf, as well as the identity of florigen, have remained elusive so far. It is clear that elucidation of the flowering process is one of the major outstanding problems in plant biology.

In more recent work the facultative LDP Arabidopsis has been used as a model plant for molecular genetic studies of flowering (Koornneef et al., 1998; Levy and Dean, 1998), and several genes involved in flowering in Arabidopsis have been cloned. An example is the *CONSTANS* (*CO*) gene, which encodes a putative zinc finger transcription factor (Putterill et al., 1995). Consistent with its role in flowering, expression of *CO* was up-regulated by long days (LD), which in turn resulted in up-regulation of floral meristem identity genes, such as *LEAFY* (Putterill et al., 1995; Simon et al., 1996; Nilsson et al., 1998).

In some species flowering can be induced at an early stage. A well-characterized example is *Pharbitis*

*nil*; (Imamura, 1967). This SDP can be induced to flower by a single dark period of at least 14 h just after the cotyledons have fully expanded. Physiological evidence indicates that during the inductive dark period a floral stimulus is produced in the cotyledons, which is subsequently exported to the apical meristem (Zeevaart, 1962). These physiological characteristics make *P. nil* an attractive model plant for research on flowering (Vince-Prue and Gressel, 1985). In several studies at the molecular level, attempts have been made to identify genes associated with flowering (Lay-Yee et al., 1987; Ono et al., 1993, 1996; Zheng et al., 1993, 1998; O'Neill et al., 1994; Sage-Ono et al., 1998). However, there is no conclusive evidence that any of these genes play a role in flower initiation.

We have used differential display of mRNA to identify genes in *P. nil* that are specifically expressed in response to a single inductive dark period and whose products may be related to the induction of flowering. In this paper we report the isolation from *P. nil* of an ortholog of *CO* of Arabidopsis and provide evidence for its role in floral induction.

#### **RESULTS**

## **Identification of a** *CO* **Ortholog by Differential Display of mRNA**

Five-day-old, light-grown *P. nil* seedlings were exposed to three different photoperiodic conditions, namely to an inductive 14-h dark period yielding seven to eight flower buds per plant and, as controls, to 8 h of darkness, or to 14 h of darkness interrupted after 8 h by 5 min of red light. All control plants remained vegetative. Cotyledons were collected im-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (grant no. De–FG02–91ER20021).

<sup>2</sup> Present address: Department of Pathology, Wayne State University, Detroit, MI 48201.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author; e-mail zeevaart@msu.edu; fax 517–353–9168.

mediately after these treatments and RNA was isolated for differential display. A cDNA corresponding to a transcript whose level increased upon photoperiodic induction was cloned. The nucleotide sequence of this cDNA fragment showed similarity to that of the Arabidopsis *CO* gene (data not shown). Fulllength clones of this putative *CO* ortholog were isolated from a cDNA library prepared from *P. nil* cotyledons.

Alignment of the amino acid sequences predicted from the *P. nil* cDNA and the *CO* cDNAs of Arabidopsis and *Brassica napus* shows a high level of amino acid identity in the amino- and carboxy-terminal regions (Fig. 1). The conserved amino-terminal region contains regularly spaced Cys residues indicative of

a zinc-finger DNA-binding domain (Putterill et al., 1995). The conserved carboxy-terminal region is rich in Arg and Lys and may include a nuclear localization sequence (Robert et al., 1998). The cloned *P. nil* cDNA is henceforth referred to as *PnCO*.

## **Correlation of** *PnCO* **Expression and the Flowering Response**

The time course of floral induction in *P. nil* has been established by Zeevaart (1962). After 12 h of darkness, little if any flowering was observed, whereas a dark period of 14 h elicited a full flowering response. The time course of *PnCO* expression in continuous darkness was studied at 4-h intervals



**Figure 1.** Comparison of the predicted amino acid sequences of CO proteins. PnCO, P. nil, (accession no. AF300700); AtCO, Arabidopsis CO1 (accession no. A56133); and BnCO, oilseed rape COa1, (accession no. AF016009). Regularly spaced Cys residues of a putative Zn finger are indicated with asterisks. A putative bipartite nuclear localization signal is indicated with plus signs. The site where the intron is inserted in the corresponding nucleotide sequence is marked with . The annealing sites for primers TN178 (upstream) and TN179 (downstream) are indicated with solid lines above the corresponding amino acid sequences. The alignment was performed with the CLUSTAL W program (Thompson et al., 1994).

(Fig. 2). Accumulation of *PnCO* mRNA increased slowly, starting at 8 h, and reached a peak between 16 and 20 h. Combining these data with those of Figure 3, one can conclude that *PnCO* is expressed at high levels between 14 and 20 h of darkness.

When transferred from continuous light to continuous darkness, the *PnCO* gene showed a circadian pattern of expression at the RNA level (Fig. 2). The expression pattern of the *CAB* gene, commonly used to monitor the circadian clock at the molecular level (Millar et al., 1992), was similar. Further experiments were designed to determine whether expression of *PnCO* is regulated via a mechanism shared by other clock-controlled genes such as *CAB* or whether expression of *PnCO* is controlled by photoperiod only. Light-grown *P. nil* seedlings were exposed to the following dark-light regimes: 8 h of darkness followed by 6 h of light; 10 h of darkness followed by 4 h of light; 12 h of darkness followed by 2 h of light; and 14 h of darkness. In all of the above treatments the level of *PnCO* mRNA was examined 14 h after start of the experiment (Fig. 3). A high level of *PnCO* expression was observed after 14 h of darkness. *PnCO* expression was low under all other photoperiodic conditions, which had a dark period of less than 14 h. In contrast, expression of the *CAB* gene reached a high level 14 h after transfer from light into dark, irrespective of the duration of the dark period (Fig. 3).

#### **Effect of a Night Break on** *PnCO* **Expression**

In *P. nil*, induction of flowering by 14 h of darkness is completely abolished by a 5-min irradiation with red light given 8 h after start of the dark period. Evidence shown in Figure 3 indicates that such a night break reduced expression of *PnCO* slightly when compared with the transcript level after a 14-h uninterrupted dark period. The abundance of *PnCO* mRNA in cotyledons with night break was significantly higher than in cotyledons that had been exposed to non-inductive dark periods of 8 to 12 h. A time course of *PnCO* expression following a night break after 8 h of darkness is shown in Figure 4. The time course of *PnCO* accumulation showed a peak similar to that without



#### **An Unspliced Intron Is Present in a Majority of** *PnCO* **Transcripts**

Three types of *PnCO* cDNA clones were isolated from the cDNA library: *PnCO*(ni) containing no intron, *PnCO*(si) containing a short intron, and *Pn-CO*(li) containing a long intron. *PnCO*(ni), representing a single clone, could be translated into a complete protein encompassing both conserved domains (Fig. 1). *PnCO*(li), representing 16 out of the 18 clones isolated, contains a 292-bp intron, which encompasses the entire corresponding intron in the genome (results not shown) and which is characterized by a stretch of 17 thymine residues (Fig. 5). This intron contains an in-frame premature stop codon. *PnCO*(si) represents a single clone that retained an alternatively spliced short intron of 26 bp. Presence of this short intron results in a frame shift and a premature in-frame stop codon. Protein sequences from the two intron-containing cDNAs would be truncated, lacking the conserved C-terminal domain.

When an RNA gel blot was probed with the long intron, the same pattern of *PnCO* expression was detected as with the *PnCO* probe that did not contain an intron (Fig. 6). This result confirms that of our library screen, namely that a major fraction of *PnCO* transcripts contains the long intron. Using reverse transcriptase- (RT) PCR, the short-intron-containing transcript could be detected with ease, whereas the intron-free mRNA was found in an amount close to our detection limit. There was no indication for differential expression of the intron-free mRNA and the short intron-containing transcripts at various time points of floral induction and in various types of tissues such as the shoot apex, hypocotyl, cotyledons, and root (data not shown).

## **Complementation of the Arabidopsis** *co* **Mutant with** *PnCO*

To study the function of PnCO, cDNAs of *Pn-CO*(ni), *PnCO*(si), and *PnCO*(li) were fused to the cauliflower mosaic virus 35S promoter and were in-



Plant Physiol. Vol. 125, 2001 1823

Figure 2. Expression of PnCO in continuous darkness. Five-day-old light-grown seedlings were transferred to darkness at time 0. Cotyledons were harvested for RNA isolation at the times indicated.  $Poly(A)^+$  RNA preparations were analyzed by RNA gel blotting using a 720-bp cDNA of PnCO as probe. Residual 23S rRNA served as loading control. Plants returned to continuous light after 16 h of darkness or at later time points developed six or seven flower buds after 1 month in continuous light. Plants receiving 12 h of darkness or less remained vegetative.



Figure 3. Expression of PnCO under different dark regimes. Fiveday-old light-grown seedlings were transferred to darkness at time 0. Cotyledons were harvested for RNA isolation 14 h later after being exposed to the dark (D)-light (L) regime shown on the bar graphs.  $Poly(A)^+$  RNA preparations were analyzed by RNA gel blotting. The probes were as in Figure 2. Actin mRNA served as loading control. Plants kept for 14 h in the dark developed six or seven flower buds after 1 month in continuous light. Plants remained vegetative under all other photoperiodic conditions.

troduced into the *co-1* mutant of Arabidopsis using *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation. A number of primary transformants were recovered and allowed to self-pollinate. Single insertion lines were identified in the  $T<sub>2</sub>$  generation. Their homozygous progenies were identified in the  $T_3$  generation and were scored for flowering-time phenotype. Expression of the

**Figure 4.** Expression of PnCO following nightbreak treatment. Five-day-old light-grown seedlings were transferred to darkness at time 0. Cotyledons were harvested for RNA isolation at the times indicated. The night break was given after 8 h of darkness.  $Poly(A)^+$  RNA preparations were analyzed by RNA gel blotting. The PnCO and CAB probes were as in Figure 2. 23S RNA served as loading control.

intron-free *PnCO* cDNA restored early flowering in LD-grown *co* mutants to the flowering time of wildtype plants (Fig. 7; Table I). The Arabidopsis *co* mutant transformed with the intron-less *PnCO* cDNA flowered also much earlier under SD than did the wild type and *co* mutants (Fig. 7; Table I). Flowering time was virtually unchanged in transgenic *co* plants expressing *PnCO* containing the long intron (Fig. 7; Table I). Transgenic plants expressing the *PnCO* cDNA with the short intron had a phenotype similar to that of plants transformed with *PnCO*(li) (data not shown). Examination by RT-PCR showed that the large intron was not spliced to yield intron-less *PnCO* (Fig. 8).

## **DISCUSSION**

## *PnCO* **Is an Ortholog of the Arabidopsis** *CO* **and Its Expression Is Photoperiodically Controlled**

The following evidence indicates that *PnCO* is a functional ortholog of the Arabidopsis *CO* gene: First, the *PnCO* gene encodes two highly conserved protein domains found in the *CO* gene of Arabidopsis (Putterill et al., 1995) and *Brassica* (Robert et al., 1998; Fig. 1), and, second, the *PnCO* cDNA complements the *co* mutation in Arabidopsis (Fig. 7; Table I).

*P. nil* is an SDP with a well-established daylength requirement (Imamura, 1967). A single 14-h dark period given to seedlings at the cotyledon stage is sufficient for full floral induction. Excision experiments have shown that complete induction is only obtained if the cotyledons remain attached to the plant in the dark or light for an additional 4 h, indicating that a saturating amount of the floral stimulus has moved out of the cotyledons by that time (Zeevaart, 1962). If differential expression of one or more genes is associated with photoperiodic induction in *P. nil* cotyledons, it must occur within the 14-h dark period. It is evident from our results that the expression pattern of *PnCO* is correlated with the daylength requirement of floral induction in *P. nil.*



1824 Plant Physiol. Vol. 125, 2001



**Figure 5.** The unspliced long intron of the PnCO(li) transcript. ATG and TGA indicate the start and stop codons in PnCO(ni), which encodes the protein shown in Figure 1. In PnCO(li) the open reading frame is interrupted between nucleotides 888 and 1,181 by the intron sequence shown above. This introduces the premature stop codon TAA (highlighted). A second open reading frame of 429 bp starts at the highlighted ATG and ends at the same stop codon as does the uninterrupted PnCO(ni). The short intron sequence in PnCO(si) is underlined. It results in a stop codon (TGA) between nucleotides 1,228 and 1,230.

*PnCO* mRNA accumulated to a high level after a long night of 14 to 20 h (Figs. 2, 3, and 6). Under noninductive conditions of 12 h of darkness or less, the expression of *PnCO* transcript was substantially lower than under inductive conditions. The simplest explanation is that this was due to the different durations of darkness, but it is also possible that reentrainment of the circadian rhythm by the shift from darkness to light in the 8 h of darkness  $+ 6$  h of light, 10 h of darkness  $+4$  h of light, and 12 h of darkness 1 2 h of light treatments prevented mRNA from reaching the same level as in the 14-h-of-darkness treatment.

In the facultative LDP Arabidopsis, expression of *CO* is promoted by LD (Putterill et al., 1995). Therefore, the *CO* gene appears to be the target of photoperiodic regulation in LDP and SDP. Although the correlation between photoperiodic induction and expression of *PnCO* is consistent, a night break during an inductive dark period did not have the expected results. Under such conditions flowering is completely inhibited. However, the level of *PnCO* mRNA exhibited little, if any, reduction (Figs. 3, 4, and 6) following a night break. Therefore, the night break does not appear to abolish flowering by reducing expression of *PnCO*.

*PnCO* exhibited a circadian pattern of expression upon transfer of *P. nil* from continuous light to continuous darkness (Fig. 2). *CO* in Arabidopsis is also subject to circadian control with a peak in mRNA abundance during the night (Valverde et al., 2000). Other flowering-time genes show circadian expression patterns as well (Hicks et al., 1996; Schaffer et al., 1998; Wang and Tobin, 1998; Fowler et al., 1999; Park et al., 1999). However, the 14-h peak in the accumulation of *PnCO* mRNA, which would have occurred in continuous darkness, was not observed following a noninductive dark period of 12 h or less (Fig. 3). Such a change in rhythmicity is inconsistent with *PnCO* being a true circadian-clock gene. Instead, it suggests the existence of a timing mechanism that monitors the night length in *P. nil* and regulates,

accordingly, transcription of photoperiodically controlled genes such as *PnCO.* This timing mechanism is not the same as that controlling the expression of the *CAB* gene because *CAB* responds differently to the daylength conditions used to examine expression of *PnCO* (Fig. 3).

#### **Some** *PnCO* **Transcripts Contain an Unspliced Intron**

Eighteen *PnCO* cDNAs of three distinct lengths were isolated from our cDNA library. Of these, 16 contained a complete, unspliced intron, one contained a truncated sequence of the same intron, and one was properly spliced with an open reading frame of the expected length. RT-PCR analysis confirmed that RNA preparations from *P. nil* cotyledons contained transcripts that corresponded to all three types of cDNAs. Both introns introduced an in-frame stop codon that would prematurely terminate translation of the respective mRNA. The resulting protein would lack the conserved C-terminal domain that contains a putative nuclear localization signal (Robert et al., 1998) and would not be targeted to the nucleus. The truncated protein derived from the intron-containing transcripts could, therefore, not act as a transcription factor, which is the proposed role of CO in Arabidopsis (Putterill et al., 1995).

#### *PnCO* **Complements the** *co* **Mutant of Arabidopsis**

We transformed the *co* mutant of Arabidopsis with the three types of *PnCO* cDNAs to determine the function of the proteins that they may encode. Whereas the two intron-containing cDNAs did not complement the *co* mutation, the intron-less cDNA did (Fig. 7; Table I). In LD, *co* mutants transformed with the intron-less cDNA flowered at the same time as did wild-type plants. In SD, expression of the intron-less *PnCO* cDNA also advanced flowering of *co* mutants (Fig. 7; Table I). The intron-less transcript encodes, therefore, a protein that is involved in de-



Figure 6. RNA gel-blot analysis of PnCO transcripts probed with the long intron or the intron-less PnCO(ni) cDNA. Five-day-old lightgrown seedlings were transferred to the light-dark regimes shown on the bar graphs. Cotyledons were harvested at the end of each dark period. Poly $(A)^+$  RNA preparations were analyzed by RNA gel blotting. Actin mRNA served as loading control. The blot was probed with the long intron and with the intron-free, full-length cDNA clone PnCO(ni). Plants that had been kept in uninterrupted darkness for 14 h developed six or seven flower buds after 1 month in continuous light. Plants that had received 8 h of darkness or 14 h of darkness with a night break after 8 h remained vegetative.

termining flowering time. Our results also support the previous conclusion that delayed flowering of wild-type Arabidopsis plants in SD is, at least in part, due to low levels of *CO* expression (Putterill et al., 1995; Simon et al., 1996).

The introns of Arabidopsis *CO* and *PnCO* are similar in length and are located at similar positions in the genes (Putterill et al., 1995; Fig. 5). Whereas the intron of *CO* is efficiently spliced, the intron of *PnCO* is not, in *P. nil* or in transgenic Arabidopsis plants (Fig. 8). This evidence points to the existence of a unique sequence structure in the intron of *PnCO* that attenuates splicing, rather than different splicing

mechanisms in the two plants. It is not known whether the intron-containing transcripts are translated and whether their protein products fulfill any function. The second longest open reading frame of the long-intron-containing RNA begins within the intron and codes for the highly conserved C-terminal domain of PnCO (Fig. 5). This protein could conceivably have some regulatory function. The presence of unspliced introns in mRNAs is not unusual. *FCA*, another gene whose product is also involved in controlling flowering time in Arabidopsis, also has variant transcripts with all or parts of intron 3 included (Macknight et al., 1997).

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Our results show that expression of the *CO* gene in *P. nil* is promoted by SD as it is by LD in Arabidopsis. Therefore, it can be assumed that the product of the *CO* gene mediates induction of flowering in plants with different photoperiodic responses. Our complementation experiments confirmed that the product of the *PnCO* gene fulfills the same function as that of *CO* in Arabidopsis. The intron in *PnCO* mRNA is not removed by splicing, and any proteins that may be derived from such transcripts are inactive in advancing flowering time in transgenic Arabidopsis plants. A number of questions arise from our work. What is the sequence of the promoter of the *CO* gene in *P. nil*? How does it compare with that of *CO* in Arabidopsis? Can one derive any conclusions from such a comparison with respect to the signal that mediates photoperiodic induction in both plants? What would be the effect of promoter swapping on the expression of the *CO* gene and on photoperiodic sensitivity in Arabidopsis? Supplementing ongoing work on the control of flowering in Arabidopsis with work using a SDP such as *P. nil* may shed light on processes of photoperiodic induction that are shared by LDPs and SDPs.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Plant Material and Growth Conditions**

Seeds of *Pharbitis nil* Choisy cv Violet were purchased from the Marutane Co. (Kyoto). The seeds were scarified in concentrated sulfuric acid for 1 h and were then rinsed in running tap water for 1 h. They were imbibed in aerated distilled water at 30°C overnight for approximately 17 h. Seeds with emerging radicles were selected for uniformity, planted in wet vermiculite, covered with a mixture of gravel and vermiculite, and kept in the dark at 32°C for 2 d. The seedlings were then transferred to a growth chamber and kept at 30°C under continuous cool-white fluorescent light (125  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) for 2 d after which they were grown at 23°C until photoperiodic treatments started. The photoperiodic conditions were as specified for each experiment. In some experiments the 14-h inductive dark period was interrupted after 8 h by 5 min of red light (15  $\mu$ mol



WT  $co-1$ 35S::PnCO(ni) 35S::PnCO(li)

Figure 7. Phenotypes of transgenic Arabidopsis plants. Plants were grown in SD (9 h of white fluorescent light supplemented with incandescent light) or in LD (9 h of the same light conditions as in SD and 15 h of continued incandescent light). The plants in LD were 18 d old, those in SD were 35 d old. The transgenic plants were all in the Columbia co background: 35S::PnCO(ni) did not contain an intron; 35S::PnCO(li) contained the long intron.

 $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>). This night break eliminated induction of flowering. Plants were scored approximately 1 month after the photoperiodic treatment for the presence of terminal and axillary flowers or vegetative buds.

The *co-1* mutant of Arabidopsis, accession Columbia, was obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resources Center (Ohio State University) and has been described previously (Rédei, 1962; Koornneef et al., 1991). Arabidopsis seeds were surface sterilized with  $50\%$  (v/v) bleach containing  $0.02\%$  (v/v) Triton X-100 for 7 min and after rinses in sterilized, distilled water, they were spread onto plates containing one-half-strength Murashige and Skoog salts (2.16 g/L; Gibco-BRL, Rockville, MD), 1% (w/v) Suc, and  $0.8\%$  (w/v) agar. After cold treatment at 4°C in the dark for 3 d, the seeds were allowed to germinate for a week at 23°C in a SD or LD growth chamber. Seedlings were then selected for uniformity and transferred to soil before being returned to the respective growth chambers. The SD photoperiod was 9 h of a combination of white fluorescent and weak incandescent lights at 140  $\mu$ mol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> and 15 h of darkness; LD conditions were 9 h of the same light regime as in the SD

Transformant Lines	Ratio of Kanamycin- Resistant Seedlings in $T_2^{\ a}$	Ratio of Kanamycin- Resistant Seedlings in $T_3^{\ b}$	Average Leaf No. at Flowering of $T_3$ Plants <sup>c</sup>	
			LD	<b>SD</b>
PnCO(ni)				
$1 - 4$	2.8/1	1/0	$5.5 \pm 0.5$	$10.8 \pm 1.1$
$2 - 1$	2.5/1	1/0	$5.6 \pm 0.5$	$11.5 \pm 1.4$
$3 - 2$	3.1/1	1/0	$5.4 \pm 0.7$	$10.9 \pm 1.1$
$4 - 4$	3.3/1	1/0	$5.5 \pm 0.6$	$12.3 \pm 1.5$
PnCO(1)				
$2 - 8$	3.2/1	1/0	$8.7 \pm 1.0$	$23.0 \pm 3.2$
$3 - 1$	3.2/1	1/0	$8.9 \pm 0.8$	$19.2 \pm 3.8$
Columbia			$5.0 \pm 0.4$	$37.5 \pm 7.1$
co1			$9.7 \pm 0.9$	$20.6 \pm 3.6$

**Table I.** Flowering time and segregation of kanamycin resistance in the progeny of Arabidopsis co-1 mutant plants transformed with the Pharbitis nil CO ortholog PnCO

<sup>a</sup> Over 80 plants were tested. b Over 40 plants were tested. <sup>c</sup> No. of rosette leaves at the time when the first flower bud appeared in the rosette. Sixteen plants from each transformant line were tested. The values given are  $\pm$ sE.

chamber, followed by 15 h of incandescent light (15  $\mu$ mol  $m^{-2}$  s<sup>-1</sup>) at 20°C.

## **Isolation of Nucleic Acids**

Genomic DNA was isolated from *P. nil* and Arabidopsis according to Doyle and Doyle (1990) and total RNA was isolated according to Verwoerd et al. (1989). The PolyATtract kit (Promega, Madison, WI) was used to enrich  $poly(A)^+$  RNA.

![](_page_7_Figure_7.jpeg)

**Figure 8.** RT-PCR analysis of PnCO expression in transgenic Arabidopsis plants.  $Poly(A)^+$  RNA preparations used for reverse transcription were isolated from aerial parts of plants harvested at the time when the first flower bud appeared in the rosette. Primers from the regions flanking the intron (TN178 and TN179, see Fig. 1) were used for the RT-PCR reaction. The PCR products were separated on a 2% (w/v) agarose gel. Lanes 1 and 2 are control reactions (without added reverse transcriptase) for the reactions in lanes 3 and 4, respectively. Lane 3, RT-PCR products from plants transformed with 35S::PnCO(li). Lane 4, RT-PCR product from plants transformed with 35S::PnCO(ni). Lane 5, Reference DNA amplified with the same primer set from the plasmids PnCO(ni), PnCO(si), and PnCO(li). The upper band 1 kb in length corresponds to DNA derived from Pn-CO(li); the lower band is about 700 bp in length and corresponds to PnCO(si) and PnCO(ni), which are 26 bp apart.

#### **Isolation of** *PnCO*

Total RNA was isolated from cotyledons of *P. nil* after various photoperiodic treatments. Following reverse transcription, gene expression was analyzed by differential display (Liang and Pardee, 1992) using the RNAimage kit (GenHunter, Nashville, TN). Two differentially displayed cDNA bands, 178 and 179, were identified using the primers  $H-T_{11}$ -G and  $H$ -AP62 (AAGCTTGCAAGTT). The cDNA fragments were cloned into a TA vector (Promega). The 178 and 179 clones were later determined to derive from the same gene, *PnCO*.

A cDNA library was constructed using  $poly(A)^+$  RNA isolated from the same tissue samples as used for the differential display. cDNAs were unidirectionally inserted between the *Eco*RI and *Xho*I sites of the Uni-ZAP XR phage vector (Stratagene, La Jolla, CA). Full-length cDNA clones were isolated from the library using the cloned differential display product as a probe. The nucleotide sequences were determined at the Michigan State University DNA sequencing facility.

#### **RNA Gel-Blot Analysis**

One microgram of  $poly(A)^+$  RNA was subjected to electrophoresis on formaldehyde-agarose gels and transferred to Hybond N+ nylon membrane (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ). RNA was bound to the membrane by cross-linking with UV. The *PnCO* probe was, unless otherwise stated, a 715-bp cDNA fragment from the divergent region located between the two highly conserved domains (Fig. 1). This fragment (between nucleotides 343 and 1,057) was amplified by PCR from the intron-free cDNA clone *PnCO*(ni) with TN178 (5'-CACCGTGTCCCGATTCTG) and TN179 (5'-GAACTCTGGCCTCCCTGTCC) as primers. The intron probe was amplified by PCR from *PnCO*(li), the cDNA clone containing the long intron, with TN182 (5'-GAGAATT-TTAACACGGGATA) and JZ203 (5'-CCTGGTAACCCAA-AATCACA) as primers. The *CAB* probe was generated from an Arabidopsis expressed sequence tag clone (GenBank accession no. TI3309). Labeling of the probes, hybridization, and washing were as described by Liu et al. (1999). Probes were used at similar specific radioactivities. X-ray film (Amersham) was exposed to the blot hybridized with *PnCO* for about 20 h, and to the same blot hybridized with *CAB*, 23S rRNA, or an actin probe for 0.5 to 2 h.

## **RT-PCR Analysis**

For first-strand cDNA synthesis, 20 ng of  $poly(A)^+$  RNA was copied using an oligo dT primer and reverse transcriptase (Gibco-BRL) according to the manufacturer's instructions. A 2- $\mu$ L aliquot of the 20- $\mu$ L reaction mixture was used in a  $50-\mu$ L PCR mixture. To detect the RNA species with the long and short intron, the TN178 and TN179 primers, which flank the intron site, were used. PCR was terminated after 20 cycles while the amplification was still in the exponential phase. To specifically detect the intronfree RNA, the TN178 primer and a primer that spans the intron (JZ399, 5'-AAATGGAGAC-CATATCCCGT, with the hyphen indicating the intron position) were used.

## **Analysis of Transgenic Arabidopsis Plants**

A plant transformation vector, pBI121 (CLONTECH, Palo Alto, CA), was chosen for the transformation experiments. All three types of *PnCO* cDNA clones isolated from the *P. nil* cDNA library were subcloned as follows. First, the entire cDNA sequences were amplified using *Pfu* polymerase (Promega) with the reverse primer and T7 primer located on the vector (pBluescript) arms of the clones. The sequences were cloned into a TA cloning vector (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and released by *Xba*I and *Sac*I. The released sequences were then inserted into the *Xba*I/*Sac*I site of pBI121. After confirmation of the sequences, *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* C58C1 (pGV3101; Koncz and Schell, 1986) was transformed with the sense constructs p*PnCO*(ni), p*PnCO*(si), and p*PnCO*(li). The T-DNA with the *PnCO* insert or the vector alone was introduced into the *co* mutant of Arabidopsis as described by Clough and Bent (1998).

Kanamycin resistance was used to identify primary transformants, single-insertion lines, and homozygous families. Early flowering was an additional trait seen in a majority of primary transformants carrying p*PnCO*(ni) and was also used to trace genetic segregation.

Flowering time was measured as described by Koornneef et al. (1991), counting the number of rosette leaves at the time when the first flower bud appeared and the number of days from sowing to the appearance of the first flower bud.

Received September 8, 2000; returned for revision October 30, 2000; accepted December 18, 2000.

## **LITERATURE CITED**

**Clough SJ, Bent AF** (1998) Floral dip: a simplified method for *Agrobacterium*-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant J **16:** 735–743

**Doyle JJ, Doyle JL** (1990) Isolation of plant DNA from fresh tissue. Focus **12:** 13–15

- **Fowler S, Lee K, Onouchi H, Samach A, Richardson K, Morris B, Coupland G, Putterill J** (1999) *GIGANTEA*: a circadian clock-controlled gene that regulates photoperiodic flowering in *Arabidopsis* and encodes a protein with several membrane-spanning domains. EMBO J **18:** 4679–4688
- **Hicks KA, Millar AJ, Carre IA, Somers DE, Straume M, Meeks-Wagner DR, Kay SA** (1996) Conditional circadian dysfunction of the *Arabidopsis early-flowering 3* mutant. Science **274:** 790–792
- **Imamura S** (1967) Photoperiodic induction and the floral stimulus. *In* S Imamura, ed, Physiology of Flowering in *Pharbitis nil*. Japanese Society of Plant Physiologists, Tokyo, pp 15–28
- **Koncz C, Schell J** (1986)) The promoter of  $T_L$ -DNA gene 5 controls the tissue-specific expression of chimeric genes carried by a novel type of *Agrobacterium* binary vector. Mol Gen Genet **204:** 383–396
- **Koornneef M, Alonso-Blanco C, Peeters AJM, Soppe W** (1998) Genetic control of flowering in *Arabidopsis*. Annu Rev Plant Physiol Plant Mol Biol **49:** 345–370
- **Koornneef M, Hanhart CJ, van der Veen JH** (1991) A genetic and physiological analysis of late-flowering mutants in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Mol Gen Genet **229:** 57–66
- **Lang A** (1965) Physiology of flower initiation. *In* W Ruhland, ed, Handbuch der Pflanzenphysiologie, Vol. XV/1. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 1380–1536
- **Lay-Yee M, Sachs RM, Reid MS** (1987) Changes in cotyledon mRNA during floral induction of *Pharbitis nil* cv. Violet. Planta **171:** 104–109
- **Levy YY, Dean C** (1998) The transition of flowering. Plant Cell **10:** 1973–1989
- **Liang P, Pardee AB** (1992) Differential display of eukaryotic messenger RNA by means of the polymerase chain reaction. Science **257:** 967–971
- **Liu JY, Huang YH, Ding B, Tauer CG** (1999) cDNA cloning and expression of a sweetgum gene that shows homology with *Arabidopsis AGAMOUS*. Plant Sci **142:** 73–82
- **Macknight R, Bancroft I, Page T, Lister C, Schmidt R, Love K, Westphal L, Murphy G, Sherson S, Cobbett C et al.** (1997) *FCA*, a gene controlling flowering time in *Arabidopsis*, encodes a protein containing RNA-binding domains. Cell **89:** 737–745
- **Millar AJ, Short SR, Hiratsuka K, Chua N-H, Kay SA** (1992) Firefly luciferase as a reporter of regulated gene expression in higher plants. Plant Mol Biol Rep **10:** 324–337
- **Nilsson O, Lee I, Bla´zquez MA, Weigel D** (1998) Flowering-time genes modulate the response to *LEAFY* activity. Genetics **150:** 403–410
- **O'Neill SD, Zhang XS, Zheng CC** (1994) Dark and circadian regulation of mRNA accumulation in the short-day plant *Pharbitis nil*. Plant Physiol **104:** 569–580
- **Ono M, Sage-Ono K, Inoue M, Kamada H, Harada H** (1996) Transient increase in the level of mRNA for a germin-like protein in leaves of the short-day plant *Pharbitis nil* during the photoperiodic induction of flowering. Plant Cell Physiol **37:** 855–861
- **Ono M, Sage-Ono K, Yasui M, Okazaki M, Harada H** (1993) Changes in polypeptides in *Pharbitis* cotyledons during the first flower-inductive photoperiod. Plant Sci **89:** 135–145
- **Park DH, Somers DE, Kim YS, Choy YH, Lim HK, Soh MS, Kim HJ, Kay SA, Nam HG** (1999) Control of circadian rhythms and photoperiodic flowering by the *Arabidopsis GIGANTEA* gene. Science **285:** 1579–1582
- **Putterill J, Robson F, Lee K, Simon R, Coupland G** (1995) The *CONSTANS* gene of *Arabidopsis* promotes flowering and encodes a protein showing similarities to zinc finger transcription factors. Cell **80:** 847–857
- **Rédei GP** (1962) Supervital mutants of *Arabidopsis*. Genetics **47:** 443–460
- **Robert LS, Robson F, Sharpe A, Lydiate D, Coupland G** (1998) Conserved structure and function of the *Arabidopsis* flowering time gene *CONSTANS* in *Brassica napus*. Plant Mol Biol **37:** 763–772
- **Sage-Ono K, Ono M, Harada H, Kamada H** (1998) Accumulation of a clock-regulated transcript during flowerinductive darkness in *Pharbitis nil*. Plant Physiol **116:** 1479–1485
- **Schaffer R, Ramsay N, Samach A, Corden S, Putterill J, Carre´ IA, Coupland G** (1998) The *late elongated hypocotyl* mutation of *Arabidopsis* disrupts circadian rhythms and the photoperiodic control of flowering. Cell **93:** 1219–1229
- **Simon R, Igeno MI, Coupland G** (1996) Activation of floral meristem identity genes in *Arabidopsis*. Nature **384:** 59–62
- **Thompson JD, Higgins DG, Gibson TJ** (1994) CLUSTAL W: improving the sensitivity of progressive multiple se-

quence alignment through sequence weighting, positionspecific gap penalties and weight matrix choice. Nucleic Acids Res **22:** 4673–4680

- **Valverde F, Suarez-Lopez P, Costa M, Coupland** (2000) Pattern of expression of CONSTANS protein. Presented at the 11th International Conference on Arabidopsis Research, June 24–28, 2000, Madison, WI. Abstract no. 327
- **Verwoerd TC, Dekker BMM, Hoekema A** (1989) A smallscale procedure for the rapid isolation of plant RNAs. Nucleic Acids Res **17:** 2362
- **Vince-Prue D, Gressel J** (1985) *Pharbitis nil. In* AH Halevy, ed, Handbook of Flowering, Vol IV. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, pp 47–81
- **Wang Z-Y, Tobin EM** (1998) Constitutive expression of the *CIRCADIAN CLOCK ASSOCIATED 1* (*CCA1*) gene disrupts circadian rhythms and suppresses its own expression. Cell **93:** 1207–1217
- **Zeevaart JAD** (1962) Physiology of flowering. Science **137:** 723–731
- **Zeevaart JAD** (1976) Physiology of flower formation. Annu Rev Plant Physiol **27:** 321–348
- **Zheng CC, Bui AQ, O'Neill SD** (1993) Abundance of an mRNA encoding a high-mobility group DNA-binding protein is regulated by light and endogenous rhythm. Plant Mol Biol **23:** 813–823
- **Zheng CC, Porat R, Lu PZ, O'Neill SD** (1998) *PNZIP* is a novel mesophyll-specific cDNA that is regulated by phytochrome and a circadian rhythm and encodes a protein with a leucine zipper motif. Plant Physiol **116:** 27–35