Review began 01/19/2022 Review ended 01/25/2022 Published 01/30/2022

© Copyright 2022

Chinoy et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License CC-BY 4.0., which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Serum Vitamin D Levels in Patients With Distal Radius Fractures Presenting to a Tertiary Care Hospital

Muhammad Amin Chinoy¹, Kazim Hussain¹, Salman Javed¹

1. Orthopaedics, The Indus Hospital Karachi, Karachi, PAK

Corresponding author: Kazim Hussain, ikaxim@gmail.com

Abstract

Objective

To compare the frequency of serum vitamin D levels in patients with and without distal radius fracture.

Introduction

Hypovitaminosis D is a common problem worldwide. Deficiency of vitamin D is not only associated with musculoskeletal problems, but also with chronic diseases like diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease and cancer. Vitamin D deficiency results in bone pain, aggravating osteoporosis and fragility fractures. Distal radius fractures are common upper limb fractures, mostly in the elderly population. Low serum levels of vitamin D have been reported to result in increased risk of distal radius fracture.

Methodology

This study was conducted at The Indus Hospital Karachi, from 1st March 2020 to 31st August 2020. Consecutive non-probability sampling was done. Patients aged between 20 to 80 years with and without distal radius fractures were enrolled and serum vitamin D levels were compared.

Results

A total of 130 patients were enrolled in this study, out of which 70 (53.8%) were males. The median (IQR) vitamin D, calcium, alkaline phosphate level, and albumin was 14.8 (9.5-23) ng/ml, 9.2 (8.9-9.5) ng/ml, 91 (77.3-111) U/L, and 4.2 (4-4.5) g/dl, respectively. No significant association between distal radius fracture and vitamin D levels was found in males, whereas a significantly higher proportion of females with no fracture had a mild-moderate deficiency in comparison to females with fracture.

Conclusion

No significant association was noted between patients with and without distal radius fracture and vitamin D levels, however, we recommend more studies on this topic so that more comprehensive results can be obtained.

Categories: Orthopedics, Trauma

Keywords: alkaline phosphate, calcium, fractures, distal radius, vitamin d

Introduction

Vitamin D (Vit D) deficiency and insufficiency are common problems worldwide and in Pakistan [1]. Serum levels of less than 20ng/ml are termed a deficiency, while levels between 20ng/ml and 29.9ng/ml are insufficient [2]. Low levels of Vit D cause musculoskeletal problems and are also associated with chronic diseases like diabetes mellitus, cardiovascular disease, and cancer [3]. Age, gender, low exposure to sunlight, inadequate dietary Vit D, and lower socioeconomic status are associated with Vit D deficiency and insufficiencies [1]. Vit D deficiency is directly associated with bone pain, aggravating osteoporosis, and fragility fractures [4]. Vit D regulates over 200 genes in the human body [5]. Serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D level is the best marker of Vit D status [6, 7].

A major source of Vit D is sunlight, which converts 7-dehydrocholesterol in the stratum spinosum and stratum basale of the skin's epidermis to pre-cholecalciferol, which is further converted to form cholecalciferol. Cholecalciferol undergoes the first hydroxylation in the liver and then the second hydroxylation in kidneys to form the active form of vitamin D [8]. Socio-cultural factors such as confinement to indoor, pardah culture, and economic factors resulting in insufficient micronutrients from dietary sources are postured to be the reason for low Vit D in our country [8].

Vit D deficiencies in patients with osteoporotic fractures are common [9], especially in elderly individuals. Literature has shown that daily supplements of Vit D and adequate levels reduce the risk of falling and fragility fractures [6] and reduce the risk of a second fracture within three years [10] mainly in the elderly population, however, data regarding the younger age group is scantly available.

Distal radius fractures are the common upper limb fractures [11], and in recent years the incidence of the distal radius has increased worldwide [12]. With low serum levels of Vit D in the elderly population, there is an increased risk of distal radius fracture [6] and a subsequent hip or spine fracture [10]. However, irrespective of age, the association between Vit D deficiency and distal radius fracture is not reported. Therefore, we set out to compare Vit D levels in patients presenting with distal radius fracture and a control group to determine the role of Vit D in distal radius fractures. To the best of our knowledge, no study has been conducted to evaluate serum levels of Vit D in patients with distal radius fractures in our region. This can help us identify patients at an increased risk of fractures in the future and can be referred to a specialist clinic for assessment, evaluation, and therapy to prevent many other complications.

Materials And Methods

The study was conducted at The Indus Hospital Karachi, a tertiary care hospital, from 1st March 2020 to 31st August 2020 after approval of the ethical review board. The sample size was calculated using the WHO sample size calculator and 130 patients using the assumptions, frequency of Vitamin D insufficiency reported previously 31.4% (Level of confidence 95% and margin of error 8%). Consecutive non-probability sampling was done on patients visiting emergency and outpatient departments.

The participants included were between age 20 and 80 years of both genders. Two groups were made, one group had a history of fall or a history of trauma with distal radius fracture (intraarticular and extra-articular fracture), diagnosed using X-rays, and second group patients who do not have a distal radius fracture presenting for some other orthopaedic-related issue to The Indus Hospital, Karachi. Patients with any known metabolic disorders (e.g., rickets, osteomalacia, hyperparathyroidism, chronic kidney disease, etc.), open fractures, pregnant women, and those already on vitamin D and calcium supplements during the last three months were excluded from the study. Once an eligible participant was identified, the study details were carefully discussed, and informed consent was taken. After ensuring the consent, a questionnaire was filled which includes serial number, age, medical record number, gender, any other supplements intake during the last three months, comorbidities (as verified from their history), serum Vit D levels, and levels of other secondary tests (Calcium, Alkaline Phosphatase, and Albumin). All the investigations were done routinely in the hospital without any charges from the patients.

Data was entered and analyzed using SPSS version 24.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY). Mean ± SD/Median (IQR) was computed for all the quantitative variables like age, height, weight, BMI, serum Vit D, calcium, alkaline phosphatase, albumin, and duration of sun exposure per day. Frequency and percentage were computed for all the categorical variables like gender, occupation, comorbidities, smoking status, and menopause. Effect modifiers were controlled through stratification of age, gender, BMI, comorbidity, smoking status, duration of sun exposure per day, albumin, and menopause. P-value<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

A total of 130 patients were enrolled in this study, out of which 70 (53.8%) were males. The median (IQR) of age and BMI of all the participants were 41 (30.8-51) years and 25.5 (23.7-28) kg/m², respectively. The majority of the participants were employed (n=51; 41.1%), and 49 (39.5%) were housewives (Table 1).

Age (Years)	
Min-Max	20-79
Median (IQR)	41 (30.8-51)
Weight (kg)	
Min-Max	44-120
Median (IQR)	75 (67-80)
Height (cm)	
Min-Max	117-183.5
Median (IQR)	170 (161.8-175)
BMI (kg/m ²)	
Min-Max	16.1-46.6

Median (IQR)	25.5 (23.7-28)
Duration of sun exposure (hours)	
Min-Max	0.1-9
Median (IQR)	1 (0.5-2)
Gender; n (%)	
Male	70 (53.8)
Female	60 (46.2)
Total	130 (100)
Menopause (for females); n (%)	
No	44 (33.8)
Yes	16 (12.3)
Missing	70 (53.8)
Total	130 (100)
Addiction; n (%)	
Smoker	16 (12.3)
Occasional Smoker	12 (9.2)
Non-Smoker	102 (75.5)
Total	130 (100)
Comorbidity; n (%)	
No	81 (62.3)
Yes	49 (37.7)
-Diabetes	14 (10.4)
-Hypertension	23 (17)
-Other	17 (12.6)
Distal Radius Fracture; n (%)	
Extra-articular	31 (23.8)
Intra-articular	34 (26.2)
No distal radius fracture	65 (50)
Total	130 (100)
Occupation; n (%)	
Employed	51 (41.1)
Unemployed	12 (9.7)
Housewife	49 (39.5)
Students	12 (9.7)

TABLE 1: Demographic characteristics of participants (n=130)

It was observed that the participants had very minimal exposure to the sun [Median (IQR): 1 (0.5-2) hours] (Table 1). However, the median (IQR) Vit D, calcium, alkaline phosphate level, and albumin were 14.8 (9.5-

23) ng/ml, 9.2 (8.9-9.5) ng/ml, 91 (77.3-111) U/L, and 4.2 (4-4.5) g/dl, respectively (Table 2).

Million in Discol (as for b	
Vitamin D level (ng/ml)	
Min-Max	3.8-154.2
Median (IQR)	14.8 (9.5-23)
Calcium level (mg/dl)	
Min-Max	3.7-10.2
Median (IQR)	9.2 (8.9-9.5)
Alkaline Phosphatase level (U/L)	
Min-Max	42-470
Median (IQR)	91 (77.3-111)
Albumin level (g/dl)	
Min-Max	2.3-9.8
Median (IQR)	4.2 (4-4.5)
Vitamin D level	n%
Severe deficiency	33 (25.4)
Normal	72 (55.4)
Mild to moderate deficiency	22 (16.9)
Toxicity	3 (2.3)
Total	130 (100)

TABLE 2: Laboratory findings of the participants

Furthermore, no significant association between distal radius fracture and Vit D levels was found in males. A significantly higher proportion of females with no fracture had a mild-moderate deficiency than females with fracture (n=9; 29% vs. 1; 3.4%, p=0.032, Table 3). A similar pattern was seen in patients of age \leq 41 years, BMI \leq 25 kg/m², females with no menopause, and albumin >4.2. Also, the results were statistically significant (p=0.012, 0.003, 0.014 and 0.010, respectively, Table 3).

		Distal Radius	Distal Radius Fracture		
Participants' characteristics	Vit D levels	Yes	No	Total	p-value
		n (%)	n (%)		
Gender					
	Severe deficiency	6(16.7)	5(14.7)	11(15.7)	
	Normal	27(75)	19(55.9)	46(65.7)	
Male	Mild to moderate deficiency	3(8.3)	9(26.5)	12(17.1)	0.116□
	Toxicity	0(0)	1(2.9)	1(1.4)	
	Total	36(100)	34(100)	70(100)	
	Severe deficiency	11(37.9)	11(35.5)	22(36.7)	
	Normal	16(55.2)	10(32.3)	26(43.3)	
Female	Mild to moderate deficiency	1(3.4)	9(29)b	10(16.7)	0.032*□

	Toxicity	1(3.4)	1(3.2)	2(3.3)	
	Total	29(100)	31(100)	60(100)	
Age in years					
≤41	Severe deficiency	9(30)	9(24.3)	18(26.9)	
	Normal	21(70)	18(48.6)	39(58.2)	
	Mild to moderate deficiency	0(0)	8(21.6)b	8(11.9)	0.012*□
	Toxicity	0(0)	2(5.4)	2(3)	
	Total	30(100)	37(100)	67(100)	
	Severe deficiency	8(22.9)	7(25)	15(23.8)	
	Normal	22(62.9)	11(39.3)	33(52.4)	
>41	Mild to moderate deficiency	4(11.4)	10(35.7)	14(22.2)	0.067
	Toxicity	1(2.9)	0(0)	1(1.6)	
	Total	35(100)	28(100)	63(100)	
BMI category					
	Severe deficiency	9(29)	4(13.8)	13(21.7)	
	Normal	21(67.7)	15(51.7)	36(60)	
≤25	Mild to moderate deficiency	0(0)	9(31)b	9(15)	0.003*□
	Toxicity	1(3.2)	1(3.4)	2(3.3)	
	Total	31(100)	29(100)	60(100)	
	Severe deficiency	8(23.5)	12(33.3)	20(28.6)	
	Normal	22(64.7)	14(38.9)	36(51.4)	
>25	Mild to moderate deficiency	4(11.8)	9(25)	13(18.6)	0.112□
	Toxicity	0(0)	1(2.8)	1(1.4)	
	Total	34(100)	36(100)	70(100)	
Menopause (for females)					
	Severe deficiency	9(42.9)	8(34.8)	17(38.6)	
	Severe deficiency Normal	9(42.9) 12(57.1)	8(34.8) 7(30.4)	17(38.6) 19(43.2)	
No			. ,		0.014* 🗆
Νο	Normal	12(57.1)	7(30.4)	19(43.2)	0.014*□
No	Normal Mild to moderate deficiency	12(57.1) 0(0)	7(30.4) 7(30.4)B	19(43.2) 7(15.9)	0.014*□
No	Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity	12(57.1) 0(0) 0(0)	7(30.4) 7(30.4)B 1(4.3)	19(43.2) 7(15.9) 1(2.3)	0.014*□
No	Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity Total	12(57.1) 0(0) 0(0) 21(100)	7(30.4) 7(30.4)B 1(4.3) 23(100)	19(43.2) 7(15.9) 1(2.3) 44(100)	0.014*□
No	Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity Total Severe deficiency	12(57.1) 0(0) 0(0) 21(100) 2(25)	7(30.4) 7(30.4)B 1(4.3) 23(100) 3(37.5)	19(43.2) 7(15.9) 1(2.3) 44(100) 5(31.3)	0.014*.
	Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity Total Severe deficiency Normal	12(57.1) 0(0) 0(0) 21(100) 2(25) 4(50)	7(30.4) 7(30.4)B 1(4.3) 23(100) 3(37.5) 3(37.5)	19(43.2) 7(15.9) 1(2.3) 44(100) 5(31.3) 7(43.8)	
	Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity Total Severe deficiency Normal Mild to moderate deficiency	12(57.1) 0(0) 0(0) 21(100) 2(25) 4(50) 1(12.5)	7(30.4) 7(30.4)B 1(4.3) 23(100) 3(37.5) 3(37.5) 2(25)	19(43.2) 7(15.9) 1(2.3) 44(100) 5(31.3) 7(43.8) 3(18.8)	
	Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity Total Severe deficiency Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity	12(57.1) 0(0) 0(0) 21(100) 2(25) 4(50) 1(12.5) 1(12.5)	7(30.4) 7(30.4)B 1(4.3) 23(100) 3(37.5) 3(37.5) 2(25) 0(0)	19(43.2) 7(15.9) 1(2.3) 44(100) 5(31.3) 7(43.8) 3(18.8) 1(6.3)	
Yes	Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity Total Severe deficiency Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity	12(57.1) 0(0) 0(0) 21(100) 2(25) 4(50) 1(12.5) 1(12.5)	7(30.4) 7(30.4)B 1(4.3) 23(100) 3(37.5) 3(37.5) 2(25) 0(0)	19(43.2) 7(15.9) 1(2.3) 44(100) 5(31.3) 7(43.8) 3(18.8) 1(6.3)	
Yes	Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity Total Severe deficiency Normal Mild to moderate deficiency Toxicity Total	12(57.1) 0(0) 21(100) 2(25) 4(50) 1(12.5) 8(100)	7(30.4) 7(30.4)B 1(4.3) 23(100) 3(37.5) 2(25) 0(0) 8(100)	19(43.2) 7(15.9) 1(2.3) 44(100) 5(31.3) 7(43.8) 3(18.8) 1(6.3) 16(100)	

Toxidiy ToxidiyNormNormNormNormAluminSever deficiency62.1052.00052.000AutaminSever deficiency62.1052.00052.000AutaminSever deficiency63.000102.00052.000AutaminSever deficiency63.000102.00052.000AutaminSever deficiency63.000102.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency63.00071.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency63.00071.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency63.00071.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency63.00071.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency63.00071.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency63.00071.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency63.00071.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency63.00071.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency102.0071.00071.000AutaminSever deficiency102.0071.00071.000Autamin71.00071.00071.00071.000Autamin71.00071.00071.00071.000Autamin71.00071.00071.00071.000Autamin71.00071.00071.00071.000Autamin71.00071.00071.00071.000Autamin71.00071.00071.00071.000Autami		Toxicity	1(2.6)	1(2.4)	2(2.5)	
Image in the series of the s		Total	38(100)	41(100)	79(100)	
1 Harman (1)1 (1) </td <td></td> <td>Severe deficiency</td> <td>7(25.9)</td> <td>4(16.7)</td> <td>11(21.6)</td> <td></td>		Severe deficiency	7(25.9)	4(16.7)	11(21.6)	
heinitableform		Normal	20(74.1)	11(45.8)b	31(60.8)	
IndiantIndiantIndiantIndiantIndiantAluantSeven definitionSeven definitionSeven definitionSeven definitionAnadoSeven definitionSeven definitionSeven definitionS	> 1 hour	Mild to moderate deficiency	0(0)	8(33.3)b	8(15.7)	0.003*□
AlwamiSelection of the selection of t		Toxicity	0(0)	1(4.2)	1(2)	
Image: set of the		Total	27(100)	24(100)	51(100)	
Image in the section of the se	Albumin					
AlternationIndependent of the sectorIndependent of the sector		Severe deficiency	9(28.1)	12(30.8)	21(29.6)	
IndiantIndi		Normal	19(59.4)	16(41)	35(49.3)	
<table-row>Indiant<td>≤4.2</td><td>Mild to moderate deficiency</td><td>3(9.4)</td><td>10(25.6)</td><td>13(18.3)</td><td>0.253</td></table-row>	≤4.2	Mild to moderate deficiency	3(9.4)	10(25.6)	13(18.3)	0.253
Normal NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar NormalNormal Calcar Calcar NormalNormal Calcar Calcar NormalNormal Calcar Calcar NormalNormal Calcar Calcar NormalNormal Calcar Calcar Calcar Normal Calcar Normal Calcar Normal Calcar Normal Calcar Normal Calcar Normal Calcar Calcar Normal Normal Nor		Toxicity	1(3.1)	1(2.6)	2(2.8)	
AmailSet (and the set of the s		Total	32(100)	39(100)	71(100)	
Add in moderate deficiencyInitialRank (Rischer (Rischer (Rischer Rischer (Rischer (Rischer Rischer (Rischer<		Severe deficiency	8(24.2)	4(15.4)	12(20.3)	
IndependenceIndependenceIndependenceIndependenceAnalogeIndependenceIndependenceIndependenceA		Normal	24(72.7)	13(50)	37(62.7)	
TotalSolutionSolutionSolutionCalcium leadSolution<	>4.2	Mild to moderate deficiency	1(3)	8(30.8)b	9(15.3)	0.010*□
CachameterKernerK		Toxicity	0(0)	1(3.8)	1(1.7)	
Bevere deficiency 1032.30 128.90 210.00 Normal 168.10 174.70 36.07 Mild to moderate deficiency 66.50 102.30 121.70 Toxicity 163.00 100.00 10.01 10.01 Toxicity 10100 201.00 10.01 10.01 Mind 10000 201.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 Severe deficiency 100.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 Mild to moderate deficiency 101.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 Severe deficiency 101.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 Toxicity 101.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 Toxicity 101.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 Severe deficiency 101.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 Severe deficiency 103.00 201.00 201.00 201.00 201.00		Total	33(100)	26(100)	59(100)	
InemationInteractIntera	Calcium level					
And the moderate deficiency26.5.01026.3.021.01.021.01.0Toxiciy51.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Tota50.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency50.00.050.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency50.00.050.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency50.00.050.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency61.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Ataline phosphate level50.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency51.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency51.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency51.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency51.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency51.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency51.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency51.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0Severe deficiency51.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.051.00.0 </td <td></td> <td>Severe deficiency</td> <td>10(32.3)</td> <td>11(28.9)</td> <td>21(30.4)</td> <td></td>		Severe deficiency	10(32.3)	11(28.9)	21(30.4)	
Index ToxicityIndexIndex ToxicityIndex ToxicityIndexIndexIndex ToxicityIndex ToxicityIndex		Normal	18(58.1)	17(44.7)	35(50.7)	
TailStandStandStandStandFailFailStandStandStandStandSever deficiencyStandStandStandStandStandMind moderate deficiencyStandStandStandStandStandTaicityOnStandStandStandStandStandAtalane phosphate levelStandStandStandStandStandMind moderate deficiencyStandStandStandStandStandAtaine phosphate levelStandStandStandStandStandMind moderate deficiencyStand	≤9.2	Mild to moderate deficiency	2(6.5)	10(26.3)	12(17.4)	0.106 🗆
AnnalNomaN		Toxicity	1(3.2)	0(0)	1(1.4)	
Nomal2673.01244.40760.7.1Midn moderate deficiency26.9.020.9.0101.0.1Toxiciy0027.0.020.0.120.0.1Total210021.0.021.0.121.0.1Akaine phosphate level52.0.121.2.121.2.121.2.1Mind moderate deficiency121.2.184.2.1152.7.114.3.1Severe deficiency21.2.121.3.121.3.114.3.1Mid to moderate deficiency13.113.1.114.3.111.2.1Toxity13.113.1.113.1.114.3.114.3.1Severe deficiency13.1.113.1.113.1.114.3.114.3.1Mind moderate deficiency13.1.113.1.114.3.114.3.1Severe deficiency13.1.113.1.113.1.114.3.1Severe deficiency13.1.113.1.1 <td></td> <td>Total</td> <td>31(100)</td> <td>38(100)</td> <td>69(100)</td> <td></td>		Total	31(100)	38(100)	69(100)	
And and a biaseAnd a biaseAnd a biaseMid noderate deficiency60.060.00.04.0Txiciy01.00.01.00.01.00.01.0Alaine phosphate level50.0070.00.061.00.0100.0Anana20.00.070.00.0100.00100.0100.0Alaine phosphate level50.00.070.00.0100.00100.0100.0Anana20.00.0100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana100.00100.00100.00100.00100.00Anana <td></td> <td>Severe deficiency</td> <td>7(20.6)</td> <td>5(18.5)</td> <td>12(19.7)</td> <td></td>		Severe deficiency	7(20.6)	5(18.5)	12(19.7)	
TaxicityNon-Q1.7.4Q1.3.3Totai34100027100061100Ataline phosphate level52000710.0061000Annal721.20824.2015(22.7)Sover deficiency701.208(4.5)463.630Mid to moderate deficiency130618.20710.60Totai130.003100061000Totai310003100061000Sover deficiency101.31825.001628.10Mid to moderate deficiency109.401034.409046.90Mid to moderate deficiency109.9011034.00610.00Sover deficiency109.90103.00162.010.0001		Normal	25(73.5)	12(44.4)b	37(60.7)	
IndexIndexIndexIndexIndexTotal34(100)27(100)61(100)Akaline phosphate level522.73522.73522.73Severe deficiency7(2.2)8(24.2)16(25.73)Minda moderate deficiency13(10)16(3.0)101.72Severe deficiency1(3.0)16(3.0)7(10.6)Minda moderate deficiency1(3.0)13(1.0)101.72Total31(100)31(100)6(100)11Severe deficiency10(3.1)10(3.1)10(4.9)104.91Severe deficiency10(3.1)10(3.1)10(4.9)104.91Severe deficiency10(3.1)10(3.1)10(3.1)10(3.1)10(3.1)Severe deficiency<	>9.2	Mild to moderate deficiency	2(5.9)	8(29.6)b	10(16.4)	0.016*□
Alkaline phosphate level Severe deficiency 7(21.2) 8(24.2) 15(22.7) Normal 24(72.7) 18(54.5) 42(63.6) Mild to moderate deficiency 1(3) 6(18.2) 7(10.6) 172° Toxicity 1(3) 1(3) 2(3) 172° Yournal 1(3) 3(100) 6(100) 172° Yournal 10(31.3) 8(25) 18(28.1) 14000000000000000000000000000000000000		Toxicity	0(0)	2(7.4)	2(3.3)	
Severe deficiency 7(21.2) 8(24.2) 15(22.7) Normal 24(72.7) 18(54.5) 42(63.6) Mild to moderate deficiency 1(3) 6(18.2) 7(10.6) 0.172 Toxicity 1(3) 1(3) 2(3) 1172 Total 3(100) 3(100) 6(100) 1172 Normal 10(1) 3(100) 6(100) 1172 Normal 10(1) 10(1) 10(1) 6(100) Normal 10(1) 3(100) 6(100) 1172 Normal 10(1) 3(100) 10(1) 10(1) Normal 10(1) 3(100) 10(1) 10(1)		Total	34(100)	27(100)	61(100)	
Normal 24(72.7) 18(54.5) 42(63.6) Mild to moderate deficiency 1(3) 6(18.2) 7(10.6) 0.172 Toxicity 1(3) 1(3) 2(3) 11 Total 3(100) 3(100) 6(100) 11 Normal 10(31.3) 8(25) 18(28.1) 14 Normal 10(59.4) 11(34.4)b 30(46.9) 1020* Normal 19(59.4) 12(37.5)b 15(23.4) 0.020*	Alkaline phosphate level					
And the moderate deficiency is a serie of the series of th		Severe deficiency	7(21.2)	8(24.2)	15(22.7)	
Toxicity 1(3) 1(3) 2(3) Total 33(100) 36(100) 66(100) Sever deficiency 10(31.3) 8(25) 18(28.1) Normal 19(59.4) 11(34.4)b 30(46.9) Mild to moderate deficiency 3(9.4) 12(37.5)b 15(23.4) Toxicity 0(0) 1(3.1) 1(.6)		Normal	24(72.7)	18(54.5)	42(63.6)	
Total 33(100) 33(100) 66(100) Severe deficiency 10(31.3) 8(25) 18(28.1) Normal 19(59.4) 11(34.4)b 30(46.9) Mild to moderate deficiency 3(9.4) 12(37.5)b 15(23.4) Toxicity 0(0) 1(3.1) 1(.6)	≤9.1	Mild to moderate deficiency	1(3)	6(18.2)	7(10.6)	0.172
Severe deficiency 10(31.3) 8(25) 18(28.1) Normal 19(59.4) 11(34.4)b 30(46.9) Mild to moderate deficiency 3(9.4) 12(37.5)b 15(23.4) Toxicity 0(0) 1(3.1) 11(.6)		Toxicity	1(3)	1(3)	2(3)	
Normal 19(59.4) 11(34.4)b 30(46.9) Mild to moderate deficiency 3(9.4) 12(37.5)b 15(23.4) Toxicity 0(0) 1(3.1) 1(1.6)		Total	33(100)	33(100)	66(100)	
>9.1 Mild to moderate deficiency 3(9.4) 12(37.5)b 15(23.4) 0.020*□ Toxicity 0(0) 1(3.1) 1(1.6)		Severe deficiency	10(31.3)	8(25)	18(28.1)	
Toxicity 0(0) 1(3.1) 1(1.6)	>9.1	Normal	19(59.4)	11(34.4)b	30(46.9)	
		Mild to moderate deficiency	3(9.4)	12(37.5)b	15(23.4)	0.020*□
Total 32(100) 32(100) 64(100)		Toxicity	0(0)	1(3.1)	1(1.6)	
		Total	32(100)	32(100)	64(100)	

Smoking Status					
	Normal	7(77.8)	4(57.1)	11(68.8)	
Smoker	Mild to moderate deficiency	2(22.2)	2(28.6)	4(25)	0.758□
	Toxicity	0(0)	1(14.3)	1(6.3)	0.756
	Total	9(100)	7(100)	16(100)	
	Severe deficiency	2(25)	1(25)	3(25)	
Occasional Smoker	Normal	6(75)	3(75)	9(75)	1.000 🗆
	Total	8(100)	4(100)	12(100)	
	Severe deficiency	15(31.3)	15(27.8)	30(29.4)	
	Normal	30(62.5)	22(40.7)b	52(51)	
No Smoker	Mild to moderate deficiency	2(4.2)	16(29.6)b	18(17.6)	0.003*□
	Toxicity	1(2.1)	1(1.9)	2(2)	
	Total	48(100)	54(100)	102(100)	
Comorbidity					
	Severe deficiency	11(23.4)	7(20.6)	18(22.2)	
	Normal	35(74.5)	18(52.9)b	53(65.4)	
No	Mild to moderate deficiency	1(2.1)	8(23.5)b	9(11.1)	0.007*□
	Toxicity	0(0)	1(2.9)	1(1.2)	
	Total	47(100)	34(100)	81(100)	
	Severe deficiency	6(33.3)	9(29)	15(30.6)	
	Normal	8(44.4)	11(35.5)	19(38.8)	
Yes	Mild to moderate deficiency	3(16.7)	10(32.3)	13(26.5)	0.629
	Toxicity	1(5.6)	1(3.2)	2(4.1)	
	Total	18(100)	31(100)	49(100)	
P<0.05, Fisher's exact					

TABLE 3: Association of vitamin D levels with distal radius fracture post-stratification of various participants' characteristics

On the other hand, in the non-distal radius fracture group, a significantly higher proportion of participants with calcium level >9.2, alkaline phosphate level >9.1, no smokers, and with no comorbidity had mild to moderate Vit D deficiency to the distal radius fracture group. On the contrary, in the distal radius fracture group, a significantly higher proportion of participants who have had similar characteristics mentioned above had normal Vit D levels as compared to the non-distal radius fracture group (p=0.016, 0.020, 0.003 and 0.007, respectively, Table 3).

Discussion

Vit D deficiency has become a problem affecting people worldwide [1] for clearly identified reasons. Natural food sources need fortification to increase vitamin D content, and hence unfortified food could be a reason for the low Vit D levels worldwide [8]. Similarly, low calcium levels in the body increase the parathyroid hormone (PTH) level, leading to increase catabolism of cholecalciferol [13]. High melanin content in skin, distance from the equator, and seasonal variation also affect the formation of Vit D. All these factors are collectively considered as a cause of low Vit D levels in different parts of the world.

Literature from Pakistan is no different from worldwide, showing a high of 53.5% [4] and 76% [6] in two different studies. This deficiency is reported in the elderly population and includes neonates and pregnant

women as well. Our study found that the deficiency and insufficiency pattern was lower than previous studies, 25.4% and 16.9%, respectively, even when comparing rates of the older age group of <41 years the rates were lower (Table 3). A total of 55.4% of our reported patients had normal levels of Vit D, which shows a decrease in the percentage of insufficiency and deficiencies compared to previous data. The cause of this improvement can be attributed to the increased awareness about Vit D deficiency and improvement in food supplements and fortification, which has occurred in recent years.

Hip fractures, distal radius fractures, and spine fractures are commonly reported in the elderly population. However, distal radius fractures are common even in the middle age group and tend to occur early than the other fractures [12]. Most of the reported data is regarding hip fractures, co-related with Vit D deficiency and insufficiency, however, hip fractures are mainly reported in the elderly population. A study by Wang et al. showed 10% of patients presenting with hip fractures showed Vit D deficiency, and 53.33% showed Vit D insufficiency [14]. Wang et al. also stated that those who presented with bilateral hip fractures had very low Vit D levels [14]. Another study from the United States of America showed that 96% of the women with hip fractures had Vit D insufficiency, and 38% had deficiency [15]. Our findings are different from those reported by Oyen et al., which reported Vit D levels of less than 50 nmol/L associated with distal radius fractures [7] although we included the younger population as well, but then again the participants with age greater than 41 years also had lower insufficiency and deficiency rates. Few other studies have shown significantly lower Vit D levels in patients with low-energy distal radius fractures, but the association is insignificantly reported in many other studies [16]. Kim et al. stated that individuals with low Vit D levels improved muscle strength, performance, and fracture healing after Vit D supplementation [17].

Our study showed no significant association between distal radius fracture and vitamin D levels in both age groups of greater and less than 41 years old patients. Mild-moderate deficiency was noted in patients of age \leq 41 years, BMI \leq 25 kg/m², females with no menopause, albumin >4.2, calcium level >9.2, alkaline phosphate level >9.1, nonsmokers, and with no comorbidity in non-fracture (control) group. At the same time, the distal radius fracture group showed a higher proportion of participants with normal Vit D levels than the non-distal radius fracture group. This gives the impression that Vit D is not significantly associated with distal radius fracture.

To our knowledge, this case-control study is the first to compare Vit D levels in distal radius fracture patients and matched controls irrespective of the ages. Male patients have not previously been studied so extensively as most of our patients were male (53.8%). We also looked into other laboratory parameters and serum albumin, calcium levels, and alkaline phosphatase levels, which were not seen in previous studies.

There are certain limitations of the study. The same small size and no knowledge assessment regarding awareness of vitamin D was done in our study as this could have changed the patterns of vitamin D supplementation in recent times. Distal radius fractures usually occur early to other fractures [11] and are considered a precursor of future hip and vertebral bone fractures especially in the elderly population [18, 19]. Haentjens et al. [20] also reported that the relative risk of hip fractures after distal radius fracture in the elderly population was more significant in men than in women. Therefore, an effort must be made to identify risk factors to reduce future hip and vertebral bone fractures risks as early in life as possible.

We, therefore, recommend that, since previously an association was found between Vit D and other fractures, a study on a larger scale should be conducted to re-assess the current situation and a longer follow-up of patients, which can also highlight the importance of Vid D in the healing of fractures and prevention of other fractures.

Conclusions

In conclusion, our study indicates that Vitamin D inadequacy is not associated with distal radius fractures in women and men. Differences in Vitamin D levels between patients and controls were independent of differences in other variables such as age, serum calcium, alkaline phosphatase, albumin levels, and smoking history. At the same time, the association was significant with low BMI and high sunlight exposure time.

Additional Information

Disclosures

Human subjects: Consent was obtained or waived by all participants in this study. Interactive Research and Development issued approval IRD_IRB_2019_12_012. IRB EXPEDITED STATUS: APPROVED The IRD-IRB has reviewed the above-referenced study and determined that, as currently described, it was eligible for expedited review and has been approved, as per the following category: Category #7: Research on individual or group characteristics or behavior (including, but not limited to, research on perception, cognition, motivation, identity, language, communication, cultural beliefs or practices, and social behavior) or research employing survey, interview, oral history, focus group, program evaluation, human factors evaluation, or quality assurance methodologies. Animal subjects: All authors have confirmed that this study did not involve animal subjects or tissue. Conflicts of interest: In compliance with the ICMJE uniform disclosure

form, all authors declare the following: **Payment/services info:** All authors have declared that no financial support was received from any organization for the submitted work. **Financial relationships:** All authors have declared that they have no financial relationships at present or within the previous three years with any organizations that might have an interest in the submitted work. **Other relationships:** All authors have declared that there are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

References

- 1. Iqbal K, Islam N, Mehboobali N, Asghar A, Iqbal SP, Iqbal MP: Relationship of sociodemographic factors with serum levels of vitamin D in a healthy population of Pakistan. Pak J Pharm Sci. 2019, 32:29-33.
- Mehboobali N, Iqbal SP, Iqbal MP: High prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and insufficiency in a low income peri-urban community in Karachi. J Pak Med Assoc. 2015, 65:946-949.
- Khan AH, Iqbal R, Naureen G, Dar FJ, Ahmed FN: Prevalence of vitamin D deficiency and its correlates: results of a community-based study conducted in Karachi, Pakistan. Arch Osteoporos. 2012, 7:275-282. 10.1007/s11657-012-0108-x
- 4. Ghazi MA, Ali SK, Najjad KR, Siddiqim A, Taufiq I: Vitamin D deficiency and possible link with bony pain and onset of osteoporosis. Osteoporos Sarcopenia. 2017, 3:36-37.
- Adams JS, Hewison M: Update in vitamin D. J Clin Endocrinol Metab. 2010, 95:471-478. 10.1210/jc.2009-1773
- Rozental TD, Herder LM, Walley KC, Zurakowski D, Coyle K, Bouxsein ML, Wolf JM: 25-hydroxyvitamin-D and bone turnover marker levels in patients with distal radial fracture. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2015, 97:1685-1693. 10.2106/JBJS.0.00313
- 7. Oyen J, Apalset EM, Gjesdal CG, Brudvik C, Lie SA, Hove LM: Vitamin D inadequacy is associated with lowenergy distal radius fractures: a case-control study. Bone. 2011, 48:1140-1145. 10.1016/j.bone.2011.01.021
- Iqbal R, Khan AH: Possible causes of vitamin D deficiency (VDD) in Pakistani population residing in Pakistan. J Pak Med Assoc. 2010, 60:1-2.
- Mahmood K, Akhtar ST, Talib A, Haider I: Vitamin-D status in a population of healthy adults in Pakistan . Pak J Med Sci. 2009, 25:545-550.
- Dang DY, Zetumer S, Zhang AL: Recurrent fragility fractures: a cross-sectional analysis . J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2019, 27:85-91. 10.5435/JAAOS-D-17-00103
- 11. Alluri RK, Hill JR, Ghiassi A: Distal radius fractures: approaches, indications, and techniques . J Hand Surg Am. 2016, 41:845-854. 10.1016/j.jhsa.2016.05.015
- Shah GM, Gong HS, Chae YJ, Kim YS, Kim J, Baek GH: Evaluation and management of osteoporosis and sarcopenia in patients with distal radius fractures. Clin Orthop Surg. 2020, 12:9-21.
 10.4055/cios.2020.12.1.9
- 13. Holick MF: Vitamin D deficiency. N Engl J Med. 2007, 357:266-281. 10.1056/NEJMra070553
- 14. Wang Q, Yu D, Wang J, Lin S: Association between vitamin D deficiency and fragility fractures in Chinese elderly patients: a cross-sectional study. Ann Palliat Med. 2020, 9:1660-1665. 10.21037/apm-19-610
- LeBoff MS, Hawkes WG, Glowacki J, Yu-Yahiro J, Hurwitz S, Magaziner J: Vitamin D-deficiency and postfracture changes in lower extremity function and falls in women with hip fractures. Osteoporos Int. 2008, 19:1283-1290. 10.1007/s00198-008-0582-6
- Jang WY, Chung MS, Baek GH, Song CH, Cho HE, Gong HS: Vitamin D levels in post-menopausal Korean women with a distal radius fracture. Injury. 2012, 43:237-241. 10.1016/j.injury.2011.10.020
- 17. Kim K, Gong HS, Lim JY, Kim JH, Baek GH: The vitamin D receptor expression in skeletal muscle of women with distal radius fracture. Arch Osteoporos. 2018, 13:24. 10.1007/s11657-018-0442-8
- Mallmin H, Ljunghall S, Persson I, Naessén T, Krusemo UB, Bergström R: Fracture of the distal forearm as a forecaster of subsequent hip fracture: a population-based cohort study with 24 years of follow-up. Calcif Tissue Int. 1993, 52:269-272. 10.1007/BF00296650
- 19. Owen RA, Melton LJ, Ilstrup DM, Johnson KA, Riggs BL: Colles' fracture and subsequent hip fracture risk . Clin Orthop Relat Res. 1982, 37-43.
- Haentjens P, Autier P, Collins J, Velkeniers B, Vanderschueren D, Boonen S: Colles fracture, spine fracture, and subsequent risk of hip fracture in men and women. A meta-analysis. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2003, 85:1936-1943. 10.2106/00004623-200310000-00011