

COMMENTARY



Prevention of measles, mumps and rubella: 40 years of global experience with M-M-R_{II}

Barbara J. Kuter ^a, Gary S. Marshall ^b, Jaime Fergie ^c, Elvira Schmidt ^d, and Manjiri Pawaskar ^e

^aGlobal Medical Affairs, Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA; ^bNorton Children's and University of Louisville School of Medicine, Louisville, KY, USA; ^cInfectious Diseases, Driscoll Children's Hospital, Corpus Christi, TX, USA; ^dCertara Germany GmbH, Evidence and Access, Loerrach, Germany; ^eCenter for Observational and Real-World Evidence, Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA

ABSTRACT

Measles, mumps, and rubella are highly contagious diseases that caused significant global mortality and morbidity in the pre-vaccine era. Since its first approval in the United States over 40 years ago, M-M-R_{II} has been used in >75 countries for prevention of these diseases. The vaccine has been part of immunization programs that have achieved dramatic global reductions in case numbers and mortality rates, as well as the elimination of measles and rubella in several countries and regions. This report summarizes over four decades of global safety, immunogenicity, efficacy, and effectiveness data for the vaccine. We include studies on the use of M-M-R_{II} in different age groups, concomitant use with other routine childhood vaccines, administration via different routes, persistence of immunity, and vaccine effectiveness during outbreaks of measles and mumps. We conclude that M-M-R_{II} is well tolerated and has shown consistently high performance during routine use in multiple countries, in randomized controlled trials with diverse designs, and in outbreak settings, including use as measles postexposure prophylaxis. Physicians, parents, and the public can continue to have a high degree of confidence in the use of M-M-R_{II} as a vital part of global public health programs.

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 3 September 2021
Revised 28 October 2021
Accepted 14 November 2021

KEYWORDS

Measles-mumps-rubella; vaccines; M-M-R_{II}; immunogenicity; safety; outbreaks

Introduction

Measles, mumps, and rubella are common viral infections of childhood that caused severe illness, long-term complications and death, as well as a significant burden on global health care systems in the pre-vaccine era.^{1–3} Prior to the development of vaccines for these diseases, there were an estimated 30 million cases annually and 2.6 million deaths from measles worldwide; the incidence of mumps was 100–1,000 cases per 100,000 persons; and congenital rubella syndrome affected an estimated 0.1–0.2 per 1,000 live births with the number of cases increasing to 0.8–4 per 1,000 live births during epidemics, which historically occurred every 5–9 years.^{4–7} Vaccination programs have since dramatically reduced the burden of measles, mumps, and rubella. The number of global deaths from measles decreased by 73% between 2000 and 2018, the incidence of mumps fell to <1 case per 100,000 people within ten years of implementing national immunization programs, and rubella was eliminated in 81 countries by 2019.^{4,5,6,7}

The history and impact of M-M-R_{II} (Measles, Mumps, and Rubella Vaccine Live, manufactured by Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA), have been summarized elsewhere.^{8,9} Briefly, monovalent measles, mumps, and rubella vaccines were first developed by Maurice Hilleman and other researchers in the late 1960s and early 1970s. Although these vaccines were a major public health achievement, Hilleman had a vision of a trivalent measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine that he described as a long-term dream “that it might be possible, one day, to develop a vaccine that would protect against these three diseases in a single shot.”¹⁰ The

first-generation MMR vaccine developed by Hilleman and his team was licensed in the United States in 1971. M-M-R_{II}, which incorporated an improved rubella vaccine strain, was licensed in the United States in 1978 and is the only trivalent vaccine that has been used in the United States since 1978. M-M-R_{II} has also been used extensively in >75 other countries, with >803 million doses distributed globally as of May 2021 (internal data). The vaccine is prequalified by the World Health Organization.^{11,12,13}

In the United States, the annual burden of measles, mumps, and rubella before 1970 was approximately 530,000, 162,000, and 47,000 cases, respectively. Measles alone caused approximately 48,000 hospitalizations, 500 deaths, and 1,000 cases of permanent brain damage each year.^{12–14} By 2017, the number of cases decreased by >99% for measles, 96% for mumps, and 99% for rubella, and the combined mortality for the three diseases had declined by >99%.^{15,16} Measles was declared eliminated in the United States in 2000, and rubella and congenital rubella followed in 2004.^{15–20}

Decades of successful immunization programs have alleviated the public's longstanding fear of measles, mumps, and rubella. However, in recent decades the fear of these diseases has been replaced by the fear of vaccines themselves; in fact, vaccine hesitancy has been cited as one of the top ten threats to global health.²¹ Other factors – medical contraindications, religious and philosophical objections, socioeconomic circumstances, and systemic barriers – further threaten vaccine uptake.^{22–32} In the years preceding the COVID-19 pandemic, the uptake of routine childhood vaccinations in many countries had decreased to the point

that once-eliminated diseases, including measles, were beginning to resurge.^{26–32} The COVID-19 pandemic caused further declines in immunization rates, thus compounding the problem.³³ While the current focus of immunization programs worldwide is justifiably on vaccination against COVID-19, it is important to point out that measles is more contagious than SARS-CoV-2 (basic reproductive number of 12–18 versus 2–3) and has a higher fatality rate than COVID-19 (~15% versus 0.5–5%), underscoring the seriousness of declining MMR vaccine uptake.^{1,29,33–42}

Prevention of measles, mumps, and rubella continues to be an important public health initiative and M-M-R_{II} plays an important role in the prevention of these diseases. This report summarizes the efficacy, effectiveness, immunogenicity, and safety of M-M-R_{II}, over more than 40 years since its first approval in the United States. This report highlights information from randomized controlled trials (RCTs) and observational studies and expands on previous papers by including data from broader age groups, alternative administration methods, and outbreak settings. This represents the most comprehensive summary to date of the long-term performance of M-M-R_{II}.

Results

Three literature reviews were performed to assess the performance of M-M-R_{II} in different settings and populations.^{43–45} The reviews collectively identified 122 reports on 88 studies (75 RCTs and 13 observational studies). One study was subsequently excluded as participants had received a monovalent measles injection at 6 months of age prior to immunization with M-M-R_{II} or Triviraten Berna[®] Vaccine (Swiss Serum and Vaccine Institute) 6 months later.⁴⁶ Forty-one RCTs studied the concomitant administration of M-M-R_{II} with other routine vaccines.^{47–87} M-M-R_{II} has also been used as a comparator in trials of investigational MMR vaccines, as well as quadrivalent vaccines that protect against measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella.^{8,43,45,62,66–69,88–92} The effectiveness and safety of M-M-R_{II} during outbreaks of measles and mumps has also been studied.^{93–103} The results of these studies are presented here.

Safety

Safety was assessed in 25 RCTs in which M-M-R_{II} was administered alone and 42 RCTs in which one or more other routine vaccines was administered concomitantly. No safety data were reported for participants <1 year of age. Most of the safety data for M-M-R_{II} were from 62 studies conducted in children 12 months to 6 years of age. The studies used different methods to assess adverse events (AEs), but all studies showed that first and second doses of the vaccine were generally well tolerated in all age groups, when administered alone or in combination with other vaccines. The most commonly reported AEs were injection site reactions, fever, and measles- or rubella-like rash (Table 1).

Table 2 presents safety data from three studies in which individuals received two doses of M-M-R_{II}.^{67,78,104} Among participants who received a second dose of M-M-R_{II} 6 weeks to 3 months after the first, the rates of injection site reactions were generally lower after the second dose; however, the reverse was true in one study in which a second dose was

administered 2–3 years after the first. Fever and measles- or rubella-like rash occurred at a decreased rate after the second dose in all 2-dose trials.

Vaccine-related serious adverse events after immunization with M-M-R_{II} alone or in combination with other vaccines were rare. The 18 vaccine-related or possibly vaccine-related serious adverse events identified in >20,000 subjects in the 88 studies reviewed included six cases of febrile convulsions, two cases each of fever, fever with rash, otitis media, and immune thrombocytopenic purpura, and one case each of vomiting, diarrhea, toxic skin eruptions, and seizure disorder.^{47,58,60,66,68,69,78,91,92,121} Five deaths occurred, four of which were ruled not vaccine related; the outcome of the other fatality was not known.^{45,67,68,76,78}

A retracted report published in 1998 that has since been deemed fraudulent suggested a connection between measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination and autism,¹³⁵ resulting in a decrease in vaccination uptake in the United Kingdom and elsewhere.^{136,137} Multiple subsequent studies, including a Cochrane Review that analyzed data from studies that collectively enrolled 14,700,000 children, have reported that no association was found between MMR vaccines and autism spectrum disorders.^{138–143}

Routine post-marketing surveillance is an important source of real-world data on vaccine safety, although the data are by nature incomplete, can contain reporting biases, and rarely allow causality to be determined. In 2012, data from 32 years of routine global post-marketing surveillance for M-M-R_{II} were summarized.⁸ The review analyzed 17,536 AEs reported to Merck's Worldwide Adverse Experience System between 1978 and 2010. The most common AEs reported were fever, rash, injection site reactions, and febrile convulsions. Of the 136 deaths reported, the majority involved bacterial and viral infections that were not related to the vaccine. Fourteen fatalities occurred in people with immunocompromising conditions, which are listed in vaccine package inserts as contraindications for immunization with M-M-R_{II}. Four of these fatalities were reported in detail as case studies.^{144–147} No unusual patterns or clustering were identified among the deaths reported.⁸

A more recent review summarized data on AEs reported after M-M-R_{II} administration from 1989 to 2019, as reported in the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's Wides-ranging Online Data for Epidemiological Research system. Among the >158,000 total AE reports from the United States, the only disproportionately reported AE for M-M-R_{II} compared to AEs for all other vaccines was orchitis; no safety signal was detected for severe orchitis or other AEs.¹⁴⁸

Immunogenicity

The immunogenicity of M-M-R_{II} has been studied in all age groups, with and without concomitant administration of other vaccines. The overall range of seroconversion rates after vaccination was 87.4–100% for measles, 79.5–100% for mumps,^a and 90.0–100% for rubella (Table 3). The vaccine was shown to perform consistently over 21 years of evaluation in clinical trials.⁴⁰

Table 1. Adverse events after administration of M-M-R_{II}, by participant age^a.

Age and vaccine(s) administered	Fever		Measles- or rubella-like Rash		Injection Site Reactions	
	Number of studies	(%)	Number of studies	(%)	Number of studies	(%)
12 months–6 years						
First dose, M-M-R _{II} alone	14 ^b	0–61	1 ^c	2–3	11 ^d	6–36 ^e
Second dose, M-M-R _{II} alone	3 ^f	5–34	1 ^c	0–1	3 ^f	15–52 ^e
First dose, M-M-R _{II} + other vaccine(s)	28 ^g	1–66	19 ^h	0–13	34 ⁱ	8–59 ^e
Second dose, M-M-R _{II} + other vaccine(s)	4 ^j	3–30	2 ^k	0–2	5 ^l	22–91 ^e
≥7 years						
M-M-R _{II} alone	5 ^m	0–12	1 ⁿ	0	4 ^o	12–33
M-M-R _{II} + other vaccine(s)	1 ^p	2	-	NR	-	NR

NR, Not Reported.

In studies that specified a reporting timeframe for systemic AEs, the follow-up period ranged from 11 to 42 days. The collection of adverse events and the definition of fever varied from study to study. Some studies are reported in more than one of the references cited.

^aTable 1 excludes studies shown in Table 2.

^bReferences: 104–117

^cResults are from Wiedmann et al., 2015 which included two study arms.¹⁰⁴ After the first dose, rash was reported by 3.2% of participants who received M-M-R_{II} with rHA and 1.6% of participants who received M-M-R_{II} with HSA. After the second dose, rash was reported among 0% in the M-M-R_{II} with HSA group and 0.5% rash in the M-M-R_{II} with rHA group.)

^dReferences: 104,107–115,118

^eThe majority of studies in participants 12 months to 6 years of age reported a range of injection site reactions from 6–48%, with outliers at 52%, 59%, 68%, 72%, 84%, and 91%. The duration of follow-up for reactions varied from study to study.

^fReferences: 67,104,119,120

^gReferences: 50,51,54,55,57,60–62,64,65,68–71,73,74,76,77,79–84,86,87,91,92,121–128

^hReferences: 14,58,62,68,70,73,74,78–80,82,83,86,91,92,124–128

ⁱReferences: 50,54–58,60–62,64,65,68–70,74,76–83,86,87,91,92,119,122,124–128

^jReferences: 49,66,72,123,129,130

^kReferences: 66,129,130

^lReferences: 48,49,63,66,72,119,130

^mReferences: 88,121,131–134

ⁿReferences: 88,121

^oReferences: 88,121,132–134

^pReference: 53

In the single study that vaccinated children <12 months of age (specifically at 9 months), the seroconversion rates for measles, mumps, and rubella were 87.4%, 92.3%, and 91.2%, respectively.¹⁴⁹ This is an important finding considering the potential need to use M-M-R_{II} in children <12 months of age in outbreak settings or for protection during international travel.¹⁰³

Among children 12 months to 6 years of age, seroconversion rates after a first dose of M-M-R_{II} in 46 studies (31 with and 15 without concomitant administration of other vaccines) were 87.5–100% for measles, 90.0–100% for mumps, and 92.0–100% for rubella.^{14,47,51,52,54,55,57–62,64,65,67–70,72,74–77,79–83,85,87,91,92,104–114,118,122,149,150,155} Five studies in this age range reported on the immunogenicity of a second dose of M-M-R_{II} (three with and two without concomitant administration of other vaccines); the response rates for measles, mumps, and rubella after the second dose were 98.4–100%, 98.6–100%, and 99.6–100%, respectively.^{49,66,67,72,151} In two studies in which immunogenicity was assessed after both a first and a second dose, the seroresponse rates or antibody titers for all antigens increased after the second dose compared to the first.^{67,151}

Seroconversion rates in participants ≥7 years of age enrolled in seven studies (six without and one with concomitant administration of other vaccines) were 96.0–100% for measles, 94.5–100% for mumps, and 91.3–100% for rubella.^{53,88–90,131–134,153,154}

Concomitant use with other routine vaccines

Forty-four studies have shown that M-M-R_{II} can be safely administered with other routinely recommended vaccines, and that immunogenicity is not affected. Some studies administered two or more other pediatric vaccines

concomitantly with M-M-R_{II}, and not every study reported seropositivity rates for measles, mumps, and rubella; we included all studies that reported immunogenicity and/or safety data for M-M-R_{II}. In participants 12 months to 6 years of age, varicella vaccine was the most commonly co-administered vaccine with a first dose of M-M-R_{II} (20 studies). M-M-R_{II} was also concomitantly administered in this age group with vaccines against hepatitis A and *Haemophilus influenzae* type b (seven studies each), diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis-poliovirus (DTaP) and quadrivalent meningococcal conjugate vaccines (two studies each), and pneumococcal conjugate vaccine, Japanese encephalitis chimeric virus vaccine, and live attenuated influenza vaccine (one study each).^{47–52,54–86,150} A second dose of M-M-R_{II} was administered concomitantly in this age range in four studies with DTaP and one study with varicella vaccine.^{48,49,63,66,72} In 25 concomitant use studies that reported on the immunogenicity of M-M-R_{II}, the seropositivity rates for measles, mumps, and rubella administered with other vaccines were 87.5–100%, 79.5–100%, and 92.0–100%, respectively, compared to 90.4–100%, 90.0–100%, and 90.0–100%, respectively, when M-M-R_{II} was administered alone (Table 3). A single study in 11–12-year-olds reported on the use of M-M-R_{II} administered concomitantly with tetanus-diphtheria (Td) vaccine or hepatitis B and Td vaccines, with 100% seropositivity for measles, mumps, and rubella in both study arms.⁵³ In all studies of concomitant use, antibody response rates to both M-M-R_{II} and the other vaccines administered were generally comparable when vaccines were administered alone or concomitantly.

Table 2. Adverse events after administration of a first and second dose of M-M-R_{II} in two-dose studies.

Study	Age at first dose	Interval between doses	Adverse event reporting period	Dose	n ^a	Concomitantly administered vaccine(s)	Fever (%) ^b	Measles- or rubella-like rash (%)	Injection site reactions (%)
MMR-161 study group ⁶⁷	12–15 months	42 days	42 days (4 days for injection site reactions)	1	1,526	Hepatitis A, Varicella (764 participants)	40–42	NR	20
Senders ⁷⁸	12–23 months	~3 months	42 days (5 days for injection site reactions)	2	1,456	None	32–34	NR	15
Wiedmann ¹⁰⁴	12–18 months	2–3 years	42 days (5 days for injection site reactions)	1	611	Varicella	10–11	0–2	41
			42 days (5 days for injection site reactions)	2	533	Varicella	8–9	0	31–35
				1	1,279	None	9–10	2–3	22–27
				2	373	None	5–7	0–1	42–45

NR, Not reported.

^an indicates number of participants receiving M-M-R_{II} in each study.

^bData collection methods and the definition of fever differed across the studies.

Route of administration

The licensed formulation of M-M-R_{II} may be delivered by subcutaneous or intramuscular injection. In a head-to-head comparison, safety and immunogenicity results were comparable for both subcutaneous or intramuscular administration of M-M-R_{II} with a varicella vaccine.⁵⁸

Persistence of immune response

Antibody persistence has been demonstrated for 11–13 years, the longest period studied. Six additional studies (four assessing a first dose and two a booster dose) with short-term follow up (1–2 years) reported high rates of antibody persistence in >6,000 participants after administration of M-M-R_{II} alone (three studies) or concomitantly with vaccines against varicella (two studies) or Japanese encephalitis (one study).^{47,61,64,111,131,153}

Effectiveness of M-M-R_{II} in outbreak settings

Real-world observational studies have confirmed that M-M-R_{II} is highly effective in outbreak settings in different countries and age groups. Four studies of measles outbreaks and six studies of mumps outbreaks are summarized in Table 4.^{93,94,96–103} No published data were found on the use of M-M-R_{II} during outbreaks of rubella, which are infrequent in countries with high rates of vaccine coverage.⁷

During a 1998 US outbreak of measles, vaccine effectiveness (VE) was 94.1% among participants who had previously received two doses of M-M-R_{II}.⁹⁹ A study conducted during a 2011 Canadian measles outbreak reported an overall VE of 95.5% among participants who had previously received two doses of M-M-R_{II}; the VE was higher in subjects who had received their first dose at ≥15 months of age than in those who had received their first dose at 12 months (97.5% versus 93.0%).⁹⁶ A small study of the VE of an early first dose of M-M-R_{II} (offered at 6–14 months of age rather than the scheduled 14 months) during a Dutch outbreak of measles in 2013–2014 reported an unadjusted VE of 94%; adjusting for confounding factors resulted in a VE of 71%.¹⁰³ In a postexposure study conducted during a 2013 US outbreak, a single dose of M-M-R_{II} was used prophylactically within 72 hours of exposure to measles in 318 children from 6 months to 19 years of age. The VE was 83.4%.⁹³

In three studies conducted during mumps outbreaks, the VE of one- or two-dose regimens of M-M-R_{II} compared to no vaccination ranged from 80 to 86%.^{97,98,100} Another study concluded that participants who had received a second dose of M-M-R_{II} >13 years before the outbreak had a nine-fold higher risk of contracting mumps (VE 32%) than those who had received a second dose within the preceding 13 years (VE 89%).⁹⁴ An additional study of 584 undergraduate students did not assess VE, but reported a mumps attack rate of 2–8% for persons who had received two doses of M-M-R_{II}, compared with 31–48% for unvaccinated controls.⁹⁵

The administration of a third dose of M-M-R_{II} during US mumps outbreaks has also been assessed. In a study of 4,738 university students, VE increased from 60% at the time of third

Table 3. Seroconversion rates after vaccination with M-M-R_{II}, alone or with other routine vaccinations.

Category	Seroconversion rates (%)		
	Measles	Mumps	Rubella
By age group ^a			
<12 months ^b	87.4	92.3	91.2
12 months–3 years ^c	92.8–100	91.1–100	92.8–100
4–6 years ^d	99.3–100	100	99.4–100
≥7 years ^e	96.0–100	94.5–100 ^f	91.3–100
By dose number, 12 months–6 years			
First dose ^g	87.5–100	90.0–100	92.0–100
Second dose ^h	98.4–100	98.6–100	99.6–100
Concomitant use with other vaccine(s), first dose			
M-M-R _{II} alone ^{i,j,k}	90.4–100	90.0–100	90.0–100
Diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis and (oral or inactivated) poliovirus (DTaP) ^{l,j,l}	95.0–100	97.0–100	92.0–100
<i>Haemophilus influenzae</i> type b (Hib) ^{l,m}	95.7–99.4	98.4–100	97.0–100
Hepatitis A ^{i,n}	96.3–100	97.6–100	98.3–100
Hepatitis B ^{j,o}	100	100	100
Influenza ^{i,p}	97.0	>96.0	>97.0
Japanese encephalitis ^{i,q}	97.6–100	98.8–99.5	100
Varicella ^{i,r}	87.5–100	79.5–100	92.8–100
Concomitant use with other vaccine(s), second dose			
M-M-R _{II} alone ^{i,s}	99.3	100	100
DTaP ^{l,j,t}	98.9–100	98.9–100	99.4–100
Hepatitis B ^{j,o}	100	100	100
Varicella ^{i,u}	100	100	100
Alternative administration methods ^v			
Intramuscular ^w	94.3	97.7	98.1

Assays used and time for collection of sera may have differed across the studies. Some studies are reported in more than one of the references cited.

^aSubjects ≤3 years of age received a first or first and second dose of M-M-R_{II}; subjects ≥4 years of age received a second or possible third dose of M-M-R_{II}.

^bReference: 149

^cReferences: 47,51,52,54,58–62,64,65,67–70,73,74,76,77,79–83,85–87,91,92,122,123,125–128,150

^dReferences: 49,66,72,104,129,130,151,152

^eReferences: 53,88–90,121,131–134,153,154

^fMost studies in this age group reported a range of 94.5–100% for mumps seropositivity. The authors of the paper that reported the single low outlier of 65% stated that 'the mumps antibody levels obtained with the present tests may not accurately reflect actual immunity.'¹⁵³

^gReferences: 47,51,52,54,58–62,64,65,67–70,73,74,76,77,79–83,85–87,91,92,104,122,123,125–128,150

^hReferences: 49,66,67,72,119,129,130,151,152

ⁱRanges include data from participants 12 months to 6 years of age.

^jRanges include data from participants ≥7 years of age.

^kReferences: 46,88–90,104–115,118,121,131–134,149,153–156

^lReferences: 53,54,77,86

^mReferences: 51,60,74,82

ⁿReferences: 47,52,59,62,67–69,76,119,127–129,150

^oReference: 53

^pReference: 70

^qReference: 61

^rReferences: 55,57,58,60,64,65,70,73,76,79–81,83,85,86,91,92,122,125,126

^sReferences: 67,119,151

^tReferences: 49,53,66,129,130

^uReferences: 72,152

^vTwo studies assessed the safety and immunogenicity of M-M-R_{II} delivered by alternative, non-licensed methods – aerosol or needle-free jet injector.^{90,134}

Immunogenicity by aerosol administration was 100%, 98.3%, and 100% for measles, mumps, and rubella, respectively. Immunogenicity in the needle-free jet injector study was reported as geometric mean ratios (GMR) for each antibody compared to a positive control. The values for the GMR at week 12 by needle-jet injector versus subcutaneous administration for measles, mumps, and rubella were 0.74 versus 0.89, 0.94 versus 0.90, and 2.07 versus 1.87, respectively.

^wReference: 58

dose administration to 78% four weeks later.⁹⁴ During an outbreak in a highly vaccinated population 9–14 years of age, administration of a third dose of M-M-R_{II} reduced the mumps attack rate from 0.24% to 0.09%, although the difference was not statistically significant.¹⁰¹ Finally, in a 2009–2010 study in which 1,755 participants 11–17 years of age were given a third dose of M-M-R_{II} during a mumps outbreak in a religious community, the attack rate declined by 96.0% in the target age group and by 75.6% in the community as a whole.¹⁰²

These studies confirm that routine use of M-M-R_{II} provides effective protection during outbreaks, and that the vaccine is also an effective public health tool in preventing measles post-exposure. Administration of a third dose of

M-M-R_{II} may be useful in certain situations, as recommended by the US Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices.¹⁵⁷

Additional research is needed to assess the long-term protection afforded by M-M-R_{II}, particularly considering the lack of exposure to wildtype infection in many countries. Modelling studies may be a useful tool in addressing this question. In addition, it will be important to continue to assess the effectiveness of the vaccine in situations where non-vaccine genotypes become dominant. These data will be useful in determining whether additional doses of vaccine are needed in certain circumstances or populations.

Table 4. Vaccine effectiveness (VE) of M-M-R_{II} during measles and mumps outbreaks.

Outbreak	Study	Study period	n	Age range	Case definition (if specified)	Dose number comparison	VE (%)
Measles	Lynn ⁹⁹	1998	3,679	13–21 years		2 vs. 1	94
	De Serres ^{60a}	2011	1,306	High school students, median 15 years (range not specified)	Classical	1 vs. 0	96
					Classical + attenuated	≥2 vs. 0	96
	Arciuolo ⁹³	2013	318	6 months to 19 years	Postexposure prophylaxis	≥2 vs. 0	94
Woudenberg ^{103b}	2013–2014	1,230	6–14 months	Clinical	1 vs. 0	83	
Mumps	Hersh ^{97c}	1988–1989	1,713	Junior high school students (age not specified)	Self-reported	1 vs. 0	71
	Marin ¹⁰⁰	2006	2,363	≥7 years, college students (range not specified)		≥1 vs. 0	43
	Ogbuanu ¹⁰²	2009–2010	2,265	11–17 years		1 vs. 0	84
	Nelson ^{101d}	2009–2010	3,239	9–14 years		2 vs. 0	80
	Livingston ⁹⁸	2010	2,176	≥5 years (range not specified)		3 vs. ≤2	88
						1 vs. 0	60
	Cardemil ⁹⁴	2015–2016	20,496	18–24 years		1 vs. 0	83
						2 vs. 0	86
						≥1 vs. 0	86
						3 vs. 2	60–78
				(vaccinated <13 years before outbreak)	2 vs. 0	89	
				(vaccinated ≥13 years before outbreak)	2 vs. 0	32	

All studies were conducted in the United States except De Serres (Canada) and Woudenberg (Netherlands).

No use of M-M-R_{II} during rubella outbreaks was reported.

^aM-M-R_{II} was generally used, but vaccines also included Connaught Canada monovalent measles vaccine.

^bStudy was designed to assess the effectiveness of an early first dose of M-M-R_{II} during an outbreak.

^cOnly eight participants were unvaccinated. Vaccines included monovalent mumps vaccine as well as M-M-R_{II}.

^dVaccine effectiveness was not provided in the original publication and was therefore estimated by calculating 1 – the reported relative risk.

Conclusions

M-M-R_{II} has been used globally for over 40 years, has helped substantially reduce morbidity and mortality from measles, mumps, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome, and has contributed to the elimination of these diseases in several countries. The abundance of data that have been generated for M-M-R_{II}, summarized herein, attest to the vaccine's safety, immunogenicity, efficacy, and effectiveness. The data are reassuring in that M-M-R_{II} has been evaluated in multiple locations, administered over decades in different settings by different researchers, and still yielded highly consistent performance. The public health impact of this vaccine has been enormous, and countries with established universal vaccination programs with M-M-R_{II} serve as an example for other countries that reduction and/or elimination of measles, mumps, and rubella is possible with a strong vaccination program and high vaccination rates.

Notes

[a] See footnote B in Table 3 for additional information on an outlier of 65%.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Cath Ennis, PhD, in collaboration with ScribCo, for medical writing assistance.

Disclosure statement

MP is an employee of Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA and stockholder of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA.

ES is an employee of Certara, Loerrach, Germany, and was a consultant for Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA and paid for their services.

BK was an employee of Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA at the time of the study.

GSM reports involvement as an investigator and consultant for GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Seqirus, Pfizer, and Sanofi Pasteur and also as a speaker for Sanofi Pasteur.

JF is a consultant for Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA, Sanofi Pasteur and GSK. Investigator for Pfizer, and AstraZeneca. Speaker for Merck, Pfizer, and AstraZeneca.

Funding

The study was supported by Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp., a subsidiary of Merck & Co., Inc., Kenilworth, NJ, USA.

ORCID

Barbara J. Kuter  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3243-1449>
 Gary S. Marshall  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8731-6926>
 Jaime Fergie  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1111-0892>
 Elvira Schmidt  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-6159-4787>
 Manjiri Pawaskar  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8009-805X>

References

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Measles. In: Hall E, Wodi AP, Hamborsky J, et al., editors. *Epidemiology and prevention of vaccine-preventable diseases*. 14th ed. Washington, D.C: Public Health Foundation; 2021.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Rubella. In: Hall E, Wodi AP, Hamborsky J, et al., editors. *Epidemiology and prevention of vaccine-preventable diseases*. 14th ed. Washington, D.C: Public Health Foundation; 2021.
- World Health Organization. Mumps virus vaccines: WHO position paper. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec*. 2007;82:49–60.
- World Health Organization. Measles vaccines: WHO position paper - April 2017. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec*. 2017;92:205–28.
- World Health Organization. Measles. [accessed 2021 Apr]. <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/measles>.
- World Health Organization. Rubella vaccines: WHO position paper - July 2020. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec*. 2020;95:306–24.
- Lievano F, Galea SA, Thornton M, Wiedmann RT, Manoff SB, Tran TN, Amin MA, Seminack MM, Vagie KA, Dana A, et al. Measles, mumps, and rubella virus vaccine (M-M-R_{II}): a review of 32 years of clinical and postmarketing experience. *Vaccine*. 2012 Nov 6;30(48):6918–26. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2012.08.057.
- Offit PA. *Vaccinated: one man's quest to defeat the World's deadliest diseases*. New York: Harper Collins; 2008.
- Hilleman MR. Past, present, and future of measles, mumps, and rubella virus vaccines. *Pediatrics*. 1992 July;90(1 Pt 2):149–53.
- World Health Organization. List of prequalified vaccines. [accessed 2021 Apr]. <https://extranet.who.int/pqweb/vaccines/list-prequalified-vaccines>.
- Bloch AB, Orenstein WA, Stetler HC, Wassilak SG, Amler RW, Bart KJ, Kirby CD, Hinman AR. Health impact of measles vaccination in the United States. *Pediatrics*. 1985 Oct;76(4):524–32.
- National Communicable Disease Center. Rubella surveillance. Bethesda (MD): US Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; 1969.
- Watson JC, Hadler SC, Dykewicz CA, Reef S, Phillips L. Measles, mumps, and rubella-vaccine use and strategies for elimination of measles, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome and control of mumps: recommendations of the Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 1998 May 22;47(RR-8):1–57.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Appendix E: data and statistics. In: *Epidemiology and prevention of vaccine-preventable diseases*. Washington, D.C. Public Health Foundation, 2015.
- Roush SW, Murphy TV, Vaccine-Preventable Disease Table Working G. Historical comparisons of morbidity and mortality for vaccine-preventable diseases in the United States. *JAMA*. 2007 Nov 14;298(18):2155–63. doi:10.1001/jama.298.18.2155.
- Katz SL, Hinman AR. Summary and conclusions: measles elimination meeting, 16–17 March 2000. *J Infect Dis*. 2004 May 1;189 (Suppl 1):S43–47. doi:10.1086/377696.
- Papania MJ, Wallace GS, Rota PA, Icenogle JP, Fiebelkorn AP, Armstrong GL, Reef SE, Redd SB, Abernathy ES, Barskey AE, et al. Elimination of endemic measles, rubella, and congenital rubella syndrome from the Western hemisphere: the US experience. *JAMA Pediatr*. 2014 Feb;168(2):148–55. doi:10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.4342.
- Reef SE, Cochi SL. The evidence for the elimination of rubella and congenital rubella syndrome in the United States: a public health achievement. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2006 Nov 1;43(Suppl 3):S123–125. doi:10.1086/505943.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Elimination of rubella and congenital rubella syndrome — United States, 1969–2004. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2005 Mar;54(11):279–82.
- World Health Organization. Ten threats to global health in 2019. <https://www.who.int/news-room/spotlight/ten-threats-to-global-health-in-2019>. Accessed 16 December 2021.
- Hough-Telford C, Kimberlin DW, Aban I, Hitchcock WP, Almqvist J, Kratz R, O'Connor KG. Vaccine delays, refusals, and patient dismissals: a survey of pediatricians. *Pediatrics*. 2016 Sept;138(3). doi:10.1542/peds.2016-2127.

23. Kempe A, Saville AW, Albertin C, Zimet G, Breck A, Helmkamp L, Vangala S, Dickinson LM, Rand C, Humiston S, et al. Parental hesitancy about routine childhood and influenza vaccinations: a national survey. *Pediatrics*. 2020 July;146(1). doi:10.1542/peds.2019-3852.
24. McKee C, Bohannon K. Exploring the reasons behind parental refusal of vaccines. *J Pediatr Pharmacol Ther*. 2016 Mar-Apr;21(2):104–09. doi:10.5863/1551-6776-21.2.104.
25. Ventola CL. Immunization in the United States: recommendations, barriers, and measures to improve compliance: part 1: childhood vaccinations. *P T*. 2016 July;41(7):426–36.
26. Balbi AM, Van Sant AA, Bean EW, Jacoby JL. Mumps: resurgence of a once-dormant disease. *JAAPA*. 2018 May;31(5):19–22. doi:10.1097/01.JAA.0000532112.90755.41.
27. Feemster KA, Szipszky C. Resurgence of measles in the United States: how did we get here? *Curr Opin Pediatr*. 2020 Feb;32(1):139–44. doi:10.1097/MOP.0000000000000845.
28. Koh HK, Gellin BG. Measles as metaphor-what resurgence means for the future of immunization. *JAMA*. 2020 Mar 10;323(10):914–15. doi:10.1001/jama.2020.1372.
29. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Global measles outbreaks. <https://www.cdc.gov/globalhealth/measles/data/global-measles-outbreaks.html>. Accessed 16 December 2021.
30. Patel MK, Goodson JL, Alexander JP Jr., Kretsinger K, Sodha SV, Steulet C, Gacic-Dobo M, Rota PA, McFarland J, Menning L, et al. Progress toward regional measles elimination - worldwide, 2000-2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020 Nov 13;69(45):1700–05. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6945a6.
31. Patel M, Lee AD, Redd SB, Clemmons NS, McNall RJ, Cohn AC, Gastañaduy PA. Increase in measles cases - United States, January 1-April 26, 2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2019 May 3;68(17):402–04. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6817e1.
32. World Health Organization. Measles - european Region. Disease outbreak news - update, 6 May 2019; 2019.
33. National Foundation for Infectious Diseases. Issue brief: the impact of COVID-19 on US vaccination rates. <https://www.nfid.org/keep-up-the-rates/issue-brief-the-impact-of-covid-19-on-us-vaccination-rates/>. Accessed 16 December 2021.
34. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Information for pediatric healthcare providers. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/hcp/pediatric-hcp.html>. Accessed 16 December 2021.
35. Guerra FM, Bolotin S, Lim G, Heffernan J, Deeks SL, Li Y, Crowcroft NS. The basic reproduction number (R0) of measles: a systematic review. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2017 Dec;17(12):e420–e428. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(17)30307-9.
36. Our World in Data. The current case fatality rate of COVID-19. [accessed 2021 Feb 19]. <https://ourworldindata.org/mortality-risk-covid#the-current-case-fatality-rate-of-covid-19>.
37. Rajgor DD, Lee MH, Archuleta S, Bagdasarian N, Quek SC. The many estimates of the COVID-19 case fatality rate. *Lancet Infect Dis*. 2020 July;20(7):776–77. doi:10.1016/S1473-3099(20)30244-9.
38. Sanche S, Lin YT, Xu C, Romero-Severson E, Hengartner N, Ke R. High contagiousness and rapid spread of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. *Emerg Infect Dis*. 2020 July;26(7):1470–77. doi:10.3201/eid2607.200282.
39. Santoli JM, Lindley MC, DeSilva MB, Kharbanda EO, Daley MF, Galloway L, Gee J, Glover M, Herring B, Kang Y, et al. Effects of the COVID-19 pandemic on routine pediatric vaccine ordering and administration - United States, 2020. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2020 May 15;69(19):591–93. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6919e2.
40. World Health Organization. WHO and UNICEF warn of a decline in vaccinations during COVID-19. <https://www.who.int/news/item/15-07-2020-who-and-unicef-warn-of-a-decline-in-vaccinations-during-covid-19>. Accessed 16 December 2021.
41. World Health Organization. Worldwide measles deaths climb 50% from 2016 to 2019 claiming over 207 500 lives in 2019. 2020.
42. Billah MA, Miah MM, Khan MN. Reproductive number of coronavirus: a systematic review and meta-analysis based on global level evidence. *PLoS One*. 2020;15(11):e0242128. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0242128.
43. Nyaku M, Richardson E, Martinon-Torres F, Kuter BJ. Evaluation of the safety and immunogenicity of M-M-R11 (combination measles-mumps-rubella vaccine): clinical trials of healthy children and adults published between 2010 and 2019. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2021 July 22;40:1046–54. doi:10.1097/INF.0000000000003273.
44. Pawaskar M, Schmidt E, Marshall GS, Fergie J, Richardson E, Saldutti LP, Li S, Neumann M, Koller L, Kuter B, et al. Use of M-M-R II outside of the routinely recommended age range - a systematic literature review. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2021 June 15;1–7. doi:10.1080/21645515.2021.1933874.
45. Kuter BJ, Brown M, Wiedmann RT, Hartzel J, Musey L. Safety and immunogenicity of M-M-R11 (combination measles-mumps-rubella vaccine) in clinical trials of healthy children conducted between 1988 and 2009. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2016 Sept;35(9):1011–20. doi:10.1097/INF.0000000000001241.
46. Khalil M, Poltera AA, Al-howasi M, Herzog C, Gerike E, Wegmüller B, Glück R. Response to measles revaccination among toddlers in Saudi Arabia by the use of two different trivalent measles-mumps-rubella vaccines. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg*. 1999 Mar-Apr;93(2):214–19. doi:10.1016/S0035-9203(99)90310-3.
47. Berry AA, Abu-Elyazeed R, Diaz-Perez C, Mufson MA, Harrison CJ, Leonardi M, Twiggs JD, Peltier C, Grogg S, Carbayo A, et al. Two-year antibody persistence in children vaccinated at 12-15 months with a measles-mumps-rubella virus vaccine without human serum albumin. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2017 July 3;13(7):1516–22. doi:10.1080/21645515.2017.1309486.
48. Black S, Friedland LR, Ensor K, Weston WM, Howe B, Klein NP. Diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis and inactivated poliovirus vaccines given separately or combined for booster dosing at 4-6 years of age. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2008 Apr;27(4):341–46. doi:10.1097/INF.0b013e3181616180.
49. Black S, Friedland LR, Schuind A, Howe B, GlaxoSmithKline D-IPVVS. Immunogenicity and safety of a combined DTaP-IPV vaccine compared with separate DTaP and IPV vaccines when administered as pre-school booster doses with a second dose of MMR vaccine to healthy children aged 4-6 years. *Vaccine*. 2006 Aug 28;24(35–36):6163–71. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2006.04.001.
50. Black SB, Cimino CO, Hansen J, Lewis E, Ray P, Corsaro B, Graepel J, Laufer D. Immunogenicity and safety of measles-mumps-rubella, varicella and Haemophilus influenzae type b vaccines administered concurrently with a fourth dose of heptavalent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine compared with the vaccines administered without heptavalent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2006 Apr;25(4):306–11. doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000207409.92198.6f.
51. Bryant K, McVernon J, Marchant C, Nolan T, Marshall G, Richmond P, Marshall H, Nissen M, Lambert S, Aris E, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of measles-mumps-rubella and varicella vaccines coadministered with a fourth dose of Haemophilus influenzae type b and Neisseria meningitidis serogroups C and Y-tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine in toddlers: a pooled analysis of randomized trials. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2012 Aug;8(8):1036–41. doi:10.4161/hv.20357.
52. Bryant KA, Gurtman A, Girgenti D, Reisinger K, Johnson A, Pride MW, Patterson S, Devlin C, Gruber WC, Emini EA, et al. Antibody responses to routine pediatric vaccines administered with 13-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2013 Apr;32(4):383–88. doi:10.1097/INF.0b013e318279e9a9.
53. Cassidy WM, Jones G, Williams K, Deforest A, Forghani B, Virella G, Venters C. Safety and immunogenicity of concomitant versus nonconcomitant administration of hepatitis B, tetanus-diphtheria, and measles-mumps-rubella vaccines in healthy eleven- to twelve-year-olds. *J Adolesc Health*. 2005 Mar;36(3):187–92. doi:10.1016/j.jadohealth.2004.02.021.
54. Deforest A, Long SS, Lischner HW, Girone JA, Clark JL, Srinivasan R, Maguire TG, Diamond SA, Schiller RP, Rothstein EP, et al. Simultaneous administration of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine with booster doses of diphtheria-tetanus-pertussis and poliovirus vaccines. *Pediatrics*. 1988 Feb;81(2):237–46.

55. Englund JA, Suarez CS, Kelly J, Tate DY, Balfour HH Jr. Placebo-controlled trial of varicella vaccine given with or after measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. *J Pediatr*. 1989 Jan;114(1):37–44. doi:10.1016/S0022-3476(89)80598-0.
56. Ferrera G, Gajdos V, Thomas S, Tran C, Fiquet A. Safety of a refrigerator-stable varicella vaccine (VARIVAX) in healthy 12- to 15-month-old children: a randomized, double-blind, cross-over study. *Hum Vaccin*. 2009 July;5(7):455–60. doi:10.4161/hv.8269.
57. Gatchalian S, Leboulloux D, Desauziers E, Bernal N, Borja-Tabora C. Immunogenicity and safety of a varicella vaccine, Okavax, and a trivalent measles, mumps and rubella vaccine, MMR-II, administered concomitantly in healthy Filipino children aged 12–24 months. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 2003 Sept;34(3):589–97.
58. Gillet Y, Habermehl P, Thomas S, Eymen C, Fiquet A. Immunogenicity and safety of concomitant administration of a measles, mumps and rubella vaccine (M-M-RvaxPro) and a varicella vaccine (VARIVAX) by intramuscular or subcutaneous routes at separate injection sites: a randomised clinical trial. *BMC Med*. 2009 Apr 14;7:16. doi:10.1186/1741-7015-7-16.
59. Guerra FA, Gress J, Werzberger A, Reisinger K, Walter E, Lakkis H, Grosso AD, Welebob C, Kuter BJ. Safety, tolerability and immunogenicity of VAQTA given concomitantly versus non-concomitantly with other pediatric vaccines in healthy 12-month-old children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2006 Oct;25(10):912–19. doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000238135.01287.b9.
60. Hesley TM, Reisinger KS, Sullivan BJ, Jensen EH, Stasirowski S, Meehan CD, Chan CY, West DJ. Concomitant administration of a bivalent Haemophilus influenzae type b-hepatitis B vaccine, measles-mumps-rubella vaccine and varicella vaccine: safety, tolerability and immunogenicity. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2004 Mar;23(3):240–45. doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000114902.84651.02.
61. Huang LM, Lin TY, Chiu CH, Chiu N-C, Chen P-Y, Yeh S-J, Boaz M, Hutagalung Y, Bouckennooghe A, Feroldi E, et al. Concomitant administration of live attenuated Japanese encephalitis chimeric virus vaccine (JE-CV) and measles, mumps, rubella (MMR) vaccine: randomized study in toddlers in Taiwan. *Vaccine*. 2014 Sept 15;32(41):5363–69. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.02.085.
62. Klein NP, Abu-Elyazeed R, Povey M, Macias Parra M, Diez-Domingo J, Ahonen A, Korhonen T, Tinoco J-C, Weiner L, Marshall GS, et al. Immunogenicity and safety of a measles-mumps-rubella vaccine administered as a first dose to children aged 12 to 15 months: a phase III, randomized, noninferiority, lot-to-lot consistency study. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2020 Apr 30;9(2):194–201. doi:10.1093/jpids/piz010.
63. Klein NP, Weston WM, Kuriyakose S, Kolhe D, Howe B, Friedland LR, Van Der Meer O. An open-label, randomized, multi-center study of the immunogenicity and safety of DTaP-IPV (Kinrix) co-administered with MMR vaccine with or without varicella vaccine in healthy pre-school age children. *Vaccine*. 2012 Jan 11;30(3):668–74. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.10.065.
64. Lieberman JM, Williams WR, Miller JM, Black S, Shinefield H, Henderson F, Marchant CD, Werzberger A, Halperin S, Hartzel J, et al. The safety and immunogenicity of a quadrivalent measles, mumps, rubella and varicella vaccine in healthy children: a study of manufacturing consistency and persistence of antibody. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2006 July;25(7):615–22. doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000220209.35074.0b.
65. Lu MY, Huang LM, Lee CY, Lee PI, Chiu HH, Tsai HY. Evaluation of a live attenuated varicella vaccine in 15- to 18-month-old healthy children. *Zhonghua Min Guo Xiao Er Ke Yi Xue Hui Za Zhi*. 1998 Jan-Feb;39(1):38–42.
66. MMR Study Group 158. A second dose of a measles-mumps-rubella vaccine administered to healthy four-to-six-year-old children: a phase III, observer-blind, randomized, safety and immunogenicity study comparing GSK MMR and MMR II with and without DTaP-IPV and varicella vaccines co-administration. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2019;15(4):786–99.
67. MMR Study Group 161. Immunogenicity and safety of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine at two different potency levels administered to healthy children aged 12–15 months: a phase III, randomized, non-inferiority trial. *Vaccine*. 2018 Sept 11;36(38):5781–88. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.07.076.
68. MMR Study Group 162. Safety and immunogenicity of an upper-range release titer measles-mumps-rubella vaccine in children vaccinated at 12 to 15 months of age: a phase III, randomized study. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2018;14(12):2921–31. doi:10.1080/21645515.2018.1502527.
69. Mufson MA, Diaz C, Leonardi M, Harrison CJ, Grogg S, Carbayo A, Carlo-Torres S, Jean-Freau R, Quintero-Del-Rio A, Bautista G, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of human serum albumin-free MMR vaccine in US children aged 12–15 months. *J Pediatric Infect Dis Soc*. 2015 Dec;4(4):339–48. doi:10.1093/jpids/piu081.
70. Nolan T, Bernstein DI, Block SL, Hilty M, Keyserling HL, Marchant C, Marshall H, Richmond P, Yogev R, Cordova J, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of concurrent administration of live attenuated influenza vaccine with measles-mumps-rubella and varicella vaccines to infants 12 to 15 months of age. *Pediatrics*. 2008 Mar;121(3):508–16. doi:10.1542/peds.2007-1064.
71. Perrett KP, Snape MD, Ford KJ, John TM, Yu LMM, Langley JM, McNeil S, Dull PM, Ceddia F, Anemona A, et al. Immunogenicity and immune memory of a nonadjuvanted quadrivalent meningococcal glycoconjugate vaccine in infants. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2009 Mar;28(3):186–93. doi:10.1097/INF.0b013e31818e037d.
72. Reisinger KS, Brown ML, Xu J, Sullivan BJ, Marshall GS, Nauert B, Matson DO, Silas PE, Schödel F, Gress JO, et al. A combination measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella vaccine (ProQuad) given to 4- to 6-year-old healthy children vaccinated previously with M-M-R-II and varivax. *Pediatrics*. 2006 Feb;117(2):265–72. doi:10.1542/peds.2005-0092.
73. Reisinger KS, Richardson E, Malacaman EA, Levin MJ, Gardner JL, Wang W, Stek JE, Kuter B. A double-blind, randomized, controlled, multi-center safety and immunogenicity study of a refrigerator-stable formulation of VARIVAX(R). *Vaccine*. 2019 Sept 10;37(38):5788–95. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2018.01.089.
74. Reuman PD, Sawyer MH, Kuter BJ, Matthews H. Safety and immunogenicity of concurrent administration of measles-mumps-rubella-varicella vaccine and PedvaxHIB vaccines in healthy children twelve to eighteen months old. *The MMRV Study Group. Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 1997 July;16(7):662–67. doi:10.1097/00006454-199707000-00008.
75. Rinderknecht S, Bryant K, Nolan T, Pavia-Ruz N, Doniz CA, Weber MAR, Cohen C, Aris E, Mesaros N, Miller JM, et al. The safety profile of Haemophilus influenzae type b–neisseria meningitidis serogroups C and Y tetanus toxoid conjugate vaccine (HibMenCY). *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2012 Mar;8(3):304–11. doi:10.4161/hv.18752.
76. Rinderknecht S, Michaels MG, Blatter M, Gaglani M, Andrews W, Abughali N, Chandreshekar V, Trofa AF. Immunogenicity and safety of an inactivated hepatitis A vaccine when coadministered with measles-mumps-rubella and varicella vaccines in children less than 2 years of age. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2011 Oct;30(10):e179–185. doi:10.1097/INF.0b013e31822256a5.
77. Rothstein EP, Bernstein HH, Glode MP, Laussucq S, Nonenmacher J, Long SS, Hackell JG. Simultaneous administration of a diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and acellular pertussis vaccine with measles-mumps-rubella and oral poliovirus vaccines. *Am J Dis Child*. 1993 Aug;147(8):854–57. doi:10.1001/archpedi.1993.02160320056019.
78. Senders SD, Bundick ND, Li J, Zecca C, Helmond FA. Evaluation of immunogenicity and safety of VARIVAX New Seed Process (NSP) in children. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2018 Feb 1;14(2):442–49. doi:10.1080/21645515.2017.1388479.
79. Shinefield H, Black S, Digilio L, Reisinger K, Blatter M, Gress JO, Brown MLH, Eves KA, Klopfer SO, Schödel F, et al. Evaluation of a quadrivalent measles, mumps, rubella and varicella vaccine in healthy children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2005 Aug;24(8):665–69. doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000172902.25009.a1.

80. Shinefield H, Black S, Thear M, Coury D, Reisinger K, Rothstein E, Xu J, Hartzel J, Evans B, Digilio L, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of a measles, mumps, rubella and varicella vaccine given with combined Haemophilus influenzae type b conjugate/hepatitis B vaccines and combined diphtheria-tetanus-acellular pertussis vaccines. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2006 Apr;25(4):287–92. doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000207857.10947.1f.
81. Shinefield H, Black S, Williams WR, Reisinger K, Stewart T, Meissner HC, Guerrero J, Klopfer SO, Schödel F, Kuter BJ, et al. Dose-response study of a quadrivalent measles, mumps, rubella and varicella vaccine in healthy children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2005 Aug;24(8):670–75. doi:10.1097/01.inf.0000172901.29621.e9.
82. Shinefield HR, Black SB, Staehle BO, Adelman T, Ensor K, Ngai A, White CJ, Bird SR, Matthews H, Kuter BJ, et al. Safety, tolerability and immunogenicity of concomitant injections in separate locations of M-M-R II, VARIVAX and TETRAMUNE in healthy children vs. concomitant injections of M-M-R II and TETRAMUNE followed six weeks later by VARIVAX. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 1998 Nov;17(11):980–85. doi:10.1097/00006454-199811000-00003.
83. Shinefield HR, Black SB, Staehle BO, Matthews H, Adelman T, Ensor K, Chan SLA, Heyse J, Waters M, Chan CY, et al. Vaccination with measles, mumps and rubella vaccine and varicella vaccine: safety, tolerability, immunogenicity, persistence of antibody and duration of protection against varicella in healthy children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2002 June;21(6):555–61. doi:10.1097/00006454-200206000-00014.
84. Snape MD, Perrett KP, Ford KJ, John TM, Pace D, Yu L-M, Langley JM, McNeil S, Dull PM, Ceddia F, et al. Immunogenicity of a tetravalent meningococcal glycoconjugate vaccine in infants: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA*. 2008 Jan 9;299(2):173–84. doi:10.1001/jama.2007.29-c.
85. Watson BM, Laufer DS, Kuter BJ, Staehle B, White CJ, Starr SE. Safety and immunogenicity of a combined live attenuated measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella vaccine (MMR(II)V) in healthy children. *J Infect Dis*. 1996 Mar;173(3):731–34. doi:10.1093/infdis/173.3.731.
86. White CJ, Stinson D, Staehle B, Cho I, Matthews H, Ngai A, Keller P, Eiden J, Kuter B. Measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella combination vaccine: safety and immunogenicity alone and in combination with other vaccines given to children. Measles, mumps, rubella, varicella vaccine study group. *Clin Infect Dis*. 1997 May;24(5):925–31. doi:10.1093/clinids/24.5.925.
87. Halperin SA, McGrath P, Smith B, Houston T. Lidocaine-prilocaine patch decreases the pain associated with the subcutaneous administration of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine but does not adversely affect the antibody response. *J Pediatr*. 2000 June;136(6):789–94. doi:10.1016/S0022-3476(00)64169-0.
88. Abu-Elyazeed R, Jennings W, Severance R, Noss M, Caplanusi A, Povey M, Henry O. Immunogenicity and safety of a second dose of a measles-mumps-rubella vaccine administered to healthy participants 7 years of age or older: a phase III, randomized study. *Hum Vaccin Immunother*. 2018;14(11):2624–31. doi:10.1080/21645515.2018.1489186.
89. Diaz-Ortega JL, Bennett JV, Castaneda D, Vieyra JR, Valdespino-Gomez JL, de Castro JF. Successful seroresponses to measles and rubella following aerosolized Triviraten vaccine, but poor response to aerosolized mumps (Rubini) component: comparisons with injected MMR. *Vaccine*. 2010 Jan 8;28(3):692–98. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2009.10.083.
90. Diaz-Ortega JL, Bennett JV, Castaneda-Desales D, Quintanilla DM, Martinez D, de Castro JF. Booster immune response in children 6–7 years of age, randomly assigned to four groups with two MMR vaccines applied by aerosol or by injection. *Vaccine*. 2014 June 17;32(29):3680–86. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2014.04.031.
91. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals. Phase II randomized, controlled study to evaluate immunogenicity, reactogenicity and safety of GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals' combined Measles-Mumps-Rubella (Priorix™) vaccine produced using the Modified mumps Manufacturing Process and containing no HSA (Priorix-MMP-mp) compared to the currently licensed GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals' Priorix™ and Merck and Co.'s M-M-R*II vaccines when administered as a primary vaccination to healthy children aged 12–24 months. Clinical Study Report for Study 209762 (MeMuRu-151) (Development Phase II). GSK Study ID: 209762/151. GSK Study Register; 2004.
92. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. A study of ProQuad[™] in healthy children in Korea (V221-023). 2017. ClinicalTrials.gov.
93. Arciuolo RJ, Jablonski RR, Zucker JR, Rosen JB. Effectiveness of measles vaccination and immune globulin post-exposure prophylaxis in an outbreak setting—New York City, 2013. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2017 Nov 13;65(11):1843–47. doi:10.1093/cid/cix639.
94. Cardemil CV, Dahl RM, James L, Wannemuehler K, Gary HE, Shah M, Marin M, Riley J, Feikin DR, Patel M, et al. Effectiveness of a third dose of MMR vaccine for mumps outbreak control. *N Engl J Med*. 2017 Sept 7;377(10):947–56. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1703309.
95. Cortese MM, Jordan HT, Curns AT, Quinlan P, Ens K, Denning P, Dayan G. Mumps vaccine performance among university students during a mumps outbreak. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2008 Apr 15;46(8):1172–80. doi:10.1086/529141.
96. De Serres G, Boulianne N, Defay F, Brousseau N, Benoît M, Lacoursière S, Guillemette F, Soto J, Ouakki M, Ward BJ, et al. Higher risk of measles when the first dose of a 2-dose schedule of measles vaccine is given at 12–14 months versus 15 months of age. *Clin Infect Dis*. 2012 Aug;55(3):394–402. doi:10.1093/cid/cis439.
97. Hersh BS, Fine PE, Kent WK, Cochi SL, Kahn LH, Zell ER, Hays PL, Wood CL. Mumps outbreak in a highly vaccinated population. *J Pediatr*. 1991 Aug;119(2):187–93. doi:10.1016/S0022-3476(05)80726-7.
98. Livingston KA, Rosen JB, Zucker JR, Zimmerman CM. Mumps vaccine effectiveness and risk factors for disease in households during an outbreak in New York City. *Vaccine*. 2014 Jan 9;32(3):369–74. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2013.11.021.
99. Lynn TV, Beller M, Funk EA, Middaugh JP, Ritter D, Rota RA, Bellini WJ, Torok TJ. Incremental effectiveness of 2 doses of measles-containing vaccine compared with 1 dose among high school students during an outbreak. *J Infect Dis*. 2004 May 1;189(Suppl 1):S86–90. doi:10.1086/377699.
100. Marin M, Quinlisk P, Shimabukuro T, Sawhney C, Brown C, Lebaron CW. Mumps vaccination coverage and vaccine effectiveness in a large outbreak among college students—Iowa, 2006. *Vaccine*. 2008 July 4;26(29–30):3601–07. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.04.075.
101. Nelson GE, Aguon A, Valencia E, Oliva R, Guerrero ML, Reyes R, Lizama A, Diras D, Mathew A, Camacho EJ, et al. Epidemiology of a mumps outbreak in a highly vaccinated island population and use of a third dose of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine for outbreak control—Guam 2009 to 2010. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 2013 Apr;32(4):374–80. doi:10.1097/INF.0b013e318279f593.
102. Ogbuanu IU, Kutty PK, Hudson JM, Blog D, Abedi GR, Goodell S, Lawler J, McLean HQ, Pollock L, Rausch-Phung E, et al. Impact of a third dose of measles-mumps-rubella vaccine on a mumps outbreak. *Pediatrics*. 2012 Dec;130(6):e1567–1574. doi:10.1542/peds.2012-0177.
103. Woudenberg T, van der Maas NAT, Knol MJ, de Melker H, van Binnendijk RS, Hahne SJM. Effectiveness of early measles, mumps, and rubella vaccination among 6–14-month-old infants during an epidemic in the Netherlands: an observational cohort study. *J Infect Dis*. 2017 Apr 15;215(8):1181–87. doi:10.1093/infdis/jiw586.
104. Wiedmann RT, Reisinger KS, Hartzel J, Malacaman E, Senders SD, Giacoletti KED, Shaw E, Kuter BJ, Schödel F, Musey LK, et al. M-M-R(®)II manufactured using recombinant human albumin (rHA) and M-M-R(®)II manufactured using human serum albumin (HSA) exhibit similar safety and immunogenicity profiles when administered as a 2-dose regimen to healthy children. *Vaccine*. 2015 Apr 27;33(18):2132–40. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2015.03.017.
105. Brunell PA, Novelli VM, Lipton SV, Pollock B. Combined vaccine against measles, mumps, rubella, and varicella. *Pediatrics*. 1988 June;81(6):779–84.

106. Christenson B, Böttiger M, Heller L. Mass vaccination programme aimed at eradicating measles, mumps, and rubella in Sweden: first experience. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)*. 1983 Aug 6;287(6389):389–91. doi:10.1136/bmj.287.6389.389.
107. Gatchalian S, Cordero-Yap L, Lu-Fong M, Soriano R, Ludan A, Chitour K, Bock HL. A randomized comparative trial in order to assess the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of a new measles mumps rubella (MMR) vaccine when given as a first dose at 12–24 months of age. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 1999 Sept;30(3):511–17.
108. Lee CY, Tang RB, Huang FY, Tang H, Huang LM, Bock HL. A new measles mumps rubella (MMR) vaccine: a randomized comparative trial for assessing the reactogenicity and immunogenicity of three consecutive production lots and comparison with a widely used MMR vaccine in measles primed children. *Int J Infect Dis*. 2002 Sept;6(3):202–09. doi:10.1016/S1201-9712(02)90112-8.
109. Lerman SJ, Bollinger M, Brunken JM. Clinical and serologic evaluation of measles, mumps, and rubella (HPV-77: DE-5 and RA 27/3) virus vaccines, singly and in combination. *Pediatrics*. 1981 July;68(1):18–22.
110. Schwarzer S, Reibel S, Lang AB, Struck MM, Finkel B, Gerike E, Tischer A, Gassner M, Glück R, Stück B, et al. Safety and characterization of the immune response engendered by two combined measles, mumps and rubella vaccines. *Vaccine*. 1998 Jan-Feb;16(2–3):298–304. doi:10.1016/S0264-410X(97)00174-6.
111. Stück B, Stehr K, Bock HL. Concomitant administration of varicella vaccine with combined measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine in healthy children aged 12 to 24 months of age. *Asian Pac J Allergy Immunol*. 2002 June;20(2):113–20.
112. Usonis V, Bakasenas V, Chitour K, Clemens R. Comparative study of reactogenicity and immunogenicity of new and established measles, mumps and rubella vaccines in healthy children. *Infection*. 1998 July-Aug;26(4):222–26. doi:10.1007/BF02962367.
113. Usonis V, Bakasenas V, Kaufhold A, Chitour K, Clemens R. Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of a new live attenuated combined measles, mumps and rubella vaccine in healthy children. *Pediatr Infect Dis J*. 1999 Jan;18(1):42–48. doi:10.1097/00006454-199901000-00011.
114. Vesikari T, Ala-Laurila EL, Heikkinen A, Terho A, D’Hondt E, André FE. Clinical trial of a new trivalent measles-mumps-rubella vaccine in young children. *Am J Dis Child*. 1984 Sept;138(9):843–47. doi:10.1001/archpedi.1984.02140470043013.
115. Chandwani S, Beeler J, Li H, Audet S, Smith B, Moye J, Nalin D, Krasinski K. Safety and immunogenicity of early measles vaccination in children born to HIV-infected mothers in the United States: results of Pediatric AIDS Clinical Trials Group (PACTG) protocol 225. *J Infect Dis*. 2011 July;204(Suppl 1):S179–189. doi:10.1093/infdis/jicit089.
116. Peltola H, Heinonen OP. Frequency of true adverse reactions to measles-mumps-rubella vaccine. A double-blind placebo-controlled trial in twins. *Lancet*. 1986 Apr 26;1(8487):939–42. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(86)91044-5.
117. Virtanen M, Peltola H, Paunio M, Heinonen OP. Day-to-day reactogenicity and the healthy vaccinee effect of measles-mumps-rubella vaccination. *Pediatrics*. 2000 Nov;106(5):E62. doi:10.1542/peds.106.5.e62.
118. Kanra G, Ceyhan M, Ozmert E. Reactogenicity and immunogenicity of a new measles-mumps-rubella vaccine containing RIT 4385 mumps virus strain in healthy Turkish children. *Turk J Pediatr*. 2000 Oct-Dec;42(4):275–77.
119. GlaxoSmithKline. Immunogenicity and safety study of GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) biologicals’ combined measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine in children in their second year of life. NCT01681992; 2012.
120. Wood C, Von Baeyer CL, Bourrillon A, Dejos-Conant V, Clyti N, Abitbol V. Self-assessment of immediate post-vaccination pain after two different MMR vaccines administered as a second dose in 4- to 6-year-old children. *Vaccine*. 2004 Nov 25;23(2):127–31. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2004.08.029.
121. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals. A phase IIIA, observer-blind, randomized study to evaluate non-inferiority of a second dose of GSK Biologicals’ measles-mumps-rubella vaccine vs. a second dose of Merck & Co., Inc.’s MMR vaccine when administered to healthy subjects seven years of age and older, Clinical Study Report for Study 115231(MMR-159). GSK Sponsor-ID: 115231; NCT02058563; Eudra CT 2011-003672-36; 2016.
122. SmithKline Beecham Biologicals. A phase III, blinded, randomized, multicenter U.S. study evaluating the clinical consistency of three production lots of SmithKline Beecham Biologicals’ MMR vaccine (PRIORIX) and comparability of PRIORIX with Merck’s M-M-R II vaccine, administered to healthy children 12 to 18 months of age. Study Report 209762/136 (MeMuRu 136). GSK Study ID: 209762/136; 1999.
123. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals. Immunogenicity and safety study of GSK Biologicals Kinrix when coadministered with GSK biologicals varivax. EUCTR 2011-002946-11; 2011.
124. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. Frozen ProQuad administered concomitantly versus nonconcomitantly with other pediatric vaccines. 2015.
125. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals. A phase IIIb, open, randomized, controlled, multicenter study of the immunogenicity and safety of GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals’ inactivated hepatitis A vaccine (Havrix®) [720 EL.U/0.5mL dose] administered on a 0, 6 month schedule concomitantly with Merck and Company, Inc. measles-mumps-rubella vaccine (M-M-R® II) and Merck and Company Inc. Varicella Vaccine (VARIVAX®) to healthy children months of age. Clinical Study Report for Study 208109/231 (HAV-231) (Development Phase IIIb). GSK Study ID: 208109/231; NCT00197015; 2018.
126. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. Safety, tolerability, and immunogenicity of 3 frozen ProQuad consistency lots in healthy children (V221-012)(COMPLETED). NCT00985153; 2009.
127. GlaxoSmithKline. Consistency study of GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) biologicals’ MMR vaccine (209762) (priorix) comparing immunogenicity and safety to Merck & Co., Inc.’s MMR vaccine (M-M-R II), in children 12 to 15 months of age. NCT01702428; 2012.
128. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals. A phase II, randomized, observer blind, controlled, multicenter study to assess immunogenicity and antibody persistence following vaccination with GSK’s candidate combined measles, mumps, and rubella vaccine (MMR) versus M-M-R® II as a first dose, both administered subcutaneously at 12–15 months of age, concomitantly with hepatitis A vaccine (HAV), varicella vaccine (VV) and pneumococcal conjugate vaccine (PCV) but at separate sites. Clinical Study Report for 111870 (MMR-157 PRI); 2012.
129. GlaxoSmithKline. Immunogenicity and safety study of GlaxoSmithKline (GSK) biologicals’ combined measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine in subjects four to six years of age. NCT01621802; 2017.
130. GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals. Open, randomized, phase II, clinical trial to compare the immunogenicity and safety of a booster dose of GSK Biologicals’ DTaP-IPV vaccine (Infanrix®-IPV) co-administered with a booster dose of Merck and Company’s M-M-R II, to that of separate injections of GSK Biologicals’ DTaP vaccine (Infanrix®), Aventis Pasteur’s IPV (IPOL®) and M-M-R II administered as booster doses to healthy children 4 to 6 years of age. Clinical Study Report for Study: 213503 (DTPa-IPV-047) 047. GSK Study ID: 213503/047, NCT00263692; 2005.
131. Diaz Ortega JL, Castaneda D, Arellano Quintanilla DM, Martínez D, Trumbo SP, Fernandez de Castro J. Antibody persistence in children aged 6–7 years one year following booster immunization with two MMR vaccines applied by aerosol or by injection. *Vaccine*. 2017 May 25;35(23):3116–22. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.04.027.
132. Dos Santos BA, Ranieri TS, Bercini M, Schermann MT, Famer S, Mohrdieck R, Maraskin T, Wagner MB. An evaluation of the adverse reaction potential of three measles-mumps-rubella combination vaccines. *Rev Panam Salud Publica*. 2002;12(4):240–46. doi:10.1590/s1020-49892002001000004.

133. Gothefors L, Bergstrom E, Backman M. Immunogenicity and reactogenicity of a new measles, mumps and rubella vaccine when administered as a second dose at 12 y of age. *Scand J Infect Dis.* 2001;33(7):545–49. doi:10.1080/00365540110026593.
134. Sarno MJ, Blase E, Galindo N, Ramirez R, Schirmer CL, Trujillo-Juarez DF. Clinical immunogenicity of measles, mumps and rubella vaccine delivered by the Injex jet injector: comparison with standard syringe injection. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2000 Sept;19(9):839–42. doi:10.1097/00006454-200009000-00006.
135. Wakefield AJ, Murch SH, Anthony A, Linnell J, Casson DM, Malik M, Berelowitz M, Dhillon AP, Thomson MA, Harvey P, et al. Ileal-lymphoid-nodular hyperplasia, non-specific colitis, and pervasive developmental disorder in children. *Lancet.* 1998 Feb 28;351(9103):637–41. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(97)11096-0.
136. Flaherty DK. The vaccine-autism connection: a public health crisis caused by unethical medical practices and fraudulent science. *Ann Pharmacother.* 2011 Oct;45(10):1302–04. doi:10.1345/aph.1Q318.
137. Godlee F, Smith J, Marcovitch H. Wakefield's article linking MMR vaccine and autism was fraudulent. *BMJ.* 2011 Jan 5;342:c7452. doi:10.1136/bmj.c7452.
138. Baird G, Pickles A, Simonoff E, Charman T, Sullivan P, Chandler S, Loucas T, Meldrum D, Afzal M, Thomas B, et al. Measles vaccination and antibody response in autism spectrum disorders. *Arch Dis Child.* 2008 Oct;93(10):832–37. doi:10.1136/adc.2007.122937.
139. Demicheli V, Rivetti A, Debalini MG, Di Pietrantonj C. Vaccines for measles, mumps and rubella in children. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012 Feb 15;(2):CD004407. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD004407.pub3.
140. Hornig M, Briese T, Buie T, Bauman ML, Lauwers G, Siemietzki U, Hummel K, Rota PA, Bellini WJ, O'Leary JJ, et al. Lack of association between measles virus vaccine and autism with enteropathy: a case-control study. *PLoS One.* 2008 Sept 4;3(9):e3140. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0003140.
141. Hviid A, Hansen JV, Frisch M, Melbye M. Measles, mumps, rubella vaccination and autism: a nationwide cohort study. *Ann Intern Med.* 2019 Apr 16;170(8):513–20. doi:10.7326/M18-2101.
142. Jain A, Marshall J, Buikema A, Bancroft T, Kelly JP, Newschaffer CJ. Autism occurrence by MMR vaccine status among US children with older siblings with and without autism. *JAMA.* 2015 Apr 21;313(15):1534–40. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.3077.
143. Mrozek-Budzyn D, Kiełtyka A, Majewska R. Lack of association between measles-mumps-rubella vaccination and autism in children: a case-control study. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 2010 May;29(5):397–400. doi:10.1097/INF.0b013e3181c40a8a.
144. Angel JB, Walpita P, Lerch RA, Sidhu MS, Masurekar M, DeLellis RA, Noble JT, Syndman DR, Udem SA. Vaccine-associated measles pneumonitis in an adult with AIDS. *Ann Intern Med.* 1998 July 15;129(2):104–06. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-129-2-199807150-00007.
145. Bitnun A, Shannon P, Durward A, Rota PA, Bellini WJ, Graham C, Wang E, Ford-Jones EL, Cox P, Becker L, et al. Measles inclusion-body encephalitis caused by the vaccine strain of measles virus. *Clin Infect Dis.* 1999 Oct;29(4):855–61. doi:10.1086/520449.
146. Monafó WJ, Haslam DB, Roberts RL, Zaki SR, Bellini WJ, Coffin CM. Disseminated measles infection after vaccination in a child with a congenital immunodeficiency. *J Pediatr.* 1994 Feb;124(2):273–76. doi:10.1016/S0022-3476(94)70318-3.
147. Weitzman S, Manson D, Wilson G, Allen U. Fever and respiratory distress in an 8-year-old boy receiving therapy for acute lymphoblastic leukemia. *J Pediatr.* 2003 June;142(6):714–21. doi:10.1067/mpd.2003.217.
148. Rodríguez-Nava G, Trelles-García DP, Yanez-Bello MA, Imaniramos T, Trelles-García DP, Bustamante-Soliz DS, Patiño-Salamea E. MMR vaccine adverse drug reactions reports in the CDC WONDER system, 1989–2019. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2020 Aug;7(8):ofaa211. doi:10.1093/ofid/ofaa211.
149. Redd SC, King GE, Heath JL, Forghani B, Bellini WJ, Markowitz LE. Comparison of vaccination with measles-mumps-rubella vaccine at 9, 12, and 15 months of age. *J Infect Dis.* 2004 May 1;189(Suppl 1):S116–122. doi:10.1086/378691.
150. Henry O, Klein NP, Povey M, Parra MM, Diez-Domingo J, Ahonen A, Abu-Elyazeed R, Korhonen T, Tinoco JC, Weiner L, et al. A randomized, consistency study comparing immunogenicity and safety of 2 vaccines against measles, mumps and rubella (MMR) administered to children 12–15 months of age. *New Orleans (LA): Infectious Disease Week;* 2016
151. Pebody RG, Gay NJ, Hesketh LM, Vyse A, Morgan-Capner P, Brown DW, Litton P, Miller E. Immunogenicity of second dose measles-mumps-rubella (MMR) vaccine and implications for serosurveillance. *Vaccine.* 2002 Jan 15;20(7–8):1134–40. doi:10.1016/S0264-410X(01)00435-2.
152. Merck Sharp & Dohme Corp. A study of ProQuad in healthy 4 to 6 year old children (V221-014). NCT00985166; 2009.
153. Diaz-Ortega JL, Bennett JV, Castaneda D, Martinez D, de Castro JF. Antibody persistence in young adults 1 year after MMR immunization by aerosol or by subcutaneous route. *Vaccine.* 2010 Oct 18;28(44):7228–32. doi:10.1016/j.vaccine.2010.08.055.
154. Dos Santos BA, Stralioto SM, Siqueira MM, Ranieri TS, Bercini M, Schermann MT, Wagner MB, Silveira TR. Prevalence of antibodies against measles, mumps, and rubella before and after vaccination of school-age children with three different triple combined viral vaccines, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil, 1996. *Rev Panam Salud Publica.* 2006 Nov;20(5):299–306. doi:10.1590/s1020-49892006001000002.
155. Just M, Berger R, Glück R, Wegmann A. Evaluation of a combined vaccine against measles-mumps-rubella produced on human diploid cells. *Dev Biol Stand.* 1986;65:25–27.
156. Markowitz LE, Albrecht P, Rhodes P, Demonteverde R, Swint E, Maes EF, Powell C, Patriarca PA. Changing levels of measles antibody titers in women and children in the United States: impact on response to vaccination. Kaiser permanente measles vaccine trial team. *Pediatrics.* 1996 Jan;97(1):53–58.
157. Marin M, Marlow M, Moore KL, Patel M. Recommendation of the advisory committee on immunization practices for use of a third dose of mumps virus-containing vaccine in persons at increased risk for mumps during an outbreak. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep.* 2018;67:33–38. doi:10.15585/mmwr.mm6701a7.