

# An Expanded Genome-Wide Association Study of Fructosamine Levels Identifies RCN3 as a Replicating Locus and Implicates FCGRT as the Effector Transcript

Fernando Riveros-Mckay,1 David Roberts,2,3,4 Emanuele Di Angelantonio,2,5,6,7 Bing Yu,8 Nicole Soranzo,1,2,9 John Danesh,1,5,8,10 Elizabeth Selvin,11 Adam S. Butterworth,2,5,6,8 and Inês Barroso<sup>1,12</sup>

Diabetes 2022;71:359–364 | https://doi.org/10.2337/db21-0320

Fructosamine is a measure of short-term glycemic control, which has been suggested as a useful complement to glycated hemoglobin (Hb $A_{1c}$ ) for the diagnosis and monitoring of diabetes. To date, a single genome-wide association study (GWAS) including 8,951 U.S. White and 2,712 U.S. Black individuals without a diabetes diagnosis has been published. Results in Whites and Blacks yielded different association loci, near RCN3 and CNTN5, respectively. In this study, we performed a GWAS on 20,731 European-ancestry blood donors and meta-analyzed our results with previous data from U.S. White participants from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study ( $N_{\text{meta}}$  = 29,685). We identified a novel association near GCK (rs3757840,  $\beta_{\text{meta}} = 0.0062$ ; minor allele frequency [MAF] = 0.49;  $P_{meta}$  = 3.66  $\times$  10<sup>-8</sup>) and confirmed the association near RCN3 (rs113886122,  $\beta_{\text{meta}} = 0.0134$ ; MAF = 0.17;  $P_{meta} = 5.71 \times 10^{-18}$ ). Colocalization analysis with whole-blood expression quantitative trait loci data suggested FCGRT as the effector transcript at the RCN3 locus. We further showed that fructosamine has low heritability (h2 = 7.7%), has no significant genetic correlation with  $HbA_{1c}$  and other glycemic traits in individuals without



Fructosamine is a measure of total glycated proteins in serum. Since the most abundant serum protein is albumin, fructosamine predominately reflects glycation of albumin (1). In contrast to glycated hemoglobin ( $HbA_{1c}$ ), which reflects average glycemia during the preceding 3 months, fructosamine measures short-term glycemic control (from 2 to 3 weeks), reflecting the shorter turnover time of serum proteins (1). As it is independent of hemoglobin, fructosamine levels are not affected by red cell turnover or characteristics of hemoglobin, making it a viable alternative to  $HbA<sub>1c</sub>$  to monitor glycemic control in the presence of anemia or a hemoglobinopathy (1). Another important difference is that whereas fructosamine reflects levels of

<sup>9</sup>Department of Haematology, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K. <sup>10</sup>National Institute for Health Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre, University of Cambridge and Cambridge University Hospitals, Cambridge, U.K.

<sup>12</sup>Exeter Centre of Excellence for Diabetes Research, University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, U.K.

Corresponding author: Inês Barroso, [ines.barroso@exeter.ac.uk](mailto:ines.barroso@exeter.ac.uk)

Received 14 June 2021 and accepted 4 November 2021

This article contains supplementary material online at [https://doi.org/10.2337/](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561) fi[gshare.16933561](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Wellcome Sanger Institute, Cambridge, U.K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Department of Public Health and Primary Care, The National Institute for Health Research Blood and Transplant Research Unit in Donor Health and Genomics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>NHS Blood and Transplant, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, U.K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Radcliffe Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, John Radcliffe Hospital, Oxford, U.K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>British Heart Foundation Cardiovascular Epidemiology Unit, Department of Public Health and Primary Care, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>British Heart Foundation Centre of Research Excellence, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Health Data Research UK Cambridge, Wellcome Genome Campus and University of Cambridge, Cambridge, U.K.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Human Genetics and Environmental Sciences, School of Public Health, University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX

<sup>11</sup>Department of Epidemiology, Johns Hopkins University, Baltimore, MD

<sup>©</sup> 2022 by the American Diabetes Association. Readers may use this article as long as the work is properly cited, the use is educational and not for profit, and the work is not altered. More information is available at [https://](https://www.diabetesjournals.org/journals/pages/license) [www.diabetesjournals.org/journals/pages/license](https://www.diabetesjournals.org/journals/pages/license).

extracellular glucose,  $HbA_{1c}$  is a measure of intracellular glycation. Determinants of these two measurements may reflect differences in glycation in the two different environments (2). Despite its potential advantages and its association with diabetes incidence, retinopathy, and chronic kidney disease (CKD), independently of baseline fasting glucose (FG) and  $HbA_{1c}$  (1,3), fructosamine has not been widely used as a measure of glucose control (4).

To date, a single study has examined the single nucleotide polymorphism–based heritability of fructosamine, yielding an  $h^2$  estimate of  $\sim$ 13% (5). A fructosamine genome-wide association study (GWAS) performed on 8,951 U.S. White individuals ( $N_{\text{discovery}}$  = 7,647) and 2,712 Black individuals ( $N_{\text{discovery}}$  = 2,104) without a diabetes diagnosis found an association in Whites near RCN3 (rs34459162,  $P_{discovery}$  =5.3  $\times$   $10^{-9}$ ) and an association near CNTN5 (rs2438321,  $P_{discovery} = 6.2 \times 10^{-9}$ ) in Blacks but neither variant replicated in additional samples  $(N_{\text{replication}} = 1,304$  and  $N_{\text{replication}} = 608$ , respectively). This study also demonstrated that, despite some evidence  $(P < 2.7 \times 10^{-4})$  of association with three established FG and/or  $HbA_{1c}$  loci (TCF7L2, GCK, and SLC2A2), there was no significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) genetic correlation of fructosamine with FG or  $HbA_{1c}$ .

In this study, we aimed to gain further insight into the genetic architecture of fructosamine by performing a GWAS in 20,731 European-ancestry blood donors from the INTERVAL cohort (6). To increase power for novel locus discovery, we combined our results with association statistics from U.S. White participants from the study by Loomis et al. (7) in a meta-analysis ( $N_{\text{meta}} = 29,685$ ). Lastly, we explored the heritability of the trait and its genetic relationship with other glycemic and nonglycemic traits to establish the degree of shared genetic influences.

## RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODS

We conducted a GWAS for fructosamine using the INTERVAL cohort (6) and then meta-analyzed our results with those of U.S. White participants from the previously published Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study (7). The INTERVAL cohort consists of 47,394 blood donors in the U.K. (6). The ARIC Study consists of 15,792 participants recruited from four U.S. communities (8).

All participants from the INTERVAL cohort were genotyped using the Affymetrix UK Biobank Axiom Array and imputed using a combined UK10K-1000G phase III imputation panel (9) and those from ARIC were genotyped using the Affymetrix 6.0 array and imputed separately by race using the 1000G Project phase I reference panel (7). Genotype quality control for INTERVAL has been previously described in Astle et al. (9). Briefly, samples with poor signal intensity (dish quality control <0.82) or low call rate (<97%) were excluded. Duplicated, contaminated, and non-European samples were also excluded. Variants with low call rate  $(<,95\%)$  and those with cluster statistics indicating poor quality genotyping or hard-tocall multiallelic variants were excluded. Additionally, before imputation, variants were removed using the following filters: 1) Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium  $P < 5 \times$  $10^{-6}$ ; 2) call rate <99% over the genotyping batches in which the variant did not fail; and 3) global call rate <75% (over 10 genotyping batches). After imputation, the total number of variants was 87,696,910. In ARIC, samples with high missingness (>5%), sex mismatch, discordance with previous TaqMan assay genotypes, genetic outliers, and relatedness were excluded (9). Low frequency variants (minor allele frequency [MAF] <5%) and those with imputation quality  $<$  0.8 were excluded, resulting in 5,446,889 variants (7).

Phenotyping for the INTERVAL cohort was performed by Star-SHL laboratory ([https://www.star-shl.nl/\)](https://www.star-shl.nl/), and fructosamine was measured on 28,310 INTERVAL cohort participants using a colorimetric assay (Roche/Hitachi Modular P analyzer system). We performed phenotype quality control in R (10) to prepare the data for association analysis. After adjusting for relevant biometric and technical variables (sex, donation center, height, weight, processing date, number of donations, and attendance date), values were transformed on the natural log scale in order to match the approach taken by Loomis et al. (7). After removal of participants on glucose-lowering medication and phenotype quality control, we kept 20,731 participants with fructosamine and genotype data. Fructosamine in ARIC was measured using a Roche Modular P800 system from serum collected at visit 2.

BOLT-LMM (11) was used to run genome-wide association analysis on 19,100,024 variants with MAF  $>0.1\%$ and INFO score  $>0.4$ . Linkage disequilibrium (LD) score regression results showed no signs of inflation, so no genomic correction was performed (LD intercept = 1.01). Summary statistics for ARIC White participants from Loomis et al. (7) were obtained from the authors. We then performed inverse variance-weighted meta-analysis using a fixed-effects model in METAL (12). In total, 5,200,018 were included in the meta-analysis. Variants were clumped into the same locus if they were within 250 kb of the lead variant and if  $r^2 > 0.1$ . Clumping was performed as implemented in PLINK (13). Variants were declared as genome-wide significant if they met the standard genome-wide significance threshold  $(P < 5 \times 10^{-8})$ . To identify potential effector transcripts at the RCN3 locus, expression data from Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) v7 (<https://gtexportal.org/>) (14) were used to discover colocalized expression quantitative trait locis (eQTLs) in whole blood. For this purpose, we used coloc (15), a software package that calculates the probability of two phenotypes sharing a causal variant in a region by performing approximate Bayes factor colocalization analysis. Protein-coding genes within 1 Mb of the lead variant in the RCN3 locus were tested for colocalization.

LD score regression (16) was used to establish the heritability of the trait. Genetic correlation analyses with glycemic traits, hematological traits, anthropometric traits, and kidney diseases/traits ([Supplementary Table 1\)](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561) were performed using LD Hub (17). Power calculation for genetic correlation analyses was done using the GCTA-GREML Power Calculator (18).

### Data and Resource Availability

Summary statistics from the genome-wide association analysis in INTERVAL will be available from the GWAS catalog upon publication under accession GCST90017143.

# RESULTS

Genome-wide association analysis of fructosamine in 20,731 blood donors from INTERVAL (19,100,024 variants; MAF  $>0.1\%$ ) yielded two genome-wide significant (P < 5  $\times$  $10^{-8}$ ) loci. The *ABCB11* locus (rs853777, β =  $-0.009$  [95% CI  $-0.013$  to  $-0.007$ ]; MAF = 0.35;  $P = 8.8 \times 10^{-9}$ ) previously associated with  $HbA_{1c}$  and FG (19) and the RCN3 locus (rs111476047, β = 0.013 [95% CI 0.009-0.017]; MAF = 0.21;  $P = 2.1 \times 10^{-11}$ ) associated with fructosamine in Loomis et al. (7) (Table 1). Next, to increase power for additional locus discovery, we performed genome-wide meta-analysis of our data set with that of White participants from Loomis et al. (7). Following meta-analysis (Table 1 and [Supplementary Figs. 1](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561)–[4\)](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561), two loci were genome-wide significant: RCN3 (rs113886122, effect allele = C;  $\beta$  = 0.013 [95% CI 0.010–0.017]; MAF = 0.17;  $P_{\text{meta}} = 5.71 \times 10^{-18}$ ) and GCK (rs3757840, effect allele = T;  $\beta$  = 0.006 [95% CI 0.004–0.008]; MAF = 0.49;  $P_{\text{meta}} = 3.66 \times 10^{-8}$ ), another established glycemic trait locus (19). In contrast, the association at the ABCB11 locus was no longer genome-wide significant ( $P_{\text{meta}} = 8.50 \times 10^{-7}$ ) due to lack of supporting evidence for association at this locus in ARIC (rs853777, effect allele = T;  $\beta$  = -0.002 [95% CI -0.005 to 0.001]; P = 0.17) (Table 1).

While GCK is known to be the effector transcript at this locus (20), little is known about the RCN3 locus and its relationship with fructosamine. We therefore sought to explore if eQTL information could point toward potential effector transcripts at this locus. Of 42 protein-coding genes within 1 Mb of the lead signal (rs113886122), only the FCGRT eQTL in whole blood displayed convincing evidence of a shared causal variant with same direction of effect (posterior probability 97.7%) [\(Supplementary Table](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561) [2\)](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561), suggesting it is the likely effector transcript at this locus (Fig. 1).

To estimate the heritability of fructosamine, we next used LD score regression to estimate its heritability explained by common genetic variation (MAF  $>0.05$  in EUR) and to quantify the degree of genetic correlation of fructosamine with other glycemic-related traits. Heritability was estimated to be 7.7% (95% CI 3.6–11.9). Genetic correlation results with anthropometric, glycemic, kidney, and blood cell traits ([Supplementary Table 1\)](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561) showed evidence of moderate negative genetic correlation (rg) (Bonferroni-corrected threshold  $P < 0.0012$ ) with waist-to-hip





Figure 1—LocusCompareR (29) plot highlighting FCGRT region. eQTL refers to expression data of whole blood for FCGRT, and GWAS refers to the fructosamine GWAS performed in this study. Left panel reflects correlation of log10 P values in the region, and right panel displays the peaks for each phenotype in the region (fructosamine GWAS, top right; FCGRT eQTL, bottom right).

ratio (rg =  $-0.29$  [95% CI  $-0.45$  to  $-0.14$ ]; P = 0.0002), waist circumference (rg =  $-0.32$  [ $-0.50$  to  $-0.14$ ]; P = 0.0004), body fat percentage (rg =  $-0.32$  [ $-0.50$  to  $-0.13$ ]; P = 0.0007), and obesity class 1 (rg =  $-0.29$  $[-0.45 \text{ to } -0.12]; P = 0.0006$ .

## **DISCUSSION**

In this study, we aimed to further elucidate the genetic architecture of fructosamine by conducting a GWAS in 20,731 European-ancestry blood donors from the INTER-VAL cohort. Combining our data in a meta-analysis with that of 7,647 White individuals previously published by Loomis et al. (7), we identified two loci, RCN3 and GCK, associated with fructosamine levels at genome-wide significance level ( $P < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ ).

GCK (rs3757840) was not previously known to associate with fructosamine levels, but it is a well-established glycemic locus (19); it codes for glucokinase, a key enzyme that plays a role in sensing glucose levels in  $\beta$ -cells (20).

RCN3 (lead variant rs113886122) was shown to associate with fructosamine levels in U.S. White participants by Loomis et al. (7). Variants in this region have previously also been associated with total cholesterol, total protein, albumin, and multiple red cell traits (21,22). In this study, we replicated this association locus in a large sample of European-ancestry blood donors, and, using colocalization analysis with blood eQTL data, we established FCGRT as the likely effector transcript in the region. FCGRT codes for the Fc fragment of the IgG receptor and transporter, which plays a role in maintenance of albumin levels, protecting albumin from degradation (23). In agreement with these results, the rs59774409-C fructosamineincreasing allele was associated with higher FCGRT expression levels in whole blood. In mouse studies, hepatic levels of this protein have been shown to regulate albumin homeostasis and susceptibility to liver injury (24). These results suggest that the locus found in this study could influence fructosamine levels through pathways that regulate albumin levels. As fructosamine normally reflects glycated albumin (1), a shared genetic link is not unexpected.

The ABCB11 locus previously associated with  $HbA_{1c}$ and FG (19) associated with fructosamine at genome-wide significance levels in INTERVAL participants (rs853777,  $P = 8.80 \times 10^{-9}$ ), but failed to reach this threshold after meta-analysis with White participants from Loomis et al. (7)  $(P_{\text{meta}} = 8.80 \times 10^{-7})$ . Given the fact that ABCB11 is an established glycemic locus (19), testing its association with fructosamine in larger numbers and diverse ancestry participants will be important.

In agreement with a previous study (5), fructosamine appears to be a trait with modest heritability (7.7% [95%  $CI - 3.6$  to 11.9]), suggesting most of the variation of the trait in this generally healthy population is due to environmental factors. This is in keeping with fructosamine measuring short-term changes in glycemia (25) and its use as a measure of treatment response in patients with diabetes (25). In our data, fructosamine does not show evidence of significant genetic correlation with other glycemic traits, including with  $HbA_{1c}$  ( $P > 0.05$ ). This is despite both traits normally having a high phenotypic correlation  $(\sim 0.61$  (26)) and reflecting similar biological processes—namely, the glycation of proteins and having enough power (>80%) to detect a genetic correlation of 0.16. This lack of significant genetic correlation was also observed in Loomis et al. (7).

Interestingly, the only traits for which we observed a Bonferroni significant negative genetic correlation were waist-to-hip ratio, body fat percentage, obesity class 1, and waist circumference. This is consistent with prior studies showing a negative association between BMI and fructosamine (27,28). The effect of adiposity on fructosamine is not fully understood but may impact its use as a clinical measurement of glycemic control.

Lastly, among the genetic correlation results ([Supp](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561)[lementary Table 1\)](https://doi.org/10.2337/figshare.16933561), nominally significant negative correlations were found with HOMA of  $\beta$ -cell function, platelet count, and estimated glomerular filtration rate, while nominally significant positive genetic correlation was detected with CKD. Given the evidence in the literature linking fructosamine with incident CKD independently of other risk factors in individuals with and without diabetes (2), these correlation results between estimated glomerular filtration rate and CKD provide some interesting hypotheses to explore in future studies.

One limitation of this study is our limited power to detect associations for rarer variants (MAF <1%) due to our sample size (e.g., 28% power to detect an effect size of 0.2-SD units for variants with an MAF of 1%, which is almost double the effect size of the strongest signal in this study).

In conclusion, we have expanded knowledge into the genetic architecture of fructosamine levels by identifying a new genome-wide significant locus (GCK), highlighting FCGRT as the potential effector transcript at RCN3, finding evidence of genetic correlation with obesity-related traits, and replicating the absence of a significant genetic correlation with other glycemic traits in an increased sample size.

Funding. Participants in the INTERVAL randomized controlled trial were recruited with the active collaboration of NHS Blood and Transplant (U.K.; [www.nhsbt.nhs.uk](http://www.nhsbt.nhs.uk)), which has supported field work and other elements of the trial. DNA extraction and genotyping was cofunded by the National

Institute for Health Research (NIHR), the NIHR BioResource (https://bioresource.nihr.ac.uk), and the NIHR (Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre at the Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust). The sequencing work was supported by Wellcome Trust grant 206194. The academic coordinating center for INTERVAL was supported by core funding from NIHR Blood and Transplant Research Unit in Donor Health and Genomics (NIHR BTRU-2014-10024), UK Medical Research Council (MR/L003120/1), British Heart Foundation (SP/09/002, RG/13/13/30194, and RG/18/13/33946), and the NIHR (Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre at the Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust). A complete list of the investigators and contributors to the INTERVAL trial is provided in Di Angelantonio E, Thompson SG, Kaptoge SK, et al.; INTERVAL Trial Group. Efficiency and safety of varying the frequency of whole blood donation (INTERVAL): a randomised trial of 45,000 donors. Lancet 2017;390: 2360–2371. This work was supported by Health Data Research UK, which is funded by the U.K. Medical Research Council, Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Economic and Social Research Council, Department of Health and Social Care (England), Chief Scientist Office of the Scottish Government Health and Social Care Directorates, Health and Social Care Research and Development Division (Welsh Government), Public Health Agency (Northern Ireland), British Heart Foundation, and Wellcome. The ARIC study has been funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI), National Institutes of Health (NIH), and Department of Health and Human Services (contract numbers HHSN268201700001I, HHSN268201700002I, HHSN2682017000 03I, HHSN2682017 00005I, and HHSN268201700004I), grants R01HL087641, R01HL059367, and R01HL086694; National Human Genome Research Institute contract U01H G004402; and NIH contract HHSN268200625226C. Infrastructure was partly supported by the NIH and NIH Roadmap for Medical Research grant UL1RR025005. This work was funded in part by an "Expanding excellence in England" award from Research England (to I.B.). I.B. further acknowledges funding from Wellcome (WT206194). F.R.-M. received funding from Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología México (489672). J.D. is funded by the NIHR and holds a British Heart Foundation Professorship and an NIHR Senior Investigator Award. E.S. was supported by NIH/NHLBI grant K24 HL152440 and NIH/National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases grant R01DK089174. The GTEx Project was supported by the Common Fund of the Office of the Director of the National Institutes of Health and by the National Cancer Institute, National Human Genome Research Institute, NHLBI, National Institute on Drug Abuse, National Institute of Mental Health, and National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. The data used for the analyses described in this manuscript were obtained from the GTEx Portal on 9 October 2020.

The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the National Health Service, NIHR, or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Duality of Interest. F.R.-M. is a current employee of Genomics Plc. I.B. and/or spouse own stock in GlaxoSmithKline, Incyte Corporation, and Inivata Ltd. No other potential conflicts of interest relevant to this article were reported.

Author Contributions. F.R.M. performed analysis, wrote the paper, and revised, edited, and approved the final version of the paper. J.D. and E.S. contributed data sets and revised, edited, and approved the final version of the paper. D.R., E.D.A., B.Y., N.S., J.D., E.S., and A.S.B. revised, edited, and approved the final version of the paper. I.B. supervised the work, wrote the paper, and revised, edited and approved the final version of the paper. I.B. is the guarantor of this work and, as such, had full access to all of the data in the study and takes responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data analysis.

#### **References**

1. Danese E, Montagnana M, Nouvenne A, Lippi G. Advantages and pitfalls of fructosamine and glycated albumin in the diagnosis and treatment of diabetes. J Diabetes Sci Technol 2015;9:169–176

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the staff and participants of the ARIC study for their important contributions. The academic coordinating center thanks the blood donor center staff and blood donors for participating in the INTERVAL trial.

2. Veiga da-Cunha M, Jacquemin P, Delpierre G, et al. Increased protein glycation in fructosamine 3-kinase-deficient mice. Biochem J 2006;399:257–264

3. Selvin E, Rawlings AM, Grams M, et al. Fructosamine and glycated albumin for risk stratification and prediction of incident diabetes and microvascular complications: a prospective cohort analysis of the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) study. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 2014;2:279–288

4. Little RR, Rohlfing CL; National Glycohemoglobin Standardization Program (NGSP) Steering Committee. Status of hemoglobin A1c measurement and goals for improvement: from chaos to order for improving diabetes care. Clin Chem 2011;57:205–214

5. Loomis SJ, Tin A, Coresh J, et al. Heritability analysis of nontraditional glycemic biomarkers in the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. Genet Epidemiol 2019;43:776–785

6. Moore C, Sambrook J, Walker M, et al. The INTERVAL trial to determine whether intervals between blood donations can be safely and acceptably decreased to optimise blood supply: study protocol for a randomised controlled trial. Trials 2014;15:363

7. Loomis SJ, Li M, Maruthur NM, et al. Genome-wide association study of serum fructosamine and glycated albumin in adults without diagnosed diabetes: results from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study. Diabetes 2018;67:1684–1696

8. The ARIC Investigators. The Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities (ARIC) Study: design and objectives. The ARIC investigators. Am J Epidemiol 1989;129:687–702

9. Astle WJ, Elding H, Jiang T, et al. The allelic landscape of human blood cell trait variation and links to common complex disease. Cell 2016;167: 1415–1429.e19

10. R Development Core Team R. R. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2011

11. Loh PR, Tucker G, Bulik-Sullivan BK, et al. Efficient Bayesian mixedmodel analysis increases association power in large cohorts. Nat Genet 2015;47:284–290

12. Willer CJ, Li Y, Abecasis GR. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. Bioinformatics 2010;26:2190–2191

13. Purcell S, Neale B, Todd-Brown K, et al. PLINK: a tool set for wholegenome association and population-based linkage analyses. Am J Hum Genet 2007;81:559–575

14. Lonsdale J, Thomas J, Salvatore M, et al.; GTEx Consortium. The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. Nat Genet 2013;45:580–585

15. Giambartolomei C, Vukcevic D, Schadt EE, et al. Bayesian test for colocalisation between pairs of genetic association studies using summary statistics. PLoS Genet 2014;10:e1004383

16. Bulik-Sullivan BK, Loh PR, Finucane HK, et al.; Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. Nat Genet 2015;47:291–295

17. Zheng J, Erzurumluoglu AM, Elsworth BL, et al.; Early Genetics and Lifecourse Epidemiology (EAGLE) Eczema Consortium. LD Hub: a centralized database and web interface to perform LD score regression that maximizes the potential of summary level GWAS data for SNP heritability and genetic correlation analysis. Bioinformatics 2017;33:272–279

18. Visscher PM, Hemani G, Vinkhuyzen AAE, et al. Statistical power to detect genetic (co)variance of complex traits using SNP data in unrelated samples. PLoS Genet 2014;10:e1004269

19. Chen J, Spracklen CN, Marenne G, et al.; Lifelines Cohort Study; Meta-Analysis of Glucose and Insulin-related Traits Consortium (MAGIC). The transancestral genomic architecture of glycemic traits. Nat Genet 2021;53:840–860

20. Matschinsky FM, Wilson DF. The central role of glucokinase in glucose homeostasis: a perspective 50 years after demonstrating the presence of the enzyme in islets of Langerhans. Front Physiol 2019;10:148

21. Vuckovic D, Bao EL, Akbari P, et al.; VA Million Veteran Program. The polygenic and monogenic basis of blood traits and diseases. Cell 2020;182: 1214–1231.e11

22. Kanai M, Akiyama M, Takahashi A, et al. Genetic analysis of quantitative traits in the Japanese population links cell types to complex human diseases. Nat Genet 2018;50:390–400

23. Chaudhury C, Mehnaz S, Robinson JM, et al. The major histocompatibility complex-related Fc receptor for IgG (FcRn) binds albumin and prolongs its lifespan. J Exp Med 2003;197:315–322

24. Pyzik M, Rath T, Kuo TT, et al. Hepatic FcRn regulates albumin homeostasis and susceptibility to liver injury. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2017;114:E2862–E2871

25. Lee J-E. Alternative biomarkers for assessing glycemic control in diabetes: fructosamine, glycated albumin, and 1,5-anhydroglucitol. Ann Pediatr Endocrinol Metab 2015;20:74–78

26. Zafon C, Ciudin A, Valladares S, Mesa J, Simó R. Variables involved in the discordance between HbA1c and fructosamine: the glycation gap revisited. PLoS One 2013;8:e66696

27. Nouya AY, Nansseu JRN, Moor VJA, et al. Determinants of fructosamine levels in a multi-ethnic Sub-Saharan African population. Diabetes Res Clin Pract 2015;107:123–129

28. Broussolle C, Tricot F, Garcia I, Orgiazzi J, Revol A. Evaluation of the fructosamine test in obesity: consequences for the assessment of past glycemic control in diabetes. Clin Biochem 1991;24:203–209

29. Liu B, Gloudemans MJ, Rao AS, Ingelsson E, Montgomery SB. Abundant associations with gene expression complicate GWAS follow-up. Nat Genet 2019;51:768–769