ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The Raf-like kinase Raf36 negatively regulates plant resistance against the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora parasitica* by targeting MKK2

Jinfang Li ^{1,2}	Fengyan Deng ^{2,3}	Hongmei Wang ^{2,4}	Xiaoyu Qiang ^{2,4}	Yuling Meng ^{2,4}
Weixing Shan ^{2,}	,4 🝺			

¹College of Plant Protection, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China

²State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China

³College of Life Sciences, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China

⁴College of Agronomy, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China

Correspondence

Weixing Shan, State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, Shaanxi 712100, China. Email: wxshan@nwafu.edu.cn

Funding information

National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant/Award Number: 31125022; China Agricultural Research System, Grant/Award Number: CARS-09; Programme of Introducing Talents of Innovative Discipline to Universities (project 111) from the State Administration of Foreign Experts Affairs, Grant/Award Number: B18042

Abstract

Revised: 15 November 2021

Oomycetes represent a unique group of plant pathogens that are phylogenetically distant from true fungi and cause significant crop losses and environmental damage. Understanding of the genetic basis of host plant susceptibility facilitates the development of novel disease resistance strategies. In this study, we report the identification of an Arabidopsis thaliana T-DNA mutant with enhanced resistance to Phytophthora parasitica with an insertion in the Raf-like mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinase gene Raf36. We generated additional raf36 mutants by CRISPR/Cas9 technology as well as Raf36 complementation and overexpression transformants, with consistent results of infection assays showing that Raf36 mediates Arabidopsis susceptibility to P. parasitica. Using a virus-induced gene silencing assay, we silenced Raf36 homologous genes in Nicotiana benthamiana and demonstrated by infection assays the conserved immune function of Raf36. Mutagenesis analyses indicated that the kinase activity of Raf36 is important for its immune function and interaction with MKK2, a MAPK kinase. By generating and analysing mkk2 mutants and MKK2 complementation and overexpression transformants, we found that MKK2 is a positive immune regulator in the response to P. parasitica infection. Furthermore, infection assay on mkk2 raf36 double mutant plants indicated that MKK2 is required for the raf36-conferred resistance to P. parasitica. Taken together, we identified a Raf-like kinase Raf36 as a novel plant susceptibility factor that functions upstream of MKK2 and directly targets it to negatively regulate plant resistance to P. parasitica.

KEYWORDS

Arabidopsis thaliana, MKK2, Nicotiana benthamiana, Phytophthora parasitica, plant susceptibility, Raf36, Raf-like MAPKKK

Jinfang Li and Fengyan Deng contributed equally to this work

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2021 The Authors. *Molecular Plant Pathology* published by British Society for Plant Pathology and John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

Molecular Plant Pathology 💿 WILEY

1 | INTRODUCTION

Oomycetes are phylogenetically distant from true fungi and include Phytophthora plant pathogens that severely threaten agricultural and forestry production (Kamoun et al., 2015). To gain disease resistance, plants have developed two approaches: mobilizing resistance (R) proteins and suppressing susceptibility factors (van Schie & Takken, 2014). Characterizing the genetic basis of plant susceptibility to oomycete pathogens is a promising approach to develop novel disease resistance strategies, and significant progress has been achieved in recent years (Boevink et al., 2016; He et al., 2020; van Schie & Takken, 2014). Phytophthora parasitica, which causes destructive diseases in plants and has a broad range of hosts from crops to trees, has emerged as a model oomycete pathogen for such studies (Kamoun et al., 2015; Meng et al., 2014). By employing the compatible Arabidopsis thaliana (hereafter Arabidopsis)-P. parasitica pathosystem, which has been shown to involve the salicylic acid (SA), jasmonic acid (JA), and ethylene (ET) signalling pathways (Attard et al., 2010; Wang et al., 2011), several plant susceptibility factors to *P. parasitica* have been identified recently. For example, the nodulin-related MtN21 family gene AtRTP1 (Arabidopsis thaliana Resistance to Phytophthora parasitica 1) was found to mediate plant susceptibility to *P. parasitica* by regulating reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, cell death progression, and PR1 expression (Pan et al., 2016). Further investigation showed that AtRTP1 negatively regulates P. parasitica resistance by modulating the unfolded protein response regulators bZIP60 and bZIP28 (Qiang et al., 2021). AtRTP5, which encodes a WD40-containing protein with unknown function, has been reported to negatively regulate plant resistance by disrupting the SA and JA signalling pathways (Li, Zhao, et al., 2020). The transcription factor AtERF019 mediates plant susceptibility to P. parasitica by suppressing pathogen-associated molecular pattern (PAMP)-triggered immunity (PTI), SA, and JA defence responses (Lu et al., 2020). Interestingly, the Arabidopsis VQ motif-containing protein VQ29 has been shown to mediate plant resistance to P. parasitica infection independent of known SA, JA, and ET signalling pathways, camalexin biosynthesis, and PTI signalling (Le Berre et al., 2017). This inconsistency can be explained by the sophisticated interaction between Arabidopsis and P. parasitica. Thus, further studies are warranted to explore the mechanisms of plant defence and plant susceptibility against this pathogen.

Mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades, which often consist of a MAPK kinase kinase (MAPKKK), MAPK kinase (MAPKK), and MAPK, are vital nodes in plant immunity signalling networks and transmit signals from diverse stimuli to regulate downstream defence responses (Bi et al., 2018; Mao et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2012). Thus, their components are usually targeted and modulated by pathogen and plant factors. For example, the *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector PITG20303 targets and stabilizes the potato MAPKK StMKK1 to suppress flg22-triggered PTI and plant resistance (Du et al., 2021). The geminivirus-encoded β C1 protein simultaneously targets both MKK2 and MPK4 to counter host defence and promote infection (Hu et al., 2019). *Arabidopsis* PP2C-type phosphatase AP2C1 can inactivate the stress-responsive MPK4 and MPK6 to modulate plant resistance against *Botrytis cinerea* (Schweighofer et al., 2007). *Arabidopsis* dual-specificity phosphatase MKP1 is a negative regulator of MPK6-mediated PTI responses (Anderson et al., 2011).

Plant MAPKKKs consist of three families: the MEKK family, the Raf-like family, and the ZIK family (Ichimura et al., 2002; Jonak et al., 2002). The MEKK kinases usually function upstream and activate the MAPKK-MAPK cascades (Thulasi Devendrakumar et al., 2018), but the Raf-like kinases interact with different kinds of substrates and participate in diverse life activities (Fàbregas et al., 2020; Hayashi et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018). Raf-like kinases also play roles in plant-pathogen interactions. For example, AtMKD1 activates the MKK1/5-MPK3/6 cascade to positively regulate resistance to bacterial and fungal pathogens (Asano et al., 2020). AtEDR1 interacts with MKK4/5 to negatively regulate plant resistance to bacterial, fungal, and oomycete pathogens (Zhao et al., 2014). Rice EDR1 interacts with OsMPKK10.2 and perturbs the OsMPKK10.2-OsMPK6 cascade-mediated resistance to bacterial infection (Ma et al., 2021). The Raf-like kinase OsILA1 phosphorylates OsMAPKK4 and suppresses OsMAPKK4-OsMPK6 cascade-mediated resistance to rice bacterial blight (Chen, Wang, Yang, et al., 2021).

Potato Raf-like MAPKKK StVIK is targeted by *P. infestans* RXLR effector Pi17316 to promote late blight disease (Murphy et al., 2018). However, whether other Raf-like kinases are involved in plant-*Phytophthora* interaction and their mechanisms remains largely unknown. Here, we report the identification and characterization of a T-DNA insertion mutant named 105-3 that is resistant to *P. parasitica*. We found that the T-DNA was inserted in a Raf-like kinase gene, *Raf36*, a novel susceptibility factor, by using the established model *Arabidopsis-P. parasitica* compatible interaction (Meng et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2011) and a forward genetics approach. Our analyses showed that Raf36 functions upstream of MKK2, a MAPK kinase, by direct targeting, to negatively regulate plant resistance to *P. parasitica*.

2 | RESULTS

2.1 | Identification of *Arabidopsis* mutant 105-3 resistant to *P. parasitica*

To identify plant genes that mediate susceptibility to the oomycete pathogen *P. parasitica*, we screened 6741 T_3 generation *Arabidopsis* T-DNA insertion plants by inoculating detached leaves of the 4-week-old plants with *P. parasitica* zoospores. This led to the identification of the mutant 105-3, which showed restricted water-soaked lesions and less *P. parasitica* biomass compared to the wild-type Col-0 plant at 3 days postinoculation (dpi) (Figure 1a,b). To identify the T-DNA insertion sites in 105-3, we performed thermal asymmetric interlaced (TAIL)-PCR and then subsequent sequence analysis. The results showed a single T-DNA insertion site in mutant 105-3 that occurred immediately downstream of the stop codon of a Raflike MAPKKK gene named *Raf36* (*AT5G58950*) (Figure 1c). Using a reverse transcription quantitative PCR (RT-qPCR) assay, we found that



FIGURE 1 Arabidopsis thaliana mutant 105-3 showed enhanced resistance to Phytophthora parasitica. (a) Disease lesions at 3 days postinoculation (dpi) with *P. parasitica* zoospores before and after trypan blue staining. Scale bars = 1 cm. Detached leaves of at least 20 4-week-old Arabidopsis plants were inoculated with 20 μ l of *P. parasitica* Pp016 zoospores (100 zoospores/ μ l). The experiments were performed at least three times and representative photographs are shown. (b) *P. parasitica* biomass at 3 dpi as determined by quantitative PCR (qPCR). AtUBC9 and PpWS041 were used as the internal standards for Arabidopsis and *P. parasitica*, respectively. Data are presented as the means \pm SD of three biological replicates. Genomic DNA was extracted from samples containing 10 leaves at 3 dpi per replicate. (c) Gene structure of *Raf36* and the position of the T-DNA insertion. (d) The relative expression level of *Raf36* in 105-3 was determined by reverse transcription qPCR. *AtUBC9* was used as the internal standard for *Arabidopsis*. Data are presented as the means \pm SD of three biological replicates per genotype. (b, d) Asterisks represent a significant difference between mutant plants and wild-type plants as determined by Student's t test; **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01

the transcription of *Raf36* was reduced by more than half in mutant 105-3 compared to the wild-type Col-0 plant (Figure 1d), indicating that the *Raf36* expression was influenced by the inserted T-DNA.

2.2 | Raf36 mediates Arabidopsis susceptibility to *P. parasitica*

To confirm the raf36-mediated resistance against P. parasitica and further investigate the underlying mechanism, we generated independent raf36 mutants with nonsense alleles in the first exon of Raf36 in the Col-0 background using the CRISPR/Cas9 method (Figure 2a). We successfully generated several independent mutants and selected two for further studies (Figure 2a). The predicted protein encoded by Raf36 was confirmed to be truncated in both the raf36-1 and raf36-2 mutants because of a 1-nucleotide insertion, which led to a frameshift and premature termination (Figure 2a). We examined the transcript level of Raf36 in the raf36-1 and raf36-2 mutants by RT-qPCR and confirmed that Raf36 expression in both raf36-1 and raf36-2 mutants was significantly lower compared with that in Col-O plants (Figure S1a). When detached leaves were inoculated with P. parasitica zoospores, both raf36-1 and raf36-2 mutants showed remarkably smaller lesions compared to Col-0 at 3 dpi (Figure 2b). In addition, a qPCR assay showed that the relative biomass of P. parasitica in the leaves of both raf36-1 and raf36-2 mutants was significantly lower compared with that in Col-0 leaves (Figure 2c). These results suggest that loss of *Raf36* confers enhanced resistance against *P. parasitica*.

We next performed genetic complementation experiments by transferring the coding sequence of Raf36 with its native promoter into the raf36-2 mutant. The transcription of Raf36 in two lines, Raf36-C-5 and Raf36-C-9, was confirmed by RT-gPCR (Figure S1b). An infection assay showed that the Raf36-C-5 and Raf36-C-9 lines had similar water-soaked lesions and P. parasitica biomass to Col-O plants (Figure 2d-f), indicating that plant susceptibility was restored by Raf36 complementation. We also transferred the Raf36 coding sequence with the CaMV 35S promoter into raf36-1 mutant plants to generate Raf36 overexpression lines. Two overexpression (OE) transformants, Raf36-OE-21 and Raf36-OE-42, showed approximately 10-fold higher Raf36 mRNA accumulation compared to Col-0 plants (Figure S1c). After inoculating the Raf36-OE-21 and Raf36-OE-42 lines with P. parasitica zoospores, the water-soaked lesions were significantly larger than those in Col-0 plants (Figure 2g,h). The P. parasitica biomass in leaves of the two Raf36-OE lines was also higher than that of Col-O plants (Figure 2i). The results suggest that Raf36 overexpression increases plant susceptibility to P. parasitica. Thus, Raf36 is confirmed to be a negative regulator of Arabidopsis resistance to P. parasitica. To determine whether Raf36 also plays a role in plant resistance to necrotrophic pathogens, we inoculated raf36-1 and Raf36-OE-21 plants with B. cinerea. The mutant plants

533



FIGURE 2 Raf36 is required for Arabidopsis thaliana susceptibility to Phytophthora parasitica. (a) Sequences of mutant alleles of Raf36 in raf36 homozygous plants. The target sites of sgRNAs in Raf36 genomic DNA and the Raf36 truncated proteins are shown. The PAM sequences of the Raf36 sgRNAs are highlighted in light green. The bases inserted by nonhomologous end joining are highlighted in purple. The underlined bases indicate the stop codon introduced by a frameshift. (b, d, e, g, h) Disease lesions on leaves of raf36 mutants (b), Raf36 complementation (C) lines (d, e), and Raf36 overexpression (OE) lines (g, h) at 3 days postinoculation (dpi) with P. parasitica before and after trypan blue staining. Scale bars = 1 cm. Detached leaves of at least 20 4-week-old Arabidopsis plants were inoculated with 20 µl of P. parasitica Pp016 zoospores (100 zoospores/ μ). The experiments were performed at least three times and representative photographs are shown. (c, f, i) P. parasitica biomass in leaves of raf36 mutants (c), Raf36-C lines (f), and Raf36-OE lines (i) at 3 dpi as determined by quantitative PCR. AtUBC9 and PpWS041 were used as the internal standards for Arabidopsis and P. parasitica, respectively. Data are presented as the means ± SD of three biological replicates. Genomic DNA was extracted from samples containing 10 leaves at 3 dpi per replicate. Asterisks represent a significant difference between mutant plants and wild-type plants as determined by Student's t test; $*^{p} < 0.01$, $*^{*p} < 0.001$, ns p > 0.05

WII FY-Molecular Plant Pathology 🚳

showed indistinguishable disease lesions compared with Col-0 plants, indicating that *Raf36* is not related to plant resistance to *B. cinerea* (Figure S2).

2.3 | Silencing of *NbRaf36s* by virus-induced gene silencing enhanced plant resistance to *P. parasitica*

Raf36 is conserved in the representative hosts of *P. parasitica* such as *N. benthamiana* (Figure S3). To demonstrate whether the *AtRaf36*-mediated plant susceptibility is also conserved in *N. benthamiana*, the fragment of 369–613 bp in *NbRaf36*-3 cDNA was selected and cloned into a tobacco rattle virus (TRV)-based virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) vector to silence all four *Raf36* homologous genes, *NbRaf36-1*, *NbRaf36-2*, *NbRaf36-3*, and *NbRaf36-4*. The transcriptions of four *NbRaf36* genes in *TRV2-NbRaf36-3* plants were reduced to 20% or lower compared to that in the *TRV2-GFP* control plants (Figure 3a). After inoculating the detached leaves with *P. parasitica*, the lesions in *TRV2-NbRaf36-3* plants were smaller than that in *TRV2-GFP* plants (Figure 3b,c), indicating that *NbRaf36* genes negatively regulate *N. benthamiana* resistance to *P. parasitica*.

2.4 | The kinase activity of Raf36 is vital for its interaction with MKK2 and the susceptibility function

To understand the mechanisms underlying *AtRaf36*-mediated plant susceptibility, we attempted to identify the candidate interacting

proteins. AtMKK2, a MAPK kinase, has been reported to interact with Raf36 by yeast two-hybrid (Y2H) assay and in vitro pull-down assay (Himbert, 2009; Li, 2016). We used a co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay and a luciferase complementation imaging (LCI) assay to investigate whether AtRaf36 interacts with AtMKK2 in planta. For the co-IP assay, we co-expressed *Flag-AtRaf36* with *AtMKK1*- or *AtMKK2-Myc* in leaves of *N. benthamiana*. AtMKK1 was considered because of its high sequence similarity to AtMKK2. Total proteins were extracted from the leaves and then immunoprecipitated with anti-Flag magnetic beads, and the immunoprecipitated proteins were detected with an anti-Myc antibody. The results showed that AtRaf36 could immunoprecipitate AtMKK2 but not AtMKK1, indicating that AtRaf36 interacts with AtMKK2 in *N. benthamiana* (Figure 4a).

For the LCI assay, we fused AtRaf36 and AtMPK6 to the N-terminus of luciferase protein (NLuc) and AtMKK2 and AtMKK1 to the C-terminus of luciferase (CLuc); the CLuc-AtMKK2 and AtMPK6-NLuc pair was used as the positive control (Cao et al., 2014). After co-expression of these construct pairs in N. benthamiana leaves, fluorescence signals were detected for both the AtRaf36-NLuc and CLuc-AtMKK2 pair and the positive control, but not for the AtRaf36-NLuc and CLuc-AtMKK1 pair, supporting the notion that AtRaf36interacts with AtMKK2 in N. benthamiana (Figure 4b). Collectively, these results suggest that AtRaf36 interacts with AtMKK2 in planta.

Like other members of the Raf-like MAPKKK family, the *Arabidopsis* Raf36 protein consists of a putative N-terminal regulatory region and a kinase domain-containing C-terminal region (Figure 4c). The regions required for interactions between Raf-like kinases and MAPKKs are not typical (Asano et al., 2020; Ma et al.,



FIGURE 3 Silencing of NbRaf36 genes by virus-induced gene silencing (VIGS) enhanced Nicotiana benthamiana resistance to Phytophthora parasitica. (a) The transcriptions of NbRaf36 genes in TRV2-NbRaf36-3 plants and the TRV2-GFP plants. Transcriptions of NbRaf36-1 and NbRaf36-4 were determined by one primer pair. Nb β Actin was used as the internal standard. Data are presented as means \pm SD of three biological replicates. Each data point was from three leaves per genotype. (b, c) TRV2-NbRaf36-3 plants show smaller lesions than TRV2-GFP plants. Detached leaves of N. benthamiana plants were inoculated with 20 µl of P. parasitica Pp016 zoospores (25 zoospores/µl). The lesions in leaves were measured and analysed (b) at 2 days postinoculation and then stained with trypan blue (c). Scale bars = 1 cm. Data are presented as mean lesion diameter \pm SD from at least 12 plants per genotype. Asterisks represent a significant difference between TRV2-NbRaf36-3 plants and the TRV2-GFP plants as determined by Student's t test; **p < 0.001. The experiments were performed at least three times with similar results and representative photographs are shown



2021; Zhao et al., 2014). To determine which regions of the Raf36 protein are essential for its interaction with MKK2, we tested the interactions of the N-terminal domain (Raf36N) and the C-terminal domain (Raf36C) with MKK2 in a Y2H system (Figure 4c,d). The results showed that the yeast co-transformed with BD-Raf36N and AD-MKK2 could not grow on quadruple dropout (QDO) selective medium (Figure 4d), whereas yeast co-transformed with BD-Raf36C and AD-MKK2 could, although the growth was weaker than that

of yeast co-transformed with MKK2 and the full-length Raf36 (Figure 4d). To determine which N-terminal sequences of Raf36 contribute to the interaction with MKK2, we generated a series of N-terminal truncation mutants, namely Raf36 Δ 105, Raf36 Δ 154, Raf36 Δ 171, and Raf36 Δ 184, and then evaluated the interactions of these truncated Raf36 proteins with MKK2 in yeast cells (Figure 4c). The growth intensity of the co-transformed yeast remained unaltered, even when the first 184 amino acids of Raf36 were truncated

U FV-Molecular Plant Pathology

FIGURE 4 Mutagenesis analysis of AtRaf36 to identify protein regions important for its interaction with AtMKK2 and its role in immunity. (a) AtRaf36 interacts with AtMKK2 in a co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) assay. Total proteins were extracted from Nicotiana benthamiana leaves expressing pro35S::Flag-AtRaf36 with pro35S::AtMKK1-Myc or pro35S::AtMKK2-Myc. Anti-Flag magnetic beads were used for immunoprecipitation and the precipitated proteins were analysed by immunoblotting using an anti-Myc antibody. The assay was performed at least three times and representative photographs are shown. (b) AtRaf36 interacts with AtMKK2 in N. benthamiana in a firefly luciferase complementation (LCI) assay. The AtMKK2-AtMPK6 pair was used as the positive control. The fluorescence signal in leaves infiltrated with the indicated construct pairs was captured by a CCD camera at 2.5 days after injection. The assay was performed at least three times and representative photographs are shown. (c) Schematic representation of full-length Raf36 and truncated forms: Raf $36\Delta 105$ (amino acids Δ1–105 of Raf36), Raf36Δ154, Raf36Δ171, Raf36Δ184, Raf36C, and Raf36N. (d) The interaction between Raf36, the truncated mutants, or the kinase-dead mutant (Raf36^{K234M}) and MKK2 in a yeast two-hybrid system. Yeast cells containing the indicated plasmids were spotted onto SD/-Trp/-Leu/-His/-Ade (SD/-WLHA)/X- α -gal medium. Photographs were taken after 3 days of incubation. The assay was performed at least three times and representative photographs are shown. (e, f) The kinase-dead mutant of AtRaf36 does not confer susceptibility to Phytophthora parasitica. (g) The AtRaf36 C-terminus is enough for susceptibility to P. parasitica. Scale bars = 1 cm. Each construct was agoinfiltrated in one panel of the leaves for 2 days, followed by infection assay. The lesions in leaves were examined at 2 days postinoculation with P. parasitica Pp016 zoospores (25 zoospores/µl) and then stained with trypan blue. Data are presented as mean lesion diameter ± SD from at least 12 leaves. Asterisks represent a significant difference between the mutants and the wild-type Raf36 as determined by Student's t test; *p < 0.01, **p < 0.001, ns p > 0.05. (e-g) The experiments were performed at least three times with similar results and representative photographs are shown

(Figure 4d). These results indicate that the entire C-terminal domain of Raf36 and the N-terminal 185–206 amino acids of Raf36 are important for its interaction with MKK2. Previous studies showed that Raf36 is a true kinase (Himbert, 2009; Kamiyama et al., 2021); we further tested the ability of the Raf36 kinase-deficient mutant Raf36^{K234M} to interact with MKK2 in yeast. In contrast to yeast cells co-transformed with wild-type BD-Raf36 and AD-MKK2, those transformed with BD-Raf36^{K234M} and AD-MKK2 could not grow on QDO medium (Figure 4d). This indicates that the kinase activity of the Raf36 protein is crucial for the interaction with MKK2.

We also evaluated the role of kinase activity in Arabidopsis Raf36-mediated susceptibility to P. parasitica in N. benthamiana. We transiently expressed Arabidopsis Raf36, Raf36^{K234M}, Raf36C, and control GFP in N. benthamiana leaves and subsequently inoculated them with P. parasitica. We found that AtRaf36 overexpression caused larger disease lesions than the control, whereas AtRaf36^{K234M} expression led to smaller lesions than the AtRaf36 overexpression plants (Figure 4e,f). This indicates that the K234M mutation altered AtRaf36-mediated susceptibility to P. parasitica. Moreover, when overexpressing AtRaf36C in N. benthamiana leaves and subsequently inoculating them with P. parasitica, the disease lesions were not affected (Figure 4g). This indicates that the kinase activity of AtRaf36 is important for its role in plant susceptibility. It is also suggested that AtRaf36 may regulate its substrates involved in plant–P. parasitica interaction by phosphorylation.

2.5 | MKK2 positively regulates Arabidopsis resistance to P. parasitica

To investigate the potential function of *MKK2* in plant immunity to *P. parasitica*, we generated *MKK2* knockout mutant lines, complementation lines, and overexpression lines for further analyses. We first generated *mkk2* mutants with nonsense mutations in exons in the Col-0 background using CRISPR/Cas9 (Figure 5a). The predicted MKK2 proteins in two independent knockout mutants, *mkk2*-1 and *mkk2*-2,

were truncated because of a 1-nucleotide insertion that caused premature termination (Figure 5a). We examined the *MKK2* expression level in the two knockout mutants by RT-qPCR and found that it was significantly lower compared with that in Col-0 plants (Figure S4a). When challenged with *P. parasitica*, the *mkk2*-1 and *mkk2*-2 mutants displayed larger water-soaked lesions and increased *P. parasitica* colonization than Col-0 plants (Figure 5b,c), suggesting that the knockout of *MKK2* disrupts plant resistance to *P. parasitica*. We then transferred the *MKK2* coding sequence with its native promoter into *mkk2*-2 mutant plants. Two complementation lines, *MKK2*-C-11 and *MKK2*-C-28, showed a similar expression level of *MKK2* to Col-0 plants (Figure 54b). They also exhibited similar disease lesions and *P. parasitica* colonization to those of Col-0 plants but distinct from those of *mkk2*-2 plants (Figure 5d,e). The results indicate that the genetic complementation of *MKK2* restores plant resistance against *P. parasitica*.

To investigate the effects of *MKK2* overexpression on plant resistance, we transferred the *MKK2* coding sequence with the CaMV 35S promoter into Col-0 plants. Two individual lines, *MKK2*-OE-5 and *MKK2*-OE-9, with an approximately 80-fold increase in *MKK2* expression than Col-0 plants were further analysed (Figure S4c). The two lines exhibited smaller lesions and significantly less *P. parasitica* colonization than Col-0 plants (Figure 5f,g), indicating that overexpression of *MKK2* increased plant resistance to *P. parasitica*. Taken together, these results suggest that *MKK2* positively regulates plant resistance to *P. parasitica*.

2.6 | MKK2 is required for *raf36*-mediated resistance to *P. parasitica*

These findings prompted us to further investigate whether *MKK2* might be involved in regulating the *raf36*-mediated immune signalling pathway. Toward this end, we generated an *mkk2 raf36* double knockout mutant using CRISPR/Cas9 technology. The single guide RNA (sgRNA) targeting *MKK2* (*MKK2*-sgRNA2) (Figure 5a) was used to knock out *MKK2* in *raf36*-1 background, and the *mkk2*-2 *raf36*-1



FIGURE 5 *MKK2* is required for *Arabidopsis thaliana* resistance to *Phytophthora parasitica*. (a) Sequences of mutant *MKK2* alleles in *mkk2* homozygous plants. The sgRNA target sites in *MKK2* genomic DNA and the truncated MKK2 proteins are shown. The PAM sequences of *MKK2* sgRNAs are highlighted in light green. The bases inserted by nonhomologous end joining are highlighted in purple. The underlined bases indicate the stop codon introduced by a frameshift. (b, d, f) Disease lesions on leaves of *mkk2* mutants (b), *MKK2* complementation (C) lines (d), and *MKK2* overexpression (OE) lines (f) at 3 days postinoculation (dpi) with *P. parasitica* before and after trypan blue staining. Scale bars = 1 cm. Detached leaves of at least 20 4-week-old *Arabidopsis* plants were inoculated with 20 µl of *P. parasitica* Pp016 zoospores (100 zoospores/µl). The experiments were performed at least three times and representative photographs are shown. (c, e, g) *P. parasitica* biomass in leaves of *mkk2* mutants (c), *MKK2*-C lines (e), and *MKK2*-OE lines (g) at 3 days postinoculation (dpi) as determined by quantitative PCR. *AtUBC9* and *PpWS041* were used as the internal standards for *Arabidopsis* and *P. parasitica*, respectively. Data are presented as means \pm *SD* of three biological replicates. Genomic DNA was extracted from samples with 10 leaves at 3 dpi per replicate. Asterisks represent a significant difference between mutant plants and wild-type plants as determined by Student's t test; **p* < 0.05, ***p* < 0.01, ****p* < 0.001

mutant that exhibited the same *mkk2* mutation as the *mkk2*-2 mutant was chosen for further analysis (Figure 5a). Following *P. parasitica* infection, we analysed the disease lesions and quantified *P. parasitica* colonization on leaves of *mkk2*-2 *raf36*-1 mutant plants. In comparison with the *raf36*-1 mutant, *mkk2*-2 *raf36*-1 mutant plants showed significantly more susceptibility, which resembled the phenotype of *mkk2*-2 mutant plants (Figure 6). These results imply that *raf36*-mediated plant resistance might be counteracted by the *mkk2* mutation, suggesting that *MKK2* functions downstream of *Raf36* in *raf36*-mediated resistance to *P. parasitica*.

3 | DISCUSSION

During compatible plant-pathogen interactions, pathogens deliver virulence factors and recruit diverse plant susceptibility factors to

enable successful infection. In turn, plants mobilize resistance (R) proteins and suppress susceptibility factors to resist the infection (van Schie & Takken, 2014). NOD-like receptor (NLR)-type R proteinmediated dominant resistance is usually specific and easy to overcome, whereas pattern recognition receptor-mediated dominant resistance and the susceptibility factor-mediated recessive resistance are probably more broad-spectrum and durable (Kou & Wang, 2010; Li, Deng, et al., 2020; van Schie & Takken, 2014). Here we identified an *Arabidopsis* T-DNA mutant for enhanced resistance to *P. parasitica* with an insertion in *Raf36*, a Raf-like MAPKKK gene. We demonstrate that Raf36 is a novel plant susceptibility factor that functions upstream of MKK2 by direct targeting to negatively regulate plant resistance to *P. parasitica*.

There are approximately 80 MAPKKKs in *Arabidopsis*, 48 of which belong to the Raf-like family (Ichimura et al., 2002; Jonak et al., 2002). Raf-like MAPKKKs often play important roles of plants in the responses

537



FIGURE 6 Mutation of MKK2 suppresses raf36-mediated resistance to Phytophthora parasitica. (a, b) Disease lesions on leaves of raf36-1, mkk2-2, and mkk2-2 raf36-1 mutants at 3 days postinoculation (dpi) before (a) and after (b) trypan blue staining. Scale bars = 1 cm. Detached leaves of at least 20 4-week-old Arabidopsis thaliana plants were inoculated with 20 µl of P. parasitica Pp016 zoospores (100 zoospores/µl). The experiment was performed at least three times and a representative photograph is shown. (c) P. parasitica biomass in leaves of raf36-1, mkk2-2, and mkk2-2 raf36-1 mutants at 3 dpi as determined by guantitative PCR. AtUBC9 and PpWS041 were used as the internal standards for Arabidopsis and P. parasitica, respectively. Data are presented as means \pm SD of three biological replicates. Genomic DNA was extracted from samples with 10 leaves at 3 dpi per replicate. Asterisks represent a significant difference between mutant plants and wild-type plants as determined by Student's t test; ***p < 0.001

to diverse activities (Fàbregas et al., 2020; Hayashi et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2018). Some Raf-like MAPKKKs are negative regulators of plant resistance to diverse pathogens (Chen, Wang, Yang, et al., 2021; Ma et al., 2021; Murphy et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2014). Our results demonstrate that the Arabidopsis group C5 Raf-like kinase Raf36 is a negative regulator in plant resistance to the hemibiotrophic oomycete pathogen P. parasitica (Figures 1 and 2), providing another example of Raf-like MAPKKKs as negative regulators against biotic stresses. One previous study showed that Raf36 is required for resistance to the necrotrophic fungus Alternaria brassicicola (Himbert, 2009), but our results show that Raf36 did not alter resistance to the necrotrophic fungus B. cinerea (Figure S2). Although plants usually share camalexin-based resistance to necrotrophs (Kristin & Tesfaye, 2010), there are differential resistance mechanisms against A. brassicicola and B. cinerea infection (Ono et al., 2020; van Wees et al., 2003; Zhu et al., 2014). We suggest that Raf36 may mediate responses to these two necrotrophic pathogens and P. parasitica through different mechanisms. Besides being a susceptibility factor for plant disease, Raf36 was recently identified as a negative regulator of the abiotic stress-associated abscisic acid (ABA) response (Kamiyama et al., 2021), suggesting the important roles of Raf36 in both biotic and abiotic stresses.

N. benthamiana is one of the hosts of P. parasitica. Raf36 homologous genes-silenced N. benthamiana plants showed enhanced resistance (Figure 3), indicating a conserved function of Raf36 as a plant susceptibility factor to P. parasitica. Raf36 homologs also exist in other hosts of P. parasitica (Figure S3), such as the solanaceous plants potato, tomato, and pepper; further studies in them will expand our knowledge on Raf36-mediated susceptibility.

Previous studies showed that Raf36 interacts with the MAPK kinase MKK2 in yeast and in vitro (Himbert, 2009; Li, 2016). Our results demonstrate that they also interacted with each other in planta (Figure 4a,b). The kinase domain of Raf36 was required for Raf36-MKK2 interaction while its N-terminal domain was not necessary for it but contributed (Figure 4d). The regions of Raf-like kinases required for their interaction with MAPKKs are not typical. For example, the N-terminal domain and the kinase domain of the Raf-like MAPKKK MKD1 are required for interactions with its substrates MKK1 and MKK5 (Asano et al., 2020). However, in the interaction between EDR1 and MKK4/5, the N-terminal region of EDR1 is the only domain required (Zhao et al., 2014) and in the interaction between OsEDR1 and OsMPKK10.2, the kinase domain of OsEDR1 is the only domain required (Ma et al., 2021). As plant Raf-like kinases can regulate the activity or protein level of their substrate MAPKKs to affect their function (Asano et al., 2020; Ma et al., 2021; Zhao et al., 2014), it is necessary to check the relationship between Raf36-MKK2 interaction and their regulation further.

MKK2 is considered to be an important component of the MEKK1-MKK1/2-MPK4 cascade, which is associated with abiotic and biotic stress responses in plants (Thulasi Devendrakumar et al., 2018; Zhao et al., 2017). This cascade positively regulates basal resistance to Pseudomonas syringae DC3000 and Hyaloperonospora arabidopsidis Noco2 (Zhang et al., 2012). Our genetic analysis showed that MKK2 positively regulates Arabidopsis resistance to P. parasitica (Figure 5), indicating the typical role of MKK2 as a positive regulator in Arabidopsis basal resistance. Although MKK1 is highly homologous to MKK2 in Arabidopsis, it did not interact with

Raf36 (Figure 4a,b) (Himbert, 2009; Li, 2016), indicating a potential function division between MKK1 and MKK2. Though MKK1 and MKK2 play redundant roles in the MEKK1-MKK1/2-MPK4 cascade, evidence suggests that they can work independently in other cascades. The Arabidopsis MKD1-MKK1/5 pathway plays a positive role in resistance to the necrotrophic pathogen Fusarium sporotrichioides (Asano et al., 2020), whereas the MEKK1-MKK2-MPK4/6 cascade positively regulates salt tolerance (Teige et al., 2004). MKK2 homologs also play different roles in plant immunity to different pathogens. For example, our previous work showed that StMKK1, the gene orthologous to MKK1/2 in potato, is a negative regulator of plant resistance to the hemibiotrophic pathogen P. infestans and the necrotrophic pathogen Ralstonia solanacearum, but it positively regulates resistance to the necrotrophic pathogen B. cinerea (Chen, Wang, Cai, et al., 2021). Moreover, the cotton GhMKK1 and maize ZmMKK1 show opposite functions in N. benthamiana responses against R. solanacearum (Cai et al., 2014; Lu et al., 2013). These findings suggest divergence of orthologous MKK2 gene functions even in response to the same pathogen. Further investigations will be needed to illustrate the specific mechanisms of MKK2 and its orthologs in plantpathogen interactions.

As Raf36 and MKK2 physically interact with each other and play opposite roles on *P. parasitica* infection, we hypothesize that they may relate to one signalling pathway. Further analysis showed that the mkk2 raf36 double mutant disrupted raf36-mediated resistance (Figure 6), suggesting that Raf36 genetically works upstream of MKK2. It is reported that Raf-like kinases regulate the function of MAPKKs in different ways. Arabidopsis Raf-like kinase EDR1 interacts with MKK4/5 and decreases the MKK4/5 protein levels (Zhao et al., 2014). The rice homolog of EDR1 interacts with OsMPKK10.2 and negatively regulates its activity by an unclear mechanism (Ma et al., 2021). Another Raf-like kinase, OsILA1, phosphorylates the T34 site in the N-terminal domain of OsMAPKK4 to negatively regulate its activity (Chen, Wang, Yang, et al., 2021). Consistent with the report that Raf36 can phosphorylate MKK2 in vitro (Himbert, 2009), our results showed that the kinase activity of Raf36 is required for plant susceptibility to P. parasitica and its interaction with MKK2 (Figure 4c-g). Further investigation of the relationship between Raf36 and the MKK2-containing MAPK cascade will be useful to understand the mechanism of Raf36-mediated susceptibility in the plant-P. parasitica interaction.

4 | EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

4.1 | Construction of plasmids

To generate pro*Raf36::Raf36-Flag* transgenic plants, a 1853-bp fragment upstream of the start codon was PCR amplified from Col-O genomic DNA, fused to the *Raf36* coding sequence with a C-terminal *Flag*, and cloned into pART27 digested with *SacI* and *SpeI*. To generate pro*MKK2::MKK2-Flag* transgenic plants, a genomic fragment spanning 1881 bp upstream of the start codon and the entire coding Molecular Plant Pathology 🚳 – WILEY

region of MKK2 with a C-terminal Flag was fused and cloned into vector pART27 (Gleave, 1992) digested with SacI and Spel.

To generate constructs for co-IP assays, the corresponding cDNA fragments of *Raf36*, *MKK1*, and *MKK2* were amplified from Col-0 total RNA by RT-PCR. The coding sequences were amplified by FastPfu DNA polymerase (Transgene) and cloned into the pART27-pro35S-3Flag vector (Zhang et al., 2020) digested with *XhoI* and *XbaI* or pART27-pro35S-4Myc vector (Fan et al., 2018) digested with *XhoI* and *HindIII* using a ClonExpress II One Step Cloning Kit (Vazyme Biotech) or T4 DNA ligase (Thermo Scientific).

For constructs used in the LCI assay, the coding sequences of *Raf36*, *MKK1*, *MKK2*, and *MPK6* were amplified and cloned into pCAMBIA1300-CLuc or pCAMBIA1300-NLuc vector digested with *KpnI* and *SalI* (Zhou et al., 2018).

To generate the *raf36* or *mkk2* single mutants, two 20-bp sequences targeting *Raf36* (*Raf36*-sgRNA1 and *Raf36*-sgRNA2) or *MKK2* (*MKK2*-sgRNA1 and *MKK2*-sgRNA2) were designed by the online tool CRISPR-P 2.0 (http://crispr.hzau.edu.cn/CRISPR2/) and cloned into the *Aar*I site of the pKI1.1R binary vector (Tsutsui & Higashiyama, 2016).

For the Y2H assay, the coding sequences of full-length and truncated *Raf36* were amplified and recombined into the pGBKT7 vector (Clontech), and the coding sequence of *MKK2* was amplified and recombined into the vector pGADT7 (Clontech). Restriction enzymes *Eco*RI and *Bam*HI were used to digest the two vectors.

sgRNAs and PCR primers are listed in Table S1.

4.2 | Plant materials and growth conditions

The T-DNA mutants were kindly provided by Professor Jianru Zuo (Zhang et al., 2005). The raf36-1, raf36-2, mkk2-1, and mkk2-2 mutants were generated by a CRISPR/Cas9 method described previously (Tsutsui & Higashiyama, 2016) in the Arabidopsis ecotype Col-0 background. The mkk2-2 raf36-1 double mutant was generated by knocking out MKK2 in the raf36-1 mutant background. proRaf36::Raf36-Flag was introduced into raf36-2 or proMKK2::MKK2-Flag was introduced into mkk2-2 to generate Raf36 or MKK2 complementation lines, respectively, using Agrobacterium tumefaciens-mediated transformation (Zhang et al., 2006). pro35S::Raf36 was introduced into raf36-1 mutant plants to generate Raf36 overexpression lines. pro355::MKK2 was introduced into Col-O plants to generate MKK2 overexpression lines using standard protocols. These lines were confirmed by allelespecific genotyping and the presence of transgenic antibiotic resistance. Arabidopsis and N. benthamiana plants were grown at 23°C with an 11/13 h day/night photoperiod for 4 weeks before use.

4.3 | Pathogen culture conditions and infection assays

P. parasitica culturing, zoospore production, and assays using detached leaves of Arabidopsis have been described previously

📶 FY- Molecular Plant Pathology 🙆

(Wang et al., 2011). Detached leaves of at least 20 4-week-old Arabidopsis plants per genotype were inoculated with 20 µl of P. parasitica Pp016 zoospores (100 zoospores/µl). Each experiment was performed at least three times, and representative leaves were photographed and stained with trypan blue at 3 dpi. For the quantification of *P. parasitica* biomass, three biological replicates were performed with genomic DNA extracted from samples containing 10 leaves at 3 dpi per replicate. At least 12 detached leaves of N. benthamiana plants per construct were inoculated with 20 µl of P. parasitica Pp016 zoospores (25 zoospores/µl). Lesion diameters were measured at 2 dpi. The experiments were performed at least three times with similar results. B. cinerea Bc001 was isolated from tomato and cultured on potato dextrose agar (PDA) in a growth chamber at 20°C for 10–12 days. Spores were harvested in potato dextrose broth (PDB, 24 g/L) and subsequently vortexed to release the spores, which were filtered through four layers of gauze to remove hyphae. Spore density was adjusted to 5×10^5 spores/ml with PDB. Plants were infected by dropping 5 μ l of the spore suspension on fully expanded leaves. At least 20 different individual Arabidopsis plants were used for B. cinerea infection. Lesion diameters were measured at 2 dpi. The experiments were performed at least three times with similar results.

4.4 | DNA and RNA isolation and PCR assay

Genomic DNA was extracted using the cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) method (Clarke, 2009) and used as a template in qPCR experiments to quantify the pathogen biomass.

Total RNA was extracted using TRIzol (Invitrogen). One microgram of total RNA was reverse transcribed with the PrimeScript RT reagent kit with gDNA eraser (Perfect Real Time) (Takara). qPCR was performed in a LightCycler 480 real-time PCR system (Roche) using an UltraSYBR Mixture kit (CWBIO), following the manufacturer's protocol. The relative expression level of each gene was determined using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001) and normalized to Arabidopsis AtUBC9 or N. benthamiana Nb β Actin. RT-qPCR primers are listed in Table S1.

TAIL-PCR (Liu et al., 1995) was used to obtain the flanking sequence of T-DNA in 105-3 mutant as described previously (Zhang et al., 2005). Primers are listed in Table S1.

4.5 | Transient expression in N. benthamiana

A. tumefaciens GV3101 transformed with each construct was grown in Luria-Bertani broth with appropriate antibiotics at 28°C overnight. Cells were resuspended in infiltration buffer (10 mM 2-(N-morpholino)-ethanesulfonic acid, 10 mM MgCl₂, 200 μ M acetosyringone) at OD_{600 nm} = 0.2-0.5 and incubated at room temperature for 1 h before infiltration. Appropriate assays were done after transient expression in *N. benthamiana* for 2 days.

4.6 | VIGS in N. benthamiana

The method was performed as previously described (Senthil-Kumar & Mysore, 2014). The fragment of 369–613 bp in *NbRaf36*-3 (*Niben101Scf05713g04009.1*) cDNA was used to silence all four *NbRaf36* genes. A. *tumefaciens* GV3101 harbouring each construct was adjusted to a final concentration of $OD_{600 \text{ nm}} = 0.2$. At least 12 plants were used for *NbRaf36* or *GFP* silencing. Three-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were used for VIGS, plants after 3 weeks of VIGS were used for RT-qPCR and *P. parasitica* infection. PCR primers are listed in Table S1.

4.7 | Immunoblotting and co-IP assay

Protein extraction and immunoblotting were described previously (Fan et al., 2018). For the co-IP assay, 1 ml of protein extract was incubated with anti-Flag magnetic beads (Bimake) following the standard protocol and the precipitated proteins were analysed by immunoblotting using an anti-Myc antibody. Antibodies used for immunoblotting were as follows: mouse anti-DDDDK-Tag mAb (ABclonal), mouse anti-Myc-Tag mAb (ABclonal), and horseradish peroxidase goat anti-mouse immunoglobulin G (IgG) (L) antibody (ABclonal).

4.8 | LCI assay

The assay was performed as previously described (Zhou et al., 2018). Leaves of 4-week-old *N. benthamiana* plants were infiltrated with *A. tumefaciens* GV3101 cells containing the indicated plasmids, and leaves were excised 2.5 days after inoculation. After being sprayed evenly with 1 mM luciferin (Promega), leaves were placed in darkness for 10 min before detection. A low-light cooled CCD imaging apparatus (PlantView100; BTL) was used to capture luciferase images. Each interaction pair was tested on leaves from at least eight different plants grown in different pots at the same time.

4.9 | Y2H assay

For Y2H assays, constructs were co-transformed into yeast strain AH109 (Clontech) using the Matchmaker Two-Hybrid System 3 protocol (Clontech). The transformants were selected on synthetic dropout (SD/-Trp-Leu-His-Ade) agar plates containing adenine and histidine (SD/-Trp-Leu) for 2 days. At least four colonies of each transformant were dissolved in 10 μ l of double-deionized water and dropped on SD/-Trp-Leu-His-Ade medium, respectively, with X- α -gal for 2-4 days.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank Professor Jianru Zuo (Institute of Genetics and Developmental Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences) for providing *Arabidopsis* T-DNA mutants, Professor Jixian Zhai (Southern University of Science and Technology, Shenzhen, China) for providing the pKI1.1R vector, and Professor Dingzhong Tang (Fujian Agriculture and Forestry University, Fuzhou, China) and Dr Yu Du (Northwest Agriculture and Forestry University, Yangling, China) for helpful discussions and comments on the manuscript. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (#31125022), the China Agriculture Research System (#CARS-09), and the Programme of Introducing Talents of Innovative Discipline to Universities (project 111) from the State Administration of Foreign Experts Affairs (#B18042).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare no competing interests.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

J.L. and W.S. designed the research. J.L., F.D., Y. M., and H.W. performed the experiments. J.L., X.Q., Y. M., and W.S. wrote the manuscript. All authors discussed and interpreted the results.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

ORCID

Weixing Shan (https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7286-4041

REFERENCES

- Anderson, J.C., Bartels, S., Besteiro, M.A.G., Shahollari, B., Ulm, R. & Peck, S.C. (2011) Arabidopsis MAP kinase phosphatase 1 (AtMKP1) negatively regulates MPK6-mediated PAMP responses and resistance against bacteria. The Plant Journal, 67, 258–268.
- Asano, T., Nguyen, T.-N., Yasuda, M., Sidiq, Y., Nishimura, K., Nakashita, H. et al. (2020) Arabidopsis MAPKKK δ-1 is required for full immunity against bacterial and fungal infection. Journal of Experimental Botany, 71, 2085–2097.
- Attard, A., Gourgues, M., Callemeyn-Torre, N. & Keller, H. (2010) The immediate activation of defense responses in Arabidopsis roots is not sufficient to prevent Phytophthora parasitica infection. New Phytologist, 187, 449–460.
- Bi, G., Zhou, Z., Wang, W., Li, L., Rao, S., Wu, Y. et al. (2018) Receptor-like cytoplasmic kinases directly link diverse pattern recognition receptors to the activation of mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell, 30, 1543–1561.
- Boevink, P., McLellan, H., Gilroy, E., Naqvi, S., He, Q., Yang, L. et al. (2016) Oomycetes seek help from the plant: *Phytophthora infestans* effectors target host susceptibility factors. *Molecular Plant*, 9, 636–638.
- Cai, G., Wang, G., Wang, L., Pan, J., Liu, Y. & Li, D. (2014) *ZmMKK1*, a novel group A mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase gene in maize, conferred chilling stress tolerance and was involved in pathogen defense in transgenic tobacco. *Plant Science*, 214, 57–73.
- Cao, J., Yao, D., Lin, F. & Jiang, M. (2014) PEG-mediated transient gene expression and silencing system in maize mesophyll protoplasts: a valuable tool for signal transduction study in maize. Acta Physiologiae Plantarum, 36, 1271–1281.
- Chen, J., Wang, L., Yang, Z., Liu, H., Chu, C., Zhang, Z. et al. (2021) The rice Raf-like MAPKKK OsILA1 confers broad-spectrum resistance to bacterial blight by suppressing the OsMAPKK4-OsMAPK6 cascade. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology, 63, 1815–1842.

- Chen, X., Wang, W., Cai, P., Wang, Z., Li, T. & Du, Y. (2021) The role of the MAP kinase-kinase protein StMKK1 in potato immunity to different pathogens. *Horticulture Research*, 8, 117.
- Clarke, J.D. (2009) Cetyltrimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) DNA miniprep for plant DNA isolation. *Cold Spring Harbor Protocols*, 4, 1–2.
- Du, Y., Chen, X., Guo, Y., Zhang, X., Zhang, H., Li, F. et al. (2021) Phytophthora infestans RXLR effector PITG20303 targets a potato MKK1 protein to suppress plant immunity. New Phytologist, 229, 501–515.
- Fàbregas, N., Yoshida, T. & Fernie, A.R. (2020) Role of Raf-like kinases in SnRK2 activation and osmotic stress response in plants. *Nature Communications*, 11, 6184.
- Fan, G., Yang, Y., Li, T., Lu, W., Du, Y.U., Qiang, X. et al. (2018) A *Phytophthora capsici* RXLR effector targets and inhibits a plant PPlase to suppress endoplasmic reticulum-mediated immunity. *Molecular Plant*, 11, 1067–1083.
- Gleave, A.P. (1992) A versatile binary vector system with a T-DNA organisational structure conducive to efficient integration of cloned DNA into the plant genome. *Plant Molecular Biology*, 20, 1203–1207.
- Hayashi, M., Sugimoto, H., Takahashi, H., Seki, M., Shinozaki, K., Sawasaki, T. et al. (2020) Raf-like kinases CBC1 and CBC2 negatively regulate stomatal opening by negatively regulating plasma membrane H+-ATPase phosphorylation in *Arabidopsis. Photochemical* & *Photobiological Sciences*, 19, 88–98.
- He, Q., McLellan, H., Boevink, P.C. & Birch, P.R.J. (2020) All roads lead to susceptibility: the many modes of action of fungal and oomycete intracellular effectors. *Plant Communications*, 1, 100050.
- Himbert, S. (2009) The role of the MAPKKK Raf36 in MKK2 mediated stress signaling in Arabidopsis thaliana. PhD thesis, Vienna: University of Vienna.
- Hu, T., Huang, C., He, Y., Castillo-González, C., Gui, X., Wang, Y. et al. (2019) βC1 protein encoded in geminivirus satellite concertedly targets MKK2 and MPK4 to counter host defense. *PLoS Pathogens*, 15, e1007728.
- Ichimura, K., Shinozaki, K., Tena, G., Sheen, J., Henry, Y. et al. (2002) Mitogen-activated protein kinase cascades in plants: a new nomenclature. *Trends in Plant Science*, 7, 301–308.
- Jonak, C., Ökrész, L., Bögre, L. & Hirt, H. (2002) Complexity, cross talk and integration of plant MAP kinase signaling. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 5, 415–424.
- Kamiyama, Y., Hirotani, M., Ishikawa, S., Minegishi, F., Katagiri, S., Rogan, C.J. et al. (2021) Arabidopsis group C Raf-like protein kinases negatively regulate abscisic acid signaling and are direct substrates of SnRK2. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 118, e2100073118.
- Kamoun, S., Furzer, O., Jones, J.D.G., Judelson, H.S., Ali, G.S., Dalio, R.J.D. et al. (2015) The top 10 oomycete pathogens in molecular plant pathology. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 16, 413–434.
- Kou, Y. & Wang, S. (2010) Broad-spectrum and durability: understanding of quantitative disease resistance. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 13, 181–185.
- Kristin, L. & Tesfaye, M. (2010) Necrotroph attacks on plants: wanton destruction or covert extortion? *The Arabidopsis Book*, 8, e0136.
- Le Berre, J.-Y., Gourgues, M., Samans, B., Keller, H., Panabières, F. & Attard, A. (2017) Transcriptome dynamic of *Arabidopsis* roots infected with *Phytophthora parasitica* identifies *VQ29*, a gene induced during the penetration and involved in the restriction of infection. *PLoS One*, 12, e0190341.
- Li, W. (2016) Cloning and interaction analysis of MAP kinases in Arabidopsis thaliana. Lanzhou: Lanzhou University.
- Li, W., Deng, Y., Ning, Y., He, Z. & Wang, G.-L. (2020) Exploiting broadspectrum disease resistance in crops: from molecular dissection to breeding. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 71, 575–603.
- Li, W., Zhao, D., Dong, J., Kong, X., Zhang, Q., Li, T. et al. (2020) AtRTP5 negatively regulates plant resistance to *Phytophthora* pathogens by

modulating the biosynthesis of endogenous jasmonic acid and salicylic acid. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 21, 95–108.

- Liu, Y.G., Mitsukawa, N., Oosumi, T. & Whittier, R.F. (1995) Efficient isolation and mapping of Arabidopsis thaliana T-DNA insert junctions by thermal asymmetric interlaced PCR. The Plant Journal, 8, 457–463.
- Livak, K.J. & Schmittgen, T.D. (2001) Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method. *Methods*, 25, 402–408.
- Lu, W., Chu, X., Li, Y., Wang, C. & Guo, X. (2013) Cotton GhMKK1 induces the tolerance of salt and drought stress, and mediates defense responses to pathogen infection in transgenic *Nicotiana benthamiana*. *PLoS One*, 8, e68503.
- Lu, W., Deng, F., Jia, J., Chen, X., Li, J., Wen, Q. et al. (2020) The Arabidopsis thaliana gene AtERF019 negatively regulates plant resistance to Phytophthora parasitica by suppressing PAMP-triggered immunity. Molecular Plant Pathology, 21, 1179–1193.
- Ma, H., Li, J., Ma, L., Wang, P., Xue, Y., Yin, P. et al. (2021) Pathogeninducible OsMPKK10.2-OsMPK6 cascade phosphorylates the Raflike kinase OsEDR1 and inhibits its scaffold function to promote rice disease resistance. *Molecular Plant*, 14, 620–632.
- Mao, G., Meng, X., Liu, Y., Zheng, Z., Chen, Z. & Zhang, S. (2011) Phosphorylation of a WRKY transcription factor by two pathogenresponsive MAPKs drives phytoalexin biosynthesis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Cell, 23, 1639–1653.
- Meng, Y., Huang, Y., Wang, Q., Wen, Q., Jia, J., Zhang, Q. et al. (2015) Phenotypic and genetic characterization of resistance in Arabidopsis thaliana to the oomycete pathogen Phytophthora parasitica. Frontiers in Plant Science, 6, 378.
- Meng, Y., Zhang, Q., Ding, W. & Shan, W. (2014) Phytophthora parasitica: a model oomycete plant pathogen. Mycology, 5, 43–51.
- Murphy, F., He, Q., Armstrong, M., Giuliani, L.M., Boevink, P.C., Zhang, W. et al. (2018) The potato MAP3K StVIK is required for the *Phytophthora infestans* RXLR effector Pi17316 to promote disease. *Plant Physiology*, 177, 398–410.
- Ono, E., Mise, K. & Takano, Y. (2020) RLP23 is required for Arabidopsis immunity against the grey mold pathogen Botrytis cinerea. Scientific Reports, 10, 13798.
- Pan, Q., Cui, B., Deng, F., Quan, J., Loake, G.J. & Shan, W. (2016) RTP1 encodes a novel endoplasmic reticulum (ER)-localized protein in *Arabidopsis* and negatively regulates resistance against biotrophic pathogens. New Phytologist, 209, 1641–1654.
- Qiang, X., Liu, X., Wang, X., Zheng, Q., Kang, L., Gao, X. et al. (2021) Susceptibility factor RTP1 negatively regulates *Phytophthora parasitica* resistance via modulating UPR regulators bZIP60 and bZIP28. *Plant Physiology*, 186, 1269–1287.
- van Schie, C.C.N. & Takken, F.L.W. (2014) Susceptibility genes 101: how to be a good host. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 52, 551–581.
- Schweighofer, A., Kazanaviciute, V., Scheikl, E., Teige, M., Doczi, R., Hirt, H. et al. (2007) The PP2C-type phosphatase AP2C1, which negatively regulates MPK4 and MPK6, modulates innate immunity, jasmonic acid, and ethylene levels in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell*, 19, 2213–2224.
- Senthil-Kumar, M. & Mysore, K.S. (2014) Tobacco rattle virus-based virus-induced gene silencing in Nicotiana benthamiana. Nature Protocols, 9, 1549–1562.
- Teige, M., Scheikl, E., Eulgem, T., Dóczi, R., Ichimura, K., Shinozaki, K. et al. (2004) The MKK2 pathway mediates cold and salt stress signaling in Arabidopsis. Molecular Cell, 15, 141–152.
- Thulasi Devendrakumar, K., Li, X. & Zhang, Y. (2018) MAP kinase signaling: interplays between plant PAMP- and effector-triggered immunity. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 75, 2981–2989.

- Tsutsui, H. & Higashiyama, T. (2016) pKAMA-ITACHI vectors for highly efficient CRISPR/Cas9-mediated gene knockout in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant and Cell Physiology, 58, 46–56.
- Wang, B., Liu, G., Zhang, J., Li, Y., Yang, H. & Ren, D. (2018) The RAF-like mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase kinases RAF22 and RAF28 are required for the regulation of embryogenesis in Arabidopsis. The Plant Journal, 96, 734–747.
- Wang, Y., Meng, Y., Zhang, M., Tong, X., Wang, Q., Sun, Y. et al. (2011) Infection of Arabidopsis thaliana by Phytophthora parasitica and identification of variation in host specificity. Molecular Plant Pathology, 12, 187–201.
- van Wees, S.C.M., Chang, H.-S., Zhu, T. & Glazebrook, J. (2003) Characterization of the early response of Arabidopsis to Alternaria brassicicola infection using expression profiling. Plant Physiology, 132, 606–617.
- Zhang, J., Xu, J., Kong, Y., Ji, Z., Wang, X., An, F. et al. (2005) Generation of chemical-inducible activation tagging T-DNA insertion lines of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Acta Genetica Sinica, 32, 1082–1088.
- Zhang, Q., Li, W., Yang, J., Xu, J., Meng, Y. & Shan, W. (2020) Two Phytophthora parasitica cysteine protease genes, PpCys44 and PpCys45, trigger cell death in various Nicotiana spp. and act as virulence factors. Molecular Plant Pathology, 21, 541–554.
- Zhang, X., Henriques, R., Lin, S.-S., Niu, Q.-W. & Chua, N.-H. (2006) Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana using the floral dip method. Nature Protocols, 1, 641–646.
- Zhang, Z., Wu, Y., Gao, M., Zhang, J., Kong, Q., Liu, Y. et al. (2012) Disruption of PAMP-induced MAP kinase cascade by a *Pseudomonas* syringae effector activates plant immunity mediated by the NB-LRR protein SUMM2. Cell Host & Microbe, 11, 253–263.
- Zhao, C., Nie, H., Shen, Q., Zhang, S., Lukowitz, W. & Tang, D. (2014) EDR1 physically interacts with MKK4/MKK5 and negatively regulates a MAP kinase cascade to modulate plant innate immunity. *PLoS Genetics*, 10, e1004389.
- Zhao, C., Wang, P., Si, T., Hsu, C.-C., Wang, L.U., Zayed, O. et al. (2017) MAP kinase cascades regulate the cold response by modulating ICE1 protein stability. *Developmental Cell*, 43, 618–629.
- Zhou, Z., Bi, G. & Zhou, J.-M. (2018) Luciferase complementation assay for protein-protein interactions in plants. *Current Protocols in Plant Biology*, 3, 42–50.
- Zhu, Y., Schluttenhoffer, C.M., Wang, P., Fu, F., Thimmapuram, J., Zhu, J.-K. et al. (2014) CYCLIN-DEPENDENT KINASE 8 differentially regulates plant immunity to fungal pathogens through kinasedependent and - independent functions in *Arabidopsis*. *The Plant Cell*, 26, 4149–4170.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of the article at the publisher's website.

How to cite this article: Li, J., Deng, F., Wang, H., Qiang, X., Meng, Y. & Shan, W. (2022) The Raf-like kinase Raf36 negatively regulates plant resistance against the oomycete pathogen *Phytophthora parasitica* by targeting MKK2. *Molecular Plant Pathology*, 23, 530–542. <u>https://doi.</u> org/10.1111/mpp.13176