

HHS Public Access

Author manuscript Chemistry. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 March 16.

Published in final edited form as: Chemistry. 2022 March 16; 28(16): e202200146. doi:10.1002/chem.202200146.

Synthetic antibody-rhamnose cluster conjugates show potent complement-dependent cell killing by recruiting natural antibodies

Chong Ou, **Sunaina Kiran Prabhu**, **Xiao Zhang**, **Guanghui Zong**, **Qiang Yang**, **Lai-Xi Wang** Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Maryland, 8051 Regents Drive, College Park, Maryland 20742, United States

Abstract

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) are one of the most rapidly growing drug classes used for the treatment of cancer, infectious and autoimmune diseases. Complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) is one of the effector functions for antibodies to deplete target cells. We report here an efficient chemoenzymatic synthesis of structurally well-defined conjugates of a monoclonal antibody with a rhamnose- and an αGal trisaccharide-cluster to recruit natural anti-rhamnose and anti-αGal antibodies, respectively, to enhance the CDC-dependent targeted cell killing. The synthesis was achieved by using a modular antibody Fc-glycan remodeling method that includes site-specific chemoenzymatic Fc-glycan functionalization and subsequent click conjugation of synthetic rhamnose- and αGal trisaccharide-cluster to provide the respective homogeneous antibody conjugates. Cell-based assays indicated that the antibody-rhamnose cluster conjugates could mediate potent CDC activity for targeted cancer cell killing and showed much more potent efficacy than the antibody-αGal trisaccharide cluster conjugates for CDC effects.

Graphical Abstract

wang518@umd.edu .

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

A highly efficient chemoenzymatic synthesis of homogeneous antibody-rhamnose and antibodyαGal cluster conjugates is described. The synthesis was achieved through a modular antibody Fc-glycan remodeling that includes site-specific chemoenzymatic Fc-glycan functionalization and subsequent click conjugation of synthetic rhamnose- and αGal trisaccharide clusters. A comparative cell-based assay indicated that the antibody-rhamnose cluster conjugates showed potent complement-dependent targeted cancer cell killing by recruiting natural anti-rhamnose antibodies.

Keywords

anti-Rha antibody; antibody conjugates; chemoenzymatic synthesis; glycoengineering; immunotherapy

Introduction

Complement-dependent cytotoxicity (CDC) is one of the major mechanisms for antibodymediated killing of target cells.^[1] Nevertheless, many therapeutic antibodies are limited by their low potency in stimulating a strong complement-dependent cytotoxicity. One strategy to achieve complement-dependent targeted cell killing is to explore novel bifunctional molecules consisting of a target-binding motif and a specific antigenic structure to recruit naturally abundant antibodies, such as the anti-αGal and anti-rhamnose (anti-Rha) antibodies to the target cells.^[2] The α -Gal epitope, Gal α 1-3Gal β 1-4GlcNAc-R, is expressed abundantly on glycolipids and glycoproteins in non-human primates and New World monkeys, but it is not present on human cells. As a result, humans produce large quantities of anti-αGal antibodies in circulation: up to 1–2% of total serum IgG and 3–8% of total IgM natural antibodies are anti-αGal antibodies.^[3] The interaction between αGal and anti-αGal antibodies are largely responsible for the rejection of the transplanted tissues following xenotransplantation, mainly due to activation of the complement systems.^[4] On

the other hand, anti-Rha antibodies are also abundant in human sera, which have been found even at higher concentrations than the anti- α Gal antibodies in human serum samples.^[2b, 5] Moreover, the majority of anti-Rha antibodies are of IgM type, while most of the anti-αGal antibodies are of IgG type.^[2b, 6] It has been shown that the IgM type antibody is much more efficient than the monomeric IgG type antibody to initiate complement-dependent cytotoxicity, as the IgMs is inherently a pentamer that can efficiently bind to the multisubunit C1q complement protein in a multivalent fashion to trigger the downstream effects, while the IgG antibody must form hexamer complex to engage an efficient multivalent interactions with the multi-subunit C1q complement protein.^[7]

To explore the potential of natural anti-αGal or anti-Rha antibodies for targeting cancer, bacterial and/or virus-infected cells, several groups have previously designed and synthesized bi-functional small molecules, by conjugating a target-binding ligand to an αGal oligosaccharide or a rhamnose moiety, to recruit natural anti-αGal or anti-Rha antibodies for complement-dependent cell killing.^[2] For example, Kiessling and co-workers have reported the synthesis of α Gal-integrin ligand conjugates for targeting cancer;^[2a, 2b] Yi and coworkers have assembled bi-functional liposomes incorporating rhamnose and folic acid to recruit anti-Rha antibodies to target folate receptor-overexpressing tumor cells.^[2e] Recently, Fukase and co-workers have reported the first synthesis of αGal oligosaccharide-antibody conjugates, and the cell-based assays have shown that the presentation of multiple copies of the αGal epitopes (multi-valency) was important for an efficient cancer cell killing.^[8] While this study provides proof-of-concept data indicating the feasibility of using antibody-αGal conjugates for targeted cancer cell killing, the lysine-based antibody-αGal conjugation and the reduction of antibodies into monomeric antibodies for thiol-maleimide ligation both lead to mixtures of heterogeneous conjugates. We report in this paper a highly efficient chemoenzymatic synthesis and comparative study of structurally well-defined, homogeneous conjugates of an antibody with rhamnose and αGal oligosaccharide clusters, respectively. Trastuzumab, a therapeutic antibody targeting HER2 over-expressing cancer cells, was selected as a model antibody, and the carbohydrate antigens were introduced specifically at the Fc glycosylation site by a chemoenzymatic Fc glycan remodeling approach. The resulting glycoengineered antibodies carrying the rhamnose and αGal oligosaccharide clusters were designed to recruit natural anti-Rha and anti-αGal antibodies, respectively, for targeted cell killing (Figure 1). It was found that the antibody-rhamnose cluster conjugates were much more efficient than the antibody-αGal cluster conjugate for the complementdependent cell killing. During the submission of this manuscript, Wu and co-workers have reported rituximab-rhamnose conjugates by reaction of partially reduced rituximab with a maleimide-functionalized rhamnose derivative, and the resulting antibody-rhamnose conjugates demonstrate antitumor efficacy in a xenograft model.^[9]

Results and Discussion

Chemical synthesis of the dibenzocyclooctyne (DBCO)-functionalized rhamnose and α**Gal clusters**

As a general synthetic design, we sought to introduce azide tags into the antibody through the chemoenzymatic Fc glycan remodeling method that we have recently described, $[10]$

and then to click the synthetic oligosaccharide antigens to the antibody to construct the conjugates. For this purpose, we first synthesized the DBCO-functionalized rhamnose and rhamnose clusters (Scheme 1). The synthesis of DBCO-tagged rhamnose (**3**) was achieved by reaction of rhamnose derivative **1** with the NHS-active ester (**2**) (Scheme 1a). For constructing a tetravalent rhamnose cluster, we chose a tri-lysine core as the scaffold. The synthesis of the tri-lysine core (**7**) was shown in Scheme 1b. At the C-terminus of **4**, a short N-methyl ethylenediamine spacer with an Fmoc protecting group was introduced to provide a handle for further functionalization. The N-methyl spacer (**5**) was specifically chosen to provide linker stability without premature release.^[11] For the synthesis of the tetravalent rhamnose cluster (**13**), the tri-lysine core (**7**) was reacted with NHS-activated rhamnose derivative (**10**) to give the rhamnose cluster (**11**). After de-O-acetylation followed by removal of the Fmoc group, the resulting compound (**12**) was reacted with the NHS activated ester (**2**) to give the DBCO-tagged rhamnose cluster (**13**) after LH20 size exclusion chromatography (Scheme 1c).

To construct the DBCO-functionalized αGal trisaccharide and its cluster, we first synthesized the α Gal trisaccharide following a previously published procedure.^[12] Then the amine-functionalized αGal was reacted with **2** to give the DBCO-functionalized αGal trisaccharide (**15**) (Scheme 2a). To synthesize a cluster of α-Gal trisaccharide, **14** was activated as an NHS ester, then it was reacted with the tri-lysine core (**7**) to give the tetravalent α-Gal oligosaccharide (**17**). Finally, deprotection of **17** followed by reaction with **2** gave the desired DBCO-functionalized α-Gal trisaccharide cluster (**18**) (Scheme 2b).

Chemoenzymatic synthesis of the antibody-rhamnose and α**Gal cluster conjugates**

With the successful synthesis of DBCO-functionalized αGal and rhamnose clusters, we sought to construct the antibody conjugates utilizing the Fc glycan-mediated chemoenzymatic modification approach, which is validated to achieve antibody conjugates with high homogeneity and great efficiency.^[10, 13] Thus, trastuzumab was trimmed with immobilized Endo-S2, followed by efficient Fc modification with glycosynthase EndoS2- D184M and the di-N₃-SCT-oxazoline to yield the azide functionalized antibody (20).^[10] Then, the homogenous antibody-rhamnose (**21** and **22**) and antibody-αGal-conjugates (**23** and **24**) were generated by incubating **20** at 5 mg/mL with the DBCO derivatives (**3**, **13**, **15**, and **18**, respectively, 12 molar equivalents per antibody) at room temperature (Scheme 3). The reactions were monitored by LC-MS analysis. It was found that the click reaction with DBCO derivatives (**3** and **15**) were completed within 10 h, while the reactions of DBCO derivatives **13** and **18** took a much longer time, which required about 24 h to complete, probably due to the bulkiness of the clickable oligosaccharide clusters. The final products were purified by affinity chromatography on a protein A column in an excellent isolated yield. The identity and homogeneity were confirmed by LC-ESI-MS analysis of both the intact antibodies (Figure 2a–2d) and the Fc domains after IdeS treatment (Figure 2e–2h). The observed molecular mass (deconvolution data) of **21**, **22**, **23** and **24** was 154521 Da, 161718 Da, 155882 Da and 167156 Da, which matched well with their calculated value of 154518 Da, 161714 Da, 155879 Da and 167159 Da, respectively. In addition to the intact antibody analysis, LC-ESI-MS analysis of the monomeric Fc domain of **21–24** released from the IdeS treatment of the antibody conjugates further confirmed the site-selectivity and

homogeneity of the final products (Figures 2e–2h). The raw LC-ESI-MS data were shown in Figures S1–S8 (Supporting information).

The use of the selectively azide-tagged bi-antennary N-glycan for Fc glycan remodeling and subsequent conjugation has several advantages, including the site-specific conjugation and the preservation of the natural Fc N-glycan core after remodeling, which could be important for maintaining antibody stability and favorable pharmacokinetic property. Our previous studies have demonstrated that glycosynthase EndoS2-D184M possesses quite relaxed substrate specificity in transferring different natural and selectively modified glycan oxazolines, $[10, 14]$ Recently, we have shown that both the wild type and the EndoS2-D184M mutant of Endo-S2 can efficiently transfer selectively azide-functionalized Manβ1,4-GlcNAc oxazoline to the deglycosylated antibody for constructing homogeneous antibody-drug conjugates.^[14a] This method could provide an alternative approach for making the antibody-rhamnose or αGal cluster conjugates.

Given the broad substrate specificity of the Endo-S2 mutant, we reasoned that the enzyme might be able to transfer ligand-preloaded glycan oxazolines to make the final antibody conjugates in a single step. To test this hypothesis, we first conjugated the monomeric and tetrameric rhamnose to the azide-functionalized N-glycan using the ringstrain-promoted cycloaddition reaction to give **26a** and **26b**, respectively. The ligandfunctionalized sialylated glycan was then converted to oxazolines **27a** and **27b** using the single-step glyan oxazoline formation reaction with dehydrating agent 3-chloro-1,3 dimethylimidazolinium chloride (DMC) in water.^[15] We found that EndoS2-D184M could tolerate the rhamnose modification on the glycan oxazoline and could smoothly transfer the antigen-loaded glycan to the deglycosylated trastuzumab (**28**) to give the corresponding antibody conjugate (**21**) (Scheme 4). Using 30 equivalents of the glycan oxazoline (**27a**), the reaction completed within 40 min (Figure S9). However, we found that the larger Rha cluster-containing glycan oxazoline (**27b**) was transferred much more slowly than the simpler Rha-loaded glycan oxazoline (**27a**). (Scheme 4) Interestingly, the major product was a monosubstituted antibody when the reaction was carried out for 60 min (Figure S10). The results suggest that the Endo-S2 mutant could tolerate the modifications on the glycan oxazolines, but a modification with a larger moiety such as the Rha clusters slows down the transglycosylation reaction, which would require optimization of the conditions to drive the reaction to completion.

Cell-based assay of the complement-dependent cytotoxicity of the antibody conjugates

To compare the potency of the conjugates **21**-**24**, we studied the in vitro cytotoxicity of the antibody conjugates with BT474 (high HER-2 expressing) and T47D (low HER-2 expressing) cells. In this assay, the anti-αGal and anti-rhamnose antibodies were provided by commercially available human serum. Serum-free RPMI media was used to carry out the assays to avoid the possible α Gal contamination from the FBS. The complement required to lysate the cells was provided by standard rabbit complement. Since rabbit complement itself could lysate cells even at relatively low concentration, we screened for the best combination amount of human serum and rabbit complement to minimize the effect of non-specific CDC. We found that 10 μL of human serum (Millipore Sigma) and 4 μL of rabbit complement

(Cedarlane Laboratories) per well gave the best balance between non-specific killing caused by the complement (about 10–15%) and the efficacy of the conjugates. Under this condition, we found that conjugate **22**, with sixteen rhamnose antigen, was the most potent antibody conjugate, which killed more than 70% of the HER2 high-expression cancer cells within 2 h (Figure 3a). The calculated EC50 value for **22** was 0.57 nM (92 ng/mL). To our amazement, the conjugate **21**, with only four rhamnose antigen, could also lysate up to 50% of BT474 cells in relatively short period of time (Figure 3a). The reason that the best antibody-rhamnose conjugates did not reach to 100% target cell killings may be due to the varied levels of HER2 expression of BT474 and/or the unstable nature of the complements during the incubation of the assays. It is expected that these results could be more efficient when the complement is persistent as in the case of *in vivo* situation. In contrast, the killing of the αGal conjugates (**23** and **24)** were much weaker than the antibody-rhamnose cluster conjugates (**21** and **22**). It was found that conjugate **24**, with sixteen αGal epitope managed to lysate up to 20% of the BT474, while conjugate **23**, with four αGal epitope could lysate only about 10% of the BT474 at 25 μg/mL. (Figure 3b) To eliminate the possibility that the difference between the rhamnose conjugates and the αGal conjugates was caused by the specific batch of the human serum that was used for the assay, the cytotoxicity assay was also carried out by using the human serum obtained from a different source (Cosmo Bio USA). The results were almost identical (Figure S11). Given the fact that the average anti-rhamnose antibodies in human blood is usually higher than the anti-αGal antibodies,^[2b, 5a] with a higher percentage of the anti-rhamnose antibodies being of the IgM type, $[2b, 6a, 6c]$ These results suggest that recruiting the more potent anti-Rha IgM antibodies could be a more efficient strategy than targeting the anti-αGal antibodies. For the HER-2 low-expressing cell line, none of these four antibody conjugates showed cytotoxicity in a dose-dependent manner up to 50 μg/mL (Figure 3c–3d). This study demonstrates that the antibody-rhamnose cluster conjugates are a promising construct for augmenting potent complement-dependent cytotoxicity for targeted cell killing.

Conclusion

A highly efficient chemoenzymatic synthesis of homogeneous antibody-rhamnose cluster and antibody-αGal cluster conjugates is described. The construction of the antibody conjugates was achieved by site-specific chemoenzymatic Fc glycan remodeling followed by a click reaction. Alternatively, the antibody-rhamnose conjugate could also be achieved by direct Fc glycan remodeling with a rhamnose-preloaded glycan oxazoline. A comparative study reveals that the antibody-rhamnose cluster conjugates are more potent than the corresponding antibody-αGal oligosaccharide conjugates in recruiting natural antibodies for targeted cancer cell killing. These results suggest that antibody-rhamnose conjugates represent a promising strategy for augmenting complement-dependent targeted cell killing by recruiting the more effective natural anti-Rha IgM antibodies. Future studies should be directed to evaluation of the cytotoxicity of the antibody conjugates in animal models.

Experimental Section

Materials and Methods.

Chemicals, reagents, and solvents were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and/or TCI and used as received unless otherwise specified. Monoclonal antibody Hercptin was purchased from Premium Health Services Inc. (Columbia, MD). All moisture sensitive reactions were carried out under argon atmosphere, using standard Schlenk techniques. All dry solvents were prepared according to standard procedures. Thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel 60-F₂₅₄ on glass plates (Merck) and revealed with p-anisaldehyde stain. Silica gel (200–425 mesh) used in flash chromatography for large-scale reactions was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Columns for flash chromatography for small-scale reactions were performed on Isolera One system with ZIP KP-Sil columns (Biotage) with elution condition specified for each target compound. Solvent gradients were given refer to stepped gradients and concentrations are reported as % v/v. Preparative HPLC was performed with Waters 1525 Binary HPLC pump coupled with 2489 UV/Vis Detector under UV 214 nm and 280 nm with a Waters Symmetry C18 column (7 μ m, 19 \times 300 mm) using water containing 0.1% trifluoracetic acid as phase A, MeCN containing 0.1% trifluoracetic acid as phase B. Semi-preparative HPLC for the toxic payloads was performed on the same instrument with an Aglient Eclipse XDB-C18 column (5 μm, 9.4× 250 mm) using water containing 0.1% formic acid as phase A, MeCN containing 0.1% formic acid as phase B.

Purification of antibody and antibody conjugates using AKTA prime plus FPLC system.

The FPLC system (GE Healthcare) was used for purification of the functionalized antibodies equipped with 1mL HiTrap protein A column (GE Heatlthcare). Concentration of antibodies was determined by NanoDrap 200c (Thermo Scientific).

LC-ESI-MS analysis of antigen-DBCO payloads

LC-MS for glycans, glycopeptides and payload derivatives were performed on HPLC-SQ2 detector (Waters) with a Waters XBridge C18 column (3.5 μ m, 2.1 \times 50 mm) using water containing 0.1% formic acid as phase A, MeCN containing 0.1% formic acid as phase B. The analytical HPLC for payload derivatives was analyzed with an Aglient Eclipse SDB-C18 column (5 μm, 3.0× 250 mm) under UV 214 nm and 280 nm with methods specialized for each compound. HR-ESI-MS was performed with Exactive Plus Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) equipped with a Waters XBridge C18 column (3.5 μ m, 2.1×50 mm).

LC-ESI-MS analysis of intact antibody derivatives.

LC-ESI-MS analysis of intact tagged antibodies and antibody-drug conjugates was performed with Exactive Plus Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) equipped with a Waters XBridge BEH300 C-4 column $(3.5 \mu m, 2.1 \times 50 \text{ mm})$ with gradient elution of water containing 0.1% formic acid as phase A, MeCN containing 0.1% formic acid as phase B. Mass spectra were deconvoluted using MagTran (ver 1.03 b2).

LC-ESI-MS analysis of Fc domains released by IdeS treatment.

The antibody samples in PBS were incubated with IdeS at 37 \degree C for 2 h. The samples were analyzed by with Exactive Plus Orbitrap Mass Spectrometer (Thermo Scientific) equipped with an Agilent Poroshell 300SB C8 column $(5 \mu m, 1.0 \times 75 \mu m)$ with gradient elution of water containing 0.1% formic acid as phase A, MeCN containing 0.1% formic acid as phase B. Mass spectra were deconvoluted using MagTran (ver 1.03 b2).

NMR analysis.

 1H , 13C , and 1H -1H COSY NMR spectra were recorded on 400 MHz or 600 MHz spectrometer (Bruker) with CDCl₃, MeOD- d_4 , D₂O or DMSO- d_6 as the solvent (solvent residue peak 7.26, 3.31, 4.79, 2.50 ppm). All ¹³C NMR spectra were performed with proton decoupling, and all chemical shifts are reported in part per million (ppm) and referenced to residual solvent. ¹H-NMR chemical shifts were recorded relative to the solvent residual peak (CDCl₃ at 7.26 ppm, MeOD- d_4 at 3.31ppm, D₂O at 4.79 ppm, DMSO- d_6 at 2.50 ppm). ¹³C NMR chemical shifts are reported relative to the solvent residual peak (CDCl₃ at 77.00 ppm, MeOD- d_4 at 49.00 ppm, DMSO- d_6 at 39.51 ppm). The number of protons (*n*) corresponding to a resonance signal was indicated by nH and spin-spin coupling constants (J) value) recorded in Hz.

Synthesis of the Antibody recruiting molecules

Synthesis of Rhamnose-PEG-DBCO (3).—The rhamnose-PEG-NH₂ 1 (3.5 mg, 11.9) μmol) was weighed into a 1.5 mL centrifuge tube. A solution of the DBCO-PEG-NHS (**2**) (8 mg, 11.5 μmol, 50 mg/mL) was added, followed by 1 μL of TEA. The mixture reacted at room temperature for 2 hours before HPLC-SQ2 analysis showed completion of the reaction. The mixture was diluted with water and purified by semi prep-HPLC to afford **3** (6 mg, 60%). HR-ESI-MS: $[M+H]^+$ calcd for $C_{44}H_{64}N_3O_{15}^+$, 874.4332; found (m/z), 874.4304. HPLC (0.4 mL/min, 25–60% B, 30min, $t_R = 14.2$ min)

Synthesis of compound 6.—To a solution of **4** (857 mg, 1.5 mmol) and **5** (400 mg, 1.3 mmol) in DCM (10 mL), TEA (303 mg, 3 mmol) was added at room temperature. After the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 16 hours, the solvent was removed in vacuo and the intermediate was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography by hexane and acetone (9:1 to 2:1, v/v). After it was concentrated under vacuo, the intermediate was treated with TFA/DCM $(1:1, v/v)$ on ice for 30 minutes. The product in TFA salt form was concentrated and used without further purification (700 mg).

Synthesis of compound 7.—In a 25 mL round-bottom flask, **4** (350 mg, 0.52 mmol) and **6** (850 mg, 1.92 mmol) were dissolved in DCM (10 mL). The mixture was stirred for 3 hours after TEA (255 mg, 2.5 mmol) was added. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the intermediate was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography by hexane and acetone (9:1 to 1:1, v/v). After it was concentrated under vacuo, the intermediate was treated with TFA/DCM (1:1, v/v) on ice for 30 minutes. The product as a TFA salt was concentrated and used without further purification (614 mg).

Synthesis of compound 10.—2-(2-(2-azido)ethoxy)ethyl-2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-α-L-Rhamnose $^{[16]}$ (1.7 g, 3.8 mmol) was dissolved in MeOH (20 mL) with 1M HCl (200 µL). The solution was stirred with 5% Pd/C (78 mg) under H_2 atmosphere at room temperature for 1 hour. After filtration and concentration under vacuo, the resulting amine was used for next step without further purification. To a solution of **9** (700 mg, 2.05 mmol) in DCM (20 mL), a solution of pre-OAc-Rha amine (290 mg, 0.69 mmol) in DCM (10 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 2 hours at room temperature before the solvent was removed in vacuo and the intermediate was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography by hexane and EtOAc $(9:1$ to 1:1 with 0.5% AcOH, v/v). The product was isolated as a colorless oil (284 mg, 79%, over two steps). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d6$): $\delta = 1.12$ (3H, d, $J = 6.24$ Hz), 1.57 (4H, m), 1.93 (3H, s), 2.03 (3H, s), 2.10 $(5H, m)$, 2.66 (2H, t, $J = 6.60$ Hz), 2.81 (4H, br), 3.18 (2H, m), 3.40 (2H, t, $J = 5.88$ Hz), $3.45-3.65$ (8H, m), 3.70 (1H, m), 3.86 (1H, m), 4.82 (1H, d, $J = 1.24$ Hz), 4.88 (1H, t, $J =$ 9.94 Hz), 5.05–5.10 (2H, m), 7.87 (1H, m). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-d6): $\delta = 17.68$, 20.91, 20.95, 21.07, 24.26, 24.78, 25.90, 30.37, 35.09, 38.90, 33.15, 66.94, 69.10, 69.43, 69.65, 69.74, 70.02, 70.21, 70.54, 97.06, 169.37, 170.18, 170.72, 172.23. ESI-MS: [M+H]⁺ calcd for $C_{28}H_{43}N_2O_{15}$ ⁺, 647.2658; found (m/z), 647.4511.

Synthesis of Rha4-dendrimer-DBCO (13).—To a solution of **7** (6.5 mg, 7.7 μmol) in DMSO (100 μL), a solution of **10** (30 mg, 46.4 μmol) in DMSO (300 μL) was added followed by TEA (1.17 mg, 11.4 μmol) at room temperature. After HPLC-SQ2 analysis suggested the reaction was completed, the reaction mixture was diluted with 2 mL water. Hydrazine was added so the final hydrazine concentration was 3%. The mixture was left at room temperature overnight before piperidine (200 μL) was added to the mixture to remove the Fmoc protection group at room temperature. Fmoc removal was completed within 30 minutes. The mixture was lyophilized and purified by LH-20 size exclusion chromatography. A solution of $2(6.9 \text{ mg}, 10 \text{ \mu mol})$ in DMSO (140 μ L) was added to the intermediate. The mixture reacted at room temperature for 6 hours before HPLC-SQ2 analysis showed completion of the reaction. The mixture was diluted with water and purified by semi prep-HPLC to afford **13** (15 mg, 73%, over four steps). HR-ESI-MS: [M+H]+ calcd for $C_{126}H_{211}N_{14}O_{47}$ ⁺, 2673.4549 (100%); found (m/z), 2673.4546. HPLC (0.4 mL/min, 10–50%B, 30min, $t_R = 20.8$ min)

Synthesis of compound α**-Gal-PEG-DBCO (15).—**To a solution of **14** [2c] (2 mg, 3.15 μmol) in DMSO (100 μL), the DBCO-PEG-NHS (**2**) (4 mg, 5.8 μmol) in DMSO (80 μL) was added, followed by 0.5 μL of TEA. The mixture reacted at room temperature for 2 hours before HPLC-SQ2 analysis showed completion of the reaction. The mixture was diluted with water and purified by semi prep-HPLC to afford **15** (2.2 mg, 58%). ESI-MS: $[M+H]^+$ calcd for $C_{56}H_{84}N_3O_{26}^+$, 1214.5338; found (m/z), 1214.8262. HPLC (0.4 mL/min, 10–50%B, 30min, $t_R = 21.6$ min)

Synthesis of compound 16.—To a stirring solution of **9** (21.4 mg, 63 μmol) in DMSO (0.6 mL) the solution of **14** (10 mg, 15.7 μmol) was added dropwise over 30 minutes at room temperature. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for another 2 hours before HPLC-SQ2 analysis showed completion of the reaction. The mixture was diluted with water,

lyophilized, and then purified by semi-prep HPLC to afford **16** (8.5 mg, 67%) as a white power. ¹H NMR (600 MHz, D₂O): δ = 1.69 (4H, m), 2.28 (2H, t, J = 6.99 Hz), 2.72 (2H, t, $J = 6.96$ Hz), 2.91 (4H, br), 3.32 (1H, m), 3.36 (2H, t, $J = 5.25$ Hz), 3.60–3.85 (21H, m), 3.92 (1H, m), 3.95 (1H, m), 3.99 (1H, d, $J = 2.94$ Hz), 4.03 (1H, m), $4.14-4.18$ (2H, m), 4.49 (2H, dd, $J_1 = 7.98$ Hz $J_2 = 2.22$ Hz), 5.11 (1H, d, $J = 3.84$ Hz). ¹³C NMR (150 MHz, D₂O): δ = 22.74, 23.99, 24.67, 25.02, 29.57, 34.69, 38.39, 59.67, 60.42, 60.48, 64.31, 67.71, 68.24, 68.37, 68.63, 68.80, 68.87, 69.08, 69.14, 69.19, 70.34, 72.29, 73.90, 74.26, 74.56, 76.71, 78.17, 94.94, 101.61, 102.38, 170.09, 172.92, 175.99. HR-ESI-MS: [M+H]+ calcd for $C_{34}H_{57}N_2O_{23}$ ⁺, 861.3347; found (m/z), 861.3313.

Synthesis of DBCO functionalized α**-Gal dendrimer (18).—**To a solution of **7** (1 mg, 1.14 μmol) in DMSO (100 μL), a solution of **16** (4.8 mg, 5.7 μmol) in DMSO (100 μL) was added followed by TEA (1.17 mg, 11.4 μmol) at room temperature. After HPLC-SQ2 analysis suggested the reaction was completed, the reaction mixture was diluted with 1 mL water. Piperidine (120 μ L) was added to the mixture to remove the Fmoc protection group at room temperature. Deprotection was completed within 30 minutes. The mixture was lyophilized, before a solution of **2** (1 mg, 1.4 μmol) in DMSO (100 μL) was added. The mixture reacted at room temperature for 6 hours before HPLC-SQ2 analysis showed completion of the reaction. The mixture was diluted with water and purified by semi prep-HPLC to afford **18** (3.1 mg, 65%, over three steps). HR-ESI-MS: $[M+2H]^{2+}$ calcd for $C_{174}H_{292}N_{14}O_{91}^{2+}$, 2017.4337 (100%); found (m/z), 2017.3817. HPLC (0.4 mL/min, 10–50%B, 30min, $t_R = 16.5$ min)

Synthesis of Rhamose functionalized SCT-oxa (27a).—A solution of Di-N3-SCT (**25**) (5 mg, 1.92 μmol) and **3** (4.2 mg, 4.8 μmol) in DI water (400 μL) was incubated at room temperature for 4 h when LC-MS indicated the completion of the reaction. The solution was then cooled on ice, and TEA (11.7 mg, 115 μmol) and 2-chloro-1,3-dimethylimidazolinium chloride (DMC) (8.1 mg, 45.5 μmol) were added, following the previously described procedure.^[15] The reaction mixture was kept on ice for 45 min, then it was diluted with 0.1 % ammonium hydroxide, and the glycan oxaozline was purified by semi-prep HPLC with gradient elution (10%–70%B, 40min) of water containing 0.1% ammonium hydroxide as phase A, MeCN containing 0.1% ammonium hydroxide as phase B. **27a** was isolated as a white powder after lyophilization (6 mg, 72%, over two steps). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, D₂O): δ = 7.92 – 7.83 (m, 1H), 7.82 – 7.74 (m, 1H), 7.72 – 7.65 (m, 2H), 7.64 – 7.49 (m, 4H), 7.44 -7.21 (m, 8H), 6.04 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, H-1-GlcNAc-Ox), 5.91 – 5.80 (m, 3H), 5.61 – 5.53 (m, 2H), 5.18 – 5.16 (m, 1H), 5.12 – 5.00 (m, 3H), 4.95 – 4.86 (m, 4H), 4.78 – 3.02 (m, 183H), $2.71 - 2.60$ (m, 4H), $2.51 - 2.38$ (m, 8H), $2.05 - 1.97$ (m, 15H), $1.85 - 1.75$ (m, 3H), 1.71 -1.54 (m, 4H), $1.28 - 1.21$ (m, 6H). HR-ESI-MS [M+2H]²⁺ calcd for C₁₈₈H₂₉₉N₁₉O₉₄²⁺, 2164.4628 (100%); found (m/z), 2164.4403.

Synthesis of rhamose cluster functionalized SCT-oxa (27b).—A solution of Di-N3-SCT (**25**) (1 mg, 0.385 μmol) and **13** (2.5 mg, 0.962 μmol) in DI water (200 μL) was incubated at room temperature for 4 h. Then, the solution was cooled at 0° C, and TEA (2.6) mg, 25 μmol) and DMC (1.6 mg, 9.62 μmol) were added, and the reaction mixture was incubated at 0 °C for 45 min. The glycan oxazoline was purified by semi-prep HPLC with

gradient elution (10%–70%B, 40min) of water containing 0.1% ammonium hydroxide as phase A, MeCN containing 0.1% ammonium hydroxide as phase B. **27b** was isolated as a white powder after lyophilization (1.8 mg, 59%, over two steps). ¹H NMR (600 MHz, D₂O): δ = 7.90 – 7.85 (m, 1H), 7.82 – 7.74 (m, 1H), 7.72 – 7.65 (m, 4H), 7.64 – 7.49 (m, 4H), $7.42 - 7.25$ (m, 8H), 6.04 (d, $J = 7.3$ Hz, H-1-GlcNAc-Ox), $5.91 - 5.80$ (m, 3H), $5.61 -$ 5.53 (m, 2H), 5.18 – 5.16 (m, 1H), 5.12 – 5.00 (m, 3H). 4.94 – 4.88 (m, 2H), 1.28 – 1.21 (m, 24H). HR-ESI-MS $[M+4H]^{4+}$ calcd for $C_{352}H_{595}N_{41}O_{158}^{4+}$, 1981.9966 (100%); found (m/z), 1981.9896.

Preparation of Antibody Conjugates

Synthesis of Rha4-Herceptin conjugate (21).—A solution of azide-tagged antibody **20** (2 mg, 13 nmol) and the Rha-PEG-DBCO (**3**) (113 μg, 0.13 μmol, 10 eq) in a phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.2) (final volume, 500 μL) was incubated at ambient temperature (23 °C). The reaction mixture was shielded from light and gently vortexed. The reaction was monitored by LC-ESI-MS analysis. After 8 h, the click reaction was complete as indicated by LC-ESI-MS. The mixture was then diluted with phosphate buffer (5 mL, 50 mM, pH 7.2) and filtered by 0.22 μm syringe filter. The conjugate product in the filtrate was purified by protein A chromatography to give 21 (1.8 mg, 87%). ESI-MS of 21 : calcd. M = 154518 Da; found (m/z) , 2810.50 $[M + 55H]^{55+}$, 2862.53 $[M + 54H]^{54+}$, 2916.46 $[M + 53H]^{53+}$, 2972.55 [M + 52H]⁵²⁺, deconvolution of the ESI-MS, M = 154521 Da. Fc analysis: calcd, $M = 28463$ Da; found (m/z), 2034.03 [M + 14H]¹⁴⁺, 2090.38 [M + 13H]¹³⁺, 2372.80 [M + $12H$ ¹²⁺, deconvolution data, M = 28462 Da.

Synthesis of Rha₁₆-Herceptin conjugate (22).—A solution of azide-tagged antibody 20 (2 mg, 13 nmol) and the Rha₄-PEG-DBCO (**13**) (721 μg, 0.27 μmol, 20 eq) in a phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.2) (final volume, 500 μL) was incubated at ambient temperature (23 °C). The reaction mixture was shielded from light and gently vortexed. The reaction was monitored by LC-ESI-MS analysis. After 16 h, the click reaction was complete as indicated by LC-ESI-MS. The mixture was then diluted with phosphate buffer (5 mL, 50 mM, pH 7.2) and filtered by 0.22 μm syringe filter. The conjugate product in the filtrate was purified by protein A chromatography to give **22** (1.9 mg, 88%). ESI-MS of **22**: calcd. M = 161714 Da; found (m/z) , 2941.30 $[M + 55H]^{55+}$, 3052.25 $[M + 53H]^{53+}$, 3110.84 $[M + 52H]^{52+}$, 3171.81 $[M + 51H]^{51+}$, deconvolution of the ESI-MS, M = 161718 Da. Fc analysis: calcd, $M = 32061$ Da; found (m/z), 2291.05 [M + 14H]¹⁴⁺, 2467.16 [M + 13H]¹³⁺, 2672.64 $[M + 12H]^{12+}$, deconvolution data, M = 32060 Da.

Synthesis of α**-Gal4-Herceptin conjugate (23).—**A solution of azide-tagged antibody **20** (2 mg, 13 nmol) and the α-Gal-PEG-DBCO (**15**) (157 μg, 0.13 μmol, 10 eq) in a phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.2) (final volume, 500 μL) was incubated at ambient temperature $(23 \degree C)$. The reaction mixture was shielded from light and gently vortexed. The reaction was monitored by LC-ESI-MS analysis. After 8 h, the click reaction was complete as indicated by LC-ESI-MS. The mixture was then diluted with phosphate buffer (5 mL, 50 mM, pH 7.2) and filtered by 0.22 μm syringe filter. The conjugate product in the filtrate was purified by protein A chromatography to give **23** (1.9 mg, 87%). ESI-MS of **23**: calcd. M = 155879 Da; found (m/z) , 2835.26 $[M + 55H]^{55+}$, 2887.68 $[M + 54H]^{54+}$, 2942.18

 $[M + 53H]^{53+}$, 2998.70 $[M + 52H]^{52+}$, deconvolution of the ESI-MS, M = 155882 Da. Fc analysis: calcd, $M = 29143$ Da; found (m/z), 2082.71 $[M + 14H]^{14+}$, 2242.71 $[M + 13H]^{13+}$, 2429.57 [M + 12H]¹²⁺, deconvolution data, M = 29143 Da.

Synthesis of α**-Gal16-Herceptin conjugate (24).—**A solution of azide-tagged antibody **20** (2 mg, 13 nmol) and the α-Gal-PEG-DBCO (**15**) (1.09 mg, 0.27 μmol, 20 eq) in a phosphate buffer (50 mM, pH 7.2) (final volume, 500 μ L) was incubated at ambient temperature (23 °C). The reaction mixture was shielded from light and gently vortexed. The reaction was monitored by LC-ESI-MS analysis. After 40 h, the click reaction was complete as indicated by LC-ESI-MS. The mixture was then diluted with phosphate buffer (5 mL, 50 mM, pH 7.2) and filtered by 0.22 μm syringe filter. The conjugate product in the filtrate was purified by protein A chromatography to give **24** (2.0 mg, 90%). ESI-MS of **24**: calcd. $M = 167159$ Da; found (m/z) , 3040.18 $[M + 55H]^{55+}$, 3096.46 $[M + 54H]^{54+}$, 3154.81 $[M + 53H]^{53+}$, 3215.53 $[M + 52H]^{52+}$, deconvolution of the ESI-MS, M = 167158 Da. Fc analysis: calcd, $M = 34783$ Da; found (m/z), 2319.77 [M + 15H]¹⁵⁺, 2485.47 [M + 14H]¹⁴⁺, 2676.52 [M + 13H]¹³⁺, deconvolution data, M = 34782 Da.

Synthesis of Rha4-Herceptin conjugate (21) via transglycosylation.—To the solution of **28** (500 μg, 25 mg/ml in 100 mM Tris buffer), glycan oxazoline **27a** (941 μg, 30 eq, 100 mg/mL in 100 mM Tris buffer) was added. After adjusting the pH to 7.4, Endo-S2 D184M mutant (13 mg/mL) was added to the solution. The final enzyme concentration was 0.1 mg/mL. The reaction was carried out at 30 °C and monitored by LC-MS every 20 minutes. The reaction reached completion in 60 min. The product was purified by protein A chromatography to yield **21** (420 μg, 82%). ESI-MS of **21**: calcd. M = 154518 Da; found (m/z) , 2810.48 [M + 55H]⁵⁵⁺, 2862.51 [M + 54H]⁵⁴⁺, 2916.44 [M + 53H]⁵³⁺, 2972.53 [M + $52H$ ⁵²⁺, deconvolution of the ESI-MS, M = 154519 Da.

Synthesis of Rha16-Herceptin conjugate (22) via transglycosylation.—To the solution of **28** (105 μg, 35 mg/ml in 100 mM Tris buffer), glycan oxazoline **27b** (220 μg, 40 eq, 100 mg/mL in 100 mM Tris buffer) was added. After adjusting the pH to 7.4, Endo-S2 D184M mutant (13 mg/mL) was added to the solution. The final enzyme concentration was 0.4 mg/mL. The reaction was carried out at 30 °C and monitored by LC-MS every 20 minutes.

In Vitro Cytotoxicity Assay

BT474 cells (ATCC HTB-20) were cultured in HybriCare medium (ATCC 46-X) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μ g/mL streptomycin in T-75 flasks (CELLTREAT). T47D cells (ATCC HTB-133) were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (ATCC 30– 2001) containing FBS, 4 mg/L insulin, 100 U/mL penicillin, and 100 μg/mL streptomycin in T-75 flasks (CELLTREAT).

For the cytotoxicity assays, cells were seeded into 96-well plates with 25,000 cells per well and grown overnight at 37 °C and 5% CO₂. The FBS containing media was removed. The serum free RPMI media with the antibody conjugates (starting at a concentration of 25 μg/mL for BT474 and 50 μg/mL for T47D and serially diluted 1:3) was added. Each

compound was assessed in triplicate wells, the cells without compound served as control. After incubation for 2 hours, the antibody conjugates solution was removed. 70μL serum free RPMI and 10 μL human serum was added to each well and incubated for 15 minutes. Then 20 μL of 20% rabbit complement diluted by serum free RPMI was added to each well and incubated for 2 hours. The cells were then washed with PBS before the incubation with RPMI media containing 10% cell counting kit-8 (Dojindo). The absorbance of formazan released by viable cells was measured at 450 nm using a spectrophotometer after incubation for 2–3 h at 37 °C and 5% $CO₂$. Finally, the cell viability curve and the EC50 values were calculated using GraphPad Prism software.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the US National Institutes of Health (NIH grant R01 AI155716).

References

- [1]. Tsao LC, Force J, Hartman ZC, Cancer Res 2021, 81, 4641–4651. [PubMed: 34145037]
- [2]. a)Owen RM, Carlson CB, Xu J, Mowery P, Fasella E, Kiessling LL, Chembiochem 2007, 8, 68–82; [PubMed: 17154219] b)Sheridan RT, Hudon J, Hank JA, Sondel PM, Kiessling LL, Chembiochem 2014, 15, 1393–1398; [PubMed: 24909955] c)Naicker KP, Li H, Heredia A, Song H, Wang LX, Org. Biomol. Chem 2004, 2, 660–664; [PubMed: 14985805] d)Perdomo MF, Levi M, Sallberg M, Vahlne A, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 2008, 105, 12515–12520; [PubMed: 18719129] e)Li X, Rao X, Cai L, Liu X, Wang H, Wu W, Zhu C, Chen M, Wang PG, Yi W, ACS Chem. Biol 2016, 11, 1205–1209. [PubMed: 26982552]
- [3]. a)Galili U, Rachmilewitz EA, Peleg A, Flechner I, J. Exp. Med 1984, 160, 1519–1531; [PubMed: 6491603] b)Galili U, Wang L, LaTemple DC, Radic MZ, Subcell. Biochem 1999, 32, 79–106; [PubMed: 10391992] c)Parker W, Bruno D, Holzknecht ZE, Platt JL, J. Immunol 1994, 153, 3791–3803. [PubMed: 7930596]
- [4]. Galili U, Immunol. Today 1993, 14, 480–482. [PubMed: 7506033]
- [5]. a)Oyelaran O, McShane LM, Dodd L, Gildersleeve JC, J. Proteome Res 2009, 8, 4301–4310; [PubMed: 19624168] b)Huflejt ME, Vuskovic M, Vasiliu D, Xu H, Obukhova P, Shilova N, Tuzikov A, Galanina O, Arun B, Lu K, Bovin N, Mol. Immunol 2009, 46, 3037–3049. [PubMed: 19608278]
- [6]. a)Hossain MK, Vartak A, Karmakar P, Sucheck SJ, Wall KA, ACS Chem. Biol 2018, 13, 2130– 2142; [PubMed: 29916701] b)Jakobsche CE, Parker CG, Tao RN, Kolesnikova MD, Douglass EF Jr., Spiegel DA, ACS Chem. Biol 2013, 8, 2404–2411; [PubMed: 24053626] c)Chen W, Gu L, Zhang W, Motari E, Cai L, Styslinger TJ, Wang PG, ACS Chem. Biol 2011, 6, 185–191. [PubMed: 21043478]
- [7]. a)Sharp TH, Boyle AL, Diebolder CA, Kros A, Koster AJ, Gros P, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 2019, 116, 11900–11905; [PubMed: 31147461] b)Ugurlar D, Howes SC, de Kreuk BJ, Koning RI, de Jong RN, Beurskens FJ, Schuurman J, Koster AJ, Sharp TH, Parren P, Gros P, Science 2018, 359, 794–797; [PubMed: 29449492] c)Diebolder CA, Beurskens FJ, de Jong RN, Koning RI, Strumane K, Lindorfer MA, Voorhorst M, Ugurlar D, Rosati S, Heck AJ, van de Winkel JG, Wilson IA, Koster AJ, Taylor RP, Saphire EO, Burton DR, Schuurman J, Gros P, Parren PW, Science 2014, 343, 1260–1263. [PubMed: 24626930]
- [8]. Sianturi J, Manabe Y, Li HS, Chiu LT, Chang TC, Tokunaga K, Kabayama K, Tanemura M, Takamatsu S, Miyoshi E, Hung SC, Fukase K, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2019, 58, 4526–4530.

- [9]. Zhou K, Hong H, Lin H, Gong L, Li D, Shi J, Zhou Z, Xu F, Wu Z, J. Med. Chem 2022, 65, 323–332 [PubMed: 34962121]
- [10]. Ou C, Li C, Zhang R, Yang Q, Zong G, Dai Y, Francis RL, Bournazos S, Ravetch JV, Wang LX, Bioconjug. Chem 2021, 32, 1888–1897. [PubMed: 34351736]
- [11]. a)Elgersma RC, Coumans RG, Huijbregts T, Menge WM, Joosten JA, Spijker HJ, de Groot FM, van der Lee MM, Ubink R, van den Dobbelsteen DJ, Egging DF, Dokter WH, Verheijden GF, Lemmens JM, Timmers CM, Beusker PH, Mol. Pharm 2015, 12, 1813–1835; [PubMed: 25635711] b)Nicolaou KC, Li R, Chen Q, Lu Z, Pitsinos EN, Schammel A, Lin B, Gu C, Sarvaiya H, Tchelepi R, Valdiosera A, Clubb J, Barbour N, Sisodiya V, Sandoval J, Lee C, Aujay M, Gavrilyuk J, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2020, 142, 12890–12899. [PubMed: 32662641]
- [12]. Zhang W, Wang J, Li J, Yu L, Wang PG, J. Carbohydr. Chem 2008, 18, 1009–1017.
- [13]. a)Huang W, Giddens J, Fan SQ, Toonstra C, Wang LX, J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 12308– 12318; [PubMed: 22747414] b)Parsons TB, Struwe WB, Gault J, Yamamoto K, Taylor TA, Raj R, Wals K, Mohammed S, Robinson CV, Benesch JL, Davis BG, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2016, 55, 2361–2367;c)Tang F, Yang Y, Tang Y, Tang S, Yang L, Sun B, Jiang B, Dong J, Liu H, Huang M, Geng MY, Huang W, Org. Biomol. Chem 2016, 14, 9501–9518; [PubMed: 27714198] d)Manabe S, Yamaguchi Y, Matsumoto K, Fuchigami H, Kawase T, Hirose K, Mitani A, Sumiyoshi W, Kinoshita T, Abe J, Yasunaga M, Matsumura Y, Ito Y, Bioconjug. Chem 2019, 30, 1343–1355. [PubMed: 30938513]
- [14]. a)Zhang X, Ou C, Liu H, Prabhu SK, Li C, Yang Q, Wang LX, ACS Chem. Biol 2021, 16, 2502– 2514; [PubMed: 34569782] b)Li T, Tong X, Yang Q, Giddens JP, Wang LX, J. Biol. Chem 2016, 291, 16508–16518; [PubMed: 27288408] c)Li T, DiLillo DJ, Bournazos S, Giddens JP, Ravetch JV, Wang LX, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A 2017, 114, 3485–3490. [PubMed: 28289219]
- [15]. a)Noguchi M, Tanaka T, Gyakushi H, Kobayashi A, Shoda S.-i., J. Org. Chem 2009, 74, 2210–2212; [PubMed: 19203234] b)Huang W, Yang Q, Umekawa M, Yamamoto K, Wang LX, ChemBioChem 2010, 11, 1350–1355. [PubMed: 20486148]
- [16]. Lin H, Hong H, Wang J, Li C, Zhou Z, Wu Z, Chem. Commun. (Camb) 2020, 56, 13959–13962. [PubMed: 33079080]

Homogenous antibody conjugates that recruit serum antibodies and initiate CDC.

Figure 2.

LC-ESI-MS analysis of the intact conjugates (**21**-**24**) and the Fc domains released by IdeS treatment. a) the deconvoluted mass of intact rhamnose conjugate (**21**); b) the deconvoluted mass of intact rhamnose cluster conjugate (**22**); c) the deconvoluted mass of intact α-Gal conjugate (**23**); d) the deconvoluted mass of intact α-Gal cluster conjugate (**24**); e) the deconvoluted mass of the Fc domain of rhamnose conjugate (**21**); f) the deconvoluted mass of the Fc domain of rhamnose cluster conjugate (**22**); g) the deconvoluted mass of the Fc domain of α-Gal conjugate (**23**); h) the deconvoluted mass of the Fc domain of α-Gal cluster conjugate (**24**).

Ou et al. Page 17

Figure 3.

Cell killing assays for breast cancer cell lines a) rhamnose conjugates with BT-474 (HER2 overexpression); b) α-Gal conjugates with BT-474 (HER2 overexpression); c) rhamnose conjugates with T47D (HER2 low expression); d) α-Gal conjugates with T47D (HER2 low expression). All assays were performed in triplicate.

Scheme 1.

Chemical synthesis of the monovalent and multivalent rhamnose-based antibody recruiting molecules. a) **2**, DMSO, Et₃N, RT, 60%; b) **5**, CH₂Cl₂, Et₃N, RT; c) TFA/ CH₂Cl₂, (1:1, v/v) 0°C; d) **4**, CH₂Cl₂, Et₃N, RT; e) Pd/C, H₂, MeOH; f) **9**, CH₂Cl₂, Et₃N, RT, 79% over two steps; g) **7**, DMSO, Et3N, RT; h) hydrazine, H2O, RT; i) 20% piperidine, RT; j) **2**, DMSO, Et3N, RT, 73% over four steps.

Scheme 2.

Chemical synthesis of the monovalent and multivalent α-Gal-based antibody recruiting molecules. a) **2**, DMSO, Et3N, RT, 58%; b) **9**, DMSO, Et3N, RT, 67%; c) **7**, DMSO, Et3N, RT; d) piperidine, RT; e) 2, DMSO, Et₃N, RT, 65% over three steps.

Ou et al. Page 20

Scheme 3.

Synthesis of the antibody conjugates via chemoenzymatic Fc glycan remodeling followed by click reaction

Scheme 4.

Direct enzymatic transfer of Rha ligand-loaded glycans to antibodies using the Endo-S2 D184M.