

Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)

van der Spuy ZM, Le Roux PA, Matjila MJ

van der Spuy ZM, Le Roux PA, Matjila MJ. Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD001125. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001125.

www.cochranelibrary.com

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
BACKGROUND	3
OBJECTIVES	3
METHODS	4
RESULTS	5
DISCUSSION	6
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	7
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	7
REFERENCES	8
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	11
DATA AND ANALYSES	16
Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 CPA (2MG) + EE versus PLACEBO, Outcome 1 SUBJECTIVE IMPROVEMENT IN HAIR GROWTH.	17
Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE. Outcome 1 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS.	17
Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + FE versus CPA(2MG) + FE Outcome 2 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS	18
Analysis 3.2. comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 3 TESTOSTERONE LEVEL (EREE) AT 6 MONTHS	18
Analysis 3.4. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 4 ANDROSTENEDIONE EVEL AT 6 MONTHS	18
Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 5 DHEAS EVEL AT 6 MONTHS.	19
Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 6 ESTPADIOL LEVEL AT 6 MONTHS.	10
Analysis 5.0. Comparison 5 CFA (22MG) + LE VEISUS CFA (2MG) + LE, Outcome 1 EEPPIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS.	20
Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 2 TESTOSTEPONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS	20
Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 2 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS.	20
Analysis 4.5. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 5 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS.	20
Analysis 4.4. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 4 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 6 MONTHS.	20
Analysis 4.5. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 5 DREAS AT 6 MONTHS.	21
Analysis 4.6. Comparison 4 CPA versus RETOCONAZOLE, OULCOME 6 ESTRADIOL AT 6 MONTHS.	21
Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 1 WITHDRAWALS DURING TREATMENT.	22
Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS.	22
Analysis 5.3. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACIONE, Outcome 3 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS.	22
Analysis 5.4. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACIONE, Outcome 4 SIDE EFFECTS DURING TREATMENT.	23
Analysis 5.5. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACIONE, Outcome 5 LINEAR HAIR GROWTH AT 3 MONTHS.	23
Analysis 5.6. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACIONE, Outcome 6 LINEAR HAIR GROWTH AT 6 MONTHS.	23
Analysis 5.7. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACIONE, Outcome 7 HAIR SHAFT DIAMETER AT 6 MONTHS.	24
Analysis 5.8. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 8 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS.	24
Analysis 5.9. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 9 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS.	24
Analysis 5.10. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 10 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 6 MONTHS.	24
Analysis 5.11. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 11 DHEAS AT 6 MONTHS.	25
Analysis 5.12. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 12 SHBG AT 6 MONTHS.	25
Analysis 5.13. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 13 ESTRADIOL AT 6 MONTHS.	25
Analysis 6.1. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 1 WITHDRAWALS FROM TREATMENT.	26
Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS.	27
Analysis 6.3. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 3 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS.	27
Analysis 6.4. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 4 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 12 MONTHS.	27
Analysis 6.5. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 5 SIDE EFFECTS.	27
Analysis 6.6. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 6 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 3 MONTHS.	28
Analysis 6.7. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 7 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS.	28
Analysis 6.8. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 8 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 3 MONTHS.	28
Analysis 6.9. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 9 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS.	29
Analysis 6.10. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 10 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 12 MONTHS.	29
Analysis 6.11. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 11 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 3 MONTHS.	29
Analysis 6.12. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 12 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 6 MONTHS.	29
Analysis 6.13. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 13 DHEAS AT 3 MONTHS.	30

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Analysis 6.14. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 14 DHEAS AT 6 MONTHS.	30
Analysis 6.15. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 15 DHEAS AT 12 MONTHS.	30
Analysis 6.16. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 16 SHBG AT 3 MONTHS.	30
Analysis 6.17. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 17 SHBG AT 6 MONTHS.	31
Analysis 7.1. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 1 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS	31
Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS	32
Analysis 7.3. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 3 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 12 MONTHS.	32
Analysis 7.4. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 4 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 3 MONTHS.	32
Analysis 7.5. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 5 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS.	33
Analysis 7.6. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 6 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 12 MONTHS	33
Analysis 7.7. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 7 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 3 MONTHS	33
Analysis 7.8. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 8 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS.	33
Analysis 7.9. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 9 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 12 MONTHS.	34
Analysis 7.10. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 10 3 ALPHA ANDROSTENEDIOL GLUCORONIDE AT 6 MONTHS.	34
Analysis 8.1. Comparison 8 CPA versus GNRH, Outcome 1 SIDE EFFECTS.	34
Analysis 8.2. Comparison 8 CPA versus GNRH, Outcome 2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS	35
Analysis 8.3. Comparison 8 CPA versus GNRH, Outcome 3 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 3 MONTHS.	35
Analysis 8.4. Comparison 8 CPA versus GNRH, Outcome 4 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 3 MONTHS.	35
WHAT'S NEW	35
HISTORY	36
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	36
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	36
INDEX TERMS	36



[Intervention Review]

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism

Zephne M van der Spuy¹, Paul Andre Le Roux², Mushi J Matjila³

¹Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Cape Town Medical School, Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa. ²Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Cape Fertility Clinic, Cape Town, South Africa. ³Groote Schuur Hospital, Cape Town, South Africa

Contact: Zephne M van der Spuy, Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Cape Town Medical School, Groote Schuur Hospital, H Floor, Old Main Building, Observatory, Cape Town, 7925, South Africa. Zephne.VanDerSpuy@uct.ac.za.

Editorial group: Cochrane Gynaecology and Fertility Group. **Publication status and date:** Edited (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 1, 2010.

Citation: van der Spuy ZM, Le Roux PA, Matjila MJ. Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2003, Issue 4. Art. No.: CD001125. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD001125.

Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

ABSTRACT

Background

Hirsutism is a distressing and relatively common endocrine problem in women which may prove difficult to manage. Cyproterone acetate, an anti-androgen, is frequently used to treat hirsutism, usually in combination with ethinyl estradiol.

Objectives

The objective of this review was to investigate the effectiveness of cyproterone acetate alone, or in combination with ethinyl estradiol, in reducing hair growth in women with hirsutism secondary to ovarian hyperandrogenism.

Search methods

The Cochrane Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group trials register was searched (last search - 4 June 2002). The Cochrane Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group register is based on regular searches of MEDLINE (1966 to 2002), EMBASE (1980 to 2002), CINAHL (1982 to 2002), PsycINFO (1987 to 2002) and CENTRAL (Issue 2, 2002 of the Cochrane Library) the handsearching of several journals and conference proceedings, and searches of several key grey literature sources. All publications of randomised controlled trials of cyproterone acetate with or without estrogen versus placebo or other drug therapies for hirsutism were identified.

Selection criteria

All randomised controlled studies comparing:

- cyproterone acetate to placebo
- cyproterone acetate with ethinyl estradiol to placebo
- cyproterone acetate with ethinyl estradiol to cyproterone acetate alone
- cyproterone acetate (with or without estradiol) to other medical therapies for treatment of hirsutism.

Data collection and analysis

Eleven studies were identified which fulfilled the inclusion criteria. Nine randomised studies were included in the review, and two were excluded because of insufficient information. Only one study had more than 100 women included in the analysis. The major outcomes included: subjective improvement in hirsutism, changes in Ferriman Gallwey scores, changes in linear hair growth and hair shaft diameter, alterations in endocrine parameters, side effects to treatment, withdrawals during therapy

Main results

There were no clinical trials comparing cyproterone acetate alone with placebo. There was one small study comparing cyproterone acetate in combination with ethinyl estradiol to placebo. In this study there was a significant subjective reduction in hair growth with

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



cyproterone acetate therapy, although the confidence limits were large. There were no studies comparing cyproterone acetate alone with cyproterone acetate in combination with ethinyl estradiol to treat hirsutism. In studies where cyproterone acetate was compared to other drug modalities (ketoconazole, spironolactone, flutamide, finasteride, GnRH analogues) no difference in clinical outcome was noted. There were, however, endocrinological differences in androgen and estrogen levels between different drug therapies. There were insufficient data to assess differences in side effects between women treated with cyproterone acetate and other medical therapy.

Authors' conclusions

Cyproterone acetate combined with estradiol results in a subjective improvement in hirsutism compared to placebo. Clinical differences in outcome between cyproterone acetate and other medical therapies were not demonstrated in the studies included in this review. This may be because of the small size of the studies, lack of standardized assessment and lack of objective determinants of improvement in hirsutism. The endocrinological effects of the different drug therapies reflect the mode of action. Larger carefully designed studies are needed to compare efficacy and safety profiles between drug therapies for hirsutism.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Cyproterone acetate appears to be as effective as other medications for hirsutism in women caused by excessive androgen production by the ovaries

One of the causes of hirsutism (excessive hair growth) in women is excessive production of the hormone androgens by the ovaries. A variety of medications can be used to counter the effects of the androgen. Cyproterone acetate is an anti-androgen drug. Adverse effects that have been reported with its use include weight gain, depression, fatigue, breast symptoms and sexual dysfunction. The review of trials found that cyproterone acetate appears to have a similar impact on hirsutism as other drugs used for hirsutism caused by excessive androgen. There was not enough evidence to compare adverse effects of the treatment options.



BACKGROUND

Hyperandrogenism (elevation of masculinizing hormones) is probably the commonest endocrine disturbance in women. The clinical manifestations of hyperandrogenism vary from mild skin changes (increased oiliness), acne, excess facial and body hair through to voice changes, enlargement of the clitoris and change in body habitus.

Hirsutism is the growth of terminal hair in sites at which it is usually considered a male secondary sexual characteristic e.g. chest and beard area. About one third of women in the reproductive years have some hair on their face, abdomen or chest (McKnight 1984). Among older women, up to 75% may have slightly increased facial hair, because the main product of the postmenopausal ovaries is androstenedione which is converted to testosterone in the peripheral tissue.

The three main naturally occurring steroids responsible for androgen action are testosterone, dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) and androstenedione. Testosterone is the most important androgen and conversion by five alpha-reductase to the more potent dihydrotestosterone is necessary for its biological action (Franks 1989). Androstenedione and DHEA may be regarded as pre hormones which are converted in peripheral tissue to testosterone before they exert any androgenic influence. Production, peripheral conversion and protein binding are important determinants of androgenic effect. Total testosterone measurements, which reflect bound and free hormone do not accurately reflect tissue exposure to androgens. The derived free androgen index (testosterone x 100 divided by sex hormone binding globulin levels) may be used to give an indirect estimation of the free testosterone levels.

The distribution and quality of the hair and the concentration of androgen receptors in the skin are genetically determined, and hence the clinical effects of hyperandrogaenemia are influenced by genetic predisposition (Flamigni 1971; Mowszowicz 1981). Once five alpha-reductase activity has been induced in a hair follicle, it remains sensitive to androgen stimulation for the rest of its life cycle. The hair cycle varies in different parts of the body - from three-six months on the face to five+ years on the scalp (Saitoh 1970; Seago 1985). As a result, therapeutic interventions result in gradual changes in the clinical presentation and the development of hirsutism itself reflects prolonged androgen stimulation.

Androgens also increase the rate of mitosis and epithelial proliferation of sebaceous gland acini and cause enhanced sebum production - both these factors contribute to the development of acne (Ashton 1987; Nurnberger 1987).

Hyperandrogenism may result from ovarian and/or adrenal overproduction of androgens and/or altered peripheral metabolism and/or altered end organ sensitivity. The diagnosis in the majority of women who present with hirsutism will be the polycystic ovary syndrome which is characterised by a typical ultrasound appearance of the ovaries and a variable endocrine and clinical picture (Conway 1989; Adams 1986; Bunker 1989).

Objective scoring systems to assess hirsutism have been used in clinical practice, but these may be difficult to reproduce as there may be considerable inter-observer variation (Ferriman 1961). Other methods of assessing hair growth include measuring the rate of hair growth with a trichometer or shaving and weighing the hair

(Jones 1981). Sebum production is affected by androgens and is sometimes used as a surrogate marker for the effect of therapy on the skin. Many of these methods are impractical in current clinical practice.

Therapeutic options for the management of hirsutism have increased considerably over the past few decades.

Cyproterone acetate is an anti-androgen with potent progestational action and in combination with ethinyl estradiol it inhibits five alpha-reductase activity in the skin of hirsute women, increases SHBG levels and has a significant anti-gonadotrophin effect (Neumann 1987). Cyproterone acetate is stored in adipose tissue which causes a marked depot effect when high doses are used. It has now been an accepted treatment of hirsutism for almost two decades with a reported good clinical response in sixty to eighty percent of patients (Mowszowicz 1983; Kuttenn 1980; Hammerstein 1975; Hammerstein 1983). The documented effects of cyproterone acetate on serum androgen concentrations are somewhat varied (Reed 1988; Rubens1984). Side effects of weight gain, depression, fatigue, breast symptoms and sexual dysfunction have been reported during cyproterone acetate therapy (Lunnell 1982; Appelt 1984).

Other therapeutic agents for hirsutism include spironolactone (which inhibits steroidogenesis, blocks the androgen receptor and inhibits five alpha reductase, ketoconazole (a powerful enzyme inhibitor), flutamide (a receptor blocker), finasteride (a five alpha reductase inhibitor) and GnRH agonist analogues (which cause pituitary down regulation) (van der spuy 1992).

The effectiveness of cyproterone acetate with or without estrogen therapy has not been confirmed by systematic review.

OBJECTIVES

To determine the effectiveness and safety of cyproterone acetate treatment with or without estrogen therapy in reducing hair growth in women with hirsutism by systematically reviewing randomised controlled trials.

We wished to test the following hypotheses:

1. The use of cyproterone acetate is more effective than placebo or other medical therapy in treating hirsutism.

2. The use of cyproterone acetate plus additional estrogen therapy is more effective than cyproterone acetate alone in treating hirsutism.

3. The use of cyproterone acetate in doses of greater than 2mg in combination with ethinyl estradiol is more effective than 2mg cyproterone

acetate in combination with ethinyl estradiol in treating hirsutism.

4. The use of cyproterone acetate improves endocrine parameters when administered to women with hirsutism.

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb S}$ 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

1. All randomised controlled comparisons of cyproterone acetate therapy versus placebo.

2. All randomised controlled comparisons of cyproterone acetate therapy versus other medical therapy.

3. All randomised controlled comparisons of cyproterone acetate therapy plus estrogens vs cyproterone acetate alone to treat hirsutism will be assessed.

Types of participants

1. Women of reproductive years

2. Women requiring therapy for hirsutism measured either objectively or subjectively.

3. Women with hirsutism secondary to hyperandrogenism of ovarian origin.

4. Women with idiopathic hirsutism

Exclusion criteria:

1. Women outside reproductive years

2. Women with hirsutism secondary to a functional androgenic tumour

3. Women with iatrogenic causes of hyperandrogenism/hirsutism4. Women with non-endocrine causes of hyperandrogenism/ hirsutism e.g. medical conditions such as porphyria.

Types of interventions

1. Cyproterone acetate therapy (with or without ethinyl estradiol) versus placebo or any other medical therapy.

2. Cyproterone acetate alone vs cyproterone acetate plus ethinyl estradiol.

Types of outcome measures

For cyproterone acetate therapy versus placebo and any other medical therapy and cyproterone acetate therapy alone versus cyproterone acetate plus ethinyl estradiol, each of the following outcome measures will be recorded where available -

1. Objective assessment of improvement in hirsutism

a. validated scoring system - Ferriman Gallwey Score

b. validated measurement of hair growth - hair shaft diameter, length or weight

2. Subjective assessment of improvement of hirsutism - assessment by woman or medical attendant

3. Change in sebum production - objectively measured

4. Improved endocrine parameters Testosterone - free and total Dehydroepiandosterone (DHEA and DHEAS) Androstenedione Free androgen index Sex hormone binding globulin Cortisol Estradiol Estrone Insulin (resistance) 5. Other laboratory parameters Liver function tests Full blood count

6. Dose of cyproterone acetate

7. Acceptability and satisfaction to women, provided this is recorded in a validated format.

8. Adherence to / compliance with therapy.

9. Side effects Liver dysfunction Weight-gain Decreased libido/sexual dysfunction Breast symptoms Depression Fatigue Menstrual cycle disruptions

10. Withdrawal from trials

Search methods for identification of studies

The Cochrane Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group trials register was searched (last search - 4 June 2002). The Cochrane Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group register is based on regular searches of MEDLINE (1966 to 2002), EMBASE (1980 to 2002), CINAHL (1982 to 2002), PsycINFO (1987 to 2002) and CENTRAL (Issue 2, 2002 of the Cochrane Library) the handsearching of several journals and conference proceedings, and searches of several key grey literature sources. A full description is given in the Group's module on the Cochrane Library. All publications of randomised controlled trials of cyproterone acetate with or without estrogen versus placebo or other drug therapies for hirsutism were identified.

Data collection and analysis

Studies were excluded if they made comparisons other than those specified above. All assessments of the quality of trials and data extraction were performed unblinded by two reviewers. Selection of trials for inclusion in the review was performed together by the two reviewers (ZvdS and PleR) after employing the search strategy described previously.

If necessary, additional information was sought from the principal investigators of trials which appeared to meet the inclusion criteria. The standard check list developed by the review group was used to assess the quality of the included trial.

The quality of allocation concealment was graded either as A (adequate) B (unclear) or C (inadequate). For each included trial, information was collected regarding the method of randomisation, allocation concealment, blinding, whether an intention to treat analysis was performed and relevant interventions and outcomes. Data were extracted independently by the two reviewers using forms designed according to the Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group guidelines.

Statistical analyses were conducted in accordance with the guidelines developed by the Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group. The mean and standard deviation of measured parameters at defined time points during treatment were compared. In the

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb S}$ 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

case of cross-over studies the duration prior to and after cross-over would be included in the analysis.

RESULTS

Description of studies

The forty five references to studies were obtained after following the Menstrual Disorders and Subfertility Group search strategy. Thirty four of these references were excluded because they did not fulfil the inclusion criteria for the review.

Eleven trials were identified which fulfilled the inclusion criteria for the review. Two trials were subsequently excluded because of lack of information regarding outcomes (Barth 1991; Belisle 1986). Nine studies were analysed in this review. Additional information was sought from two of these authors (Fruzetti 1999; Pazos 1999).

The studies were all performed in the 1990s, except one study which was performed in 1986. All nine studies analysed recruited women with hirsutism. Studies that only evaluated participants with acne and no hirsutism who were treated with cyproterone acetate were excluded. Three studies included the features or diagnosis of polycytic ovary in addition to hirsutism in their inclusion criteria (Couzinet 1986; Gokmen 1996; Saeed 1993). One author only included women where cosmetic measures had proved to be inadequate (O'Brien 1990).

All trials included cyproterone acetate as part of their intervention. Cyproterone acetate was used in combination with ethinyl estradiol in seven studies and alone in two studies. Doses of cyproterone acetate varied from 2mg (when used as the Diane/Dianette oral contraceptive preparation) up to 25-100mg. Where only a 2mg dose of cyproterone acetate was used (as in the combined oral contraceptive Diane/ Dianette), the data was analysed separately from the groups where a dose of greater than 2mg was used. Cyproterone acetate in a dose of 2mg, combined with ethinyl estradiol, was compared to a larger dose of 100mg in addition to Diane/Dianette, given on days one-ten of the menstrual cycle were compared by one author (Gokmen 1996). Cyproterone acetate (as a 2mg dose in combination with ethinyl estradiol) was only compared to placebo in one study.

Cyproterone acetate was compared to spironolactone in four studies (Dixon 1991; Erenus 1996; Gokmen 1996; O'Brien 1990), to flutamide in three studies (Fruzetti 1999; Grigoriou 1996; Pazos 1999)), to finasteride in one study (Fruzetti 1999), to ketoconazole in one study (Gokmen 1996) and to GnRH analogues in two studies (Couzinet 1986; Pazos 1999).

The main clinical outcome of improved hirsutism was assessed subjectively in one study (Saeed 1993), by photographic assessment to evaluate linear hair growth in one study (Dixon 1991) and hair diameter (O'Brien 1990) in another study. The other studies used the Ferriman Gallwey score to assess improvement in clinical outcome. Endocrine markers of therapy included serum levels of free and total testosterone, androstenedione, DHEAS, estradiol and SHBG. Urinary three alpha androstenediol glucuronide levels were also measured as an outcome in one study (Fruzetti 1999). None of the included studies assessed sebum production.

Risk of bias in included studies

One of the studies was rated A because the authors reported randomisation procedures adequately to control selection bias (Saeed 1993). The rest of the included studies did not report how randomisation was performed or did not document safeguard procedures and were given a quality score of B or C.

No studies included power calculations or an intention to treat analysis. Four studies did, however, describe the number of withdrawals during the study period (Fruzetti 1999; Gokmen 1996; O'Brien 1990; Pazos 1999). One study was double-blinded (Saeed 1993) and one study had single blinding of the investigator performing the hair measurements (Erenus 1996). The rest of the studies were not blinded. One study was a cross over study after women had been randomly assigned treatment in the first instance. They crossed over to the second treatment after completing the first three months of therapy with the initial allocated therapy (Couzinet 1986). Both pre-cross over and post-cross over results were analysed, but a six month gap occurred between the two treatment periods. One study had a two stage randomisation procedure where women were initially randomised to receive either Diane or larger doses of cyproterone acetate and later another two other groups were added to the randomisation process where patients could also be treated with either Spironolactone or Ketoconazole (Gokmen 1996).

All trials were of adequate duration varying from 3 months to 12 months. One trial analysed 141 women (Gokmen 1996) who were divided into 4 treatment groups, and all other studies were small with less than 100 women suitable for analysis. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were clearly stated in four studies (Fruzetti 1999; Gokmen 1996; O'Brien 1990; Pazos 1999).

Effects of interventions

Hirsutism was evaluated between different treatment groups at defined time intervals (e.g. three months, six months, twelve months). The change in hirsutism from baseline in individual groups was not presented by the authors of these studies. The improvement with treatment could therefore only be assessed in comparison to other studied groups, rather than in an individual group alone over time.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE ALONE VERSUS PLACEBO

There were no data available comparing cyproterone acetate alone to placebo.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE VERSUS CYPROTERONE ACETATE IN COMBINATION WITH ETHINYL ESTRADIOL

There were also no data comparing cyproterone acetate alone to cyproterone acetate in combination with ethinyl estradiol.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE (2mg) COMBINED WITH ETHINYL ESTRADIOL VERSUS PLACEBO

There was one study where cyproterone acetate in a dose of 2mg incorporated into an oral contraceptive (Diane) was compared to placebo (Saeed 1993). There was a subjective improvement in hirsutism (OR 45.0, 95% CI 2.01 to 1006.80), but no objective clinical assessment was performed. There were wide confidence limits in the subjective difference found and this finding may not be a reproducible indication of improvement in outcome.



CYPROTERONE ACETATE (DOSE> 2mg) COMBINED WITH ETHINYL ESTRADIOL VERSUS CYPROTERONE ACETATE (2mg) COMBINED WITH ETHINYL ESTRADIOL

When comparing cyproterone acetate in doses greater than 2mg (doses ranging from 25 to 100mg) in addition to ethinyl estradiol with the lower dose of 2mg in combination with ethinyl estradiol, there were no significant differences in the Ferriman Gallwey score or endocrine levels. Only one study was included in this analyses (Gokmen 1996) with relatively small numbers and the effect of a larger dose may be apparent in an expanded study.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE VERSUS OTHER MEDICAL THERAPY

Cyproterone acetate was then compared to other medical treatments - ketoconazole (Gokmen 1996), spironolactone (O'Brien 1990; Dixon 1991; Erenus 1996; Gokmen 1996), flutamide (Pazos 1999; Fruzetti 1999; Grigoriou 1996), finasteride (Fruzetti 1999) and GnRH analogues (Pazos 1999; Couzinet 1986). There were no significant differences in clinical outcome with any of the medical therapies (other than the Ferriman Gallwey score at 12 months in the cyproterone acetate and flutamide groups favouring flutamide), but endocrine differences were observed during treatment.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE VERSUS KETOCONAZOLE

The serum free testosterone, DHEAS and estradiol levels were all significantly higher in the women treated with cyproterone acetate compared with those treated with ketoconazole. There were no differences in the total testosterone and androstenedione levels between these groups.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE VERSUS SPIRONOLACTONE

There were no differences in serum androgens when cyproterone acetate was compared with spironolactone, but the estradiol levels were higher in the women treated with cyproterone acetate.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE VERSUS FLUTAMIDE

The Ferriman Gallwey score was significantly higher at 12 months in the women treated with cyproterone acetate compared with flutamide. However, there was no difference in the Ferriman Gallwey scores between the groups at three and six months. There was only data from one study (Fruzetti 1999) available to compare the clinical outcome at 12 months, whereas there were three studies incorporated in the analysis of data at three months and two studies used in analysing the outcome at six months. The serum free testosterone was significantly lower at three, six and 12 months in the women treated with cyproterone acetate compared with flutamide. The total testosterone was also significantly lower at six months in the group treated with cyproterone acetate. There was no difference in androstenedione, DHEAS or SHBG levels.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE VERSUS FINASTERIDE

The total testosterone and free testosterone levels were lower in the women treated with cyproterone acetate group compared with those treated with finasteride at three, six and 12 months. There was no difference in the urinary three alpha androstanediol glucuronide levels between these groups.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE VERSUS GnRH ANALOGUES

There were no clinical or endocrine differences found when cyproterone acetate was compared with GnRH analogues.

CYPROTERONE ACETATE VERSUS OTHER MEDICAL THERAPY - SIDE EFFECTS AND WITHDRAWALS FROM THERAPY

The incidence of side effects to therapy with cyproterone acetate compared to spironolactone, flutamide and GnRH analogues was not significantly different. There was insufficient data to compare side effects experienced with ketoconazole or finasteride therapy. There was no difference in the number of women withdrawing while on therapy from trials comparing cyproterone acetate to spironolactone or flutamide.

DISCUSSION

There was considerable variation in the trial methods used to evaluate the effect of cyproterone acetate and other drugs on hirsutism in the studies which we reviewed. Most studies used the Ferriman Gallwey score to assess hirsutism clinically and androgen levels as surrogate markers. Linear hair growth and hair shaft diameter are more accurate objective ways to assess hirsutism, but were only used in one study in this review. Only studies evaluating hirsutism were included, whereas those assessing acne were excluded in order to have a more homogenous group for analysis. The previous review on therapy in hirsutism published in the Cochrane library evaluated spironolactone treatment in patients with acne or hirsutism.

There were no data comparing cyproterone acetate alone to placebo. In addition there were no data comparing cyproterone acetate alone to combination therapy using cyproterone acetate and ethinyl estradiol. The fundamental question of whether cyproterone acetate is less effective without ethinyl estradiol could not be answered in this review because of the lack of published data.

Only one double blind study comparing cyproterone acetate (2mg) in combination with ethinyl estradiol to placebo was included. Although there was a statistically significant subjective improvement in hirsutism, the finding was imprecise with large confidence limits. In addition, no objective evaluation was performed.

There were no clinical differences in hirsutism detected when cyproterone acetate was compared to other drug therapies (spironolactone, finasteride, GnRH analogues, ketoconazole). The only difference in clinical outcome was an improved Ferriman Gallwey score at 12 months when cyproterone acetate was compared with flutamide, but this finding was probably not a true difference since more robust comparative data at three and six months did not support any difference between the two drugs.

There were endocrinological differences in hormone levels in women treated with different therapies which may be explained by the mode of action of the drugs.

Cyproterone acetate works by various mechanisms. It binds to the dihydrotestosterone receptor in the cytoplasm in the hair follicle preventing its translocation into the nucleus to cause an androgenic effect. In addition to this receptor activity it inhibits five alpha reductase activity reducing DHT production, and also inhibits the production of the gonadotrophins. The reduced gonadotrophin levels in turn reduces steroidogenesis.

Ketoconazole is a enzyme inhibitor blocking the cytochrome P450 enzyme system reducing steroid hormone production. The women treated with ketoconazole had lower free testosterone, estradiol and DHEAS levels than those women treated with cyproterone

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)

Copyright \odot 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



acetate. The results indicate that ketoconazole is a more powerful enzyme inhibitor than CPA, resulting in lower serum levels of androgens. The most likely reason this did not translate into a clinical difference was because the cyproterone acetate also acts through additional mechanisms to reduce hirsutism.

Spironolactone is an aldosterone antagonist but is also know to have some effect on the cytochrome P450 enzyme system. It seemed to be as effective as cyproterone acetate in enzyme inhibition as no difference in serum androgens was demonstrated between these two therapies.

Flutamide does not reduce steroidogenesis but inhibits the effect of androgens by receptor blockade. The serum androgens (both free and total testosterone) were higher in the flutamide group than in the cyproterone acetate group. Although the serum androgen levels were higher with flutamide the net clinical effect was not worse because the androgen effect was blocked at the target tissue level.

Finasteride is a five alpha reductase inhibitor used in urology for treating prostatic disease and in gynaecology for treating hyperandrogenism. It is not known to reduce steroidogenesis, and the serum free and total testosterone levels were higher in this group than in the group treated with cyproterone acetate. Although the serum androgen levels were higher, the clinical effect was blocked by the lack of activity of the five alpha reductase enzyme which could not convert the testosterone to the highly active dihydro-testosterone at the tissue level.

GnRH agonist analogues cause pituitary down regulation and desensitisation with the resultant reduction of bioactive gonadotrophins and decreased ovarian steroidogenesis. There were limited data available in this review to compare the effects on steroidogenesis of GnRH analogues in comparison to cyproterone acetate.

In summary, cyproterone acetate acts both by inhibition of steroidogenesis and through a peripheral effect (receptor blockade and five alpha reductase inhibition). Ketoconazole therapy resulted in more powerful inhibition of steroidogenesis than cyproterone acetate, whereas spironolactone resulted in a similar degree of inhibition. Neither flutamide and finasteride inhibited steroid hormone production but are active at a peripheral level. Although the medication caused different effects endocrinologically, this did not result in a clinical difference and was simply related to their mode of action.

In addition there were no reported differences in side effects between cyproterone acetate and flutamide or spironolactone. Data were not available comparing side effects between women treated with cyproterone acetate and ketoconazole, finasteride and GnRH analogue. This would be important information since it may ultimately determine which is the safest medication with which to treat women with hirsutism. Definitive conclusions cannot be drawn from this review on the true incidence of side effects related to the different drugs due to insufficient data.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Although in one study cyproterone acetate therapy resulted in a subjective improvement in hirsutism compared with placebo, this was a small study with only 20 participants and the results had wide confidence intervals. All the medication used to treat hirsutism in this review (cyproterone acetate, spironolactone, ketoconazole, flutamide, finasteride and GnRH agonist analogues) resulted in a similar improvement in hirsutism. They acted by different mechanisms and the change in serum androgen levels therefore did not necessarily correlate with the degree of success in treating hirsutism.

The incidence of side effects with the different therapies could not be adequately assessed due to limited data. Cyproterone acetate (in combination with ethinyl estradiol) is a good first line therapy for treating hirsutism. Although it is generally accepted that cyproterone acetate is acceptable therapy, the lack of data from randomised controlled trials and the absence of objective assessments of therapy result in inadequate documentation and quantification of effect. Further studies are needed to evaluate the potential side effects of therapies to treating hirsutism.

Implications for research

There is a need for larger randomised trials using objective methods to evaluate hirsutism combined with endocrinological assessment.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Nil

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Couzinet 1986 {published data only}

* Couzinet B, le Strat N, Brailly S, Schaison G. Comparative effects of cyproterone acetate or a long acting gonadotrophin releasing hormone agonist in polycystic ovary disease. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1986;**63**(4):1031-5.

Dixon 1991 {published data only}

Dixon JE, Hicks BH, Chapman MG. Hirsutography: photographic measurement of linear hair growth in hirsute women during comparison of anti-androgen treatments. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1991;**11**(1):63-7.

Erenus 1996 {published data only}

Erenus M, Yucelten D, Gurbuz O, Durmusoglu F, Pekin S. Comparison of spironolactone-oral contraceptive versus cyproterone acetate-estrogen regimens in the treatment of hirsutism. *Fertility and Sterility* 1996;**22**(2):216-9.

Fruzetti 1999 {published data only}

Fruzetti F, Bersi C, Parrini D, Ricci C, Genazzani R. Treatment of hirsutism: comparisons between different antiandrogens with central and peripheral effects. *Fertility and Sterility* 1999;**71**(3):445-51.

Gokmen 1996 {published data only}

Gokmen O, Senoz B, Gulekli B, Isik AZ. Comparison of four different treatment regimens in hirsutism related to polycystic ovary syndrome. *Gynaecological Endocrinology* 1996;**10**(4):249-55.

Grigoriou 1996 {published data only}

Grigoriou O, Papadias C, Konidaris S, Antoniou G, Karakitsos P, Giannikos L. Comparison of flutamide and cyproterone acetate in the treatment of hirsutism: a randomised controlled trial. *Gynaecological Endocrinology* 1996;**10**(2):119-23.

O'Brien 1990 {published data only}

O'Brien RC, Cooper ME, Murray RML, Seeman E, Thomas AK, Jerums G. Comparison of sequential cyproterone acetate/ estrogen versus spironolactone /oral contraceptive in the treatment of hirsutism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1991;**72**(5):1008-13.

Pazos 1999 {published data only}

Pazos F, Escobar-Morreale HF, Balsa J, Sancho JM, Varela C. Prospective randomised study comparing the long-acting gonadotrophin-releasing hormone agonist triptorelin, flutamide and cyproterone acetate, used in combination with an oral contraceptive, in the treatment of hirsutism. *Fertility and Sterility* 1999;**71**(1):122-8.

Saeed 1993 {published data only}

Saeed R, Akram J, Changezi HU, Saeed M. Treatment of hirustism in polycystic ovary syndrome with Diane, 50 mcg ethinyl estradiol and 2mg cyproterone acetate. *Pakistan Journal* of Medical Science 1993;**9**(2):109-12.

References to studies excluded from this review

Adamopoulous 1988 {published data only}

Adamopoulos DA, Kampyli S, Georgiacodis F, Kapolla N, Abrahamian-Michalakis A. Effects of anti-androgen estrogen treatment on sexual and endocrine parameters in hirsute women. *Archives of Sexual Behaviour* 1988;**17**(5):421-9.

Barth 1991 {published data only}

Barth JH, Cherry F, Wojnarowska F, Dawber RPR. Cyproterone acetate for severe hirsutism: results of a double blind dose-ranging study. *Clinical Endocrinology* 1991;**35**(1):5-10.

Belisle 1986 {published data only}

Belisle S, Love EJ. Clinical efficacy and safety of cyproterone acetate in severe hirsutism : results of a multicentered Canadian study. *Fertility and Sterility* 1986;**46**(6):1015-20.

Beylot 1998 {published data only}

Beylot C, Doutre MS, Barry M. Oral contraceptives and cyproterone acetate in female acne treatment. *Dermatology* 1998;**196**(1):148-52.

Carmina 1998 {published data only}

Carmina E, Lobo RA. The addition of dexamethazone to antiandrogen therapy for hirsutism prolongs the duration of remission. *Fertility and Sterility* 1998;**69**(6):1075-9.

Castelo-Branco 1998 {published data only}

Castelo-Branco C, Martinez de Osaba MJ, Pons F, Vanrell JA. Effects of bone mass of two oral contraceptives containing ethinylestradiol and cyproterone acetate or desogestrel: results of a two year follow-up. *The European Journal of Contraception and Reproductive Health Care: the Official Journal of the European Society of Contraception* 1998;**3**(2):79-84.

Consoli 1994 {published data only}

Consoli SM, Vexiau P, Consoli SG, Abramovici Y. Acceptance, tolerance and effects on quality of life of treatment of hiruste women with cyproterone acetate. Comparison of associated treatment with oral estradiol to transdermal estradiol [Acceptabilite, tolerance et impact sur la qualite de la vie du traitement de la femme hirsute par lacetate de cyproterone. Comparaison de 2 schemas l'associant soit a l' estradiol per os, soit par voie transdermique]. *Contraception, Fertilite, Sexualite* 1994;**22**(12):783-7.

Cremoncini 1976 {published data only}

Cremoncini C, Vignati E, Libroia A. Treatment of hirsutism and acne in women with two combinations of cyproterone acetate and ethinylestradiol. International Symposium on Androgens and Anti-androgens. 1976:300-13.

Erkkola 1990 {published data only}

Erkkola R, Hirvonen E, Luikku R, Lumme R, Mannikko H, Aydinlik S. Ovulation inhibitors containing cyproterone acetate or desogestrel in the treatment of hyperandrogenic symptoms. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica* 1990;**69**(1):61-5.

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)

Copyright $\ensuremath{\mathbb S}$ 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



Frank-Raue 1990 {published data only}

Frank-Raue K, Junga G, Raue F, Vecsei P, Ziegler R. Therapy for women with hirsutism with adrenal enzyme defects of enzyme biosynthesis: compared with dexamethazone compbined with cyproterone acetate [Therapie des hirsutismus bei frauen mit adrenalen enzymdefekten der steroidhormonbiosynthese: vergleich von dexamethason mit cyproteronacetat]. *Klinische Wochenschrift* 1990;**68**(12):597-601.

Gruber 1998 {published data only}

Gruber DM, Sator MO, Joura MO, Kokoscha EM, Heinze G, Huber JC. Topical cyproterone acetate treatment in women with acne: a placebo-controlled trial. *Archives of Dermatology* 1998;**134**(4):459-63.

Grund 1975 {published data only}

Grund E, Schmidt-Elmendorff H. The treatment of virilizing syndromes. Comparative clinical studies of 2 antiandrogenactive gestagens (cyproterone acetate, megestrol acetate) [Behandlung von virilisierungs- erscheinungen. Vergleichende klinische untersuchung zweier antiandrogenwirksamer gestagene (cyproteronazetat, megestrolazetat)]. *Die Medizinische Welt* 1975;**26**(48):2180-7.

Holdaway 1985 {published data only}

Holdaway IM, Croxson MS, Ibbertson HK, Sheehan A, Knox B, France J. Cyproterone acetate as intial treatment and maintenance therapy for hirsutism. *Acta Endocrinologica* 1985;**109**(4):522-9.

Jasonni 1991 {published data only}

Jasonni VM, Bulletti C, Naldi S, Di Cosmo E, Cappuccini F, Flamigni C. Treatment of hirustism by an association of oral cyproterone acetate and transdermal 17 beta estradiol. *Fertility and Sterility* 1991;**55**(4):742-5.

Kelestimur 1997 {published data only}

Kelestimur F, Sahin Y. Comparison of Diane 35 and Diane 35 plus spironolactone in the treatment of hirsutism. *Fertility and Sterility* 1997;**69**(1):66-9.

Kuttenn 1980 {published data only}

Kuttenn F, Rigaud C, Wright F, Mauvais-Jarvis P. Treatment of hirsutism by oral cyproterone acetate and percutaneous estradiol. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1980;**51**(5):1107-11.

Lachnit-Fixson 1977 {published data only}

Lachnit-Fixson U, Kaufmann J. Therapy of androgenisation symptoms [Zur beeinflussung von androgenisierungserscheinungen]. *Medizinische Klinik* 1977;**72**(45):1922-6.

Lachnit-Fixson 1979 {published data only}

Lachnit-Fixson U. The development and evaluation of an ovulation inhibitor (Diane) containing an antiandrogen. *Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica* 1979;**88**(Suppl):33-42.

Marcondes 1990 {published data only}

Marcondes JAM, Wajchenberg BL, Abujamra AC, Luthold WW, Samojlik E, Kirschner MA. Monthly cyproterone acetate in the

treatment of hirsute women: clinical and laboratory effects. *Fertility and Sterility* 1990;**53**(1):40-4.

Moltz 1984 {published data only}

Moltz L, Kaiser E. Medium dose cyproterone acetate therapy for women with moderate hyperandrogenism [Mittelhochdosierte orale cyproteronacetat-therapie bie frauen mit mittelgradig ausgepragten androgenisierungserscheinungen]. *Geburtshilfe und Frauenheilkunde* 1984;**44**(1):47-52.

Pasquali 1986 {published data only}

Pasquali R, Fabbri R, Venturoli S, Paradisi R, Antenucci D, Melchionda N. Effect of weight loss and antiandrogenic therapy on sex hormone blood levels and insulin resistance in obese patients with polycystic ovaries. *American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1986;**154**(1):139-44.

Porcile 1991 {published data only}

Porcile A, Gallardo E. Long term treatment of hirustism: desogestrel compared with cyproterone acetate in oral contraceptives. *Fertility and Sterility* 1991;**55**(5):877-81.

Pucci 1997 {published data only}

Pucci E, Petraglia F. Treatment of androgen excess in females: yesterday, today and tomorrow. *Gynaecological Endocrinology* 1997;**11**(6):411-33.

Pugeat 1991 {published data only}

Pugeat M, Nicolas MH, Dechaud H, Elmidani M. Administration of cyproterone acetate and natural estrogens in the treatment of hirustism [Association d'acetate de cyproterone et d'estrogenes naturels dans le traitement de l'hirsutisme]. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology and Reproductive Biology* 1991;**20**:1057-62.

Rigaud 1983 {published data only}

Rigaud C, Vincens M, Mowszomicz I, Wright F, Mavier P, Nahoul K, et al. Action mechanisms of cyproterone acetate in the treatment of hirustism [Mecamisme d'action de l'acetate de cyproterone dans le traitement de l'hirsutisme]. *Annals of Endocrinology* 1983;**44**:387-92.

Rittmaster 1999 {published data only}

Rittmaster RS. Antiandrogen treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome. *Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinics of North America* 1999;**28**(2):409-21.

Sahin 1998 {published data only}

Sahin Y, Bayram F, Kelestimur F, Muderris I. Comparison of cyproterone acetate plus ethinyl estradiol and finasteride in the treatment of hirsutism. *Journal of Endocrinological Investigation* 1998;**21**(6):348-52.

Schmidt 1987 {published data only}

Schmidt JB, Huber J, Spona J. Parenteral and oral cyproterone acetate treatment in severe hirsutism. *Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation* 1987;**24**(2):125-30.

Tartagni 2000 {*published data only*}

Tartagni M, Schonauer LM, de Salvia MA, Cicinelli E, de Pergola G, D'Addario V. Comparison of Diane 35 and Diane

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



35 plus finasteride in the treatment of hirsutism. *Fertility and Sterility* 2000;**73**(4):718-23.

Thomas 1985 {published data only}

Thomas AK, Slobodniuk R, Taft J, Cooper M, Montalto J, Jerums G. The treatment of hirustism: experience with cyproterone acetate and spironolactone. *Australian Journal of Dermatology* 1985;**26**(19):20-3.

van der Spuy 1995 {unpublished data only}

van der Spuy ZM, Nugent FA. The managment of hirustism in PCOS using GnRH agonist analogue therapy. Abstract - 27th British Congress of Obstetrics and Gynaecology 1995.

Vegetti 1996 {published data only}

Vegetti W, Testa G, Maggioni P, Motta T, Falsetti L, Crosignani PG. An open randomised comparative study of an oral contraceptive containing ethinyl estradiol and cyproterone acetate with and without the GnRH analogue goserelin in the long term treatment of hirsutism. *Gynecologic and Obstetric Investigation* 1996;**41**(4):260-8.

Ventoroli 1999 {published data only}

Venturoli S, Marescalchi O, Colombo FM, Marcelli S, Ravaioli B, Bagnoli A, et al. A prospective randomised trial comparing low dose flutamide, finasteride, ketoconazole and cyproterone acetate-estrogen regimens in the treatment of hirustism. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1999;**84**(4):1304-10.

Vermeulen 1988 {published data only}

Vermeulen A, Rubens R. Effects of cyproterone acetate plus ethinylestradiol low dose on plasma androgens and lipids in mildly hirsute or acneic young women. *Contraception* 1988;**38**(4):419-28.

Vexiau 1995 {published data only}

Vexiau P, Fiet J, Conard J, Abramovici Y, Boudou P, Hardy N, et al. 17 beta estradiol: oral or parenteral administration in hyperandrogenic women? Metabolic tolerance in association with cyproterone acetate. *Fertility and Sterility* 1995;**63**(3):508-15.

Young 1998 {published data only}

Young R, Sinclair R. Hirsutes II: treatment. *Australian Journal of Dermatology* 1998;**39**(3):151-7.

Additional references

Adams 1986

Adams J, Polson DW, Franks S. Prevalence of polycystic ovaries in women with anovulation and idiopathic hirsutism. *British Medical Journal Clinical Research Edition* 1986;**293**(6543):355-9.

Appelt 1984

Appelt H, Strauss B. Effects of anti-androgen treatment on the sexuality of women with hyperandrogenism. *Psychotherapy and psychosomatics* 1984;**42**(1-4):177-81.

Ashton 1987

Ashton RE, Miller JA, Wojnarowska FT, et al. Antiandrogen treatment in women with acne: a controlled trial. In: Schindler AE editor(s). Antiandrogen-estrogen therapy for signs of androgenisation. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1987:117-38.

Bunker 1989

Bunker CB, Newton JA, Kilborn J, Patel A, Conway GS, Jacobs HS. Most women with acne have polycystic ovaries. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1989;**121**(6):675-80.

Conway 1989

Conway GS, Honour JW, Jacobs HS. Heterogeneity of the polycystic ovary syndrome: clinical, endocrine and ultrasound features in 556 patients. *Clinical Endocrinology* 1989;**30**(4):459-70.

Ferriman 1961

Ferriman D, Gallwey JD. Clinical assessment of body hair growth in women. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1961;**21**:1440-7.

Flamigni 1971

Flamigni C, Collins WP, Koullapis, Craft I, Dewhurst CJ, Sommerville IF. Androgen metabolism in human skin. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1971;**32**(6):737-43.

Franks 1989

Franks S. Polycystic ovary syndrome: a changing perspective. *Clinical Endocrinology* 1989;**31**(1):87-120.

Hammerstein 1975

Hammerstein J, Meckies J, Leo-Rossberg I, Moltz L, Zielske F. Use of cyproterone acetate (CPA) in the treatment of acne, hirsutism and virilism. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry* 1975;**6**(6):827-36.

Hammerstein 1983

Hammerstein J, Moltz L, Schwartz U. Antiandrogens in the treatment of acne and hirsutism. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry* 1983;**19**(1B):591-7.

Jones 1981

Jones KR, Katz M, Keyzer C, Gordon W. Effect of cyproterone acetate on rate of hair growth in hirsute women. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1981;**105**(6):685-92.

Kuttenn 1980

Kuttenn F, Rigaud C, Wright F, Mauvais-Jarvis P. Treatment of hirsutism by oral cyproterone acetate and percutaneous estradiol. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1980;**51**(5):1107-11.

Lunnell 1982

Lunnell NO, Zador G, Carlstrom K, Eneroth P, Patek E, Wagner J. The effect of cyproterone acetate on pituitary-ovarian function and clinical symptoms in hirsute women. *Acta Endocrinology* 1982;**100**:91-7.

Copyright ${\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}$ 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.



McKnight 1984

McKnight E. The prevalence of "hirsutism" in young women. *Psychotherapy and Psychosomatics* 1964;**42**:177-81.

Mowszowicz 1981

Mowszowicz I, Riahi M, Wright F, Bouchard F, Kuttenn F, Mauvais-Jarvis P. Androgen receptor in human skin cytosol. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1981;**52**(2):338-41.

Mowszowicz 1983

Mowszowicz I, Melanitou E, Kirchhoffer MO, Mauvais-Jarvis P. Dihydrotestosterone stimulates 5-alpha reductase activity in pubic skin fibroblasts. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism* 1983;**56**(2):320-5.

Neumann 1987

Neumann F. Pharmacological aspects of cyproterone acetate. In: Schindler editor(s). Anti-androgen estrogen therapy for signs of androgenisation. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1987:23-40.

Nurnberger 1987

Couzinet 1986

Nurnberger F. Comparison of Diane 35 with Neo-Eunomin from the dermatological viewpoint. In: Schindler AE editor(s). Antiandrogen estrogen therapy for signs of androgenisation. Berlin: Walter de Gruyter, 1987:197-206.

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Reed 1988

Reed MJ, Franks S. Anti-androgens in gynaecological practice. *Baillieres Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology* 1988;**2**(3):581-95.

Rubens1984

Rubens R. Androgen levels during cyproterone acetate in ethinyl estradiol treatment of hirsutism. *Clinical Endocrinology* 1984;**20**(3):313-25.

Saitoh 1970

Saitoh M, Uzuka M, Sakamoto M. Human hair cycle. *Journal of Dermatology Investigation* 1970;**54**:65-81.

Seago 1985

Seago SV, Ebling FJ. The hair cycle on the human thigh and upper arm. *British Journal of Dermatology* 1985;**113**(1):9-16.

van der spuy 1992

van der Spuy ZM. Management of hyperandrogenism. *Contemporary Reviews in Obstetrics and Gynaecology* 1992;**4**(2):90-101.

* Indicates the major publication for the study

Methods	Cross over study. 1 centre. No power calc. 10 women. No withdrawals.		
Participants	PCO by clinical and bic criteria stated.	PCO by clinical and biochemical tests. Age 20-35. Endo unit, Hospital de Bicentre, France. No exclusion criteria stated.	
Interventions	1) CPA 50MG daily. 2) D- TRYPTOPHAN 6 LHRH IM monthly injection (GNRH) 3 months study duration for each intervention.		
Outcomes	FG score E2,E1,TESTO, DHEAS, ANDROSTENEDIONE, 3ALPHA ANDROSTANEDIOL.		
Notes	FG figures not available.		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	B - Unclear	

Dixon 1991

Methods

Not blinded. 1 centre. No power calc. 41 women analysed. Withdrawals not stated. No ITT analyses.

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Dixon 1991 (Continued)

Participants	Hirsute women. Age 18-45. Gynae or Endo clinics, Guys Hospital, London. Exclusion criteria not stated.	
Interventions	1) Spironolactone + EE (Ovysmen) 2) CPA + EE 6 months duration.	
Outcomes	FG score. Linear hair growth.	
Notes	Photographic method for hair measurement.	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	B - Unclear

Erenus 1996

Methods	Single blinding. 1 centre. No power calc. 42 women randomised. 42 analysed. no withdrawals. No in- tention to treat (ITT) analyses.		
Participants	Hirsute women. Age mean group 1 20.1 (SD3.7), group 2 22.3 (SD 5.6). Marmara University School of Medicine. Istanbul, Turkey. Exclusion criteria not stated.		
Interventions	1) Spironolactone (100MG) + EE (OC) 2) CPA 50MG Day 1-10 + EE (OC - Diane) 9 months.		
Outcomes	FG score. Testo, DHEAS.		
Notes			
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	B - Unclear	

Fruzetti 1999

Methods	Unclear blinding. No power calc. 45 women randomised. 42 analysed. 3 withdrawals for personal rea- sons. No ITT analyses.
Participants	Women with hirsutism. No hormonal treatment for 6 months prior to study. Age 16-29 years. Reprod. Endo. OPD Clinic. University of Pisa.
Interventions	1) CA 25MG Day 1-10 plus EE 20mcg D1-21. 2) Finasteride 5mg/day 3) Flutamide 250mg daily. No placebo. 12 months.
Outcomes	FG score. Total / free testosterone, androstenedione, DHEAS, SHBG, DHT,3 alpha androstanediol glu- curonide.

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)

Fruzetti 1999 (Continued)

 Notes

 Risk of bias

 Bias
 Authors' judgement

 Support for judgement

 Allocation concealment?
 Unclear risk

Gokmen 1996			
Methods	Unclear blinding. One centre. 173 women randomised. Two stage randomisation. Second two groups added to randomisation after study already commenced. 141 analysed. 32 withdrawals. No ITT analy- sis. Source of funding - not stated.		
Participants	Complaint hirsutism. F - Hyperandrogenism o - Failure to come for fo	2CO on ultrasound + 1 biochemical abnormality. Age 14-39. Exclusions: ther than ovarian llow up. Reprod Endo Clinic. Ankara, Turkey.	
Interventions	1) Diane 2) CA 100 Day 1-10 OF Diane + Diane. 3) Spironolactone 100-200mg individually adjusted. 4) Ketoconazole 400mg daily. Duration 6 months.		
Outcomes	FG score. BMI Estradiol Testosterone (free and Androstenedione DHEAS	total)	
Notes			
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	B - Unclear	

Grigoriou 1996

Methods	Not blinded. 1 centre. No power calc. 22 women randomised. No withdrawals.
Participants	Idiopathic hirsutism. Age 16-32. O&G dept, University of Athens, Greece.
Interventions	1) CPA 100mg Day 5-14. 2) Flutamide 250mg twice daily. 9 months duration.
Outcomes	FG score. Testosterone Androstenedione DHEAS SHBG
Notes	

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)

Grigoriou 1996 (Continued)

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Allocation concealment?	High risk	C - Inadequate

O'Brien 1990

Methods	Not blinded. 1 centre. No power calc. 50 women randomised. 2 withdrawals for personal reasons. 3 withdrawals during study period. Analysed -19 spironolactone and 26 CPA.		
Participants	Hirsute women. Cosmetic methods inadequate. Age 19-46. Excluded: cliteromegaly. Endo Dept. Autin University Hospital. Heidelberg, Victoria, Australia.		
Interventions	1) Spironolactone (100 2) CPA (100mg) Day 5-1 6 months duration.	lmg) + EE I4 + EE	
Outcomes	Hair diameter. Testosterone Androstenedione DHEAS SHBG		
Notes			
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Allocation concealment?	Unclear risk	B - Unclear	

Pazos 1999

Methods	Unclear blinding. 39 randomised. 33 analysed. 6 withdrawals. No ITT analyses.		
Participants	Idiopathic and ovarian hirsutism.		
	Exclusion: adrenal hyperandrogenism. Dept Endo, Hospital Ramon Ycajal, Madrid, Spain.		
Interventions	1) Triptorelin 3.75mg IM every 28 days 2) CA 100mg Day 1-10 3) Flutamide 250mg twice daily. All patients on COCP. 9 months duration.		
Outcomes	FG score Endocrine measurements.		
Notes	Endocrine values not available - only graphs.		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement Support for judgement		

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Unclear risk

Pazos 1999 (Continued)

Allocation concealment?

B - Unclear

Saeed 1993

Methods	Double blinded study. 1 centre. No power calc. 20 women randomised and analysed.		
Participants	PCOS with hirsutism. A	PCOS with hirsutism. Age 17-31. Exclusion criteria not stated. Iqbal Medical College, Lahore.	
Interventions	1) CPA (2mg) + EE - Diane 2) Placebo 12 months		
Outcomes	Subjective improvement in hirsutism. Testosterone DHEAS		
Notes	Endocrine values not available		
Risk of bias			
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Allocation concealment?	Low risk	A - Adequate	

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Adamopoulous 1988	Assessment of sexual activity before and after treatment with CPA. Not randomised study.
Barth 1991	Mean data not presented in paper. Cannot be used in meta-analysis.
Belisle 1986	Randomised study. Insufficient data given for analysis.
Beylot 1998	Review, not original study.
Carmina 1998	No CPA included in groups.
Castelo-Branco 1998	Effects of CPA on bone mass. Not hirsute women.
Consoli 1994	Randomised study comparing 2 routes of estrogen administration. Both groups received CPA.
Cremoncini 1976	Not randomised
Erkkola 1990	Some women only acne, not hirsutism.
Frank-Raue 1990	Not randomised.
Gruber 1998	Topical CPA therapy.
Grund 1975	Not a randomised study.

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Study	Reason for exclusion
Holdaway 1985	Women pre-treated with study drug prior to randomisation.
Jasonni 1991	Not randomised.
Kelestimur 1997	Both groups contain CPA. Study to compare the additive effect of spironolactone with CPA.
Kuttenn 1980	Not randomised.
Lachnit-Fixson 1977	Women with acne without hirsutism included.
Lachnit-Fixson 1979	Review, not original study.
Marcondes 1990	Not randomised. No control.
Moltz 1984	Women with acne or hirsutism recruited. Unable to differentiate groups in the results.
Pasquali 1986	Randomised study of two diet regimes. CPA in both groups.
Porcile 1991	Outcome data not available.
Pucci 1997	Review. Not original study.
Pugeat 1991	Not randomised.
Rigaud 1983	Not randomised.
Rittmaster 1999	Review. Not original study.
Sahin 1998	Not randomised.
Schmidt 1987	Both groups contain CPA. Dose finding study.
Tartagni 2000	Both groups contain Diane. Study assessing the additive effect of adding finasteride.
Thomas 1985	Not randomised.
van der Spuy 1995	Both groups contain CPA. Study to assess the additive effect of GnRH agonist analogue.
Vegetti 1996	Both groups contain CPA. A study to assess the additive effect of GnRH agonist analogue.
Ventoroli 1999	14 women in study had non-classical congenital adrenal hyperplasia.
Vermeulen 1988	Both groups contain Diane (CPA). A study to assess two doses of estradiol.
Vexiau 1995	Randomised study of two routes of estrogen therapy.
Young 1998	Review. Not original study.

DATA AND ANALYSES



Comparison 2. CPA (2MG) + EE versus PLACEBO

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 SUBJECTIVE IMPROVEMENT IN HAIR GROWTH	1	20	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	45.0 [2.01, 1006.75]

Analysis 2.1. Comparison 2 CPA (2MG) + EE versus PLACEBO, Outcome 1 SUBJECTIVE IMPROVEMENT IN HAIR GROWTH.

Study or subgroup	2MG	PLACEBO		Odds	Ratio		Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Saeed 1993	7/10	0/10			+		100%	45[2.01,1006.75]
Total (95% CI)	10	10					100%	45[2.01,1006.75]
Total events: 7 (2MG), 0 (PLACEBO)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=2.4(P=0.02)								
		Favours Placebo	0.001	0.1	1 10	1000	Favours CPA (2mg)	

Comparison 3. CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS	1	113	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.10 [-0.71, 2.91]
2 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS	1	113	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.49 [-1.78, 0.80]
3 TESTOSTERONE LEVEL (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS	1	113	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.35 [-0.61, 1.31]
4 ANDROSTENEDIONE LEVEL AT 6 MONTHS	1	113	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.35 [-1.32, 0.62]
5 DHEAS LEVEL AT 6 MONTHS	1	113	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.18 [1.00, 1.36]
6 ESTRADIOL LEVEL AT 6 MON- THS	1	113	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-19.0 [-103.67, 65.67]

Analysis 3.1. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 1 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	>2MG		2MG			м	ean Differer	nce		Weight N	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% Cl					Fixed, 95% CI	
Gokmen 1996	65	12.9 (4.9)	48	11.8 (4.9)						100%	1.1[-0.71,2.91]
			Favou	rs CPA (>2mg)	-10 -5 0 5		10	Favours CPA (2m	g)		

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Study or subgroup	>2MG		2MG			Mean Difference					Weight M	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fiz	xed, 9	5% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Total ***	65		48				-				100%	1.1[-0.71,2.91]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=1.19(P=0.23)												
			Favour	s CPA (>2mg)	-10	-5	0		5	10	Favours CPA (2m	ig)

Analysis 3.2. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 2 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	>2MG		2MG			Mean Difference			ght	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed,	95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	2.3 (1.4)	48	2.7 (4.4)			-	10	0%	-0.49[-1.78,0.8]
Total ***	65		48			-		10	0%	-0.49[-1.78,0.8]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=0.74(P=0.46)										
			F aura	- CDA (> 2)	-10	-5 0) 5	10 5-110		

Favours CPA (>2mg) ⁻¹⁰ ⁻⁵ ⁰

Favours CPA (2mg)

Analysis 3.3. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 3 TESTOSTERONE LEVEL (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		>2MG		2MG	Mean Difference			Weight M	ean Difference		
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	6.9 (2.8)	48	6.6 (2.4)						100%	0.35[-0.61,1.31]
Total ***	65		48				•			100%	0.35[-0.61,1.31]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.71(P=0.48)											
			Favou	s CPA (>2mg)	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours CPA (2mg	5)

Analysis 3.4. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 4 ANDROSTENEDIONE LEVEL AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	>2MG		2MG			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	7 (2.8)	48	7.3 (2.4)						100%	-0.35[-1.32,0.62]
Total ***	65		48				•			100%	-0.35[-1.32,0.62]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.71(P=0.48)											
			Favou	s CPA (>2mg)	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours CPA (2	mg)

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 3.5. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 5 DHEAS LEVEL AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		>2MG	2MG			Mean Difference				Weight I	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	6.5 (3.1)	48	6.3 (3.2)						100%	0.18[-1,1.36]
Total ***	65		48				•			100%	0.18[-1,1.36]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.3(P=0.76)											
			Favou	rs CPA (>2mg)	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours CPA (2m	ıg)

Analysis 3.6. Comparison 3 CPA (>2MG) + EE versus CPA(2MG) + EE, Outcome 6 ESTRADIOL LEVEL AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		>2MG		2MG		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% Cl	l			Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	334 (247)	48	353 (211)			-			100%	-19[-103.67,65.67]
Total ***	65		48				•			100%	-19[-103.67,65.67]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.44(P=0.66)											
			Favour	rs CPA (>2mg)	-1000	-500	0	500	1000	Favours CPA	(2mg)

Comparison 4. CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS	1	81	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.70 [-0.84, 2.24]
2 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS	1	81	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.02 [-0.37, 0.33]
3 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS	1	81	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.39 [0.43, 2.35]
4 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 6 MONTHS	1	81	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.35 [-0.62, 1.32]
5 DHEAS AT 6 MONTHS	1	81	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.87 [1.99, 3.75]
6 ESTRADIOL AT 6 MONTHS	1	81	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	135.0 [59.92, 210.08]

Analysis 4.1. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 1 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		KETO			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed	l, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	12.9 (4.9)	16	12.2 (2)						100%	0.7[-0.84,2.24]
Total ***	65		16				•			100%	0.7[-0.84,2.24]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.89(P=0.37)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Keto	conazole

Analysis 4.2. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 2 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		КЕТО			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI					Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	2.3 (1.4)	16	2.3 (0.2)			+			100%	-0.02[-0.37,0.33]
Total ***	65		16				•			100%	-0.02[-0.37,0.33]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.11(P=0.91)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Ket	oconazole

Analysis 4.3. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 3 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		KETO			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% Cl					Fixed, 95% CI	
Gokmen 1996	65	6.9 (2.8)	16	5.6 (1.4)							100%	1.39[0.43,2.35]
Total ***	65		16								100%	1.39[0.43,2.35]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=2.82(P=0)					1		1					
				Favours CPA	-10		5	0	5	10	Favours Keto	oconazole

Analysis 4.4. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 4 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		КЕТО			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95% CI					Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	7 (2.8)	16	6.6 (1.4)						100%	0.35[-0.62,1.32]
Total ***	65		16				•			100%	0.35[-0.62,1.32]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.71(P=0.48)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Ket	oconazole

Analysis 4.5. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 5 DHEAS AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		CPA	KETO			Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed	l, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	6.5 (3.1)	16	3.6 (0.9)			+		100%	2.87[1.99,3.75]
Total ***	65		16				•		100%	2.87[1.99,3.75]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=6.39(P<0.000	1)									
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0 5	10	Favours Ke	toconazole

Analysis 4.6. Comparison 4 CPA versus KETOCONAZOLE, Outcome 6 ESTRADIOL AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		KETO			Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	334 (247)	16	199 (92)					100%	135[59.92,210.08]
Total ***	65		16				•		100%	135[59.92,210.08]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=3.52(P=0)					1	i				
				Favours CPA	-1000	-500	0 500	1000	Favours Ket	oconazole

Comparison 5. CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 WITHDRAWALS DURING TREATMENT	1	48	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.37 [0.03, 4.33]
2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS	2	83	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.98 [-4.12, 0.16]
3 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS	3	160	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.35 [-2.87, 0.18]
4 SIDE EFFECTS DURING TREATMENT	2	90	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.75 [0.21, 2.70]
5 LINEAR HAIR GROWTH AT 3 MONTHS	1	41	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.02 [-0.03, 0.07]
6 LINEAR HAIR GROWTH AT 6 MONTHS	1	41	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.04 [-0.09, 0.01]
7 HAIR SHAFT DIAMETER AT 6 MONTHS	1	45	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.00 [-23.85, 29.85]
8 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS	3	164	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.00 [-0.28, 0.27]

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
9 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS	1	77	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.35 [-0.62, 1.32]
10 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 6 MONTHS	2	122	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.20 [-1.66, 1.25]
11 DHEAS AT 6 MONTHS	3	164	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.74 [-1.71, 0.24]
12 SHBG AT 6 MONTHS	1	45	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	9.20 [-27.20, 45.60]
13 ESTRADIOL AT 6 MONTHS	1	77	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	119.00 [10.84, 227.16]

Analysis 5.1. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 1 WITHDRAWALS DURING TREATMENT.



Analysis 5.2. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		SPIRO			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Dixon 1991	20	14.6 (3.5)	21	18.3 (5.5)						58.17%	-3.7[-6.5,-0.9]
Erenus 1996	21	14.7 (5)	21	14.3 (5.9)				-		41.83%	0.42[-2.89,3.73]
Total ***	41		42							100%	-1.98[-4.12,0.16]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.47, df=	1(P=0.0	6); I ² =71.16%									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.81(P=0.07)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Spiro	

Analysis 5.3. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 3 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		SPIRO		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)	Fixed, 95% Cl						Fixed, 95% CI
Dixon 1991	20	11.9 (0.4)	21	14.4 (4.6)						59.74%	-2.5[-4.47,-0.53]
Erenus 1996	21	11.3 (5.1)	21	11.3 (5.2)			-			23.85%	0[-3.12,3.12]
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Spiro	

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Study or subgroup	СРА		SPIRO			M	ean Differenc	e		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	12.9 (4.9)	12	12 (6.3)						16.41%	0.9[-2.86,4.66]
Total ***	106		54				•			100%	-1.35[-2.87,0.18]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =3.41, df=	2(P=0.1	8); I ² =41.38%									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.73(P=0.08)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Spiro	

Analysis 5.4. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 4 SIDE EFFECTS DURING TREATMENT.

Study or subgroup	СРА	SPIRONO- LACTONE		0	dds Ratio			Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		м-н, і	Fixed, 95%	6 CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Erenus 1996	4/21	4/21			-			59.91%	1[0.21,4.67]
O'Brien 1990	1/27	2/21		-		_		40.09%	0.37[0.03,4.33]
Total (95% CI)	48	42						100%	0.75[0.21,2.7]
Total events: 5 (CPA), 6 (SPIRONOLACT	ONE)								
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0.46, df=1	(P=0.5); l ² =0%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.45(P=0.65)									
		Favours CPA	0.05	0.2	1	5	20	Favours Spiro	

Analysis 5.5. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 5 LINEAR HAIR GROWTH AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		SPIRO			Mean	Difference	•		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixe	l, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Dixon 1991	20	0.3 (0.1)	21	0.3 (0.1)				1			100%	0.02[-0.03,0.07]
Total ***	20		21								100%	0.02[-0.03,0.07]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=0.75(P=0.45)												
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	i	0	5	10	Favours Spiro	

Analysis 5.6. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 6 LINEAR HAIR GROWTH AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		SPIRO		M	lean Differen	ce		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% C	1			Fixed, 95% CI
Dixon 1991	20	0.2 (0.1)	21	0.3 (0.1)						100%	-0.04[-0.09,0.01]
Total ***	20		21							100%	-0.04[-0.09,0.01]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.51(P=0.13)						1		i	1		
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Spiro	

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 5.7. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 7 HAIR SHAFT DIAMETER AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА	:	SPIRO		Me	an Difference	•		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
O'Brien 1990	26	152 (51.4)	19	149 (40.5)						100%	3[-23.85,29.85]
Total ***	26		19				-			100%	3[-23.85,29.85]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0	(P<0.0001	.); I ² =100%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.22(P=0.83	;)				1						
				Favours CPA	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours Spiro	

Analysis 5.8. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 8 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		SPIRO		Me	an Difference	•		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Erenus 1996	21	1.3 (0.6)	21	1.1 (0.6)			H			55.48%	0.14[-0.23,0.51]
Gokmen 1996	65	2.3 (1.4)	12	2.3 (2)			-			5.27%	-0.06[-1.25,1.13]
O'Brien 1990	26	1.5 (0.5)	19	1.7 (0.9)						39.24%	-0.2[-0.64,0.24]
Total ***	112		52				•			100%	-0[-0.28,0.27]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.38, df	=2(P=0.5)	; I ² =0%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.03(P=0.98)										
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Spiro	

Analysis 5.9. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 9 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		CPA		SPIRO		Me	ean Difference	2		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	6.9 (2.8)	12	6.6 (1.2)						100%	0.35[-0.62,1.32]
Total ***	65		12				•			100%	0.35[-0.62,1.32]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.71(P=0.48)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Spiro	

Analysis 5.10. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 10 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		SPIRO		Me	an Difference	•		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	7 (2.8)	12	6.3 (3.3)						52.43%	0.7[-1.31,2.71]
O'Brien 1990	26	3.7 (2)	19	4.9 (4.4)		-				47.57%	-1.2[-3.31,0.91]
Total ***	91		31				•			100%	-0.2[-1.66,1.25]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.64, df=	1(P=0.2)	; I ² =39.01%									
Test for overall effect: Z=0.27(P=0.78)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Spiro	

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)

Analysis 5.11. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 11 DHEAS AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА	:	SPIRO		Меа	an Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Erenus 1996	21	5.4 (2.6)	21	5.8 (2.2)						46.37%	-0.43[-1.86,1]
Gokmen 1996	65	6.5 (3.1)	12	6.5 (3.2)						24.31%	-0.05[-2.03,1.93]
O'Brien 1990	26	6.2 (3.1)	19	8 (3)			▰┥			29.32%	-1.8[-3.6,0]
Total ***	112		52				•			100%	-0.74[-1.71,0.24]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.98, df	=2(P=0.3	7); I ² =0%									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.49(P=0.14)										
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Spiro	

Analysis 5.12. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 12 SHBG AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		CPA		SPIRO		Me	an Difference	•		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
O'Brien 1990	26	96.7 (55.5)	19	87.5 (65.6)		-		_		100%	9.2[-27.2,45.6]
Total ***	26		19			-				100%	9.2[-27.2,45.6]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.5(P=0.62)											
				Favours CPA	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours Spiro	

Analysis 5.13. Comparison 5 CPA versus SPIRONOLACTONE, Outcome 13 ESTRADIOL AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		CPA		SPIRO		Me	an Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Gokmen 1996	65	334 (247)	12	215 (159)					100%	119[10.84,227.16]
Total ***	65		12				•		100%	119[10.84,227.16]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(I	o<0.0001	L); I ² =100%								
Test for overall effect: Z=2.16(P=0.03)						1				
				Favours CPA	-1000	-500	0 500	1000	Favours Spiro	

Comparison 6. CPA versus FLUTAMIDE

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 WITHDRAWALS FROM TREATMENT	1	26	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.6 [0.32, 40.23]
2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS	3	72	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.37 [-1.22, 1.95]

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
3 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS	2	50	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.50 [-1.06, 4.06]
4 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 12 MONTHS	1	28	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	4.5 [0.47, 8.53]
5 SIDE EFFECTS	3	73	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.66 [0.17, 2.51]
6 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 3 MONTHS	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [-0.45, 0.45]
7 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.69 [-1.14, -0.24]
8 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 3 MONTHS	1	28	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-5.37 [-10.29, -0.45]
9 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS	1	28	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-4.16 [-6.62, -1.70]
10 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 12 MONTHS	1	28	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-4.55 [-7.43, -1.67]
11 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 3 MONTHS	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.79 [-6.51, 0.93]
12 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 6 MONTHS	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.45 [-5.90, 1.00]
13 DHEAS AT 3 MONTHS	2	50	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.41 [-0.04, 0.85]
14 DHEAS AT 6 MONTHS	2	50	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.28 [-0.31, 0.87]
15 DHEAS AT 12 MONTHS	1	28	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.16 [-0.38, 2.70]
16 SHBG AT 3 MONTHS	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.19 [-1.57, 3.95]
17 SHBG AT 6 MONTHS	1	22	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.17 [-2.42, 4.76]

Analysis 6.1. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 1 WITHDRAWALS FROM TREATMENT.

Study or subgroup	СРА	FLUTAMIDE		Odds	Ratio		Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H, Fixe	ed, 95% C			M-H, Fixed, 95% CI
Pazos 1999	3/13	1/13					100%	3.6[0.32,40.23]
Total (95% CI)	13	13					100%	3.6[0.32,40.23]
Total events: 3 (CPA), 1 (FLUTAMIDE)								
Heterogeneity: Not applicable								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.04(P=0.3)				1		1	1	
		Favours CPA	0.01	0.1	1	10 100	^D Favours Flutamide	

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)

.

Analysis 6.2. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		FLU		Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	Fixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	22.2 (8.6)	15	14 (4.8)				+	8.93%	8.2[2.91,13.49]
Grigoriou 1996	11	16.3 (5.1)	11	15.7 (2.9)					20.81%	0.6[-2.87,4.07]
Pazos 1999	10	9.8 (1.1)	12	10.5 (3.1)					70.25%	-0.7[-2.59,1.19]
Total ***	34		38				-		100%	0.37[-1.22,1.95]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =9.66, d	=2(P=0.0	1); I ² =79.3%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.45(P=0.65	5)									
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5 10	Favours Flu	utamide

Analysis 6.3. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 3 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		FLU		Mea	n Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	(ed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	14 (5.1)	15	10 (5.4)					43.14%	4[0.1,7.9]
Grigoriou 1996	11	12.8 (4.7)	11	13.2 (3.3)					56.86%	-0.4[-3.79,2.99]
Total ***	24		26						100%	1.5[-1.06,4.06]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.79, df=	1(P=0.1)	; I ² =64.1%								
Test for overall effect: Z=1.15(P=0.25)										
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5 10	Favours Flutami	de

Analysis 6.4. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 4 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 12 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА	FLU			Mean Difference			Weight M	lean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	12.6 (5.4)	15	8.1 (5.4)					100%	4.5[0.47,8.53]
Total ***	13		15						100%	4.5[0.47,8.53]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable										
Test for overall effect: Z=2.19(P=0.03)										
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0 5	10	Favours Flutamic	le

Analysis 6.5. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 5 SIDE EFFECTS.

Study or subgroup	СРА	FLUTAMIDE	Odds Ratio	Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N	M-H, Fixed, 95% CI		M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Fruzetti 1999	0/13	0/15			Not estimable
Grigoriou 1996	5/11	4/11		40.39%	1.46[0.26,8.05]
Pazos 1999	0/11	3/12	+	59.61%	0.12[0.01,2.58]
Total (95% CI)	35	38		100%	0.66[0.17,2.51]
		Favours CPA	0.1 0.2 0.5 1 2 5	¹⁰ Favours Flutamide	

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Study or subgroup	CPA n/N	FLUTAMIDE n/N			Od M-H, F	ds Ra ixed,	atio 95% Cl			Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Total events: 5 (CPA), 7 (FLUTAMIDE)											
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =2.02, df=1	(P=0.15); I ² =50.6%										
Test for overall effect: Z=0.61(P=0.54)											
		Favours CPA	0.1	0.2	0.5	1	2	5	10	Favours Flutamide	

Analysis 6.6. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 6 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		CPA		FLU		Mean Difference				Weight I	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Grigoriou 1996	11	7.3 (0.3)	11	7.3 (0.7)			+			100%	0[-0.45,0.45]
Total ***	11		11				•			100%	0[-0.45,0.45]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Not applicable											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Flutami	de

Analysis 6.7. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 7 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		FLU		Me	an Differen	ce		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% C	I			Fixed, 95% CI
Grigoriou 1996	11	5.6 (0.3)	11	6.2 (0.7)			+			100%	-0.69[-1.14,-0.24]
Total ***	11		11				•			100%	-0.69[-1.14,-0.24]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.98(P=0)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Flut	tamide

Analysis 6.8. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 8 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		CPA	FLU		Mean Difference			e		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% Cl	l			Fixed, 95% Cl
Fruzetti 1999	13	4.5 (4.2)	15	9.9 (8.6)			+			100%	-5.37[-10.29,-0.45]
Total ***	13		15				•			100%	-5.37[-10.29,-0.45]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=2.14(P=0.03)											
				Favours CPA	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours Fluta	amide

Study or subgroup		СРА		FLU		Mea	n Differen	ce		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ed, 95% C	1			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	3 (3)	15	7.1 (3.6)			-			100%	-4.16[-6.62,-1.7]
Total ***	13		15							100%	-4.16[-6.62,-1.7]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=3.32(P=0)					1						
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Elutar	nide

Analysis 6.9. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 9 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS.

Analysis 6.10. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 10 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 12 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		FLU		Mea	n Differenc	e		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ced, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	2.5 (3.6)	15	7.1 (4.2)			-			100%	-4.55[-7.43,-1.67]
Total ***	13		15				-			100%	-4.55[-7.43,-1.67]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=3.1(P=0)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Flu	tamide

Analysis 6.11. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 11 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		FLU		Mean Difference					Weight M	lean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed,	95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Grigoriou 1996	11	18.5 (3.5)	11	21.3 (5.2)				_			100%	-2.79[-6.51,0.93]
Total ***	11		11					-			100%	-2.79[-6.51,0.93]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=1.47(P=0.14)												
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	()	5	10	Favours Flutamid	e

Analysis 6.12. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 12 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		FLU			Mean Difference				Weight I	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	Fixed, 95% C	I			Fixed, 95% CI
Grigoriou 1996	11	17.8 (1.7)	11	20.2 (5.6)						100%	-2.45[-5.9,1]
Total ***	11		11							100%	-2.45[-5.9,1]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.39(P=0.16)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Flutami	de

Analysis 6.13. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 13 DHEAS AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		CPA		FLU		Mea	an Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fiz	xed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	4.4 (1)	15	3.5 (1.6)						20.75%	0.97[-0.01,1.95]
Grigoriou 1996	11	5.1 (0.7)	11	4.9 (0.5)			+-			79.25%	0.26[-0.24,0.76]
Total ***	24		26				•			100%	0.41[-0.04,0.85]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.61, df=	1(P=0.2)	; I ² =37.78%									
Test for overall effect: Z=1.79(P=0.07)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Flutam	ide

Analysis 6.14. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 14 DHEAS AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		FLU		Me	an Difference		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	3.6 (1.4)	15	2.8 (1.4)					32.7%	0.85[-0.18,1.88]
Grigoriou 1996	11	4.6 (1.1)	11	4.6 (0.6)			-		67.3%	0[-0.72,0.72]
Total ***	24		26				•		100%	0.28[-0.31,0.87]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =1.75, df	=1(P=0.1	9); I ² =43%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.92(P=0.36)									
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5 10	Favours Flut	amide

Analysis 6.15. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 15 DHEAS AT 12 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		FLU			Mean Difference				Weight M	ean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	3.9 (2.4)	15	2.8 (1.6)						100%	1.16[-0.38,2.7]
Total ***	13		15				•			100%	1.16[-0.38,2.7]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.48(P=0.14)											
				Eavours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Flutamid	e

Analysis 6.16. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 16 SHBG AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		FLU		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	xed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Grigoriou 1996	11	33.7 (2.1)	11	32.5 (4.2)				_		100%	1.19[-1.57,3.95]
Total ***	11		11				-	-		100%	1.19[-1.57,3.95]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.84(P=0.4)						1					
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Flutami	de

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review) Copyright © 2010 The Cochrane Collaboration. Published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.

Analysis 6.17. Comparison 6 CPA versus FLUTAMIDE, Outcome 17 SHBG AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		FLU		Mean Difference					Weight M	ean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fi	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Grigoriou 1996	11	34.9 (4.2)	11	33.7 (4.4)				-		100%	1.17[-2.42,4.76]
Total ***	11		11					-		100%	1.17[-2.42,4.76]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.64(P=0.52)								1			
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Flutamid	e

Comparison 7. CPA versus FINASTERIDE

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	4.70 [-1.86, 11.26]
2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.20 [-5.50, 5.10]
3 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 12 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.10 [-5.09, 4.89]
4 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 3 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.11 [-1.81, -0.41]
5 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-1.60 [-2.39, -0.81]
6 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 12 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.08 [-3.13, -1.03]
7 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 3 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-4.72 [-7.69, -1.75]
8 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-9.02 [-12.44, -5.60]
9 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 12 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-11.70 [-15.67, -7.73]
10 3 ALPHA ANDROSTENEDIOL GLUCORONIDE AT 6 MONTHS	1	27	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.71 [-5.05, 3.63]

Analysis 7.1. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 1 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		FIN		Mean Difference				Weight M	lean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 95% C	I			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	22.2 (8.6)	14	17.5 (8.8)		1			1	100%	4.7[-1.86,11.26]
				Favours CPA	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours Finasteri	ide

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Study or subgroup	СРА		CPA FIN			Меа	n Differenc	:e		Weight	Mean Difference
	N	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fiz	ked, 95% Cl				Fixed, 95% CI
Total ***	13		14				•			100%	4.7[-1.86,11.26]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.4(P=0.16)											
				Favours CPA	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours Finast	eride

Analysis 7.2. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		FIN			Mean Difference					Weight M	lean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed,	95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	14 (5.1)	14	14.2 (8.6)		_			_		100%	-0.2[-5.5,5.1]
Total ***	13		14			-			-		100%	-0.2[-5.5,5.1]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=0.07(P=0.94)												
				Favours CPA	-10	-5)	5	10	Favours Finasteri	de

Analysis 7.3. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 3 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 12 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		FIN			Mean Di	fference			Weight M	lean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed,	95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	12.6 (5.4)	14	12.7 (7.7)		_			-		100%	-0.1[-5.09,4.89]
Total ***	13		14			-			-		100%	-0.1[-5.09,4.89]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=0.04(P=0.97)												
				Favours CPA	-10	-5		D	5	10	Favours Finasteri	de

Analysis 7.4. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 4 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		FIN		M	ean Differen	ce		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	Fixed, 95% C	1			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	1.1 (0.6)	14	2.2 (1.2)			-+-			100%	-1.11[-1.81,-0.41]
Total ***	13		14				•			100%	-1.11[-1.81,-0.41]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=3.12(P=0)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Fina	steride

Analysis 7.5. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 5 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		FIN			Mean Difference			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI	l			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	1.3 (0.5)	14	2.9 (1.4)						100%	-1.6[-2.39,-0.81]
Total ***	13		14				•			100%	-1.6[-2.39,-0.81]
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P<0.0001	l); I ² =100%									
Test for overall effect: Z=3.97(P<0.00	01)										
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Fir	nasteride

Analysis 7.6. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 6 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 12 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		FIN		Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference	
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed, 9	5% CI			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	1 (0.8)	14	3.1 (1.8)						100%	-2.08[-3.13,-1.03]
Total ***	13		14				•			100%	-2.08[-3.13,-1.03]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=3.9(P<0.0001)					ı			i	1-		
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Fi	nasteride

Analysis 7.7. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 7 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА	FIN		Mean Difference				e		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed,	95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	4.5 (4.2)	14	9.2 (3.6)							100%	-4.72[-7.69,-1.75]
							_					
Total ***	13		14								100%	-4.72[-7.69,-1.75]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=3.11(P=0)												
				Favours CPA	-10	-5		0	5	10	Favours Fina	asteride

Analysis 7.8. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 8 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		FIN			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	3 (3)	14	12 (5.7)			+			100%	-9.02[-12.44,-5.6]
Total ***	13		14				•			100%	-9.02[-12.44,-5.6]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=5.17(P<0.000	1)										
				Favours CPA	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours Fina	asteride

Analysis 7.9. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 9 TESTOSTERONE (FREE) AT 12 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА		FIN			Mean Difference		e	Weight		Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		I	Fixed, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	2.5 (3.6)	14	14.2 (6.6)			+			100%	-11.7[-15.67,-7.73]
Total ***	13		14				•			100%	-11.7[-15.67,-7.73]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=5.78(P<0.000	1)				1						
				Favours CPA	-100	-50	0	50	100	Favours Fin	asteride

Analysis 7.10. Comparison 7 CPA versus FINASTERIDE, Outcome 10 3 ALPHA ANDROSTENEDIOL GLUCORONIDE AT 6 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup	СРА			FIN Mean Differe			an Differen	ice		Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		F	ixed, 95% C	31			Fixed, 95% CI
Fruzetti 1999	13	6.9 (5.3)	14	7.6 (6.2)				_		100%	-0.71[-5.05,3.63]
Total ***	13		14					-		100%	-0.71[-5.05,3.63]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=0.32(P=0.75)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours Fina	steride

Comparison 8. CPA versus GNRH

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of partici- pants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 SIDE EFFECTS	1	21	Odds Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.93 [0.25, 14.89]
2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS	1	21	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-2.80 [-5.85, 0.25]
3 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 3 MONTHS	1	20	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.17 [-0.15, 0.49]
4 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 3 MONTHS	1	20	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.66 [-0.44, 1.76]

Analysis 8.1. Comparison 8 CPA versus GNRH, Outcome 1 SIDE EFFECTS.

Study or subgroup	СРА	GNRH			Odds Ratio)		Weight	Odds Ratio
	n/N	n/N		M-H	, Fixed, 959	% CI			M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Pazos 1999	3/10	2/11		-				100%	1.93[0.25,14.89]
Total (95% CI)	10	11		-				100%	1.93[0.25,14.89]
Total events: 3 (CPA), 2 (GNRH)									
		Favours CPA	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours GNRH	

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Study or subgroup	CPA n/N	GNRH n/N		M-H	Odds Ratio , Fixed, 95%	% CI		Weight	Odds Ratio M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl
Heterogeneity: Tau ² =0; Chi ² =0, df=0(P<0.0001); I ² =100%								
Test for overall effect: Z=0.63(P=0.53)								
		Favours CPA	0.01	0.1	1	10	100	Favours GNRH	

Favours CPA 0.01 0.1

Analysis 8.2. Comparison 8 CPA versus GNRH, Outcome 2 FERRIMAN GALLWEY AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		GNRH		Mean Difference					Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)			Fixed,	95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Pazos 1999	10	9.8 (3.5)	11	12.6 (3.7)		_	-	_			100%	-2.8[-5.85,0.25]
Total ***	10		11					-			100%	-2.8[-5.85,0.25]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable												
Test for overall effect: Z=1.8(P=0.07)												
				Favours CPA	-10	-5		0	5	10	Favours GNRH	

Analysis 8.3. Comparison 8 CPA versus GNRH, Outcome 3 TESTOSTERONE (TOTAL) AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА		GNRH		Me	an Difference			Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fixed, 95%					Fixed, 95% CI
Couzinet 1986	10	1.3 (0.3)	10	1.1 (0.4)			+			100%	0.17[-0.15,0.49]
							T				
Total ***	10		10				•			100%	0.17[-0.15,0.49]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.05(P=0.3)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours GNRH	

Analysis 8.4. Comparison 8 CPA versus GNRH, Outcome 4 ANDROSTENEDIONE AT 3 MONTHS.

Study or subgroup		СРА	GNRH			Mean Difference				Weight	Mean Difference
	Ν	Mean(SD)	Ν	Mean(SD)		Fix	ked, 95% CI				Fixed, 95% CI
Couzinet 1986	10	3.3 (1.4)	10	2.7 (1.1)						100%	0.66[-0.44,1.76]
Total ***	10		10				•			100%	0.66[-0.44,1.76]
Heterogeneity: Not applicable											
Test for overall effect: Z=1.17(P=0.24)											
				Favours CPA	-10	-5	0	5	10	Favours GNRH	

WHAT'S NEW

Date	Event	Description
31 March 2009	Amended	Reference McKnight 1984 edited

Cyproterone acetate for hirsutism (Review)



Date	Event	Description	
7 November 2008	Amended	Converted to new review format.	

HISTORY

Protocol first published: Issue 2, 1998 Review first published: Issue 4, 2003

Date	Event	Description
17 July 2003	New citation required and conclusions have changed	Substantive amendment

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

Both reviewers contributed to all aspects of the preparation of this review.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Schering Pharmaceuticals supplied some of the medication for an ongoing study of the treatment of hirsutism in our department, but were not involved in any way with this review.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Androgen Antagonists [*therapeutic use]; Cyproterone Acetate [*therapeutic use]; Drug Therapy, Combination; Ethinyl Estradiol [therapeutic use]; Hirsutism [*drug therapy] [etiology]; Hyperandrogenism [complications]; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic

MeSH check words

Female; Humans