2: 126-133 (2022)

Review

# SIRT1 Expression Is a Promising Prognostic Biomarker in Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis

RYOTA OTSUKA, HARUHITO SAKATA, KENTARO MURAKAMI, MASAYUKI KANO, SATOSHI ENDO, TAKESHI TOYOZUMI, YASUNORI MATSUMOTO, HIROSHI SUITO, MASAHIKO TAKAHASHI, NOBUFUMI SEKINO, SOICHIRO HIRASAWA, KAZUYA KINOSHITA, TAKUMA SASAKI and HISAHIRO MATSUBARA

Department of Frontier Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University, Chiba, Japan

Abstract. Background/Aim: Several articles have assessed the prognostic significance of the expression of sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC). However, evidence in this field is insufficient. Thus, we conducted a meta-analysis to investigate the prognostic and clinical impact of SIRT1 expression in ESCC. Materials and Methods: We searched the PubMed, Cochrane Library, and Web of Science databases for articles on the expression of SIRT1 and clinicopathological features in patients with ESCC. A meta-analysis was conducted. Results: Four studies with 429 patients were included. The meta-analysis revealed a significant relationship between the high expression of SIRT1 and higher T-stage (odds ratio=2.39.95% confidence interval=1.12-5.13, p=0.02), more advanced TNM stage (odds ratio=2.35. 95% confidence interval=1.20-4.60, p=0.01), and a poor overall survival (hazard ratio=1.90, 95% *interval*=1.45-2.47, confidence *p*<0.00001). Conclusion: SIRT1 expression may be a promising prognostic biomarker for patients with ESCC.

This article is freely accessible online.

*Correspondence to:* Ryota Otsuka, Department of Frontier Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Chiba University, 1-8-1, Inohana, Chuo-ku, Chiba-shi, Chiba, 260-8670, Japan. Tel: +81 432262110, Fax: +81 432262113, e-mail: ryotaotsuka@yahoo.co.jp

Key Words: Surtuin1, SIRT1, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, prognosis, clinicopathological characteristics, meta-analysis, review.

©2022 International Institute of Anticancer Research www.iiar-anticancer.org

Esophageal cancer is one of the most aggressive types of cancer and has a relatively poor prognosis among sufferers worldwide. The pathological classification of esophageal cancer can be broadly divided into two subtypes: esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) and adenocarcinoma, with the former being the predominant histological type in East Asia (1).

With the improvement of diagnostic technology that has enabled early detection and the development of multidisciplinary treatment with surgery, chemotherapy, and radiation therapy, the prognosis of ESCC is improving; however, there is still room for improvement (2). Thus, the identification of specific molecular markers that can be used as prognostic and therapeutic targets is an important issue in relation to effective treatment and the improvement of the prognosis of ESCC.

In recent years, there has been growing public interest in aging and longevity, and attention to longevity-associated genes has been increasing (3). Sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) is a gene that is well known to be associated with cell senescence (4). SIRT1 is a nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+)dependent histone deacetylase that deacetylates various proteins that contribute to aging, DNA repair, metabolic regulation, apoptosis, and proliferation (5-7). While SIRT1 has been reported to be associated with longevity, previous reports showed that SIRT1 plays an important role in tumorigenesis and tumor progression in various types of cancer, including colorectal, gastric, liver, pancreatic, lung, prostate and breast cancer (8-14). On the other hand, several reports have revealed that SIRT1 has a tumor-suppressive function (15, 16). Hence, the role of SIRT1 in cancer is still controversial. In ESCC, several articles recently assessed the prognostic significance of the expression of SIRT1 (17-21). However, since ESCC is a relatively rare gastrointestinal malignancy, the size of these single-center studies is relatively small and insufficient for evaluating the true value of SIRT1 in ESCC.

Thus, we conducted a meta-analysis to investigate the prognostic and clinical impact of the SIRT1 expression in ESCC.

#### **Materials and Methods**

*Publication search strategy*. The PubMed, Cochrane Library and Web of Science databases were searched to identify studies on the expression of SIRT1 and clinicopathological features in patients with esophageal cancer from inception until March 2021. The following search term was applied: "Sirtuin 1" or "Silent mating type information regulation 2 homolog 1" or "SIRT1" and "esophageal carcinoma" or "esophagus carcinoma" or "oesophageal carcinoma". The reference lists of all related articles were screened for other potential relevant studies. We performed this systematic review and meta-analysis in accordance with the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) Statement (22).

*Inclusion and exclusion criteria*. The criteria for eligibility of reports included in this study were as follows: (i) The study included patients with ESCC; (ii) the expression of SIRT1 was measured by immunohistochemical (IHC) staining, western blotting or fluorescence in situ hybridization; (iii) clinicopathological features or overall survival rates were analyzed with the expression of SIRT1; and (iv) study written in English.

The following reports were excluded: (i) Studies without appropriate data; (ii) animal and laboratory research; (iii) studies including patients who had received any other preoperative treatments; and (iv) reports identified as letters, comments, correspondence, editorials, or reviews.

Data extraction. Full-text reviews were conducted according to the inclusion and exclusion criteria. The following information was extracted from each eligible study: First author, year of publication, patient source, research method, number of patients, age, sex, proportion with high expression of SIRT1, follow-up time, tumor differentiation, tumor depth, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage and overall survival (OS). TNM staging was defined based on the Union for International Cancer Control or the American Joint Committee on Cancer TNM classifications (23, 24). The quality of the included studies was evaluated according to the Newcastle–Ottawa Scale (25). Studies with a score of  $\geq 6$  were considered of high quality.

Statistical analyses. All statistics analyses were assessed using the Review Manager Version 5.3 software program (The Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, UK). Analyses using fixed-effects and random-effects models were conducted. Heterogeneity was evaluated using the I<sup>2</sup> statistic, with a maximum value of 50% identifying significant heterogeneity. Odds ratios (ORs) and corresponding 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were determined to evaluate the relationship between SIRT1 expression and clinicopathological characteristics (sex, tumor depth, lymph node metastasis, TNM stage and tumor differentiation). The association between the expression of SIRT1 and OS was evaluated according to pooled hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% CIs. The *p*-value of overall effect was assessed using the Z-test, with significance defined as p<0.05. Publication bias was evaluated by creating funnel plots.

#### Results

Description of studies. A flow diagram of the study is shown in Figure 1. A total of 48 studies were identified by the electronic search and 15 studies were excluded due to duplication. Based on the selection criteria, 26 articles were removed by title/abstract review. The remaining seven candidate articles were investigated by a full-text review; among these, two studies were removed due to the inclusion of patients with adenocarcinoma, and one study was excluded because it only examined cases negative for lymph node metastasis. Thus, four studies with 429 patients were finally included in the meta-analysis (18-21). The quality of the included studies was assessed using the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale, and all studies were graded as being of high quality. The number of cases ranged from 86 to 155 in all of the articles. All of the included studies assessed the clinicopathological and prognostic value of SIRT1 expression in ESCC using IHC staining. The details of the included studies are summarized in Table I.

*Gender*. All of the included studies described the gender ratio. The meta-analysis revealed no significant correlation between the expression of SIRT1 and sex (OR=1.31, 95% CI=0.71-2.41; p=0.39). There was no significant heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>=24%) (Figure 2).

*Tumor depth*. Tumor depth was reported in three studies (Table I). The meta-analysis of integrated data revealed a significant relationship between the high expression of SIRT1 and higher T-stage (OR=2.39, 95% CI=1.12-5.13; p=0.02), with significant heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>=57%) (Figure 2).

*Lymph node metastasis.* Three reports reported the relationship between SIRT1 expression and lymph node metastasis (Table I). The meta-analysis showed that a high SIRT1 expression tended to be related to lymph node metastasis; however, the association was not statistically significant (OR=2.15, 95% CI=1.01-4.56; p=0.05), with significant heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>=52%) (Figure 2).

*TNM stage*. All of the included articles reported the TNM stage (Table I). The meta-analysis revealed a significant relationship between high SIRT1 expression and more advanced TNM stage (OR=2.35, 95% CI=1.20-4.60; p=0.01), with significant heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>=51%) (Figure 2).

*Tumor differentiation*. Tumor differentiation was reported in all of the included studies (Table I). The meta-analysis revealed no significant correlation between the expression of SIRT1 and tumor differentiation (OR=1.13. 95% CI=0.69-1.83, p=0.63), with no heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>=0%) (Figure 2).



Figure 1. Flow diagram of study selection for inclusion.

Table I. Main characteristics and results of the eligible studies.

Author	Year	Country	Case, n	Method	Cut-off score	Gender Male/ female, n	Age ≤60/>60 years, n	T Category T1, 2/T3, 4, n	N Category N0/ N1-3, n	TNM stage I, II/III, IV, n	Differentiation G1, 2/ G3, n	Follow-up, months
	2016	<i>a</i>					27.1		TT 00/04			
He et al. (18)	2016	China	86	IHC	>3	H: 44/10	NA	H: 19/35	H: 20/34	H: 30/24	H: 41/13	NA
						L: 20/12		L: 15/17	L: 19/13	L: 25/7	L: 24/8	
Han et al. (19)	2018	China	95	IHC	≥6	H: 49/14	H: 31/32	NA	NA	H: 31/32	H: 30/33	60
						L: 25/7	L: 13/19			L: 24/8	L: 14/18	
Ma et al. (20)	2018	China	155	IHC	≥4	H: 75/2	NA	H: 34/43	H: 51/26	H: 55/22	H: 58/19	65.8
						L: 75/3		L: 45/33	L: 55/23	L: 57/21	L: 66/12	
Yan <i>et al.</i> (21)	2020	China	93	IHC	≥4	H: 66/9	H: 24/51	H: 12/63	H: 32/43	H: 32/43	H: 70/5	30
						L: 18/0	L: 6/12	L: 10/8	L: 14/4	L: 14/4	L: 16/2	

IHC: Immunohistochemistry; H: high expression; L: low expression; NA: not available.

*Overall survival*. The data extracted from all included studies were used to assess the correlation between SIRT1 and OS. The meta-analysis revealed that high expression of SIRT1 was significantly associated with poor OS (hazard ratio=1.90, 95% CI=1.45-2.47; p<0.00001). There was no significant heterogeneity (I<sup>2</sup>=0%) (Figure 3).

*Publication bias.* We conducted a funnel plot analysis to assess the possibility of publication bias. The funnel plot of OS revealed no obvious asymmetry, and therefore no bias (Figure 4).

## Discussion

ESCC is a highly aggressive cancer type with a poor prognosis. Thus, the identification and assessment of

prognostic biomarkers may be valuable for the management of patients with ESCC. SIRT1 plays important roles in life processes, and recently, several single-center reports have evaluated the prognostic value of the SIRT1 expression in patients with ESCC. However, since ESCC is a relatively rare gastrointestinal cancer, the scale of these studies is small, and there is great clinical value in integrating these data to assess the true value of SIRT1 in ESCC. Hence, we performed a meta-analysis to evaluate the prognostic and clinical impact of SIRT1 expression in ESCC.

The present results revealed a significant relationship between high expression of SIRT1 and a higher T-stage and more advanced TNM stage. Furthermore, the results of this meta-analysis revealed that high expression of SIRT1 was significantly correlated with a poor OS. These results suggest that SIRT1 is a promoter of tumor progression and that the

а	SIRT1	-high	SIRT	1-low		Odds ratio			Odds ra	atio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Fixed, 95%CI		M-	H, Fixed,	95% CI	
He <i>et al.</i> (18)	44	54	20	32	26.3%	2.64 [0.98, 7.12]					
Han <i>et al.</i> (19)	49	63	25	32	41.7%	0.98 [0.35, 2.74]			<b>#</b>	_	
Ma et al. (20)	75	77	75	78	11.0%	1.50 [0.24, 9.24]					
Yan <i>et al.</i> (21)	66	75	18	18	21.0%	0.19 [0.01, 3.40]			-		
Total (95% CI)		269		160	100.0%	1.31 [0.71, 2.41]			- +	►	
Total events	234		138								
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	3.97, df	=3 (p=	=0.26); I	² <b>=24</b> %	6					10	100
Test for overall effect	t: Z=0.86	6 (p=0	.39)				0.01	0.1	1	10	100
b	SIRT1	-hiah	SIRT	1-low		Odds ratio			Odds ra	atio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95%Cl		М-Н,	Random	n, 95% CI	
He <i>et al.</i> (18)	35	54	17	32	32.5%	1.63 [0.67, 3.96]					
Ma <i>et al.</i> (20)	43	77	33	78	41.5%	1.72 [0.91, 3.26]			Г		
Yan <i>et al.</i> (21)	63	75	8	18	26.0%	6.56 [2.15, 20.03]				-	
Total (95% CI)		206		128	100.0%	2.39 [1.12, 5.13]				•	
Total events	141		58								
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	0.26; C	hi <sup>2</sup> =4.0	69, df=2	2 (p=0	.10); l <sup>2</sup> =5	57%	0 01	01	1	10	100
Test for overall effect	:: Z=2.24	4 ( <i>p</i> =0	.02)				0.01	0.1		10	100
C	SIRT1	-high	SIRT	1-low		Odds ratio			Odds ra	atio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95%CI		<b>М-Н</b> ,	Random	n, 95% CI	
He <i>et al.</i> (18)	34	54	13	32	33.6%	2.48 [1.01, 6.09]					
Ma <i>et al.</i> (20)	26	77	23	78	42.1%	1.22 [0.62, 2.40]					
Yan <i>et al.</i> (21)	43	75	4	18	24.3%	4.70 [1.41, 15.64]				-	
Total (95% CI)		206		128	100.0%	2.15 [1.01, 4.56]			-	•	
Total events	103		40								
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	=0.23; C	hi <sup>2</sup> =4.	18, df=2	2 (p=0	.12); I <sup>2</sup> =5	52%	0.01	0.1	1	10	100
Test for overall effect	:: Z=1.99	9 (p=0	.05)				0.01	0.1	•		
d	SIRT1	-hiah	SIRT	1-low		Odds ratio			Odds ra	atio	
Study or Subgroup	Events	Total	Events	Total	Weight	M-H, Random, 95%CI		М-Н,	Random	n, 95% CI	
He <i>et al.</i> (18)	24	54	7	32	23.7%	2.86 [1.06, 7.73]			-	-	
Han <i>et al.</i> (19)	32	63	8	32	25.1%	3.10 [1.21, 7.93]				-	
Ma et al. (20)	22	77	21	78	32.1%	1.09 [0.54, 2.19]					
Yan <i>et al.</i> (21)	43	75	4	18	19.1%	4.70 [1.41, 15.64]				-	
Total (95% CI)		269		160	100.0%	2.35 [1.20, 4.60]			-	•	
Total events	121		40				L				
Heterogeneity: Tau <sup>2</sup> =	:0.24; C	hi <sup>2</sup> =6.	14, df=3	3 (p=0	.11); I <sup>2</sup> =5	51%	0.01	0.1	1	10	10Ò
Test for overall effect	: Z=2.50	) (p=0	.01)						<u> </u>		
C Study or Subgroup	SIRT1	-high	SIRT	1-low	Maight	Odds ratio		м	Odds ra ⊔ Eivod	atio	
	Evenis		Events		veight			101-		95%01	
He et al. $(18)$	13	54 62	8 10	32	24.6%	0.95 [0.34, 2.62]				_	
nan et al. (19) Ma et al. (20)	33 10	03 77	10	32 79	30.1% 20.0%						
Van et al. (20)	19	75	2	18	29.0% 9.7%	0.57 [0.01, 4.03]		_			
ian ci al. (21)	5	15	2	10	3.1 /0	0.07 [0.10, 0.21]					
Total (95% CI)		269		160	100.0%	1.13 [0.69, 1.83]			-	•	
Total events	70		40				<b>—</b>				
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> =	2.41, df	=3 (p=	=0.49); I	-=0%			<sup>0</sup> .01	0.1	1	10	10Ö
lest for overall effect	∷∠=0.48	5 (p=0	.03)								

Figure 2. Forest plots investigating the association between sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) expression and clinicopathological features in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. A: Gender (male vs. female); B: tumor depth (T1+T2 vs. T3+T4); C: lymph node metastasis (negative vs. positive); D: TNM stage (I+II vs. III+IV); E: tumor differentiation (G1+G2 vs. G3). CI: Confidence interval.

expression of SIRT1 may be a novel candidate prognostic biomarker for ESCC. To the best our current knowledge, this is the first meta-analysis to investigate the prognostic role of SIRT1 for ESCC. SIRT1 has been considered to be a potential tumor promoter. Several potential mechanisms through which SIRT1 may be involved in tumor progression are as follows. SIRT1 can regulate tumor-suppressor genes, such as p53, forkhead

				Hazard Ratio	Hazard Ratio	
Study or Subgroup	log[Hazard Ratio]	SE	Weight	IV, Fixed, 95% CI	IV, Fixed, 95% Cl	
Han et al. (19)	0.775459	0.228733	35.0%	2.17 [1.39, 3.40]		
He et al. (18)	0.632036	0.311864	18.8%	1.88 [1.02, 3.47]		
Ma et al. (20)	0.576004	0.221686	37.3%	1.78 [1.15, 2.75]		
Yan et al. (21)	0.390286	0.452993	8.9%	1.48 [0.61, 3.59]		
Total (95% CI)			100.0%	1.90 [1.45, 2.47]	•	
Heterogeneity: Chi <sup>2</sup> = 0	.74, df = 3 (P = 0.86)					
Test for overall effect: Z	z = 4.73 (P < 0.00001	Favours SIRT1-high Favours SIRT1-low	00			

Figure 3. Forest plot assessing the relationship between sirtuin 1 (SIRT1) expression and overall survival in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. CI: Confidence interval.



Figure 4. Funnel plot of publication bias based on overall survival. CI: Confidence interval; SE: standard error.

class O transcription factor family members, E2F transcription factor 1, and retinoblastoma (26, 27). Sirtinol, a SIRT1 inhibitor, attenuates RAS-mitogen-activated protein kinase signaling and induces senescence-like growth arrest in human cancer cells (28). SIRT1 can enhance prostate cancer cell migration and metastasis by inducing the epithelial– mesenchymal transition (29). Finally, SIRT1 can maintain silent chromatin by deacetylation of histone proteins and protect cells from apoptosis (26). In addition, it has been reported that a high expression of SIRT1 is associated with an advanced stage and poor prognosis in other cancer types, such as colorectal cancer (30), lung adenocarcinoma (31), and gastric cancer (32). The present results are consistent with these reported data and suggest that SIRT1 may be an important prognostic indicator for patients with ESCC.

Although there was no significant difference in lymph node metastasis in this study, there was a tendency for a high SIRT1 expression to be associated with positive lymph node metastasis. Two studies included in this meta-analysis reported that a high expression of SIRT1 was significantly associated with lymph node metastasis. A relationship between SIRT1 expression and lymph node metastasis has also been reported in breast cancer (33) and colorectal cancer (30), and SIRT1 expression is reportedly involved in cell migration in prostate cancer (29) and non-small-cell lung cancer (34). Therefore, we cannot deny the possibility that SIRT1 may play an important role in lymph node metastasis of ESCC.

There are reports that SIRT1 is involved in the regulation of cell differentiation and dedifferentiation (35, 36). Dedifferentiation and the associated epithelial-to-mesenchymal transition phenomenon play an essential role in early local and distant tumor spread. Observations linking the high expression of SIRT1 with poorly differentiated cancer have also been obtained by other researchers in cases of hepatocellular carcinoma (37), prostate cancer (8), and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (14). However, the present results did not show that a high expression of SIRT1 was associated with differentiation in ESCC. Whether or not this is a tumor-specific characteristic will be a very interesting issue to clarify.

In the present study, SIRT1 in ESCC was found to be a tumor-promoting factor, while SIRT1 has also been reported to act as a tumor suppressor. Firestein *et al.* reported that SIRT1 inhibited intestinal tumorigenesis and the growth of colon cancer by deacetylating  $\beta$ -catenin, and SIRT1 suppressed the ability of  $\beta$ -catenin to activate transcription and promote cell proliferation (15). In addition, Wang *et al.* reported that SIRT1 suppressed survivin, an inhibitor of apoptosis that is overexpressed in various cancers, including BRCA DNA repair-associated 1-related breast cancer (38). Thus, because of the seemingly contradictory results of many studies examining the effects of SIRT1 on cancer-related biological processes, further studies are needed to explore the relevance of SIRT1 to human cancer and tumorigenesis.

This meta-analysis was associated with some limitations. Firstly, the sample sizes of all of the included studies were relatively small; thus, there may have been some heterogeneity. Secondly, the studies enrolled in this study used IHC to investigate SIRT1 levels, and the cutoff values for the expression of SIRT1 differed in each study. Such inconsistent IHC assessment may have led to a potential bias in this meta-analysis. Thirdly, there may be some studies that have not been published due to negative or controversial results, which leads to an inevitable publication bias. Finally, the articles included in this meta-analysis were only conducted in China. Therefore, large multicenter studies conducted in other countries and regions would be desirable to confirm the results of this study.

Since sirtuins are involved in a wide range of diseases, various sirtuin activators and inhibitors are being developed for therapeutic purposes (39). Garcia-Peterson *et al.* reported that SIRT1 suppresses tumor development at the precancerous stage by promoting DNA repair, enhancing genomic stability, and suppressing inflammation; in addition, it enhances tumor growth, survival, and drug resistance at the stage of tumor progression, metastasis, and recurrence by anti-apoptosis effects, the promotion of tumor metabolism, and anti-inflammatory effects (inhibition of antitumor immunity) (40). Therefore, the development of both inhibitors and activators of SIRT1 will be important for cancer treatment. The present findings further suggest that SIRT1 may be a useful therapeutic target in ESCC at the stage of tumor progression.

In conclusion, we demonstrated that high expression of SIRT1 was correlated with poor OS, deeper tumor, and a more advanced TNM stage in patients with ESCC. These findings suggest that SIRT1 may be a promising novel prognostic biomarker and that the regulation of SIRT1 may become a significant target in the treatment ESCC.

## **Conflicts of Interest**

The Authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

## **Authors' Contributions**

Ryota Otsuka conceived and designed the study. Soichiro Hirasawa, Kazuya Kinoshita, Takuma Sasaki and Ryota Otsuka performed the literature search. Satoshi Endo, Toyozumi, Yasunori Matsumoto, Hiroshi Suito, Masahiko Takahashi, Nobufumi Sekino and Ryota Otsuka contributed to the data acquisition, analysis and interpretation. The article was prepared by Ryota Otsuka under the supervision of Haruhito Sakata, Kentaro Murakami, Masayuki Kano and Hisahiro Matsubara. All Authors read and approved the final article.

#### References

- Sung H, Ferlay J, Siegel RL, Laversanne M, Soerjomataram I, Jemal A and Bray F: Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA Cancer J Clin 71(3): 209-249, 2021. PMID: 33538338. DOI: 10.3322/caac.21660
- Pennathur A, Gibson MK, Jobe BA and Luketich JD: Oesophageal carcinoma. Lancet *381(9864)*: 400-412, 2013.
  PMID: 23374478. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(12)60643-6
- 3 Sinclair DA and LaPlante MD: Lifespan: Why we age and why we don't have to. New York City, Atria Books, 2019.
- 4 Herranz D, Muñoz-Martin M, Cañamero M, Mulero F, Martinez-Pastor B, Fernandez-Capetillo O and Serrano M: Sirt1 improves healthy ageing and protects from metabolic syndrome-associated cancer. Nat Commun 1: 3, 2010. PMID: 20975665. DOI: 10.1038/ncomms1001
- 5 Brunet A, Sweeney LB, Sturgill JF, Chua KF, Greer PL, Lin Y, Tran H, Ross SE, Mostoslavsky R, Cohen HY, Hu LS, Cheng HL, Jedrychowski MP, Gygi SP, Sinclair DA, Alt FW and Greenberg ME: Stress-dependent regulation of FOXO transcription factors by the SIRT1 deacetylase. Science 303(5666): 2011-2015, 2004. PMID: 14976264. DOI: 10.1126/science.1094637
- 6 Yeung F, Hoberg JE, Ramsey CS, Keller MD, Jones DR, Frye RA and Mayo MW: Modulation of NF-kappaB-dependent transcription and cell survival by the SIRT1 deacetylase. EMBO J 23(12): 2369-2380, 2004. PMID: 15152190. DOI: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600244
- 7 Chen WY, Wang DH, Yen RC, Luo J, Gu W and Baylin SB: Tumor suppressor HIC1 directly regulates SIRT1 to modulate p53-dependent DNA-damage responses. Cell *123(3)*: 437-448, 2005. PMID: 16269335. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2005.08.011
- 8 Huffman DM, Grizzle WE, Bamman MM, Kim JS, Eltoum IA, Elgavish A and Nagy TR: SIRT1 is significantly elevated in mouse and human prostate cancer. Cancer Res 67(14): 6612-6618, 2007. PMID: 17638871. DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-07-0085
- 9 Cha EJ, Noh SJ, Kwon KS, Kim CY, Park BH, Park HS, Lee H, Chung MJ, Kang MJ, Lee DG, Moon WS and Jang KY: Expression of DBC1 and SIRT1 is associated with poor prognosis of gastric carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 15(13): 4453-4459, 2009. PMID: 19509139. DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-3329
- 10 Tseng RC, Lee CC, Hsu HS, Tzao C and Wang YC: Distinct HIC1-SIRT1-p53 loop deregulation in lung squamous carcinoma

and adenocarcinoma patients. Neoplasia *11(8)*: 763-770, 2009. PMID: 19649206. DOI: 10.1593/neo.09470

- 11 Lee H, Kim KR, Noh SJ, Park HS, Kwon KS, Park BH, Jung SH, Youn HJ, Lee BK, Chung MJ, Koh DH, Moon WS and Jang KY: Expression of DBC1 and SIRT1 is associated with poor prognosis for breast carcinoma. Hum Pathol 42(2): 204-213, 2011. PMID: 21056897. DOI: 10.1016/j.humpath.2010.05.023
- 12 Jang KY, Noh SJ, Lehwald N, Tao GZ, Bellovin DI, Park HS, Moon WS, Felsher DW and Sylvester KG: SIRT1 and c-Myc promote liver tumor cell survival and predict poor survival of human hepatocellular carcinomas. PLoS One 7(9): e45119, 2012. PMID: 23024800. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0045119
- 13 Kriegl L, Vieth M, Kirchner T and Menssen A: Up-regulation of c-MYC and SIRT1 expression correlates with malignant transformation in the serrated route to colorectal cancer. Oncotarget 3(10): 1182-1193, 2012. PMID: 23045412. DOI: 10.18632/oncotarget.628
- 14 Stenzinger A, Endris V, Klauschen F, Sinn B, Lorenz K, Warth A, Goeppert B, Ehemann V, Muckenhuber A, Kamphues C, Bahra M, Neuhaus P and Weichert W: High SIRT1 expression is a negative prognosticator in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. BMC Cancer 13: 450, 2013. PMID: 24088390. DOI: 10.1186/1471-2407-13-450
- 15 Firestein R, Blander G, Michan S, Oberdoerffer P, Ogino S, Campbell J, Bhimavarapu A, Luikenhuis S, de Cabo R, Fuchs C, Hahn WC, Guarente LP and Sinclair DA: The SIRT1 deacetylase suppresses intestinal tumorigenesis and colon cancer growth. PLoS One 3(4): e2020, 2008. PMID: 18414679. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0002020
- 16 Wang RH, Sengupta K, Li C, Kim HS, Cao L, Xiao C, Kim S, Xu X, Zheng Y, Chilton B, Jia R, Zheng ZM, Appella E, Wang XW, Ried T and Deng CX: Impaired DNA damage response, genome instability, and tumorigenesis in SIRT1 mutant mice. Cancer Cell 14(4): 312-323, 2008. PMID: 18835033. DOI: 10.1016/j.ccr.2008.09.001
- 17 Chen GQ, Tian H, Yue WM, Li L, Li SH, Qi L, Gao C, Si LB, Lu M and Feng F: SIRT1 expression is associated with lymphangiogenesis, lymphovascular invasion and prognosis in pN0 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Cell Biosci 4: 48, 2014. PMID: 25922660. DOI: 10.1186/2045-3701-4-48
- 18 He Z, Yi J, Jin L, Pan B, Chen L and Song H: Overexpression of Sirtuin-1 is associated with poor clinical outcome in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Tumour Biol *37(6)*: 7139-7148, 2016. PMID: 26662958. DOI: 10.1007/s13277-015-4459-y
- 19 Han F, Zhang S, Liang J and Qiu W: Clinicopathological and predictive significance of SIRT1 and peroxisome proliferatoractivated receptor gamma in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: The correlation with EGFR and Survivin. Pathol Res Pract 214(5): 686-690, 2018. PMID: 29625788. DOI: 10.1016/j.prp.2018.03.018
- 20 Ma MC, Chiu TJ, Lu HI, Huang WT, Lo CM, Tien WY, Lan YC, Chen YY, Chen CH and Li SH: SIRT1 overexpression is an independent prognosticator for patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. J Cardiothorac Surg 13(1): 25, 2018. PMID: 29636061. DOI: 10.1186/s13019-018-0718-5
- 21 Yan L, Zhao Q, Liu L, Jin N, Wang S and Zhan X: Expression of SIRT1 and survivin correlates with poor prognosis in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Medicine (Baltimore) 99(34): e21645, 2020. PMID: 32846774. DOI: 10.1097/MD.000000000021645
- 22 Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG and PRISMA Group: Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-

analyses: the PRISMA statement. PLoS Med *6(7)*: e1000097, 2009. PMID: 19621072. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097

- 23 Edge SB, Byrd DR, Carducci MA, Compton CC, Fritz A and Greene F: AJCC cancer staging manual. New York, Springer, 2010.
- 24 Sobin LH, Gospodarowicz MK and Wittekind C (eds.): TNM Classification of Malignant Tumours. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- 25 Stang A: Critical evaluation of the Newcastle-Ottawa scale for the assessment of the quality of nonrandomized studies in metaanalyses. Eur J Epidemiol 25(9): 603-605, 2010. PMID: 20652370. DOI: 10.1007/s10654-010-9491-z
- 26 Liu T, Liu PY and Marshall GM: The critical role of the class III histone deacetylase SIRT1 in cancer. Cancer Res *69*(*5*): 1702-1705, 2009. PMID: 19244112. DOI: 10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-08-3365
- 27 Li K and Luo J: The role of SIRT1 in tumorigenesis. N Am J Med Sci (Boston) *4*(2): 104-106, 2011. PMID: 22180829. DOI: 10.7156/v4i2p104
- 28 Ota H, Tokunaga E, Chang K, Hikasa M, Iijima K, Eto M, Kozaki K, Akishita M, Ouchi Y and Kaneki M: Sirt1 inhibitor, Sirtinol, induces senescence-like growth arrest with attenuated Ras-MAPK signaling in human cancer cells. Oncogene 25(2): 176-185, 2006. PMID: 16170353. DOI: 10.1038/sj.onc.1209049
- 29 Byles V, Zhu L, Lovaas JD, Chmilewski LK, Wang J, Faller DV and Dai Y: SIRT1 induces EMT by cooperating with EMT transcription factors and enhances prostate cancer cell migration and metastasis. Oncogene *31(43)*: 4619-4629, 2012. PMID: 22249256. DOI: 10.1038/onc.2011.612
- 30 Zu G, Ji A, Zhou T and Che N: Clinicopathological significance of SIRT1 expression in colorectal cancer: A systematic review and meta analysis. Int J Surg 26: 32-37, 2016. PMID: 26763348. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijsu.2016.01.002
- 31 Li C, Wang L, Zheng L, Zhan X, Xu B, Jiang J and Wu C: SIRT1 expression is associated with poor prognosis of lung adenocarcinoma. Onco Targets Ther 8: 977-984, 2015. PMID: 25995644. DOI: 10.2147/OTT.S82378
- 32 Noguchi A, Kikuchi K, Zheng H, Takahashi H, Miyagi Y, Aoki I and Takano Y: SIRT1 expression is associated with a poor prognosis, whereas DBC1 is associated with favorable outcomes in gastric cancer. Cancer Med 3(6): 1553-1561, 2014. PMID: 25146318. DOI: 10.1002/cam4.310
- 33 Wu M, Wei W, Xiao X, Guo J, Xie X, Li L, Kong Y, Lv N, Jia W, Zhang Y and Xie X: Expression of SIRT1 is associated with lymph node metastasis and poor prognosis in both operable triple-negative and non-triple-negative breast cancer. Med Oncol 29(5): 3240-3249, 2012. PMID: 22661383. DOI: 10.1007/s12032-012-0260-6
- 34 Han L, Liang XH, Chen LX, Bao SM and Yan ZQ: SIRT1 is highly expressed in brain metastasis tissues of non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) and in positive regulation of NSCLC cell migration. Int J Clin Exp Pathol *6(11)*: 2357-2365, 2013. PMID: 24228097.
- 35 Hong EH, Yun HS, Kim J, Um HD, Lee KH, Kang CM, Lee SJ, Chun JS and Hwang SG: Nicotinamide phosphoribosyltransferase is essential for interleukin-1beta-mediated dedifferentiation of articular chondrocytes *via* SIRT1 and extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) complex signaling. J Biol Chem 286(32): 28619-28631, 2011. PMID: 21697093. DOI: 10.1074/jbc.M111.219832
- 36 Simic P, Zainabadi K, Bell E, Sykes DB, Saez B, Lotinun S, Baron R, Scadden D, Schipani E and Guarente L: SIRT1

regulates differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells by deacetylating  $\beta$ -catenin. EMBO Mol Med *5(3)*: 430-440, 2013. PMID: 23364955. DOI: 10.1002/emmm.201201606

- 37 Chen HC, Jeng YM, Yuan RH, Hsu HC and Chen YL: SIRT1 promotes tumorigenesis and resistance to chemotherapy in hepatocellular carcinoma and its expression predicts poor prognosis. Ann Surg Oncol *19*(6): 2011-2019, 2012. PMID: 22146883. DOI: 10.1245/s10434-011-2159-4
- 38 Wang RH, Zheng Y, Kim HS, Xu X, Cao L, Luhasen T, Lee MH, Xiao C, Vassilopoulos A, Chen W, Gardner K, Man YG, Hung MC, Finkel T and Deng CX: Interplay among BRCA1, SIRT1, and Survivin during BRCA1-associated tumorigenesis. Mol Cell 32(1): 11-20, 2008. PMID: 18851829. DOI: 10.1016/j.molcel.2008.09.011
- 39 Aljada A, Dong L and Mousa SA: Sirtuin-targeting drugs: Mechanisms of action and potential therapeutic applications. Curr Opin Investig Drugs *11(10)*: 1158-1168, 2010. PMID: 20872319.
- 40 Garcia-Peterson LM and Li X: Trending topics of SIRT1 in tumorigenicity. Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj *1865(9)*: 129952, 2021. PMID: 34147543. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbagen.2021.129952

Received October 26, 2021 Revised December 10, 2021 Accepted December 11, 2021