

# **HHS Public Access**

Author manuscript Org Lett. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2023 January 21.

Published in final edited form as:

Org Lett. 2022 January 21; 24(2): 762–766. doi:10.1021/acs.orglett.1c04266.

# Atroposelective Desymmetrization of Resorcinol-Bearing Quinazolinones via Cu-Catalyzed C–O Bond Formation

#### Hyung Yoon, Alexandra Galls, Soren D. Rozema, Scott J. Miller

Department of Chemistry, Yale University, New Haven, Connecticut 06520-8107, United States

#### Abstract

Enantioselective Cu-catalyzed C–O cross coupling reactions yielding atropisomeric resorcinolbearing quinazolinones have been developed. Utilizing a new guanidinylated dimeric peptidic ligand, a set of products were generated in good yields with excellent stereocontrol. The transformation was readily scalable and a range of product derivatizations were performed.

## **Graphical Abstract**



The selective functionalization of complex, multifunctional compounds is a frontier for both the fields of catalysis and medicinal chemistry, where there is a premium on (a) synthetic efficiency, (b) management of complex stereochemical issues, and (c) the creation of diversified scaffolds that interact selectively with complex biological targets.<sup>1</sup> In this way, there is also a heuristic intersection between complex bioactive molecules like vancomycin, a potent antibiotic (Figure 1A) and enantiomerically pure scaffolds that exhibit isolable atropisomers (Figure 1B), wherein restricted rotation about a single bond defines functionally consequential stereogenicity.<sup>2</sup> Research in our group began to address both of these challenges, with a particular emphasis on atroposelective halogenation.<sup>3</sup> Metalcatalyzed cross coupling also creates powerful opportunities for scaffold diversification,<sup>4</sup> and we have further examined these in the context of vancomycin and teicoplanin.<sup>5</sup> In preliminary model studies of site-selective cross couplings, we also recently discovered a family of desymmetrization reactions based on peptidyl Cu-complexes (Figure 1C).<sup>6</sup> These

Corresponding Author: scott.miller@yale.edu.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

Experimental procedures, optimization, characterization and X-Ray data (PDF)

X-ray data for 3b (CIF)

FAIR Data is available as Supporting Information for Publication and includes the primary NMR FID files for compounds L1, 1a-1f, 3a-3i, 4-7

reactions built on previous pioneering studies of other Cu-catalyzed cross couplings.<sup>7–10</sup> Our group reported highly enantioselective transformations for the privileged diarylmethane scaffold, but these studies do not provide a direct analogy to the challenges embedded within vancomycin, nor smaller molecules that bear atropisomeric axes. Moreover, these studies focused on site-selectivity within a bis(electrophilic) substrate. Accordingly, we wished to examine whether resorcinol-based functionality, which presents the site-selectivity challenge within a bis(nucleophilic) fragment, is amenable to enantioselective C–O bond-forming cross coupling with the peptidyl Cu-complexes we had developed.<sup>11</sup> Herein, we describe unprecedented Cu-catalyzed atroposelective desymmetrizations of resorcinols within the biologically relevant quinazolinone scaffold (Figure 1D), grounding the viability of the approach for future examination within even more complicated structures.

At the outset of our investigation, we selected the resorcinol bearing quinazolinone **1a** for the optimization of the atroposelective Cu-catalyzed desymmetrization reaction. We first explored the use of tetrameric guanidinylated peptidic ligand  $L^*$ , which was previously found to be successful in the C–O cross coupling of diarylmethanes.<sup>6b</sup> Using  $L^*$ , quinazolinone **1a** and arylbromide **2a** were subjected to the reaction conditions shown in Figure 2 to give **3a** in a promising 35% yield and 86:14 e.r. Arylbromide **2a** was carefully selected to be the corresponding coupling partner as the trifluoroacetamide group serves as a directing group. After assessment of the reaction parameters, the optimal conditions were found to be CuI, truncated dimer L1, and Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in DMF at 40 °C for 48 h (59% yield, 95:5 e.r., Table 1, entry 1). To summarize our optimization efforts, a series of variations from the standard reaction conditions were performed to indicate their effects on the efficiency of the transformation (Table 1).<sup>12</sup>

Utilizing other copper catalysts (CuBr and Cu(MeCN)<sub>4</sub>PF<sub>6</sub>) yielded **3a** in comparable selectivity, but lower yields (53% and 51% respectively, Table 1, entries 2 and 3). Employing another sterically encumbered peptidic dimer ligand **L2** gave the cross coupled product in significantly lower yield and selectivity (22% yield and 85:15 e.r., entry 4). Additionally, using another dimeric ligand **L3** gave lower yield and slightly lower e.r. (entry 5). Interestingly, using  $K_2CO_3$  or  $K_3PO_4$  as the exogenous base did not generate any appreciable product, suggesting that the solubility and strength of the base plays a critical role in promoting the reaction (entries 6 and 7). A 1:1 mixture of DMF/PhMe, which was successful in our previous C–C cross coupling studies, led to **3a** in lower yield but comparable e.r. (entry 8).<sup>6a</sup> Unlike the studies found by Ma and coworkers where H<sub>2</sub>O was found to be instrumental in providing high yield and enantioselectivity, the addition of water led to no observable product.<sup>8c</sup> Increasing the temperature to 60 °C led to lower yield due to nonproductive pathways such as protodemetalation of **2a** (entry 10). Lastly, attempts to broaden our scope to include arylchlorides were ineffective as no product was observed (entry 11).

With the optimized conditions affording **3a** in 59% yield and 95:5 e.r., we investigated the substrate scope of this reaction (Figure 3). Unsubstituted arylbromide **2b** provided **3b** in similar yield and excellent enantioselectivity (54% yield, 94:6 e.r.). The structure of **3b** was unambiguously determined by single crystal X-ray crystallography.<sup>13</sup> Electron deficient quinazolinone **1c** was found to be effective in the reaction as it provided higher yields

with comparable enantioselectivity (3c, 62% yield, 93:7 e.r.). Additionally, nitro-substituted arylbromide 2d which could be used as a future synthetic handle was tolerated in good yield and selectivity (3d, 51% yield, 91:9 e.r.). In the absence of an ortho-directing group, other halogen substituents are preserved in the transformation (3e). Notably, aza-quinazolinone (1f) was proficient in the reaction giving the respective cross coupled product 3f in 53% yield and 91:9 e.r. Electron rich arylbromides yielded the desired products in good yield and excellent enantioselectivity (**3g** and **3h**). A limitation in this transformation is the tolerability of the arylbromides, wherein electron withdrawing substituents stunted the reactivity.<sup>14</sup> Changing the  $-R^2$  group to a slightly larger group such as ethyl gave the product in comparable yield (3i). Unfortunately, other large groups including isopropyl, or benzyl were not tolerated in the reaction. Demonstrating the scalability of this transformation, model quinazolinone **1a** (2mmol) underwent the title cross coupling to yield **3a** in comparable yield and selectivity (54% yield and 94:6 e.r.). Interestingly, other nitrogen directing groups including acetyl or tosyl were incompatible with the transformation. We postulate that the trifluoromethyl acetamide group provides the appropriate pKa range necessary for the reaction.

Finally, product derivatization studies were undertaken to assess the synthetic utility of the enantioenriched quinazolinones using product **3a** (Figure 4). Utilizing the remaining hydroxyl group, a SNAr reaction with ethyl 2-chloropyrimidine-5-carboxylate furnished **4** in excellent yield and retention of stereochemistry. Additionally, exploiting the electron rich nature of the resorcinol, we were able to access dibrominated **5** in 90% yield and 95:5 e.r. Deprotection of the trifluoromethyl acetamide, which served as a directing group in our asymmetric reaction, was achieved in excellent yield (**6**, 92% yield, 92:8 e.r.). The pendant hydroxyl group on **3a** could also be removed via reductive coupling in moderate yield while retaining the enantioselectivity (**7**).

Analogous to the reports by the Ma group using ionic ligands,<sup>15</sup> the Cu-catalyzed cross coupling reaction likely proceeds through the generation of bidentate Cu-based catalyst **A** (Figure 5). Then, deprotonation of **2** by  $Cs_2CO_3$  gives the trifluoroacetimidate **2'**, which directs the oxidative addition leading to the formation of **B**. Afterwards, atroposelective coordination would give **C** and deprotonation of one hydroxyl group would give **D**. Then product-forming reductive elimination releases **3** and regenerates the active catalyst **A**. An alternative order of events, demonstrated by Hartwig and coworkers for related reactions with neutral ligands on the Cu-center,<sup>16</sup> might also be considered and has not been experimentally excluded.

In conclusion, we have developed an atroposelective Cu-catalyzed C–O cross coupling reaction utilizing a guanidinylated peptidic ligand to form functionalized quinazolinones. The reaction was found to tolerate a range of functional groups including other halogens and heterocycles in good yield and excellent enantioselectivity. To demonstrate the synthetic utility of the products, we performed a diverse set of derivatizations. These findings set the stage for late stage functionalizations in highly complex molecular environments, which we are now actively investigating.

#### **Supplementary Material**

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health (NIHGMS R35 132092). H.Y. thanks the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council (NSERC) for financial support. We thank Dr. Brandon Q. Mercado (Yale University) for single-crystal X-ray analysis of **3a**. We thank Dr. Yuk Cheung (Chris) Chan (Yale University), Dr. Zebediah Girvin (Yale University), Dr. Elizabeth Stone (Yale University), Dr. Omar Beleh (Yale University), Dr. Aaron Featherston (Yale University) and Professor Margaret Hilton (West Virginia University) for insightful discussion during the project.

## REFERENCES

- (1). (a)Busacca CA; Fandrick DR; Song JJ; Senanayake CH The Growing Impact of Catalysis in the Pharmaceutical Industry. Adv. Synth. Catal 2011, 353, 1825.(b)Caille S; Cui S; Faul MM; Mennen SM; Tedrow JS; Walker SD Molecular Complexity as a Driver for Chemical Process Innovation in the Pharmaceutical Industry. J. Org. Chem 2019, 84, 4583. [PubMed: 30916557]
- (2). (a)Clayden J; Moran WJ; Edwards PJ; LaPlante SR The Challenge of Atropisomerism in Drug Discovery. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2009, 48, 6398.(b)Evarts JB; Ulrich RG Atropisomers of 2-purinyl-3-tolyl-quinazolinone derivatives and methods of use. U.S. Patent 20100249155, September 30, 2010.(c)LaPlante SR; Fader LD; Fandrick KR; Fandrick DR; Hucke O; Kemper R; Miller SPF; Edwards PJ Assessing Atropisomer Axial Chirality in Drug Discovery and Development. J. Med. Chem 2011, 54, 7005. [PubMed: 21848318] (d)Toenjes ST; Gustafson JL Atropisomerism in medicinal chemistry: challenges and opportunities. Future Med. Chem 2018, 10, 409. [PubMed: 29380622] (e)Glunz PW Recent encounters with atropisomerism in drug discovery. Bioorganic Med. Chem. Lett 2018, 28, 53.(f)Metrano AJ; Miller SJ. Peptide-Based Catalysts Reach the Outer Sphere through Remote Desymmetrization and Atroposelectivity. Acc. Chem. Res 2019, 52, 199.
- (3). (a)Gustafson JL; Lim D; Miller SJ Dynamic Kinetic Resolution of Biaryl Atropisomers via Peptide-Catalyzed Asymmetric Bromination. Science, 2010, 328, 1251. [PubMed: 20522769]
  (b)Pathak TP; Miller SJ Site-Selective Bromination of Vancomycin. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 6120. [PubMed: 22462775] (c)Beleh OM; Miller E; Toste FD; Miller SJ Catalytic Dynamic Kinetic Resolutions in Tandem to Construct Two-Axis Terphenyl Atropisomers. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2020, 142, 16461. [PubMed: 32857500]
- (4). For selected reviews and examples on late stage functionalization and references therein, see:
  (a)Karimov RR; Sharma A; Hartwig JF Late Stage Azidation of Complex Molecules Late Stage Azidation of Complex Molecules. ACS. Cent. Sci 2016, 2, 715. [PubMed: 27800554]
  (b)Shugrue CR; Miller SJ Applications of Nonenzymatic Catalysts to the Alteration of Natural Products. Chem. Rev 2017, 117, 11894. [PubMed: 28580785] (c)White MC; Zhao J Aliphatic C–H Oxidations for Late-Stage Functionalization. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2018, 140, 13988. [PubMed: 30185033] (d)Hong B; Luo T; Lei X Late-Stage Diversification of Natural Products. ACS Cent. Sci 2020, 6, 622. [PubMed: 32490181] (e)Mu T; Wei B; Zhu D; Yu B Site-selective C-H hydroxylation of pentacyclic triterpenoids directed by transient chiral pyridine-imino groups. Nat. Commun 2020, 11. 4371. [PubMed: 31896763] (f)Börgel J; Ritter T Late-Stage Functionalization. Chem. 2020, 6, 1877.(g)Feng K; Quevedo RE; Kohrt JT; Oderinde MS; Reilly U; White MC. Late-stage oxidative C(sp3)–H methylation. Nature, 2020, 580, 621. [PubMed: 32179876] (h)Kim KE; Kim AN; McCormick CJ; Stoltz BM Late-Stage Diversification: A Motivating Force in Organic Synthesis. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2021, 143, 16890. [PubMed: 34614361]
- (5). Pathak TP; Miller SJM Chemical tailoring of teicoplanin with site-selective reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2013, 135, 8415. [PubMed: 23692563]
- (6). (a)Kim B; Chinn AJ; Fandrick DR; Senanayake CH; Singer RA; Miller SJ Distal Stereocontrol Using Guanidinylated Peptides as Multifunctional Ligands: Desymmetrization of Diarylmethanes via Ullman Cross-Coupling. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2016, 138, 7939. [PubMed: 27254785] (b)Chinn

AJ; Kim B; Kwon Y; Miller SJ Enantioselective Intermolecular C–O Bond Formation in the Desymmetrization of Diarylmethines Employing a Guanidinylated Peptide-Based Catalyst. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 18107. [PubMed: 29116792] (c)Kwon Y; Chinn AJ; Kim B; Miller SJ Divergent Control of Point and Axial Stereogenicity: Catalytic Enantioselective C-N Bond-Forming Cross-Coupling and Catalyst-Controlled Atroposelective Cyclodehydration. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2018, 57, 6251.(d)Hwang J; Mercado BQ; Miller SJ Chirality-matched catalyst-controlled macrocyclization reactions. PNAS, 2021, 118, e2113122118 [PubMed: 34599107]

- (7). For selected reviews on Cu-catalyzed cross coupling and references therein, see:(a)Evano
  G; Blanchard N; Toumi M, Copper-Mediated Coupling Reactions and Their Applications in
  Natural Products and Designed Biomolecules Synthesis. Chem. Rev 2008, 108, 3054. [PubMed: 18698737] (b)Ma D; Cai Q Copper/Amino Acid Catalyzed Cross-Couplings of Aryl and Vinyl
  Halides with Nucleophiles. Acc. Chem. Res 2008, 41, 1450. [PubMed: 18698852] (c)Cherney
  AH; Kadunce NT; Reisman SE Enantioselective and Enantiospecific Transition-Metal-Catalyzed
  Cross-Coupling Reactions of Organometallic Reagents To Construct C–C Bonds. Chem. Rev
  2015, 115, 9587. [PubMed: 26268813] (d)Bhunia S; Pawar GG; Kumar SV; Jiang Y; Ma D
  Selected Copper-Based Reactions for C-N, C-O, C-S, and C-C Bond Formation. Angew. Chem.
  Int. Ed 2017, 56, 16136.(e)Cheng JK; Xiang S-H; Li S; Ye L; Tan B; Recent Advances in
  Catalytic Asymmetric Construction of Atropisomers. Chem. Rev 2021, 121, 4805. [PubMed: 33775097] (f)Palani V; Perea MA; Sarpong R Site-Selective Cross-Coupling of Polyhalogenated
  Arenes and Heteroarenes with Identical Halogen Groups. Chem. Rev 2021. ASAP. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.1c00513.
- (8). For selected examples on Cu-catalyzed cross coupling, see:(a)Marcoux J-F; Doye S; Buchwald SL A General Copper-Catalyzed Synthesis of Diaryl Ethers. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1997, 119, 10539.(b)Shafir A; Buchwald SL Highly Selective Room-Temperature Copper-Catalyzed C-N Coupling Reactions J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006, 128, 8742. [PubMed: 16819863] (c)Xie X; Chen Y; Ma D Enantioselective Arylation of 2-Methylacetoacetates Catalyzed by CuI/trans-4-Hydroxy-L-proline at Low Reaction Temperatures. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2006, 128, 16050. [PubMed: 17165754] (d)Lv X; Bao W A  $\beta$ -Keto Ester as a Novel, Efficient, and Versatile Ligand for Copper(I)-Catalyzed C-N, C-O, and C-S Coupling Reactions. J. Org. Chem 2007, 72, 3863. [PubMed: 17432916] (e)Fan M; Zhou W; Jiang Y; Ma D CuI/Oxalamide Catalyzed Couplings of (Hetero)aryl Chlorides and Phenols for Diaryl Ether Formation. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2016, 55, 6211.(f)Zhai Y; Chen X; Zhou W; Fan M; Lai Y; Ma D Copper-Catalyzed Diaryl Ether Formation from (Hetero)aryl Halides at Low Catalytic Loadings. J. Org. Chem 2017, 82, 4964. [PubMed: 28427259] (g)Chen Z; Jiang Y; Zhang L; Guo Y; Ma D Oxalic Diamides and tert-Butoxide: Two Types of Ligands Enabling Practical Access to Alkyl Aryl Ethers via Cu-Catalyzed Coupling Reaction. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2019, 141, 3541. [PubMed: 30688450] (h)Frey J; Malekafzali A; Delso I; Choppin S; Colobert F; Wencel-Delord J Enantioselective Synthesis of N-C Axially Chiral Compounds by Cu-Catalyzed Atroposelective Aryl Amination. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2020, 59, 8844.(i)Ray R; Hartwig JF Oxalohydrazide Ligands for Copper-Catalyzed C-O Coupling Reactions with High Turnover Numbers. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2021, 60,8203
- (9). For selected examples on enantioslective, intramolecular Cu-catalyzed reactions, see:(a)Zhou F; Guo J; Liu J; Ding K; Yu S; Cai Q Copper-Catalyzed Desymmetric Intramolecular Ullmann C–N Coupling: An Enantioselective Preparation of Indolines. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2012, 134, 14326. [PubMed: 22913611] (b)Yang W; Long Y; Zhang S; Zeng Y; Cai Q Copper-Catalyzed Enantioselective Intramolecular N-Arylation, an Efficient Method for Kinetic Resolutions. Org. Lett 2013, 15, 3598. [PubMed: 23829519] (c)Zhou F; Cheng G-J; Yang W; Long Y; Zhang S; Wu Y-D; Zhang X; Cai Q Enantioselective formation of cyano-bearing all-carbon quaternary stereocenters: desymmetrization by copper-catalyzed *N*-arylation. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2014, 53, 9555.(d)Yang W; Liu Y; Zhang S; Cai Q Copper-Catalyzed Intramolecular Desymmetric Aryl C-O Coupling for the Enantioselective Construction of Chiral Dihydrobenzofurans and Dihydrobenzopyrans. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2015, 54, 8805.(e)Liu J; Tian Y; Shi J; Zhang S; Cai Q An Enantioselective Synthesis of Spirobilactams through Copper-Catalyzed Intramolecular Double N-Arylation and Phase Separation. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2015, 54, 10917.(f)Fan X; Zhang X; Li C; Gu Z Enantioselective Atropisomeric Anilides Synthesis via Cu-Catalyzed Intramolecular Adjacent C–N Coupling. ACS Catal. 2019, 9, 2286.

- (10). For selected examples of site-selective Cu-catalyzed C–O cross coupling reactions, see:
  (a)Dimakos V; Garrett GE; Taylor MS Site-Selective, Copper-Mediated *O*-Arylation of Carbohydrate Derivatives. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2017, 139, 15515. [PubMed: 29058424] (b)Shang W; Mou Z-D; Tang H; Zhang X; Liu J; Fu Z; Niu D Site-Selective O-Arylation of Glycosides. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2018, 57, 314.
- (11). For selected examples of enantioselective quinazolinone synthesis, see:(a)Hirai M; Terada S; Yoshida H; Ebine K; Hirata T; Kitagawa O Catalytic Enantioselective Synthesis of N–C Axially Chiral Mebroqualone and Its Derivatives through Reductive Asymmetric Desymmetrization. Org. Lett 2016, 18 5700. [PubMed: 27783530] (b)Wang Y-B; Zheng S-C; Hu Y-M; Tan B Brønsted acid-catalysed enantioselective construction of axially chiral arylquinazolinones. Nat. Commun 2017, 8, 15489. [PubMed: 28524863] (c)Teng F; Yu T; Peng Y; Hu W; Hu H; He Y; Luo S; Zhu Q Palladium-Catalyzed Atroposelective Coupling—Cyclization of 2-Isocyanobenzamides to Construct Axially Chiral 2-Aryl- and 2,3-Diarylquinazolinones. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2021, 143, 2722. [PubMed: 33560837]
- (12). Protodemetalation of the arylbromide was observed, alongside decomposition of the quinazolinone.
- (13). Refer to SI X-ray crystallographic data. CCDC Number: 2129281.
- (14). Aryliodides are also tolerated in the reaction; however, electron deficient aryliodide equivalents were also ineffective in the transformation.
- (15). For selected reviews and references therein, see:(a)Copper-Mediated Cross-Coupling Reactions. Evano G, Blanchard N, Wiley, Hoboken, 2013(b)Alicia C, Xavi R, The role of organometallic copper(III) complexes in homogeneous catalysis. Chem. Sci 2013, 4, 2301.
- (16). (a)Tye JW; Weng Z; Johns AM; Incarvito CD; Hartwig JF Copper Complexes of Anionic Nitrogen Ligands in the Amidation and Imidation of Aryl Halides. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2008, 130, 9971. [PubMed: 18597458] (b)Tye JW; Weng Z; Giri R; Hartwig JF Copper(I) Phenoxide Complexes in the Etherification of Aryl Halides. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2010, 49, 2185.(c)Huang Z; Hartwig JF Copper(I) Enolate Complexes in α-Arylation Reactions: Synthesis, Reactivity, and Mechanism. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed 2011, 51, 1028.



Overview of Bioactive Molecules and Enantioselective Cu-Catalyzed Ullman Coupling

Yoon et al.





Cu-Catalyzed C-O Cross Coupling of Quinazolinone: Initial Hit



**Figure 3. Cu-Catalyzed C–O Cross Coupling: Substrate Scope**<sup>a</sup> Reactions run on 0.2 mmol scale. Isolated yields.



**Figure 4. Derivatization of Quinazolinone 3a<sup>a</sup>** <sup>*a*</sup> Reactions run on 0.1 mmol scale. Isolated yields.





#### Table 1.

Atroposelective Cu-Catalyzed C-O Cross Coupling: Variation from the Standard Reaction Conditions<sup>a</sup>

$\begin{array}{c} \overset{HO}{\underset{k=2}{}{}{}{}{}{}{}{\overset$			
Entry	Variation from "standard conditions"	Yield 2a (%) <sup>b,c</sup>	e.r. 3a
1	None	(59)	95:5
2	CuBr instead of CuI	53	95:5
2 3	CuBr instead of CuI Cu(MeCN) <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub> instead of CuI	53 51	95:5 93:7
2 3 4	CuBr instead of CuI Cu(MeCN) <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub> instead of CuI L2 instead of L1	53 51 22	95:5 93:7 85:15
2 3 4 5	CuBr instead of CuI Cu(MeCN) <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub> instead of CuI L2 instead of L1 L3 instead of L1	53 51 22 26	95:5 93:7 85:15 92:8
2 3 4 5 6	CuBr instead of CuI $Cu(MeCN)_4PF_6$ instead of CuIL2 instead of L1L3 instead of L1 $K_2CO_3$ instead of Cs $_2CO_3$	53 51 22 26 Not observed	95:5 93:7 85:15 92:8
2 3 4 5 6 7	CuBr instead of CuI Cu(MeCN) <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub> instead of CuI L2 instead of L1 L3 instead of L1 K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> instead of Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> instead of Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	53 51 22 26 Not observed Not observed	95:5 93:7 85:15 92:8 - -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CuBr instead of CuI Cu(MeCN) <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub> instead of CuI L2 instead of L1 L3 instead of L1 K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> instead of Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> instead of Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> DMF/PhMe (1:1) instead of DMF	53 51 22 26 Not observed Not observed 38	95:5 93:7 85:15 92:8 - - 95:5
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 <sup>d</sup>	CuBr instead of CuI Cu(MeCN) <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub> instead of CuI L2 instead of L1 L3 instead of L1 K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> instead of Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> instead of Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> DMF/PhMe (1:1) instead of DMF	53 51 22 26 Not observed Not observed 38 Not observed	95:5 93:7 85:15 92:8 - 92:8 - 95:5 -
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <sup>d</sup> 10	CuBr instead of CuI Cu(MeCN) <sub>4</sub> PF <sub>6</sub> instead of CuI L2 instead of L1 L3 instead of L1 K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> instead of Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> instead of Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> DMF/PhMe (1:1) instead of DMF DMF/H <sub>2</sub> O instead of DMF	53           51           22           26           Not observed           38           Not observed           50	95:5 93:7 85:15 92:8 - - 95:5 - 93:7

<sup>a</sup>Reactions run on 0.2 mmol scale.

 $^{b}$ Determined by  $^{1}$ H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixtures using trimethyl benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxylate as internal standard.

<sup>c</sup>Isolated yields in parenthesis.

 $^{d}_{5\mu L}$  of H<sub>2</sub>O was added.