

# Physical education student teachers' wellbeing during Covid-19: Resilience resources and challenges from school placement

European Physical Education Review  
2022, Vol. 28(4) 873–889

© The Author(s) 2022

Article reuse guidelines:

[sagepub.com/journals-permissions](https://sagepub.com/journals-permissions)

DOI: 10.1177/1356336X221088399

[journals.sagepub.com/home/epe](https://journals.sagepub.com/home/epe)



**Niamh O' Brien** 

Hibernia College, Ireland

**Wesley O'Brien**

University College Cork, Ireland

**João Costa**

University College Cork, Ireland

**Manolis Adamakis** 

University College Cork, Ireland

## Abstract

The coronavirus 2019 (Covid-19) pandemic has given rise to significant global challenges across education, and specifically in the physical education teacher education (PETE) community. Students attending teacher education programmes during the Covid-19 pandemic have experienced an abrupt and unprecedented pedagogical transition from a face-to-face capacity to remote teaching, learning, and assessment environments. Crucially, student teachers' school placement experiences faced increased challenges and practical implications from additional environmental and social changes. In the context of continued global and national challenges for initial teacher education (ITE) programmes, the present qualitative study, using a representative sample of 24 student physical education (PE) teachers from a PETE programme, investigates the perceived implications of the Covid-19 pandemic on student teachers' practice and wellbeing during their final 2020/2021 academic year. Results indicate that student teachers maintain that exercise, connections with the university and school placement communities, alongside personal and professional organisation skills serve as resilience resources protecting their wellbeing. Conversely, student teachers express that school placement isolation, restricted PE delivery, increased workload, low teacher efficacy, and the responsibility to implement Covid-19 behaviour regulations presented as challenges that negatively affect their wellbeing. The paper concludes with practices that may

---

## Corresponding author:

Niamh O' Brien, Hibernia College, Block B, The Merrion Centre, Merrion Rd, Dublin, D04 H2H4, Ireland.

Email: [nobrien1@hiberniacollege.net](mailto:nobrien1@hiberniacollege.net)

further support PETE and ITE programmes and their student teachers to maintain a stable level of wellbeing throughout their careers.

### **Keywords**

Wellbeing, resilience, student teacher, physical education, initial teacher education, higher education, school placement, Covid-19

## **Introduction**

### *The onset of Covid-19 in education and physical education teacher education*

Since early 2020, the impact of coronavirus 2019 (Covid-19) has caused governments around the world to temporarily close educational institutions to contain the spread of the virus (UNESCO, 2021). In the Republic of Ireland, the national context of this research, early childhood facilities and schools closed and reopened intermittently in response to the government measures used to tackle each wave of the virus since 12 March 2020 (Department of Education, 2021a; Kennelly et al., 2020). The impact of the closures caused implications and consequences across all levels of education (Bray et al., 2020; Darmody et al., 2020). However, unlike schools and childcare facilities, some higher education institutes (HEIs) have not yet fully returned to their lecture rooms since Spring 2020.

The global physical education teacher education (PETE) community was significantly impacted by Covid-19, as all initial teacher education (ITE) programmes adapted to new theoretical and practical strategies of teaching and learning (La Velle et al., 2020; O'Brien et al., 2020a). The 2019/2020 and 2020/2021 cohort of physical education (PE) student teachers faced a drastic unprecedented challenge, unique to the history of ITE with a complete move to online education. The forced transition from face-to-face learning to online learning and teaching resulted in several constraints, opportunities, and implications, which influenced the wellbeing of emerging professionals within school placement (Carrillo and Flores, 2020). This study aims to investigate the perceived implications of the Covid-19 pandemic on student teachers' practice and wellbeing. The study analyses the resources of resilience used to adapt to the online academic and professional school placement challenges associated with Covid-19 during their final 2020/2021 academic year.

### *The implications of Covid-19 restrictions on student wellbeing*

During the Covid-19 pandemic, a period of lockdown is considered an option to stop the rapid spread of infections (Bendavid et al., 2021; Brauner et al., 2021; Haug et al., 2020; Loewenthal et al., 2020); however, lockdowns have collateral effects on other dimensions of people's health. This approach has indirect harms that were disproportionately experienced by youth due to measures to mitigate the Covid-19 pandemic (Snape and Viner, 2020). Older students seemed to be more affected, as Liu et al. (2020) found that university students and primary school students differed in levels of anxiety and depression, with primary school students reporting milder mental health symptoms. In higher education settings around the world, female gender, increased time spent on the internet, avoidance of activities through peer pressure, precariousness, social isolation, low quality of social relations, increased concerns on academic performance, difficulty in concentrating, and disruptions to sleeping patterns increased the likelihood of negative mental health

consequences (Cao et al., 2020; Gualano et al., 2020; Son et al., 2020; Wathélet et al., 2020). The results of these studies underline the need to develop higher education wellbeing interventions and preventive strategies to promote and protect mental health (O'Brien et al., 2020a;2020b).

### *The perspective of wellbeing in this study*

The concept of wellbeing is important to characterise in the context of our study. The current study views wellbeing as a dynamic balance that is achieved between an individual's psychological, social, and physical resources and psychological, social, and physical challenges (Dodge et al., 2012; Southwick et al., 2014). Wellbeing is best understood as a dynamic process where challenges exist, but resilience is strengthened through actions, resources, or skills, to adapt and cope with the trials one faces (Aked et al., 2008; Dodge et al., 2012; Southwick et al., 2014). From a positive psychology perspective, Aked et al. (2008) indicate that there are five key actions and psychological resources around the themes of social relationships, physical activity, awareness, learning, and giving that positively influence our wellbeing. This aligns closely with the Irish Department of Education (2021c) perspective that describes wellbeing as multidimensional, comprising of many interrelated indicators which include being active, responsible, connected, resilient, appreciated, respected, and aware. In summary, wellbeing in the perspective of this study is not a destination to arrive at. It is a balance that fluctuates with our life challenges and the skills and resources we use to cope with them (Dodge et al., 2012).

### *Supporting student teachers' wellbeing*

Supporting student teachers' wellbeing is imperative at any time, but the discussion has been elevated since the onset of the Covid-19 pandemic. The education profession is already recognised as one of the highest work-related stress environments for student teachers and newly qualified teachers (Buckley et al., 2017; O'Brien et al., 2019). Therefore, a response to these challenges is necessary for ITE programmes that focus on student wellbeing. Putting student teacher wellbeing at the centre of an ITE programme may enable newly qualified teachers to cope with the demands of the profession and foster a mutually beneficial capacity to promote the wellbeing of current and future students in the classroom and beyond (O'Brien et al., 2019, 2020a;2020b).

As student teachers and experienced teachers of all subjects transitioned between face-to-face classroom teaching and online teaching and learning, workloads and challenges intensified and the potential for anxiety and weariness within the profession increased, possibly taking a negative toll on early professional experiences (Allen et al., 2020; Dabrowski, 2020). PETE and other ITE programmes recognise and report this as a serious concern during Covid-19 (Allen et al., 2020; La Velle et al., 2020; O'Brien et al., 2020b). However, PETE programmes and student PE teachers faced a particular range of challenges and opportunities that are of critical importance as the profession continued with online learning (O'Brien et al., 2020b). Student PE teachers, during the pandemic, showed vulnerability in expressing a precarious situation and fear of losing traditional methods of teaching PE, where direct contact and relationship building was avoided and individual or digital technologies were adapted (Varea et al., 2020).

PETE programmes maintain that 'fostering a sense of student community is crucial to the wellbeing of students, student teachers, and teacher educators' while moving teacher education programmes to an online mode of delivery (O'Brien et al., 2020b: 14). A proactive approach that aims to build connections among student teachers is necessary to support them with academic and

practical concerns caused by the Covid-19 disruption (Symonds, 2020; Zhai and Xue, 2020). A sense of community can be achieved by creating supportive learning environments with high levels of social presence that act as platforms to alleviate feelings of isolation and increase confidence, collaboration, and a sense of belonging (Carrillo and Flores, 2020).

### *The landscape for PETE during Covid-19*

A recent study by O'Brien et al. (2020) examined the implications for PETE during the Covid-19 pandemic, by specifically undertaking a cross-institutional strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats (SWOT) multi-case analysis on PETE programmes in Ireland, England, Finland, Greece, and Portugal. Building on Quennerstedt's (2019) observation on the necessity to reclaim the art of teaching in PE, O'Brien et al. (2020) asserted that the unintended interruption to face-to-face experiences in PETE programmes during 2020 may have impacted university educators' abilities to produce well-rounded novice teachers in that subject. With the arrival of Covid-19, a large proportion of PETE programmes made an immediate transition to the virtual world of delivering pedagogical content to student teachers through the medium of online PETE. In their study of PETE programmes across five countries, O'Brien et al. (2020) concluded that the implementation of novel methodologies to meaningfully engage student teachers through online PETE is a critically important consideration for effective PETE programme delivery. Lee et al. (2016) present a case study in which they state that educators also need to be aware in advance of the challenges that can arise in the online teaching and learning environment; however, the authors conclude that there are valuable opportunities when marrying community-based learning experiences with effective online instruction (e.g. through innovative and creative PETE programme considerations).

Without detailed empirical research on the perspectives of student teachers during the Covid-19 pandemic, the ITE and PETE community may risk neglecting a central tenet of teacher education, i.e. the wellbeing of the student teacher. Armour et al. (2020) have recently discussed the challenge of preparing student PE teachers to understand and support youth concerning digital technologies throughout the teacher education continuum, as well as on their own identity and digital wellbeing. Digital wellbeing has been described as a multifaceted construct concerning attitudes, behaviours, and skills that assist us to achieve a balance between digital and real-world interactions (Chambers and Sandford, 2019). The term describes the complex impact of technologies and digital services on people's mental, physical, and emotional health (Jisc, 2019). O'Brien et al. (2020) identify that with the crisis of educational disruption during the Covid-19 pandemic, an opportunity may have arisen for PETE programmes to adopt digital tools and online learning platforms to increase digital literacy, enhance pedagogical professional development and promote a sense of wellbeing and belonging among student teachers through a virtual environment. Doing so, however, requires careful consideration of good practice in using digital tools to provide students with support in both formal and informal scenarios (Jisc, 2019).

### *Purpose of the study*

While Covid-19 continues to disrupt the day-to-day lives and educational experiences of young people globally, student teachers are burdened with unforeseen transitions and demands, as they enter their professional careers within school placement experiences. Using a SWOT analysis framework, this study seeks to critically analyse the perceived implications of the Covid-19 pandemic on student teachers' practice and wellbeing during their final 2020/2021 academic year.

## Methods

This interpretive study adopted an exploratory qualitative approach (Merriam and Tisdell, 2016), through a focus group (FG) method, with a set of voluntary student teachers. FG discussions were used as the medium to collect data as they provided a means to create synergic interactions from which rich data could be generated (Krueger and Casey, 2009). This research was approved by the hosting institution's Social Research Ethics Committee (Log 2019-138). Prior to participation, a 5-minute research information presentation was provided to the student teachers on the ethical considerations and the nature of the study. Participating student teachers subsequently consented via electronic signature using Microsoft Forms™.

### *Context and participants*

The context of this study is a PETE undergraduate programme in the Republic of Ireland, consisting of a 4-year concurrent degree preparing teachers of PE and one other arts subject in English, History, Maths, Irish, or French. In the fourth year of study, the student teachers are placed in schools every morning for a full academic year to teach PE and their chosen arts subject. Prior to the Covid-19 social restrictions, they then return to the university campus three afternoons per week to take further modules in their PETE course. The inclusion criterion for this study specified student teachers in the fourth year of the PETE programme on school placement and attending afternoon online lectures.

During the academic year, aligned to the PETE programme's value of wellbeing, all student teachers were given the option to attend a fortnightly non-formal wellbeing-focused school placement debrief online session, led by the first author. The school placement debrief online sessions offered a wellbeing-focused platform for students to voluntarily connect in relation to their placement experience. The FGs used for data collection were embedded in one of five school placement debrief online sessions during the academic year. In keeping an elective nature, all 50 student teachers in the final year of their 4-year undergraduate programme were invited to engage in the research. The participants and non-participants were notified about these debrief sessions for research purposes three weeks in advance of project commencement. The final sample of participants consisted of 24 student teachers.

In accordance with the programmatic student teacher scheduling availability, participants were split into four FG sessions (FG1 = two males and five females; FG2 = four males and three females; FG3 = two males and three females; FG4 = four males and one female), over two days towards the end of the first semester in early December. The four FG sessions were conducted by two members of the research team, according to a repeated co-designed protocol. The FG questions were specifically designed to capture the four elements of a SWOT analysis used to investigate the perceived implications of the Covid-19 pandemic on student teachers' practice and wellbeing during their final 2020/2021 academic year.

The four questions were:

- (a) What are the coping strategies or activities you are using that are assisting you in maintaining your wellbeing as a student teacher? (Strengths).
- (b) What are the issues or experiences that are compromising your wellbeing as a student teacher? (Weaknesses).
- (c) Have you been learning about what assists you to maintain your wellbeing as a student teacher? (Opportunities).

- (d) What are the kinds of worries or stresses that you feel inhibit or hinder your wellbeing as a student teacher? (Threats).

Each session lasted between 35 and 45 min and was recorded in full through Microsoft Teams™ with its automatic caption function. Each FG session recording was then reviewed along with the automatically generated caption transcript, which was manually refined by three members of the research team, resulting in a total of four FG verbatim transcriptions.

### *Data analysis*

A thematic analysis (Merriam and Tisdell, 2016) was employed to organise the initial higher-order themes across all FG transcriptions. For each of the SWOT themes, the first author undertook an inductive approach to generate the codes and sub-themes. Specifically, each FG transcript was read for familiarisation and initial coding, then reread to identify commonalities in the codes and sub-themes across FGs, concluding with a coding scheme refinement and mapping of the coding for each FG. Following this inductive approach, the SWOT themes were revisited and framed according to the overarching notion reflecting the respective set of sub-themes. Finally, the first author discussed the coding scheme with the rest of the research team to evaluate its trustworthiness, where all members achieved consensus on the strongest sub-themes with the respective codes and their segments.

## **Results**

The outcomes of the questions adapted from the SWOT framework interview process are described in this section. Each of the SWOT themes is further broken down into sub-themes that reflect the dimensions of wellbeing identified and discussed by the participating student teachers during school placement and remote learning.

### *Coping strategies or activities in maintaining wellbeing as a student teacher*

Three sub-themes were identified under the student teachers' protective measures and strength-based approaches to maintaining wellbeing while on school placement and attending online academic lectures during the Covid-19 restrictions. The sub-themes were: (1) exercise, a resource of resilience; (2) the importance of connecting with others; and (3) taking responsibility to manage stress.

*Exercise – a resource of resilience.* This first and most prominent sub-theme under the strengths domain encapsulates student teachers' appreciation for the role of physical activity in maintaining wellbeing. The dialogue around the impact of using physical activity as a resource to cope with the challenges and stresses of teaching and learning during the 2020/21 academic year came with a decisive attitude. Asserting that 'exercise is the biggest thing' (in assisting them in maintaining their wellbeing), student PE teachers recognise that physical activity is a personal behaviour that they can easily implement and maintain, as it has significant positive mental health advantages. As one participant from FG two stated: 'the onus is on ourselves to make time to exercise'.

*The importance of connecting with others.* The second sub-theme identified was the student teachers' awareness of how connecting with their family, peers, and school placement teachers buffered them

against the impact of stressful experiences. While discussing the role of connections in maintaining wellbeing, one student teacher from FG four expressed: 'I need a lot of reassurance, so I talk to my parents a lot'. Both informal and formal debriefing with others in the school setting helped the student teachers feel supported in managing classroom-related issues and academic challenges. One student teacher from FG three felt that 'a form of coping for all of us is just having a chat with our co-op teacher', while others maintained that 'talking to others in our year... is comforting to know that others are in the same boat' (participant from FG two).

*Taking responsibility to manage stress.* The third strength-based sub-theme highlighted the student teachers' realisation that time management skills played a significant role in assisting them to manage the demands of school placement, alongside their academic workload and personal lives. For example, one student teacher from FG one said, 'I think the more you do to stay on top of things... you have an idea about what lessons you're doing in the forthcoming week, your wellbeing is just way better because you're not as stressed'.

Being proactive, organised, and setting targets facilitated the student teachers in not only planning appropriately to cope with current classroom restrictions but also in scheduling important wellbeing strategies such as exercising, taking regular breaks, engaging in their hobbies, and regulating healthy sleep patterns.

### *Student teacher isolation and restricted PE delivery as potential challenges*

Two prominent challenges were identified: (1) student teacher isolation within the school environment and (2) restricted PE delivery.

*Student teacher isolation within the school environment.* Data analysis from the FGs strongly revealed that student teachers were experiencing consistent isolation within their respective school environments during the 2020/21 academic year. On many occasions, within the FGs, the student teachers identified that separation from their peers and colleagues within the school environment was part of the daily cultural norm. For example, in FG three, one participant identified that 'We have a split staff room. All of the PME [Professional Masters in Education] sit together, so we're not really integrated properly'. This feeling of isolation from student teachers was again echoed by another participant present in FG three, who stated 'No, you are not really included in everything. I find I haven't met half the teachers because I don't see them'. This feeling of student teacher disconnection from their fellow peers (e.g. university contemporaries) and colleagues (e.g. in-service teachers) in schools was primarily attributed to the existing Covid-19 in-school protocol and restrictions. One participant effectively summarised the Covid-19 school environment and atmosphere for student teachers, by mentioning that 'your whole integration into the school environment is different. It's more of a challenge. And can be very isolating for people' (participant from FG three).

*Restricted PE delivery.* The second challenge for student teachers was related to the delivery restrictions within PE. Many student teachers expressed their discontent (and often their frustration) at having to alter their intended and prepared units of learning for PE class delivery. For example, one student teacher from FG one specifically mentioned: 'I think it's just kind of a tough year because you can't do a lot of the kind of strategies and everything in the classroom'. When probing the subject matter of PE further, student teachers frequently commented on having to

adjust their intended PE planning, explaining that: ‘There are thousands of different warm-up games that we can do, and pretty much 99% of them cannot be done due to Covid-19’ (participant from FG four). Given that the Covid-19 pandemic impacted the student teachers in their final year of the PETE degree, their feelings towards the restricted delivery of PE also related to their university experiences on occasions. In FG four, another student teacher’s disgruntlement was strongly felt through the triad of the Covid-19 pandemic, their in-school PE teaching, and their university experience: ‘This means almost redesigning the majority of things, practical things that we have been taught since day one of the college programme’.

### *School placement as an occupational socialisation opportunity for resilience and professional growth*

Two sub-themes were identified on school placement as an opportunity for the student teachers’ wellbeing through occupational socialisation concerning: (1) learning personal and professional skills of resilience and (2) enhanced teaching skills alongside qualified teachers immersed in the school setting.

*Learning personal and professional skills of resilience.* The strongest sub-theme reported by the student teachers was the development of personal and professional resilience skills from proactively managing their work–life balance. The student teachers signalled that school placement provides them with the learning that shows them the need for planning for balance during very busy periods. One student teacher from FG one asserted: ‘unless you kind of schedule some time for yourself, I’d say your mental health could really suffer’. The student teachers followed this insight by bringing to the fore the opportunity to learn from first-hand experience how to balance work and life, by (1) pausing for productivity, maintaining that priority ought to be given to ‘things that will take us away from the laptop for a while, or putting the phone away for a while, so you are more productive with your time’ (FG two participant); (2) planning meals for personal time; as well as (3) the importance of enough quality sleep. School placement was also an opportunity to put some aspects into perspective such as professional self-discovery on spreading their work in manageable portions, placing relative value on students’ homework completion, or figuring out their professional identity. As one participant from FG one explained; ‘I don’t think you can discover what type of teacher you are... in the first two placements. I think this one is where you actually kind of find your feet’. This professional self-awareness is counterbalanced with personal self-awareness where student teachers from FG four mention that ‘You learn yourself and what you need, e.g. you need exercise or you need to be prepared for the lesson, or how to interact with different people’. Lastly, student teachers realised the importance of being positive as a teacher and building rapport with students as an element of wellbeing ‘It’s good if you have that rapport, like that relationship with them [students]. It actually improves your wellbeing’ and ‘since I have a good relationship with my students and stuff, they almost improve my wellbeing and improve my mood...’ (FG one participant).

*Enhanced teaching skills alongside qualified teachers immersed in the school setting.* The student teachers highlighted that an opportunity arises while they experience going through this period alongside qualified teachers while on school placement. They referred to this as a critical opportunity to learn new teaching methods and skills with qualified colleagues on school placement and technology, while realising the importance of traditional teaching methods such as pair-work, one-to-one discussion, and demonstrations:



All the other teachers are in the same boat, so there's a lot of brainstorming going on and there are some good things coming out of it as well. You've learned to use stuff you wouldn't have normally used. We've gotten used to incorporating technology more. We have become better at explaining things verbally (FG one participant).

### *Increased workload, isolation, and Covid-19 measures as potential threats*

The student teachers described threats under four sub-themes: (1) management of academic and school placement workload; (2) feeling of isolation and need for peer reflection and connection; (3) teacher self-efficacy; and (4) responsibility for implementing Covid-19 behaviour regulations.

*Management of academic and school placement workload.* The most important theme under the threats domain was academic and school placement workload leading to increased stress levels. The student teachers repeatedly mentioned that the number of assignments they had in addition to school placement was unsustainable, which is leading to a lack of consistency and transparency between university education and school placement practice. As one student teacher from FG four discussed, 'I find the two different entities of university and school at the same time very stressful and ... there is a lack of communication liaising between the two'. Most students would prefer not to have school placement and university lectures three days per week, and they believed that there was valuable time lost to facets of the programme (i.e. modular assessments) they deemed unsuitable and/or time-wasting, such as assignments not related to the school placement experience. On top of this, during school placement, the student teachers reported that their workload increased significantly due to Covid-19 restrictions that led to increased planning time necessary to adapt the PE lessons. As some of the participants from FG four mentioned, 'Lessons' preparation takes a lot longer and it is a lot of pressure for a half-decent lesson...'. Overall, the student teachers felt pressured to achieve the high standards set for both academic and school placement workload, and this resulted in comments such as: 'I'm not looking forward to second term' (FG two participant).

*A feeling of isolation and the need for peer reflection and connection.* Student teachers felt they were not receiving as much guidance from the PETE programme tutors/lecturers as they felt they needed or would normally receive before Covid-19 restrictions. They claimed that: 'It is obviously hard online, but the lack of clarity from some lecturers around assignments is frustrating' (FG two participant). This was one of the main reasons students proposed to hold informal debrief sessions because they felt that 'A non-structured meeting, in small groups where everyone gets to actually speak, would be beneficial, so we get to the heart of what is actually going on, especially with the changing times' (FG one participant). The student teachers also reported a prevailing feeling of isolation and there was a need for greater peer connection and communication during school placement, a feeling that was further increased by the overwhelming nature of isolated, distance learning as expressed by another participant from FG one:

At home during the lectures, you start to overthink, it worries you more ... We should have peer communication a lot more so that we can give feedback and speak to each other and see how everyone else is getting on the ground and share ideas.

*Teacher self-efficacy.* Most student teachers mentioned that their teaching self-efficacy levels had decreased while on school placement during Covid-19. They related their lower self-efficacy levels to various issues, such as not having sufficient school placement experience that would enable them to teach the post-primary curriculum. One student from FG three asserted: 'I would be nervous in case I do not teach it effectively'. While another student from FG three expressed similar concerns with behaviour management issues without the assistance of the present cooperating teacher, the student teacher recalled a scenario where she said 'I did not even know where to send someone for detention...'. In general, students felt unprepared ahead of fourth-year school placement experience and they expressed that they needed more recall lectures for material they had not covered for a long time.

*Responsibility for implementing Covid-19 behaviour regulations.* The last sub-theme was the responsibility of implementing Covid-19 behaviour regulations during school placement. A participant from FG four claimed that 'PE is not Covid-19 friendly... if Covid-19 is going to be spread in school or in the class, this most probably will happen during PE'. This constant threat further increased student teacher workload and stress, due to the implementation of Covid-19 behaviour regulations, such as hygiene measures, face mask use, and sanitisation of shared PE equipment and surfaces. One student teacher in FG two mentioned, 'In PE, you are constantly asking yourself, did I sanitise this?' Furthermore, many student teachers felt that not every teacher followed Covid-19 guidelines in the respective schools, and 'As a result, other classes are more fun, because full-time teachers do not follow Covid-19 guidelines or are completely disregarding them' (FG three participant).

## Discussion

The perspective of wellbeing in this research recognises that the concept is a dynamic balance that can be affected by adversity or life events. This balance of wellbeing, according to Dodge et al. (2012: 230), is the 'point between an individual's resource pool and the challenges faced'. In terms of ITE programmes and student teacher wellbeing, teacher professional learning efforts that target wellbeing 'should strive to cultivate positive patterns of thinking and feeling' (Cook et al., 2017: 15). Student teachers become professional teachers, therefore, their wellbeing is essential to a profession where a reciprocated relationship between teacher wellbeing and student wellbeing exists (O'Brien et al., 2019). To categorise and summarise the research findings, the four SWOT-based themes and sub-themes explored in this research will be divided into two structured arguments: resources of resilience (strengths and opportunities) and the challenges faced (weakness and threats).

### *The resources of resilience used by student PE teachers*

Research indicates that the things we do and the way we think can have the greatest impact on our individual wellbeing (Aked et al., 2008). Resources of resilience can be understood as the skills or physical, psychological, and social processes we harness to sustain and enhance wellbeing (Dodge et al., 2012; Southwick et al., 2014). The resources used by the student teachers reflect the five key actions described by Aked et al. (2008) recommended to protect and enhance wellbeing: strong social relationships, physical activity, awareness, learning, and giving. Student teachers reported

they actively use a similar range of activities to assist them to cope with the challenges of the current academic year. Particularly, the student teachers reported very consistently that physical activity, connecting with others, taking responsibility to manage stress, and learning new personal and professional skills are among the top four resources to maintain wellbeing.

In the current study, it was not surprising that physical activity was identified as the first key strategy used by student PE teachers to cope with the challenges of the 2020/2021 academic year. Student PE teachers generally study PE for their love of sport (Ralph and MacPhail, 2015), and perhaps already realise the multidimensional benefits of physical activity for wellbeing (Adamakis and Zounhia, 2016). Therefore, fewer barriers exist for student PE teachers to participate in physical activity. Physical activity has a significant impact on our physical, psychological, and social wellbeing (Rhodes et al., 2017) and ought to be recognised as an important tool among all ITE programmes that aim to promote student teacher wellbeing (O'Brien et al., 2019).

The second resource of resilience, connection, as described by the participants in the study, illuminates how the impact of Covid-19 has posed an enormous challenge to ITE and PETE programmes to create a sense of connection away from both formal and informal university and school settings. Peer connection can act as a buffer against anxiety and stressful life events while attending ITE programmes (O'Brien et al., 2020b). Notably, the student teachers have highlighted in this study that the school placement socialisation process can act as a protective resource for their wellbeing (Aked et al., 2008). From a professional socialisation perspective, unquestionably, the school placement is a core element of any ITE programme (Lawson et al., 2015), and is vital for the professional development of the student teachers regarding a range of important outcomes (Richards et al., 2019). Additionally, responding to the pandemic in schools alongside the in-service teachers may have served as a shared experience that nurtured the student teachers' resilience, thus leaving student teachers better prepared to deal with future adversities collectively and individually in the school context.

Student teachers reported aspects of professional learning in developing important psychological attributes of self-efficacy to cope with challenges (Martins et al., 2015; Martínez-López et al., 2010), along with cognitive and performative skills concerning pedagogy (Darling-Hammond and Hyler, 2020; Zach and Inglis, 2019). In their online discussions, they also reported the development of personal and professional skills such as managing work–life balance or relativising professional or classroom behaviour issues as a means to retain resilience during school placement. The student teachers describe themselves as active agents in the online learning process where high levels of social presence increased their confidence and sense of belonging. In terms of wellbeing and digital wellbeing, this highlights the importance of providing effective and highly social online learning experiences characterised by interaction and collaboration, such as informal debrief sessions (Carrillo and Flores, 2020; Jisc, 2019). Kern and Wehmeyer (2021) emphasise using methods in higher education that cultivate a sense of belonging and good student–professor relationships can increase student wellbeing, engagement, and academic performance.

### *The challenges faced by student PE teachers during Covid-19*

Critically reflecting on the multifaceted evaluation in defining wellbeing (Dodge et al., 2012), the challenges faced by these student teachers were very apparent within the contextual balance of wellbeing. One of their most prominent challenges was student teacher isolation within the school environment. These consistent findings amongst the student teacher cohort are unsurprising given the plethora of recent empirical research (Bu et al., 2020; Joosten-Hagye et al., 2020; Labrague

et al., 2021; Padmanabhanunni and Pretorius, 2021) documenting the international escalation of global loneliness during Covid-19. In the context of the current study, student teachers in the school environment felt separated from their peers and colleagues on a day-to-day basis. A recent cross-sectional research study exploring the social and emotional loneliness among university students ( $n = 303$ ) in the Central Philippines (Labrague et al., 2021) found that interventions directed towards increasing resilience, social support, and coping behaviours were effective approaches. While student teacher isolation in the school environment may be differentiated from loneliness, it seems reasonable to comment that student teachers have been disconnected from their social support structures (e.g. university contemporaries and in-service teachers) in schools during Covid-19. O'Brien et al. (2020) in their cross-institutional SWOT analysis observed that there was a general trepidation in university settings about the student teachers' experience during the implementation of lockdown measures. Given this obvious challenge to student teacher isolation in the school environment, increased opportunities for self-efficacy and resilience (Padmanabhanunni and Pretorius, 2021) need to be prioritised on a dual basis by the university and school environments during remote learning periods.

Another challenge that gained some prominence amongst the student teacher cohort was the specific feedback concerning participants' restricted PE delivery. With the inception of Covid-19 globally in March 2020, few practitioners and scholars could have predicted the challenging impact of socially distant learning within the subject matter of PE (Beard and Konukman, 2020; Burgess and Sievertsen, 2020; Dunstan, 2020; Yu and Jee, 2021). It became very apparent in the current study that this sample of Irish student teachers became increasingly frustrated with having to alter their units of learning for PE class delivery. While these student teachers were very keen to trial new methodologies for PE during school placement, the Covid-19 public health policy documentation from the Department of Education (2021c) restricted many student teachers from trialling their preferred teaching approaches in practice. Interestingly, O'Brien et al. (2020) raised similar concerns for challenges emerging in respect to school placement issues for PETE professionals, where the minimisation of the practical modules poses a serious threat over the core PE principles. Given that the sample of participants in the current study was forced to redesign many of their face-to-face/online PE lesson plans in the existing academic year, novel methodologies that allow student teachers to pedagogically engage with the subject matter are needed by teacher education programmes going forward.

The main threat that most student teachers identified during the debrief sessions was the management of the academic (e.g. lectures' attendance and assignments) and school placement workload which, as expressed by the participating student teachers, significantly increased during the Covid-19 pandemic, leading to increased planning time to adapt daily lessons according to social distancing measures. The 'Return to School' guidelines for PE (Department of Education, 2021b) posed further challenges to student PE teachers, as many of these guidelines required increased time for lessons' planning and delivery. This further supports the student teachers' assertions that Covid-19-related adaptations to face-to-face PE delivery lead to an unusual excess of workload in the planning and delivery of lessons.

Another issue reported by students that may have led to the excessive workload is the existing notion that there is an increased risk of Covid-19 transmission during PE classes, compared to other subjects. The restrictions require student teachers to conduct thorough and time-consuming equipment disinfection before and after use. However, it is currently understood that the overall risk of Covid-19 transmission via contaminated surfaces is low, and generally  $<1$  in 10,000 (Harvey et al., 2021; Pitol and Julian, 2021). In addition, recent studies in gyms (Jimenez et al., 2020), soccer

(Schumacher et al., 2021), and rugby (Jones et al., 2021) have shown that there are limited risks for SARS-CoV-2 infection when preventive measures are in place, suggesting a lower risk of viral transmission than previously predicted during structured physical activity and sport. These studies should inform future guidelines to attenuate the stigma created that PE class is the most likely setting where Covid-19 transmission may occur in a school.

## Conclusion

In conclusion, the current sample of student teachers experienced a range of challenges that were balanced by resources of resilience, assisting them to maintain their wellbeing while teaching and learning online during Covid-19. In Ireland, during the pandemic, 7 out of 10 teachers reported more stress than in previous years, while 6 out of 10 teachers reported lower levels of wellbeing (Dempsey and Burke, 2021). The recent lessons learned from Dempsey and Burke's (2021) research indicate that active supports are necessary to alleviate stress and support teachers' levels of wellbeing. Identifying the resilience resources and challenges that impact student teachers' wellbeing is essential to effectively facilitate interventions. Teaching and adopting strategies that equip student teachers with essential lifelong career coping skills and resources of resilience can support wellbeing during their time in higher education and later into their careers. Dabrowski (2020: 37) asserts that 'face-to-face as well as online professional development approaches that aim to foster resilience and autonomy, coaching in learning communities, and emotional regulation development can also support educator wellbeing levels'. In the current study, the results suggest that the debrief sessions facilitated the many recommendations outlined by Dabrowski (2020). The outcomes demonstrate that wellbeing can be supported by providing student teachers with a non-formal online social platform that enhances peer and university community connections. The following recommendations for ITE programmes using online platforms to reach student teachers can be derived from this research.

Student teachers:

1. Maintain formal and informal connections with peer student teachers, mentors, and other teachers at school and in university, along with friends and family.
2. Use techniques to manage stress and workload (e.g. physical activity, calendar planning, completing academic and teaching practice-related work ahead of deadlines).
3. Take notice of the personal and professional journey.

ITE programmes:

1. Facilitate meaningful time to foster community and connections with student teachers in both face-to-face and online settings. Be aware of student isolation at school and aim to promote digital wellbeing and prevent and mitigate the negative psychological impacts of stress.
2. Develop, teach, and model new innovative pedagogical strategies for digital learning for all subjects.
3. Instil efficacy and support student teachers to believe in their resilience to cope with challenges, change, and difficult times throughout their professional careers.


## Declaration of conflicting interests


The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest with respect to the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Funding

The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## ORCID iDs

Niamh O' Brien  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2087-814X>

Manolis Adamakis  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3881-6892>

## References

- Adamakis M and Zounhia K (2016) *The impact of occupational socialization on physical education pre-service teacher's beliefs about four important curricular outcomes: A cross-sectional study*. *European Physical Education Review* 22(3): 279–297.
- Aked J, Marks NA, Cordon C, et al. (2008) Five ways to wellbeing: A report presented to the foresight project on communicating the evidence base for improving people's wellbeing. Centre for Wellbeing, New Economics Foundation, London.
- Allen J, Rowan L and Singh P (2020) Teaching and teacher education in the time of Covid-19. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education* 48(3): 233–236.
- Armour K, Goodyear V and Sandford R (2020) The digital age challenge: Preparing physical and health educators to understand and support 'online' youth. Loughborough University. Chapter 9. Available at: <https://hdl.handle.net/2134/11823783.v1> (accessed 10 July 2021).
- Beard J and Konukman F (2020) Teaching online physical education: The art of connection in the digital classroom. *Journal of Physical Education, Recreation and Dance* 91(7): 49–51.
- Bendavid E, Oh C, Bhattacharya J, et al. (2021) Assessing mandatory stay-at-home and business closure effects on the spread of COVID-19. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation* 51(4): e13484.
- Brauner JM, Mindermann Sharm M, et al. (2021) Inferring the effectiveness of government interventions against Covid-19. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 371(6531): eabd9338
- Bray A, Ni Chorcora E, Maguire Donohue J, et al. (2020) Post-primary student perspectives on teaching and learning during Covid-19 school closures: Lesson learned from Irish students from schools in a widening participation programme. Available at: <http://hdl.handle.net/2262/93107> (accessed 10 July 2021).
- Bu F, Steptoe A and Fancourt D (2020) Who is lonely in lockdown? Cross-cohort analyses of predictors of loneliness before and during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Public Health* 186: 31–34.
- Buckley D, Abbott D and Franey J (2017) An exploration of Irish teachers' experiences of stress. *Irish Journal of Applied Social Studies Journal of Applied Social Studies* 17(171): 1393–7022.
- Burgess S and Sievertsen HH (2020) Schools, skills, and learning: The impact of Covid-19 on education. Available at: <https://voxeu.org/article/impact-Covid-19-education> (accessed 27 May 2021).
- Cao W, Fang Z, Hou G, et al. (2020) The psychological impact of the Covid-19 epidemic on college students in China. *Psychiatry Research* 287: 112934.
- Carrillo C and Flores MA (2020) Covid-19 and teacher education: A literature review of online teaching and learning practices. *European Journal of Teacher Education* 43(4): 466–487.
- Chambers F and Sandford R (2019) Learning to be human in a digital world: A model of values fluency education for physical education. *Sport, Education and Society* 24(9): 925–938.
- Cook CR, Miller FG, Fiat A, et al. (2017) Promoting secondary teachers' wellbeing and intentions to implement evidence-based practices: Randomized evaluation of the ACHIEVER resilience curriculum. *Psychology in the Schools* 54(1): 13–28.

- Dabrowski A (2020) Teacher wellbeing during a pandemic: Surviving or thriving? *Social Education Research* 2(1): 35–40.
- Darling-Hammond L and Hyler ME (2020) Preparing educators for the time of Covid ... and beyond. *European Journal of Teacher Education* 43(4): 457–465.
- Darmody M, Smyth E and Russell H (2020) *The Implications of the Covid-19 Pandemic for Policy in Relation to Children and Young People: A Research Review*. Dublin: ESRIN Publisher.
- Dempsey M and Burke J (2021) Lessons learned: The experiences of teachers in Ireland during the 2020. Esri Survey and Statistical Report Series, Number 94. Available at: <http://eprints.maynoothuniversity.ie/13914/> (accessed 20 May 2021).
- Department of Education (2021a) Minister Foley confirms that schools will remain closed to students. Available at: <https://www.gov.ie/en/press-release/11176-minister-foley-confirms-that-schools-will-remain-closed-to-students/> (accessed 15 May 2021).
- Department of Education (2021b) Return to school guidance for practical subjects in post-primary schools and centres for education. Athlone. Available at: <https://www.gov.ie/en/publication/68937-return-to-school-guidance-for-practical-subjects-in-post-primary-schools-and-centres-for-education/#> (accessed 15 May 2021).
- Department of Education (2021c) Wellbeing policy statement and framework for practice. Athlone. Available at: <https://www.gov.ie/en/publication/35100b-wellbeing-policy-statement-and-framework-for-practice-20182023/> (accessed 15 May 2021).
- Dodge R, Daly AP, Huyton J, et al. (2012) The challenge of defining wellbeing. *International Journal of Wellbeing* 2(3): 222–235.
- Dunstan A (2020) The future of physical education after Covid-19. Available at: <https://medium.com/@alanrdunstan/the-future-of-physical-education-after-Covid-19-ab602f0d0579> (accessed 10 April 2021).
- Gualano MR, Lo Moro G, Voglino G, et al. (2020) Effects of Covid-19 lockdown on mental health and sleep disturbances in Italy. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17(13): 4779.
- Harvey AP, Fuhrmeister ER, Cantrell ME, et al. (2021) Longitudinal monitoring of SARS-CoV-2 RNA on high-touch surfaces in a community setting. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* 8(2): 168–175.
- Haug N, Geyrhofer L, Londei A, et al. (2020) Ranking the effectiveness of worldwide Covid-19 government interventions. *Nature Human Behaviour* 4(12): 1303–1312.
- Jimenez A, Mayo X, Lopez-Valenciano, et al. (2020) An independent assessment of Covid-19 cases reported in fitness clubs and leisure facilities across Europe: A THiNK Active report. Ehfa-Membership. Available at: [http://www.ehfa-membership.com/sites/europeactive.eu/files/covid19/ThinkActive/SAFE-Active-Study-FINAL\\_print.pdf](http://www.ehfa-membership.com/sites/europeactive.eu/files/covid19/ThinkActive/SAFE-Active-Study-FINAL_print.pdf) (accessed 20 May 2021).
- Jisc (2019) Digital wellbeing for you, your colleagues, and students – briefing paper for practitioners. Available at: <https://www.digitalcapability.jisc.ac.uk/what-is-digital-capability/digital-wellbeing/> (accessed 13 October 2021).
- Jones B, Phillips G, Kemp S, et al. (2021) SARS-CoV-2 transmission during rugby league matches: Do players become infected after participating with SARS-CoV-2 positive players? *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 55(14): 807–813.
- Joosten-Hagye D, Katz A, Sivers-Teixeira T, et al. (2020) Age-friendly student senior connection: Students' experience in an interprofessional pilot program to combat loneliness and isolation among older adults during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Journal of Interprofessional Care* 34(5): 668–671.
- Kennelly B, O'Callaghan M, Coughlan D, et al. (2020) The Covid-19 pandemic in Ireland: An overview of the health service and economic policy response. *Health Policy and Technology* 9(4): 419–429.
- Kern ML and Wehmeyer ML (2021) *The Palgrave Handbook of Positive Education*. Melbourne: Springer International Publishing.
- Krueger R and Casey M (2009) *Focus Groups: A Practical Guide for Applied Research*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- la Velle L, Newman S, Montgomery C, et al. (2020) Initial teacher education in England and the Covid-19 pandemic: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Education for Teaching* 46(4): 596–608.

- Labrague LJ, De los Santos JAA and Falguera CC (2021) Social and emotional loneliness among college students during the Covid-19 pandemic: The predictive role of coping behaviors, social support, and personal resilience. *Perspectives in Psychiatric Care* 57(4): 1578–1584.
- Lawson T, Çakmak M, Gündüz M, et al. (2015) Research on teaching practicum – A systematic review. *European Journal of Teacher Education* 38(3): 392–407.
- Lee JW, Kane JJ and Gregg EA (2016) A happy marriage: The union of online instruction and community-based learning. *Strategies: A Journal for Physical and Sport Educators* 29(5): 16–21.
- Liu S, Liu Y and Liu Y (2020) Somatic symptoms and concern regarding Covid-19 among Chinese college and primary school students: A cross-sectional survey. *Psychiatry Research* 289: 113070.
- Loewenthal G, Abadi S, Avram O, et al. (2020) Covid-19 pandemic-related lockdown: Response time is more important than its strictness. *EMBO Molecular Medicine* 12(11): e13171.
- Martins M, Costa J and Onofre M (2015) Practicum experiences as sources of pre-service teachers' self-efficacy. *European Journal of Teacher Education* 38(2): 263–279.
- Martínez-López E, Zagalaz Sánchez M, Ramos Álvarez M, et al. (2010) Self-efficacy expectations in teacher trainees and the perceived role of schools and their physical education department in the educational treatment of overweight students. *European Physical Education Review* 16(3): 251–266.
- Merriam S and Tisdell E (2016) *Qualitative Research: A Guide to Design and Implementation*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey Bass.
- O'Brien N, Lawlor M, Chambers F, et al. (2019) Levels of wellbeing, resilience, and physical activity amongst Irish pre-service teachers: A baseline study. *Irish Educational Studies* 39(3): 1–18.
- O'Brien N, Lawlor M, O'Brien W, et al. (2020) State of mind Ireland-higher education: A mixed-methods longitudinal evaluation of a positive mental health intervention. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17(15): 1–23.
- O'Brien W, Adamakis M, O'Brien N, et al. (2020) Implications for European Physical Education Teacher Education during the Covid-19 pandemic: A cross-institutional SWOT analysis. *European Journal of Teacher Education* 43(4): 503–522.
- Padmanabhanunni A and Pretorius TB (2021) The unbearable loneliness of Covid-19: Covid-19-related correlates of loneliness in South Africa in young adults. *Psychiatry Research* 296(113658): 1–6.
- Pitol AK and Julian TR (2021) Community transmission of SARS-CoV-2 by surfaces: Risks and risk reduction strategies. *Environmental Science & Technology Letters* 8(3): 263–269.
- Quennerstedt M (2019) Physical education and the art of teaching: Transformative learning and teaching in physical education and sports pedagogy. *Sport, Education and Society* 24(6): 611–623.
- Ralph AM and MacPhail A (2015) Pre-service teachers' entry onto a physical education teacher education programme, and associated interests and dispositions. *European Physical Education Review* 21(1): 51–65.
- Rhodes RE, Janssen I, Bredin SSD, et al. (2017) Physical activity: Health impact, prevalence, correlates and interventions. *Psychology and Health* 32(8): 942–975.
- Richards KAR, Colin GP and Oleg AS (2019) Teacher socialization in physical education: A scoping review of the literature. *Kinesiology Review* 8(2): 86–99.
- Schumacher YO, Tabben M, Hassoun K, et al. (2021) Resuming professional football (soccer) during the Covid-19 pandemic in a country with high infection rates: A prospective cohort study. *British Journal of Sports Medicine*. 55(19): 1092–1098.
- Snape MD and Viner RM (2020) Covid-19 in children and young people. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* 370(6514): 286–288.
- Son C, Hegde S, Smith A, et al. (2020) Effects of Covid-19 on college students' mental health in the United States: Interview survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 22(9): e21279.
- Southwick SM, Bonanno GA, Masten AS, et al. (2014) Resilience definitions, theory, and challenges: Interdisciplinary perspectives. *European Journal of Psychotraumatology* 5: 1–14. doi:10.3402/ejpt.v5.25338
- Symonds Q (2020) The impact of the coronavirus on global Higher Education 2020. Available at: <http://info.qs.com/rs/335-VIN-535/images/The-Impact-of-the-Coronavirus-on-Global-Higher-Education.pdf> (accessed 15 April 2021).



- UNESCO (2021) UNESCO figures show two-thirds of an academic year lost on average worldwide due to Covid-19 school closures. Available at: <https://en.unesco.org/news/unesco-figures-show-two-thirds-academic-year-lost-average-worldwide-due-COVID-19-school> (accessed 15 April 2021).
- Varea V, González-Calvo G and García-Monge A (2020) Exploring the changes of physical education in the age of Covid-19. *Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy* 27(1): 32–42.
- Wathelet M, Duhem S, Vaiva G, et al. (2020) Factors associated with mental health disorders among university students in France confined during the Covid-19 pandemic. *JAMA Network Open* 3(10): e2025591.
- Yu J and Jee Y (2021) Analysis of online classes in physical education during the Covid-19 pandemic. *Education Sciences* 11(1): 1–14.
- Zach S and Inglis V (2019) The relationships between personality traits, subjective wellbeing, and academic achievements among physical education teacher education students. *Journal of Cognitive Education and Psychology* 18: 52–66.
- Zhai Y and Xue D (2020) Addressing collegiate mental health amid Covid-19 pandemic. *Psychiatry Research* 288: 113003.

### Author biographies

**Niamh O' Brien** is a lecturer in education, Hibernia College, Block B, The Merrion Centre, Merrion Rd, Dublin, D04 H2H4. Niamh's research aims to investigate wellbeing and positive mental health interventions across all levels of education from primary level to higher-level education.

**Wesley O'Brien** is a lecturer in Physical Education and Coaching Science, working on the B.Ed Sports Studies and Physical Education Programme, in the School of Education, at University College Cork (UCC). Wesley is an active researcher in the fields of youth physical education, wellbeing, motor competence, physical activity, physical literacy, and sport participation.

**João Costa** is a lecturer in Education (Sport Pedagogy) and programme Co-Director of the B.Ed. (Hons) Sports Studies and Physical Education, School of Education, University College Cork. João's research focus on Physical Education Teacher Education, Instructional Alignment, Assessment, Professional Learning Communities, and Quality Physical Education with a secondary interest in Sport Psychology.

**Manolis Adamakis** is currently a lecturer of Physical Education and Sport Pedagogy in the School of Education at the University College Cork (Ireland), and a research associate in the Institute for Teaching and Learning at the University of Luxembourg (Luxembourg).