



Published in final edited form as:

Science. 2022 January 21; 375(6578): 326–331. doi:10.1126/science.abf6154.

Structural and functional insight into the regulation of kinesin-1 by microtubule associated protein MAP7

Luke S Ferro^{†,1}, Qianglin Fang^{†,1,‡,*}, Lisa Eshun-Wilson^{†,1}, Jonathan Fernandes^{†,2}, Amanda Jack³, Daniel P Farrell⁴, Mert Golcuk⁵, Teun Huijben⁶, Katelyn Costa⁷, Mert Gur⁵, Frank DiMaio⁴, Eva Nogales^{1,3,8,*}, Ahmet Yildiz^{1,3,9,*}

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of California, Berkeley CA, USA

²Department of Chemistry, University of California, Berkeley CA, USA

³Biophysics Graduate Group, University of California, Berkeley CA, USA

⁴Department of Biochemistry, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA

⁵Department of Mechanical Engineering, Istanbul Technical University, Istanbul, Turkey

⁶Department of Imaging Physics, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands

⁷Press West Illustrations, Boston MA, USA

⁸Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Chevy Chase MD, USA

⁹Physics Department, University of California, Berkeley CA, USA

Abstract

The microtubule (MT)-associated protein, MAP7 is a required cofactor for kinesin-1 driven transport of intracellular cargoes. Using cryo-electron microscopy and single-molecule imaging, we investigated how MAP7 binds MTs and facilitates kinesin-1 motility. The MT-binding domain (MTBD) of MAP7 bound MTs as an extended α -helix between the protofilament ridge and the site of lateral contact. Unexpectedly, the MTBD partially overlapped with kinesin-1's binding site and inhibited kinesin-1 motility. However, by tethering kinesin-1 to the MT, the projection domain of MAP7 prevented dissociation of the motor and facilitated its binding to available neighboring

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which allows reusers to distribute, remix, adapt, and build upon the material in any medium or format, so long as attribution is given to the creator. The license allows for commercial use.

*Correspondence: yildiz@berkeley.edu, enogales@lbl.gov, qfang168@berkeley.edu.

‡Present address: School of Public Health, Sun Yat-sen University, Shenzhen, China.

†Equal contribution

Author contributions:

L.F., L.E., Q.F., E.N., and A.Y. conceived the project and analyzed the data. L.F. and J.F. purified the proteins and performed single-molecule experiments. Q.F. and L.E. performed cryo-EM sample preparation, data collection, and analysis. Q.F., D.P.F., and F.D. performed Rosetta modeling. A.J. performed stepping measurements. M. Golcuk and M. Gur performed MD simulations.

T.H. collected and analyzed data for K490. K.C. created scientific illustrations. L.F., Q.F., L.E., M. Gur, E.N., and A.Y. wrote the manuscript, with further edits from all authors.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests;

Data and materials availability: All data are available in Data S1. Materials are available from A.Y. under a material agreement with the University of California, Berkeley. The coordinates for MAP7 bound to tubulin are available at the Protein Data Bank (PDB) with accession code 7SGS. All cryo-EM maps are available at the EMDB with accession codes EMD-25120 (FL MAP7), EMD-25119 (MAP7-MTBD and FL tau), EMD-25118 (MAP7 and kinesin), EMD-25117 (MAP7⁸³⁻¹³⁴).

sites. The inhibitory effect of the MTBD dominated as MTs became saturated with MAP7. Our results reveal biphasic regulation of kinesin-1 by MAP7 in the context of their competitive binding to MTs.

One Sentence Summary:

MAP7 binds to microtubules as an extended α -helix and activates kinesin-1 motility despite the overlap between their tubulin binding sites.

Kinesin and dynein are microtubule-associated molecular motors that are differentially regulated to deliver intracellular cargos to their destinations (1, 2). Intracellular cargos are sorted by structural MAPs that decorate the MT surface (1). Distinct cellular localizations of MAPs correlate with their regulatory roles in intracellular traffic (3). Overexpression of tau disrupts kinesin-1 (kinesin hereafter) transport of synaptic vesicles in axons (4, 5), while the knockdown of tau rescues defects in axonal transport in Alzheimer's disease models (6). Unlike tau, MAP7 is a required cofactor for kinesin-driven transport in cells (7–9). The MAP7 projection domain binds to kinesin's coiled-coil stalk *in vitro* (9, 10), recruits kinesin to MTs, and activates its motility (9, 11). Transient interactions with the MAP7 projection domain may enable kinesin to hop from one MAP to another, increasing its apparent run length by disfavoring detachment from the MT (9).

To understand how MAP7 regulates kinesin, we determined the cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) structure of MTs decorated with full-length (FL) MAP7 (Fig. 1A–B, fig. S1A, Tables S1–2, and movie S1). The reconstruction revealed a 53 residue-long α -helix that runs parallel to the MT axis about the length of a tubulin dimer (Fig. 1A–C, fig. S1B). Unlike MAP2, MAP4, and tau, which bind along the outer ridges of the protofilaments (12–14), MAP7 ran halfway between the outer ridge and the site of lateral contacts. The co-structure of MAP7's MT binding domain (MTBD; residues 60-170) and FL tau on the MT (fig. S2) illustrated their distinct MT footprints and confirmed that the helical segment corresponds to the MAP7's MTBD. We identified a single MAP7 sequence register that corresponds to a well conserved segment of the MTBD (residues 87-139; fig. S3A–C) via Rosetta modeling (15), and validated this registry by determining the structure of MTs decorated with a shorter MAP7 construct (residues 83-134; fig. S3D). Because MAP7 MTBD could potentially form a helix longer than the length of a tubulin heterodimer (fig. S2A), we cannot exclude the possibility of a larger footprint of MAP7 on the MT ((13), fig. S4 and Methods).

The α -helical density for MAP7 is not uniform (Fig. 1B). Segment I (residues 113-139), which is the best-resolved region (Fig. 1B), makes extensive interactions with tubulin (Fig. 1D): Q113 and E117 of MAP7 are within hydrogen-bonding distance of N197 and S155 of β -tubulin, respectively; R114 and K127 of MAP7 engage in electrostatic interactions with E159 and D414 of β -tubulin, respectively. Y108 of β -tubulin inserts into a hydrophobic pocket formed by R120, R121, and V124 of MAP7. We also identified potential hydrogen bonds between R128, R131, and K136 of MAP7 and the mainchain oxygens of E411 and G410 of β -tubulin and V159 of α -tubulin, respectively. Segment III (residues 87-99) interacts with α -tubulin. Segment II (residues 100-113) faces a cavity at the intra-tubulin dimer and has the weakest density in our map (Fig. 1B). All-atom molecular dynamics

(MD) simulations verified these pairwise interactions, and additional potential interactions between MAP7 and tubulin (fig. S5, movie S2). Segment II fluctuated more than other segments in simulations because it only makes transient contacts with tubulin (Fig. 1E–F, fig. S5).

We next determined how MAP7 affects the motility of kinesin and the dynein-dynactin-BicDR1 complex (DDR, dynein hereafter) (16) (Fig. 2A). FL MAP7 uniformly decorated MTs with a dissociation constant of 111 ± 12 nM (\pm s.e.) under physiological salt (150 mM KAc, Fig. 2B–C, fig. S6). As previously reported (9, 11), the addition of 50 nM MAP7 rescued FL kinesin from autoinhibition (17) and substantially increased its run frequency and length (fig. S7). MAP7 also enhanced the motility of constitutively-active kinesin (K560, residues 1-560) (Fig. 2D–E, movie S3) (9, 11). Unlike run length and frequency, kinesin velocity decreases even at low MAP7 concentrations (Fig. 2E), which could be because of pausing at MTBD obstacles or binding to the projection domain. Although dynein has been reported not to be inhibited by 5 nM MAP7 (10), we found that it was inhibited by MAP7 with half-max inhibition constant of 10 ± 3 nM (Fig. 2D–E). MAP7 decoration of MTs also switched the direction of an assembly that links FL kinesin to dynein (Fig. 2F) (18). While 80% of kinesin-dynein assemblies were minus-end-directed on undecorated MTs, 93 % moved towards the plus-end in 10 nM MAP7 (Fig. 2G–H, fig. S6C, movie S4).

Unexpectedly, kinesin run frequency decreased when MAP7 concentration was increased further (100-1,000 nM, Fig. 2D–E, movie S3). We reasoned that the nonlinear relationship between MAP7 decoration and kinesin motility could arise when the motor is subjected to simultaneous activation and inhibition that dominate at different concentrations (Fig. 2E) (19). To determine the source of these opposing inputs, we disrupted the kinesin-MAP7 interaction by truncating either the MAP7-binding domain of kinesin (K490, residues 1-490) or the kinesin-binding domain of MAP7 (MAP7-N and MAP7-MTBD, Fig. 3A). In all cases, MAP7 inhibited kinesin (Fig. 3B, fig. S8 and movies S5–6) as strongly as it inhibited dynein (Fig. 2E). Thus, MTBD inhibits while the projection domain activates kinesin (10).

MTBD may inhibit kinesin by competing for the same tubulin binding site, because superimposing the MT-bound structure of kinesin (20) onto our model reveals an apparent clash between kinesin and segment II of MAP7 (fig. S9A). Alternatively, the flexible segment II may accommodate kinesin binding by shifting away from the intra-dimer interface, as proposed for DCX and MAP4 (14, 21). To distinguish between these possibilities, we determined the structure of MTs incubated with rigor kinesin (K350^{E236A}) and MAP7 (Fig. 3C). Because distinct binding sites of MAP7 and kinesin can be artifactually averaged during reconstruction (fig. S9B), we performed focused 3D classification around the putative shared binding site of MAP7/kinesin (fig. S10, see Methods). The classification resulted in two distinct maps of the binding site, one occupied by MAP7 only, and the other occupied by kinesin only (Fig. 3D). Thus, kinesin and MAP7 cannot simultaneously bind to the same tubulin dimer.

Consistent with cryo-EM, MTBD was unable to bind MTs pre-decorated with K350^{E236A} (Fig. 3E). However, FL MAP7 or a construct that lacks the MTBD (Δ MTBD, fig. S11A–

C) still bound to kinesin-decorated MTs to a significant extent, presumably via the P123 domain (residues 175-316) (8, 9). MT binding of MTBD was nearly abolished upon cleaving the flexible tails of tubulin (Fig. 3E, fig. S11D), explaining why P123 was invisible in our structure. To verify that kinesin is inhibited at high MAP7 decoration owing to binding site overlap, we also replaced the MT-binding regions of MAP7 with that of tau, which also overlaps with kinesin's binding site and inhibits kinesin motility (fig. S12)(13, 22). Similar to FL MAP7, binding of this chimeric MAP to the MT resulted in biphasic regulation of kinesin motility (Fig. 3F–G).

To reveal how kinesin walks along MAP7-decorated MTs despite competing for the same binding site, we fluorescently labeled the motor domain of K560 and tracked kinesin stepping with nanometer precision under limiting ATP conditions (Fig. 4A). On undecorated MTs, kinesin took 16-nm steps in the forward direction (23), whereas steps in the sideways and backward directions were rare (9% and 3%, respectively; Fig. 4A–B, fig. S13A) (24). At 36 nM MAP7, we observed a modest increase in the probability of sideways and backward stepping (Fig. 4A–B). At nearly saturating MAP7 concentrations, we observed 16-64 nm displacements in our trajectories, as well as increased stepping in sideways (35%) and backward (26%) directions (Fig. 4A–B). Because kinesin cannot take such large steps on its own (Fig. 4A–B), these large displacements likely represent transient detachment and reattachment of the motor to the MT.

In the absence of the projection domain, kinesin was stuck on MTs decorated with 36 nM MTBD and dissociated from MTs at increased ATP concentrations (fig. S13B–C). Furthermore, the projection domain needed to be tethered to the MTBD to supersede kinesin inhibition, because the addition of the C-terminal half of MAP7 (MAP7-C) was unable to stimulate kinesin motility on MTs decorated with the N-terminal half of MAP7 (MAP7-N, fig. S14). Thus, the projection domain is essential for kinesin to bypass MTBD obstacles.

Based on our results and previous reports (9, 11), we propose a model for kinesin stepping on MAP7 decorated MTs. MAP7 recruits kinesin-1 to the MT and activates subsequent motility (9, 11). MT binding of MAP7 also obstructs kinesin stepping along the protofilament, which results in kinesin dissociation from the MT. However, the MAP7 projection domain tethers kinesin to the MT surface, allowing it to rebind the MT at nearby sites not blocked by its MTBD. This “tethered diffusion” of kinesin appears as large forward, sideways, or backward displacements in our trajectories. When the MT surface is nearly saturated with MAP7, the frequency and length of kinesin runs are reduced because of the scarcity of empty tubulin sites to which the motor can rebind after it dissociates (Fig. 4C). Unlike kinesin-1, MAP7 inhibits dynein motility because its MTBD overlaps with the dynein binding site (fig. S15) but its projection domain does not tether dynein to MTs.

This biphasic regulation mechanism may enable precise control of kinesin-1-driven transport by varying MAP7 density on cellular MTs. While MAP7 is required for kinesin-1 driven processes in many cell types (10, 25, 26), dense MAP7 localization at branch junctions in rat neurons slows down and pauses organelles at these sites (8). This mechanism may reroute cargos to their destinations by facilitating the detachment of kinesin from its MT track and its switching to neighboring MTs at cellular junctions (8).

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgments:

We thank Andrew P. Carter and Ruensern Tan for helpful discussions, Laura Nocka for SEC-MALS experiments, Benjamin LaFrance for MT image analysis advice, Patricia Grob, and Joe Atherton for MT processing in RELION, Daniel Toso, Jonathan Remis, and Abhiram Chintangal for microscopy and computational support, the QB3 Macrolab for competent cell lines and TEV protease purification, the UC Berkeley Cell Culture Facility for providing the insect cells, the Cal-Cryo facility at UC Berkeley for EM imaging, and the Marconi100 for MD simulations.

Funding:

This work was supported by grants from the National Institute of General Medical Sciences (GM094522 (AY), GM123655-03 (LF), GM051487 (EN), GM127018 (EN), and the National Science Foundation (MCB-1617028 and MCB-1055017, AY), PRACE (2019215144, MG), and Istanbul Technical University BAP (MGA-2021-42803, MG). E.N. is a Howard Hughes Medical Institute Investigator.

References and Notes:

1. Bodakuntla S, Jijumon AS, Villablanca C, Gonzalez-Billault C, Janke C, Microtubule-Associated Proteins: Structuring the Cytoskeleton. *Trends in Cell Biol* 29, 804–819 (2019). [PubMed: 31416684]
2. Roll-Mecak A, The Tubulin Code in Microtubule Dynamics and Information Encoding. *Developmental Cell* 54, 7–20 (2020). [PubMed: 32634400]
3. Gumy LF et al. , MAP2 Defines a Pre-axonal Filtering Zone to Regulate KIF1- versus KIF5- Dependent Cargo Transport in Sensory Neurons. *Neuron* 94, 347–362 (2017). [PubMed: 28426968]
4. Ebnet A et al. , Overexpression of tau protein inhibits kinesin-dependent trafficking of vesicles, mitochondria, and endoplasmic reticulum: implications for Alzheimer’s disease. *JCB* 143, 777–794 (1998). [PubMed: 9813097]
5. Ishihara T et al. , Age-dependent emergence and progression of a tauopathy in transgenic mice overexpressing the shortest human tau isoform. *Neuron* 24, 751–762 (1999). [PubMed: 10595524]
6. Vossel KA et al. , Tau reduction prevents Abeta-induced defects in axonal transport. *Science* 330, 198 (2010). [PubMed: 20829454]
7. Barlan K, Lu W, Gelfand VI, The microtubule-binding protein ensconsin is an essential cofactor of kinesin-1. *Current Biol* 23, 317–322 (2013).
8. Tymanskyj SR, Yang BH, Verhey KJ, Ma L, MAP7 regulates axon morphogenesis by recruiting kinesin-1 to microtubules and modulating organelle transport. *eLife* 7, e36374 (2018). [PubMed: 30132755]
9. Hooikaas PJ et al. , MAP7 family proteins regulate kinesin-1 recruitment and activation. *JCB* 218, 1298–1318 (2019). [PubMed: 30770434]
10. Monroy BY et al. , Competition between microtubule-associated proteins directs motor transport. *Nature Comm* 9, 1487 (2018).
11. Monroy BY et al. , A Combinatorial MAP Code Dictates Polarized Microtubule Transport. *Developmental cell* 53, 60–72 (2020). [PubMed: 32109385]
12. Al-Bassam J, Ozer RS, Safer D, Halpain S, Milligan RA, MAP2 and tau bind longitudinally along the outer ridges of microtubule protofilaments. *JCB* 157, 1187–1196 (2002). [PubMed: 12082079]
13. Kellogg EH et al. , Near-atomic model of microtubule-tau interactions. *Science* 360, 1242–1246 (2018). [PubMed: 29748322]
14. Shigematsu H et al. , Structural insight into microtubule stabilization and kinesin inhibition by Tau family MAPs. *JCB* 217, 4155–4163 (2018). [PubMed: 30275105]
15. Song YF et al. , High-Resolution Comparative Modeling with RosettaCM. *Structure* 21, 1735–1742 (2013). [PubMed: 24035711]

16. Canty JT, Tan R, Kusakci E, Fernandes J, Yildiz A, Structure and Mechanics of Dynein Motors. *Ann Rev of Biophysics* 50, 549–574 (2021).
17. Verhey KJ, Kaul N, Soppina V, Kinesin assembly and movement in cells. *Ann Rev of Biophysics* 40, 267–288 (2011).
18. Chaudhary AR et al. , MAP7 regulates organelle transport by recruiting kinesin-1 to microtubules. *JBC* 294, 10160–10171 (2019).
19. Valenstein ML, Roll-Mecak A, Graded Control of Microtubule Severing by Tubulin Glutamylation. *Cell* 164, 911–921 (2016). [PubMed: 26875866]
20. Gigant B et al. , Structure of a kinesin-tubulin complex and implications for kinesin motility. *NSMB* 20, 1001–1007 (2013).
21. Liu JS et al. , Molecular basis for specific regulation of neuronal kinesin-3 motors by doublecortin family proteins. *Molecular Cell* 47, 707–721 (2012). [PubMed: 22857951]
22. Dixit R, Ross JL, Goldman YE, Holzbaur EL, Differential Regulation of Dynein and Kinesin Motor Proteins by Tau. *Science*, 319, 1086–1089 (2008). [PubMed: 18202255]
23. Yildiz A, Tomishige M, Vale RD, Selvin PR, Kinesin walks hand-over-hand. *Science* 303, 676–678 (2004). [PubMed: 14684828]
24. Schneider R, Korten T, Walter WJ, Diez S, Kinesin-1 motors can circumvent permanent roadblocks by side-shifting to neighboring protofilaments. *Biophys J* 108, 2249–2257 (2015). [PubMed: 25954882]
25. Sung HH et al. , *Drosophila* ensconsin promotes productive recruitment of Kinesin-1 to microtubules. *Developmental Cell* 15, 866–876 (2008). [PubMed: 19081075]
26. Gallaud E et al. , Ensconsin/Map7 promotes microtubule growth and centrosome separation in *Drosophila* neural stem cells. *JCB* 204, 1111–1121 (2014). [PubMed: 24687279]
27. Yin J et al. , Genetically encoded short peptide tag for versatile protein labeling by Sfp phosphopantetheinyl transferase. *PNAS* 102, 15815–15820 (2005). [PubMed: 16236721]
28. Schlager MA, Hoang HT, Urnavicius L, Bullock SL, Carter AP, In vitro reconstitution of a highly processive recombinant human dynein complex. *The EMBO J* 33, 1855–1868 (2014). [PubMed: 24986880]
29. Ferro LS, Can S, Turner MA, ElShenawy MM, Yildiz A, Kinesin and dynein use distinct mechanisms to bypass obstacles. *eLife* 8, e48629 (2019). [PubMed: 31498080]
30. Zhang K et al. , Cryo-EM Reveals How Human Cytoplasmic Dynein Is Auto-inhibited and Activated. *Cell* 169, 1303–1314 (2017). [PubMed: 28602352]
31. Kellogg EH et al. , Insights into the Distinct Mechanisms of Action of Taxane and Non-Taxane Microtubule Stabilizers from Cryo-EM Structures. *J Mol Biol* 429, 633–646 (2017). [PubMed: 28104363]
32. West LM, Northcote PT, Battershill CN, Peloruside A: a potent cytotoxic macrolide isolated from the new zealand marine sponge *Mycale* sp. *J Org Chem* 65, 445–449 (2000). [PubMed: 10813954]
33. Eshun-Wilson L et al. , Effects of alpha-tubulin acetylation on microtubule structure and stability. *PNAS* 116, 10366–10371 (2019). [PubMed: 31072936]
34. Zhang R, Alushin GM, Brown A, Nogales E, Mechanistic Origin of Microtubule Dynamic Instability and Its Modulation by EB Proteins. *Cell* 162, 849–859 (2015). [PubMed: 26234155]
35. Mastronarde DN, Automated electron microscope tomography using robust prediction of specimen movements. *J Struct Biol* 152, 36–51 (2005). [PubMed: 16182563]
36. Zheng SQ et al. , MotionCor2: anisotropic correction of beam-induced motion for improved cryo-electron microscopy. *Nature Methods* 14, 331–332 (2017). [PubMed: 28250466]
37. Zhang K, Gctf: Real-time CTF determination and correction. *J Struct Biol* 193, 1–12 (2016). [PubMed: 26592709]
38. Zivanov J et al. , New tools for automated high-resolution cryo-EM structure determination in RELION-3. *eLife* 7, e42166 (2018). [PubMed: 30412051]
39. Cook AD, Manka SW, Wang S, Moores CA, Atherton J, A microtubule RELION-based pipeline for cryo-EM image processing. *J Struct Biol* 209, 107402 (2020). [PubMed: 31610239]
40. Grigorieff N, FREALIGN: An Exploratory Tool for Single-Particle Cryo-EM. *Methods in Enzymology* 579, 191–226 (2016). [PubMed: 27572728]

41. Alushin GM et al. , High-resolution microtubule structures reveal the structural transitions in alphabeta-tubulin upon GTP hydrolysis. *Cell* 157, 1117–1129 (2014). [PubMed: 24855948]
42. Zhang R, Nogales E, A new protocol to accurately determine microtubule lattice seam location. *J Struct Biol* 192, 245–254 (2015). [PubMed: 26424086]
43. Grant T, Rohou A, Grigorieff N, cisTEM, user-friendly software for single-particle image processing. *eLife* 7, e35383 (2018). [PubMed: 29513216]
44. Debs GE, Cha M, Liu X, Huehn AR, Sindelar CV, Dynamic and asymmetric fluctuations in the microtubule wall captured by high-resolution cryoelectron microscopy. *PNAS* 117, 16976–16984 (2020). [PubMed: 32636254]
45. Emsley P, Cowtan K, Coot: model-building tools for molecular graphics. *Acta Crystallogr D Biol Crystallogr* 60, 2126–2132 (2004). [PubMed: 15572765]
46. DiMaio F, Tyka MD, Baker ML, Chiu W, Baker D, Refinement of protein structures into low-resolution density maps using rosetta. *J Mol Biol* 392, 181–190 (2009). [PubMed: 19596339]
47. Tyka MD et al. , Alternate states of proteins revealed by detailed energy landscape mapping. *J Mol Biol* 405, 607–618 (2011). [PubMed: 21073878]
48. Castoldi M, Popov AV, Purification of brain tubulin through two cycles of polymerization-depolymerization in a high-molarity buffer. *Protein Expr Purif* 32, 83–88 (2003). [PubMed: 14680943]
49. Korten T, Chaudhuri S, Tavkin E, Braun M, Diez S, Kinesin-1 Expressed in Insect Cells Improves Microtubule in Vitro Gliding Performance, Long-Term Stability and Guiding Efficiency in Nanostructures. *IEEE Trans Nanobioscience* 15, 62–69 (2016). [PubMed: 26886999]
50. Yildiz A et al. , Myosin V walks hand-over-hand: single fluorophore imaging with 1.5-nm localization. *Science* 300, 2061–2065 (2003). [PubMed: 12791999]
51. Belyy V, Hendel NL, Chien A, Yildiz A, Cytoplasmic dynein transports cargos via load-sharing between the heads. *Nature Comm* 5, 5544 (2014).
52. Phillips JC et al. , Scalable molecular dynamics on CPU and GPU architectures with NAMD. *JCP* 153, 044130 (2020).
53. Best RB et al. , Optimization of the additive CHARMM all-atom protein force field targeting improved sampling of the backbone ϕ , ψ and side-chain χ_1 and χ_2 dihedral angles. *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 8, 3257–3273 (2012). [PubMed: 23341755]
54. Beckstein O, Denning EJ, Perilla JR, Woolf TB, Zipping and unzipping of adenylate kinase: atomistic insights into the ensemble of open↔ closed transitions. *Journal of Molecular Biology* 394, 160–176 (2009). [PubMed: 19751742]
55. Durrant JD, McCammon JA, HBonanza: a computer algorithm for molecular-dynamics-trajectory hydrogen-bond analysis. *Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling* 31, 5–9 (2011). [PubMed: 21880522]
56. Heymann JB, Single particle reconstruction and validation using Bsoft for the map challenge. *J Struct Biol* 204, 90–95 (2018). [PubMed: 29981840]
57. Cole C, Barber JD, Barton GJ, The Jpred 3 secondary structure prediction server. *NAR* 36, 197–201 (2008).




Fig. 1. MAP7 binds the MT between the outer protofilament ridge and the site of lateral contacts.

(A) Cryo-EM map (without symmetry expansion) of an MT decorated with MAP7; α -tubulin, β -tubulin, and MAP7 are shown in green, blue, and purple, respectively. (B) Improved MAP7-MT cryo-EM map after symmetry expansion and protofilament-based density subtraction (see Methods). MAP7 binds across both inter- and intra-dimer interfaces, although weaker density is seen for the region over the intra-dimer interface (segment II), indicative of more flexibility and weaker interaction. Only one repeat of MAP7 and its neighboring tubulins is shown for clarity. (C) Ribbon diagram for MAP7 and tubulin with the improved cryo-EM density map shown in gray. (D) Details of the interacting residues between MAP7 and its neighboring tubulins. (E) Initial and final MAP7-tubulin conformations obtained from an example all-atom MD simulation. Beads represent constrained atoms in MAP7 and tubulin. (F) The average root-mean-squared fluctuations of MAP7 C α atoms from the cryo-EM structure coordinates within 200 ns ($n = 4$ simulations).




Fig. 2. MAP7 differentially regulates kinesin and dynein motility.

(A) Schematic of kinesin and dynein motility on MTs coated with MAPs. (B) MT decoration of fluorescently-labeled MAP7 under different concentrations (μM). (C) Fluorescence intensity (mean \pm s.d.) of MAP7 was fit to the Hill equation (solid curve) to calculate K_D (\pm s.e.). From left to right, $N = 44, 50, 68, 109$ MTs for MAP7 (two technical replicates). (D) Kymographs of K560 and DDR in the presence of MAP7. Assays were performed in 150 mM KAc and 0.1% methylcellulose. (E) Run frequency, run length, and velocity of K560 and DDR at different MAP7 concentrations (mean \pm s.e.m.). K560 run frequency was fit to biphasic Hill equation (solid black curve) to reveal the half-maximal activation (blue dashed curve) and inhibition (IC_{50} , red dashed curve) concentrations (\pm s.e.). DDR run frequency was fit to Langmuir equation (not shown) to calculate IC_{50} (\pm s.e.). From left to right, $n = 281, 463, 532, 836, 381, 433, 233$ for K560, and 386, 235, 213,

146 for DDR; two technical replicates). **(F)** Schematic of kinesin and dynein assembled onto BicDR1. The addition of MAP7 switches the active motor. **(G)** Kymographs of kinesin-dynein assemblies with and without 10 nM MAP7. Yellow arrows show kinesin-dynein colocalizers. **(H)** The directionality of kinesin-dynein assemblies (mean \pm s.d., $n = 356$ and 580 for 0 and 10 nM MAP7, respectively, three replicates).

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript

Author Manuscript




Fig. 3. MT tethering enables kinesin to move on MAP7-decorated MTs despite their overlapping binding sites.

(A) Schematic of kinesin, MAP7, and tau constructs. (B) Kymographs show kinesin motility in the presence of FL and truncated MAP7. (C) Average cryo-EM map of an MT decorated with both MAP7 and rigor kinesin. Atomic model of MAP7 and previously reported structure of kinesin on MT (PDB code: 4HNA (20)) were fitted into the cryo-EM map.

(D) Focused 3D classification resulted in two distinct density maps showing either MAP7-bound (left) or kinesin-bound (right) tubulin, indicating competitive binding. (E) Fluorescent signal (top) and normalized intensity (bottom) of 1 μ M LD655-labeled MAP7 constructs on undecorated, pre-decorated with 1 μ M rigor kinesin or subtilisin-treated MTs (mean \pm s.e.m., n values are given for each bar).

(F) Kinesin motility in the presence of chimeric MAPs. (G) Run frequency and velocity of kinesin in the presence of Tau-MTBD/MAP7-C (mean \pm s.e.m., $n = 27, 112, 211, 102, 55$ from left to right, two technical replicates).




Fig. 4. Kinesin bypasses MTBD obstacles via tethered diffusion.

(A) (Insert) K560 was labeled with the LD655 dye at its N-terminus, and its stepping was tracked in longitudinal (straight arrows) and sideways (curved arrows) directions under different MAP7 concentrations. Representative traces of K560 motility along longitudinal (top) and sideways (bottom) directions. Horizontal lines represent a fit to a step-finding algorithm. (B) Histograms reveal the percentage of instantaneous jumps in backward and sideways directions under different MAP7 concentrations (bar graphs). From top to bottom, $n = 437, 580, 502,$ and 331 for longitudinal and $43, 145, 195,$ and 181 for

sideways directions. (C) Model for regulation of kinesin by MAPs. The MAP7 projection domain rescues kinesin from autoinhibition and tethers the motor to the MT. When kinesin encounters an MTBD obstacle, it dissociates from the MT, remains tethered to MAP7, and rebinds to the available tubulin site on another protofilament. Kinesin is inhibited at high MAP7 concentrations due to the scarcity of available binding sites.